

POLYPHENOLS, TANNINS AND PHYTATE CONTENTS IN SOME EGYPTIAN LEGUMES AS AFFECTED BY SOAKING AND GERMINATION PROCESSES

Mohamed Abdel-Hamid Sorour¹, Hussien Abd El-Galel², Abul-Hamd E. Mehanni¹, Walaa Kobeisy Ahmed¹

¹Food Science and Nutrition Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Sohag University, Egypt

²Food Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Minia University, Egypt

ABSTRACT

Keywords:

Legumes,
Soaking,
Germination,
Total phenolic,
Tannins,
Phytic acid .

Nutritional value of pulses is a widely accepted but the presence of antinutritional factors in its composition imposes a restriction in its consumption. Soaking and germination process on the removal or reducing of (total phenolic, tannins and phytic acid) of commonly consumed legumes in Egypt were studied. Four legumes namely faba bean (*Vicia Faba*) Giza 843, chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) Giza 1, cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) krymy 7 and soybean (*Glycine max*) Giza 111, were investigated. Soaking for 12 hours and germination for different time periods (24, 48 and 72 h) contributed significantly reducing in the total phenolic compounds, tannins and phytic acid content of legumes. Phenolics compounds content of raw legumes were, 370.9, 132.5, 763.4 and 249.4 mg/100g, while, tannin contents were, 684.5, 488.1, 390.9 and 225.5 mg/100g, and phytic acid content was, 1050.6, 719.2, 987.2 and 1076.2 mg/100g on dry weight basis in faba bean, chickpea, cowpea and soybean, respectively. Soaking for 12 hours significantly decreased the concentration of total phenolics, tannins and phytic acid contents of the investigated legumes by 4.0-22.7, 7.1-26.5 and 7.0-15%, respectively. Germination process for 72 hours reduced total phenolics, tannins and phytic acid contents of studied legumes by 21.4 - 56.9, 23.9-64.8 and 54.6-65.0%, respectively. From the obtained results it could be observed that the removal extent of antinutritional factors was increased with the progress of germination periods in all studied legumes.

INTRODUCTION

Legumes are one of the most important sources of food in the world. Its plays an important role in human nutrition. Which are consumed in large quantities in Middle East countries, and contribute a lot for diet and also a

major source of important nutrients for many people in developing countries (Negi *et al.*, 2001 and Kamchan *et al.*, 2004). Seed of legumes provide one-fifth of all plant proteins consumed by human on a global

basis. Legumes also are a cheap and valuable potential source of good-quality protein, in particular for poor people and vegetarian of the Egyptian population. Legumes also serve as an economical source of supplementary proteins for a large human population in developing countries. In addition to their nutritional value, it has long been recognized that legumes are functional foods that both promote good health and have therapeutic properties, Legumes have been shown to have low glycemic indexes for people with diabetes (**Chillo *et al.*, 2008**), breast cancer prevention (**Adebamowo *et al.*, 2005**), cancer prevention and health benefits with respect to cardiovascular disease due to their dietary fiber content (**Goni and Valentin-Gamazo, 2003**) and bone health (**Alekel *et al.*, 2000**) . In Egypt, legumes such as faba bean, soybean, chickpea and cowpea are consumed widely because of their nutritional quality (**Mittal *et al.*, 2012**) . These legumes are also inexpensive and rich sources of complex carbohydrates, protein, dietary fibers, vitamins and some minerals including trace elements (**Ibrahim *et al.*, 2002** and **Costa *et al.*, 2006**). However, this legumes contain significant amounts of bioactive compounds with toxic and/or antinutritional properties that can alter the body

metabolism of consumers and exert a negative impact on the nutritional quality (**Dragičević *et al.*, 2010**). This compound lead to malnutrition situations, afflicting human populations mainly in the developing countries (**Kumari *et al.*, 2014**). The major group of antinutritional factors present in legumes are trypsin inhibitors, phytic acid, tannin, phenols, etc which may have adverse effects for human nutrition. Legumes contain a variety of antinutritional factors which directly or indirectly interfere with their nutritional quality (**Martiń-Cabrejas *et al.*, 2009** and **Kumar *et al.*, 2010**). The presence of polyphenols produces a sensation of astringency. This is thought to arise from the precipitation of oral proteins and mucopolysaccharides. Polyphenols in the digestive tract display many detrimental effects, including the inhibition of iron absorption, esophageal cancer (**Baxter *et al.*, 1997**) and irreversible complexation of gut enzymes and dietary proteins (**Robbins *et al.*, 1991**). Tannins are polyphenolic substances with various molecular weights and a variable complexity, these are chemically not well-defined substances but rather a group of substances with ability to bind protein in aqueous solution (**Makkar, 2003**). Phytic acid also forms very stable complexes with minerals, thereby

rendering them unavailable for intestinal uptake or metabolism. Phytate chelates various metal ions, preventing their absorption. Phytic acid or phytate is the principal storage form of phosphorus in plant tissues (**Kumar *et al.*, 2010**). It can also bind with starch directly by hydrogen bonding with a phosphate group and indirectly through the proteins. The hydrolysis of phytate occurs as a result of phytase or non enzymatic cleavage. Enzymes capable of hydrolyzing phytate are widely distributed in micro organisms, plants and animals (**Urbano *et al.*, 2000**).

