Al-Azhar Med. J. (Medicine). DOI: 10.21608/amj.2022.230476

https://amj.journals.ekb.eg/article_230476.html

AUDIOMETRIC EVALUATION FOR CHILDREN WITH IDIOPATHIC NEPHROTIC SYNDROME

By

Ahmed Faisal Amin, Moftah Mohamed Rabeea, Gehan Abd El-Rahman Elzarea and Sameh Abd El-Aziz Ahmed

Departments of Pediatrics and Audiology, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, Cairo

Mobile: (+02)01157959238, **Email:** <u>ahmed_f_a07@yahoo.com</u>

ABSTRACT

Background: The nephrotic syndrome (NS) is a glomerular disease, in which the glomerular capillary wall becomes no longer impermeable to proteins. The organs of the inner ear bear a physiological similarity to the kidney, both being concerned with maintaining the electrolyte concentration gradient. There is an association between renal and inner ear disorders, either genetically determined as in Alport syndrome and branchio-otorenal syndrome or acquired as in acute kidney injury and chronic kidney disease.

Objective: To evaluate hearing status in children with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome and to identify the underlying etiology.

Subjects and methods: This was a case controlled study which included 40 patients with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. Patients were selected according to a certain inclusion and exclusion criteria from those attending the pediatric nephrology clinic, Al-Hussein University Hospital. Those patients have been classified into 2 equal groups: Group 1: Steroid sensitive nephrotic syndrome (SSNS) and Group 2: Steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome (SRNS). Twenty apparent healthy age and gender matched children were included as a control group (group3).

All patients and control subjects were subjected to detailed history, full clinical examination and laboratory assessment including CBC, renal function, serum electrolytes (Na, K, Ca), investigations specific for nephrotic syndrome and audiometric evaluation, and repeated after remission for those who were in relapse.

Results: Sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) was detected in 8 of the 40 patients (20%). Six out of the 20 patients with SRNS (30%) had SNHL (4 mild, 2 moderate) and 2 out of 20 patients with SSNS (10%) had mild sensorineural loss.

Conclusion: Hypocalcemia, hypercholesterolemia, and hyponatremia seemed to affect hearing and should be followed up in childhood nephrotic syndrome. Disease flare and steroids using for a long period may also be risk factors for SNHL in children with NS.

Keywords: Hearing loss; nephrotic syndrome; Audiometry, Steroid.

INTRODUCTION

Nephrotic syndrome refers to the tetrad of edema, nephrotic- range proteinuria, hypoalbuminemia, and hyperlipidemia (*Uwaezuoke*, 2015).

Minimal change disease (MCD) and focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) are the two major causes of nephrotic syndrome in children (Müller-Deileet al., 2019).

Minimal change nephrotic syndrome (MCNS) has been considered a T-cell disorder, which causes the impairment of the glomerular filtration barrier with the release of different circulating factors (*Kim et al.*, 2016).

Nephrotic syndrome can be classified into two entities. SSNS if there is response to steroids within the first 4 weeks or as SRNS if there is no response to steroids after the first 4 weeks of commencing steroids (*Wang et al.*, 2019).

Children with INS have biochemical impairments which include hyponatremia, hypocalcemia and hyperlipidemia. These biochemical abnormalities are known to cause hearing impairment. Many children with NS are treated with multiple courses of diuretics which also cause ototoxicity (Saha et al., 2013).

The present work aimed to evaluate hearing status in children with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome and to identify the underlying etiology.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This was a case controlled prospective study included 40 patients with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. Patients were selected from those attending the pediatric nephrology clinic, at Al-Hussein University Hospital; those patients have been classified into 2 equal groups:

Group 1: SSNS, two of them were in relapse.

Group 2: SRNS, six of them were in relapse.

Control group: Twenty apparent healthy age and gender matched children were included as a control group (group 3).

Inclusion criteria: Age from 4-18 years, of both genders, diagnosed as SSNS and SRNS.

Exclusion criteria: NS with renal insufficiency, children with comorbid condition that can affect hearing, children with secondary nephrotic syndrome, children with previously known hearing impairment, children having chronic suppurative otitis media, and children having middle ear effusion or positive family history of hearing affections.

All patients were subjected to the following:

- **I. History included:** Age and sex, onset and duration of the disease, detailed history especially of renal troubles or hearing affection, family history of hearing or renal diseases and history of treatment of nephrotic syndrome as well as other medications.
- **II. Examination:** Physical examination included: weight in kg, height in cm, vital signs especially for blood pressure and detailed examination of all body systems.
- III. Investigations included the following: CBC, urine analysis, blood urea, serum creatinine and serum electrolytes (Na, K, Ca), investigations specific for nephrotic syndrome including nephrotic range proteinuria (by estimation of Albumin/ Creatinine Ratio in urine), serum albumin and cholesterol.

