

RESPONSE OF TOMATO TO BIOLOGICAL AND MINERAL FERTILIZERS UNDER CALCAREOUS SOIL CONDITIONS

(Received 1. 6. 1999)

By

H. A. F.Mahmoud and M. A.T. Amara *

*Plant Production Department. * Soil Fertility and Microbiology
Department , Desert Research Center.*

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were carried out during two successive seasons, 1996 - 1997 and 1997-1998 in Maryout Experimental Station (D.R.C), Alexandria Governorate , Egypt , to evaluate the role of biofertilization technique and / or NPK fertilizers on different tomato characters as well as microbial activity . The treatments comprised different species of *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* isolates supported by different doses of NPK which were added as ammonium sulphate + calcium super phosphate + potassium sulphate in rates 100% (400 + 300 + 200) Kg./ fed. , 75% , 50% , 25% and 0 % from the recommended doses . The obtained results indicated that several plant parameters were stimulated significantly as a result of biofertilization and NPK applications referring to control . Biofertilization with B₄ (*Bacillus subtilis*) and B₂ (*Bacillus megatherium*) were the best treatments combined with 50% of NPK dose .This treatment led to an increase in growth parameters , fruit yield , TSS and vitamin C as well as nutrient content . The application of NPK either individually or in combination with biofertilizer improved CO₂ evolution. By increasing of NPK rates up to 100% dose, growth characters, fruit yield, fruit quality and mineral content were significantly increased when compared with the control treatment.

Key words: *biofertilizers, calcareous soil , NPK fertilizers, tomato.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill) is considered one of the most important vegetables. It is grown in a relatively large area in Egypt and considered one of the main economical export vegetable crops, in addition to its usage in local markets and processing. Nowadays biofertilization technique is used beside mineral fertilizers for the plant nutritional requirements in agriculture. Attempts are carried out to minimize the use of mineral fertilizers for its high cost as well as its environmental pollution problems. Several soil bacteria particularly those belonging to the genera *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* which are phosphate solubilizers were used as a biofertilizer for providing the growing plants with an available form of phosphorus by producing organic acids which reduce the pH and bring about the dissolution of bound forms of phosphate, (Rovira, 1963 and Amara 1994). Also, *B. polymyxa*, *B. macerans*, *B. circulans* and some *Pseudomonas* strains were used as N-fixers having the ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen and consequently stimulated plant growth, (Bally *et al.*, 1983). In addition Abdel Ghafar *et al.*, (1996) showed that *B. subtilis*, *B. cereus* and *Ps. fluorescens* frequently inhibit pathogens by producing antibiotic and fluorescent siderophores.

Growth characters, yield and dry matter percentage of tomato were increased by increasing NPK rates. [Brun *et al.*, (1986); Kooner and Randhawa (1990) and Shibhila and Balakrishnan (1990)]. Also, increasing NPK rates had a beneficial effect on fruit content of T.S.S. and ascorbic acid (Patil and Bojappa, 1984; Kooner and Randhawa, 1990 and Al Afifi *et al.*, (1993). Application of NPK increased their uptake by tomato plants (Al Afifi *et al.*, 1993 and Guo and Lu, 1991).

Regarding the interaction effect of microbial inoculation combined with mineral NPK fertilizers on plant growth, Kumaraswamy and Madalageri (1990) showed that the highest yield of tomato was obtained by adding NPK at the rate of 60 N, 30 P₂O₅ and 50 K₂O /ha combined with *Azotobacter chroococcum*. Also, Harridy and Amara (1998) recorded a positive response of rosella plants to *Rhizobium* and *Azotobacter* inoculation as compared with the higher dose of nitrogen (80 Kg N/ fed.) without inoculation.

The main objective of the present work was to determine the optimum rate of NPK fertilizers with the most effective genera of inoculates which stimulate the CO₂ evolution, growth, yield, fruit quality and NPK uptake of tomato plants under calcareous soil conditions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out over a period of two successive seasons *i.e.*, 1996-1997 and 1997-1998 in Maryout Experimental Station of the Desert Research Center, Egypt. Some physical and chemical properties of the soil are presented in Table (1). Analysis was carried out according to Piper (1950) and Jackson (1958).

A split plot design with 3 replicates was used. Eight bacterial isolates were randomly distributed as main plots, five *Bacillus* species and three isolates as *Pseudomonas fluorescens* are shown in the following:

- 1- *Bacillus polymyxa* (B₁)
- 2- *Bacillus megatherium* (B₂)
- 3- *Bacillus macerans* (B₃)
- 4- *Bacillus subtilis* (B₄)
- 5- *Bacillus cereus* (B₅)
- 6- Ps₁ as a mutant
- 7- Ps₂, isolated from wheat rhizosphere
- 8- Ps₃, isolated from salt marches
- 9- Control treatment, without biofertilization

Each bacterial treatment and control were divided into five subplots considered as NPK treatments as follows:

1. (NPK)₁ 100% as recommended dose { 400 Kg amm. sulphate (20.5% N) + 300Kg calcium super phosphate (15.5 % P₂O₅) + 200 Kg potassium sulphate (48% K₂O) /fed. }.
2. (NPK)₂, 75% from (NPK)₁.
3. (NPK)₃, 50% from (NPK)₁.
4. (NPK)₄, 25% from (NPK)₁.
5. Control.

N and K fertilizers were added at two equal doses after 3 and 6 weeks from transplanting while P was added once before transplant-

ing as basal application .

Seeds of tomato cv. Castle Rock were sown on July 15th , and the seedlings were transplanted after forty days from sowing , 20 cm apart on ridges one meter wide and 3.5 m long . The experimental unit contained three ridges 10.5 m² (1/400 fed.). Organic fertilizer at 25 m³ /fed. was added to all treatments one month before transplanting . Seedlings of tomato were dipped in heavy cell suspension of different types of bacteria for 3 hr before setting in the permanent field.

Five *Bacillus* spp. and three *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains were isolated from different soils and plants cultivated in desert soils. Isolation and identification of the isolates were carried out according to Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology (1984) .

Isolates of *Bacillus* and *Pseudomonas* were grown separately in Bunt and Rovira, (Abd El- Hafez, A.M.,1966)and KBM (King *et al.*, 1954) media at 30 °C for 2 days, respectively.

Data were recorded on the following characters :

A- Vegetative growth: Plant height (cm), plant weight (gm) and dry matter (%) were measured after 70 days from transplanting .It was estimated by dividing hundred times of dry weight of plant material over its fresh weight.

