# COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE EFFECT OF PRONIFER SUPPLEMENT ON PERFORMANCE OF SUCKLING HOLSTEIN AND BUFFALO CALVES.

Ragheb. E.E

Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt.

# ABSTRACT

Effect of dietary Pronifer supplement on feed intake, nutrient digestibility coefficients, some blood serum parameters, growth performance and economic and feed efficiencies of Holstein and buffalo calves was studied during suckling period. A total of 80 calves (40 Holstein and 40 buffalo calves) were assigned in to two similar groups for each species, 20 in each. Calves in the control groups of both species were fed non-supplemented Holstein milk with calf starter and berseem hay (BH). In the second ones, calves were fed whole cow milk supplemented with 1 g Pronifer/ liter of milk with calf starter and BH. Results showed that, feed intake from milk, starter and BH and total feed intake as DM, TDN and DCP were significantly (P<0.01) higher in buffalo than Holstein calves, however, these parameters were not affected significantly by Pronifer supplementation. Digestibility coefficients of DM, CP, CF and EE were significantly (P<0.05) higher in Holstein than buffalo calves. Only, digestibility coefficients of DM and CF were significantly (P<0.05) higher in calves fed the supplemented diets than the control calves in both species, while digestibility coefficients of NFE did not differ significantly as affected by species, Pronifer supplementation and their interaction. Concentrations of urea in blood plasma were significantly (P<0.05) higher by about 7.8%, while total protein and calcium concentrations were significantly (P<0.05) lower by about 3.3 and 13.8% respectively. in Holstein than buffalo calves. Concentration of total protein, albumin and globulin improved (P<0.05) by about 11.5, 11.3 and 11.8% respectively, and cholesterol decreased (P<0.05) by about 8.5% in the supplemented than the control groups of both species. Averages of final body weight, total weight gain and daily gain were not affected significantly by species, but they were affected significantly (P<0.05) by Pronifer supplementation, being higher in the supplemented calves than the control calves. Final weight, total gain and average daily gain were significantly (P<0.05) higher in calves fed the supplemented diets than the control groups of both species. Also, total feed cost/kg gain decreased by about 5.3% in Holstein than buffalo calves and by about 11.6% in calves fed the supplemented than the control diets. Based on the forgoing results, it could be concluded that feeding suckling calves on diet supplemented with Pronifer has beneficial effects on their growth performance, digestibility coefficients, blood parameters and economic and feed efficiencies. Keywords: Suckling calves, Pronifer, digestibility, blood parameters, feed efficiency.

#### INTRODUCTION

It has been reported that yeast culture increases the efficiency of energy utilization and improves metabolic process (El-Nor and Kholif, 1998; Abdel-Khalek et al. 2000 and El-Ashry et al., 2001), and increases flow of bacterial nitrogen to the small intestine (Erasmus et al., 1992), which stimulate protein synthesis in growing animals.

Pronifer as a probiotic added in small amounts to ruminant diets was found to improve animal performance (Dawson, 1995) in terms of increasing live body weight gain, and reducing diarrhea incidence (Bohn and Srour,

1995) and improves performance of buffalo calves during different stages of growth and fattening (El-Basiony et al. 2001).

No available data were reported on the effect of Pronifer on performance of ruminants during the suckling period. Therefore, the current work was carried out to compare between Holstein and buffalo calves in regard to the effects of dietary supplementation of Pronifer on growth performance, digestibility coefficients, some blood parameters, and feed and economic efficiencies of suckling calves.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Animals and feeding system:

This study was carried out at Al-Mgaz station for Holstein calves and Adah station for buffalo calves, Kafr El-Shiekh governorate. A total of 80 newly born calves (40 Holstein and 40 buffalo calves) was divided into two similar groups (control and treated) 20 calves (11 males and 9 females) in each according to their birth weights, for each species.

After birth, calves were left to suckle colostrum from their dams for 1-3 days, and then they were artificially fed fresh whole milk. At the beginning of the 2<sup>nd</sup> wk of age, calves in the treated groups were fed on fresh whole milk supplemented with 1 g Pronifer/liter milk. While the control calves were fed on unsupplemented milk. Calves were weaned at about 91 kg LBW for Holstein calves and about 98 kg for buffalo calves.

#### Feed additives:

Pronifer is a mixed microbial supplement containing: (a) Viable lactic acid bacteria (approximately 10<sup>6</sup> CFU/g) from Lactobacillus planetarium, L. brevis, L. fermeritum, L. casei and Pediococcus acidilacticii. (b) Lactic acid fermentation metabolites and enzymes (organic acids, glucosidase, and peptidase). (c) Free amino acids and short chain peptides. Fresh milk was given to calves twice daily (8 a.m. and 15 p.m.) and solid feeds (calf starter and BH) were offered once daily.

Calf starter was composed of decorticated cotton seed cake, 25%; yellow corn, 32%; sunflower meal, 5%; wheat bran, 22%, rice bran, 8%; limestone, 2%; common salt, 1%; molasses, 4% and vitamins AD<sub>3</sub>E, 1%.

