# RESPONSE OF GIZA 83 COTTON CULTIVAR TO SEQUENTIAL APPLICATIONS OF GIBBERELLIC ACID (GA<sub>3</sub>) AND MEPIQUAT CHLORIDE (PIX)

Kassem, M.M.A. and Alia A.M. Namich Cotton Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza.

### **ABSTRACT**

This investigation was carried out at Mallawi Agric. Res. Station, Minia Governorate during 2001 and 2002 seasons to study the response of Giza 83 cotton cultivar to the application of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) and mepiquat chloride (pix) applied in sequential foliar applications as follows:

1- Control. 2- Spraying  $GA_3$  at seedling stage (SES) + pix at early flowering stage (EFS). 3- Spraying  $GA_3$  at squaring stage (SQS) + pix at EFS 4- Spraying  $GA_3$  twice at SES and SQS + pix at EFS. The used concentration of both  $GA_3$  and pix was 50 ppm.

However, results showed that the application of GA<sub>3</sub> once at SES or at SQS with sequent spray of pix at EFS positively influenced growth characters; plant height, number of nodes per stem and number of sympodia per plant, producing vigorous but balanced plants with greater both fruiting capacity and reproductive efficiency through enhancing number of total fruiting sites, flowers, open bolls and total bolls per plant along with reducing fruit shedding% leading to a significant increase in seed cotton yield per feddan.

The application of GA<sub>3</sub> twice at SES and SQS + pix at EFS enhanced plant growth and its fruiting capacity by increasing significantly plant height, number of main stem nodes, number of sympodia, total fruiting sites, flowers and total bolts per plant but it failed to increase seed cotton yield due to the significant increase in unopened bolts per plant and delaying crop maturity associated with this treatment.

The results of chemical constituents of leaves obtained from treated cotton plants showed that application of GA<sub>3</sub> once at SES or at SQS and then spraying pix at EFS increased significantly chlorophyll (a, b and total), carotenoides, carbohydrate contents (reducing sugars, non-reducing sugars and total soluble sugars) and phenolic compounds.

Gibberellic acid and mepiqual chloride treatments had no significant effects on intermode length, number of days from sowing to either first flower or first open boll, boll weight, lint % and fiber quality. Only in 2002 season, seed index was significantly increased by the treatments included one spray of GA<sub>3</sub> at SQS or two sprays of GA<sub>3</sub>, followed by spraying Pix at EFS.

# INTRODUCTION

Cotton plants have complex structure owing to its indeterminate growth habit and unique branching nature (Mauney, 1986), so it gives a very dynamic growth response to environment and management (Oosterhuis, 1990), presenting difficulties to cotton growers attempting to control plant growth that gives maximum yield.

Yield reductions are commonly observed in cotton fields due to excessive vegetative growth or poor and limited growth, thus the growth of cotton plant should be closely monitored. Adaptive operations could be

performed when needed to readjust the plant metabolic pathway at appropriate time. Landivar et al. (1995) stated that plant growth regulators are advantageous since their activity is under the control of the farm managers which gives them the flexibility to regulate plant growth to suit the current growing conditions for maximizing benefits.

Regulation of cotton growth and improvement of its yield have been investigated by many researchers using the integration of plant growth enhancers and/or inhibitors in a sequential multi-phased application program in which growth regulators serve as effective tools in modifying the growth of cotton plants as needed according to the plant growth status and the current growing conditions (Oosterhuis, 1990; Livingston and Parker, 1994; Oosterhuis and Zhao, 1994; Roberston and Cothren, 1995; Hickey, 1995 and Turner, 1996). So early application of GA<sub>3</sub> may be beneficial in enhancing seedling growth to establish vigorous seedling capable of overcoming the suppressive effects of unsuitable early season climatic conditions and the associated pest impacts to give well established plants with higher fruit capacity, while later application of Pix (mepiquat chloride) could prevent the risk of vegetative growth that often tied with vigorously grown plants resulting in higher yields.

The present study was conducted to evaluate the effect of sequential applications of  $GA_3$  and Pix on growth, chemical constituents and yield of Egyptian cotton cultivar Giza 83.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present work was conducted at Mallawi Agric. Res. Sta., Minia Governorate, during the two successive seasons of 2001 and 2002 to study the response of Giza 83 cotton cultivar to the sequential applications of the growth stimulant gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) and the growth inhibitor mepiquat chloride (pix).

