http://bjas.bu.edu.eg

New Oscillation Criteria for Second-Order Neutral Delay Dynamic Equations with a Nonpositive Neutral Term on Time Scales

A. A. Soliman, A. M. Hassan and S. E. Affan

Mathematics Dept., Faculty of Science, Benha Univ., Benha, Egypt E-mail: samy.affan@fsc.bu.edu.eg

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the oscillatory behavior of solutions of a class of second order nonlinear neutral delay dynamic equations with nonpositive coefficient

 $[r(t)(z^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha}]^{\Delta} + q(t)x^{\beta}(\delta(t)) = 0,$

where $\alpha \ge \beta$ are ratios of odd positive integers and $z(t) := x(t) - p(t)x(\tau(t))$. The obtained result not only new but also answered the question that introduced by Li [12].

Keywords: Second order, Nonlinear dynamic equations, Oscillation, Riccati transformation.

1. Introduction

Differential, difference equations, and dynamic equations on time scales have an enormous potential for applications in biology, engineering, economics, physics, neural networks, social sciences, etc. Recently, significant attention has been devoted to the oscillation theory of various classes of equations; see, e.g., [1-19]. The aim of this work is to study the oscillation of a class of second-order nonlinear delay dynamic equations

 $[r(t)(z^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha}]^{\Delta} + q(t)x^{\beta}(\delta(t)) = 0, \qquad (1.1)$ where $\alpha \ge \beta$ are ratios of odd positive integers and $z(t) := x(t) - p(t)x(\tau(t)).$

Under the following assumptions

(*I*1) $r \in C_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, (0, \infty)).$

(12) $p, q \in C_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{R}), 0 \le p(t) \le p_0 \le 1,$

 $q(t) \ge 0$ and q(t) is not identically zero for large t.

(I3)
$$\tau, \delta \in C_{rd}([t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}, \mathbb{T}), \delta^{\Delta} \geq 0, \ \tau(t) \leq t,$$

$$\delta(t) \le t$$
 and $\lim_{t \to \infty} \tau(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \delta(t) = \infty$.

(14)
$$h(t) = \tau^{-1}(\delta(t)).$$

By a solution of (1.1), we mean a function $x \in C_{rd}[T_x,\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, $T_x \in [t_0,\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ which has the property $r(z^{\Delta})^{\alpha} \in C^1_{rd}[T_x,\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$ and satisfies (1.1) on $[T_x,\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$. We consider only those solutions x of (1.1) which satisfy $\sup |x(t)| : t \in [T_x,\infty)_{\mathbb{T}} > 0$ for all $T \in [T_x,\infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$.

A solution of (1.1) is called oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative; otherwise, it is termed nonoscillatory.

In previous years, many papers studied the oscillatory behavior for different classes of dynamic equations on time scale. Note that if $\alpha = \beta$ then (1.1) called half-linear, and called sub-half-linear when $\alpha > \beta$.

many studies have been devoted to the oscillatory behavior of solutions to different classes of equations with nonnegative neutral coefficients; see, e.g [1, 2, 16, 17] and the references cited therein. However, for equations with nonpositive neutral coefficients, there are relatively fewer results in the literature; see [3, 4, 11-15, 19]

For instance, Ming et al. [18] investigate oscillatory behavior of solutions to a class of second-order nonlinear

neutral delay dynamic equations with nonpositive neutral coefficients of the form

print: ISSN 2356-9751

online: ISSN 2356-976x

$$\left[r(t)\left(z^{\Delta}(t)\right)^{\alpha}\right]^{\Delta}+q(t)f\left(x\left(\delta(t)\right)\right)=0, \quad t\in [t_0,\infty)_{\mathrm{T}}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\alpha \ge 1$ is a ratio of odd integers and $z(t) = x(t) - p(t)x(\tau(t))$. with $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} r^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s)\Delta s = \infty$, and present new oscillation criteria for

 $[r(t)(z'(t))^{\alpha}]' + q(t)f(x(\delta(t))) = 0, \quad t \ge t_0,$ under the assumption

