### Effect of Bio- and Mineral Fertilization and Number of Pickings on Seed Production of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench)

K.A.A. El-Shaikh<sup>1</sup>, M.S. Mohamed<sup>2</sup> and K.S.H. Hamadly<sup>2</sup>

1- Dept. Hort., Fac. Agric., Sohag Univ., Egypt. 2- Hort. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

#### Abstract

·
Okra, bio- fertilizer, mineral fertilizer,
Number of Pickings
Seed production

Key words:

The present study was carried out during the summer seasons of 2015 and 2016 at Shandaweel Experimental Farm, Agriculture Research Station, Sohag Governorate, Egypt, to study the effect of bio- and mineral fertilization and pickings frequency on seed production of okra (Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench). The experiment was conducted using a split-plot arrangement in a randomized complete block design with three replicates. The obtained results show that the interaction between bio-fertilizers plus 0.75 of recommended dose of NPK and first three pickings significantly increased most studied traits such as, weight of 100seeds (g), number of dry fruits per plant, seed weight per plant (g), seed yield (kg/fed.), seed germination %, shoot length (cm), root length (cm) and germination rate (days) in the two experimental seasons. In addition, the highest values of number of seeds per dry fruit were obtained from the interaction between recommended dose of NPK and first three pickings in both seasons.

#### Introduction

Okra (Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench), is an important fruit vegetable crop of the tropical and subtropical regions of the world. In Egypt, it is one of the most popular vegetables and it is considered a valuable source of calcium, iron and vitamins. The total cultivated area in 2016 was estimated by 11308 fed. with total production of 56733 tons. The main

productivity was estimated by 5.017 ton/fed. Use of mineral fertilizers can improve fresh, seed yield productivity, soil pH, total nutrients content and nutrient availability. But its use is limited due to scarcity, high cost and imbalance, nutrient causing negative effect on soil properties and micro flora (Smil, 2000). Excessive nitrate can block iodide uptake of the sodium iodide symporter competitive in a

(Tonacchera al., manner et 2005). Toxic effects of nitrate are endogenous related to its conversion to nitrite which is related to methaemoglobinaemia, gastric cancer and other diseases (Santamaria, 2006). Hence, there is need to reduce the use of mineral fertilizers and encourage the application of bio-fertilizers. **Bio-fertilizer** fix appreciable amount of atmospheric nitrogen in soil, enhance plant growth by production of organic acid and growth substances, and make availability of complex phosphorus to the plant (Bahadur and Manohar, 2001). Azospirillum Azotobacter and produced adequate amounts of IAA, GA3 and cytokinins, which enhance the root hair branching with an eventual increase in acquisition of nutrients from the soil (Jagnow al., et 1991). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) forming roots symbiotic associations. This association allows plants to explore larger volumes of soil to absorb more water and nutrients uptake and increases transport, and absorption of immobile mineral elements such as phosphorus (Al-2000). Karaki, Potassium solubilizing bacteria (KSB) are able to solubilize potassium rock through production and secretion of organic acids. (Bin Zakaria, 2009). Picking green fruit and seed yield give the best profit in okra cultivation. Green fruit picking is a significant factor in quality seed vield of okra (Moniruzzaman and Quamruzzaman, 2009). Picking green fruits encourages of vegetative growth, fruit characters and ultimate seed yield and seed quality in okra (Singh et al., 1999; Khan and Jaiswal, 1988; Velumani and and Ramaswamy, 1980). The scope of this investigation was to: Study the effect of bio- and mineral fertilization and fruit pickings frequency on seed production of okra. Determine the best treatment combination to have the highest vield. Find out the level of substitution of mineral fertilizers with the use of bio-fertilizers.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The present study was carried out during the summer seasons of 2015 and 2016 at Shandaweel Experimental Farm, Agriculture Research Station, Sohag Governorate, Egypt. The physical and chemical characteristics of soil were determined before sowing and are shown in Table (1).

Season Texture	Texture	CaCO <sub>3</sub> %	Soil pH	Organic matter (%)	Available soil (	e nutrien mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	its in )
			matter (%)	Ν	Р	Κ	
2015	Clay loam	1.80	7.55	1.22	17.20	10.00	178
2016	Clay loam	1.75	7.76	1.32	18.70	9.50	170

Table 1. Some soil physical and chemical properties of the experimental site.

The experiment was conducted using split-plot a arrangement in a randomized complete block design with three replicates. Pickings frequencies were randomly arranged in the main plots, i.e., (Non-picking, first three pickings, first five pickings, first seven pickings, and full pickings). While, the subplots received randomly the combination treatments of bio-fertilization. mineraland Each experimental unit was 10.5  $m^2$  consisting of five ridges, 60 cm apart and 3.5 cm long. Seed sowing was done in 5<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup> April in the first and second seasons, respectively.

#### Application of biofertilizers:

Seeds were inoculated with the bio-fertilizers culture (Nitrobin, Mycorrhiza and Potassiumage) each 125g/ 1kg seeds. Biofertilizers were mixed with seeds by adding 20% of Arabic gum solution before planting and seeds were left for thirty minutes to dry in a shadow place, then three Seeds per hill were spaced 30 cm apart and irrigated directly.

# ApplicationofMineralfertilizers:

Nitrogen levels: was added in the form of ammonium nitrate (33.5% N) at the rate of (67, 50.25, 33.50, and 16.75 Kg N/fed.) representing one, three fourth, half and one fourth of the recommended dose of nitrogen, respectively.

**Phosphorus levels:** was added in the form of calcium super phosphate (15.5 %  $P_2O_5$ ) at the rate of (31, 23.25, 15.5, and 7.75 kg  $P_2O_5$  /fed.), representing one, three fourth, half and one fourth of the recommended dose of phosphorus, respectively.

**Potassium levels:** was added in the form of potassium sulfate (50 %  $K_2O$ ) at the rate of (50, 37.5, 25, and 12.50 kg  $K_2O$ /fed.), representing one, three fourth, half and one fourth of recommended dose of potassium, respectively.

The recorded data of the experiment were as follows:

- 1- Seed yield components:
  - a- Weight of 100- seeds (g).b- Number of dry fruits per plant.
  - **c-** Number of seeds per dry fruit.
  - **d-** Seed weight per plant (g).
- 2-Total seed yield (kg/fed.).
- 3- Seed germination (%): It was calculated by following formula according to (ISTA, 2011).