IV. Audiometric examination: Audiometric examination had been done to detect the level of hearing using pure tone audiometer model MAICO53 in a sound treated room, including:air conduction had been done at frequencies from 250 to 8000 Hz. boneconduction had been done at frequencies from 500 to

4000 Hz. Speech audiometry including speech reception threshold (SRT), most comfortable level (MCL)and speech discrimination (WD%).

Hearing loss was graded into mild (26– 40 dB), moderate (41–55 dB), moderately severe (56-70 dB), severe (71-91 dB), and profound (>91 dB).

Ethical consideration: Informed consents have been taken from all parents of our patients before the study, and they have all rights to refuse participation in the study without giving any reason. This study had been approved by the ethical committee of pediatric department.

Statistical analysis: Data were fed to the computer and analyzed using IBM SPSS

software package version 20.0. (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp) Qualitative data were described using number and percent Quantitative data were described using range (minimum and maximum), mean standard deviation. and For parametric data, Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare between the two Chi-square groups. (X2)test significance was used in order to compare qualitative proportions between parameters. ANOVA test was used to compare quantitative data. Significance of the obtained results was judged at the 5% level. In all statistical tests P value < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

No statistically significant difference between the three groups as regard to gender, age, and residence and no statistically significant difference between the two patients groups as regards duration of steroid intake but showed statistically significant difference among the three groups as regard air conduction audiometry (**Table1**).

Table (1): Comparison between the three studied groups according to different parameters

