EFFECT OF FOLIAR SPRAYING OF SOME YEAST MUTANTS ON VALENCIA ORANGE TREES (Citrus sinensis) Mohamed, Karima A.H. and Omaima M. Hafez* Genetics and Cytology Department

 Pomology Research Department, National Research Centre, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Saccharomyces ceravisiae mutants selected after EMS treatments were evaluated for their efficiency in improving growth, nutritional status of trees, preharvest fruit drop percentage, yield and quality of Valencia orange fruits. Mutants were selected according to their proline accumulation and osmotolerance in the presence of a solution of high osmolarity (1 M NaCl). Two mutants, which were more osmotolerant and had approximately 10 times more proline than the original strain, were selected for foliar spraying of Valencia orange trees at a concentration of 1 ml (4 X 10⁷ cells)/L. The experimental trials were conducted three times during 2001/2002 and 2002/2003 in a private farm in Quivesna, El-Menofeya Governorate, Egypt. Results showed a remarkable improvement in leaf area, leaves mineral content, preharvest fruit drop percentage and yield. Physical and chemical properties of fruits were also enhanced in both harvest dates. Quality of fruit especially vitamin C and amino acid contents were also increased. It could be recommended for foliar spraying by yeast mutants especially for exporting Valencia orange fruits.

INTRODUCTION

Citrus industry in Egypt, nowadays, proved to be the most important fruits grown in both the old valley and new reclaimed areas. Its plantations reached about 344789 fed., with total fruits production of 2594853 ton. (Min. of Agric. Stati., 2001). Citrus fruit considered as the best Popular fruit, because of its nice taste, excellent flavour, high content of vitamins, moreover, nutritional and medical constituents. Many food industries such as juice, jam, p ectin and oil with its p harmaceutical effect. Furthermore, citrus fruits exported for many countries, specially that produced under organic and biofertilization.

Biofertilization has become in the last few decades a positive alternate to chemical fertilizers. Biofertilizers are very safe for human, animal nutrition and in harmony with environment. The bio-fertilization cultivation method used different micro-organisms including bacteria, fungi and yeast. Foliar spray with active dry yeast on fruit plants has recently received apparent interest. The various positive effects of applying active dry yeast were attributed to its contents of different nutrients, higher percentage of proteins, large amount of vitamin B and natural plant growth hormones, namely, cytokinins. In addition, application of active dry yeast is very effective in releasing CO_2 which improves net photosynthesis (Larson *et al.*, 1962; Ferguson *et al.*, 1987 and Idso *et al.*, 1995). The possibility of using yeast *S. cerevisiae* for improving growth and productivity of fruit crops was mentioned by Subba Rao (1984), Nijjar (1985), Hegab *et al.* (1997), Abdalla *et al.* (1998) and Nomier (2000).

An important issue for basic research and applied biotechnology is the mechanism of cellular responses when cells are exposed to adverse environmental stresses, including freezing, desiccation and high osmolarity (Morita *et al.*, 2003). The best-characterized biochemical response of bacterial and plant cells to osmotic stress is the production and accumulation of osmoprotectants such as trehalose, glycerol, betaine and protine. (McCue and Hanson, 1990). Among such molecules we focused on profine as a cryoprolectant because it resulted in increased tolerance to freezing in yeast cells. In addition, proline is known to play an important role as an osmoprotectant in plants subjected to hyperosmotic stresses such as drought and soil satinity (Hong *et al.*, 2000).

The aim of this investigation tended to study the effect of foliar sprays by two proline overproducing *S. cerevisiae* mutants on citrus growth, fruit production as well as fruit content of amino acids.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This investigation was performed during two successive seasons, 2001/2002 and 2002/2003 on eight years old Valencia orange tress (*Citrus sinensis*), buded on sour orange (*Citrus aurantium*, L.) root stock which spaced at 5 X 5 meters in a loarny soil (Table 1) of a private farm at Quwesna El-Menoufya Governorate.

Table (1) : Physical and chemical properties of the used soil.

	900 0-m
1. The physical analysis :	
Sand %	23.47
Silt %	19.33
Clay %	57.20
Texture grade	Loam
2. The chemical analysis :	
pH (1:2.5 exracl)	9.04
EC, mmhos/cm (1:5)	0.22
Organic matter %	1.61
CaCO ₃ %	1.07
Available macro elements (meq/100 g) :	
Ρ	2.21
К	22.00
Mg	329.39
Ca	240.80
Na	154.27
4. Available microelements (ppm) :	10000
Mn	2.23
Zn	3.50
Fe	6.80
Cu	2.67

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 29 (4), April, 2004

Nine uniformed trees were chosen randomly as replicates in a complete randomized block design and grouped under three treatments including control. Each treatment contained three replicates (3 trees), each tree as a replicate. The chosen trees were subjected to the normal horticultural practices used in this farm. Yeast strain :

Culture of yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae was kindly provided by the Egyptian company for yeast and starch, Alexandria.

Media :

The minimal medium (MM) used was yeast nitrogen base without ammonium sulfate. For isolation of proline-nonutilizing mutants, MM was supplemented with 0.5 % galactose as the sole source of carbon and 0.1 % of glutamate or proline as a source of nitrogen.

SD medium, containing 2 % glucose, 0.67 % Bacto-yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, was used for osmotolerance test.

YPD medium, containing 2 % glucose, 2 % peptone and 1% yeast extract.

Ethyl Methan Sulfonate (EMS) mutagenicity :

EMS (1.06 M) was obtained from Sigma and treatment was performed according to standard protocols (Rose *et al.*, 1990 and Winston, 1992). A single colony was picked and grown in 5 ml liquid YPD medium for 18 h at 30°C with continual rotation at 200 r pm to allow sufficient time for culture to reach stationary phases). One ml of cell suspension (4 X 10^7 cells) was treated with 25, 50, 100 or 200 µl/ml cell suspension for 6 hours at 30°C with agitation. Appropriate dilutions of the mutagenized cultures were plated on MM containing galactose and glutamate and incubated for four days at 30°C. Each grown colony was picked up and transplanted on stants for further genetic analysis.

Isolation of proline-nonutilizing mutants:

Mutagenized cells of S. cerevisiae were plated on agar plates containing galactose and glutamate and were incubated at 30°C for 3-5 days. Colonies were replica plated to galactose-proline and galactose glutamate plates and incubated at 30°C for 2 days. Colonies which failed to grow on the galactose-proline plates but grew on the galactose-glutamate medium were selected.

