

INFLUENCE OF PACLOBUTRAZOL FOLIAR SPRAYING ON GROWTH, FLOWERING AND SOME CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS OF SWEET PEA (*Lathyrus odoratus* L.) PLANTS

Gadallah, F. M. * and Sh. M. Selim**

* Botany Department, Fac. of Agric., Fayoum Univ., Egypt

** Horticulture Department, Fac. of Agric., Fayoum Univ., Egypt

ABSTRACT

The present investigation was conducted in the experimental area in Faculty of Agriculture, Fayoum, Cairo University in two successive seasons of 2003/2004 and 2004/2005. The objective of this study is to investigate the effect of paclobutrazol (PP₃₃₃) spraying at the rates of 10, 20 and 30 mg L⁻¹ on growth, flowering and some chemical constituents of sweet pea (*Lathyrus odoratus* L.) plants. Appreciable effects of various treatments were observed on all the studied parameters during the two growing seasons. Spraying plants with PP₃₃₃ at all rates decreased plant height, leaf area leaf⁻¹, leaf area plant⁻¹, fresh and dry weight of leaves and branches plant⁻¹, floral stalk length, fresh and dry weight inflorescence⁻¹ and flower⁻¹. On the other hand, No. of branches, leaves and inflorescences plant⁻¹ were increased in response to the treatment of PP₃₃₃. The flowering date as affected by PP₃₃₃ spraying was delayed with respect to chemical constituents; leaf pigments (chlorophyll a, b and total as well as carotenoids), total soluble carbohydrates in leaves and flowers as well as anthocyanin concentration in flowers were increased. However, total free amino acids and total indoles in leaves were decreased by PP₃₃₃ application. Additionally, the pronounced effect in the vegetative growth and flowering characters as well chemical constituents were obtained when the plants were sprayed with 30 mg L⁻¹. Finally, in the light of these results, it could be concluded that to produce showy flowering pot plants from sweet pea, the plants must be sprayed with paclobutrazol at the rate of 30 mg L⁻¹.

INTRODUCTION

Annual flowering plants are considered one of the main lines in landscape gardening. Sweet pea (*Lathyrus odoratus* L.) is one of these plants which has many daisy like flowers available in a wide range of colours and flower patterns, this makes it very showy flowering plant.

The production of potted plants may depends on controlling plant height and improving the other characteristics of plant such as flowering, flower number, plant colour and branching. Growth retardants may have an important role in controlling these characters and improve ornamental value of plants in order to be used as flowering pot plants (Ecke *et al.*, 1990; Martin *et al.*, 1994 and Matter, 2003).

Paclobutrazol (PP₃₃₃) is one of such substances which affects plant habit and development of many ornamental plant species and produced it as flowering pot plants. In this respect, Menesy *et al.* (1989) on *Senecio hybridus*, Salem *et al.* (1991) on *Gomphrena globosa* L., El-Sallami (2001) on poinsettia and Matter (2003) on *Althaea rosea* L., confirmed that PP₃₃₃ as a

growth retardant had a great effect on growth, flowering and chemical constituents of plants and producing compact plants.

Thus, the present work aimed to study the effect of paclobutrazol (PP₃₃₃) spraying at different rates on growth, flowering and some chemical constituents of sweet pea (*Lathyrus odoratus* L.) plants.

Keywords: Sweet pea, paclobutrazol, growth, flowering, chemical constituents

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A pot trial was conducted during two successive seasons; 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 in the experimental area in the Faculty of Agriculture, Fayoum, Cairo University, Egypt. It aimed to study the influence of PP₃₃₃ foliar application on growth, flowering and some chemical constituents of sweet pea plants. Seeds of sweet pea were sown on 18th September for both seasons in 30 cm diameter clay pots filled with loamy clay soil (3 seeds for each pot). The physical and chemical properties of used soil as analyzed by the standard procedures of Klute (1986) and Page *et al.* (1982) are shown in Table (1).

Table (1): Physical and chemical properties of the tested soil before sowing for both seasons.

Property	2003/2004	2004/2005
Physical:		
Clay%	21.6	22.1
Silt%	42.9	40.2
Sand%	35.5	37.7
Soil texture	Loamy clay	Loamy clay
Chemical:		
pH (1: 2.5)	7.4	7.6
ECe (dS.m ⁻¹)	1.55	1.72
Total N (mg 100 ⁻¹ g)	54.26	57.11
Organic matter%	1.02	1.16
Soluble cations (mg L⁻¹):		
K ⁺	0.25	0.31
Na ⁺	7.20	7.57
Ca ⁺⁺	14.30	15.03
Soluble anions (mg L⁻¹):		
SO ₄ ⁻⁻	8.85	7.82
Cl ⁻	15.00	13.06
HCO ₃ ⁻	2.50	2.62