It was widely accepted that simple and inexpensive processing techniques such as soaking and germination were effective methods for reducing the levels of the antinutritional

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials : The seeds of faba bean (*Vicia Faba*) Giza 843, chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) Giza 1, cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) Krymy 7, soybean (*Glycine max*) Giza 111. Were obtained from Agronomy Research institute (Shandaweel Agricultural Research Center, Sohag- Egypt) during the season of 2014.

Chemicals: All chemicals used in this study were purchased from Pio Chem Company and Sigma – Aldrich.

compounds in several legume seeds, which was essential to improve the nutritional quality of legumes. Nutritional quality of legumes can be enhanced by processing technologies should help to transform raw grains into useful products with maximum nutritional value to ensure nutrient security of population for developing countries. The improving of the nutritive value of legumes, preparation techniques have been developed to significantly raise the bioavailability of nutrients (**Fasuyi, 2005; Fernandez-Orozco *et al.*, 2009**). The purpose of this study was an attempt to evaluate the effect of soaking and germination on polyphenols, tannins and phytate content of some common Egyptian legumes.

Preparation of samples:

Raw seeds: Whole dry legumes manually cleaned from broken seeds, dust and other foreign materials and ground in a laboratory grinder (Braun grinder, ZK 500) to obtain fine flour. The ground samples were kept at 4°C until analysis.

Soaking: Sample of clean seeds were soaked in distilled water for 12 hours at room temperature (water was changed every 6 h). A seeds / water ratio of 1:5 (w/v)

was used. After soaking, the unimbibed water was discarded. The soaked seeds were washed twice with ordinary water followed by rinsing with distilled water then dried in a hot air oven at (60-70)°C to a constant weight and milled in an a laboratory grinder (Braun grinder, ZK 500) to obtain fine flour. The ground samples were kept at 4°C until analysis. (Abdel-Gawad, 1993, Sorour, 2002 and Afify *et al.*, 2011).

Germination: Part of the soaked seeds (12 hours) were germinated with wet filter paper for 24, 48 and 72 h at room temperature, with frequent watering, the seeds were sprayed every 12 h with sterilized water. The seeds were rinsed with 0.3% sodium hypochlorite solution each 12 hours to inhibit microbial growth. The germinated seeds were then dried at 60°C for 36 hours into a hot air oven to a constant weight and then ground in an electric grinder. The ground samples were kept in closed bottles and stored in a refrigerator at 4°C until analysis (Abdel-Gawad, 1991, Cevallos-casals and Cisneros-Zevallos, 2010).

Analytical methods:

Gross chemical composition: Moisture, crude oil, crude protein, crude fiber and ash contents were determined according to A.O.A.C. standard methods

(AOAC, 2005). Total carbohydrate content of samples was calculated by difference.

Determination of total phenolic compounds (TPCs): Total crude phenolics were determined using the Folin-Ciocalteu method with slight modification (Taga *et al.*, 1984). The extracts of samples and standards were prepared in (0.3% acidified methanol water 60:40). The 100µl of test solutions were added to 2.0 ml of 2% Na₂CO₃. After 2 min, 50 µl of 50% Folin-Ciocalteu reagent were added. The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 30 min in the dark. The absorbance was then measured at 750 nm on a spectrophotometer (Uviline 9400, Schoott Instrument-EU) against the blank that consisting of all reagents and solvents without test compounds. The phenolic concentrations were determined by comparison with the standard calibration curve and specified as mg TPC/100g extract given as Gallic Acid Equivalents (GAE).

Determination of Tannins: Quantitative estimation of tannins was carried out using the modified vanillin-HCl method (Price *et al.*, 1978) as described by (Babiker and El-Tinay, 1992). Two grams of each sample was extracted with 50 ml absolute methanol for 20 minutes at room temperature with constant

agitation. After centrifugation for 10 minutes at 653 r.p.m., 5 ml of Vanillin-HCl reagent was added to the extract (1 mL) and the colour developed after 20 min at room temperature was read at 500 nm. A standard curve was prepared using catechin (Sigma Chem. CO., St. Louis, Mo) after correcting for blank, tannins concentration was expressed in mg catechin equivalents.