Seed germination (%) = <u>Number of germinated seeds x100</u> Total number of sown seeds

4- Shoot and root length (cm): Seeds were sown in plastic pots. After 21 days, seedlings were taken randomly to measure the shoot and root length (Anon, 1996).

registered, starting on 5th day after sowing till 21th day. Germination rate was calculated according to Edmond and Drapala (1958).

5- Germination rate (day): The seedling emergence was daily

$$MDG = \frac{(G_1 \times N_1) + (G_2 \times N_2) + (G_n \times N_n)}{G_1 + G_2 + \dots - G_n}$$

Where: MDG= Mean number of days required for germination G = Number of germinated seeds in a certain day N = Number of days.

Statistical analysis:

The treatment means were compared using Duncan's multiple range test as described by Waller and Duncan (1969).

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### 1- Seed yield components:

Data in Tables (2), (3), (4)and (5) show that seed yield components i.e., [weight of 100seeds (g), number of seeds per dry fruit, number of dry fruits per plant and seed weight per plant (g)] were significantly affected by frequency pickings in both seasons. The highest values were produced by first three pickings in both seasons. These results are in harmony with Kumari et al., (2013) and Sanganagoud et al., (2014). Data in the same tables show that applying Mineral- and bio-fertilizers had a significant effect on seed yield components in both seasons. The highest

values were recorded by biofertilizers plus 0.75 of the recommended dose of NPK in two highest seasons, except, the number of seeds per dry fruit were achieved by recommend dose of NPK in the first and second seasons. This results are in agreement with those mentioned by El-Shaikh and Mohammed (2009), Bhushan et al., (2013) and Bhende et al., (2015). Concerning the effect of the interaction between the two studied factors. Results reveal that the highest values of seed yield components i.e., (7.08, 6.99(g); 10.00, 9.83 39.45, 40.67 and (g)) were obtained interaction by the between first three pickings and the bio-fertilizers plus 0.75 of the recommended dose of NPK in two seasons, respectively. But, the highest number of seeds per dry fruit i.e., (77.00 and 93.93) were resulted in the interaction between first three pickings plus

recommended dose of NPK in the two experimental seasons. These results are in harmony with Moniruzzaman and Quamruzzaman (2009).

### 2- Total seed yield (kg/fed.):

Results illustrated in Table (6) show that seed yield (kg/fed.) significantly affected by was pickings frequency in the first and second seasons. The highest values of seed yield were obtained from first three pickings in two seasons. Moreover, the lowest values were achieved by first seven pickings in both seasons. These results are in the same line with Kumari et al., (2013) and Ghadge et al., (2017). Regarding the effect of Mineral- and biofertilizers on seed yield (kg/fed.). The highest values of seed yield were recorded by the plants that fertilized with the bio-fertilizers plus 0.75 of the recommended dose of NPK in two seasons. These results support to the finding El-Shaikh of and Mohammed (2009) Bhushan et al., (2013) and Ismail and Hoda (2014). Results in Table (6) show the interaction between that pickings frequency, bioand mineral- fertilization significantly increased seed yield (kg/fed.) in both seasons. The highest values of seed yield i.e., (834.42 and 841.60 kg/fed.) were achieved by first three pickings and the biofertilizers plus 0.75 of the recommended dose of NPK, in two seasons, respectively. While, the lowest values i.e., (173.66, 258.07 kg/fed.) were obtained from first seven pickings and control (without fertilizers) in the first and second seasons. respectively. These results may be due to the combination between bio and mineral fertilizers led to nitrogen fixation promote bv Azotobacter and Azospirillumm. Forming roots symbiotic associations mycorrhizae by fungi. In addition, increased seed yield components led to higher total seed yield. These results are in harmony with Moniruzzaman and Quamruzzaman (2009) and Singh et al., (2012).

#### **3-** Seed germination (%):

Data presented in Table (7) show that seed germination (%) significantly affected by was pickings frequency in the two studied seasons. The highest values of seed germination were resulted in first three pickings in Moreover. both seasons. the lowest values were recorded from first seven pickings in both results seasons. These are agreement with those found by Kumari (2013)et al., and Sanganagoud et al., (2014). Concerning effect the of fertilization treatments, results in the mentioned table reveal that seed germination (%) significantly increased by fertilization in the two experimental seasons. The highest values seed of germination were recorded from the plants that fertilized with the bio-fertilizers plus 0.75 of the

recommended dose of NPK in two seasons. These results support to the finding of El-Waraky (2014) and Bhende et al., (2015). Results Table (7) show that the in effect interaction between pickings frequency, bioand mineral fertilization was significant on seed germination (%) in both seasons. The highest values i.e., (90.00 and 90.00 %) were produced by first three pickings and bio-fertilizers plus 0.75 of the recommended dose of NPK, in the first and second seasons, respectively. While, the lowest values i.e., (60.00 and 60.00 %) were obtained from first seven pickings and control in the two seasons, respectively. These results are in general trend with those found by Moniruzzaman and Ouamruzzaman (2009).

#### 4-Shoot and Root length (cm):

Results presented in Table (8) and (9) show that shoot and Root length (cm) were significantly affected by pickings frequency in both studied seasons. The highest values i.e., (4.54, 4.53 cm and 5.86, 5.87 cm) were achieved by first three pickings in two seasons, respectively. On the other side, the lowest values i.e., (3.47, 3.55 cm and 4.71, 4.72 cm) were resulted in first seven both pickings in seasons, respectively. These results may be due to less available nutrients for the seeds produced from the late picking. That led to weak seeds and seedlings. These results are in

agreement with those reported by Anitha et al., (2001). Mineral- and bio-fertilizers treatments show a significant effect on shoot and root length (cm) in both seasons. The highest values i.e., (5.20, 5.22cm and 6.89, 6.86 cm) were recorded from the plants that fertilized with the bio-fertilizers plus 0.75 of the recommended dose of NPK in two seasons, respectively. These results are in harmony with those reported by Singh et al., (2011). Regarding the effect of interaction between the two studied factors results in Table (8) and (9) show that the interaction effect between first three pickings and the biofertilizers plus 0.75 of the recommended dose of NPK gave the highest values i.e., (5.88, 5.77 cm and 7.28, 7.27 cm) in two seasons, respectively. On the other side the lowest values i.e., (2.00, 2.10 cm and 2.83, 2.83 cm) were obtained from first seven pickings and control in the first and second seasons, respectively.