Parameters (n = 20) (n = 20) (n = 20) P Gender Male 13 (65%) 12 (60%) 9 (45%) 0.414 Female 7 (35%) 8 (40%) 11 (55%) 0.414 Age (years) Min. – Max. 4 – 18 4 – 18 4 – 18 A – 18 M – 19 M – 18 M – 18 M – 19 M	Groups	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	р	
Male 13 (65%) 12 (60%) 9 (45%) 0.414 Female 7 (35%) 8 (40%) 11 (55%) 0.414 Age (years) Min. – Max. 4 – 18 4 – 18 4 – 18 4 – 18 Mage (years) 0.609 Residence Rural 7 (35%) 9 (45%) 6 (30%) 0.605 Urban 13 (65%) 11 (55%) 14 (70%) 0.605 Duration of steroid intake (years) Min. – Max. 1 – 6 1 – 6 – 0.925 Mean ± SD. 2.8 ± 1.4 3.6 ± 1.2 – 0.925 Duration of cyclosporine intake Min. – Max. – 1 – 5 – – Min. – Max. – 1.5 –	Parameters	(n = 20)	(n = 20)	(n = 20)	Р	
Female	Gender					
Age (years) Min Max. 4 - 18 4 - 18 4 - 18 4 - 18 0.609 Residence Rural 7 (35%) 9 (45%) 6 (30%) 0.605 Buration of steroid intake (years) 0.605 11 (55%) 14 (70%) 0.605 Duration of steroid intake (years) 0.605 0.605 0.605 0.605 Min Max. 1 - 6 1 - 6 - 0.925 Mean ± SD. 2.8 ± 1.4 3.6 ± 1.2 - 0.925 Duration of cyclosporine intake 0.925 - 0.925 Min Max. - 1 - 5 - - Mean ± SD. - 2.4 ± 1 - - Ston - 2.4 ± 6 20.8 ± 1.8 0.058 Mean ± SD. 22.8 ± 3.4 24 ± 6 20.8 ± 1.8 0.058 Mean ± SD. 23.5 ± 4 25 ± 7.8 20.8 ± 2.5 0.040 Min Max. 10 - 40 10 - 50 10 - 20 0.003 Mean ± SD. 16 ± 8.2 22.8 ± 13.4			12 (60%)	9 (45%)	0.414	
Min. − Max. 4 − 18 4 − 18 4 − 18 4 − 18 Mean ± SD. 8.3 ± 3.6 9.2 ± 3.6 8.3 ± 3.8 0.609 Residence Rural 7 (35%) 9 (45%) 6 (30%) 0.605 Urban 13 (65%) 11 (55%) 14 (70%) 0.605 Duration of steroid intake (years) Min. − Max. − − − 0.925 Mean ± SD. 2.8 ± 1.4 3.6 ± 1.2 − − 0.925 Duration of cyclosporine intake Min. − Max. − 1 − 5 −	Female	7 (35%)	8 (40%)	11 (55%)	0.414	
Mean ± SD. 8.3 ± 3.6 9.2 ± 3.6 8.3 ± 3.8 0.609 Residence Rural 7 (35%) 9 (45%) 6 (30%) 0.605 Urban 13 (65%) 11 (55%) 14 (70%) 0.605 Min. – Max. 1 - 6 1 - 6 - - 0.925 Mean ± SD. 2.8 ± 1.4 3.6 ± 1.2 - 0.925 Mean ± SD. - 1 - 5 - - Min. – Max. 20 - 30 20 - 40 20 - 25 0.058 Mean ± SD. 22.8 ± 3.4 24 ± 6 20.8 ± 1.8 0.058 500 3.5 ± 4 25 ± 7.8 20.8 ± 2.5 0.040 Mean ± SD. 23.5 ± 4 25 ± 7.8 20.8 ± 2.5 0.040 Mean ± SD. 16 ± 8.2 22.8 ± 13.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.003 Mean ± SD. 16 ± 7.5 22 ± 15 11.8 ± 2.9 0.013 Mean ± SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.201 Min. – Max. 10 – 40 10 – 50 10	Age (years)					
Mean ± SD. 8.3 ± 3.6 9.2 ± 3.6 8.3 ± 3.8 Residence 7 (35%) 9 (45%) 6 (30%) 0.605 Urban 13 (65%) 11 (55%) 14 (70%) 0.605 Duration of steroid intake (years) 1 - 6 1 - 6 - 0.925 Mean ± SD. 2.8 ± 1.4 3.6 ± 1.2 - 0.925 Duration of cyclosporine intake - 1 - 5 - - Min Max. - 1 - 5 - - Air conduction frequency (Hz) 2 -	Min. – Max.	4 - 18	4 - 18		0.600	
Rural 7 (35%) 9 (45%) 6 (30%) 0.605 Urban 13 (65%) 11 (55%) 14 (70%) 0.605 Duration of steroid intake (years) Image: step of the property of the pr	Mean \pm SD.	8.3 ± 3.6	9.2 ± 3.6	8.3 ± 3.8	0.009	
Urban 13 (65%) 11 (55%) 14 (70%) 0.605 Duration of steroid intake (years) Min. – Max. 1 − 6 1 − 6 − − 0.925 Mean ± SD. 2.8 ± 1.4 3.6 ± 1.2 − 0.925 Duration of cyclosporine intake Min. – Max. − 1 − 5 − − Mean ± SD. − 2.4 ± 1 − − − Air conduction frequency (Hz) − − 2.4 ± 1 − − − − Air conduction frequency (Hz) − 0.058 − 0.058 − 0.058 − 0.058 − 0.040 0.058 − 0.040 − 0.040 0.040 0.040 0.040	Residence					
Duration of steroid intake (years) 13 (65%) 11 (55%) 14 (70%) Min. − Max. 1 − 6 1 − 6 − 0.925 Mean ± SD. 2.8 ± 1.4 3.6 ± 1.2 − 0.925 Duration of cyclosporine intake − 1 − 5 − − Air conduction frequency (Hz) − − 2.4 ± 1 − − − Air conduction frequency (Hz) − − 2.4 ± 1 − − − Air conduction frequency (Hz) − 0.058 ● − 0.058 ● − 0.058 ● ● 0.058 ● ● 0.040 ● ● 0.040 ● ●	Rural	7 (35%)	9 (45%)	6 (30%)	0.605	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Urban	13 (65%)	11 (55%)	14 (70%)	0.003	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Duration of steroid intake (years)					
Mean ± SD. 2.8 ± 1.4 3.6 ± 1.2 − Min. − Max. − 1−5 − Mean ± SD. − 2.4 ± 1 − Air conduction frequency (Hz) 250 − 2.4 ± 1 − Min. − Max. 20 − 30 20 − 40 20 − 25 0.058 Mean ± SD. 22.8 ± 3.4 24 ± 6 20.8 ± 1.8 0.058 500 − 20 − 35 20 − 45 15 − 25 0.040 Mean ± SD. 23.5 ± 4 25 ± 7.8 20.8 ± 2.5 0.040 Min. − Max. 10 − 40 10 − 50 10 − 20 0.003 Mean ± SD. 16 ± 8.2 22.8 ± 13.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.013 Mean ± SD. 16 ± 7.5 22 ± 15 11.8 ± 2.9 0.013 4000 10 − 40 10 − 50 10 − 20 0.201 Mean ± SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.201 Mean ± SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.201 Mean ± SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.201	Min. – Max.	1 – 6	1 – 6	_	0.025	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mean \pm SD.	2.8 ± 1.4	3.6 ± 1.2	_	0.925	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Duration of cyclosporine intake					
Air conduction frequency (Hz) 250 0.058 Min. – Max. $20-30$ $20-40$ $20-25$ 0.058 Mean \pm SD. 22.8 ± 3.4 24 ± 6 20.8 ± 1.8 0.058 500 $20-35$ $20-45$ $15-25$ 0.040 Mean \pm SD. 23.5 ± 4 25 ± 7.8 20.8 ± 2.5 0.040 Min. – Max. $10-40$ $10-50$ $10-20$ 0.003 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.2 22.8 ± 13.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.003 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 7.5 22 ± 15 11.8 ± 2.9 0.013 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 7.5 22 ± 15 11.8 ± 2.9 0.201 Min. – Max. $10-40$ $10-50$ $10-20$ 0.201 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.201 8000 $10-40$ $10-55$ $10-20$ 0.201 Min. – Max. $10-40$ $10-55$ $10-20$ 0.256		_	1–5	_		