Determination of Phytate Content: Phytic acid content in legume samples was extracted

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemical composition: Gross chemical composition of raw studied legumes (faba bean, chick pea, cowpea and soybean) were shown in Table 1. The data revealed that soybean has the highest level of protein content, while chickpea recorded the lowest value. The values of protein were: 29.38, 20.72, 26.30 and 39.56% on dry weight basis in raw faba bean, chick pea, cowpea and soybean, respectively. The crude fat ranged from 1.26% in faba bean to 22.33% in soybean. The crude fat content were 1.26, 6.45, 3.16 and 22.33 % on dry weight basis for faba bean, chick pea, cowpea and soybean, respectively. The results showed also that soybean

and estimated according to the procedure described method in (AOAC, 2000). Dowex® 50WX8 hydrogen form hydrogen form, 200-400 mesh, and phytic acid was determined from the standard curve according to the equation: Phytic acid (mg / 100g dw) = Phytate P × 3.546.

Statistical analysis: Data were statistically analyzed using analysis of variance and least significant difference (LSD) using (version 9.1, SAS Institute 2003). Significant differences were determined at the 5% level of significance.

contained the highest level of ash (5.32 %) whereas the chickpea has the lowest value (3.07 %) compared with other studied legumes. In addition, the results in the same Table indicated that the crude fiber content was higher (6.93 %) in faba bean seeds compared with other samples. On the other hand the legumes are considered important source of carbohydrates. Carbohydrate levels were 58.56, 66.35, 63.84 and 27.86 % in faba bean, chick pea, cowpea and soybean, respectively. These results are in agreement with those reported by Alajaji and El-Adawy, (2006); Iqbal *et al.*, (2006) ; Kayembe, (2011) and Desalegn (2015).

Table 1: Gross chemical composition of legumes (% on dry weight basis) *.

samples	Protien	Fats	Fiber	Ash	Carbohydrate**
Faba bean	29.38	1.26	6.93	3.87	58.56
Chikpea	20.72	6.45	3.41	3.07	66.35
Cowpea	26.3	3.16	2.99	3.71	63.84
Soybean	39.56	22.33	4.93	5.32	27.86

*Average of three replicates. ** Calculated by difference .

Total phenolic content: The results presented in Table (2) showed that the effect of soaking on phenolic contents in faba bean, chick pea, cowpea and soybean. Cowpea has higher phenolic content than other studied legumes. Phenolic contents in raw faba bean, chick pea, cowpea and soybean samples were 370.95, 132.59, 763.42 and 249.40 mg GAE/100g, respectively. These results are in agreement with those mentioned by **Mondor *et al.* (2009)**; **Malencic' *et al.* (2012)** and **Coda *et al.* (2015)**. Soaking of legumes in distilled water for 12 hours lowered phenolic compounds content compared with control. Soaking processes showed a significant decrease in phenolic content of legumes. The percentages of losses were; 3.9, 15.9, 22.7 and 8.2% of its initial values of control in faba bean, chick pea, cowpea and soybean, respectively. **Preet and Punia (2000)** and **Alonso *et al.* (2000)** reported similar results for

cowpeas following soaking. Several possible reasons have been suggested for reductions in polyphenol concentrations due to soaking. **Igbedioh *et al.* (1995)** and **Khandelwal *et al.* (2010)** reported that the losses may result simply from leaching into the soak water. Losses may also be attributed to decreases in extractability, as lower molecular weight phenolic compounds polymerize, thus becoming insoluble in water. **Bravo (1998)** and **Saharan *et al.* (2002)** have attributed the losses to binding of polyphenols with other organic substances such as carbohydrates or protein. Alternatively, during the period of soaking, the enzyme polyphenoloxidase may be activated, resulting in degradation and consequent losses of polyphenols (**Jood *et al.*, 1998**; **Saxena *et al.*, 2003**). Because of polyphenols are present in the periphery of the seed, there is a possibility of them moving out into the soaking medium through the seed coat (**Afify *et al.*, 2012**).

Table 2. Effect of soaking and germination process on phenolic content in legumes (mg GAE/100g dw).

Samples	Control	Soaking	Germination			L.S.D 5%
		12 h	24 h	48 h	72 h	
Faba baen	370.95 ^a ±0.82	356.55 ^b ±0.56	335.99 ^c ±1.33	304.67 ^d ±0.84	291.51 ^e ±0.87	1.901
Chickpea	132.59 ^a ±0.86	111.53 ^b ±0.61	96.89 ^c ±0.80	82.98 ^d ±0.86	75.55 ^e ±0.50	1.5246
Cowpea	763.42 ^a ±1.66	589.95 ^b ±1.07	454.63 ^c ±0.84	385.44 ^d ±0.46	328.55 ^e ±0.51	2.0224
Soybean	249.40 ^a ±0.83	228.91 ^b ±0.89	210.28 ^c ±0.81	199.20 ^d ±0.84	186.35 ^e ±0.86	1.5444

Means± standard deviation (SD) on dry weight basis.