Galactose was used as the carbon source to select against respiratory-deficient yeast mutants which are proline nonutilizer due to their lack of mitochondrial function.

Osmotolerance of yeast mutants and amino acid contents :

For osmotolerance test, mutants were grown in 5 ml of SD with 1 M NaCl and incubated at 30°C for 48 h. Cell growth was measured by optical density at 570 nm (OD_{570}). The intracellular quantities of amino acids were determined according to Morita *et al.* (2003) with an amino acid analyzer (L3000, Eppdrof Germany), and expressed as percentages of the dry weight.

Foliar spray treatments :

The foliar spray treatments were as follows :

- 1. Control (untreated).
- Trees sprayed with mutant A
- Trees sprayed with mutant B

Valencia orange tress were sprayed three times in each season. The first spray was done when fruit diameter reached to 1.2 to 1.8 mm, i.e., at 2^{nd} week of May, while the second spray was at the 4^{th} week of June, and the third one was at the 1^{st} week of August. All spray solutions contained one ml of yeast suspension (4 X 10^7 cells) per liter and 0.1 % of triton B as a wetting agent. The trees were sprayed till run off (5 L/tree).

Leaf area (cm²) was measured on mid-September by planimeter, on 30 full mature leaves/trees (from the 4th to 5th leaves from the shoot base) as noted by (Nautiyal *et al.*, 1990). Samples of 30 mature leaves from each shoot were selected randomly from each replicate, dried and prepared to determine their elemental contents of N, P, K and Ca (according to Evenhuis and Dewaard, 1980).

Preharvest drop percentage was calculated by counting the number of dropped fruits from mid February till the commercial harvesting date under the experimental conditions, i.e. during two times, the first was the last week of February, while the second one at 1st week of April.

The productivity : [Yield/tree (kg)] was estimated at harvest time (1st week of April) as follows : Total number of fruits per tree at harvesting time X average weight of fruit in g. Meanwhile, feddan productivity (ton) was calculated according to the following equation =

the average vield/tree(kg)X160 tree 1000

Sample consisting of thirty fruits was randomly taken at harvest time from each replicate for determining the physical and chemical properties of fruits i.e., average of fruit weight (g), average of fruit size (cm³), fruit dimensions, rind thickness (mm), juice weight (gm), juice %, total soluble solids and total acidity expressed as (gm) citric acid per (100 gm) Pulp], were determined as mentioned in A.O.A.C. (1980).

Vitamin C: (Ascorbic acid "mg/100 ml juice") was determined according to the modified method of Omaye *et al.* (1979). Plant material was homogenized with 5 % metaphosphoric acid and the color reagent was 2,6-Dichlorophenolindophenol. The absorption of the sample at 525 nm relative to the blank was measured. Standard curve was constructed using Lascorbic acid in the range of 0 to 4 mm.

Analysis of fruit's amino acids :

Amino acids were analysed according to the method of Moore and Shtaien, (1954) using High Performance Amino Acid Analyzer L3000 (Eppdrof-Germany) with the following condition : flow rate, 0.2 ml/min, pressure of buffer, 0 to 50 bar, pressure of reagent, 0-150 and reaction temperature was 123°C.

Data were statistically analyzed in a complete randomize blank design, u sing D ancun's method to differentiate means at 0.05 according to Snedecor and Cochran (1980).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Induction and isolation of proline nonutilizing mutants :

Four ethyl methane sulfonate concentrations; 25, 50, 100 and 200 μ /ml were used to treat *S. cerevisiae* for 6 hours in addition to the control treatment. Results showed that mutagenized cells grew at a slower rate for all treatments of EMS. Fig. (1) illustrated the effect of various concentrations of EMS on survival rate. It is clear that survival percentage decreased sharply by increasing EMS concentrations. It was 13.62, 4.80 and 0.75 % after treatment with EMS at concentrations of 25, 50 and 100 μ /ml, respectively. Treatment with 200 μ / of EMS effectively killed the cells. The observed fitness reduction may be due to toxic effects of EMS rather than DNA damage and mutation (Mable and Otto, 2001).

Proline-nonutilizing mutants were isolated as described under materials and methods. Brandriss and Magasanik (1979) isolated prolinenonutilizing mutants of S. cerevislae and proved that mutants in put 1 are deficient in proline oxidase and those in put 2 lack PSC dehydrogenase. However, in S. cerevisiae the PRO gene is known to be constitutively expressed and both y-GK and y-GPR are rate-limiting enzymes in yeast (Brandriss and Flavey, 1992).

Morita *et al.* (2003) observed two basic types of mutants in *S. cerevisiae*. One type involves a dominant mutation directly linked to proline accumulation, which is due to enhancement of preexisting enzymes activities or to upregulation of the gene expression involved in proline biosynthesis. The other type of mutants involves a recessive mutation that does not increase the proline content compared to that of the parent, suggesting that a mutation may occur in the proline permease (Lasko and Brandriss, 1981) or in membrane composition.

Intracellular amino acid contents of mutants :

Microorganisms that overproduce various amino acids have been constructed by conventional mutation techniques (Matsutani et al., 1990) or by isolating mutants resistant to analogues of corresponding amino acid (Takagi et al., 1997).

The intracellular quantities of amino acids in mutants and their parental strain were determined by an amino acid analyser. In most cases, the variation was in proline, glutamate and/or arginine, which all or some of them were higher in the mutant cells than in the parent (Table 2). It is clear that about 65 % of the mutants were found to accumulate a higher amount of proline in the cells than their parental strain. The best mutant (No. 11), had approximately 10 times more praline content. In general, mutants can be divided into the following three groups : those whose proline content only increased, those whose two amino acids contents were only increased compared to those of the in parental strain.



In some mutants, glutamate and arginine which related to proline metabolism as well as proline were accumulated. This may be due to the mutation upstream of the proline metabolic pathway (Takagi et al., 1997).

Osmotolerance of mutants :

In many bacteria and plants, the intracellular proline content increases in response to environmental osmotic stress (Buhl and Stewart, 1983 and Kawahara et al., 1989). This intracellular accumulation of natural organic solutes occurs in order to prevent membrane damage during the removal of water (Takagi et al., 2000).