When the seedlings were in the fourth true leaf-stage (39 days after sowing), the plants were thinned for one plant each pot and were treated as follows:-

- 1- Control; untreated with PP₃₃₃, but sprayed with distilled water only.
- 2- Spraying with PP₃₃₃ at the rate of 10 mg L⁻¹
- 3- Spraying with PP₃₃₃ at the rate of 20 mg L⁻¹
- 4- Spraying with PP₃₃₃ at the rate of 30 mg L⁻¹

In PP₃₃₃ application, suspended paclobutrazol \pm -(R*, R*)- β -[(4-chlorophenyl)methyl]- α -(1,1-dimethyl)-1H-(1,2,4-triazol)-1-ethanol (Kamoutsis *et al.*, 1999) was diluted with distilled water to the rates of 10, 20 and 30 mg L⁻¹ and sprayed on the plants to the run-off stage, three times. The first application was conducted when the plants had 4 true leaves (39 days from sowing) and second and third sprays were applied after 10 and 20 days from the first one, respectively. However, few drops of tween-20 (as a wetting agent) were added to the spraying solution.

Recommended cultural practices for sweet pea were followed. A complete randomized block design with 3 replicates (10 pots replicate⁻¹) for each treatment was used. At the flowering stage, the following data were recorded.

I- Vegetative growth traits.

Plant height (cm), was recorded from pot-soil surface to the terminal growing- tip of the plant. Also, number of branches and leaves plant⁻¹, leaf area leaf⁻¹(cm²), leaf area plant⁻¹(dm²) were estimated. Fresh and dry weight of leaves and branches plant⁻¹(g) were also recorded

II- Flowering traits.

Flowering date (days); the number of days from sowing to the beginning of flowering of plants in each treatment, number of flowers plant⁻¹; each 15 days from the starting of flowering till the end of experiment for each treatment (226 days from sowing in the first season and 230 days from sowing in the second one), length of floral stalk (cm), fresh and dry weight inflorescence⁻¹ and flower⁻¹(g) were recorded.

III- Chemical constituents.

Leaf pigments; chlorophyll a, b and total as well as carotenoids concentration (mg g⁻¹ fresh weight of leaf) were determined using colorimetric method as described by Arnon (1949). Anthocyanin content (mg 100g⁻¹ fresh weight of flowers) was colorimetrically determined according to Fuleki and Francis (1968). Total soluble carbohydrates content (%) were colorimetrically determined in dry matter of leaves and flowers using phenol-sulphoric acid reagent method as outlined by Dubois *et al.* (1956). Total free amino acids were colorimetrically determined as described by the method of Rosein (1957). Total indoles were colorimetrically determined by the method of Larson *et al.* (1962). The obtained data were statistically analyzed and comparisons among means of different treatments were performed using the Least Significant Differences procedure (LSD) at $p=0.05$ level as illustrated by Snedecor and Cochran (1980).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

I- The effect on vegetative growth.

1- Plant height

Data presented in Table (2) clearly show that, PP₃₃₃ treatments at all concentrations (10, 20 and 30 mg L⁻¹) caused a significant reduction in plant height as compared to untreated plants (control). Such reduction was

gradually increased by increasing PP₃₃₃ concentration in both seasons. The reduction (%) in the plant height (as shown in Table 2) in the first season was: 22.5%, 45.71% and 56.07% for 10, 20 and 30 mg L⁻¹, respectively. While, in the second season was: 28.4%, 40.84% and 59.77% for 10, 20 and 30 mg L⁻¹, respectively as compared with the control. The data revealed also that, the higher the rate of PP₃₃₃ applied (30 mg L⁻¹), the greater the reduction was obtained. In this respect, the reduction in plant height induced by PP₃₃₃ application might be explained on ground of the histological study conducted by Zhaoliang *et al.* (1995). They retrieved that the dwarfing effect of PP₃₃₃ on the stature of the plant, arises as a result of decreasing cells length rather than number of cells. Changes in GA_s/ABA balance in PP₃₃₃- treated plants were probably responsible for the reduction in plant height (Wan *et al.*, 1989). Such result may be a direct reflection of its inhibitory effect on biosynthesis of GA₃ at the same enzymatic sites (Hedden and Greabe, 1984). Many investigators reported similar findings on different crops; Hammer and Kirk (1981), El-Masry and Barakat (1991) on potato, Ludolph (1992) on some ornamental plants. Lozoyasaldan (1994) on chrysanthemum, Mojecka and Kerin (1995) on pepper, Kamoutsis *et al.* (1999) on *Gardenia jasminoides* L., Osman (2000) and Nassar *et al.* (2001) on sweet pepper and Matter (2003) on hollyhock.

Table (2): Effect of PP₃₃₃ on vegetative growth traits of sweet pea during 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons.