^{a-c}Values in the same row with different superscript letters differ significantly at 5% level of significance.

Germination of legumes led to a significant decrease in polyphenol content; the reduction was greater when germination was carried out for a longer time (Table 2). Phenolic content in legumes was decreased gradually during germination period. The reduction level of phenolic compounds in faba bean was, 9.4, 17.8 and 21.4% of its initial values of control after 24, 48 and 72 h of germination, respectively. In chick pea phenolic content decreased after the same periods of germination by 26.9, 37.4 and 43% of its control value, respectively. While, these decrement were about 40.4, 49.5, and 56.9% for cowpea compared with control after the same periods of germination, respectively. And 15.6, 20.1 and 25.2% for soybean of its values in the raw legume after the same germination periods, respectively. These results are in agreement with those mentioned by **Preet and Punia (2000)** and **Alonso *et al.* (2000)**. The reduction in phenolic compounds content during germination may have

been a function of the presence of polyphenol oxidase and enzymatic hydrolysis (**Jood *et al.*, 1987**, **Paramjyothi and Mulimani, 1996**). Leaching of polyphenols into the water prior to germination may also partly account for losses.

Tannins: Data in Table (3) shows the effect of soaking and germination on tannins contents in faba bean, chick pea, cowpea and soybean. Faba bean has higher tannin content than other studied legumes. Tannin contents in faba bean, chick pea, cowpea and soybean raw samples were 684.5, 488.1, 390.9 and 225.5 mg catechin equivalent (CE) /100g, respectively. Similar results were given by **EL-Adawy (2002)**; **Kalpanadevi and Mohan (2013)** and **Kumari *et al.* (2014)**.

Soaking: Soaking of legumes in distilled water for 12 hours lowered phenolic content compared with control. Tannin content was decreased by 13.3, 7.1, 26.4 and 13.4 % of its initial values of control in faba bean, chick pea, cowpea and soybean,

respectively. These results are in the line with those mentioned by **Kalpanadevi and Mohan (2013) and Kumari *et al.* (2014)**. The loss in the tannin content after soaking was relatively more due to the fact that those compounds, in addition to their predominance in seed coats (**Reddy and Pierson, 1994**), are water soluble (**Kumar *et al.*, 1979**) and consequently leach into the liquid medium. Losses may also be attributed to the presence of water soluble tannins that might have leached in to soaking media, or its hydrolysis by enzymes during soaking period (**Desphande and Cheryan, 1983; Afify *et al.*, 2012**).

Germination: The results given in Table (3) showed the effect of germination process on tannin content in legumes. A significant reduction was observed in the tannin content of legumes due to germination. The levels of reduction in faba bean were 22.5, 26.7 and 28.9 % of its initial values of control after 24, 48 and 72 h of germination,

respectively. In chick pea tannin content decreased by 13.3, 18.7 and 23.9% of its control value after the same periods of germination, respectively. These decrement of tannin content was about 48.4, 61.7, and 64.8% for cowpea compared with control after 24, 48 and 72 hours of germination, respectively. While in Soybean it was 24.9, 36.9 and 47.9% of its values in the raw seeds after the same germination periods, respectively. Similar results were given by **EL-Adawy (2002); Kalpanadevi and Mohan (2013) and Kumari *et al.* (2014)**.

The decrease in the tannin content of legumes following germination may be attributed to the increased activity of poly phenol oxidase and other catabolic enzymes. During germination, activated enzymes result in the hydrolysis of various components. This reduction of tannin content after germination may be attributing to enzymatic hydrolysis (**Deshpande *et al.*, 1986 ; Khandelwal *et al.*, 2010**).

Table 3. Effect of soaking and germination process on tannin content in legumes (mg CE/100g dw).

Treatment	Control	Soaking	Germination			L.S.D 5%
			12 h	24 h	72 h	
Faba baen	684.55 ^a ±0.27	593.41 ^b ±0.35	530.19 ^c ±0.60	501.29 ^d ±0.42	486.38 ^e ±0.19	0.7202
Chicpea	488.12 ^a ±0.10	453.22 ^b ±0.37	423.06 ^c ±0.56	396.79 ^d ±0.46	371.34 ^e ±0.92	0.9127
Cowpea	390.93 ^a ±0.41	287.48 ^b ±0.28	201.40 ^c ±0.12	149.34 ^d ±0.52	137.43 ^e ±0.14	0.4525
Soybean	225.50 ^a ±0.28	195.21 ^b ±0.82	169.29 ^c ±0.25	142.17 ^d ±0.99	117.47 ^e ±0.65	1.3155

Means± standard deviation (SD) on dry weight basis.