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} r^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}(s) \mathrm{d}s < \infty,$$

for T = Z, in [?] Seghar *et al.* . discussed the difference equation

$$\Delta(a_n \Delta(x_n - p_n x_{n-k})) + q_n f(x_{n-l}) = 0, \quad n \ge n_0,$$
(1.3)

where $0 \le p_n \le p < 1, q_n > 0$, and k, l are positive integers, and they obtained several oscillation criteria for (1.4) assuming that $\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_n} < \infty$. Grace *et al.* [9] present some new oscillation criteria for second order nonlinear difference equations with a nonlinear nonpositive neutral term of the form

$$\Delta \left(a(t) \left(\Delta \left(x(t) - p(t)x(t-k) \right) \right)^{\alpha} \right) + q(t)x^{\beta}(t+1-m) = 0,$$
(1.4)

where α , β are ratio of positive odd integers, also in [7] Grace investigate the oscillation criteria of

$$\Delta \left(a(t) \left(\left(x(t) - p(t) x \left(\sigma(t) \right) \right) \right)^{\alpha} \right) + q(t) x^{\beta} (\tau(t)) = 0,$$
(1.5)

where α , β are ratio of positive odd integers $\alpha \geq \beta$.

More exactly existing literature does not provide any criteria which ensure oscillation of all solutions of (1.1). In view of the above motivation. Our aim here is to present sufficient conditions which ensure that all solutions of (1.1) are oscillatory and unify the study that presented in [7, 9].

We consider the following
$$R(v,u) = \int_{u}^{v} \frac{1}{r^{1/\alpha}(s)} \Delta s, \quad v \ge u \ge t_0.$$

$$R(t, t_0) \to \infty \quad as \quad t \to \infty.$$
 (1.6)

The following improper integral plays an important role

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} q(s)\Delta s. \tag{1.7}$$

In the convergent case we define
$$Q(t) = \int_{t}^{\infty} q(s)\Delta s, \quad t \ge t_{0}. \tag{1.8}$$

2. Auxiliary results

Theorem 2.1. Assume that $v: \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is strictly increasing and $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}} := v(\mathbb{T})$ is a time scale. Let $w : \widetilde{\mathbb{T}} \to \mathbb{R}$. If $v^{\Delta}(t)$ and $w^{\tilde{\Delta}}(v(t))$ exist for $t \in \mathbb{T}^{\kappa}$, then

$$(w \circ v)^{\Delta} = (w^{\widetilde{\Delta}} \circ v)v^{\Delta}.$$

Where $\widetilde{\Delta}$ denotes to the derivative on $\widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$. [5].

Lemma 2.1 Let x be a positive solution of (1.1). Then we have the following two cases:

(I)
$$z(t) > 0$$
, $z^{\Delta}(t) > 0$, $(r(t)(z^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha})^{\Delta} \le 0$, (II) $z(t) < 0$, $z^{\Delta}(t) > 0$, $(r(t)(z^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha})^{\Delta} \le 0$, for $t \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, where $t_1 \in [t_0, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$, is sufficiently large.

3. Main Results

For simplicity, we consider that

$$K(t) = \begin{cases} M, & \alpha = \beta, \\ \frac{\beta - \alpha}{MR^{\frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha}}(t, t_2)}, & \alpha > \beta, \end{cases} \text{ for some } M > 0.$$

Theorem 3.1 Assume that (1.6) holds and $\delta^{\Delta}(t) > 0$. If $\limsup_{t\to\infty} \left[\varphi(t)Q(t) + \int_{t_2}^t (q(s)\varphi(s) - \frac{\alpha^{\alpha}\beta^{-\alpha}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{r(\delta(s))(\varphi^{\Delta}(s))^{\alpha+1}}{(\delta^{\Delta}(s))^{\alpha}\varphi^{\alpha}(s)K^{\alpha}(\delta(\sigma(s)))}) \Delta s \right] = \infty,$

$$\frac{\alpha^{\alpha}\beta^{-\alpha}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{r(\delta(s))(\varphi^{\Delta}(s))^{\alpha+1}}{(\delta^{\Delta}(s))^{\alpha}\varphi^{\alpha}(s)K^{\alpha}(\delta(\sigma(s)))}) \Delta s \Big] = \infty, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \left[r^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}(h(t)) \int_{h(t)}^{t} R(h(t), h(s)) \frac{q(s)}{p^{\beta}(h(s))} \Delta s \right] > 1,$$
(3.2)

for some M > 0, then (1.1) oscillatory.

Proof. Assume that x is a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1)such that x(t) > 0, $x(\tau(t)) > 0$ and $x(\delta(t)) > 0$, for $t \in$

From lemma 2.1 we have that z(t) satisfies either (I) or (II) for $t \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$.