#### 4- Germination rate (days):

Data in Table (10) show germination that rate was significantly affected by pickings frequency in the first and second The lowest days of seasons. germination rate (the best result) i.e., (1.99 and 2.03 days) were recorded from first three pickings seasons, respectively. both in the highest one was While, resulted in first seven pickings in two seasons. Mineral- and biofertilizers significant show a

effect on germination rate (days) in both seasons. The lowest days (the best result) i.e., (2.07 and 2.13 days) were produced by the plants that fertilized with bioplus fertilizers 0.75 of the recommended dose of NPK in the first and second seasons. respectively. These results are in harmony with those reported by Baliah and Muthulakshm (2017). Results in Table (10) obviously show that the interaction between pickings frequency, bioand mineral fertilization was

significant on germination rate (days) in both seasons. The lowest days (the best result) i.e., (1.70 and 1.77 days) were recorded from first three pickings and bioplus fertilizers 0.75 of the recommended dose of NPK in both seasons, respectively. While, the highest one i.e., (3.60 and 3.62 achieved days) was by the interaction between first seven pickings and control in the two experimental seasons.

Table 2. Weight of 100-seeds (g) as affected by pickings frequency, bio- and mineral- fertilization and their interactions during 2015 and 2016 seasons.

	First season 2	2015			
		Pick	ings frequend	cy (A)	
Fertilization (B)	0	3	5	7	Mean (B)
Control (without fertilizers)	5.39 <sup>1</sup>	5.97 <sup>j</sup>	6.29 <sup>ghi</sup>	5.71 <sup>k</sup>	5.84 <sup>E</sup>
Recommended dose of NPK (R.D NPK)	6.38 <sup>fgh</sup>	6.65 <sup>cde</sup>	6.58 <sup>c-f</sup>	$6.08^{ij}$	6.42 <sup>BC</sup>
<b>Bio-fertilizers</b>	5.99 <sup>j</sup>	6.48 <sup>c-g</sup>	6.35 <sup>fgh</sup>	5.98 <sup>j</sup>	6.20 <sup>D</sup>
Bio-fertilizers + 0.75 (R.D NPK)	6.46 <sup>d-g</sup>	7.08 <sup>a</sup>	6.74 <sup>bc</sup>	6.27 <sup>ghi</sup>	6.64 <sup>A</sup>
Bio-fertilizers + 0.50 (R.D NPK)	6.39 <sup>e-h</sup>	6.95 <sup>ab</sup>	6.69 <sup>cd</sup>	6.09 <sup>ij</sup>	6.53 <sup>AB</sup>
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.25 (R.D NPK)</b>	6.14 <sup>hij</sup>	6.61 <sup>c-f</sup>	6.50 <sup>c-g</sup>	$6.05^{ij}$	6.33 <sup>C</sup>
Mean (A)	6.13 <sup>C</sup>	6.62 <sup>A</sup>	6.53 <sup>B</sup>	6.03 <sup>D</sup>	
S	econd seasor	a 2016			
		Pick	ings frequend	ey (A)	
Fertilization (B)	0	3	5	7	Mean (B)
<b>Control (without fertilizers)</b>	5.52 <sup>n</sup>	5.86 <sup>1</sup>	5.68 <sup>m</sup>	5.60 <sup>mn</sup>	5.67 <sup>F</sup>
Recommended dose of NPK (R.D NPK)	6.27 <sup>ghi</sup>	6.49 <sup>cd</sup>	6.41 <sup>def</sup>	6.03 <sup>k</sup>	6.30 <sup>C</sup>
<b>Bio-fertilizers</b>	6.05 <sup>k</sup>	6.19 <sup>ij</sup>	6.03 <sup>k</sup>	5.78 <sup>1</sup>	6.01 <sup>E</sup>
Bio-fertilizers + 0.75 (R.D NPK)	6.57 <sup>c</sup>	6.99 <sup>a</sup>	6.73 <sup>b</sup>	6.37 <sup>efg</sup>	6.67 <sup>A</sup>
Bio-fertilizers + 0.50 (R.D NPK)	6.46 <sup>de</sup>	6.79 <sup>b</sup>	6.47 <sup>cde</sup>	6.24 <sup>hi</sup>	6.49 <sup>B</sup>
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.25 (R.D NPK)</b>	6.10 <sup>jk</sup>	6.24 <sup>hi</sup>	6.33 <sup>fgh</sup>	6.02 <sup>k</sup>	6.17 <sup>D</sup>
Mean (A)	6.16 <sup>C</sup>	6.43 <sup>A</sup>	6.28 <sup>B</sup>	6.01 <sup>D</sup>	