^{a-e}Values in the same row with different superscript letters differ significantly at 5% level of significance.

Phytic acid: The effect of soaking and germination on phytic acid content in legumes are shown in Table (4) . In raw samples, faba bean has higher phytic acid content than other investigated legumes. The phytic acid content was 1050.6, 719.2, 987.2 and 1076.2 mg/100g for raw faba bean, chickpea, cow pea and soybean, respectively. These results are in the same line with those reported by **Khattab and Arntfield (2009)** ; **Karklele and Beleia (2010)**.

Results revealed that soaking for 12 h could lower the level of phytic acid content below the control value. The reduction in phytic acid content was 9.3, 7.0, 14.4 and 9.0% for faba bean, chick pea, cowpea and soybean, respectively of the control. The results approved with **Sorour (2002)**, **Ali (2008)** and **Karklele and Beleia (2010)**. The reduction of phytate content in legumes by soaking could be due to the fact that phytic acid in dried legumes exists wholly as a water-soluble

salt. This reduction in phytate during soaking of legumes may have been a function of leaching of phytate ions into the soaking water because of the influence of the concentration gradient. Losses may also be attributed to the activation of the endogenous phytase during 12 h of soaking and diffusion of the products. An increase in the phytase activity with a decrease in the level of phytate as a result of soaking in legumes (**Kataria *et al.*, 1989**). Germination like soaking germination too resulted in a significant loss of phytic acid in legumes Table 4 . Longer the period of germination, lead to a greater loss in phytic acid. Also, germination reduced phytic acid content and the reduction level increased, as the germination time increased from 24 to 72 h. The levels of phytate reduction in faba bean were 47.2, 57.4 and 63.4 % of its initial values of control after 24, 48 and 72 h of germination, respectively. In chick pea phytic acid content decreased by 39.9, 52 and 60.6 % of its control

value after the same germination periods, respectively. While, it was about 37.8, 47.7 and 54.6 % for cowpea compared with control after germination periods, respectively. While in soybean the phytic acid content decreased by 45.6, 56.9 and 65 % of its values in the raw seeds after the same germination periods, respectively.

These results are in the same line with those reported by **Ali (2008)**. The reduction of phytic acid in legumes indicated that, an increase in hydrolysis of phytates during germination led to the liberation of inorganic phosphates

for plant growth from organic phosphorus containing compound (phytate). The breakdown of phytic acid during germination could be due to increase in the activity of endogenous phytase for its use as source of inorganic phosphate during germination (**Tabekhia and Luh 1980; Eskin and Wiebe, 1983; Egli *et al.*, 2002**). Since phytic acid has been considered to be one of the factors responsible for reducing minerals bioavailability, its reduction during germination may have enhanced the nutritional quality of beans.

Table 4. Effect of soaking and germination process on phytic acid content in legumes (mg /100g dw) .

Treatment	Control	Soaking	Germination			L.S.D 5%
			12 h	24 h	72 h	
Faba baen	1050.65 ^a ±0.90	952.36 ^b ±0.04	554.18 ^c ±0.42	447.42 ^d ±0.40	383.61 ^e ±0.57	0.9987
Chicpea	719.26 ^a ±0.35	668.66 ^b ±1.17	431.79 ^c ±0.55	344.67 ^d ±0.46	282.78 ^e ±0.55	1.159
Cowpea	987.28 ^a ±0.59	844.55 ^b ±0.82	613.67 ^c ±0.24	515.42 ^d ±0.41	448.18 ^e ±0.36	1.0633
Soybean	1076.21 ^a ±0.10	979.03 ^b ±0.30	584.50 ^c ±1.12	463.36 ^d ±0.70	376.40 ^e ±0.49	0.9776

Means± standard deviation (SD) on dry weight basis..

^{a-e}Values in the same row with different superscript letters differ significantly at 5% level of significance.

Conclusions:

Soaking (12 h) and germination for (72 h) were required to cause a considerable reduction in the level of phenolic compounds, tannins and phytic acid in the a fore-mentioned studied legumes. Further enhancements in the mentioned parameters were

observed up to 72 h of germination. This method represent a simple, inexpensive in terms of time, energy and fuel can also be used in household processing of other legumes. In addition, it was essential to improve the nutritional properties

of legumes and effectively utilize

their full potential as human food.