Chemical compositions of feedstuffs used in calves feeding are presented in Table (1).

Table (1): Chemical analysis of different feedstuffs (on DM basis).

Feed stuff	DM%		Chemica	al compos	ition (%)		Nutritive	
		CP	CF	EE	NFE	Ash	TDN	DCP
Milk	13.00	25.39		33.85	35.38	5.38	17.20	3.10
Starter	90.62	21.08	7.58	4.08	58.65	8.61	66.36	14.88
BH	89.20	13.62	27.63	3.03	42.60	13.12	46.10	6.90
Pronifer	89.00	48.31	-	0.90	44.05	6.74	-	-

Experimental procedures:

Individual live body weights (LBW) were weekly recorded and the average daily gain (ADG) was calculated. Blood samples were taken from the jagular vein into heparinized test tubes at weaning age before morning feeding.

Blood serum was separated from the major part of blood samples immediately after collection by centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 15 min. and kept frozen at -20°C for later analyses.

Chemical analysis of feeds and feces were determined according to A.O.A.C. (1984), however milk composition was determined using milkoscan.

Concentrations of serum total protein, albumin, cholesterol, urea, calcium and inorganic phosphorus in blood serum were determined using commercial kits (Pasteur Lab. Egypt-USA). Concentration of globulin was computed by subtracting albumin from total protein. In addition, economic and feed efficiencies were performed at the end of the experiment.

# Digestibility trials:

At weaning age, digestion trials were conducted by using individual metabolic cages and four calves from each group for each species. Fecal were quantitively collected from each calf per day for 5 days to determine digestibility coefficients of nutrients of calves of each group.

# Statistical analysis:

The statistical analysis was performed as a 2x2 factorial design (2 species and 2 groups) using the least square means described by Likelihood programme of SAS (1990). The significance of group differences were carried out according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test (1955).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Feed intake:

Average daily intakes of different feedstuffs offered to each calf are presented in Table (2).

Table 2: Average daily amount of feedstuffs offered to each calf.

Ago (wk)		Feedstuff	
Age (wk)	Milk (L.)	Starter (g.)	BH (g.)
1-3 days	Colostrum	-	-
4-7 days	4	-	-
2	4	100	
3		150	150
4	5	200	150
5		300	
6		400	
7		500	050
8	4	600	250
9		800	
10	3	1000	
11	3	1100	
12	- 2	1200	500
13	2	1300	500
14	4	1400	
15	] 1	1500	

Throughout the experimental period, feed intake from milk, starter, BH and total feed intake as DM, TDN and DCP (Table 3) was affected significantly (P<0.001) by species. However, feed intake from roughages (BH) was nearly similar in DM and was significantly (P<0.001) higher in Holstein than buffalo calves in TDN and DCP values.

On the other hand, feed intake of calves was not affected by Pronifer supplementation. It is of interest to note that feed intake from milk and starter as DM, TDN and DCP was significantly (P<0.05) higher in the control and supplemented buffalo calves than the control and supplemented Holstein calves. Feed intake from roughages as TDN and DCP showed significantly (P<0.05) the opposite trend, however, feed intake from roughages as DM did not differ significantly (Table 3).

Although there was nearly similarity in DM intake from roughages, between both species, the significant increase in feed intake from roughages as TDN and DCP by Holstein than buffalo calves was mainly related to the higher content of TDN and DCP in berseem hay intaked by Holstein than buffalo calves (Table 3).

Similar results were obtained by El-Basiony et al. (2001), who found that feed DM, TDN and DCP intakes insignificantly increased by about 2-3% in buffalo calves fed diet supplemented with one g Pronifer/kg CFM during different stages of growth as compared to their controls. Results of using yeast culture as a supplement in ration of lactating cows (Harris and Webb, 1990; Robinson, 1997 and Abdel-Khalek et al., 2002) and unpublished data on suckling Friesian calves (Ragheb, 2003) and growing Friesian calves (Ragheb et al., 2003) confirmed these results. In other investigations, supplemental yeast culture significantly increased total DM intake of lactating cows (Erasmus et al., 1992; Wohlt et al., 1998, Robinson and Garrett, 1999 and Dann, et al., 2000). On the other hand, El-Basiony et al. (2001) found that adding Pronifer to ration of fattening buffalo calves insignificantly decreased DM, TDN and DCP intakes.

# Nutrient digestibility coefficients:

Digestibility coefficients of DM, CP, CF and EE were significantly (P<0.05) higher in Holstein than buffalo calves. As affected by Pronifer supplementation, only digestibility coefficients of DM and CF significantly (P<0.05) improved by about 13.06 and 6.26%, respectively, in the supplemented than the control calves. Among all experimental groups, Holstein calves fed the supplemented diets showed significantly (P<0.05) the highest digestibility coefficients of DM, CP, CF and EE, while buffalo calves fed the control diets showed the lowest values. However, digestion of NFE did not differ significantly as affected by species, Pronifer supplementation and their interaction (Table 4).