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	No. of branches plant ⁻¹	No. of leaves plant ⁻¹	Leaf area leaf ⁻¹ (cm ²)	Leaves area plant ⁻¹ (dm ²)	Fresh wt. of leaves plant ⁻¹ (g)	Dry wt. of leaves plant ⁻¹ (g)	Fresh wt. of branches plant ⁻¹ (g)	Dry wt. of branches plant ⁻¹ (g)
2003/2004									
Control	70.00	9.33	79.75	25.40	20.26	48.48	17.24	48.57	13.28
PP ₃₃₃ (ppm)									
10	45.25	11.00	101.50	16.11	16.35	40.51	13.55	42.27	10.06
20	38.00	13.00	135.50	10.02	13.57	35.24	11.82	37.10	7.89
30	30.75	16.67	178.01	5.11	9.09	26.14	7.76	32.88	5.13
LSD _{0.05}	6.45	1.15	9.31	3.82	2.56	3.81	2.98	4.03	2.19
2004/2005									
Control	73.00	8.20	83.11	23.11	19.21	46.53	18.83	50.49	13.60
PP ₃₃₃ (ppm)									
10	50.12	11.14	117.15	13.18	15.44	41.98	14.91	47.25	11.16
20	43.19	15.11	146.21	8.07	11.79	32.32	10.77	41.08	8.18
30	29.37	18.03	181.15	5.33	9.65	27.14	8.32	36.93	6.34
LSD _{0.05}	5.36	2.19	13.19	2.42	1.72	3.13	1.83	2.08	1.71

2- Number of branches plant⁻¹

Paclobutrazol concentrations expressed a significant influence on number of branches plant⁻¹ in both seasons (Table 2). Increasing PP₃₃₃ concentration significantly increased number of branches plant⁻¹ as compared with the control. The increase (%) in branches plant⁻¹ in the first season was: 17.89(%, 39.39(%) and 78.67(%) for 10, 20 and 30 mg L⁻¹, respectively.

While, in the second one, the increase was: 35.85(%), 84.26(%) and 119.87(%), respectively as compared with the control. Generally, it could be concluded that increasing PP₃₃₃ concentration associated with the gradual increase in number of branches plant⁻¹. These results may be due to that PP₃₃₃ caused a stopping apical dominance phenomenon (Maus, 1987 on *Hibiscus* and Adham, 2001 on hollyhock).

3- Number of leaves plant⁻¹

As clearly shown in Table (2), spraying plants with PP₃₃₃ at any concentration increased the number of leaves plant⁻¹ over the control. The increases were significant in the two seasons as compared with the control. The increases (%) in the number of leaves plant⁻¹ over the control were: 79.93%, 98.74% and 135.87% in the first season for 10, 20 and 30 mg L⁻¹, respectively and 77.05%, 127.66% and 143.17% in the second season for 10, 20 and 30 mg L⁻¹, respectively. Such increase in the number of leaves plant⁻¹ might be attributed to the increase in the number of branches plant⁻¹ which resulted from overcoming the apical dominance by PP₃₃₃. Similar results were obtained by Osman(2000) and Nassar *et al.* (2001) on sweet pepper and Adham (2001) and Matter (2003) on hollyhock.

4- Leaf area leaf⁻¹

Data presented in Table (2) elucidate the influence of PP₃₃₃ concentration on leaf area leaf⁻¹. The application of PP₃₃₃ treatments significantly decreased leaf area leaf⁻¹ in both seasons as compared with the control. The leaf area leaf⁻¹ was decreased by 8.35%, 51.65% and 83.46% in the first season by spraying with PP₃₃₃ at the rates of 10, 20 and 30 mg L⁻¹, respectively. While in the second season, the decrease was: 17.48%, 42.97% and 73.47% for 10, 20 and 30 mg L⁻¹, respectively. In general, the leaf area leaf⁻¹ was decreased with increasing the rate of PP₃₃₃. This reduction in leaf area leaf⁻¹ in PP₃₃₃-treated plants may be due to the reduction in cell division (Helal, 1993 on *Euphorbia pulcherrima* L., Ruter, 1996 on *Lantana camara* L. and Nassar *et al.*, 2001 on sweet pepper).

5- Leaf area plant⁻¹

The results in Table (2) show that, the PP₃₃₃ treatments affected leaf area plant⁻¹ by the trend as in the leaf area leaf⁻¹ in both seasons. However, the depressive effect of PP₃₃₃ on leaf area plant⁻¹, clearly demonstrated that the enhancing effect of PP₃₃₃ on number of leaves plant⁻¹ was not able to overcome its depressive effect on leaf area. Latimer (1992) proved similar results on tomato and Helal (1993) on *Euphorbia pulcherrima*.