REFERENCES:

Abdel-Gawad, A.S. (1991). Effect of germination and fermentation on phytate and some mineral contents of sunflower meal. Fourth Arab Conf. of Science. and Technology . JAN. 521-541.

Abdel-Gawad, A.S. (1993). Effect of domestic processing on oligosaccharide content of some dry legume seeds. J. of Food Chemistry. 46: 25-31.

Adebamowo, C.A.; Cho, E.Y.; Sampson, L.; Katan, M.B.; Spiegelman, D.; Willett, W.C. and Holmes, M.D. (2005). Dietary flavonols and flavonol-rich foods intake and the risk of breast cancer. Int. J.Cancer, 114:628–33 .

Afify, A. E. M. M.; El-Beltagi, H. S.; El-Salam, S. M. A. and Omran, A. A. (2011). Bioavailability of iron, zinc, phytate and phytase activity during soaking and germination of white sorghum varieties. Plos one. 6 (10): e25512.

Afify, A. E. M. M.; El-Beltagi, H. S.; El-Salam, S. M. A. and Omran, A. A. (2012). Biochemical changes in phenols, flavonoids, tannins, vitamin E, β -carotene and antioxidant activity during soaking of three white sorghum varieties. Asian Pacific J. of Tropical Biomedicine, 2(3): 203-209.

Alajaji, S.A. and El-Adawy, T.A. (2006). Nutritional composition of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*) as affected by microwave cooking and other traditional cooking methods. J. of Food Composition and Analysis, 19: 806-812.

Alekel, L.D.; StGermain, A.; Peterson, C.T.; Hanson, K.B.; Steward ,J.W.and Toda.(2000). Isoflavonerich soy protein isolate attenuates bone loss in the lumbar spine of perimenopausal women. Am. J. Clin. Nutr, 72:844–52 .

- Ali, A.S.M.(2008).** Effect of germination and domestic cooking processes on degradation of phytate in legumes . M. Sc. Thesis. Food Sci. and Tech. Dep., Fac. Of Agric. Assiut Univ, Egypt.
- Alonso, R.; Aguirre, A. and Marzo, F. (2000).** Effects of extrusion and traditional processing methods on antinutrients and in vitro digestibility of protein and starch in faba and kidney beans. *Food Chemistry*, 68 : 159-165 .
- AOAC (2000).** Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official methods of analysis. (17th Ed.). Washington., D.C. U.S.A.
- AOAC. (2005).** Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Manual of Food Analysis, 18th Ed. Of A.O.A.C. International. Published by A.O.A.C. USA.
- Babiker, E.E. and El-Tinay, A.H.(1992).** Effect of alkali on tannin content and in vitro protein digestibility of sorghum cultivars. *Food Chem.*,45-55.
- Baxter, N.J.; Lilley, T.H.; Haslam, E. and Williamson, N.P. (1997).** Multiple interactions between polyphenols and salivary prolin-rich protein result in complexation and precipitation. *Biochem.*, 26 (18): 5566-5572 .
- Bravo, L. (1998).** Polyphenols: Chemistry, dietary sources, metabolism and nutritional significance. *Nutrition Reviews*. 56(11): 317-333.
- Cevallos-casals, B.A. and Cisneros- Zevallos, L.(2010)** . Impact of germination on phenolic content and antioxidant activity of 13 edible seed species *Food Chemistry*, 119: 1485-1490. .
- Chillo, S.; Laverse, J.; Falcone, P.M.; Protopapa, A. and Del Nobile. (2008).** Influence of the addition of buckwheat flour and durum wheat bran on spaghetti quality. *J. of Cereal Sci.*,47 : 144-152.
- Coda, R.; Melama, L.; Rizzello, C.G.; Curiel, J.A.; Sibakov, J.; Holopainen, U.; Pulkkinen, M. and Sozer,N.(2015).** Effect of air classification and fermentation by *Lactobacillus plantarum* VTT E-133328 on faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) flour nutritional properties. *J. of*