B- Yield and quality : Harvesting started from 25 Jan. and continued till 20th April and from 20 Jan. till 14th April for the 1st and 2nd season, respectively . The following data were recorded : total yield as ton/fed., average fruit weight (gm), total soluble solids (%) using Zeiss laboratory refractometer and vitamin C (mg/100 g fresh weight) according to A.O.A.C., (1975).

C-Minerals content: Nitrogen , phosphorus and potassium contents as a percentage of dry weight were estimated in the digest of leaves collected at 10 weeks from transplanting . Total nitrogen was determined by the method of Huphries (1965). Phosphorus was spectrophotometrically estimated using the method of El-Hineidy and Agiza(1957) . Potassium was determined using flame photometer , Brown and Lilliland (1964) .

Data were statistically analyzed by the method of Snedecor (1966)

D-Microbiological determination : Rhizospheric soil of tomato plants were taken at two stages of growth, the first after 70 days from transplanting and the second at harvesting time and analyzed for total fungal counts on Martin 's medium (Allen, 1959) and CO₂

evolution rates according to Parmer and Schmidt (1964).

Table (1): Physical and chemical analysis of the experimental soil.

Physical properties	Determination
Texture class : Ca CO ₃ %	32.5
Sandy Clay Loam Sand %	55.55
Clay %	24.05
Silt %	20.49
Chemical properties	
EC m.mhos/cm	2.7
pH	8.4
Soluble cations : (mg/100g)	
Na ⁺	17.41
K ⁺	1.45
Ca ⁺⁺	5.82
Mg ⁺⁺	3.69
Soluble anions : (mg/100g)	
HCO ₃ ⁻	1.303
CO ₃ ⁻	-
Cl ⁻	12.955
SO ₄ ⁻	15.113

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of mineral fertilizers and / or biofertilizer on:

3.1. Total fungal counts

The effect of *Bacillus* spp. and *Ps. flourescens* isolates alone or in combination with different levels of NPK on fungal counts in the rhizospheric soil of tomato plants is presented in Table (2). The recorded data indicate that control treatment without biofertilization gave higher fungal counts than biofertilized ones, and fertilization with *Bacillus* spp. was superior than that with *Pseudomonas* isolates, being in the average of 31, 14, 10 and 45, 25 and 13 x10² /gm rhizospheric soil for control, *Bacillus* spp and *Ps.* treatments during the first and second stages of growth, respectively. This may be due to the production of siderphores compounds which inhibit fungal spore germination *via* causing unavailability of iron (Jagnow 1991) or due to the lysis of chitinaceous cell walls of patho-

Table (2): Effect of biofertilization combined with different doses of inorganic fertilizers on total fungal counts ($\times 10^2$ /g rhizospheric soil). Average of 2 seasons .

Chem. fert.	Stage I					Stage II						
	A	B	C	D	E	X'	A	B	C	D	E	X'
Bio. fert.												
Cont.	60.0	32.0	20.0	10.0	-	30.5	84.0	40.0	33.0	21.0	-	44.5
B ₁	35.0	10.0	6.0	4.0	2.0	11.4	60.0	27.0	22.0	17.0	1.6	25.5
B ₂	28.0	15.0	12.0	5.0	3.0	12.6	70.0	25.0	20.0	16.0	4.0	27.0
B ₃	37.0	16.0	12.0	9.0	5.0	15.8	70.0	32.0	30.0	25.0	2.8	32.0
B ₄	22.0	23.0	17.0	5.0	1.2	13.6	50.0	22.0	11.0	7.0	1.0	18.2
B ₅	55.0	14.0	18.0	3.0	2.5	18.5	50.0	20.0	14.0	11.0	2.2	19.4
Mean	35.4	15.6	13.0	5.2	2.7	14.4	60.0	25.0	19.4	15.2	2.3	24.4
Ps ₁	40.0	10.0	3.0	2.0	0.5	11.1	50.0	15.0	12.0	2.0	0.6	15.9
Ps ₂	31.0	11.0	13.0	8.0	1.0	12.8	33.0	15.0	13.0	9.0	1.2	14.2
Ps ₃	16.0	11.0	8.0	1.0	0.3	7.3	20.0	10.0	7.0	4.0	0.8	8.4
Mean	29.0	10.7	8.0	3.7	0.6	10.4	34.3	13.3	10.7	5.0	0.9	12.8

A, B, C, D & E refer to 100%, 75%, 50%, 25% and 0% NPK rates, respectively from the recommended dose .

genic fungi by specific enzymes produced by bacteria (Nelson *et al.* , 1986) . Furthermore , NPK application induced favorable effect on fungal counts which increased by increasing the rate of NPK applied, being in the average of 32.2 , 13.1, 10.5 , 4.5 , 1.6 and 47.1 , 19.2 , 15.0 , 10.1, 1.6×10^2 /g soil for 100% , 75% , 50% , 25% and 0% /NPK during the two growth periods, respectively .

3.2. Rate of CO₂ evolution

Rate of CO₂ evolution was determined as an indication of microbial activities in the tested soil samples. Results in Table (3) showed that the levels of CO₂ evolution were affected by inoculation and chemical fertilization . As expected, addition of biofertilizers in combination with different doses of NPK stimulated CO₂ evolution . The maximal CO₂ evolutions were recorded under treatments inoculated with *Ps. fluo.* , which ranged from 59.4 to 10 mg CO₂ /100 g soil/hr . While the corresponding figures for *Bacillus* spp were 28.6 to 7.3 under different NPK levels . With respect to NPK fertilization , the rates of CO₂ evolution were increased consequently with the increase of NPK levels within the studied doses . The average values were 21.4 , 16.2 , 13.3 , 10.2 , 10.0 and 34.2 , 25.7, 20.5 , 17.1 and 15.4 for 100% , 75% , 50% , 25 % and 0% NPK during the two growth periods, respectively .

3.3. Vegetative growth

3.3.1. Plant height (cm)

Data presented in Table (4) show the effect of different bacterial inoculation and/or different doses of NPK on the height of tomato plants . Generally speaking , plant inoculation with either *Bacillus* or *Pseudomonas* in combination with NPK fertilizer significantly increased plant height as compared with non-inoculated ones . However, the magnitude of this increase varied according to the dose of NPK applied regardless of the form of bacterial type .

Treatments receiving 25% of NPK gave the lowest figures of plant height while 100% NPK treatment showed the highest ones . These results agree with those obtained by El-Beheidi *et al.* ,(1990 a and b) and Shahien *et al.*, (1994) . Regardless of the type of bacteria inoculation had a positive effect on plant height , this might be due to excretion of some growth regulating substances. Gibberellin-like

+Table (3): Rates of CO₂ evolution (mg/100g dry rhizospheric soil/hr) from tomato plants inoculated with biofertilizers in combination with NPK rates (average of 2 seasons) .