It is of interest to note that improvement in digestion coefficient of supplemented groups was higher in buffalo (9.4%) than in Holstein (4%) calves.

249ª 243 0.95<sup>NS</sup> DCP 238<sup>b</sup> 244 249ª 237<sup>b</sup> 238<sup>b</sup> 248ª 0.62 1226 1223 0.75<sup>NS</sup> Total 1277<sup>b</sup> 2.9\*\*\* LDN 1772ª 1172<sup>b</sup> 1174<sup>b</sup> 1279ª 1274ª 1427ª 6.0\*\*\* 1282<sup>b</sup> 5.5NS 1277<sup>b</sup> 1273<sup>b</sup> 1426a 1410a 1362 1347 MO 4.7 Table (3): Effect of Pronifer supplementation on daily feed intake as DM, TDN and DCP. 1.8\*\*\* DCP 26 25 1.5<sup>NS</sup> 33ª 18b 18b 339 Roughage TDN 2.2\*\*\* 122b 132 1.3<sup>NS</sup> 144ª 144ª 123° 120° 145ª 134 Feed consumption 235 2.5<sup>NS</sup> 231 2.0<sup>NS</sup> MO 230 234 230 238 232 2.0 3.7\*\*\* DCP 106 1.2<sup>NS</sup> 100b 115ª 109 100b 1178 113ª 999 Starter TDN 3.1 \*\*\* 446<sup>b</sup> 515a 475 43<sup>NS</sup> 443<sup>b</sup> 523ª 486 449<sup>b</sup> 508ª 4.1 4.6\*\*\* 8.8NS 610<sup>b</sup> 601<sup>b</sup> 710<sup>a</sup> 690a 709a DIM 612<sup>b</sup> 670 651 5.5 0.53\*\*\* DCP 116ª 112 1.3<sup>NS</sup> 105<sup>b</sup> 109 104° 114ª 117ª Milk TDN 2.5\*\*\* 582<sup>b</sup> 4.0NS 640a 909 616 578<sup>b</sup> 587<sup>b</sup> 633ª 646ª 3.0 Interaction (Species x Treatment): 483ª 3.8NS 440° 437<sup>b</sup> 443b 488ª 458 465 478ª DM 2.1 Holstein calves (H) Control calves (C) Buffalo calves (B) Suppl. calves (S) ±MSE ±MSE ±MSE Item **Treatment:** CH SH CB SB Species:

a and b: Means denoted with different superscripts within the same column are significantly different at P<0.05) \* \*\* Significant at P<0.001. NS not significant at P ? 0.05

The observed increase in DM and CF digestion of calves of the supplemented groups as compared to the control groups was associated with the better response of rumen fermentation to Pronifer supplementation. Williams (1989) found that yeast culture added to ration of dairy cattle enhanced initial rate of ruminal digestion of diet. These results were confirmed by the findings of many investigators, who found that lactobacillus culture could increase digestibility of CF (El-Basiony, et al., 1997; Abdel-Khalek, et al., 2002 and Al-Dabeeb and Ahmed, 2002). Also, addition of Saccharomyces cerevisiae culture to the diet of sheep has improved the digestion of DM, CP, and hemicelluloses, which in turn led to increase in digestibility of protein and flow of microbial nitrogen to post-ruminal (Allam, et al., 2001). However, Al-Dabeeb and Ahmed (2002) found insignificant effect in the digestibility of NFE in sheep fed on different diets supplemented with yeast culture.

Table (4): Digestibility coefficient by calves at weaning age as affected by species, treatment and their interaction.

Item		Digest	ibility coefficie	ent (%)	
item	DM	CP	CF	EE	NFE
Species:					
Holstein (H)	86.54°	85.96°	58.59ª	88.97	87.26
Buffaloes (B)	80.80°	76.6°	45.53 <sup>b</sup>	81.50	87.63
±MSE	1.21*	1.80*	2.10*	1.96*	1.13 <sup>NS</sup>
Pronifer supplemen	tation:				
Control (C)	82.41 <sup>b</sup>	81.58	50.48 <sup>b</sup>	85.73	86.70
Suppl. (S)	84.93ª	80.98	53.64°	84.74	88.19
±MSE	0.49*	1.20 <sup>NS</sup>	0.58*	1.20 <sup>NS</sup>	1.02 <sup>NS</sup>
Interaction:					
CH	85.17 <sup>b</sup>	. 86.11ª	57.45°	89.61ª	86.00
SH	87.91ª	85.80ª	59.72°	88.32°	88.52
CB	79.65 <sup>d</sup>	77.05°	43.50 <sup>d</sup>	81.85	87.40
SB	81.95°	76.15°	47.55°	81.15°	87.85
±MSE	0.71	1.39	0.42	1.93	0.76

a, b....d: Means denoted with different superscripts within the same column are significantly different at P<0.05. NS not significant at P? 0.05 \* significant at P<0.05.