- Food Microbiology, 193 : 34–42 .
- Costa, G.E.;** **Queiroz-Monici, K. S.;** **Reis, A.C.**and **Oliveira. (2006).**Chemical composition dietary fiber and resistant starch contents of raw and cooked pea, common bean, chickpea and lentil legumes. Food Chem, 94 :327-330.
- Desalegn, B.B. (2015).** Effect of Soaking and Germination on Proximate Composition, Mineral Bioavailability and Functional Properties of Chickpea Flour. Food and Public Health. 5 (4): 108-113.
- Deshpande, S. S.;** **Cheryan, M. and Salunkhe, D. K. (1986).** Tannin analysis of food products. CRC Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 24: 401-409.
- Desphande, S.S. and Cheryan, M. (1983).** Changes in phytic acid, tannins and trypsin inhibitory activity on soaking of dry beans (*Phaseolus Vulgaris* L.). Nutrition. Rep. Int. 27,371.
- Dragičević, V. D.;** **Perić, V.A.;** **Srebrić, M.B.;** **Žilić, S.M. and Mladenović, S.D. (2010).** some nutritional and anti-nutritional factors of zp soya bean varieties. J. of agric. sci., 55 (2): 141-146 .
- Egli, I.;** **Davidsson, I.;** **Juillerat, M.A.;** **Barclay, D. and Hurrell, R.F. (2002).** The influence of soaking and germination on the phytase activity and phytic acid content of grains and seeds potentially useful for complementary feeding. J. of Food Sci., 67(9):3484–3488.
- El-Adawy, T.A.(2002).** Nutritional composition and antinutritional factors of chickpeas (*Cicer arietinum* L.) undergoing different cooking methods and germination. Plant Foods for Human Nutrition, 57: 83–97.
- Eskin, N. M. and Wiebe, S. (1983).** Changes in phytase activity and phytate during germination of two fababean cultivars. J. of Food Sci. 48 (1): 270-271.
- Fasuyi, A.O. (2005).** Nutrient composition and processing effects on cassava leaf (*Manihotesculenta*, Crantz) anti-nutrients. Pak J. Nutr. 4(1):37–42 .
- Fernandez-Orozco, R.;** **Frias, J.;** **Zielinski, H.;** **Munoz, M.;** **Piskula, M.K.;** **Kozłowska, H. and Vidal-**

- Valverde, C. (2009).** Evaluation of bioprocesses to improve the antioxidant properties of chickpeas. *Food Res. Technology*,42: 885-892 .
- Goni, I. and Valentin-Gamazo, C. (2003).** Chickpea flour ingredient slows glycemic response to pasta in healthy volunteers. *Food Chem.*, 81: 511-515.
- Ibrahim, S. S., Habiba, R. A., Shatta, A. A., and Embaby, H. E. (2002).** Effect of soaking, germination, cooking and fermentation on antinutritional factors in cowpeas. *Nahrung*, 46: 92–95.
- Igbedioh, S. O.; Shaire, S. and Aderiye, B. J. I. (1995).** Effects of processing on total phenols and proximate composition of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) and climbing bean (*Vigna umbellata*). *J. of Food Sci and Tech.*, 32: 497-500 .
- Iqbal, A.; Khalil, I.A.; Ateeq, N. and Khan, M.S. (2006).** Nutritional quality of important food legumes. *J. of food chem.*, 97: 331-335.
- Jood, S.; Bishnoi, S. and Segal, S. (1998).** Effect of processing on nutritional and antinutritional factors of moong bean cultivars. *J. of Food Biochem.*,22: 245-257.
- Jood, S.; Chauhan, B.M. and Kapoor. A.C. (1987).** Polyphenols of chickpea and black gram as affected by domestic processing and cooking methods . *J. Sci Food Agric.*, 39 (2):145-150.
- Kalpanadevi, V. and Mohan, V.R. (2013).** Effect of processing on antinutrients and in vitro protein digestibility of the underutilized legume, *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp subsp. *Unguiculata*. *LWT - Food Sci. and Tech.*,51: 455-461.
- Kamchan, A.; Puwastien, P.; Sirichakwal, P. P., and Kongkachuichai, R. (2004).** In vitro calcium bioavailability of vegetables, legumes and seeds. *J. of Food Composition and Analysis*, 17: 311–320 .
- Karklele, E.N.L. and Beleia, A. (2010).** Effect of soaking and cooking on phytate concentration, minerals, and texture of food-type soybeans. *Ciênc. Tecnol.*