The experimental design was complete randomized blocks with four replicates. Plot area was 13 m² including 5 ridges, 4 m long and 65 cm apart. Cotton seeds were planted at the last week of March in both seasons in hills spaced 20 cm leaving two seedling per hill at the thinning time. Other cultural practices were done as recommended for cotton cultivation. The growth regulators treatments were:

- 1- Control.
- 2- Spraying GA<sub>3</sub> at seedling stage + pix at early flowering stage.
- 3- Spraying GA<sub>3</sub> at squaring stage + pix at early flowering stage.
- 4- Spraying GA<sub>3</sub> twice at seedling stage and squaring stage + pix at early flowering stage.

Both GA<sub>3</sub> and Pix was applied at the concentration of 50 ppm.

The studied characters were:

I- Growth characters (recorded at harvest time); final plant height (cm), No. of main stem nodes, internode length (cm) and No. of fruiting branches/plant.

- II- Chemical constituents: A sample of the fourth leaves from the plant apex was taken after 15 days from pix application to determine the following chemical constituents:
  - Chlorophyli content (chis a and b): were determined according to Arnon (1949) and carotenoids content was determined according to Rolbelen (1957).
  - 2- Carbohydrate contents: total soluble sugars and reducing sugars, were determined according to Cerning (1975) and A.O.A.C. (1965), respectively.
  - 3- Polyphenol: were determined according to Simons and Ross (1971).
- III- Earliness parameters: No. of days from sowing to first flower and first open boll and earliness percentage.
- IV-Yield and yield components: No. of flowers/plant, No. of total bolls/plant, boll shedding%, boll weight (gm), seed cotton yield (Kent./fed.), lint% and seed index (gm).
- V- Fiber quality: micronaire reading (fiber fineness) and Pressely index (fiber strength) were determined according to A.S.T.M. (1975) conditions.

Data were subjected to the statistical analysis outlined by Snedecor and Cochran (1981), using the least significant difference (LSD) for means comparison.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### A- Growth characters:

It is obvious from results presented in Table (1) that cotton plants received both  $GA_3$  and pix were generally taller with more nodes per main stem and higher number of fruiting branches in comparison with the control plants. The highest values of these growth characters were produced by the treatment which applied, two sprays of  $GA_3$  followed by using one spray of  $GA_3$  at squaring stage (SQS). Internode length was not significant affected by the sequential applications of  $GA_3$  and pix indicating that the obtained increase in plant height was due to promoting both boll cell division and cell elongation which increased number of main stem nodes, in turn increased number of sympodia per plant since each more node represents a site for additional fruiting branches.

The obtained results of chemical composition may be in good harmony with the findings of Nickel (1982) who stated that synthetic growth regulating chemicals are extremely valuable in the commercial control of crop growth through changing the endogenous level of the naturally occurring substances allowing modifications in plant growth and development to a particular direction and to a desired extent.

It is well known that plant growth is mainly controlled by the levels of endogenous phytohormones and exogenous application of GA<sub>3</sub> was found by Abdel-Al (1981) to increase within plant contents of GA<sub>3</sub>, auxin and cytokinens which all are characterized by their simulative effects on plant

growth through promoting both cell division and cell elongation. Also, Wahdan (1990) found that  $GA_3$  application reduced level of the growth inhibitor abscisic acid within cotton plants. Therefore, it seems logical that plant growth enhancement obtained in this study was owing to early season application of  $GA_3$  which induced plant growth allowing the plant to produce an early increase in main stem nodes and in so doing that, it increased number of sympodia per plant, while sequental application of pix maintained the internodes unelongated by  $GA_3$  application. In this connection, Oosterhius and Zhao (1994) reported that the application of the growth enhancer PGR IV, contains  $GA_3$  + IBA, at early growth stages caused an early increase in plant growth through promoting photosynthesis activity and nutrient uptake. While later application of pix restricted vegetative growth during the reproductive phase (Livingston and Parker, 1994). Similar results were reported by Hickey (1995) and Turner (1996).