#### Blood constituents:

Data in Table (5) revealed that concentrations of urea in blood serum were significantly (P<0.05) higher by about 7.8%, while total protein and calcium concentrations were significantly (P<0.05) lower by about 3.3 and 13.8%, respectively, in Holstein than buffalo calves. However, concentrations of albumin, globulin cholesterol and inorganic phosphorus in blood serum did not differ significantly between both species. Pronifer supplementation resulted significant (P<0.05) improvements in concentrations of total protein, albumin and globulin by about 11.5, 11.3 and 11.8%, respectively, and significant (P<0.05) decrease in cholesterol concentration by about 8.5% occurred in supplemented calves of both species compared with their controls. However, concentration of urea, calcium and inorganic phosphorus was not affected significantly by Pronifer supplementation.

In comparisons among the experimental groups, the supplemented Holstein calves showed significantly (P<0.05) the highest concentration of total protein, albumin and globulin, and the lowest concentration of cholesterol and calcium (Table 5).

Table (5): Least square means of blood parameters of calves at weaning age as affected by species, treatment and their interaction

Total   Total							
H) (B)	n Albumin (g/dl)	Globulin (g/dl)	Albumin/ Globulin ratio	Cholesterol (mg/dl)	Urea (mg/dl)	Calcium (mg/dl)	Inorganic phosphorus (mg/dl)
()							
		3.60	1.18	55.33	48.72	8.34	6.90
	4.37	3.75	1.17	56.60	46.09	9.67	7.19
±MSE 0.06*		0.06 <sup>NS</sup>	0.005 <sup>NS</sup>	0.96 <sup>NS</sup>	0.40*	0.31*	0.17NS
onifer supplementation:							
Control (C) 7.55 <sup>b</sup>	4.08 <sup>b</sup>	3.47 <sup>b</sup>	1.18	58.46	47.46	9.45	7.07
uppl. (S) 8.42	4.54	3.88	1.17	53.47 <sup>b</sup>	48.35	8.56	7.02
±MSE 0.12*	*60.0	*80.0	0.004NS	0.91*	0.98 <sup>NS</sup>	0.30NS	0.14NS
nteraction: (Species x Treatment)	nent)						
CH 7.18 <sup>d</sup>	3.85°	3.33°	1.16	57.21ª	48.37	8.66°	6.91
SH 8.52	4.65	3.87ª	1.20	53.44 <sup>b</sup>	51.06	8.0 <sup>b</sup>	6.88
CB 7.92°	7.92° 4.32ªb	3.60 30	1.20	59.71	46.55°	10.23ª	7.22
SB 8.31 <sup>b</sup>	4.42	3.89	1.14	53.49 <sup>b</sup>	45.63 <sup>b</sup>	9.113	7.15
±MSE 0.05	0.04	90.0	0.003	0.83	0.89	0.28	0.12

a, b and d: Means denoted with different superscripts within the same column are significantly different at P<0.05).

NS not significant at P ? 0.05 \* Significant group differences at P<0.05

The present results regard to concentration of total protein and their fractions could be related to a tendency of higher protein digestibility in the supplemented than control Holstein calves (Table 4). Nearly similar trend was observed on suckling Friesian (Abdel-Khalek *et al.*, 2000) and on buffalo calves (El-Ashry *et al.*, 2002).

In agreement with the obtained results, Abdel-Khalek et al. (2000) reported significant decrease in concentration of cholesterol in plasma of suckling calves fed yeast culture. In general, the present values of blood constituents are within the normal range reported on growing Friesian calves (Metwally et al., 1999) and buffalo calves (El-Ashry et al., 2002). The present results of calves fed Pronifer supplemented diets as compared to the controls may indicate the beneficial effect of Pronifer by improving protein and fat metabolism without any adversely effects on calf health. The effect was nearly similar on both species.

# Growth performance:

Throughout the experimental period, averages of total weight gain and daily gain were not affected significantly by species, but they were affected significantly (P<0.001) by Pronifer supplementation by about 14.89% for total gain and 15.23% for average daily gain, being higher in calves fed the supplemented than the control diet. Calves fed the supplemented diets in both species showed significantly (P<0.05) higher in final weight, total gain and average daily gain than their controls (Table 6).

It is of interest to note that the significant (P<0.001) increase in feed intake of buffalo compared with Holstein calves (Table 3) was associated with slightly higher feed efficiency in Holstein than buffalo calves. However, the significant(P<0.001)improvement in growth of calves fed the supplemented diets was reflected in better feed efficiency for each kg DM,TDN or DCP of diet fed to the supplemented than the control Holstein or buffalo calves(Table 6).