Chem. fert.	Stage I					Stage II						
	A	B	C	D	E	X'	A	B	C	D	E	X'
Bio. fert.												
Cont.	13.52	9.81	8.31	6.43	6.10	8.80	24.41	15.52	13.33	13.10	12.82	15.80
B ₁	15.40	10.46	8.80	7.40	7.30	9.90	26.40	17.60	15.40	14.16	15.60	17.80
B ₂	15.60	12.76	10.56	7.92	8.10	11.00	24.75	21.20	17.60	15.20	12.70	18.30
B ₃	17.60	17.60	10.46	8.60	9.30	12.70	26.40	22.00	19.80	17.60	14.90	20.10
B ₄	17.60	10.56	10.70	8.60	9.40	11.40	28.60	22.00	17.60	19.80	15.00	20.60
B ₅	16.92	11.46	11.20	8.60	8.10	11.30	18.50	17.60	14.08	14.52	9.70	14.90
Mean	16.60	12.60	10.30	8.20	8.40	11.30	24.90	20.10	16.90	16.30	13.60	18.30
Ps ₁	22.00	19.40	18.33	13.00	11.00	16.70	53.51	46.20	34.70	22.00	19.40	35.16
Ps ₂	34.60	22.00	17.60	13.00	13.30	20.10	59.40	34.70	26.40	22.00	21.30	32.80
Ps ₃	22.00	18.04	13.20	11.00	10.90	15.00	17.60	13.20	11.40	10.00	10.89	12.62
Mean	26.20	19.80	16.40	12.30	11.70	17.30	43.50	31.40	24.20	18.00	17.20	26.90

A,B,C,D&E refer to 100%, 75%, 50%, 25% and 0% NPK rates, respectively from the recommended dose .

Table (4): Effect of inorganic and biofertilizers on plant height (cm) of tomato under calcareous soil conditions.

Chem. fert.	1996-1997						1997-1998					
	A	B	C	D	E	X̄	A	B	C	D	E	X̄
Bio. fert.	34.0	28.0	24.0	20.0	19.0	25.0	36.6	33.0	30.0	25.0	20.3	29.0
Cont.	41.0	37.0	34.0	26.3	25.7	32.8	43.3	39.7	37.0	32.3	28.7	36.2
B ₁	37.3	34.0	29.0	27.7	23.7	30.3	38.7	38.1	35.0	33.1	26.3	34.2
B ₂	40.3	34.7	31.3	26.0	24.7	31.4	40.3	40.3	39.0	34.7	27.3	36.3
B ₃	39.3	36.3	27.3	24.3	23.7	25.3	41.0	34.0	37.3	27.7	22.7	32.5
B ₄	38.7	34.3	37.7	32.0	21.7	32.9	39.3	39.0	38.7	36.7	26.0	35.9
B ₅	35.3	28.7	28.3	25.0	29.7	29.4	38.3	36.7	35.7	29.0	21.7	32.3
Ps ₁	36.0	35.7	32.0	29.0	24.3	29.4	38.3	35.3	30.0	27.7	21.7	30.6
Ps ₂	33.7	31.7	30.3	27.3	22.0	29.0	41.0	39.7	37.7	33.3	25.7	35.5
Ps ₃	37.3	33.4	30.4	26.4	23.8		45.6	37.3	35.6	31.1	24.5	
Mean												

L. S. D. at 0.05 for : Bio. fert. 3.437

2.90

Chem. fert.

2.385

2.131

Bio. X Chem.

N.S

N.S.

A,B,C,D&E refer to 100%, 75%, 50%, 25% and 0% NPK rates, respectively from the recommended dose .

substances in culture of *Bacillus megatherium* (Hussain and Vancura, 1970) *Bacillus subtilis* (Katzenelson and Cole, 1965) and *Pseudomonas* species (Eklund, 1970) were detected.

3.3.2. Plant weight (gm)

Data recorded in Table (5) showed the effect of bacterial inoculation and / or different doses of NPK fertilizers on plant weight. Treatments receiving different doses of NPK and inoculated with either *Bacillus* or *Ps. sp.* produced higher weights than those of non-inoculated ones. The highest figures were recorded with B_1 and Ps_3 sp. receiving 50%, 75% and 100% NPK. The stimulatory effect on plant weight was affected by dose of NPK application, the highest dose gave the highest weight. These results agree with those of Shibhila and Balakrishnan (1990).

3.3.3. Dry matter (%)

The percentage of dry matter of tomato plant ($\frac{\text{dry weight of shoot/ plant}}{\text{Fresh weight}} \times 100$) as affected by biological and chemical

fertilizers are illustrated in Table (6). Dry matter was increased in ascending order with increasing NPK rates up to 100%. In both seasons, the combination between bacteria and NPK (50% or 100%) showed the highest increase. These results agree with those mentioned by Devlin (1979). This may be due to the increase of photosynthesis process as a result of NPK application and bacterial inoculation which supply small amounts of gibberellin which increase leaf area and produce a greater photosynthetic surface. Also, increment by NPK application may be due to the beneficial effect of N, P and K within the plant.

3.3.4. Fruit weight (gm)

Results in Table (7) showed the effect of bio-fertilizers and / or mineral fertilizers of (NPK) on tomato fruit weight. The results revealed that bacterial inoculation combined with NPK at rates of 50%, 75% and 100% from the recommended dose showed the highest effect regardless the type of bacterial inoculation. However, plants inoculated with B_4 (*Bacillus subtilis*) combined with different rates gave the best fruit weight being in the average of 82.5 and 84.3 gm

Table (5): Effect of inorganic and biofertilizers on plant weight (gm) of tomato under calcareous soil conditions.

Chem. fert.	1996-1997						1997-1998					
	A	B	C	D	E	X̄	A	B	C	D	E	X̄
Bio. fert.												
Cont.	300.0	260.0	210.0	140.0	097.0	201.4	260.0	252.0	210.0	135.0	104.0	192.2
B ₁	523.7	456.0	391.0	244.7	170.0	352.9	382.7	366.7	367.0	216.6	141.3	294.9
B ₂	475.7	402.7	368.7	241.0	169.3	331.5	380.7	347.0	347.3	182.0	142.0	280.2
B ₃	493.0	300.0	339.7	158.0	142.0	304.5	384.7	362.7	347.0	189.3	142.6	285.3
B ₄	440.3	365.0	270.7	143.0	107.0	257.2	297.0	301.0	256.0	168.6	126.6	229.8
B ₅	336.3	220.3	274.0	190.7	111.3	226.5	311.7	336.0	282.6	183.3	124.3	247.6
Ps ₁	269.0	252.0	249.3	144.0	107.3	204.3	258.3	252.7	240.0	146.6	110.0	201.5
Ps ₂	472.3	411.0	364.0	153.3	125.3	503.2	386.0	315.3	285.0	142.6	122.6	250.3
Ps ₃	521.3	377.3	339.3	235.3	143.7	323.4	416.0	327.7	298.0	225.3	126.0	278.7
Mean	422.3	319.4	311.9	183.3	130.3		342.1	317.9	292.6	176.4	126.6	

L.S.D at 0.05 for : Bio. fert.