F	First season 20	015			
		Pick	ings frequer	ncy (A)	
Fertilization (B)	0	3	5	7	Mean (B)
<b>Control</b> (without fertilizers)	40.12 <sup>m</sup>	55.20 <sup>j</sup>	47.33 <sup>1</sup>	41.12 <sup>m</sup>	45.94 <sup>D</sup>
Recommended dose of NPK (R.D NPK)	60.08 <sup>gh</sup>	77.00 <sup>a</sup>	73.87 <sup>b</sup>	66.97 <sup>d</sup>	69.48 <sup>A</sup>
<b>Bio-fertilizers</b>	57.90 <sup>hi</sup>	70.07 <sup>c</sup>	56.93 <sup>ij</sup>	56.13 <sup>ij</sup>	60.26 <sup>C</sup>
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.75 (R.D NPK)</b>	66.47 <sup>d</sup>	74.00 <sup>b</sup>	72.92 <sup>b</sup>	59.83 <sup>h</sup>	68.31 <sup>A</sup>
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.50 (R.D NPK)</b>	63.73 <sup>ef</sup>	73.13 <sup>b</sup>	65.43 <sup>de</sup>	56.80 <sup>ij</sup>	64.77 <sup>B</sup>
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.25 (R.D NPK)</b>	62.33 <sup>fg</sup>	67.67 <sup>d</sup>	57.08 <sup>ij</sup>	51.10 <sup>k</sup>	59.55 <sup>C</sup>
Mean (A)	58.44 <sup>C</sup>	69.51 <sup>A</sup>	62.26 <sup>B</sup>	55.33 <sup>D</sup>	
Se	cond season	2016			
		Pick	ings frequer	ncy (A)	
Fertilization (B)	0	3	5	7	Mean (B)
<b>Control</b> (without fertilizers)	60.87 <sup>j</sup>	67.07 <sup>i</sup>	60.97 <sup>j</sup>	60.40 <sup>j</sup>	62.33 <sup>E</sup>
Recommended dose of NPK (R.D NPK)	82.60 <sup>cd</sup>	93.93 <sup>a</sup>	87.33 <sup>b</sup>	84.07 <sup>c</sup>	86.98 <sup>A</sup>
<b>Bio-fertilizers</b>	76.60 <sup>fg</sup>	78.60 <sup>ef</sup>	77.67 <sup>ef</sup>	68.20 <sup>i</sup>	75.27 <sup>D</sup>
Bio-fertilizers + 0.75 (R.D NPK)	86.67 <sup>b</sup>	92.20 <sup>a</sup>	84.53 <sup>c</sup>	81.53 <sup>d</sup>	86.23 <sup>A</sup>
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.50 (R.D NPK)</b>	84.07 <sup>c</sup>	82.93 <sup>cd</sup>	83.73 <sup>c</sup>	78.13 <sup>ef</sup>	82.22 <sup>B</sup>
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.25 (R.D NPK)</b>	76.77 <sup>fg</sup>	78.93 <sup>e</sup>	75.00 <sup>gh</sup>	74.30 <sup>h</sup>	76.25 <sup>C</sup>
Mean (A)	77.93 <sup>B</sup>	82.28 <sup>A</sup>	78.21 <sup>B</sup>	74.44 <sup>C</sup>	

### Table 3. Number of seeds per dry fruit affected by pickings frequency, bio- and mineral- fertilization and their interactions during 2015 and 2016 seasons.

<sup>\*</sup>Means followed by the same letter or letters are not significantly different of the 5% significance level.

Table 4. Number of dry fruits per plant as affected by pickings frequency,bio- and mineral- fertilization and their interactions during 2015 and 2016 seasons.					
Firs	st season 2015				
	Pickings frequency (A)				

ſ	Inst season 20.	15					
		Pickings frequency (A)					
Fertilization (B)	0	3	5	7	Mean (B)		
Control (without fertilizers)	4.67 <sup>n</sup>	5.47 <sup>m</sup>	4.87 <sup>n</sup>	3.67°	4.67 <sup>E</sup>		
Recommended dose of NPK (R.D NPK)	7.17 <sup>efg</sup>	8.67 <sup>b</sup>	7.20 <sup>def</sup>	6.93 <sup>fgh</sup>	7.49 <sup>B</sup>		
<b>Bio-fertilizers</b>	6.23 <sup>jk</sup>	6.87 <sup>gh</sup>	5.33 <sup>m</sup>	5.47 <sup>m</sup>	5.98 <sup>D</sup>		
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.75 (R.D NPK)</b>	8.13 <sup>c</sup>	10.00 <sup>a</sup>	7.50 <sup>d</sup>	7.03 <sup>e-h</sup>	8.17 <sup>A</sup>		
Bio-fertilizers + 0.50 (R.D NPK)	6.73 <sup>hi</sup>	7.33 <sup>de</sup>	6.53 <sup>ij</sup>	6.18 <sup>k</sup>	6.69 <sup>C</sup>		
Bio-fertilizers + 0.25 (R.D NPK)	6.33 <sup>jk</sup>	6.93 <sup>fgh</sup>	5.80 <sup>1</sup>	5.33 <sup>m</sup>	6.10 <sup>D</sup>		
Mean(A)	6.54 <sup>B</sup>	7.55 <sup>A</sup>	6.21 <sup>C</sup>	5.77 <sup>D</sup>			
Sec	cond season 2	016					
		Pic	kings freque	ncy (A)			
Fertilization (B)	0	3	5	7	Mean (B)		
Control (without fertilizers)	4.13 <sup>n</sup>	$6.00^{\rm hij}$	4.90 <sup>m</sup>	3.10°	4.53 <sup>E</sup>		
Recommended dose of NPK (R.D NPK)	7.23 <sup>e</sup>	9.27 <sup>b</sup>	6.77 <sup>f</sup>	5.70 <sup>jk</sup>	7.24 <sup>B</sup>		
<b>Bio-fertilizers</b>	6.07 <sup>hi</sup>	7.30 <sup>e</sup>	5.87 <sup>ijk</sup>	5.30 <sup>1</sup>	6.14 <sup>D</sup>		
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.75 (R.D NPK)</b>	9.43 <sup>b</sup>	9.83 <sup>a</sup>	8.27 <sup>c</sup>	6.17 <sup>ghi</sup>	8.43 <sup>A</sup>		
Bio-fertilizers + 0.50 (R.D NPK)	6.40 <sup>g</sup>	7.87 <sup>d</sup>	6.03 <sup>hij</sup>	5.60 <sup>k</sup>	6.48 <sup>C</sup>		
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.25 (R.D NPK)</b>	6.30 <sup>gh</sup>	7.67 <sup>d</sup>	5.90 <sup>ijk</sup>	5.97 <sup>hij</sup>	6.46 <sup>C</sup>		
Mean (A)	6.59 <sup>B</sup>	7.99 <sup>A</sup>	6.29 <sup>C</sup>	5.31 <sup>D</sup>			