Case 1. First we suppose that z(t) satisfies (I). From definition of z(t),

$$x(t) = z(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)) \ge z(t), (3.3)$$

also, from (1.1) we can write

$$[r(t)(z^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha}]^{\Delta} \le -q(t)z^{\beta}(\delta(t)). \tag{3.4}$$

Defining the Riccati Transformation

$$\omega(t) = \varphi(t) \frac{r(t)(z^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha}}{z^{\beta}(\delta(t))}.$$
 (3.5)

It is clear that $\omega(t) > 0$ and

$$\omega^{\Delta}(t) = [r(t)(z^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha}]^{\Delta} \frac{\varphi(t)}{z^{\beta}(\delta(t))} + r(\sigma(t))(z^{\Delta}(\sigma(t)))^{\alpha} \left(\frac{\varphi(t)}{z^{\beta}(\delta(t))}\right)^{\Delta}, \tag{3.6}$$

Applying the Pötzsche chain rule and Theorem 2.1, we get

Apprying the Folzsche chain rule and Theorem 2.1, we get
$$\left(z^{\beta}(\delta(t))\right)^{\Delta} = \beta \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} [z(\delta(t))]^{\Delta}]^{\beta-1} dh \right\} z^{\Delta}(\delta(t)) \delta^{\Delta}(t)$$

$$= \beta \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} [(1-h)z(\delta(t))]^{\beta-1} dh \right\} z^{\Delta}(\delta(t)) \delta^{\Delta}(t)$$

$$+ h\mu z(\delta^{\sigma}(t))]^{\beta-1} dh \right\} z^{\Delta}(\delta(t)) \delta^{\Delta}(t)$$

$$\geq \beta z^{\beta-1} (\delta^{\sigma}(t)) z^{\Delta}(\delta(t)) \delta^{\Delta}(t) .$$

This with (3.6) and taking into account the conditions $z^{\Delta}(t) > 0$, $\delta^{\Delta}(t) \geq 0$, leads to

$$\omega^{\Delta}(t) \leq q(t)\varphi(t) + \frac{\varphi^{\Delta}(t)}{\varphi(\sigma(t))}\omega(\sigma(t))$$
$$-\beta\delta^{\Delta}(t)\varphi(t)\frac{r(\sigma(t))(z^{\Delta}(\sigma(t)))^{\alpha}}{z^{\beta}(\delta(\sigma(t)))}\frac{z^{\Delta}(\delta(t))}{z(\delta(\sigma(t)))}. \quad (3.7)$$

From definition of $\omega(t)$, we get that

From definition of
$$\omega(t)$$
, we get that
$$\omega^{\Delta}(t) \leq -q(t)\varphi(t) + \frac{\varphi^{\Delta}(t)}{\varphi(\sigma(t))}\omega(\sigma(t))$$

$$-\beta\delta^{\Delta}(t) \frac{\varphi(t)z^{\Delta}(\delta(t))}{\varphi(\sigma(t))z(\delta(\sigma(t)))}\omega(\sigma(t)). \tag{3.8}$$
From definition of $\omega(t)$ and since $[r(t)(z^{\Delta})^{\alpha}]$ non-

From definition of $\omega(t)$ and since $[r(t)(z^{\Delta})^{\alpha}]$ nonincreasing, we get that

$$z^{\Delta}(\delta(t)) \ge \frac{\frac{\beta}{z^{\alpha}(\delta(\sigma(t)))}}{\frac{1}{r^{\alpha}(\delta(t))\varphi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\sigma(t))}} \omega^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\sigma(t)). \tag{3.9}$$

From (3.8) and (3.9), we conclude that

$$\omega^{\Delta}(t) \leq -q(t)\varphi(t) + \frac{\varphi^{\Delta}(t)}{\varphi(\sigma(t))}\omega(\sigma(t))$$
$$-\beta\delta^{\Delta}(t)\varphi(t) \frac{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{z-\alpha}(\delta(\sigma(t)))}{\varphi(\sigma(t))r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\delta(t))}\omega^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(\sigma(t)).$$
(3.10)

Since $[r(t)(z^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha}]$ Non-increasing, so there exist C > 0 such that $r(t)(z^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha} < C$ for some $t \ge t_2$, which leads to

$$z^{\Delta}(t) < C^{\frac{1}{\alpha}r^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}}}(t). \tag{3.11}$$

By Integrating (3.11) from t to t_2 , we get

$$z(t) < C^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}R(t, t_2). \tag{3.12}$$

This leads to, $z^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha}}(\delta(\sigma(t)))=1$ for $\beta=\alpha$.