Min. – Max. $20-30$ $20-40$ $20-25$ 0.058 Mean \pm SD. 22.8 ± 3.4 24 ± 6 20.8 ± 1.8 0.058 500 Min. – Max. $20-35$ $20-45$ $15-25$ 0.040 Mean \pm SD. 23.5 ± 4 25 ± 7.8 20.8 ± 2.5 0.040 Min. – Max. $10-40$ $10-50$ $10-20$ 0.003 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.2 22.8 ± 13.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.003 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 7.5 22 ± 15 11.8 ± 2.9 0.013 Min. – Max. $10-40$ $10-50$ $10-20$ 0.201 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.201 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.201 Min. – Max. $10-40$ $10-55$ $10-20$ 0.201 Min. – Max. $10-40$ $10-55$ $10-20$ 0.201 Min. – Max. $10-40$ $10-55$ $10-20$ 0.201	Mean \pm SD.	_	2.4 ± 1	_	_	
Min. – Max. $20-30$ $20-40$ $20-25$ 0.058 Mean \pm SD. 22.8 ± 3.4 24 ± 6 20.8 ± 1.8 0.058 500 Min. – Max. $20-35$ $20-45$ $15-25$ 0.040 Mean \pm SD. 23.5 ± 4 25 ± 7.8 20.8 ± 2.5 0.040 Min. – Max. $10-40$ $10-50$ $10-20$ 0.003 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.2 22.8 ± 13.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.003 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 7.5 22 ± 15 11.8 ± 2.9 0.013 Min. – Max. $10-40$ $10-50$ $10-20$ 0.201 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.201 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.201 Min. – Max. $10-40$ $10-55$ $10-20$ 0.201 Min. – Max. $10-40$ $10-55$ $10-20$ 0.201 Min. – Max. $10-40$ $10-55$ $10-20$ 0.201	Air conduction frequency (Hz)					
Mean \pm SD. 22.8 ± 3.4 24 ± 6 20.8 ± 1.8 0.058 500 Min. – Max. $20 - 35$ $20 - 45$ $15 - 25$ 0.040 Mean \pm SD. 23.5 ± 4 25 ± 7.8 20.8 ± 2.5 0.040 Min. – Max. $10 - 40$ $10 - 50$ $10 - 20$ 0.003 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.2 22.8 ± 13.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.003 Min. – Max. $10 - 35$ $10 - 55$ $10 - 20$ 0.013 4000 16 ± 7.5 22 ± 15 11.8 ± 2.9 0.201 Min. – Max. $10 - 40$ $10 - 50$ $10 - 20$ 0.201 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.201 8000 Min. – Max. $10 - 40$ $10 - 55$ $10 - 20$ 0.250	250					
Mean \pm SD. 22.8 ± 3.4 24 ± 6 20.8 ± 1.8 500 Min. – Max. $20 - 35$ $20 - 45$ $15 - 25$ 0.040 Mean \pm SD. 23.5 ± 4 25 ± 7.8 20.8 ± 2.5 0.040 Min. – Max. $10 - 40$ $10 - 50$ $10 - 20$ 0.003 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.2 22.8 ± 13.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.003 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 7.5 22 ± 15 11.8 ± 2.9 0.013 Min. – Max. $10 - 40$ $10 - 50$ $10 - 20$ 0.201 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.201 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.201 Min. – Max. $10 - 40$ $10 - 55$ $10 - 20$ 0.250 Min. – Max. $10 - 40$ $10 - 55$ $10 - 20$ 0.250	Min. – Max.	20 - 30	20 - 40	20 - 25	0.050	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mean \pm SD.	22.8 ± 3.4	24 ± 6	20.8 ± 1.8	0.058	
Mean \pm SD. 23.5 ± 4 25 ± 7.8 20.8 ± 2.5 0.040 Min Max. $10 - 40$ $10 - 50$ $10 - 20$ 0.003 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.2 22.8 ± 13.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.003 Min Max. $10 - 35$ $10 - 55$ $10 - 20$ 0.013 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 7.5 22 ± 15 11.8 ± 2.9 0.013 Min Max. $10 - 40$ $10 - 50$ $10 - 20$ 0.201 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.201 Mean \pm SD. $10 - 40$ $10 - 55$ $10 - 20$ 0.250 Min Max. $10 - 40$ $10 - 55$ $10 - 20$ 0.250	500					
Mean \pm SD. 23.5 \pm 4 25 \pm 7.8 20.8 \pm 2.5 1000 Min. – Max. 10 – 40 10 – 50 10 – 20 0.003 Mean \pm SD. 16 \pm 8.2 22.8 \pm 13.4 12.3 \pm 3.4 0.003 Min. – Max. 10 – 35 10 – 55 10 – 20 0.013 4000 10 – 40 10 – 50 10 – 20 0.201 Mean \pm SD. 16 \pm 8.8 21.3 \pm 15.4 12.3 \pm 3.4 0.201 8000 10 – 40 10 – 55 10 – 20 0.250 Min. – Max. 10 – 40 10 – 55 10 – 20 0.250	Min. – Max.	20 - 35	20 - 45	15 - 25	0.040	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mean \pm SD.	23.5 ± 4	25 ± 7.8	20.8 ± 2.5	0.040	
Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.2 22.8 ± 13.4 12.3 ± 3.4 2000 Min Max. $10-35$ $10-55$ $10-20$ 0.013 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 7.5 22 ± 15 11.8 ± 2.9 0.013 Min Max. $10-40$ $10-50$ $10-20$ 0.201 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.201 8000 Min Max. $10-40$ $10-55$ $10-20$ 0.250	1000					
Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.2 22.8 ± 13.4 12.3 ± 3.4 2000 Min. – Max. $10-35$ $10-55$ $10-20$ 0.013 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 7.5 22 ± 15 11.8 ± 2.9 0.013 4000 Min. – Max. $10-40$ $10-50$ $10-20$ 0.201 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.201 8000 Min. – Max. $10-40$ $10-55$ $10-20$ 0.250	Min. – Max.	10 - 40	10 - 50	10 - 20	0.002	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mean \pm SD.	16 ± 8.2	22.8 ± 13.4	12.3 ± 3.4	0.003	
Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 7.5 22 ± 15 11.8 ± 2.9 0.013 4000 Min. – Max. $10 - 40$ $10 - 50$ $10 - 20$ 0.201 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.201 8000 Min. – Max. $10 - 40$ $10 - 55$ $10 - 20$ 0.250	2000					
Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 7.5 22 ± 15 11.8 ± 2.9 4000 Min Max. $10 - 40$ $10 - 50$ $10 - 20$ 0.201 Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.201 8000 Min Max. $10 - 40$ $10 - 55$ $10 - 20$ 0.250	Min. – Max.	10 - 35	10 - 55	10 - 20	0.013	
Min. – Max. $10-40$ $10-50$ $10-20$ Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 8000 Min. – Max. $10-40$ $10-55$ $10-20$ 0.250	Mean \pm SD.	16 ± 7.5	22 ± 15	11.8 ± 2.9		
Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.201 8000 In the second of the	4000					
Mean \pm SD. 16 ± 8.8 21.3 ± 15.4 12.3 ± 3.4 0.201 8000 In the second of the	Min. – Max.	10 - 40	10 - 50	10 - 20	0.201	
8000 10-40 10-55 10-20 0.250					0.201	
	Min. – Max.	10 - 40	10 - 55	10 - 20	0.250	
			21.5 ± 16.1		0.250	