53.589

33.2888

Chem. fert.

29.026

21.036

Bio. X Chem.

123.55

N.S.

A,B,C,D&E refer to 100%, 75%, 50%, 25% and 0% NPK rates, respectively from the recommended dose.

Table (6): Effect of inorganic and biofertilizers on dry matter (%) of tomato under calcareous soil conditions .

Chem. fert.	1996 - 1997						1997 - 1998					
	A	B	C	D	E	X̄	A	B	C	D	E	X̄
Bio. fert.	15.1	15.0	14.0	12.9	13.2	13.8	15.4	15.0	14.2	12.8	12.2	13.9
Cont.	15.8	15.6	15.5	13.8	13.7	14.9	16.7	15.8	16.8	14.2	12.8	13.5
B ₁	15.9	15.7	15.5	14.2	13.8	15.0	16.4	15.8	16.1	13.8	13.6	15.1
B ₂	15.3	15.0	15.0	14.0	13.7	14.6	15.1	15.2	15.3	13.5	13.0	14.4
B ₃	15.5	15.6	15.5	14.1	13.5	14.8	15.8	15.5	15.8	14.5	13.4	15.0
B ₄	15.5	15.5	15.4	13.8	13.5	14.7	15.8	15.5	16.0	14.2	14.0	15.1
B ₅	15.1	15.1	15.0	14.0	13.3	14.5	15.3	15.3	15.5	14.1	13.6	14.8
Ps ₁	15.8	15.5	15.4	14.0	13.6	14.9	15.4	15.1	14.7	14.2	13.7	14.6
Ps ₂	15.8	15.5	15.3	14.1	13.0	14.7	16.1	15.7	15.5	14.5	13.5	15.1
Ps ₃	15.5	15.4	15.2	13.9	13.4		15.8	15.4	15.5	14.0	13.3	
Mean												
L.S.D at 0.05 for : Bio. fert.												0.421
Chem. fert.												0.293
Bio. X Chem.												0.882

A, B, C, D & E refer to 100%, 75%, 50%, 25% and 0% NPK rates, respectively from the recommended dose .

Table (7): Effect of inorganic and biofertilizers on fruit weight (gm) of tomato under calcareous soil conditions .

Chem. fert.	1996 - 1997						1997 - 1998					
	A	B	C	D	E	X̄	A	B	C	D	E	X̄
Bio. fert.	73.67	78.66	64.67	54.33	47.30	63.73	78.33	74.33	67.33	59.33	52.00	66.26
Cont.	88.33	87.33	88.33	73.33	69.00	81.26	93.33	90.66	85.00	74.67	68.00	82.33
B ₁	75.33	80.00	81.33	77.67	68.00	76.46	91.66	82.00	88.66	81.66	78.33	84.46
B ₂	79.70	73.33	77.33	64.33	63.33	71.60	89.66	89.33	86.66	69.00	66.66	80.26
B ₃	87.66	98.33	90.00	71.00	65.67	82.53	89.33	96.00	92.33	75.66	68.00	84.26
B ₄	75.33	81.00	86.33	70.66	70.66	76.80	85.66	82.66	86.00	75.33	64.66	78.86
PS ₁	70.66	70.66	70.66	66.66	58.00	67.83	85.00	81.33	81.00	69.33	61.33	75.60
PS ₂	80.66	82.60	83.00	59.00	49.66	70.98	93.66	82.66	77.33	68.33	58.33	76.06
PS ₃	78.33	91.00	79.33	71.33	68.33	77.66	78.00	78.33	81.00	73.33	65.33	75.20
Mean	78.85	82.55	80.11	67.59	62.22		87.18	84.14	82.81	71.25	64.74	
L.S.D at 0.05 for : Bio. fert.												
Chem. fert.												
Bio. X Chem.												

8.999

5.380

4.898

N.S.

5.512

N.S.

A,B,C,D&E refer to 100%, 75%, 50% and 0% NPK rates, respectively from the recommended dose .

effect regardless the type of bacterial inoculation . However, plants inoculated with B₄ (*Bacillus subtilis*) combined with different rates gave the best fruit weight being in the average of 82.5 and 84.3 gm for the first and second seasons, respectively . These results agree with those obtained by Agwah and Mahmoud (1994) . This may be due to increasing photosynthesis and increasing plant growth (Ozbun *et al.* , 1965) .

3.4. Yield and quality

3.4.1. Total yield

Data in Table (8) indicate that inoculation either with *Bacillus* spp. or *Pseudomonas* isolates significantly increased total yield of tomato over the non-inoculated ones regardless of the doses of NPK applied . However, the magnitude of increase was affected by the type of inocula. B₄ (*Bacillus subtilis*) followed by B₂ (*Bacillus megatherium*) gave higher effect than treatments inoculated with B spp , while Ps₃(hallophilic isolate) was superior than treatments receiving Ps₂ . Total yield was increased with increasing NPK rates when compared with the control . These results are in line with those obtained by Shibhila and Balakrishnan (1990). The stimulatory effect of biofertilization was increased by NPK application (50%, 75% and 100% from the recommended dose) . Finally, the highest total yield of tomato was recorded under B₄ and NPK at the rate 75% (15.8 ton/fed.) . The favorable effects of biofertilizer and /or NPK may be referred to reduced fruit dropping during ripening (Wilcox , 1964) and /or supplying of growth hormones produced by biofertilizer application. Amara (1994) and Kavimandan and Gaur (1971) found that *Bacillus megatherium* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* are two of the bacteria decomposing organic phosphates which improved crop yield . Besides, Kumaraswamy and Madalageri (1990) revealed that the application of NPK at 50 % from the recommended dose with *Azotobacter* inoculation increased yield of tomato plants .

3.4.2 Total soluble solids (T.S.S %)

The results shown in Table (9), indicated that *Pseudomonas* (Ps₁) was the best of all tested bacterial types when combined with mineral fertilizers (100% NPK)for the two seasons . Generally speaking , mineral fertilizers gave positive effect on T.S.S of tomato with or with out biofertilizer, being in the average of 6.1 , 5.7 , 5.2 .