Ter inization and their interactions during 2013 and 2010 seasons.									
	First seas	on 2015							
	Pickings frequency (A)								
Fertilization (B)	0	3	5	7	Mean (B)				
<b>Control</b> (without fertilizers)	11.27 <sup>k</sup>	18.00 <sup>hi</sup>	14.04 <sup>j</sup>	8.65 <sup>1</sup>	12.99 <sup>E</sup>				
Recommended dose of NPK (R.D NPK)	27.86 <sup>d</sup>	34.10 <sup>b</sup>	25.37 <sup>e</sup>	22.71 <sup>fg</sup>	27.51 <sup>B</sup>				
Bio-fertilizers	21.20 <sup>g</sup>	24.84 <sup>e</sup>	18.97 <sup>hi</sup>	17.09 <sup>i</sup>	20.53 <sup>D</sup>				
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.75 (R.D NPK)</b>	34.04 <sup>b</sup>	39.45 <sup>a</sup>	28.84 <sup>cd</sup>	24.11 <sup>ef</sup>	31.61 <sup>A</sup>				
Bio-fertilizers + 0.50 (R.D NPK)	25.21 <sup>e</sup>	29.69 <sup>c</sup>	21.98 <sup>g</sup>	22.54 <sup>fg</sup>	24.86 <sup>C</sup>				
Bio-fertilizers + 0.25 (R.D NPK)	21.66 <sup>g</sup>	25.44 <sup>e</sup>	19.38 <sup>h</sup>	17.67 <sup>hi</sup>	21.04 <sup>D</sup>				
Mean (A)	23.54 <sup>B</sup>	28.58 <sup>A</sup>	21.43 <sup>C</sup>	18.79 <sup>D</sup>					
	Second sea	ason 2016							
		Pi	ckings freque	ncy (A)					
Fertilization (B)	0	3	5	7	Mean (B)				
Control (without fertilizers)	14.10 <sup>1</sup>	19.43 <sup>j</sup>	16.20 <sup>k</sup>	12.03 <sup>m</sup>	15.44 <sup>E</sup>				
Recommended dose of NPK (R.D NPK)	29.86 <sup>de</sup>	35.33 <sup>b</sup>	30.00 <sup>de</sup>	28.38 <sup>ef</sup>	30.89 <sup>B</sup>				
Bio-fertilizers	23.31 <sup>i</sup>	26.69 <sup>g</sup>	$22.08^{i}$	18.71 <sup>j</sup>	$22.70^{\rm D}$				
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.75 (R.D NPK)</b>	33.91 <sup>bc</sup>	40.67 <sup>a</sup>	33.35 <sup>c</sup>	28.65 <sup>e</sup>	34.15 <sup>A</sup>				
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.50 (R.D NPK)</b>	27.09 <sup>fg</sup>	31.22 <sup>d</sup>	28.68 <sup>e</sup>	25.71 <sup>gh</sup>	28.18 <sup>C</sup>				
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.25 (R.D NPK)</b>	24.93 <sup>h</sup>	$26.98^{\mathrm{fg}}$	22.22 <sup>i</sup>	19.40 <sup>j</sup>	23.38 <sup>D</sup>				
Mean (A)	25.55 <sup>B</sup>	30.05 <sup>A</sup>	25.42 <sup>B</sup>	22.15 <sup>C</sup>					

# Table 5. Seed weight per plant (g) as affected by pickings frequency, bio- and mineral-fertilization and their interactions during 2015 and 2016 seasons.

\*Means followed by the same letter or letters are not significantly different of the 5% significance level.

#### Table 6. Total seed yield (kg/fed.) as affected by pickings frequency,

First season 2015							
		Pick	ings frequenc	y (A)			
Fertilization (B)	0	3	5	7	Mean (B)		
<b>Control (without fertilizers)</b>	216.21 <sup>1</sup>	371.38 <sup>ij</sup>	286.75 <sup>k</sup>	173.66 <sup>m</sup>	262.00 <sup>F</sup>		
Recommended dose of NPK (R.D NPK)	560.60 <sup>d</sup>	724.14 <sup>b</sup>	526.14 <sup>e</sup>	$470.37^{f}$	570.31 <sup>B</sup>		
<b>Bio-fertilizers</b>	437.29 <sup>g</sup>	510.05 <sup>e</sup>	385.00 <sup>i</sup>	357.32 <sup>g</sup>	422.42 <sup>E</sup>		
Bio-fertilizers + 0.75 (R.D NPK)	719.01 <sup>b</sup>	834.42 <sup>a</sup>	608.51 <sup>c</sup>	512.93 <sup>e</sup>	668.72 <sup>A</sup>		
Bio-fertilizers + 0.50 (R.D NPK)	479.47 <sup>f</sup>	611.93 <sup>c</sup>	440.72 <sup>g</sup>	428.32 <sup>g</sup>	490.11 <sup>C</sup>		
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.25 (R.D NPK)</b>	438.46 <sup>g</sup>	526.13 <sup>e</sup>	405.45 <sup>h</sup>	359.09 <sup>j</sup>	432.28 <sup>D</sup>		
Mean (A)	475.17 <sup>B</sup>	596.34 <sup>A</sup>	442.10 <sup>C</sup>	383.62 <sup>D</sup>			
	Second sease	on 2016					
		Pick	ings frequenc	y (A)			
Fertilization (B)	0	3	5	7	Mean (B)		
<b>Control (without fertilizers)</b>	327.22 <sup>p</sup>	418.37 <sup>m</sup>	352.40°	258.07 <sup>q</sup>	339.02 <sup>F</sup>		
Recommended dose of NPK (R.D NPK)	610.67 <sup>fg</sup>	737.47 <sup>b</sup>	648.13 <sup>d</sup>	605.87 <sup>g</sup>	650.54 <sup>B</sup>		
<b>Bio-fertilizers</b>	443.68 <sup>1</sup>	526.31 <sup>j</sup>	441.28 <sup>1</sup>	398.37 <sup>n</sup>	452.41 <sup>E</sup>		
Bio-fertilizers + 0.75 (R.D NPK)	729.96 <sup>b</sup>	841.60 <sup>a</sup>	693.69 <sup>c</sup>	610.05 <sup>fg</sup>	718.82 <sup>A</sup>		
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.50 (R.D NPK)</b>	577.26 <sup>h</sup>	624.47 <sup>e</sup>	620.35 <sup>ef</sup>	523.57 <sup>j</sup>	586.41 <sup>C</sup>		
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.25 (R.D NPK)</b>	523.65 <sup>j</sup>	561.84 <sup>i</sup>	451.49 <sup>1</sup>	468.15 <sup>k</sup>	501.28 <sup>D</sup>		
Mean (A)	535.41 <sup>B</sup>	618.34 <sup>A</sup>	534.56 <sup>B</sup>	477.35 <sup>C</sup>			