6- Fresh and dry weight leaf⁻¹

In both seasons, the treatments of PP₃₃₃ significantly decreased the fresh and dry weight of the leaf as compared with the control (Table 2). The differences between PP₃₃₃ concentrations were significant. The results show also that, the fresh and dry weight leaf⁻¹ of PP₃₃₃-treated plants decreased with increasing the concentration of PP₃₃₃. However, the higher values of low PP₃₃₃ concentrations (10 mg L⁻¹) as compared to the other ones (20 and 30 mgL⁻¹) could be discussed on the base that PP₃₃₃-treated plants at low

have higher content of dry matter than the higher concentrations (Steffens and Wang, 1984). On the other hand, the depressive effect at high concentrations of PP₃₃₃ could be due to the drastic internal shading within the compacted canopy (Pombo *et al.*, 1985).

7- Fresh and dry weight of branches plant⁻¹

The obtained results in Table (2) show that all treatments of PP₃₃₃ affected the fresh and dry weight of branches plant⁻¹ by the trend as in the fresh and dry weight of leaves plant⁻¹ in both seasons.

II- The effect on flowering.

1- Flowering date

Paclobutrazol concentrations imposed a significant influence on the number of days to flower in the two seasons with a similar trend in both (Table 3). Increasing PP₃₃₃ concentration significantly delayed the flowering date as compared to the control. The higher rate of PP₃₃₃ (30 mg L⁻¹) proved to be the most effective in this concern. The retarding effect of PP₃₃₃ on flowering date could be related to the role of PP₃₃₃ on delaying senescence of the vegetative organs preceding flowering (McArthur and Eaton, 1987) which probably attained due to the increase in nutrients acquisition. Such results are in accordance with those obtained by Nassar *et al.* (2001) on sweet pepper and Adham (2001) and Matter (2003) on hollyhock.

Table (3): Effect of PP₃₃₃ on floral traits of sweet pea during 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons.

Treatment	Date of flowering (days)	Length of floral stalk (cm)	Fresh wt.of inflorescence (g)	Dry wt.of inflorescence (g)	Fresh wt.of flower (g)	Dry wt.of flower (g)
2003/2004						
Control	92	17.25	1.358	0.267	0.403	0.077
PP ₃₃₃ (ppm):						
10	97	13.50	1.275	0.220	0.315	0.058
20	102	11.63	1.122	0.196	0.302	0.044
30	121	9.13	0.800	0.143	0.288	0.030
LSD _{0.05}	4	1.31	0.062	0.019	0.011	0.012
2004/2005						
Control	98	15.63	1.240	0.230	0.360	0.066
PP ₃₃₃ (ppm):						
10	106	12.25	1.160	0.190	0.310	0.051
20	119	10.13	0.940	0.157	0.214	0.040
30	125	9.01	0.687	0.119	0.182	0.026
LSD _{0.05}	6	1.07	0.062	0.027	0.021	0.010

2- Floral stalk length

Floral stalk length was significantly decreased by PP₃₃₃ treatments in both seasons as compared with the control (Table 3). The shortest floral stalk was obtained from PP₃₃₃ at the rate of 30 mg L⁻¹. The floral stalk length was decreased by 21.74%, 32.58% and 41.13% (in the first season) and decreased by 21.63%, 35.19% and 42.35% (in the second season) for 10, 20 and 30 mg L⁻¹, respectively, as compared with the control. The differences between PP₃₃₃ concentrations were significant. Similar results were obtained by Selim and El-Khateeb (1988) on *Sencio cruentus* and Wang and Dunlap (1994) on *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis* L.

3-Fresh and dry weight inflorescence⁻¹ and flower⁻¹

Data in Table (3) show that, PP₃₃₃ treatments led to a significant decrease in fresh and dry weight inflorescence⁻¹ as compared with the control in both seasons. Fresh and dry weight inflorescence⁻¹ was decreased as the PP₃₃₃ concentration increased in both seasons. The same trend was observed with fresh and dry weight flower⁻¹. The aforementioned data indicate that, the obvious effect of PP₃₃₃ is to restrict the plant height as well as the increase in the number of inflorescences and consequently, the fresh and dry weight was decreased. These results are in harmony with that of Menesy *et al.* (1989) on cineraria and Matter (2003) on hollyhock.