Table (8): Effect of inorganic and biofertilizers on total yield (ton/fed.) of tomato under calcareous soil conditions .

Chem. fert.	1996 - 1997						1997 - 1998					
	A	B	C	D	E	X'	A	B	C	D	E	X'
Bio. fert.												
Cont.	13.15	13.07	11.28	9.65	8.27	11.08	13.95	13.00	12.50	10.15	8.84	11.69
B ₁	14.30	14.30	14.15	11.93	11.06	13.14	14.41	13.94	13.67	11.14	10.85	12.80
B ₂	13.40	13.30	13.38	13.10	11.73	12.98	14.66	13.94	13.40	12.90	11.82	13.34
B ₃	12.09	12.76	12.91	11.41	10.47	11.92	13.17	13.21	14.82	11.63	10.70	12.71
B ₄	15.16	15.76	14.40	11.56	11.05	13.58	14.26	14.39	14.40	13.85	11.29	13.64
B ₅	14.45	13.63	13.78	11.49	11.01	12.87	13.63	13.52	13.50	11.69	9.96	12.46
Ps ₁	12.03	11.65	11.70	10.76	9.67	11.16	13.62	13.74	12.79	11.73	9.90	12.36
Ps ₂	13.90	13.05	13.04	9.78	8.33	11.62	14.21	12.75	12.21	10.96	9.42	11.86
Ps ₃	13.57	13.70	13.62	11.09	11.56	12.71	12.46	13.43	12.42	11.58	10.07	11.79
Mean	13.56	13.46	13.14	11.19	10.35		13.82	13.44	13.30	11.74	10.32	
L.S.D at 0.05 for : Bio. fert.												
Chem. fert.												
Bio. X Chem.												
0.479												
0.320												
0.965												
0.979												
0.678												
N.S.												

A, B, C, D & E refer to 100%, 75%, 50%, 25% and 0% NPK rates, respectively from the recommended dose .

Table (9) : Effect of inorganic and biofertilizers on T.S.S. and Vit. C of tomato plants under calcareous soil conditions.

Chem. fert.	T.S.S. (%)																		V.C																												
	1996 - 1997									1997 - 1998									1996 - 1997									1997 - 1998																			
	A	B	C	D	E	X	A	B	C	D	E	X	A	B	C	D	E	X	A	B	C	D	E	X	A	B	C	D	E	X																	
Cont.	6.0	5.6	5.0	4.8	4.3	5.1	5.3	5.1	4.7	4.3	4.8	22.3	22.9	22.3	21.9	22.0	22.2	23.3	23.1	21.7	21.2	21.2	22.5	22.3	22.9	22.3	21.9	22.0	22.2	23.3	23.1	21.7	21.2	21.2	22.5	22.3	22.9	22.3	21.9	22.0	22.2	23.3	23.1	21.7	21.2	21.2	22.5
B ₁	7.0	7.0	5.0	4.8	5.5	5.8	6.5	6.1	5.6	5.5	5.7	22.7	22.6	22.8	21.2	22.2	22.3	22.6	22.3	22.6	21.7	21.7	22.0	22.7	22.6	22.8	21.2	22.2	22.3	22.6	22.3	22.6	21.7	21.7	22.0	22.7	22.6	22.8	21.2	22.2	22.3	22.6	22.3	22.6	21.7	21.7	22.0
B ₂	6.6	5.1	5.5	5.5	6.0	5.7	5.5	5.5	4.8	5.3	5.6	25.5	25.0	25.5	22.6	22.5	24.1	24.3	24.4	25.1	23.2	22.8	23.9	25.5	25.0	25.5	22.6	22.5	24.1	24.3	24.4	25.1	23.2	22.8	23.9	25.5	25.0	25.5	22.6	22.5	24.1	24.3	24.4	25.1	23.2	22.8	23.9
B ₃	6.6	7.0	5.0	5.1	4.6	5.6	6.6	5.8	4.3	4.6	4.8	24.8	23.9	24.8	24.0	24.4	24.3	26.0	24.6	24.4	23.2	23.0	19.3	24.8	23.9	24.8	24.0	24.4	24.3	26.0	24.6	24.4	23.2	23.0	19.3	24.8	23.9	24.8	24.0	24.4	24.3	26.0	24.6	24.4	23.2	23.0	19.3
B ₄	5.3	5.5	5.8	4.6	4.0	5.0	5.5	5.1	6.0	6.1	4.3	26.2	23.4	23.9	23.2	23.9	24.1	25.0	24.2	23.5	23.6	23.9	24.0	26.2	23.4	23.9	23.2	23.9	24.1	25.0	24.2	23.5	23.6	23.9	24.0	26.2	23.4	23.9	23.2	23.9	24.1	25.0	24.2	23.5	23.6	23.9	24.0
B ₅	5.1	5.6	5.0	5.6	4.6	5.1	5.0	5.5	5.0	7.0	4.3	22.3	23.2	25.1	22.6	22.5	23.1	22.6	22.2	25.0	22.2	22.1	22.7	22.3	23.2	25.1	22.6	22.5	23.1	22.6	22.2	25.0	22.2	22.1	22.7	22.3	23.2	25.1	22.6	22.5	23.1	22.6	22.2	25.0	22.2	22.1	22.7
P _{S1}	7.5	6.1	5.3	6.0	6.0	6.1	8.3	5.5	5.3	5.1	6.3	24.3	22.6	23.6	23.7	23.0	23.4	24.2	22.4	23.6	23.2	22.0	23.0	24.3	22.6	23.6	23.7	23.0	23.4	24.2	22.4	23.6	23.2	22.0	23.0	24.3	22.6	23.6	23.7	23.0	23.4	24.2	22.4	23.6	23.2	22.0	23.0
P _{S2}	5.5	4.8	5.3	5.3	5.8	5.3	5.8	4.8	5.3	5.1	4.5	26.5	23.5	23.2	23.5	23.4	24.0	24.5	22.7	22.4	23.3	22.2	23.0	26.5	23.5	23.2	23.5	23.4	24.0	24.5	22.7	22.4	23.3	22.2	23.0	26.5	23.5	23.2	23.5	23.4	24.0	24.5	22.7	22.4	23.3	22.2	23.0
P _{S3}	5.5	5.1	5.3	4.3	4.6	4.9	5.3	5.5	5.3	4.8	5.1	25.2	23.9	24.2	23.7	24.6	24.3	24.1	23.8	23.9	23.5	24.6	23.9.	25.2	23.9	24.2	23.7	24.6	24.3	24.1	23.8	23.9	23.5	24.6	23.9.	25.2	23.9	24.2	23.7	24.6	24.3	24.1	23.8	23.9	23.5	24.6	23.9.
Mean	6.1	5.7	5.2	5.1	4.5		5.9	5.4	5.1	5.3	4.9	24.4	23.4	23.9	22.9	23.1		24.0	23.4	23.5	22.6	22.7	24.4	23.4	23.9	22.9	23.1		24.0	23.4	23.5	22.6	22.7	24.0	23.4	23.5	22.6	22.7	24.0	23.4	23.5	22.6	22.7				

L.S.D at 0.05 for : Bio. fert. N.S.