Group 1:Steroid sensitive nephrotic syndrome

Group 2:Steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome

Group 3:Control group

There was a statistically significant difference among the three groups as regard to cholesterol and serum albumin but there was no statistically significant difference as regard to Hb, and showed that serum Na levels were significantly lower in both SSNS and SRNS groups than the control group (p= 0.001). Serum Ca levels also were significantly lower in both SSNS and SRNS groups than control group (p=0.004), but there was statistically significant difference as regard serum K (Table 2).

Table (2): Comparison between the three studied groups according to different parameters

Groups	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	p
parameters	(n = 20)	(n = 20)	(n = 20)	
Hb (g/dl)				
Min. – Max.	11.2 - 12.8	10.5 - 12.8	10.2 - 13.2	0.256
Mean \pm SD.	12.3 ± 0.4	12 ± 0.7	12 ± 0.8	
Serum albumin				
(g/dl)				
Min. – Max.	2.6 - 4.4	2.5 - 4.2	3.5 - 3.9	0.041
Mean \pm SD.	3.7 ± 0.5	3.6 ± 0.6	4 ± 0.4	
	$p_1=0.45, p_2=0.45$	=0.048, p3=0.034		
Cholesterol (mg/dl)				
Min. – Max.	218 - 372	314 - 458	135 - 255	< 0.001
Mean \pm SD.	269 ± 43.8	394 ± 40.1	183.1 ± 29.2	
	$p_1 < 0.001, p_2$	$=0.001, p_3 < 0.001$		
Na (mEq/l)				
Min. – Max.	130 - 139	130 - 139	135 - 142	0.001
Mean \pm SD.	135.2 ± 2.7	135.1 ± 2.6	138 ± 2	
$p_1=0.998, p_2=0.002, p_3=0.002$				
K (mEq/l)				
Min. – Max.	3.8 - 4.7	3.7 - 4.8	3.6 - 4.8	0.559
Mean \pm SD.	4.2 ± 0.3	4.2 ± 0.3	4.1 ± 0.4	
Ca (mg/dl)				
Min. – Max.	8.2 - 9.2	8.2 - 9.2	8.4 - 9.9	0.020
Mean \pm SD.	8.7 ± 0.3	8.7 ± 0.3	9 ± 0.5	
	$p_1=0.867, p_2=0.023, p_3=0.006$			

Group 1:Steroid sensitive nephrotic syndrome

Group 2:Steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome

Group 3:Control group

There was a positive correlation between the cumulative dose of steroid and hearing loss detected by audiometric examination, but there was no definite correlation between the cumulative dose of cyclosporine and hearing loss (**Table 3**).