4- Number of inflorescences plant⁻¹

Paclobutrazol concentrations exerted a significant effect on number of inflorescences plant⁻¹ (Table 4). The trend was similar in both seasons. All concentrations of PP₃₃₃ significantly increased the number of inflorescences plant⁻¹ over control. Also, data show that the number of inflorescences plant⁻¹ as affected by PP₃₃₃ treatments progressively increased with advancing in plant age after the starting of flowering (15 days interval from flowering till the end of experiment in both seasons; 226 in the first season and 230 in the second one). In each season, the increase in the inflorescences plant⁻¹ was parallel to the increment in PP₃₃₃ rate with a significant only between the high studied rate (30 mg L⁻¹) and the other ones (10 and 20 mg L⁻¹). While, the differences between 10 and 20 mg L⁻¹ was not significant. However, as compared with the control, No. of inflorescences plant⁻¹ at the end of experiment was increased by 98.99%, 123.74% and 168.65% in the first season for 10, 20 and 30 mg L⁻¹, respectively, while, in the second season the increase was: 80.97%, 103.24% and 148.88% for 10, 20 and 30 mg L⁻¹, respectively. The positive effect of PP₃₃₃ on the number of inflorescences plant⁻¹ might be resulted from diversion of the assimilates into flower development, possibly due to the reduced demand by the roots (Wilkson and Richards, 1987). Also, these findings are in agreement with the results obtained by Nishizawa (1993) on strawberry, Osman (2000) and Nassar *et al.* (2001) on sweet pepper and Matter (2003) on hollyhock.

Table (4): Number of inflorescences 15 days⁻¹ from the starting of flowering and total inflorescences plant⁻¹ during 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons.

Treatment	Total No. of inflorescences plant ⁻¹						
	15	30	45	60	75	90	105
(days from the starting of flowering)							
2003/2004							
Control	2.25	4.75	6.50	11.20	19.15	26.20	43.80
PP ₃₃₃ (ppm):							
10	6.00	11.00	15.75	19.83	27.46	49.06	87.16
20	6.25	12.00	17.40	22.37	30.47	55.10	98.00
30	12.00	21.50	27.83	34.83	45.87	74.87	117.67
LSD _{0.05}	3.11	6.07	5.19	8.01	7.13	11.09	17.18
2004/2005							
Control	2.11	5.09	7.01	14.00	21.18	30.43	57.13
PP ₃₃₃ (ppm):							
10	5.30	9.02	13.70	21.22	30.15	53.00	103.06
20	6.11	10.30	15.17	24.25	34.60	61.75	116.11
30	9.75	18.80	29.00	37.60	41.13	82.11	142.19
LSD _{0.05}	2.31	4.05	6.19	7.15	8.01	13.17	20.51

III- The effect on chemical constituents.

1- Leaf pigments concentration (Chlorophyll and carotenoids)

Data presented in Table (5) clearly show that, PP₃₃₃ treatments increased leaf pigments concentration as compared with the control in both seasons. The differences between all concentrations of PP₃₃₃ treatment and control were significant. The increase in leaf pigments was gradually increased as the concentration of PP₃₃₃ increased. The increases in the leaf pigments linked with increasing concentration in PP₃₃₃ might be attributed to the character of PP₃₃₃ on depressing leaf area which lead to intensification of pigments in leaf. On the other hand, for the explanation of the incremental effect of PP₃₃₃ on chloroplast pigments, it could be illustrated on the basis that PP₃₃₃ treatment stimulated the endogenous cytokinins synthesis and there is an intimate relationship between cytokinin and chlorophyll metabolism in the leaf *i.e.* cytokinins retard chlorophyll degradation, preserve it and increase its synthesis. Besides, cytokinins activate a number of enzymes participating in a wide range of metabolic reactions in the leaves. These reactions included the maturation of proplastid into chloroplasts (Kulaeva, 1979). Similar findings were reported by Robert and Culver (1983) on sunflower, Park and Lee (1989) on pepper, Helal (1993) on poinsettia, Lee and Kwack (1995) on *Hibiscus syriacus*, Osman (2000), Nassar *et al.* (2001) on sweet pepper and Matter (2003) on hollyhock.

Table (5): Effect of PP₃₃₃ on leaf pigments at the starting of flowering of sweet pea during 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons.

Treatment	Chlorophyll (mg g ⁻¹ fresh wt.)			Carotenoids (mg g ⁻¹ fresh wt.)
	A	B	T	
	2003/2004			
Control	1.090	0.629	1.719	0.290
PP ₃₃₃ (ppm):				
10	1.185	0.750	1.935	0.318
20	1.256	0.861	2.117	0.358
30	1.753	1.067	2.820	0.405
LSD _{0.05}	0.071	0.093	0.137	0.019
	2004/2005			
Control	0.969	0.517	1.486	0.279
PP ₃₃₃ (ppm):				
10	1.058	0.601	1.659	0.337
20	1.143	0.811	1.954	0.381
30	1.507	1.113	2.620	0.442
LSD _{0.05}	0.072	0.067	0.149	0.032

2- Anthocyanin concentration.

All concentrations of PP₃₃₃ significantly increased anthocyanin concentration as compared with the control in both seasons (Table 6). The differences between all concentrations of PP₃₃₃ were significant in both seasons. This increase in anthocyanin concentration was due to that these treatments significantly increased total carbohydrates content and consequently increased the production of this pigment. These results are confirmed by those of Helal (1993) on *Euphorbia pulcherrima* and Matter (2003) on hollyhock.