Chem. fert. 0.511

Bio. X Chem. N.S.

0.690

1.027

0.522

1.571

A, B, C, D and E refer to 100%, 75%, 50%, 25% and 0% NPK rates, respectively from the recommended dose.

5.1 , 4.5 and 5.9 , 5.4 , 5.1 , 5.3 and 4.9 % for 100% , 75% , 50 % , 25% NPK in the first and second seasons , respectively . This may be due to the influence of NPK application on photosynthesis and respiration (Ozbun *et al.* , 1965 and Devlin, 1979) . Also, Patil and Bojappa (1984) indicated that increasing application of NPK increased fruit sugars .

3.4.3. Vitamin C content (mg/100gm)

Results shown in Table (9) indicate significant effect of the interaction between NPK application and biofertilizers treatments . The highest effect was recorded with - plants inoculated with B_2 and B_4 with 100% , 75% , 50 % NPK for *Bacillus* spp and Ps_3 under the same rates . These results agree with those obtained by Kumaraswamy and Madalageri, 1990 and Melton and Dufault, 1991 .

3.5. Mineral content

Data presented in Tables (10 and 11) revealed that total nitrogen , phosphorus and potassium contents were increased in tomato shoots with increasing NPK application specially when plants were inoculated with bacteria . Inoculation with *Bacillus* (B_1 and B_3) which are nitrogen fixing organisms increased the nitrogen percentage. Inoculation with either *Bacillus* (B_2 and B_4) or Ps_3 which are phosphate dissolving bacteria increased the phosphorus percentage. Concerning the K percentage in plant , it was observed that inoculation with *Bacillus* (B_4 and B_5) increased K percentage if compared with the other bacterial types . Also, inoculation with *Pseudomonas* (Ps_2 - Ps_3) showed the same trend . NPK content in tomato plants was significantly increased with increasing application of NPK . This may be due to that increasing NPK rates which enhanced NPK uptake and increased their content in plants . In accordance with these findings , nitrogen , phosphorus and potassium application increased their uptake in tomato plants (Guo and Lu, 1991 and Al-Afifi *et al.*, 1993) . The best results obtained with B_1 , B_2 , B_3 , B_4 and B_5 inoculation in addition to NPK application at rates of 50%, 75% and 100% . These results agree with those obtained by Amara and Dahdoh , (1997) .

Summing up, the effect of inculation with *Bacillus* spp and *Pseudomonas* isolates alone or in combination with NPK rates

Table (10) : Effect of inorganic and biofertilizers on nitrogen and phosphorus contents (%) of tomato plants under calcareous soil conditions .

Chem. fert.	N %												P %											
	1996 - 1997						1997 - 1998						1996 - 1997						1997 - 1998					
	A	B	C	D	E	X'	A	B	C	D	E	X'	A	B	C	D	E	X'	A	B	C	D	E	X'
Bio. fert.	4.57	4.43	4.20	3.80	3.43	4.09	4.50	4.37	4.07	3.77	3.40	4.02	0.61	0.60	0.49	0.38	0.32	0.48	0.72	0.64	0.62	0.44	0.37	0.56
Cont.	5.07	4.97	4.90	4.33	3.97	4.65	5.00	4.93	4.80	4.10	4.03	4.57	0.69	0.63	0.55	0.44	0.31	0.52	0.74	0.70	0.63	0.44	0.36	0.57
B ₁	4.77	4.77	4.73	4.03	3.73	4.41	4.73	4.67	4.60	3.93	3.33	4.25	0.85	0.87	0.78	0.61	0.59	0.74	1.30	0.84	0.76	0.61	0.55	0.81
B ₂	5.07	5.03	4.53	3.97	3.87	4.49	5.00	4.73	4.66	4.20	4.07	4.53	0.66	0.63	0.58	0.39	0.37	0.53	0.67	0.66	0.63	0.47	0.39	0.56
B ₃	4.73	4.60	4.53	3.80	3.66	4.26	4.70	4.53	4.53	4.07	3.77	4.32	0.82	0.85	0.84	0.65	0.61	0.75	0.87	0.84	0.78	0.58	0.50	0.71
B ₄	4.63	4.53	4.43	3.93	3.77	4.26	4.63	4.66	4.53	3.83	3.70	4.27	0.78	0.68	0.68	0.61	0.49	0.65	0.76	0.68	0.66	0.48	0.42	0.60
B ₅	4.53	4.40	4.43	3.93	3.63	4.18	4.53	4.43	4.43	4.03	3.70	4.20	0.72	0.68	0.63	0.56	0.43	0.58	0.80	0.70	0.57	0.46	0.43	0.59
Ps ₁	4.70	4.60	4.47	3.93	3.70	4.28	4.66	4.67	4.53	4.10	3.77	4.35	0.68	0.69	0.66	0.51	0.40	0.59	0.76	0.69	0.70	0.49	0.36	0.60
Ps ₂	4.63	4.40	4.27	3.87	3.77	4.19	4.70	4.63	4.67	4.10	3.73	4.37	0.85	0.82	0.79	0.54	0.60	0.72	0.94	0.72	0.71	0.57	0.41	0.67
Ps ₃	4.74	4.64	4.50	3.95	3.73	4.31	4.72	4.65	4.54	4.01	3.72	4.33	0.74	0.71	0.67	0.52	0.46	0.62	0.84	0.72	0.67	0.50	0.42	0.63
Mean																								

L.S.D at 0.05 for : Bio. fert. 0.115

Chem. fert. 0.120

Bio. X Chem. N.S.

0.230

0.149

N.S.

0.082

0.054

N.S.

0.108

0.069

N.S.

A,B,C,D and E refer to 100%, 75%, 50%, 25% and 0% NPK rates, respectively from the recommended dose .

Table (11): Effect of inorganic and biofertilizers on K (%) of tomato plants under calcareous soil conditions .