Table (3): Correlation between audiometric examination for patients with hearing loss (N=8) and cumulative dose of both steroid and cyclosporine

Doses	Cumulative dose of steroid (mg/kg)		Cumulative dose of cyclosporine	
Examination frequency (Hz)	\mathbf{r}_{s}	P	r _s	P
Air conduction				
250	0.902	0.002	0.054	0.931
500	0.982	< 0.001	0.054	0.931
1000	0.945	< 0.001	-0.162	0.794
2000	0.805	0.016	-0.433	0.467
4000	0.932	0.001	-0.342	0.573
8000	0.784	0.021	0.135	0.828
Bone conduction				
500	0.982	< 0.001	0.054	0.931
1000	0.945	< 0.001	-0.162	0.794
2000	0.741	0.036	-0.342	0.573
4000	0.932	0.001	-0.342	0.573

Hyponatremia was observed in 80% Hypocalcemia in 87%, and hypercholesterolemia in 100% (**Table 4**).

Table (4): Distribution of the cases with hearing loss according to the electrolyte disturbance (n = 8)

	No. (%)	
Hyponatremia		
Negative	2 (25%)	
Positive	6 (75%)	
Hypocalcemia		
Negative	1 (12.5%)	
Positive	7 (87.5%)	
Hypercholesterolemia		
Negative	0 (0%)	
Positive	8 (100%)	

Audiometric evaluation for all patients with hearing loss (n=8) showed that no significant difference detected between those cases either in relapse or in remission (p value>0.05), but there was significant difference between patients with hearing loss during relapse and

control group (p value <0.05). Also, there was a significant difference between patients with hearing loss during remission and control group (p value <0.05). This indicated that the hearing impairment in those children still present even after remission (**Table 5**).

Groups Air conduction Frequency(Hz)	Group 1b (n= 8)	Group 2b (n= 8)	Group 3 (n=20)	
250				
Mean \pm SD.	26.25 ± 7.44	26.67 ± 8.76	20.8 ± 1.8	
500				
Mean \pm SD.	29.38 ± 8.63	30.0 ± 10.0	20.8 ± 2.5	
	$p_1=0.889, p_2=0$.023 ,p ₃ =0.020		
1000				
Mean ± SD.	32.50 ± 14.64	30.83 ± 16.86	12.3 ± 3.4	
	p ₁ =0.869,p ₂ =0.022,p ₃ =0.021			
2000				
Mean \pm SD.	31.25 ± 19.04	28.33 ± 21.37	11.8 ± 2.9	
4000				
Mean ± SD.	31.25 ± 17.06	28.33 ± 19.15	12.3 ± 3.4	
8000				

 30.0 ± 22.58

 31.88 ± 19.45

Table (5): Data of cases with hearing loss (during relapse and after remission) and control group

p1: p value for comparison between Group 1b and Group2b p2: p value for comparison between Group 1band Group3

Group1b: cases of hearing loss during remission Group2b: cases of hearing loss during relapse

Group3: control group

Mean \pm SD.

DISCUSSION

The prognosis of NS in children correlates with the spectrum responsiveness to steroid therapy, from SSNS to SRNS. SRNS is the most common acquired cause of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) in children (Uwaezuoke, 2015).

Nephrotoxic drugs and biochemical impairments which occur in Children suffering from NS are the main risk factors of hearing impairment in those children (Saha et al., 2013).

In the study of Vilayur et al. (2010), they reported several physiological, ultra antigenic similarities structural and between the kidney and the cochlea that strongly support the link between the hearing impairment and chronic kidney disease.

 12 ± 3

Children with acute kidney injury and chronic kidney disease are known to have hearing impairment. Children with INS have biochemical impairments which include hyponatremia, hypocalcemia, and hyperlipidemia (Saha et al., 2013).

In our study, regarding comparison the three studied between groups according to demographic data, there was statistically significant difference between groups in gender, age, and residence. These data were consistent with Braun et al. (2019) and Gooding et al. (2020). Braun et al. (2019) concluded that

p3: p value for comparison between Group 2band Group3

NS can affect children of any age, from infancy to adolescence.

As regard comparison between the two studied groups according to duration of steroid intake (years), there was no statistically significant difference between groups in duration of steroid intake.

In our study, only 12.5% were under hypertensive drugs with controlled blood pressure. Those were consistent with *Ghobrial et al.* (2013) who found that 15% of nephrotic patients were hypertensive.

In our results, there was statistically significant difference among groups as regard serum albumin this was consistent with the study of *El Mashadet al.* (2017) who reported that serum albumin was significant lower in cases than in controls.

Regarding audiometry measurements, there was a statistically significant difference among groups as regard air conduction audiometry. Also, comparison between the three studied groups according to bone conduction showed statistically significant differences among groups as regard bone conduction audiometry. Our results were supported by those obtained by *El Mashad et al.* (2017) who reported similar results.