Canala	Tractmont	Amino	Amino acid (% of dry weight)					
Strain	Ireatment	Glu.	Arg.	Pro.				
Parental strain	•	3.52	2.25	0.64				
Mutant No.1		3.75	2.23	0.83				
. 2		1.25	1.27	5.01				
• 3	25 µl EMS/ ml	2.39	1.87	3.72				
- 4		0.92	1.44	4.65				
• 5		0.62	1.02	0.67				
- 6		3.25	6.20	4.38				
Mutant No.7		5.88	4.80	5.88				
~ 8		1.98	2.93	0.69				
~ 9	SU PHEMS MI	1.25	1.68	5.44				
* 10		8.0	5.88	2.18				
• 11		3.01	4.08	6.57				

Table (2) : Intra	cellular amino	acid contents of	f EMS mutants.
-------------------	----------------	------------------	----------------

In this study, the growth of various mutants that accumulate a higher amount of proline during high osmotic stress imposed by 1 M NaCl was examined (Fig. 2). Mutant No. 11, which had a significantly higher initial proline level, was clearly more osmotolerant than other strains in the presence of a solution of a high osmolarity. Accordingly, it was observed that most mutants were also able to grow under osmotic stress. These results indicate that intracellular proline would function as osmoprotectant effect. Proline-overproducing mutants of *E. coli* (Dandekar and Uratsu, 1988); *S. typhimurium* (Csonka, 1981). *S. marcescens* (Suglura and Kisumi, 1985) and *S. cerevisiae* (Takagi *et al.*, 1997) clearly show enhanced osmotolerance.

On the bases of the above results mutants No. 7 and 11, which were designated A and B, respectively, were chosen to follar spray of Valencia orange trees.

Effect of yeast mutants foliar applications on leaf area and leaf mineral contents :

It is clear from data in Table (3) that spraying Valencia orange trees with two yeast mutants (A & B) were very effective in increasing the leaf area. The highest significant results were noticed by foliar application of mutants A & B which showed (9.73, 8.65) and (10.61, 9.31 cm²) in both seasons, respectively. The lowest significant leaf area was obtained from control (8.15 and 8.70 cm²) consecutively during 2001/2002 and 2002/2003 seasons.



FIG.2 Growth of EMS mutants and their parental strain under high osmotic conditions

2002

Mohamed, Karima A. H. and Omaima, M. Hafez

Leaf cr	Leaf area		N %		P %		Х %		Ca ¼	
2001/ 2002	2002/ 2003	2001/ 2002	2002/ 2003	2001/ 2002	2002/ 2003	2001/ 2002	2002/ 2003	2001/ 2002	2002/ 2003	
8.15 5*	8.70 b	3.02 c	3.83 c	0.10 8	0.11 a	C.65 c	0.65 b	1.40 C	1.51 b	
9.73 a	10.61 a	3.18 8	5.03 a	0.11 a	0.12 a	0.75 a	0.75 a	1.45 b	1.50 b	
8.65 b	9.31 20	3.16 b	4.140	0.12 a	0.13 a	0.70 b	0.75 a	1.50 a	1.55 a	
	Leaf 2001/ 2002 8.15 b* 9.73 a 8.65 b	Leaf area [cm ¹] 2001/ 2002/ 2002 2003 8.15 b* 8.70 b 9.73 a 10.61 a 8.65 b 9.31 ab	Leaf area [cm ¹] N 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002 2003 2002 8.15 b* 8.70 b 3.02 c 9.73 a 10.61 a 3.18 a 8.65 b 9.31 ab 3.16 b	Leaf area [cm ¹] N % 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2002 2003 2002 2003 8.15 b* 8.70 b 3.02 c 3.83 c 9.73 a 10.61 a 3.18 a 5.03 a 8.65 b 9.31 ab 3.16 b 4.14 b	Leaf area [cm ¹] N % P 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002 2003 2002 2003 2002 8.15 b* 8.70 b 3.02 c 3.83 c 0.10 a 9.73 a 10.61 a 3.18 b 5.03 a 0.11 a 8.65 b 9.31 ab 3.16 b 4.14 b 0.12 a	Leaf area [cm ¹] N % P % 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2002 2003 2002 2003 2002 2003 8.15 b* 8.70 b 3.02 c 3.83 c 0.10 g 0.11 g 9.73 a 10.61 a 3.18 g 5.03 a 0.11 g 0.12 a 8.65 b 9.31 ab 3.16 b 4.14 b 0.12 a 0.11 a	Leaf area (cm ¹) N % P % X 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002 2003 2002 203 2002 203 2002 203 2002 203 2002 203 2002 203 2002 203 203 203 204 203 203 203 204 203 203 203 203 203 203 203 203 203 203 <td>Leaf area [cm¹] N % P % K % 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2002 2003 2005 2003 2005 20</td> <td>Leaf area (cm¹) N % P % K % Ca 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2002 2033 2002 2033 2002 2033 2002 2033 2002 2033 2002 2033 2033 2033 2033 2033 2033 2033 2033 2033</td>	Leaf area [cm ¹] N % P % K % 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2002 2003 2005 2003 2005 20	Leaf area (cm ¹) N % P % K % Ca 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2002 2033 2002 2033 2002 2033 2002 2033 2002 2033 2002 2033 2033 2033 2033 2033 2033 2033 2033 2033	

Table (3) : Effect of spraying the two mutants of yeast on t	the leaf area
(cm ²) and leaf mineral contents of Valencia o	range trees
during 2001/2002 and 2002/2003 seasons.	

Values followed by the same latter in each column are not significantly different at 5 % level according to Duncan's Multiple range test.

Regarding the effect of such factors under this study, the N percentage in leaves indicated higher significant levels with mutant A (3.18 & 5.03 %) followed by mutant B (3.16 & 4.14%) compared to the control (3.02 & 3.83 %) throughout the two seasons, respectively.

Phosphorus level in leaves revealed insignificant differences between treatments in both seasons.

K level showed significant differences between treatments in both seasons. Trees sprayed with mutant A gave the highest K level (0.75 %), while trees sprayed with mutant B was the next (0.70 %) in the first season. However, there was no difference in the levels between the two mutants (A & B) in the second season. The control showed the lowest significant K level in both seasons.

For leaf Ca content, the highest values (1.50 & 1.55 %) were obtained from trees sprayed with mutant B in both seasons, respectively. Mutant A clame the next, with no significant difference between the control and such treatment in the second season only.