#### B- Chemical constituents of cotton leaves:

# 1- Chlorophyll contents:

Data presented in Table (2) show that the sequential applications of  $GA_3$  and pix had significant effects on chlorophyll content in cotton leaves (a, b and total chlorophyll). Results indicate that spraying  $GA_3$  at SES and SQS with sequent spray of pix at EFS had a clear positive effect on total chlorophyll contents comparing with the control. These results would be attributed to the enhancing effect of  $GA_3$  on the synthesis of nucleic acid, which control protein synthesis, leading to stimulate the biosynthesis of phytol which is an essential compound for chlorophyll formation. The present results are in agreement with findings of Wahdan (1990), Grigis (1993), Ramachandra-Reddy et al. (1996) and El-Gabiery (2002), who reported the enhancing effect of  $GA_3$  and pix on chlorophyll formation.

#### 2- Carotenoid content:

Data presented in Table (2) indicate that spraying GA<sub>3</sub> at SES, SQS with sequent spray of pix at EFS and application of GA<sub>3</sub> twice at SES and SQS + pix at EFS had significant effects on carotenoid content in cotton leaf.

In general, it could be concluded however, that  $GA_3$  increased carotenoid. Since biosynthesis of  $GA_3$  and carotenoids had the same pathway, thus addition of exogenous gibberellins would increase the amount of plant hormone in plant tissue and this might direct or stimulate the pathway in favour of carotenoid synthesis. Such results are in agreement with the findings of Wahdan (1990) and El-Gabiery (2002), who reported that  $GA_3$  application increased carotenoid synthesis.

# 3- Carbohydrate contents:

Concerning the effect of sequential application of GA<sub>3</sub> and pix on carbohydrate contents, results shown in Table (2) indicate that spraying GA<sub>3</sub> once at seedling stage (SES) or at (SQS) with pix at early flowering stage (EFS) and also application of GA<sub>3</sub> twice at SES and SQS + pix at EFS, increased significantly reducing sugar, non-reducing and total soluble sugar contents in cotton leaf. Such increase in carbohydrate contents could be explained according to the conclusion of Jones et al. (1974) and Wahdan (1990) who reported that GA<sub>3</sub> and pix (individually) could stimulate the activity

or synthesis of enzymes which would regulate the metabolism and biosynthesis of carbohydrate.

#### 4- Phenois content:

Results in Table (2) show that all treatments of  $GA_3$  + pix increased the phenols contents in cotton leaves, as compared with the control. In general the large increase on poly and total phenol was observed by application of  $GA_3$  at SES and SQS + pix at EFS. It could be concluded that phenolic substance played an important role in the control of abscission. Poly phenols inhibit the action of IAA oxidase and tend to reduce boll shedding percent (Addicott, 1970). Thus, the high level of total phenols in leaves as a result of  $GA_3$  + pix application, could be expected to increase auxin level and reducing sugars content which in turn led to a decrease in boll shedding percentage (Table 3).

The obtained results of chemical composition may be in good accordance with the findings of Nickel (1982) who reported that synthetic growth regulating chemicals are extremely valuable in controlling crop growth through changing the endogenous level of the naturally occurring substances allowing modifications in plant growth and development to a particular direction and to a desired extent.

#### C- Earliness parameters:

Data of earliness parameters shown in Table (1) reveal that sequential applications of  $GA_3$  and pix had no significant effects on number of days from sowing to either No. of days to first flower or first open boll. However, earliness% was significantly reduced by the treatment included spraying  $GA_3$  at SES + SQS and pix (EFS) in both seasons, indicating that the application of pix, which known as earliness promoter, failed to push the crop to earlier maturation when was preceded by twice  $GA_3$  application. It is appear that the effects of  $GA_3$  + pix treatments on earliness of yield may be corresponding to their effects on plant growth. The results of this study disagreed with those of Oosterhuis *et al.* (1995) who reported that the application of the growth promoter PGR IV and pix together promote crop maturation.