3- Total soluble carbohydrates

As shown in Table (6), total soluble carbohydrates in leaves and flowers increased with increasing PP₃₃₃ rates in both seasons. This increase was significant between the three studied rates of PP₃₃₃ and control in both seasons. Moreover, the data indicate that total soluble carbohydrates content of flowers was higher than that of leaves for all treatments (control and all rates of PP₃₃₃). In general, it is noticed that, the high rate of PP₃₃₃ (30 mg L⁻¹) is more effective in increasing total soluble carbohydrates content. These results are confirmed by those of Wielander and Wample (1981) on apple, who stated that PP₃₃₃ increased the rate of total carbohydrates content as a result of increasing photosynthetic rate, which in turn may be due to the increase in chlorophyll concentration (Table 5).

4- Total free amino acids

It is clear from the results in Table (6) that total free amino acids concentration was gradually decreased as PP₃₃₃ concentration increased in both seasons. The treatments of PP₃₃₃ led to a significant decrease of total free amino acids concentration in leaves as compared with control in both seasons. Also, the differences between the rates of PP₃₃₃ were significant in the two studied seasons.

Table (6): Effect of PP₃₃₃ on some chemical constituents of sweet pea during 2003/2004 and 2004/2005 seasons.

Treatment	Total soluble carbohydrates (%)		Total free amino acids (mg g ⁻¹ dry wt. of leaves)	Total indoles (mg g ⁻¹ fresh wt. of leaves)	Anthocyanin (mg 100 ⁻¹ g fresh wt. of flowers)
	Leaves	Flowers			
	2003/2004				
Control	3.96	6.04	1.797	1.286	58.55
PP ₃₃₃ (ppm):					
10	4.79	8.33	1.471	0.858	104.38
20	6.04	10.62	1.225	0.705	132.38
30	7.08	12.29	1.144	0.572	178.21
LSD _{0.05}	0.70	1.47	0.069	0.102	18.13
	2004/2005				
Control	4.99	6.17	2.450	1.500	55.17
PP ₃₃₃ (ppm):					
10	5.82	7.60	1.703	1.143	98.09
20	7.29	9.17	1.309	1.030	125.22
30	8.12	10.10	1.212	0.657	163.89
LSD _{0.05}	0.59	0.87	0.082	0.071	21.11

5- Total indoles

Data presented in Table (6) show that the PP₃₃₃ treatments significantly decreased the total indoles concentration in leaves of pea plants in both seasons as compared with control. Such inhibitory effect of PP₃₃₃ on total indoles concentration was directly proportional to the used concentration of PP₃₃₃. In this respect, the differences between all concentrations of PP₃₃₃ are significant. However, the decrease in the concentration of total indoles in response to the different rates of PP₃₃₃ may be attributed to the depressive effects of PP₃₃₃ on auxins synthesis system and/or the stimulative effects of PP₃₃₃ on the activity of IAA oxidase and growth inhibitors (Hathout, 1995). Finally, in the light of these results, it could be concluded that to produce showy flowering pot plants from sweet pea, the plants must be sprayed with paclobutrazol at the rate of 30 mg L⁻¹ (at the fourth leaf stage).

REFERENCES

- Adham, H. E. I. (2001). Effect of some growth retardants, pinching and planting date on growth, flowering and chemical composition of *Althaea rosea* L. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- Arnon, D. I. (1949). Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplast. Polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris* L. Plant Physiol., 24: 1-5.
- Dubois, M.; F. Smith; K. A. Gillers; J. K. Hamiton and P. A. Robers (1956). Colorimetric methods for determination of sugar and related substances. Anal. Chem., 28: 350.
- Ecke, P., O. A. Matkin and D. E. Hartley (1990). The Poinsettia Manual. 3rd ed. Paul Ecke publications, Encinitas, Calif., USA.