Also for $\beta < \alpha$

$$z^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha}} \Big(\delta \big(\sigma(t) \big) \Big) > MR^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha}} \Big(\delta \big(\sigma(t) \big), t_2 \Big),$$

$$for some \ M > 0. \quad (3.13)$$

Combining (3.10) and (3.13), we get

$$\begin{split} \omega^{\Delta}(t) &\leq -q(t)\varphi(t) + \frac{\varphi^{\Delta}(t)}{\varphi(\sigma(t))}\omega(\sigma(t)) \\ &-\beta M \delta^{\Delta}(t)\varphi(t) \frac{R^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha}}(\delta(\sigma(t)),t_2)}{\varphi^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(\sigma(t))r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\delta(t))} \omega^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(\sigma(t)), \end{split}$$

$$\omega^{\Delta}(t) \leq -q(t)\varphi(t) + \frac{\varphi^{\Delta}(t)}{\varphi(\sigma(t))}\omega(\sigma(t))$$
$$-\beta\delta^{\Delta}(t)\varphi(t) \frac{K(\delta(\sigma(t)))}{\varphi^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(\sigma(t))r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\delta(t))}\omega^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(\sigma(t)).$$
(3.14)

Employing the inequa

$$B\omega - A\omega^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}} \le \frac{\alpha^{\alpha}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{B^{\alpha+1}}{A^{\alpha}}$$

Employing the inequality
$$B\omega - A\omega^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}} \leq \frac{\alpha^{\alpha}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{B^{\alpha+1}}{A^{\alpha}},$$
 with $B = \frac{\varphi^{\Delta}(t)}{\varphi(\sigma(t))}$, $A = \beta \delta^{\Delta}(t) \varphi(t) \frac{K(\delta(\sigma(t)))}{\varphi^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(\sigma(t))r^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(\delta(t))}$

we get that

$$\omega^{\Delta}(t) \leq -q(t)\varphi(t) + \frac{\alpha^{\alpha}\beta^{-\alpha}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{r(\delta(t))(\varphi^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha+1}}{(\delta^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha}\varphi^{\alpha}(t)K^{\alpha}(\delta(\sigma(t)))}. \tag{3.15}$$

By integrating (3.15) from t_2 to t

$$\omega(t) \leq \omega(t_2) - \int_{t_2}^{t} (q(t)\varphi(t)) - \frac{\alpha^{\alpha}\beta^{-\alpha}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{r(\delta(t))(\varphi^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha+1}}{(\delta^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha}\varphi^{\alpha}(t)K^{\alpha}(\delta(\sigma(t)))}) \Delta s.$$
(3.16)

On the other hand, we can get from (3.14)

$$\omega^{\Delta}(t) \le -q(t)\varphi(t) + \frac{\varphi^{\Delta}(t)}{\varphi(\sigma(t))}\omega(\sigma(t)),$$
 (3.17)

which equivalent to
$$\left(\frac{\omega(t)}{\varphi(t)}\right)^{\Delta} \le -q(t). \tag{3.18}$$

By integrating (3.18) from t to u, we get

$$\frac{\omega(u)}{\varphi(u)} \le \frac{\omega(t)}{\varphi(t)} - \int_{t}^{u} q(t) \Delta s. \tag{3.19}$$

By letting $u \to \infty$, we get

$$\omega(t) \ge \varphi(t)Q(t). \tag{3.20}$$

From (3.16) and (3.20)

$$\varphi(t)Q(t) + \int_{t_{2}}^{t} (q(t)\varphi(t)) - \frac{\alpha^{\alpha}\beta^{-\alpha}}{(\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1}} \frac{r(\delta(t))(\varphi^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha+1}}{(\delta^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha}\varphi^{\alpha}(t)K^{\alpha}(\delta(\sigma(t)))})^{\Delta s} \leq \omega(t_{2}),$$
(3.21)

which contradiction with (3.1).