# Yield and its components:

With concern to the effect of sequential application of  $GA_3$  and pix on yield and its components, results shown in Table (3) indicate that spraying  $GA_3$  once at seedling stage (SES) or at squaring stage (SQS) with pix at early flowering stage (EFS), in general, enhanced both fruiting capacity and reproductive efficiency of the cotton plant through increasing its total number of flowers and number of open bolls with reducing boll shedding% leading to a significant increase in seed cotton yield per feddan. Otherwise, the application of  $GA_3$  twice at SES and SQS + pix at EFS enhanced only the plant fruiting capacity by encouraging the plant to produce greater numbers of flowers and total bolls per plant but it failed to increase seed cotton yield due to the significant increase in unopened bolls per plant and delaying crop maturity associated with this treatment.  $GA_3$ + pix also had significant effects on boll weight and lint%, while seed index was significantly increased with spraying  $GA_3$  at SES and SQS + pix in 2002 season, only.

of spraying gibberellic acid (GA <sub>3</sub> ) and meplquat chloride (pix) on some growth and earliness	
x) on	
ē	
chloride	sons.
mepiquat	1 2002 sea
and	1 and
(GA <sub>3</sub> )	in 200
acid	Itivar
gibberellic	83 cotton cu
D	e Z
sprayin	rs of Gi
_	$\pi$
_	$\pi$
Table (1): Effect of sprayin	characters of Git

Growth regulators treatment	Plant helght (cm)	No. of main stem nodes/ plant	Internode length (cm)	No.of fruiting branches/ plant	No.of days to first flower	No.of days to first open boli	Earliness %	
				2001 season				
Control	96.8	25.9	3.73	14.6	81.8	133.1	61.1	
GA <sub>3</sub> (SES)+pix(EFS)*	98.3	26.6	3.69	15.3	82.1	133.1	60.2	
GA <sub>3</sub> (SQS)+pix(EFS)	8.66	26.9	3.71	15.5	81.7	132.8	61.2	_
GA <sub>3</sub> (SES+SQS)+pix(EFS)	101.3	27.3	3.71	15.9	81.6	133.4	56.1	
L.S.D. 5%	N.S.	0.8	S.S.	8.0	N.S.	N.S.	3.4	
				2002 season				
Control	88.6	23.9	3.71	13.9	82.0	132.6	71.9	
GA <sub>3</sub> (SES)+pix(EFS)*	91.0	25.0	3.65	14.6	82.6	132.4	71.7	.—
GA <sub>3</sub> (SQS)+pix(EFS)	93.3	25.3	3.69	15.0	81.3	132.5	69.2	
GA <sub>3</sub> (SES+SQS)+pix(EFS)	2.96	25.9	3.73	15.6	82.3	133.1	68.4	_
L.S.D. 5%	7.5	1.0	S Z	1.0	SZ	S Z	3.2	

\* SES, SQS and EFS Indicate seedling, squaring and early flowering stages, respectively.

Table (2): Effect of spraying gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) and mepiquat chloride (Pix) on some chemical constituents of cotton leaves (Giza 83) in 2002 season.

	0	Chlorophylls	<u>.</u>	Constant Dide		Carbohydrate	e e		Phenois	<u> </u>
,	105	Ω	total	CONCENTRAL	R.S.	Non R.S.	T.S.S.	Mono.	Poly.	Total
Control	5.38	1.50	6.88	69.0	11.13	5.49	16.62	4.89	8.30	13.19
GA <sub>3</sub> (SES)+pix(EFS)*	5.83	1.67	7.50	0.81	17.06	6.05	23.11	5.83	08.6	15,63
GA <sub>3</sub> (SQS)+pix(EFS)	5.60	1.63	7.23	0.75	12.82	5.66	18.48	5.09	88.6	14.98
GAs(SES+SQS)+pix(EFS)	5.51	1.54	7.05	0.75	11.40	5.99	17.89	4.91	8.62	13.53
L.S.D. 5%	0.14	90 0	0.12	0,03	0.52	0.63	0.78	0.06	0.11	0.32
* SES, SQS and EFS Indicate seedling,	g, squaring	ig and ear	rly flowering	stages,	respectively.					