In our study, there was SNHL in 20% of patients. These data matched with the results of *Mahfouz et al.* (2016) who reported that 22% of patients had SNHL. Also, *Marie et al.* (2019) showed that 23.7% of patients showed hearing impairment, while 76.3% had normal hearing. 45% had SNHL due to electrolyte disturbance; while 55% had conductive hearing loss which may be attributed to

recurrent ear infections caused by immune suppression by steroids.

The eight patients who showed hearing losses were in relapse were distributed as follow two out of twenty patients in the SSNS group (10%) and six out of twenty patients in the SRNS group (30%).

Audiometric evaluation for all patients with hearing loss showed that no significant difference was detected between those cases either in relapse or in remission. This indicates that the hearing impairment in those children is still present even after remission. This can be explained by the effect of the disease itself as well as the electrolyte disturbance.

Orendorz et al. (2010) concluded that disease exacerbation is a risk factor for hearing loss in children suffering from nephrotic syndrome and be explained by the electrolyte impairment and edema of different tissue which is worse during exacerbation.

Saha et al. (2013) noticed that children with FRNS/SDNS had a statistically significant higher threshold for hearing (SNHL) at frequencies of 250 and 500 Hz than normal children in about 15% of the group. However, children with SRNS had a higher threshold for hearing at frequencies of 250, 500, 1,000, and 2000 Hz than the controls in 50% of the group.

Furthermore, *Orendorz et al.* (2010) realized that children with NS had worse hearing outcome than the healthy children even after remission. These are concordant with our results.

Regarding laboratory investigations, there were statistically significant differences among groups as regard serum calcium and serum sodium which were lower in SRNS and SSNS than the control group. This can be explained by the frequent use of diuretics and steroids which cause this side effect. In agreement with our results, *Marie et al.* (2019) showed a statistically significant lower serum calcium (due to urinary loss of vitamin D), and serum sodium in cases with SNHL.

Hyponatremia was observed in 80%, hypocalcemia in 87% and hyper cholesterolemiain 100%. There was a statistically significant difference regarding Na and Ca, but no statistically significant difference regarding serum K.

We observed that there was statistically significant difference in cumulative dose of cyclosporine patients with hearing loss as regard audimetric examination. In agreement with our results, Kasap-Demir et al. (2017) concluded that cyclosporine causes no hearing defect in pediatric patients with nephrotic syndrome. They reported that cyclosporine is not responsible permanent SNHL with NS, and there is no sufficient evidence to consider routine hearing assessment in children with NS treated with cyclosporine.

CONCLUSION

NS is a common pediatric problem with many complications. Children with different phenotypes of nephrotic syndrome were at risk of hearing Hypocalcemia, impairment. hypercholesterolemia, and hyponatremia seemed to affect hearing and should be followed up in childhood nephrotic syndrome. Also, disease flare up was well recognized association for transient hearing loss in that group of patients. Using steroids for a long period may also be a risk factor for SNHL in children with NS.

REFERENCES

- 1. BraunDA, Warejko JK, Ashraf S, Tan W, Daga A, Schneider R, Hermle T, Jobst-schwan T and Majmundar AJ (2019): Genetic variants in the LAMA5 gene in pediatric nephrotic syndrome. Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation, 34(3): 485-493.
- 2. El Mashad GM, El Fotoh WM, El Abedein AM and Abd El raoof (2017): Biochemical alteration in children with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome associated with an increased risk of sensorineural hearing loss; additional insights in cochlear renal relationship. International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, 97: 206-210.
- **3. Ghobrial EE, Fahmey SS, Ahmed ME and Botrous OE** (**2013**): Behavioral changes in Egyptian children with nephrotic syndrome. Iranian Journal of Kidney Diseases, 7(2): 108-116.
- 4. GoodingJR, Agrawal S, McRitchie S, Acuff Z, Merchant ML, Klein JB, Patel H and Ransom RF (2020): Predicting and defining steroid resistance in pediatric nephrotic syndrome using plasma metabolomics. Kidney International Reports, 5(1): 81-93.
- 5. Kasap-Demir B, Özmen D, Kırkım G, Doğan E, Soylu A, Şerbetçioğlu B,and Kavukçu S (2017): Cyclosporine causes no hearing defect in paediatric patients with nephrotic syndrome. International Journal of Audiology, 56(9): 701-705.
- 6. Kim SH, Park SJ, Han KH, Kronbichler A, Saleem MA, Oh Jand Shin JI (2016): Pathogenesis of minimal change nephrotic syndrome: an immunological concept. Korean Journal of Pediatrics, 59(5):205-211.

- 7. Mahfouz NN, El-Shabrawy MM and Ghobrial EE (2016): Hearing status in Egyptian children with nephrotic syndrome. Medical Research Journal, 15(1): 1-7.
- **8.** Marei R, Ibrahium MAand Nabhan DA (2019): Hearing status in children with idiopathic nephrotic syndrome. GEGET, 14(1): 39-47.
- Müller-Deile J, Schenk Hand Schiffer M (2019): Minimal-change-Glomerulonephritis und fokal-segmentale Glomerulosklerose. Der Internist, 60(5): 450-457.
- 10. Orendorz-Fraczkowska K, Medyńska A, Jabłonka Aand Gawron W (2010): Hearing organ function in children with nephrotic syndrome in the course of primary glomerulopathy. Otolaryngologia Polska= The Polish Otolaryngology, 62(2): 182-187.