First season 2015					
			ings frequen	cy (A)	
Fertilization (B)	0	3	5	7	Mean (B)
<b>Control (without fertilizers)</b>	66.67 <sup>fg</sup>	$70.00^{\rm ef}$	$60.00^{\mathrm{gh}}$	$60.00^{\rm h}$	64.17 <sup>E</sup>
Recommended dose of NPK (R.D NPK)	$86.67^{ab}$	86.67 <sup>ab</sup>	$80.00^{bcd}$	76.67 <sup>cde</sup>	82.50 <sup>AB</sup>
Bio-fertilizers	73.33 <sup>def</sup>	76.67 <sup>cde</sup>	$70.00^{\mathrm{ef}}$	66.67 <sup>fg</sup>	71.67 <sup>D</sup>
Bio-fertilizers + 0.75 (R.D NPK)	86.67 <sup>ab</sup>	90.00 <sup>a</sup>	83.33 <sup>abc</sup>	80.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	85.00 <sup>A</sup>
Bio-fertilizers + 0.50 (R.D NPK)	76.67 <sup>cde</sup>	83.33 <sup>abc</sup>	$80.00^{bcd}$	73.33 <sup>def</sup>	78.33 <sup>BC</sup>
Bio-fertilizers + 0.25 (R.D NPK)	73.33 <sup>def</sup>	80.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	73.33 <sup>def</sup>	70.00 <sup>ef</sup>	74.17 <sup>CD</sup>
Mean (A)	77.22 <sup>AB</sup>	81.11 <sup>A</sup>	74.44B <sup>C</sup>	70.56 <sup>C</sup>	
Sec	cond season	2016			
		Pick	ings frequen	cy (A)	
Fertilization (B)	0	3	5	7	Mean (B)
<b>Control</b> (without fertilizers)	66.67 <sup>fgh</sup>	73.33 <sup>efg</sup>	63.33 <sup>gh</sup>	$60.00^{h}$	65.83 <sup>D</sup>
Recommended dose of NPK (R.D NPK)	$86.67^{ab}$	90.00 <sup>a</sup>	83.33 <sup>abc</sup>	80.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	85.00 <sup>AB</sup>
<b>Bio-fertilizers</b>	76.67 <sup>cde</sup>	80.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	70.00 <sup>efg</sup>	73.33 <sup>def</sup>	75.00 <sup>C</sup>
Bio-fertilizers + 0.75 (R.D NPK)	90.00 <sup>a</sup>	90.00 <sup>a</sup>	86.67 <sup>ab</sup>	83.33 <sup>abc</sup>	87.50 <sup>A</sup>
Bio-fertilizers + 0.50 (R.D NPK)	83.33 <sup>abc</sup>	86.67 <sup>ab</sup>	80.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	80.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	82.50 <sup>B</sup>
Bio-fertilizers + 0.25 (R.D NPK)	80.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	83.33 <sup>abc</sup>	76.67 <sup>cde</sup>	73.33 <sup>def</sup>	78.33 <sup>C</sup>
Mean (A)	80.22 <sup>B</sup>	83.89 <sup>A</sup>	76.67 <sup>C</sup>	75.00 <sup>C</sup>	

### Table 7. Seed germination (%) as affected by pickings frequency, bio- and mineral- fertilization and their interactions during 2015 and 2016 seasons.

\*Means followed by the same letter or letters are not significantly different of the 5% significance level.

.

# Table 8. Shoot length (cm) as affected by pickings frequency, bio- and mineral- fertilization and their interactions during 2015 and 2016 seasons.

First season 2015							
		Pickings frequency (A)					
Fertilization (B)	0	3	5	7	Mean(B)		
Control (without fertilizers)	2.07 <sup>m</sup>	$2.49^{1}$	$2.33^{1}$	2.00 <sup>m</sup>	2.22 <sup>F</sup>		
Recommended dose of NPK (R.D NPK)	5.17 <sup>c</sup>	5.42 <sup>b</sup>	4.47 <sup>de</sup>	4.10 <sup>g</sup>	4.79 <sup>B</sup>		
<b>Bio-fertilizers</b>	3.83 <sup>h</sup>	4.02 <sup>gh</sup>	3.32 <sup>g</sup>	2.83 <sup>k</sup>	$3.50^{\rm E}$		
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.75 (R.D NPK)</b>	5.37 <sup>b</sup>	5.88 <sup>a</sup>	5.15 <sup>c</sup>	4.40 <sup>de</sup>	5.20 <sup>A</sup>		
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.50 (R.D NPK)</b>	4.46 <sup>de</sup>	5.11 <sup>c</sup>	4.53 <sup>d</sup>	4.17 <sup>fg</sup>	4.57 <sup>C</sup>		
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.25 (R.D NPK)</b>	3.86 <sup>h</sup>	4.30 <sup>ef</sup>	3.63 <sup>i</sup>	3.30 <sup>j</sup>	3.77 <sup>D</sup>		
Mean(A)	4.12 <sup>B</sup>	4.54 <sup>A</sup>	3.91 <sup>C</sup>	3.47 <sup>D</sup>			
Se	cond seasor	n 2016					
		Pick	ings frequer	ncy (A)			
Fertilization (B)	0	3	5	7	Mean (B)		
Control (without fertilizers)	2.10°	2.53 <sup>m</sup>	2.30 <sup>n</sup>	$2.10^{\circ}$	2.26 <sup>F</sup>		
Recommended dose of NPK (R.D NPK)	5.10 <sup>d</sup>	5.43 <sup>b</sup>	4.53 <sup>ef</sup>	4.23 <sup>g</sup>	4.82 <sup>B</sup>		
<b>Bio-fertilizers</b>	3.77 <sup>i</sup>	$4.00^{\rm h}$	3.37 <sup>jk</sup>	$2.87^{1}$	3.50 <sup>E</sup>		
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.75 (R.D NPK)</b>	5.37 <sup>bc</sup>	5.77 <sup>a</sup>	5.20 <sup>cd</sup>	4.53 <sup>ef</sup>	5.22 <sup>A</sup>		
Bio-fertilizers + 0.50 (R.D NPK)	4.53 <sup>ef</sup>	5.10 <sup>d</sup>	$4.60^{\rm e}$	4.27 <sup>g</sup>	4.63 <sup>C</sup>		
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.25 (R.D NPK)</b>	3.80 <sup>i</sup>	4.37 <sup>fg</sup>	3.50 <sup>j</sup>	3.27 <sup>k</sup>	3.74 <sup>D</sup>		
Mean (A)	4.11 <sup>B</sup>	4.53 <sup>A</sup>	3.92 <sup>C</sup>	3.55 <sup>D</sup>			