The higher gain of suckling calves fed dietary Pronifer was reported on suckling Friesian calves (Ibrahim et al., 1997 and Abdel-Khalek et al., 2000) and buffalo calves (El-Basiony et al., 1997). Many investigators have attributed the beneficial effects of yeast culture directly to change in the ruminal fermentation and in microbial population in the digestive tract (Wallace, 1994, Newbold et al. 1996 and Dawson and Tricarico, 2002) and increasing flow of bacterial nitrogen to the small intestine (Erasmus et al., 1992), which stimulate protein synthesis in growing animals.

#### Economic efficiency:

From the economic point of view, feeding calves on the supplemented starter (Table 7) revealed that daily feed cost of each calf was higher in buffalo than Holstein calves and slightly higher in the supplemented than the control calves in both species. The higher average daily gain of buffalo calves was associated with higher feed intake (Table 3) and, in turn higher feed cost/kg gain as compared to the Holstein calves. However, the higher average daily gain of the supplemented calves in both species was associated with unchanged feed intake and, in turn lower feed cost/kg gain as compared to the control calves.

Table (6): Growth performance and feed efficiency of calves as affected by species, Pronifer supplementation and their interaction.

III I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	The second secon						
Item	Initial	Final	Total	Average	Feed effici	Feed efficiency(Gain kg./kg.intake)	kg.intake)
	Weight (kg)	weight (kg)	gain(kg)	daily gain(kg)	DM	TDN	DCP
Species:							
Holstein (H)	36.50	94.16	57.66	0.577	0.453	0.492	243
Buffaloes(B)	36.36	97.28	60.92	0.607	0.428	0.475	24.4
±MSE	1.15 <sup>NS</sup>	2.61 <sup>NS</sup>	2.57 <sup>NS</sup>	0.03 <sup>NS</sup>		0.1.0	7.44
Pronifer supplementation							
Control (C)	36.62	91.98 <sup>b</sup>	55.35°	0.552 <sup>b</sup>	0.408	0.450	227
Suppl. (S)	36.22	99.81	63 59	0.636	0 474	0000	2000
+MSE	1 10 <sup>NS</sup>	2 A***	2 26***	0.044***		0.350	70.7
nteraction: (Species x Treat	atme		00.1	0.0			
- 등	37.50	89.62 <sup>b</sup>	52.12 <sup>b</sup>	0.521 <sup>b</sup>	0.408	0 445	000
SH	35.50	98.70	63.20ª	0.632	0.496	0.538	2 66
CB	35.92	93.86 <sup>b</sup>	57.94 <sup>b</sup>	0.576 <sup>b</sup>	0.404	0.220	2 24
SB	36.80	100.69"	63.89	0.639*	0.453	0.400	2 50
+MCE	4 40	77.0	700		000	0.302	2.30

a and b: Means denoted with different superscripts within the same column are significantly different at P<0.05) \*\*\* Significant group differences at P<0.001 NS not significant at P ? 0.05

Table (7): Economic efficiency of calves as affected by species, Pronifer supplementation and their interaction. Daily cost of feed stuff (L.E)