- 11. Saha A, Gupta V, Kapoor K, Dubey NK, Lal P, Sidhu TS, Batra VV and Upadhyay AD (2013): Hearing status in children with frequently relapsing and steroid resistant nephrotic syndrome. Pediatric Nephrology, 28(3): 439-445.
- **12. Uwaezuoke SN (2015):** Steroid-sensitive nephrotic syndrome in children: triggers of relapse and evolving hypotheses on pathogenesis. Italian Journal of Pediatrics, 41(1): 1-6.
- 13. Vilayur E, Gopinath B, Harris DC, Burlutsky G, McMahon CMand Mitchell P (2010): The association between reduced GFR and hearing loss: a cross-sectional population-based study. American Journal of Kidney Diseases, 56(4): 661-669.
- **14.** Wang C-s and Greenbaum LA (2019): Nephrotic syndrome. Pediatric Clinics, 66(1): 73-85.

الفحص السمعي لأطفال المتلاز مة الكلوية أحمد فيصل أمين، مفتاح محمد ربيع، جيهان عبد الرحمن الزارع*، سامح عبد العزيز

قسمى الأطفال والسمعيات *، كلية الطب، جامعة الأزهر، القاهرة

E-mail: ahmed_f_a07@yahoo.com

خلفية البحث: المتلازمة الكلوية من الأمراض الشائعة في الأطفال ولا يؤثر على الكلي فقط وإنما يوثر على أجهزة الجسم المختلفة ومنها السمع خصوصا في الأطفال النين يتناولون عقار الكورتيزون لفترات طويله ويتعرضون لانتكاساسات متكرره من المرض.

الهدف من البحث: معرفة تأثير المتلازمة الكلوية عند الأطفال على درجة السمع لديهم ومعرفة السبب الكامن وراء ذلك.

اجري هذا البحث على أربعين طفلا من الذين يعانون من المتلازمة الكلوية والمترددين علي عياده كلي الأطفال بمستشفي الحسين الجامعي وتراوحت أعمار هم مابين (٤-٨١) سنة وقد تم تقسيمهم الى مجموعتين متساويتين:

- المجموعــة الأولــي: وشملت عشرون طفيلا من المستجيبين للعلاج بالكورتيزون منهم ١٣ ذكرا و٧ إناث.
- المجموعة الثانية: وشملت عشرون طفلا من غير المستجيبين للعلاج بالكورتيزون منهم ١٢ ذكرا و٨ إناث.

وقد تم استبعاد الذين يعانون من فشل كلوى او ضعف بالسمع او أمراض مزمنة توثر على السمع او يتناولون أدوية قد توثر على السمع، وذلك حتى لا تتأثر نتائج البحث بعوامل خارجة عن أهداف البحث.

ملحوظة: لقد تم أخذ مجموعة عشرين طفلا من الأطفال الاصحاء المماثلين في السن والجنس للأطفال المرضى كمجموعة مقارنة وذلك لمقارنة نتائج الأطفال المرضى بنتائجهم.

المرضى وطرق البحث: لقد خضع هؤلاء الأطفال جميعا للاتي:

- 1. أخذ طريق مفصل للمرض.
- 2. فحص إكلينيكي شامل لجميع أجهزة الجسم.
- 3. اجراء التحاليل اللازمة خاصة اللي تتعلق بالمتلازمة الكلوية.
- 4. عمل مقياس سمع لهؤلاء الأطفال جميعا بما فيهم الأطفال الاصحاء.

نتائج البحث: ثبت ان تمانية طفلا من الأربعين طفلا المرضى يعانون من ضعف في السمع وهو لاء الأطفال الثمانية كانوا في الحالة النشطة للمرض وكانوا موزعين كالأتي:

- عدد طفلين من المجموعة الأولى (المستجيبة للكورتيزون).
- عدد ستة أطفال من المجموعة الثانية (الغير مستجيبة للعلاج بالكورتيزون).

وقد أثبتنا ان السبب في ضعف السمع لديهم هو الحالة النشطة للمرض وتناول عقار الكورتيزون لفترات طويلة بالإضافة الى اختلال في عنصري الصوديوم والكالسيوم لدى الأطفال المرضى حيث توصلنا الى ان مستوى الصوديوم والكالسيوم في دم الأطفال المرضى كان اقال بكثير من الاصحاء وكان الفرق له دلالة إحصائية.

الاستنتاج:

- متابعة الأطفال اللي يعانون من المتلازمة الكلوية بصورة منتظمة وعمل التحاليل اللازمة لهم عند كل زيارة.
- عمل مقياس سمع له ولاء الأطفال خاصة الذين يكونون في الحالة النشطة للمرض.

الكلمات الدالة: فقدان السمع، المتلازمة الكلوية، اختبار السمع، الكورتيزون.