Such increase in leaf area, as well as, leaf mineral contents could be considered as signs of general promotion on vegetative growth as a result of foliar application by yeast which may be attributed to its content of different nutrients, higher percentages of proteins, higher values of vitamins, especially vitamin B which plays an important role in improving growth and controlling the incidence of fungl diseases, as mentioned by Ingram (1958); Meyer & Phaff (1969) and Subba Rao (1984).

These results are also in agreement with those reported by Hegab *et al.* (1997) on Valencia orange trees, Ahmed *et al.* (1997) on Roumy Red grapevines, El-Mogy *et al.* (1998) and Nomier (2000) on Thompson seedless grapevines, stating that bio-fertilizing with active dry yeast showed remarkable improvements in all growth a spects such as leaf area and leaf mineral contents.

Effect of follar applications with yeast mutants cell suspensions on preharvest drop percentage, yield and yield components :

Table (4) clearified that foliar application with two yeast mutants reduced preharvest fruit drop percentage when compared with control, but without significant differences between such treatments in both seasons.

The number of fruits/tree showed nearly similar trend as shown for pre-harvest d rop (%). D ata s howed that trees s prayed with mutants A & B gave the highest number of fruit/tree; (195.33, 188.67) & (195.33, 192.33) as compared with the control (175.33, 187.67) during both seasons, respectively.

. . . *

Considering the early picked date, trees sprayed with mutant B gave the highest significant average of fruit weight (199.10 & 203.48 gm), in both seasons, respectively. Trees sprayed with mutant A developed (164.94 & 170.76 gm), while the control were (162.25 & 164.58 gm) in both seasons, respectively with highest significant differences between them. As for the later picked date, the results revealed that both mutants (A & B) had significantly increasing in the average of fruit weight (194.36 & 191.67 gm) and (195.03 & 198.28 gm) comparing with their control (166.58 & 167.32 gm), in both in both seasons, respectively.

Data in Table (4) indicate that spraying the trees with the two mutants A & B gave a significantly higher yield/tree (kg) during both seasons. Meanwhile, the control trees gave the lowest yield/tree (kg). Feddan productivity (ton) showed a nearly similar trend as shown for the yield/tree.

These results might be due to the positive effect of spraying yeast which might be containing some natural plant growth regulators such as cytokinin and containing 4.92 % cellulose and higher Ca content which prevented the formation of the abscission zone as well as the abscission layer (Ingram, 1958, Meyer and Phaff, 1969 and Subba Rao, 1984).

Furthermore, the increase in number of fruit/tree, average of fruit weight and yield/tree by spraying yeast might be due to its content of tryptophan (Abdel-Latif, 1987), which is precursor of IAA (Wareing and Phillips, 1973; Moor, 1979).

Physical characteristics of fruits as affected by follar application with yeast mutants :

Table (5) obviously indicate that fruit length and its diameter showed highly significant differences, after treatment with mutant B, compared with control (7.21 & 7.29 cm for length and 7.17 & 7.21 cm for diameter in the two seasons, respectively). Mutant A came the next but with no significant difference compared with the control.

Although the two mutants of yeast improved the fruit size (180.00 & 229.33 cm³, consecutively), the increase in size was insignificant comparing with their control (174.67 cm³), in the first season. However, in the second season, the average fruit size significantly increased by the two treatments. The largest significant increase in fruit size achieved by spraying with mutant 8 reaching 229.33 cm³, followed by spraying with mutant A (recorded 184.00 cm³), the control treatment recorded the smaller significant fruit size (171.00 cm³).

srange i	rees du	ring ∠u	01/2002 (and ZUUZ/	ZUUJ Sea	sons.					
Preharvest fru Characters drop (%)			it per tree	Av	Average of fruit weight (gm)				ree (kg)	Feddan productivity (ton)	
2001/	2002/	2001/	20021	2001/ 2002	2001/ 2002	2002/ 2003	2002/ 2003	2001/	2002/	2001/	2002/
2002 2	2003	2002	2002 2003	1 st harvest time	2 nd harvest time	1ª harvest Ilme	2 ⁴⁸ harvest time	2002	2003	2002	2003
1.90 a*	2.25 a	175.338	187.67a	162.25 b	166.58 b	164.19 b	167.32 b	29.19 b	31.24 5	4.67 b	5.00 a
1.53 a	1.77 a	195.33a	188.693	164,94 b	194.36 ə	170.76 b	191.67 a	38.17 a	36.15 a	6.11 a	5.78 ab
1.03 a	1.o6 a	195.33a	192.33a	199.10 a	195.03 a	203.48 a	198.28 a	38.08 a	38.16 a	6.10 a	6.11a
	2001/ 2001/ 2002 1.90 a* 1.53 a 1.03 a	2001/ 2002/ 2001/ 2002/ 2002 2003 1.90 a* 2.25 a 1.53 a 1.77 a 1.03 a 1.06 a	Image frees during 200 Preharvest fruit drop (%) No. of fru 2001/ 2002 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002 1.90 a* 2.25 a 175.33a 1.53 a 1.77 a 195.33a 1.03 a 1.06 a 195.33a	Z001/ Z002/ Z001/ Z002/ Z001/ Z002/ Z003/ Z00// Z00// Z00// Z00// Z00// Z00// Z00// Z00// Z00// Z00/// Z00// Z00// <t< td=""><td>Image frees during 2001/2002 and 2002/ 2002 2001/2002 and 2002/ 2001/2002 2001/2002 and 2002/ 2002 2001/2002/ 2001/2002 2001/2002/ 2002 2002/2002 2001/2002/ 2002 2002/2/ 2002 2001/2002/ 2002 2002/2/ 2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 200/2/2/2002 200/2/2002</td><td>Preharvest fruit drop (%) No. of fruit per tree Average of fruit 2001/ 2002/ 2003 Average of fruit 2001/ 2002/ 2003 Average of fruit 2001/ 2002/ 2003 Average of fruit 2001/ 2002/ 2003 Average of fruit 2001/ 2002 Average of fruit 2001/ 2002 Average of fruit 2002 Average of fruit 2003 Average of fruit 2003 Average of fruit 2003 Average o</td><td>Preharvest fruit drop (%) No. of fruit per tree Average of fruit weight (g. 2001/ 2002 2001/ 2002 2001/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002 2001/ 2002 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2003/ 1st harvest time 1st harvest 1st harvest 1.90 a* 2.25 a 175.33a 187.67a 162.25 b 166.58 b 164.19 b 1.53 a 1.77 a 195.33a 188.69a 164.94 b 194.36 a 170.76 b 1.03 a 1.06 a 195.33a 192.33a 199.10 a 195.03 a 203.48 a</td><td>2001/ 2002 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2003/ 2003 2003/ 2003</td><td>2001/ drop (%) 2001/2002 and 2002/2003 seasons. 2002/2003 seasons. Preharvest fruit drop (%) No. of fruit per tree Average of fruit weight (gm) Yield/L 2001/ 2002 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002 2001/ 2002 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2003 2001/ 2003 2001/ 2003 2001/ 2003 2001/ 2003 2001/ 2002 2003 2001/ 2002 2002 2003 2001/ 2002 2002 2003 2001/ 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 <t< td=""><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td></t<></td></t<>	Image frees during 2001/2002 and 2002/ 2002 2001/2002 and 2002/ 2001/2002 2001/2002 and 2002/ 2002 2001/2002/ 2001/2002 2001/2002/ 2002 2002/2002 2001/2002/ 2002 2002/2/ 2002 2001/2002/ 2002 2002/2/ 2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 2002/2/2002 200/2/2/2002 200/2/2002	Preharvest fruit drop (%) No. of fruit per tree Average of fruit 2001/ 2002/ 2003 Average of fruit 2001/ 2002/ 2003 Average of fruit 2001/ 2002/ 2003 Average of fruit 2001/ 2002/ 2003 Average of fruit 2001/ 2002 Average of fruit 2001/ 2002 Average of fruit 2002 Average of fruit 2003 Average of fruit 2003 Average of fruit 2003 Average o	Preharvest fruit drop (%) No. of fruit per tree Average of fruit weight (g. 2001/ 2002 2001/ 2002 2001/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002 2001/ 2002 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2003/ 1 st harvest time 1 st harvest 1 st harvest 1.90 a* 2.25 a 175.33a 187.67a 162.25 b 166.58 b 164.19 b 1.53 a 1.77 a 195.33a 188.69a 164.94 b 194.36 a 170.76 b 1.03 a 1.06 a 195.33a 192.33a 199.10 a 195.03 a 203.48 a	2001/ 2002 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2003/ 2003	2001/ drop (%) 2001/2002 and 2002/2003 seasons. 2002/2003 seasons. Preharvest fruit drop (%) No. of fruit per tree Average of fruit weight (gm) Yield/L 2001/ 2002 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002 2001/ 2002 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2002 2002/ 2003 2001/ 2003 2001/ 2003 2001/ 2003 2001/ 2003 2001/ 2003 2001/ 2002 2003 2001/ 2002 2002 2003 2001/ 2002 2002 2003 2001/ 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 2002 <t< td=""><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td><td>$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</td></t<>	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