Case 2. Now, we suppose that z(t) satisfies (II). If we put y = -z, which with (1.1) leads to

$$[r(t)(y^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha}]^{\Delta} \ge q(t)x^{\beta}(\delta(t)). \tag{3.22}$$

By using $y(t) \le p(t)x(\tau(t))$, we get

$$y(h(t)) \le p(h(t))x(\delta(t)). \tag{3.23}$$

This with (3.22) leads to

$$[r(t)(y^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha}]^{\Delta} \ge \frac{q(t)y^{\beta}(h(t))}{p^{\beta}(h(t))}.$$
 (3.24)

Also for
$$t_2 \le u \le v$$
, we can write
$$y(u) - y(v) = \int_u^v \frac{1}{r^{1/\alpha(s)}} (-r(s)(y^{\Delta}(s))^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha} \Delta s, \quad (3.25)$$

$$y(u) \ge R(v, u)(-r(v)(y^{\Delta}(v))^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha}.$$
 (3.26)

Setting
$$u = h(s)$$
 and $v = h(t)$, we get $y(h(s)) \ge R(h(t), h(s))(-r(h(t))(y^{\Delta}(h(t)))^{\alpha})^{1/\alpha}$. (3.27)

By Integrating (3.24) from h(t) to t in view of (3.27), we get

$$-r(h(t))y^{\Delta}(h(t))$$

$$\geq -r^{1/\alpha}(h(t))y^{\Delta}(h(t))\int_{h(t)}^{t} \frac{q(s)}{p^{\beta}(h(s))}R(h(t),h(s))\Delta s,$$
(3.28)

which leads to

$$1 \ge r^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}(h(t)) \int_{h(t)}^{t} \frac{q(s)}{p^{\beta}(h(s))} R(h(t), h(s)) \Delta s, \tag{3.29}$$

which contradiction with (3.2). Note that $y^{\Delta}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \to \infty$ is used when $\alpha > \beta$.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that (1.6) holds and $\delta^{\Delta}(t) > 0$. If

$$\underset{t \to \infty}{\operatorname{Liminf}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{Q(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \delta^{\Delta}(s) r^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}} (\delta(s)) \\ R^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha}} (\delta(\sigma(s)), t_{2}) Q^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}} (\sigma(s)) \Delta s. \end{pmatrix} \\
> \frac{\alpha}{\beta M(\alpha+1)^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha'}} \tag{3.30}$$

and (3.2) for some M > 0, then (1.1) oscillatory.

Proof. Let x be a nonoscillatory solution of (1.1) such that x(t) > 0, $x(\tau(t)) > 0$ and $x(\delta(t)) > 0$, for $t \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$.

From lemma 2.1 we have that z(t) satisfies either (I) or (II) for $t \in [t_1, \infty)_{\mathbb{T}}$.

Case 1. First we suppose that z(t) satisfies (I). From definition of z(t),

$$x(t) = z(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)) \ge z(t),$$
 (3.31)

also, from (1.1) we can write

$$[r(t)(z^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha}]^{\Delta} \le -q(t)z^{\beta}(\delta(t)). \tag{3.32}$$

Defining the Riccati Transformation

$$v(t) = \frac{r(t)(z^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha}}{z^{\beta}(\delta(t))}.$$
 (3.33)

It is clear that
$$v(t) > 0$$
 and
$$v^{\Delta}(t) = \frac{[r(t)(z^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha}]^{\Delta}}{z^{\beta}(\delta(t))} + r(\sigma(t))(z^{\Delta}(\sigma(t)))^{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{z^{\beta}(\delta(t))}\right)^{\Delta}.$$
(3.34)

Applying the Pötzsche chain rule and Theorem 2.1, we

$$\begin{split} \left(z^{\beta}(\delta(t))\right)^{\Delta} &= \beta \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} \left[z(\delta(t)) \right. \\ &+ h \mu \left[z(\delta(t))\right]^{\Delta}\right]^{\beta-1} dh \right\} z^{\Delta}(\delta(t)) \delta^{\Delta}(t) \\ &= \beta \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} \left[(1-h)z(\delta(t)) \right. \\ &+ h \mu z(\delta^{\sigma}(t))\right]^{\beta-1} dh \right\} z^{\Delta}(\delta(t)) \delta^{\Delta}(t) \\ &\geq \beta z^{\beta-1} (\delta^{\sigma}(t)) z^{\Delta}(\delta(t)) \delta^{\Delta}(t). \end{split}$$
 This with (3.34), leads to

$$v^{\Delta}(t) \le -q(t) - \beta \delta^{\Delta}(t) \frac{z^{\Delta}(\delta(t))}{z(\delta(\sigma(t)))} v(\sigma(t))$$
(3.35)