Milk   Starter   BH   Pronifer   (L.E)   gain (kg)   gain(L.E)     3.37   0.59   0.015   0.015   0.607   7.35     3.73   0.56   0.10   - 4.46   0.607   7.35     3.53   0.56   0.10   - 4.18   0.522   7.56     3.58   0.54   0.1   0.03   4.25   0.636   6.68     3.35   0.51   0.08   - 3.94   0.521   7.56     3.35   0.50   0.08   - 4.42   0.632   6.33     3.36   0.58   0.12   0.33   4.42   0.677   7.57     3.76   0.58   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.677   7.67     3.76   0.58   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.676   7.07     3.76   0.58   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.676   7.07     3.76   0.58   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.676   7.07     3.76   0.58   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.676   7.07     3.76   0.58   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.676   7.07     3.76   0.58   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.676   7.07     3.76   0.58   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.676   7.07     3.76   0.58   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.676   7.07     3.76   0.58   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.676   7.07     3.76   0.58   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.676   7.07     3.76   0.58   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.676   7.07     3.76   0.60   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.676   7.07     3.76   0.60   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.676   7.07     3.76   0.60   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.676   7.07     3.76   0.60   0.12   0.03   0.60   0.676   7.07     3.76   0.60   0.12   0.03   0.60   0.676   7.07     3.76   0.60   0.12   0.03   0.60   0.676   7.07     3.76   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60     3.76   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60     3.76   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60     3.76   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60     3.76   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60     3.76   0.60	Hom		Daily Cost o	leed stull (L.E.)		lotal daily feed cost		Food cost/kg	Relative
3.37   0.51   0.08   0.015   3.97   0.577   6.96	110011	Milk	Starter	ВН	Pronifer	(L.E)		dain/I E)	food coet /9/1
3.37   0.51   0.08   0.015   3.97   0.577   6.96     3.73   0.59   0.12   0.015   4.46   0.607   7.35     3.53   0.56   0.10   - 4.18   0.52   7.56     3.58   0.54   0.1   0.03   4.25   0.636   6.68     3.39   0.50   0.08   - 3.94   0.521   7.56     3.70   0.60   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.632   7.57     3.76   0.58   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.632   7.67     3.76   0.58   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.636   7.67     3.77   0.696   0.696   0.696   7.67     3.78   0.58   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.636   7.67     3.70   0.60   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.636   7.67     3.70   0.60   0.12   0.63   0.630   7.67     3.70   0.60   0.12   0.03   0.630   7.67     3.70   0.60   0.12   0.03   0.630   7.67     3.70   0.60   0.12   0.630   0.630   7.67     3.70   0.60   0.12   0.630   0.630   7.67     3.70   0.60   0.60   0.12   0.630   0.630   7.67     3.70   0.60   0.60   0.12   0.630   0.630   0.630   7.67     3.70   0.60   0.60   0.12   0.630	Species:							2000	(e/ 1500 page
3.73   0.59   0.12   0.015   4.46   0.607   7.35     3.53   0.56   0.10   - 4.18   0.52   7.56     3.58   0.54   0.1   0.03   4.25   0.636   6.68     3.39   0.50   0.08   - 3.94   0.52   7.56     3.70   0.60   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.632   7.56     3.76   0.58   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.632   7.67     3.76   0.58   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.632   7.67     3.77   0.58   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.632   7.67     3.78   0.58   0.12   0.03   4.42   0.632   7.67     3.79   0.50   0.50   0.50   0.50   7.67     3.70   0.60   0.12   0.63   0.630   7.67     3.70   0.60   0.50   0.630   0.630   7.67     3.70   0.60   0.50   0.630   0.630   7.67     3.70   0.60   0.60   0.60   0.60     3.70   0.60   0.60   0.60     3.70   0.60   0.60   0.60     3.70   0.60   0.60   0.60     3.70   0.60   0.60   0.60     3.70   0.60   0.60   0.60     3.70   0.60   0.60   0.60     3.70   0.60   0.60   0.60     3.70   0.60   0.60   0.60     3.70   0.60   0.60   0.60     3.70   0.60   0.60   0.60     3.70   0.60     3.70   0.60   0.60     3.70	Holstein (H)	3.37	0.51	0.08	0.015	3.97	0.577	6 96	946
Species x Treatment   3.53   0.56   0.10   - 4.18   0.522   7.56	Buffaloes (B)	3.73	0.59	0.12	0.015	446	0.607	7.35	400
3.53   0.56   0.10   - 4.18   0.522   7.56	Pronifer supplement						000	00.7	001
Species x Treatment)         0.54         0.1.         0.03         4.25         0.636         6.68           3.35         0.51         0.08         -         3.94         0.521         7.56           3.39         0.50         0.08         -         3.94         0.632         6.33           3.70         0.60         0.12         -         4.42         0.676         7.67           3.76         0.58         0.12         -         4.42         0.636         7.67	Control (C)	3.53	0.56	0.10		4 18	0.522	7.58	100
(Species x Treatment)         3.35         0.51         0.08         -         3.94         0.521         7.56           3.39         0.50         0.08         0.03         4.00         0.632         6.33           3.70         0.60         0.12         -         4.42         0.576         7.67           3.76         0.58         0.12         0.03         4.49         0.632         7.67	Suppl. (S)	3.58	0.54	0.1	0.03	4.25	0.636	888	7 70
3.35         0.51         0.08         -         3.94         0.521         7.56           3.39         0.50         0.08         0.03         4.00         0.632         6.33           3.70         0.60         0.12         -         4.42         0.576         7.67           3.76         0.58         0.12         0.03         4.49         0.630         7.67	nteraction: (Species	x Treatment)						00.0	07.70
3.39         0.50         0.08         0.03         4.00         0.632         6.33           3.70         0.60         0.12         -         4.42         0.676         7.67           3.76         0.58         0.12         0.03         4.49         0.630         7.67	СН	3.35	0.51	0.08		3 94	0.521	7 5.8	400
3.76 0.58 0.12 - 4.42 0.576 7.67 3.76 0.58 0.12 0.03 4.49 0.630 7.03	SH	3.39	0.50	0.08	0.03	4.00	0.632	6.33	83.7
0.58 0.12 0.03 4.49 0.630 7.07	CB	3.70	09.0	0.12		4.42	0.576	7.67	100
	SB	3.76	0.58	0.12	0.03	4.49	0.639	7.03	017

- Price of milk, starter and BH were 1.0, 750 and 450 L.E, respectively. Price of Pronifer was 10.0 L.E/kg.

Generally, total feed cost/kg gain decreased by about 5.31% in Holstein than buffalo calves and about 11.64% in the supplemented than the control calves in both species. The rate of reduction in total feed cost/kg gain was higher in Holstein (16.3%) than buffalo (8.3%) calves (Table 7).

Based on the forgoing results, it could be concluded that feeding suckling calves on diet supplemented with Pronifer has beneficial effects on their growth performance, digestibility coefficients, blood parameters and economic and feed efficiencies.

### REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (1984). Official Methods of Analysis. 14<sup>th</sup> ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, D.C., USA.
- Abdel-Khalek, A.E.; A.F. Mehrez and E.A. Omer (2000) Effect of yeast culture (Lacto-Sacc) on rumen activity, blood constituents, and growth of suckling Friesian calves. Proceeding of the Conference on Animal Production in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century. Sakha, Kafr El-Sheikh, 18-20 April.
- Abdel-Khalek, A.E.; E.E. Ragheb; A.M.A. Mohi-Eldin and A.F. Mehrez (2002). Milk production efficiency of primiparous and multiparous Friesian cows fed rations containing yeast culture (Yea-Sacc<sup>1026</sup>). Proc., 1<sup>st</sup> Ann. Sc. Conf. Anim. & Fish Prod., PP. 275-288, Mansoura 24&25 Sep.
- Al-Dabeeb, S.N. and B.M. Ahmed (2002). Effect of dry yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) in sheep rations differing in their roughage to concentrate ratio on digestion, nitrogen balance and rumen fermentation. Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds, 5 (1):1.
- Allam, A.M.; K. El-Shazly; B.E.A. Borhami and M.A. Mohamed (2001). Effect of Baker's yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) supplementation on digestion in sheep and milk response in dairy cows. Egyptian J. Nutr. & Feeds, 4 (Special Issue): 315.
- Bohn, J. and A. Srour (1995). An Austerian probiotic feed additive for Egyptian buffalo and cattle production. 3<sup>rd</sup> Con. Egyp. Society for Cattle Diseases, 3-5 Dec. Assiut, Egypt.
- Dann, H.M.; J.K. Drackley; G.C. McCpy; M.F. Hutjens and J.E. Garrett (2000). Effects of yeast culture (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) on prepartum intake and postpartum intake and milk production of Jersey. J. Dairy Sci., 83: 123.
- Dawson, K. A. (1995). Current and future of yeast culture in animal production: A review of research over the last seven years. Department of Animal Sciences Book. Univ. of Kentucky, USA pp 269.
- Dawson, K.A. and J. Tricarico (2002). The evaluation of yeast culture- 20 years of research. Proc. Alltfch's, European, Middle Eastern, and Africaan Lecture Tour.
- Duncan, D. B. (1955). Multiple Range and Multiple "F" test. Biometrices, 11:10.
- El-Ashry, M. A.; Zeba, A. Motagally and Y. A. Maareek (2001). Effect of live dried baker's yeast and yeast culture on performance of growing buffalo calves. Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds, 4 (Special Issue): 607.

- El-Ashry, M.A.; Zeba, A. Motagally and Y.A. Maareek (2002). Effect of live dried baker's yeast with or without acidification of milk and yeast culture on performance of suckling buffalo calves. Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds 5: 31.
- El-Basiony, A.Z.; E.E. Ragheb and H.M. Metwally (1997). Effect of Lasalocid and Yea-Sacc supplementation on performance, digestibility and carcass characteristics of buffalo calves. Arab Univ. J. Agric. Sci., 6:99.
- El-Basiony, A.Z.; H. M. El-Sayed; E.E. Ragheb; M.A. El-Ashry and A. Srour (2001). Effect of pronifer supplementation on the performance of buffalo calves at different stages of growth and fattening. Egyp. J. Nutr. & Feeds, 4(special Issue): 641.
- El-Nor, S.A.H.A. and A.M. Kholif (1998). Effect of supplementation of live yeast culture in the diet on the productive performance of lactating buffaloes. Milchwissenschaft. 53:663.
- Erasmus, L.J.; P.M. Botha and A. Kistner (1992). Effect of yeast culture supplement on production, rumen fermentation, and duodenal nitrogen flow in dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci., 75: 3056.
- Harris, B. and D.W. Webb (1990). The effect of feeding a concentrated yeast culture to lactating dairy coes. J. Dairy Sci. 73 (Suppl. 1): 266.
- Ibrahim, I.L.; A.M. El-Gaafarawy and E.A. Omar (1997). Effect of adding probiotics to Friesian calves diet on their growth performance. J. Agric. Mansoura Univ., 22:1035.
- Metwally, A.M.; E.A. Omar and A.Y. Salem (1999). Studies on some blood components, rumen fluids and growth as influenced by addition of volatile fatty acids and age of suckling Friesian calves. J. Agric. Res. Tanta Univ., 25:27.
- Newbold, C.J.; R.J. Wallace and E.M.McLntosh (1996). Mode of action of the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae as a feed additive for ruminants. Br. J. Nutr., 76:249.
- Ragheb, E. E. (2003). Effect of lacto-Sacc and Acid Pak additives on productive performance of Freisian calves under early weaning system. Egyptian Nutrition and Feeds, 6(2): 127.
- Ragheb, E. E.; A. F. Mehrez and A. E. Abdel-Khalek (2003). Digestibility coefficient, blood parameters of weaned Friesian calves fed diet supplemented with Lacto-Sacc. Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds, 6(Special Issue): 693.
- Robinson, P.H. (1997). Effect of yeast culture (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) on adaptation of cows to diets postpartum. J. Dairy Sci., 80: 1119.
- Robinson, P.H. and J.E. Garrett (1999). Effect of yeast culture (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) on adaptation of cows to postpartum diets and on lactation performance. J. Anim. Sci., 77: 988.
- SAS (1990). SAS User's Guid. Statistical Analysis System. Institute, Inc. Cary., NC.
- Wallace, R.J., (1994). Ruminal microbiology, biotechnology and ruminant nutrition: Progress and problem. J. Anim. Sci., 72:2992.