Table (4) : Effect of spraying the two mutants of yeast on the preharvest fruit drop percentage, number of fruit/tree, average of fruit weight (gm), yield/tree (kg) and feddan productivity (ton) of Valencia orange trees during 2001/2002 and 2002/2003 seasons.

"Values followed by the same latter in each column are not significantly different at 5 % level according to Duncan's Multiple range test.

2005

Гable (5) : ∣	Effect of	spraying the t	wo mutants	of yeast or	i some	physical	properties ·	on Valencia	orange trees	i
	during	2001/2002 and	2002/2003 se	asons.						

Characters	Frult length (cm)		Frult diameter (cm)		Average of Average (cm ²) thic		Averag thickne	age of fruit (ness (mm) Average of juice weight (gr			- t (gm)	_	Julo	;e (%)		
	20010	20021	2001	20020	20041	2002(2004/	20021	20 20)01/)02	20	02/ 03	20	001/ 002	20	02/ 03
Treatments	2002	2002	2002	2002	2002	2003	2002	2003	1 [#] harve s t time	2 ^{na} harvest time	1ª harvest time	2 ^{no} harvest time	1 [™] harves t time	2 nd harvest tim o	1 ⁸ harvest time	2 nd harvest time
Control	6.820	6.326	6 60a	6.56b	174 87a	171.00c	4.150	3.936	92.8Sb	152.38a	98.43b	154,28a	57,23a	81.87B	60 778	92.47a
Treos sprayed with mutant A	6.85b	6.86b	5.81b	6.81b	180.00a	184.00b	5.27a	\$.07a	92.805	183,13a	97.115	169.52a	55.638	93,88a	56,90a	88.50a
Trees sprayed with mutant B	7.21a	7.2 9 a	7.17a	7.213	290.67a	229.33a	5.47a	5.13a	114.23a	188.17a	133,43a	180.45a	57.55a	96 42a	55,7\$a	90.81a

"Values followed by the same latter in each column are not significantly different at 5 % level according to Duncan's Multiple range test.

Regarding the average of rind thickness, both mutants of yeast gave similar statistically results in this respect, except the control which showed the lowest significant rind thickness, in both seasons.

Data in Table (5) showed that spraying the two yeast mutants (A & B) significantly improved juice weight comparing with their control, in both seasons. Concerning, the early picking date, mutant B gave the highest significant increasing in juice of weight 114.23 and 133.43 gm in the two seasons, respectively while, mutant A did not indicate any significant improve when compared with the control. At the late picking time, both mutants A-& B gave the highest juice weight (183.13, 169.52 gm) & (188.17, 180.45 gm) while the control gave (152.36, 154.29 gm) consecutively, in the two seasons, respectively. In addition, similar trend was obtained concerning juice percentage.

The previous results as affected by two mutants of yeast, are in harmony with those found by Ahmed et al. (1997) on Red Roomy grapevines who demonstrated that berries dimensions were significantly improved by spraying with active dry yeast. Also, the results obtained on the effect of spraying with yeast one some fruit physical characteristics were in agreement with the results obtained by Hegab et al. (1997) and Abdalla et al. (1998) who worked on Valencia orange fruits. The same trend of results was obtained by Mansour (1998) on Anna apples.

Fruit chemical characteristics :

Data presented in Table (6) showed that total soluble solids percentage revealed insignificant differences between treatments in both harvesting dates, during the two seasons.

Generally, as for acidity, all conducted treatments gave high levels in the early harvest date. Meanwhile, it revealed low levels in the late date, without any significant difference between them, in both seasons. The two mutants of yeast recorded such reduction in acidity percentage than control in the early picking date. Analogical yeast effects on Valencia oranges were reported by Hegab *et al.* (1997), Abdalla *et al.* (1998) and Atawia & El-Desouky (1997) on Washington navel orange.