Chem. fert.	1996-1997					1997-1998						
	A	B	C	D	E	X̄	A	B	C	D	E	X̄
Bio. fert.	5.20	5.13	4.90	4.50	4.40	4.83	4.60	4.70	4.41	4.00	3.21	4.18
Control.	5.50	5.20	5.30	4.53	4.17	4.94	5.50	5.31	4.42	3.90	3.32	4.49
B ₁	5.37	5.20	4.77	4.43	4.23	4.80	5.41	5.11	4.60	4.11	3.21	4.49
B ₂	5.37	5.20	4.87	4.37	4.23	4.81	5.60	5.60	4.70	4.10	3.30	4.66
B ₃	5.43	5.37	5.33	4.87	4.40	5.08	5.71	5.13	4.81	3.92	3.50	4.61
B ₄	5.43	5.27	5.13	4.70	4.33	4.97	5.32	5.21	5.11	4.51	3.41	4.71
Ps ₁	5.13	4.57	4.43	4.27	4.20	4.52	5.30	5.12	4.72	4.33	3.22	4.54
Ps ₂	5.37	5.27	5.43	4.73	4.33	5.03	5.22	5.00	4.80	3.33	3.52	4.37
Ps ₃	5.30	5.20	5.13	4.77	4.47	4.97	5.21	5.03	5.30	4.40	3.50	4.69
Mean	5.23	5.16	5.03	4.57	4.31		5.32	5.13	4.76	4.07	3.35	

L.S.D at 0.05 for : Bio. fert.

0.135

N.S.

Chem. fert.

0.131

0.186

Bio. X Chem.

N.S.

0.561

A,B,C,D&E refer to 100%, 75%, 50%, 25% and 0% NPK rates, respectively from the recommended dose .

enhance different plant characters *i.e.* plant height, plant weight, fruit weight, total yield and its quality. *Bacillus subtilis* (B₄) followed by *Bacillus megatherium* (B₂) combined with 50% NPK from the recommended dose recorded the highest effect on most parameters of plant yield and quality. The average of the two seasons was 14.4, 13.4 ton/fed., 91.2, 85.0 gm, 6.0, 5.2 % and 23.7 and 25.3 mg/100gm for total yield, fruit weight, T.S.S and Vit.C, respectively. On the other hand, *Ps₃* (hallophelic isolate) was the best among *Pseudomonas* isolates in combination with 50% NPK. The average corresponding figures were 13.0 ton/fed., 80.2gm, 5% and 24.1 mg/100gm, respectively.

From the present results, we can conclude that biofertilization with different species of *Bacillus* or *Ps. fluorescens* reduced the cost of tomato production by minimizing the use of chemical fertilizers doses, reaching 50% of full dose of N, P and K.

4. REFERENCES

- Abd EL-Ghafar N.Y., Amara A. T. Mervat and Nagwa A.M. Gamil (1996). Biocontrol of some *Fusarium* wilt diseases using different carrier materials for *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. AL-Azhar J. Agric. Res., Vol. 23 pp. 53-67.
- Abd-El-Hafez, A.M. (1966). Some studies on acid producing microorganisms in soil and rhizosphere with special reference to phosphate dissolvers. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ. Egypt.
- Agwah E. M. R. and Mahmoud A. F. Hosna (1994). Effect of some nutrients, sucrose and cultivars on tomato fruit set and yield. Bull. Fac. Agric. Univ. Cairo 45, 137-148.
- Al-Afifi, M. A., Hassan A.A., Itani S., AL-Masri H.H., Al-Gharib, T.A. and Khalil, S. (1993). Response of processing tomato to nitrogen and phosphorus application. Egypt J. of Hort., 18 (1) 45-62.
- Allen I. N. (1959). Experiments in soil bacteriology. Burgess Publishing Co., Minneapolis, Minnesota.
- Amara A. T. Mervat (1994). Wheat response to rhizospheric bacterial inoculation under field conditions. Desert Inst. Bull., Egypt. 44, No. 2, pp. 333-355.

- Amara T. A. Mervat and Dahdoh M.S.A. (1997) . Effect of inoculation with plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on yield and uptake of nutrients by wheat grown on sandy soils. *Egypt J. Soil Sci.*, 37, No. 4, 467-484.
- A.O.A.C. (1975) . " Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official. Analytical Chemists. Benjamin . Franklin Station, Washington, D. C.
- Bally R., Thomas- Bauzon ,D.,Heulin, Th. , Balandreau, J. , Richard, C. and De Ley, J. (1983) . Determination of the -most frequent N₂- fixing bacteria in a rice rhizosphere . *Can. J. Microbial*, 29: 881-887.
- Bergey's, *Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*. (1984). 9th ed.Vol. 1. In Hort, J.G. and N.R. Krieg (Eds). Williams and Wilkins , Baltimore.
- Brown J.D. and Lilliland O. (1964) . Rapid detemination of potassium and sodium in plant material and soil extracts by flame photometer. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.*, 48: 341-346 .
- Brun R., Cornillon P. , Peyriere, J. and Frico F. (1986) . Fertilization of tomato growth under cover . *Revue Horticole* No. 272, 49-54. (*C.F. Soil and fert.* 50(6) 6281,1987) .
- Devlin R. M. (1979). *Plant Physiology* . Third ed. Affiliated Est West, Press Pvt Lt, New Delhi, Madras.
- Eklund E. (1970).Secondary effects of some *Pseudomonas* in the rhizoplane of peat grown cucumber plants . *Acta Agr. Suppl* . 17 : 1-57 .
- El-Baeheidi M. H. , EL-Sherbeiny, A.A. and EL-Sawah M.H. (1990 a). Influence of different irrigation methods and nitrogen fertilizer on tomato plants in new reclaimed sandy soils . *Egypt. J. Hort.* 17: 25-36 .
- El-Baeheidi M. H. , EL-Sherbeiny A.A. and EL-Sawah M.H. (1990 b) .Influence of different irrigation methods and potassium fertilizer on tomato plants in new reclaimed sandy soil . *Egypt. J. Hort.* 17: 37-45 .
- El-Hinieidy M. I. and Agiza A. H. (1957) . Colorimetric methods for the determination of micro amounts of phosphates . *Bull. No.* 121, *Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ.*
- Guo Y. I. and Lu J.L. (1991). Studies of potassium uptake characteristics of selected vegetable crops in calcareous soils .