- El-Masry, T. A. and M. A. Barakat (1991). Effect of paclobutrazol (PP₃₃₃) on growth, yield and quality of some potato cultivars. *Alex. J. Agric. Res.*, 36: 143-155.
- El-Sallami, I. H. (2001). Controlling growth habit of potted poinsettia under two light intensity conditions. *Assiut J. Agric. Sci.*, 32(4): 109 -139.
- Fuleki, I. and F. J. Francis (1968). Quantitative methods for anthocyanins. I- Extraction and determination of total anthocyanin in carberries. *J. Food Sci.*, 33: 72-77.
- Hammer, P. L. and T. Kirk (1981). Poinsettia. *Focus on Floriculture*, 9(1): 1-3. (cf. *Hort. Abst.*, 51: 8787)
- Hathout, T. A (1995). Diverse effects of uniconazole and nicotinamide on germination, growth, endogenous hormones and some enzymic activities of pea. *Egypt. J. Physiol. Sci.*, 19(1): 77-95.
- Hedden, P. and J. E. Greabe (1984). Inhibition of gibberellin biosynthesis by paclobutrazol in cell-free homogenates of *Cucurbita maxima* and *Malus pulima* embryos. *J. Plant Growth Regulator.*, 4: 111-122.
- Helal, A. A. (1993). Physiological studies on controlling the growth and flowering of *Euphorbia pulcherrima*. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Kamoutsis, A. P.; A. G. Chronopoulou-Sereli and E. A. Paspatis (1999). Paclobutrazol affect growth and flower bud production in *Gardenia* under different light regimes. *HortScience*, 34(4): 675- 679.
- Klute, A. (1986). *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 1. Physical and Mineralogical Methods.* 2nd ed. American Society of Agronomy. Inc. Publisher, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Kulaeva, O. N. (1979). *Plant Growth Substances* (Ed.). F. Skoog, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Larson, P.; A. Herbo; S. Klunsour and T. Asheim (1962). On the biogenesis of some indoles compounds in *Acetobacter xylinum*. *Physiol. Plant.*, 15: 552-565.
- Latimer, J. G. (1992). Drought, paclobutrazol, abscisic acid, and gibberellic acid as alternatives daminozide in tomato transplant production. *J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.*, 117: 243-247.
- Lee, H. S. and B. H. Kwack (1995). Effect of uniconazole and GA₃ on growth and flowering of *Hibiscus syriacus* L. showing different growing habits. *J. Korean Soc.*, 36(1): 121-131.
- Lozoyasaldan, H. (1994). Growth inhibitors for pot chrysanthemum, *Dendranthema grandiflora* Tzvelev, II. Paclobutrazol. *Revista. Chpingo. Serie Hort.*, 1(1): 11-14. (cf. *Hort. Abst.*, 65(2): 1390, 1995).
- Ludolph, D. (1992). Height control of ornamental plants without chemical growth retardants. *Ohio Florists Assn. Bul.*, 748: 1-4.
- Martin, C. A.; W. P. Sharp; J. M. Ruter and R. L. Garcia (1994). Alternation in leaf morphology of two landscape shrubs in response to disparate climate and paclobutrazol. *HortScience*, 29: 1321-1325.
- Matter, F. M. A. (2003). Effect of paclobutrazol and pinching on growth flowering and chemical constituents of hollyhock (*Althaea rosea* L.) plants. *Fayoum J. Agric. Res & Dev.*, 17(1): 20-31.

- Maus, W. L. (1987). Effect of paclobutrazol and uniconazole on *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis*. Proceedings of the Florida State Hort. Sci., 100: 373-375.
- McArthur, D. A. J. and G. W. Eaton (1987). Effect of fertilizer, paclobutrazol and chloromequat on strawberry. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 112: 241-246.
- Menesy, F. A.; M. A. El-Tarawy and Eissa, S. H. (1989). Effect of cycocel and pinching on growth, flowering and leaf pigments content of cineraria (*Senecio hybridus* Regel.) plants. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ., 15(1): 84-95.
- Mojecka, B. M. and V. Kerin (1995). Regulation of green pepper vegetative growth and bearing capacity with paclobutrazol, Bulgarian J. Agric. Sci., 1: 253-257. (cf. Hort. Abst., 67: 2177, 1997).
- Nassar, H. H.; M. A. Barakat; T. A. El-Masry and A. S. Osman (2001). Effect of potassium fertilization and paclobutrazol foliar application on vegetative growth and chemical composition of sweet pepper. Egypt. J. Hort., 28(1): 113-129.
- Nishizawa, T. (1993). The effect of Paclobutrazol on growth and yield during first year greenhouse strawberry production. Scientia Hort., 54: 267-274.
- Osman, A. S. (2000). Effect of potassium fertilization and paclobutrazol foliar application on seed production and quality of sweet pepper. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Fayoum, Cairo Univ., Egypt.
- Park, H. E. and J. M. Lee (1989). The effects of growth regulator treatments on growth and other characteristics of peppers (*Capsicum* spp.). Korean Soc. Hort. Sci., 7: 40-41. (cf. Hort. Abst., 54: 7997).
- Page, A. I., R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeney (1982). Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties. 2nd ed. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Pombo, G., M. D. Orzolek; L. D. Tukey and T. P. Pyzik (1985). The effect of paclobutrazol, daminozide, glyphosate, and 2,4-D in gel on the emergence and growth of germinated tomato seeds. J. Hort. Sci., 60: 353-357.
- Robert, L. W. and E. B. Culver (1983). The influence of paclobutrazol, a new growth regulator, on sunflowers. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 108: 122-125.
- Rosein, H. (1957). A modified ninhydrin colorimetric analysis for amino acids. Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 67: 10-15.
- Ruter, J. M. (1996). Paclobutrazol application method influences grow and flowering of new Gold lantana. Hort. Technology, 6(1): 19-20. (cf. Hort. Abst., 66(7): 6228, 1996).
- Salem, M. A.; A. S. El-Leity and E. E. El- Maaddawy (1991). Effect of uniconazole and paclobutrazol foliar application on growth, flowering and sugars contents of globe amaranth (*Gomphrena globosa* L.). J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ., 17(2): 492-500.
- Selim, S. M. and M. A. El-Khateeb(1988). Effect of cycocel and B-nine on growth and flowering of *Senecio cruentus* L. Annals Agric. Sci. Moshtohor, 26(4): 2705-2715.