- Aliment., Campinas, 30(4): 1056-1060.
- Kataria, A.; Chauhan, B.M. and Punia, D. (1989).** Antinutrients and protein digestibility (in vitro) of mungbean as affected by domestic processing and cooking . J. of food chem., 32: 9-17.
- Kayembe, N.C.(2011).** Germination as a processing Technique for soybeans in small-scale broiler farming. M. Sc. Thesis. Wildlife Sci. and Animal.Dept, Fac. of Natural and Agric., Pretoria Univ, Pretoria .
- Khandelwal, S.; Udipi, S. A. and Ghugre, P. (2010).** Polyphenols and tannins in Indian pulses. Effect of soaking, germination and pressure cooking. Food Research International, 43: 526-530 .
- Khattab, R. Y. and Arntfield, S. D. (2009).** Nutritional quality of legume seeds as affected by some physical treatments. 2. Antinutritional factors. LWT – Food Sci and Techn., 42:1113–1118 .
- Kumar, N. R., Reedy, A. N., & Rao, K. N. (1979).** Levels of phenolic substances on the leachionted in cicezo seed. J. of Experimental Biology, 17: 114–116.
- Kumar, V.; Sinha, A. K.; Makkar, H. P. S. and Becker, K. (2010).** Dietary roles of phytate and phytase in human nutrition: A review. Food Chem., 120: 945–959 .
- Kumari, S.; Krishnan, V. and Sachdev, A. (2014).** Impact of soaking and germination durations on antioxidants and anti- nutrients of black and yellow soybean (Glycine max. L) varieties. J. Plant Biochem. Biotechnol .
- Makkar, H.P.S. (2003).** Effects and fate of tannins in ruminant animals, adaptation to tannins, and strategies to overcome detrimental effects of feeding tannin-rich feeds. Small Ruminant Research. 49:241-256.
- Malencic,´ D.; Cvejic,´ J. and Miladinovic,´ J. (2012).** Polyphenol Content and Antioxidant Properties of Colored Soybean Seeds from Central Europe. J. of Medicinal Food, 15 (1) : 89–95 .
- Marti´n-Cabrejas, M.A.; Aguilera, Y.; Pedrosa, M.M.; Cuadrado, C;**

- Hernandez, T.; Diaz, S. and Esteban, R.M. (2009).** The impact of dehydration process on antinutrients and protein digestibility of some legume flours. *Food Chem.*, 114: 1063–1068 .
- Mittal, R.; Nagi, H.P.S.; Sharma, P. and Sharma, S. (2012).** Effect of Processing on Chemical Composition and Antinutritional Factors in Chickpea Flour. *J. of Food Sci. and Engineering*, 2: 180-186.
- Mondor, M.; Aksay, S.; Drolet, H.; Roufik, S.; Farnworth, E. and Boye, J.I. (2009).** Influence of processing on composition and antinutritional factors of chickpea protein concentrates produced by isoelectric precipitation and ultrafiltration. *Innovative Food Sci. and Emerging Techn.*, 10 : 342–347.
- Negi, A.; Boora, P. and Khetarpaul, N. (2001).** Starch and protein digestibility of newly released moth bean cultivars: Effect of soaking, dehulling, germination and pressure-cooking. *Nahrung*. 45(4): 251–254 .
- Paramjyothi, S. and Mulimani, V.H. (1996).** Effect of sprouting, cooking and dehulling on polyphenols of red gram (*Cajanus cajan* L.). *J. Food Sci. and Techn.*, 33: 259-260 .
- Preet, K. and Punia, D. (2000).** Antinutrients and digestibility (*in vitro*) of soaked, dehulled and germinated cowpeas. *Nutrition and Health*, 14 : 109-117 .
- Price, M. L.; Van Scoyoc, S. and Butler, L. G. (1978).** A critical evaluation of the vanillin reaction as an assay for tannin in sorghum grain. *J. of Agric. and Food Chem.*, 26(5): 1214-1218.
- Reddy, N. R. and Pierson, M. D. (1994).** Reduction in antinutritional and toxic components in plant foods by fermentation. *Food Research International*, 27:281–290.
- Robbins, C.T.; Hagerman, A.E.; Austin, P.J.; McArthur, C. and Hanley, T.A. (1991).** *J. of Mammal*, 72 : 480-486 .
- Saharan, K.; Khetarpaul, N. and Bishnoi, S. (2002).** Antinutrients and protein digestibility of faba bean and ricebean as affected by

- soaking, dehulling and germination. *J. of Food Sci. and Techn.*, 39: 418-422 .
- SAS Software (SAS Institute 2003).**SAS/STAT® 9.1User's Guide. 2nd ed. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
- Saxena, A. K.; Chadha, M. and Sharma, S. (2003).** Nutrients and antinutrients in chick pea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars after soaking and pressure cooking. *J. of Food Sci. and Techn.*, 40: 493-497.
- Sorour, M.A.H.(2002).** Antinutritional factors and protein digestibility of some common legumes as affected by processing and microwave treatment . Mansoura University *J. of Agricultural Science* .
- Tabekhia, M.M. and Luh, B.S. (1980).** Effect of germination, cooking and canning on phosphorus and phytate retention in dry beans. *J. of Food Sci.*,45: 406-408 .
- Taga, M. S.; Miller, E. and Pratt, D. (1984).** Chia seeds as a source of natural lipid antioxidants. *J. of the American Oil Chemists' Society*, 61(5): 928-931.
- Urbano, G.; Lopez-jurado, m.; Aranda, P.; Vidal-Valverde, C.; Tenorio, E. and pores, J. (2000).** The role of phytic acid legumes: antinutrient or beneficial function?. *J. phusiol. Biochemical* . 56 (3): 283-294 .