Concerning ascorbic acid (Vitamin C), Table (5) shows clearly that spraying with mutants (A & B) of yeast resulted in an increment in values of V.C. compared with the control in both seasons. Mutant A gave the highest significant of Vitamin C. It recorded 58.2 & 59.1 mg/100 ml juice in the two seasons, respectively. Mutant B came the next, it reached 52.4 and 54.2 mg/100 ml juice. The control treatment recorded the lowest significant values of vitamin C (46.2 & 493 mg/100 ml juice) in 2001/2002 and 2002/2003 seasons, respectively.

The present findings may be due to the presence of cytokinin precursors in yeast. It was previously proved that some concentration of cytokinin lead to a significant increase in fruit content of ascorbic acid (Bisaria and Rastogi, 1988; Khalil, 1990 and Shehata, 1990).

These results are in harmony with that obtained by El-Emery (2002), who found that ascorbic acid content of tomato fruits was significantly increased as a result of foliar application of yeast (S. cerevisiae).

	J. Agríc.
	Scl.
trees	Mans
ld	öu
ce)	16
02/	tnív., 29 (4),
.3c	April
.1a	2004

Table (6) : Effe	ct of spraying the two mutants of year	st on some chemical	properties on Va	alencia orange trees
dur	ing 2001/2002 and 2002/2003 seasons,		, ,	
				1 secondale and

Characters Treatments		T.S.S.	(%)			Acid		(mg/100 ml julce)		
	2001	/2002	2002/2003		2001/2002		2002/2003			
	1 st harvest time	2 nd harvest time	1 ^{str} harvost timo	2 ^{na} harvest time	1st harvest time	2 nd harvest time	1ª st harvest time	2 nd harvest time	2001/ 2002	2002/ 2003
Control	11.23a*	11.53a	12.0 a	12.00a	1.36a	0.10a	1.37a	0.10a	46.2c	49.3c
Trees sprayed with mutant A	10.77a	11.57a	10.84a	11 <i>.</i> 43a	1.42a	0.10 a	1.36a	0.10a	58.2a	59.1a
Trees sprayed with mutant B	10.23a	10.73a	10.778	10.90a	1.27a	0.10 a	1.25a	0.10a	52.4b	54.2b

"Values followed by the same latter in each column are not significantly different at 5 % level according to Duncan's Multiple range test.

Amino acids content in Valencia orange fruits :

Amino acids are an important class of organic compounds and have been heavily researched for their amazing healing potential and powerful contribution and effects on the body's metabolism. Out of the 20 amino acids that make up our bodies, eight is essential and cannot be manufactured by the body and must be ingested in the diet. Therefore, amino acids content in Valencia orange fruits were estimated.

Data presented in Table (7) showed the effect of spraying Valencia orange trees with the two mutants (A and B) of *S. cerevisiae* on amino acids content. In general, the amounts of most of them were larger than that of control fruits. A slite increase of amino acid percentages (4.11 % for aspartic acid, 6.38 % for Isoleucine and 6.15 % for lysine) was recorded from trees sprayed with mutant A in comparison with 27.71, 42.86 and 29.89 % in the case of s praying with mutant B. Moreover, hislidine and serine gave highly increase of amino acid percentages (96 % and 96.78 %) after spraying with mutant B, while spraying with mutant A recorded 61.33 and 49.57 % increase, respectively.

	Amino a	cid concentratio	n (µg/ml)							
Amino acids	Untracted trace	Trees sprayed	Trees sprayed							
	OUR BATED LISAS	with Mutant (A)	with Mutant (B)							
Alanine	3.12	4.73	4.97							
Arginine	8.82	12.22	14.63							
Aspartic acid	22.12	23.03	28.25							
Glutamic acid	11.06	8.82	3.41							
Glyclne	1.44	2.10	5.59							
Histldine	1.50	2.42	2.94							
Isoleucine	3.92	4.17	5.60							
Leucine	1.58	2.07	2.38							
Lysine	4,55	4.83	5.91							
Proline	53.06	110.70	135.31							
Serine	4.66	6.97	9.17							
Threonine	2.42	3.40	3.71							

Table (7) : Effect of foliar application of two S. cerevisiae mutants on amino acid contents of Valencia orange fruits.

Glycine showed a great difference between the two treatments (A & B). Trees sprayed with mutant B gave a high level of increase (228 %), while trees sprayed with mutant A gave only 47.14 %. This amino acid facilitates the release of oxygen for the cell-making process, key role in manufacturing of hormones and health of immune system.

In comparison with untreated fruits, a high level of proline content were obtained from both treatments. The percentage of increase reached to 108 and 155 for A and B treatments, respectively. In contrast, glutamic acid content was decreased to 8.82 and 3.41 ug/ml for the same treatments, respectively. A significant increase in proline content accompanied by a concomitant decrease in glutamine pool was clearly visible in tobacco plants

J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 29 (4), April, 2004

(Brugiere et al., 1999). Over expression of genes encoding PSC (`-pyrroline-5-carboyxlate) synthetase, the bifunctional enzyme for catalyzing the conversion of glutamate to PSC, increased proline production and gave osmotolerance to transgenic plants (Hong et al., 2000). Furthermore, overproduction of proline also enhanced root biomass and flower development in transgenic tobacco plants under drought-stress conditions (Kishor et al., 1995).

Conclusively, the present investigation supported the positive effects of foliar spray of *S. cerevisiae* mutants on leaf area (cm³), N, P, K and Ca levels in leaves , as well as, the reduction of pre-harvest fruit drop percentage. Moreover, treatments enhanced yield (kg), fruit weight, fruit diminutions, improving fruit quality and amino acid contents.

On basis of the obtained results, foliar sprays of yeast mutants are recommended for Valencia orange fruits. Further research on planting Valencia orange trees under drought or osmotic stress conditions is needed and is currently in progress.

REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (1980). Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 14th ed. Published by the A.O.A.C., P.O. Box 540, Washington, 4 D.C., USA.
- Abdalla, K.M.; T.A. Abou Sayed Ahmed and M.M.H. El-Balal (1998). Response of Washington navel and Valencia orange trees to some GA₃ and yeast treatments. Egypt. J. Plant. Sci., 13 (11), 319 – 334.
- Abdel-Latif, S.H. (1987). Study on utilization of some food industries wastes in the production of single cell protein. M. Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Moshtohor, Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Ahmed, F.F.; M.A. Ragab, A.A. Ahmed and A.E. M. Mansour (1997). Improving the efficiency of spraying different nutrients for Red Roomy grapevines (*Vitis vinifera* L.) by using glycerol and active dry yeast. Egypt. J. Hort., 24 (1): 91-108.
- Atawla, A.A.R. and S.A. El-Desouky (1997). Trials for improving fruit set, yield and fruit quality of W ashington N avel orange by a pplication of some growth regulators and yeast extractase natural source of Phytohormones. J. Ann. of Agric. Sci. Moshtohor Zagazig Univ., Egypt. 35 (3): 1613 – 1632.
- Bisarla, A.K. and G.R. Rastogi (1988). Effect of xinetin on growth, flowering, yield and chemical composition of fruit of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill). Madrass Agric. J., 75 (7-8) : 271 – 275. (c.f. Hort. Abst. 60 : 3472, 1990).
- Brandriss, M.C. and B. Magasanik (1979). Genetics and physiology of proline utilization in S. cerevisiae : enzyme induction by proline. J. Bacteriol., 140 : 498 - 503.
- Brandriss, M.C. and D.A. Falvey (1992). Proline biosynthesis in S.cerevisiae : enalysis of the PRO3 gene, which encodes 'ppyrroline-5-carboxylase reductase. J. Bacteriol., 174: 3782 – 3788.

- Brugiere, N.; F. Dubois; A.M. Limami; M. Lelandais; Y. Roux; RS van Sang and B. Hirel (1999). Glulamine synthetase in the phloem plays a major role in controlling proline production. Plant Cell, 11: 1995-2012.
- Buhl, M.D. and C.R. Stewart (1983). Effects of NaCl on proline synthesis and utilization in excised barly leaves. Plant Physiol., 72: 664 667.
- Csonka, L.N. (1981). Proline over-production results in enhanced osmoregulation in Salmonella typhimuńum. Mol. Gen. Gent., 132: 82 – 86.
- Dandekar, A.M. and L. Uratsu (1988). A single base pair change in proline biosynthesis genes causes osmotic stress tolerance. J. Bacteriol., 170 : 5943 ~ 5945.
- El-Emery, Gehan, A. (2002). Biochemical studies on some microorganisms. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. (Biochemistry) Cairo Univ.
- El-Mogy, M.M.; A.H. Omer and Aisha, S. Gasser (1998). Effect of yeast application on bud fertility, physical and chemical properties. Vegetative growth and yield of Thompson seedless grapevines, J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 23 (8): 3879 – 3886.
- Evenhuls, B. and W. De-Waard (1980). Principals and practices in plant analysis, F.A.O. Soil Bull., 38: 152 – 163.
- Ferguson, J.J.; W.T. Avigne; L.H. Allen and K.E. Koch (1987). Growth of CO₂ enriched sour orange seedlings treated with gibberellic and cytokinins. Proc. Florida State Hort. Soc., 99: 37 – 39.
- Hegab, M.Y.; F.F. Ahmed and A.H. Ali (1997). Influence of spraying active dry yeast on growth and productivity of Valencia orange trees (*Citrus sinensis*). Proc. 1st Sci. Conf. Agr. Sci., Assiut Univ., 1: 74 – 85.
- Hong, Z.; K. Lakkineni; Z. Zhang and D.P.S. Verma (2000). Removal of feedback inhibition of 'ppyrroline-5-carboxylase synthetase results in increased proline accumulation and protection of plants from osmotic stress. Plant Physiol., 122: 1129 1136.
- Idso, S.B.; X.E. Idso; R.L. and J.K., Hoober (1995). Effect of atmospheric CO₂ enrichment and foliar methanol application on net photosynthesis of sour orange trees (*Citrus aurantium*) leaves. Amer. J. Bolany, 82 (1) : 26 – 30.
- Ingram, M. (1958). Chemistry and Biology of Yeast. Acad. Press. Inc., New York, pp. 603 633.
- Kawahara, Y.; T. Ohsumi; Y. Yoshihara and S. Ikeda (1989). Proline in the osmoregulation of *Brevibacterium lactofermentum*. Agrlc. Biol. Chem., 53: 2475 – 2479.
- Khalli, M.A.L. (1990). Response of tomato hybrid plant (F₁) to foliar spray with some growth regulators under Plastic house conditions. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 17 (2): 429 – 439.
- Kishor, P.B.K.; Z. Hong; G.H. Miao, C.A.A. Hu and D.P.S. Verma (1995). Overexpression of 'y-pyrroline-5-carboxylase synthetase results in increased proline production and confers osmotolerance in transgenic plants. Pfant Physiol., 108 : 1287 – 1394.