Williams, P.E.V. (1989). The mode of action of yeast culture in ruminal diets. a review of the effect of rumen fermentation patterns. PP. 65 in Biotechnology in the feed industry. Alltech Tech. Publ. Nicholasville.

Wohlt, J.E.; T.T. Corcionne and P.K. Zajac (1998). Effect of yeast on feed intake and performance of cows fed diets based on corn silage during early lactation. J. Dairy Sci., 81: 1345.

دراسة مقارنة على تأثير إضافة البرونيف ير على أداء عجول الهولشتين و الجاموس الرضيعة.

السعيد السيد راغب

معهد بحوث الإنتاج الحيواني- مركز البحوث الزراعية - الدقي- الجيزة

استخدم في هذة الدراسة ٤٠ عجلا من الهولشتين و ٤٠ عجسلا مسن الجساموس بعد رضاعة السرسوب مباشرة - قسمت عجول كل نوع عشوانيا إلى مجموعتين متشابهتين تبعا للوزن و الجنس حيث تم تغذية العجول في مجموعة المقارنة على علف بادىء و دريس برسيم بالإضافة إلى اللبن البقرى طوال فترة الرضاعة و حتى الفطام على عمر ٣ شهور وقد غذيت عجول المجموعة التجريبية على نفس العلائق ولكن أضيف إلى لبن الرضاعة البقرى (جم برونيقير/لتر لبن.

خلال الفترة التجريبية تم أخذ عينات دم من كل العجول عند نهاية الفترة التجريبية كذلك تـــم تســجيل وزن الجسم والغذاء المأكول وعمل تجارب هضم و كذلك الكفاءة الغذائية والكفاءة الاقتصادية في نهاية التجربــــة لكل مجموعة داخل كل نوع.

من هذه الدراسة تم استنتاج النتائج التالية:

ا. في كل من المجموعة التجريبية أو المقارنة تفوق الجاموس على الهولشتين معنويا في كمية الغذاء المأكول وبالتالي المأكول الكلى كمادة جافة وTDN, DCP بينما لم يتأثر الغذاء الماكول معنويا بإضافة البرونيفير

٢. ذادت معاملات الهضم لكل من المادة الجافة، البروتين الخام, الألياف الخام و مستخلص خـــالى الأزوت معنويا في الهولشتين عن الجاموس. بينما تحسنت معاملات هضم المادة الجافة والألياف الخام في المجموعة التجريبية عن المقارنة لكل من النوعين. و لـــم تتــاثر معــاملات هضــم مستخلص الأثير معنويا.

قلل الفترة التجريبية لم يؤثر النوع معنويا على الوزن النهائي ووزن النمو الكلى ومعدل النمــو اليومي، بينما ذائت هذة القياسات معنويا بإضافة البرونيفير.

٤. أظهرت النتائج أن تركيز اليوريا في بالأزما الدم كان مرتفعا معنوي الله مستوى البروتين الكلى و الكالسيوم انخفض معنويا بمعدل ٣٣،٣% و ١٣،٨% على الترتيب في عجول الهوالشتين مقارنة بعجول الجاموس كما أن تركيز البروتين الكلى، الألبيومين، الجلوبيولين قد تحسن معنويا بما يعادل ١١,٥ ١٨، ١١% و ١١,٨ ا على الترتيب وانخفض الكوليس تيرول بما يعادل ٥,٥، في المجموعة التجريبية لكلا النوعين عن المجموعة المقارنة.

أوضحت النتائج زيادة الكفاءة الغذائية والاقتصادية للعجول المغذاة على عليقـــه المعاملــة عــن العجول المقارنة. وكذلك إنخفض السعر لكل كجم نمو ليصبح حوالي ٥,٣ % لعجول الهوليشتين مقارنة بالعجول الجاموسي وأيضا حوالي ١١,١ % للعجول المغذاة على العلائق التجريبية مقارنة بمجموعة المقارنة .

وتوصى الدراسة بإمكانية إضافة البرونيفير بمعدل ١ جم/لتر لبن خلال فترة الرضاعـــة للعجــول حيث أعطت أفضل النتائج من حيث زيادة معاملات الهضم للمادة الجافة و الألياف الخام, خصــــانص الـــدم (البروتين الكلى، الألبيومين والجلوبيولين) و أعلى كفاءة غذائية واقتصادية.