- Snedecor, W. C. and W. G. Cochran (1980). Statistical Methods. 7th ed. The Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, Iowa, USA.
- Steffens, G. L. and S. Y. Wang (1984). Physiological changes induced by paclobutrazol (PP₃₃₃) in apple. Acta Hort., 146: 135-142.
- Wan, Y. S.; S. R. Wu and S. J. Li (1989). Effect of regulation of plant form of seedlings of *Capsicum frutescens* L. raised by soil less culture. Plant Physiol. Comm., 2: 52-54.
- Wang, Y. T. and J. K. Dunlap (1994). Effect of GA on growth and cellular change in uniconazol treated *Hibiscus*. J. Growth Regulation, 13(1): 33-38. (cf. Hort. Abst., 65(3): 2431, 1995).
- Wielander, W. F. and R. L. Wample (1981). Effect of paclobutrazol on growth photosynthetic and carbohydrate content of delicious apples. Scientia Hort., 26(2): 139-147 (cf. Plant Growth Regulators Abst., 11:16660).
- Wilkson, R. I. and R. Richards (1987). Effect of Paclobutrazol on growth and flowering of *Bouvardia humboldtii*. HortScience, 22: 444-445.
- Zhaoliang, L.; S. Yueqing; S. Mingzhi; S. Yahong; L. Zi; S. Yg; S. Mz and S. Yh (1995). The effect of paclobutrazol on plant physiology of some crops. Acta Agric. Shanghai, 11: 43-47. (cf. Field Crop Abst., 49: 5957, 1996).

تأثير الرش الورقي بالباكلوبوترازول على النمو والإزهار وبعض المكونات الكيميائية لنباتات بسلة الزهور

فاروق محمد جادالله * شكرى محمود سليم **
* قسم النبات الزراعى - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الفيوم - مصر
** قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة - جامعة الفيوم - مصر

أجرى هذا البحث بكلية الزراعة بالفيوم - جامعة القاهرة خلال موسمى ٢٠٠٣/٢٠٠٤، ٢٠٠٤/٢٠٠٥ وذلك لدراسة تأثير الرش الورقي بالباكلوبوترازول (١٠، ٢٠، ٣٠ ملليجرام /لتر) على النمو والإزهار وبعض المكونات الكيميائية لنبات بسلة الزهور. أثرت المعاملات المختلفة تأثيراً واضحاً على جميع المواصفات المدروسة وذلك خلال موسمى النمو.

وقد أوضحت النتائج ما يلى:

- أدى رش النباتات بالباكلوبوترازول لجميع المعدلات المستخدمة إلى حدوث نقص فى كل من: ارتفاع النبات - مساحة الورقة - المساحة الورقية الكلية للنبات - الوزن الطازج والجاف للأوراق والفروع - طول الحامل الزهرى - الوزن الطازج والجاف للنورات والأزهار.
- أدت التركيزات المختلفة من الباكلوبوترازول إلى زيادة كل من عدد الفروع - عدد الأوراق - عدد النورات للنبات، ولكنها أدت إلى حدوث تأخير فى ميعاد الإزهار.
- أدت معاملات الرش بالباكلوبوترازول إلى زيادة محتوى الأوراق من كلوروفيل أ، ب، والكلوروفيل الكلى - الكاروتينويدات - الكربوهيدرات الكلية فى الأوراق والأزهار - صفة الأنثوسيانين فى الأزهار. بينما حدث نقص فى تركيز كل من الأحماض الأمينية الكلية الحرة والإندولات الكلية.
- كان لمعاملة الرش بالباكلوبوترازول بتركيز ٣٠ ملليجرام/لتر التأثير الواضح على النمو والإزهار والمكونات الكيميائية التى تم دراستها مقارنة بالمعاملات الأخرى. والذى يوصى باستخدامه فى ظل نتائج هذه الدراسة - للحصول على بسلة الزهور كنباتات أصص.