and then interactions during 2015 and 2010 seasons.								
Fir	st season 2	015						
		Pick	kings frequ	ency (A)				
Fertilization (B)	0 3 5 7 Mean (B)							
Control (without fertilizers)	3.37 <sup>i</sup>	3.85 <sup>h</sup>	3.23 <sup>i</sup>	2.83 <sup>j</sup>	3.32 <sup>F</sup>			
Recommended dose of NPK (R.D NPK)	6.30 <sup>c</sup>	6.80 <sup>b</sup>	6.18 <sup>c</sup>	5.77 <sup>d</sup>	6.26 <sup>B</sup>			
Bio-fertilizers	4.90 <sup>ef</sup>	5.50 <sup>d</sup>	4.80 <sup>f</sup>	4.10 <sup>gh</sup>	4.83 <sup>E</sup>			
Bio-fertilizers + 0.75 (R.D NPK)	7.07 <sup>ab</sup>	7.28 <sup>a</sup>	6.93 <sup>b</sup>	6.27 <sup>c</sup>	6.89 <sup>A</sup>			
Bio-fertilizers + 0.50 (R.D NPK)	5.77 <sup>d</sup>	6.08 <sup>c</sup>	5.20 <sup>e</sup>	5.00 <sup>ef</sup>	5.51 <sup>C</sup>			
Bio-fertilizers + 0.25 (R.D NPK)	5.12 <sup>e</sup>	5.65 <sup>d</sup>	5.07 <sup>ef</sup>	4.30 <sup>g</sup>	5.04 <sup>D</sup>			
Mean (A)	5.42 <sup>B</sup>	5.86 <sup>A</sup>	5.24 <sup>C</sup>	4.71 <sup>D</sup>				
Seco	nd season	2016						
		Pick	kings frequ	ency (A)				
Fertilization (B)	0	3	5	7	Mean (B)			
Control (without fertilizers)	3.43 <sup>m</sup>	3.77 <sup>1</sup>	3.27 <sup>m</sup>	2.83 <sup>n</sup>	3.33 <sup>F</sup>			
Recommended dose of NPK (R.D NPK)	6.27 <sup>c</sup>	6.77 <sup>b</sup>	6.17 <sup>c</sup>	5.93 <sup>d</sup>	6.29 <sup>B</sup>			
Bio-fertilizers	4.93 <sup>gh</sup>	5.43 <sup>e</sup>	$4.70^{i}$	4.03 <sup>k</sup>	4.77 <sup>E</sup>			
Bio-fertilizers + 0.75 (R.D NPK)	6.93 <sup>b</sup>	7.27 <sup>a</sup>	6.93 <sup>b</sup>	6.30 <sup>c</sup>	6.86 <sup>A</sup>			
Bio-fertilizers + 0.50 (R.D NPK)	5.77 <sup>d</sup>	6.20 <sup>c</sup>	5.27 <sup>ef</sup>	4.53 <sup>hi</sup>	5.44 <sup>C</sup>			
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.25 (R.D NPK)</b>	5.10 <sup>fg</sup>	5.77 <sup>d</sup>	5.10 <sup>fg</sup>	4.33 <sup>j</sup>	5.08 <sup>D</sup>			
Mean (A)	5.41 <sup>B</sup>	5.87 <sup>A</sup>	5.24 <sup>C</sup>	4.72 <sup>D</sup>				

### Table 9. Root length (cm) as affected by pickings frequency, bio- and mineral- fertilizationand their interactions during 2015 and 2016 seasons.

\*Means followed by the same letter or letters are not significantly different of the 5% significance level.

Table 10. Germination rate (days) as affected by pickings frequency, bio- and mineral	l-					
fertilization and their interactions during 2015 and 2016 seasons.						
First sooson 2015						

	First seaso	on 2015				
	Pickings frequency (A)					
Fertilization (B)	0	3	5	7	Mean (B)	
Control (without fertilizers)	2.94 <sup>bc</sup>	$2.29^{ij}$	3.08 <sup>bc</sup>	3.60 <sup>a</sup>	2.98 <sup>A</sup>	
Recommended dose of NPK (R.D NPK)	2.32 <sup>hij</sup>	1.75 <sup>lm</sup>	$2.55^{\mathrm{fg}}$	$2.55^{\mathrm{fg}}$	2.29 <sup>D</sup>	
<b>Bio-fertilizers</b>	2.43 <sup>ghl</sup>	$2.22^{jk}$	2.92 <sup>cd</sup>	3.11 <sup>b</sup>	2.67 <sup>B</sup>	
Bio-fertilizers + 0.75 (R.D NPK)	1.90 <sup>1</sup>	1.70 <sup>m</sup>	2.18 <sup>jk</sup>	$2.49^{\text{fgh}}$	2.07 <sup>E</sup>	
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.50 (R.D NPK)</b>	2.35 <sup>hij</sup>	$1.88^{1}$	2.57 <sup>fg</sup>	2.64 <sup>ef</sup>	2.36 <sup>D</sup>	
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.25 (R.D NPK)</b>	2.41 <sup>ghi</sup>	2.07 <sup>k</sup>	2.62 <sup>ef</sup>	2.76 <sup>de</sup>	2.47 <sup>C</sup>	
Mean (A)	2.39 <sup>C</sup>	1.99 <sup>D</sup>	2.65 <sup>B</sup>	2.86 <sup>A</sup>		
S	econd sea	son 2016				
	Pickings frequency (A)					
Fertilization (B)	0	3	5	7	Mean (B)	
<b>Control</b> (without fertilizers)	3.01 <sup>d</sup>	2.22 <sup>k</sup>	3.09 <sup>c</sup>	3.62 <sup>a</sup>	2.99 <sup>Å</sup>	
Recommended dose of NPK (R.D NPK)	2.34 <sup>j</sup>	1.85 <sup>n</sup>	2.56 <sup>g</sup>	$2.65^{f}$	2.35 <sup>E</sup>	
<b>Bio-fertilizers</b>	2.48 <sup>h</sup>	2.20 <sup>k</sup>	2.99 <sup>d</sup>	3.35 <sup>b</sup>	2.76 <sup>B</sup>	
Bio-fertilizers + 0.75 (R.D NPK)	1.93 <sup>m</sup>	1.77°	2.24 <sup>k</sup>	2.58 <sup>g</sup>	2.13 <sup>F</sup>	
Bio-fertilizers + 0.50 (R.D NPK)	2.38 <sup>ij</sup>	1.98 <sup>m</sup>	2.64 <sup>f</sup>	2.85 <sup>e</sup>	2.46 <sup>D</sup>	
<b>Bio-fertilizers + 0.25 (R.D NPK)</b>	2.41 <sup>i</sup>	2.14 <sup>1</sup>	2.69 <sup>f</sup>	3.12 <sup>c</sup>	2.59 <sup>C</sup>	
Mean (A)	2.43 <sup>C</sup>	2.03 <sup>D</sup>	2.70 <sup>B</sup>	3.03 <sup>A</sup>		