From definition of v(t) and since $[r(t)(z^{\Delta})^{\alpha}]$ nonincreasing, we get that

$$\frac{z^{\Delta}(\delta(t))}{\frac{\beta}{z^{\overline{\alpha}}(\delta(\sigma(t)))}} \ge \frac{v^{\overline{\alpha}}(\sigma(t))}{r^{\overline{\alpha}}(\delta(t))}.$$
(3.36)

From (3.35) and (3.36), we conclude that
$$v^{\Delta}(t) \leq -q(t) \\ -\beta \delta^{\Delta}(t) r^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}}(\delta(t)) z^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha}}(\delta(\sigma(t))) v^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(\sigma(t)).$$
 (3.37)

Since $[r(t)(z^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha}]$ Non-increasing, so there exist C > 0, such that $r(t)(z^{\Delta}(t))^{\alpha} < C$ for some $t \ge t_2$, which

$$z^{\Delta}(t) < C^{\frac{1}{\alpha}r^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}}}(t). \tag{3.38}$$

By Integrating (3.38) from t to t_2 , we get

$$z(t) < C^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}R(t, t_2). \tag{3.39}$$

 $z(t) < C^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}R(t, t_2).$ This leads to, $z^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha}}(\delta(\sigma(t))) = 1$ for $\beta = \alpha$.

Also for $\beta < \alpha$

$$z^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha}}\Big(\delta\big(\sigma(t)\big)\Big) > MR^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha}}\Big(\delta\big(\sigma(t)\big), t_2\Big),$$
 for some $M > 0$. (3.40)

Combining (3.37) and (3.40), we get $v^{\Delta}(t) \leq -q(t)$

$$-\beta M \delta^{\Delta}(t) r^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}}(\delta(t)) R^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha}}(\delta(\sigma(t)), t_2) v^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(\sigma(t)). \tag{3.41}$$

By integrating (3.41) from t to u, we get that

$$v(u) - v(t) \le -\int_{t}^{u} q(s)\Delta s$$

$$-\beta M \int_{t}^{u} \delta^{\Delta}(s) r^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}} (\delta(s)) R^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha}} (\delta(\sigma(s)), t_{2}) v^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}} (\sigma(s)) \Delta s.$$
(3.42)

Letting $u \to \infty$, we obtain

$$+\beta M \int_{t}^{\infty} \delta^{\Delta}(s) r^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}}(\delta(s)) R^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha}}(\delta(\sigma(s)), t_{2}) v^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(\sigma(s)) \Delta s.$$
(3.43)

$$\frac{v(t)}{Q(t)} \ge 1 + \beta M \frac{1}{Q(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \delta^{\Delta}(s) r^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}} (\delta(s)) R^{\frac{\beta - \alpha}{\alpha}} (\delta(\sigma(s)), t_{2})$$

$$Q^{\frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha}} (\sigma(s)) \left(\frac{v(\sigma(s))}{Q(\sigma(s))} \right)^{\frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha}} \Delta s. \quad (3.44)$$

Let $\lambda = \inf_{t \in [t_1, \infty)} (\frac{v(t)}{Q(t)})$. Then it is easy to see that $\lambda \ge 1$ and $\lambda \ge 1 + \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}} \lambda^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}$. Then by the simple calculation we get

$$\frac{\alpha}{\beta M(\alpha+1)^{(\alpha+1)/\alpha}}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{Q(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \delta^{\Delta}(s) r^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}}(\delta(s)) R^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\alpha}}(\delta(\sigma(s)), t_{2}) Q^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}}(\sigma(s)) \Delta s,$$

which contradiction with the possible value of λ and α .

Case 2. Now, we consider that z(t) satisfies (II). We can get a contradiction to (3.2), the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2. The proof is complete.

Example 3.1 Assume $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$. Consider the second order neutral delay differential equation

Where
$$\alpha = \beta = 1$$
, $p(t) = \frac{1}{2}$, $q(t) = 8$, $\tau(t) = t - \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\delta(t) = t - \pi$ and $h(t) = t - \frac{\pi}{2}$. Choose $M = 1 > 0$. Then, condition (3.1) becomes

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \left[\varphi(t)Q(t) + \int_{t_2}^t (8\varphi(s) - \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\varphi'(s))^2}{\varphi(s)}) ds \right] = \infty,$$

and condition (3.2) becomes
$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \left[4\int_{t-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{t} (t-s)ds\right] = \frac{\pi^2}{2} > 1.$$
 So it is Clearly that (3.45) satisfied all conditions of

Theorem 3.1, then equation (3.45) is oscillatory.