- Acta Hort. Sinica, 18 (1) 61-66 (en) . (C.F. Hort. Abstr., 63 (8) 5811 (1993) .
- Harridy I. M. A. and Amara A.T. Mervat (1998) .Effect of presowing inoculation of seeds by nitrogen fixed bacteria on growth fruit production , sepals yield and the chemical composition of Roselle plants . Egypt J. Appl. Sci. , 13 (6) 217-231.
- Huphries E.C. (1965) . Mineral components and ash analysis , modern methods of plant analysis edited by Peach, K. and Tracey, M. V.springer Verlag, Berlin, 1:468 .
- Hussain A. and Vancura V. (1970). Formation of biologically active substances by rhizosphere bacteria and their effect on plant growth. Folia Microbial. Prague 11:468-478 .
- Jackson M.L. (1958) . Soil chemical analysis . Prentice- Hall. Inc. Englewood Cliffs . N. J. Library of Congress. U.S.A.
- Jagnow G. (1991) . Microbial interaction in the cereal rhizosphere . Proc. of the 32 nd Symposium of the British Ecological Soc. with the Association of Applied Biologists, Univ. of Cambridge, 113-137.
- Katznelson H. and Cole S. E. (1965) . Production of Gibberellin-Like Substances by bacteria and actinomycetes . Can. J. Microbial : 733-741.
- Kavimandan , S. K and Gaur A. C. (1971) . Effect of seed inoculation with *Pseudomonas* sp. On phosphate uptake and yield of maize. Cuar . Sci. 40 : 439-440 .
- King E. O. , Ward, M.K. and Rancy D. E. (1954) . Tow simple media for the determination of pyocyanine and fluorescin. J. lab. Clin. Med., 44:301 .
- Kooner K. S. and Randhawa K. S. (1990) Effect of varying levels and sources of nitrogen on yield and processing qualities of tomato varieties . Acta Hort. 267 : 93-99 . (C. F. Hort. Abstr. 63, 6, 4295, 1993) .
- Kumaraswamy D. and Madalageri B.B. (1990) . Effect of *Azotobacter* inoculation on tomato . South Indian Hort., 38 (6) 345-346.
- Melton R. R. and Dufault R.J. (1991) . Nitrogen, Phosphorus and potassium fertility regimes affect tomato transplant growth . Hort. Science. 26 (2) 141-142.

- Nelson E. B. , Wei Lian Chao , Norton, J. M. , Nash, G. T. and Harman G.H. (1986). Attachment of *Enterobacter cloacae* to hyphae of *Pythium ultima* possible role in the biological of *Pythium* pre-emergence damping- off. *Phytopath.*, 76 :327-335.
- Ozbun J. L. , Volk R. J. and Jackson W. A. (1965). Effect of potassium deficiency on photosynthesis, respiration and the utilization of photosynthetic reductant by mature bean leaves . *Crop Sci.*, 5: 497-500 .
- Parmer D. and Schmidt E. L. (1964) . Experimental soil microbiology, Burgess pud. Co., Minnesota, U.S.A.
- Patil A.A. and Bojappa K.M. (1984) . Effect of cultivars and graded levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on certain quality attributes of tomato . II. Sugars, dry matter content and juice percentage. *Mysore J. of Agric. Sci.*, 18 (4) . 292-295 .
- Piper C. S. (1950) . Soil and Plant Analysis . Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York .
- Rovira A. D. (1963). Microbial inoculation of plants.I . Establishment of free living nitrogen . Lixing bacteria in the rhizosphere and their effect on maize, tomato and wheat. *Plant Soil* 19:304-314.
- Shahien A. H. , Esmail S.A. and Agwah E. M. R. (1994) . Effect of nitrogen and potassium rates on tomato growth, yield and uptake of some macro nutrients . *Minia J. Agric. Res. & Dev.*, 16 No. 4 (1114-1128) .
- Shibhila Mary S. and Balakrishnan R. (1990) . Studies on the effect of irrigation and potassium on growth and yield of chilli . *Indian J. of Hort.* 47(4) 413-416 . (C. F. Soil and Fertilizers, 2648, 55 (1)1992) .
- Snedecor G.W. (1966) .Statistical methods . The Iowa State Univ. Press Ame. Iowa, U.S.A., 5 th ed. 534 p.
- Wilcox G. E. (1964). Effect of potassium on tomato growth and production . *Proc. Hort. Sci.*, 85:484-489.

استجابة الطماطم للتسميد الحيوي و المعدني تحت ظروف الاراض الجيرية

حسنة احمد فؤاد محمود - *ميرفت احمد طاهر أماره

قسم الإنتاج النباتي - *قسم خصوبة و ميكروبيولوجيا الأراضي
مركز بحوث الصحراء

ملخص

تم إجراء هذه التجربة الحقلية خلال موسمي 1996 - 1997 ، 1997 - 1998 بمحطة بحوث مريوط (مركز بحوث الصحراء) محافظة الإسكندرية ، لدراسة تأثير التسميد الحيوي او المعدني (ن ، فو ، بو) او تأثيرهما معا على صفات الطماطم المختلفة و النشاط الميكروبي . و كانت المعاملات من أنواع مختلفة من *Bacillus and Pseudomonas* ومستويات من (N, P, K) التي تم اضافتها في صورة كبريتات أمونيوم + سوبر فوسفات + كبريتات بوتاسيوم ، 100% (200+300+400) كجم / فدان ، 75 % ، 50% ، 25% ، صفر % من المعدل الموصى به .

و قد زادت صفات النمو معنويا كنتيجة لاستخدام التسميد الحيوي و المعدني بالمقارنة بالكنترول . و كانت أفضل المعاملات البكتيرية هي استخدام *B₄* (*Bacillus subtilis*) ، *B₂* (*Bacillus megatherium*) مع 50% (ن ، فو ، بو) من الموصى به (100%) حيث أدى إلى زيادة صفات النمو ، و محصول الثمار ، نسبة المواد الصلبة الذائبة ، فيتامين ج بالإضافة إلى محتوى العناصر (N, P, K) .

كما أدى إضافة النيتروجين ، الفوسفور و البوتاسيوم فقط أو مع التسميد الحيوي إلى زيادة المنطلق .

أدت زيادة معدلات التسميد المعدني الي زيادة معنوية في صفات النمو، محصول الثمار ، جودة الثمار و محتوى العناصر عند المقارنة بمعاملة الكنترول .

وبذلك أمكن تقليل تكاليف التسميد الكيماوي وتقليل التلوث الناتج منه بتقليل الكميات المضافة عن المعدلات الموصى بها إلى النصف لأنه أعطى نتائج معنوية مثل المعدلات المرتفعة الموصى بها .

المجلة العلمية لكلية الزراعة - جامعة القاهرة - المجلد (51) العدد الثاني

إبريل (2000):151-174