Г

#### Reference

- Al-Karaki, G.N. (2000). Growth of mycorrhizal tomato and mineral acquisition under salt stress. Mycorrhiza. 10: 51-54.
- Anitha, P.; P.G. Sadhankumar and S. Rajan (2001). Effect of methods of drying on seed quality in okra. Vegetable Science, 29(1): 99-100.
- Anon, Y. (1996). International rules of seed testing. seed Sci. and Techno, 24 (Suppl.): 1-335.
- Bahadur, A. and R.K. Manohar (2001). Response of okra to bio-fertilizers. Veg. Sci., 28(2): 197-198.
- N.T. **P**. Baliah, and Muthulakshmi (2017). microbially of Effect enriched vermicompost on the growth and biochemical characteristics of okra (Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench). Advances in Plants and Agriculture Research, 6(5):147–152.
- Bhende, S.K; H.K. Deshmukh; Nimbolkar: P.K. R.K. A.H. Dewangan and Nagone (2015). Effect of phosphorous and potassium on quality attributes of okra cv. 'Arka anamika. International J. Environmental Sciences. 6(2):225-231.
- Bhushan, A.; K.L. Bhat and J.P. Sharma (2013). Effect of

*Azotobacter* and inorganic fertilizers on fruit and seed yield of okra cv. Hissar unnat. Agriculture Science Digest., 33(2):135–138.

- Bin Zakaria. A.A. (2009).Growth optimization of potassium solubilizing bacteria isolated from biofertilizer. Chemical engineering thesis. the degree of Bachelor of Chemical Engineering (Biotechnology), University Malaysia Pahang, 40p.
- Edmond, J.B. and W.J. Drapala (1958). The effects of temperature, sand and soil, and acetone on germination of okra seed. Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science, Itahaca, 71:428-434.
- El-Shaikh, K.A.A., and M.S. Mohammed (2009). Enhancing fresh and seed yield of okra and reducing chemical phosphorus fertilizer via using VAmycorrhizal inoculants. World J. Agricultural Sciences, 5(5):810-818.
- **El-Waraky, Y. B. (2014).** Effect of sowing date, plant density and phosphorus fertilization on seed yield of okra. Alex. J. Agric. Res., 59(1):27-41.
- Ghadge, A.G.; U.S. Shinde and R.D. Pawar (2017). Effect of spacing and picking stage on yield characters of okra, (*Abelmoschus*)

*esculentus (L)* Monech) cv. Phule utkarsha. International J. Farm Sciences, 7(3): 43-48.

- Ismail, A.Y. and Hoda H.A. (2014). Effect of organic and bio-fertilization on keeping quality of okra pods, storability and dry vield. Global seed J. Agriculture and Food Safety Sciences, 1(2):344-359.
- ISTA, (2011). International rules for seed testing. Seeds science and technology, 39:1-333.
- Jagnow, G.; G. Hoflich and K. H. Hoffmann. (1991). Inoculation of nonsymbiotic rhizosphere bacteria: Possibilities of increasing and stabilizing yields. Angew Botanik., 65: 97-126.
- Khan, A.R. and R.C. Jaisal (988). Effect of nitrogen, spacing and green fruit pickings on the seed production of okra (Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench). Veg. Sci., 15(1):8-14.
- Kumari, S.; S.P. Singh and D. Kumar (2013). Effect of fruit number on seed yield and quality of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* (*L.*) Moench]. The Asian J. Horticulture, 8:106-109.
- Moniruzzaman, M. and A.K.M. Quamruzzaman (2009). Effect of nitrogen levels

and picking of green fruits on the fruit and seed production of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (*L.*) Moench). J. Agric. Rural Dev., 7(1&2): 99-106.

- Sanganagoud, P.R; H.S. Chaitanya and L. Nagesh (2014). Effect of plant growth regulators and fruit picking on seed yield and seed quality attributes of okra in coastal karnataka. Environment & Ecology, 32(1A):215-219.
- Santamaria, P. (2006). Nitrate in vegetables: toxicity, content, intake, and EC regulation. J. Sci., Food Agric., 86:10-17.
- Singh, B.k.; K.A. Pathak; A.K. Verma; V.K. Verma and B.C. Deka (2011). Effects of vermicompost, fertilizer and mulch on plant growth, nodulation and pod yield of french bean (*phaseolus vulgaris L*.). Indian Journal of Hill Farming 23(2): 153-165.
- Singh, P.K.; V.K. Singh; D.R. Singh and P.N. Singh (2012).Response of different levels of nitrogen, spacing and green fruit picking on growth, fruit yield, seed yield and seed quality of okra [Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench]. Ann. Agric. Res. Series. New 33(1&2):36-39.

- Singh, R.K.; G.P. Singh and V.K. Singh (1999). Effect of plant growth regulators and green fruit pickings on the seed production of Bhindi (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). J. Applied Biol., 9(1): 31-34.
- Smil, V. (2000). Phosphorus in the environment: Natural flows and human interferences. Annual Review of Eenergy and Environment, 25:53-88.
- Tonacchera, M.; A. Pinchera; A. Dimida; E. Ferrarini; P. Agretti; P. Vitti; F. Santini; K. Crump and J. (2005). Gibbs Relative potencies and additivity of perchlorate, thiocyanate, nitrate and iodide uptake at iodide the sodium symporter. Thyroid, 14:1012-1019.
- Velumani, N.P. and K.R. Ramaswamy (1980). Effect of picking of fruits on plant height and seed yield in okra. Seed Res.,8(1): 52-58.
- Waller, R.A. and D.B. Duncan (1969). A Bayes rule for the sym- metric multiple comparison problem. J. American Statistical Association, 64, 1484-1503; Corrigendum (1972), 67: 253-255.