Remark 3.1 *Theorem 3.1. of [18] can be applied to (3.45)* which yields that every solution of equation (3.45) is almost oscillatory. However Theorem 3.1 implies that every solution of (3.45) is oscillatory.

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, M. Bohner, T. Li, and C. Zhang. Oscillation criteria for second-order dynamic equations on time scales. Applied Mathematics Letters, Vol. 31, PP.34-40, 2014.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, and S. H. Saker. Oscillation criteria for secondorder nonlinear neutral delay dynamic equations. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Vol. 300(1), PP.203-217, 2004.
- R. Arul and V. S. Shobha. Improvement results for oscillatory behavior of second order neutral di_erential equations with nonpositive neutral term. Journal of Advances in Mathe-matics and Computer Science, PP.1-7, 2016.
- [4] M. Bohner and T. Li. Oscillation of second-order plaplace dynamic equations with a nonpositive neutral coefficient. Applied Mathematics Letters, Vol 37, PP.72-76, 2014.
- [5] M. Bohner and A. Peterson. Dynamic equations on time scales: An introduction with applications. Springer Science & Business Media, 2001.
- [6] M. Bohner and A. C. Peterson. Advances in dynamic equations on time scales. Springer Science & Business Media, 2002.
- [7] S. R. Grace. Oscillatory behavior of second-order nonlinear di_erential equations with a nonpositive neutral term. Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 14(6), P.229, 2017.
- [8] S. R. Grace and J. R. Graef. Oscillatory behavior of second order nonlinear di erence equations with a nonlinear nonpositive neutral term. Miskolc Mathematical Notes, Vol. 20(2), PP.899-910, 2019.
- [9] S. R. Grace, S. Sun, L. Feng, and Y. Sui. Oscillatory behavior of second order nonlinear difference equations with a non-positive neutral term.
- [10] S. R. Grace, I. Jadlovska, and A. Zafer. Oscillatory behavior of n-th order nonlinear delay di_erential equations with a nonpositive neutral term. Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, PP. 1-11.
- [11] B. Karpuz. Sufficient conditions for the oscillation and asymptotic beaviour of higherorder dynamic equations neutral type. Applied Mathematics Computation, Vol. 221, PP.453-462, 2013.
- [12] Q. Li, R. Wang, F. Chen, and T. Li. Oscillation of second-order nonlinear delay differential equations with nonpositive neutral coefficients. Advances in

- Di_erence Equations, Vol. 2015(1), P.35, 2015.
- [13] E. Thandapani, V. Balasubramanian, and J. R. Graef. Oscillation criteria for second order neutral di_erence equations with negative neutral term. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 87(2), PP.283-292, 2013.
- [14] E. Thandapani and K. Mahalingam. Necessary and su_cient conditions for oscillation of second order neutral di_erence equations. Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 34(2), PP.137-146, 2003.
- [15] E. Thandapani, Devarajulu Seghar, and Sandra Pinelas. Oscillation theorems for second order difference equations woth negative neutral term. Tamkang Journal of Math-ematics, Vol. 46(4), PP.441-451, 2015.
- [16] C. Zhang, R. P. Agarwal, M. Bohner, and T. Li. Oscillation of secondorder nonlinear neutral dynamic equations with noncanonical operators. Bulletin of the

- Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society, Vol. 38(2), PP.761-778, 2015.
- [17] C. Zhang and T. Li. Some oscillation results for second-order nonlinear delay dynamic equations. Applied Mathematics Letters, Vol. 26(12), PP. 1114-1119, 2013.
- [18] M. Zhang, W. Chen, M. M. A. El-Sheikh, R. A. Sallam, A. M. Hassan, and T. Li.Oscillation criteria for secondorder nonlinear delay dynamic equations of neutral type. Advances in Di_erence Equations, Vol. 2018(1), PP. 1-9, 2018.
- [19] M. Zhang, W. Chen, M. M. A. El-Sheikh, R. A. Sallam, A. M. Hassan, and T. Li. New oscillation criteria for second-order nonlinear delay dynamic equations with nonpositive neutral coe_cients on time scales. Journal of Computational Analysis & Applications, Vol. 27(1), 2019.