- Larson, P.; A. Herbo; S. Klangson and T. Ashain (1962). On the biogenesis of some compounds in *Acetobacter xyliam*. Physiol. Plant, 15: 552 565.
- Lasko; P.F. and M.C. Brandriss (1981). Proline transport in S. cerevisiae. J. Bacteriol., 148: 241 247.
- Mable, B.K. and S.P. Otto (2001). Masking and purging mutations following EMS treatment in haploid, diploid and tetraploid yeast (S. cerevisiae). Genet, Res. Comb., 77: 9 - 26.
- Mansour, A.E.M. (1998). Response of Anna apples to some bio-fertilizers. Egypt. J. Hort. 25 No. 2: 241 – 251.
- Matsutani, K.; Y. Fukuda; K. Murata; A. Kumura, I. Nakamura and N. Yajima (1990). Physical and biochemical properties of freeze-tolerant mutants of a yeast *S. carevisiae*. J. Ferment. Bioeng., 70 : 275 276.
- McCue, K.F. and R.D. Hanson (1990). Drought and salt tolerance : towards understanding and application. Trends Biotechnol., 8 : 358 362.
- Meyer, S.A. and H.J. Phaff (1969). Deoxyribonucleic acid base composition in yeasts, J. Bacteriol, 97: 52 - 56.
- Mijjar, G.S. and G.G. Bhatia (1985). Effect of time of application of gibberellic acid and para-chlorophenoxy acetic acid on barry and bunch weight, yield and seedlessness in grapes (Variely Anab-e-Shahi). Ind. J. Res., 5 (1): 51-5.
- Moore, A. and L. Shelain (1954). Quantitive protein analysis. Proc. Acoad. Sci. USA, 19: 714 – 716.
- Moore, T.C. (1979). Bicchemistry and Physiology of plant Hormones. Pub. by springer-verlag. New York, USA..
- Morita, Y.; S. Nakamori and H. Takagi (2003). Proline accumulation and freeze tolerance in S. cerevisiae are caused by a mutation in the PRO 1 gene encoding y-glutamye kinase. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 69: 212 – 219.
- Nautiyal, M.C.; P.K. Singh; R.N. Shukla; S. Prakash and Kummar (1990). Correcting leaf area measurement by conventional methods : a new approach for apple (*Malus domestica* Barkh). J. Hort. Sci., 65:15 – 18.
- Nomier, Saffaa, A. (2000). Effect of some GA₃, Vitamins and active dry yeast treatments on vegetative growth, yield and fruit quality of Thompson seedless grapevines. Hort. Dept., Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- Omaye, S.T.; J.D. Turnbull and H.E. Souberlich (1979). Selected methods for the determination of ascorbic acid in animal cells, tissues and fluids, Methods Enzymol, 62: 3-10.
- Rose, M.D.; F. Winston and P. Hieter (1990). Methods in Yeast Genetics : A Laboratory Course Manual. Cold spring Harbor : Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Shehala, S.A. (1990). Spring tomato production as affected by some growth regulators and an antitranspirant agent. Bull. Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 41 (1): 149 – 160.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1980).Statistical Methods, 6th Ed., the lowa State Univ., Press, Amas.; Iowa, U.S.A.
- Subba Rao, N.S. (1984). Bio-fertilizers in Agriculture. Oxford, IBH Company, New Delhi,

- Sugiura, M. and M. Kisumi (1985). Proline hyperproducing strains of Serratia marcescens : enhancement of proline analog-mediated growth inhibition by increasing osmotic stress. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 49 : 782 – 786.
- Takagi, H.; F. Iwamoto and S. Nakamori (1997). Isolation of freeze-tolerant laboratory strain of S. cerevisiae from proline-analogue-resistant mutants. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 47: 405 – 411.
- Takagi, H.; K. Sakai; K. Morida and S. Nakamori (2000). Proline accumulation by mutation or disruption of the proline oxidase gene improves resistance to freezing and desiccation stresses in S. cerevisiae. FEMS Microbiol. Lett., 184: 103 – 108.
- Wareing, P.E. and I.D.G. Phillips (1973). The Control of Growth and Differentiation in Plants. E.L.B.S. ed. Pub. by pergamon press, Ltd. U.K.
- Winston, F. (1992). Mutagenesis of yeast cells. Current Protocols in Molecular Biology Sup. Pl. 12.

تأثير الرش ببعض طافرات الخميرة على اشجار البرتقال الصيفى كريمة عبد الحافظ محمد وأميمة محمد حافظ • قسم الوراثة والمبتولوجي • قسم بحوث الماكهة- المركز القومي للبحوث- النقى- الجيزة- مص

تعتبر شار الدوالح من الحضل الفواكه الدرغربة بالندية لكتناج المحلى ار بغرض التصدير الى عديد من دول العالم وبخاصة تلك الثمان التى يتم انتاجها باستخدام الاسمدة المضدوية والديوية . الناك تم تخطيط هذه الدراسة لاختبار قدرة طالوات خميرة سكاروميديس سير ليميزا كملظم نمو نباتى طبيعى على امكانية تحدين الحالة الغذائية للاشجار ركمية المحصول وخصائص الجودة الثمار وذلك خلال موسمى ٢٠٠٢/٢٠٠١ ، ٢٠٠٣/٢٠٠٢ بمزرعة خاصة بقويسنا بمحافظة المنوفية . وتشير الهم النتائج المتحصل عليها الى مايلى :

- ۱۰ علد معاملة خلایا خمیرة سكاروموسیس سیرایسیا بالمطفر الكیمارى ایثیل میثان سلفونیت بتركیزات ۲۰ ، ۵۰ ، ۱۰۰ میكرولتر/مل لمدة ۱ ساعات على درجة ۳۰م بلغت ند...بة الحیویة ۱۳٫۱۲ و ۸٫۶ و ۰٫۰۰% على الترتیب .
- ٢- اظهرت بعض الطافرات زيادة ملحوظة في معدل تراكم بعض الاحماض الامينية وبخاصة البرراين حيث بلغت نسبة الزيادة في طافرتين حوالي ١٠ اضعاف السلاله الاصلية بالاضافة الـي تحملهما حيث بلغت نسبة الزيادة في طافرتين حوالي ١٠ اضعاف السلاله الاصلية بالاضافة الـي تحملهما للاسموزية المرتئمة عدد تنمية هذه الطافرات في بيئة تحتوى على لمول كلوريد صوديوم وتم انتخاب داتين الطافرتين رعمل معلق من خلاياهما بتركيز ١ مل (٤ × ١٠) خليه لكل لمتر لرش شحان المحاف المرتئمة عدد تنمية مده الطافرات في بيئة تحتوى على لمول كلوريد صوديوم وتم انتخاب داتين الطافرتين رعمل معلق من خلاياهما بتركيز ١ مل (٤ × ١٠) خليه لكل لمتر لرش الشهران المرتئمي والاسترع الرش من حلاياهما بتركيز ١ مل (٤ × ١٠) خليه لكل لمن لرش السبوان البرتقال الفاللشيا في منتصف شهر مايو (لقطر الثمرة ١٠٢ ١٠٨ مم) والاستوع الرابع مـن شهر من وليو راطر الثمرة ١٠٢ ١٠٨ معلى مع الرابع مـن شهر المنوس خلال موسمى الدراسة .
- ٦- الظهرت النتائج تحسنا معلويا للحالة الغذانية للاشجار والخفاضا معنويا في نسبة تساقط الثمار قبل الجمع . كما اوضحت زيادة ملحوظة في كمية المحصول وخصائص الثمار الطبيعية والكيميانية في كلا ميعادي الجمع علاوة على زيادة محتوى الثمار من فيتامين سى والاحماض الامينية .

من الانتائج المتحصل عليها بمكن ان لوصم برش طافرات خميــرة سكاروميســيس سير لنيســيا بغرض زيادة المحصول وتحسين صفات ثمار البرتقال الفانشيا .