Table (3): Effect of compone	if the application of gibberellic acid (GA <sub>3</sub> ) and mepiquat chloride (Pix) on yield and ents and fiber quality of Giza 83 cotton cultivar in 2001 and 2002 seasons.	ion of gibb quality of G	erellic ac iza 83 cott	id (GA <sub>3</sub>	and r	nepiquat c 001 and 20	hloride (Pix 02 seasons.	on yield	and yield
	No.of flowers /plant	No.of total bolls/ plant	Boll shedding %	Bolf weight (gm)	Lint %	Lint % Seed index (gm)	Seed cotton yield (kent/ fed)	Pressely index	Micronair reading
					2001 season	ason			
Control	16.87	9.94	40.83	2.87	40.04	10.7	8.66	9.5	5.0
GA <sub>3</sub> (SES)+pix (EFS)*	17.89	12.88	28.40	2.97	39.64	10.9	9.56	9.6	5.2
GA <sub>3</sub> (SQS)+pix (EFS)	19.39	13.36	31.10	2.93	39.61	10.8	9.23	9.4	5.2
GAs (SES+SQS) + pix (EFS)	S) 19.74	13.16	33.33	2.86	39.62	11.1	8.62	9.5	5.1
L.S.D. 5%	2.1	1.8	4.2	N.S.	S	s Z	0.49	N.S.	Z.S.
					2002 season	ason			
Control	16.38	11.06	32.47	2.86	40.9	10.5	10.09	9.6	6.4
GA <sub>3</sub> (SES)+pix (EFS)*	19.11	13.72	28.20	2.94	40.4	10.8	11.32	9.5	5.1
GA <sub>3</sub> (SQS)+pix (EFS)	19.67	14.28	27.40	2.91	40.1	11.1	10.87	9.5	5.1
GA3 (SES+SQS)+ pix (EFS	FS) 19.32	13.93	27.89	2.82	40.0	11.4	10.21	9.4	5.0
L.S.D. 5%	3.9	2.7	4.0	S.S.	si Z	0.50	0.50	S.S.	S.S.
* SES, SQS and EFS indicate	te seedling, squaring and early flowering stages, respectively	ring and early (	lowering sta	ges, respe	sctively.				

Such yield increases due to the sequent application of GA<sub>3</sub> and pix could be attributed to their complementary effects on plant growth and development as early season application of GA<sub>3</sub> produced vigorous and well-established plants with greater overall fruiting potential, while sequent application of pix at EFS, through restricting vegetative growth, may improve the partitioning of metabolites towards the reproductive sinks leading to reducing fruit shedding and increasing open bolls and seed cotton yield. In this connection, Gua and Oosterhuis (1994) concluded that PGR TV and pix had countracting effects on plant height and synergistic effects on number of bolls and seed cotton yield. Similar results were reported by Robertson and Cothren (1995), Hickey (1995) and Oosterhuis et al. (1995).

#### Fiber quality:

Results presented in Table (3) reveal that sequential application of  $GA_3$  and pix did not exert any significant effects on fiber strength (Pressely index) or fiber fineness (micronaire value). Wahdan (1990) found insignificant effects on fiber quality due to the application of each  $GA_3$  and pix.

It could be concluded from this study that:

- The application of GA<sub>3</sub> once at SES or at SQS followed by one spray of pix at EFS improved plant growth and development resulting in higher seed cotton yield.
- The application of two sprays of GA<sub>3</sub> at SES and SQS with sequent spray of pix at EFS enhanced plant growth and its fruiting capacity but did not increase seed cotton yield.
- The application of GA<sub>3</sub> once at SQS or twice at SES and SQS even with sequent spray of pix at EFS delayed crop maturity through reducing earliness%.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdel-Al, M.H. (1981). Physiological and biochemical studies on the effect of some growth regulators on shedding in cotton plants. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Al-Azhar Univ.
- Addicott, E.T. (1970). Plant hormones in control of abscission. Boil. Rev., 45: 485-524.
- Arnon, D.I. (1949). Copper enzyme in isolated chloroplasts. Plant Physiol., 24: 1-15.
- A.O.A.C. (1965). America Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, Official Methods of Analysis of Official Agricultural Chemists., Washington, D.C.
- A.S.T.M. (1975). American Society for Testing and Materials. Standard on Textile Materials. D: 1448-59 and 1445-67. The Society, Washington, DC.
- Cerning, B.J. (1975). A note on sugar determination by the enthrone method. Cereal Chem., 52: 857.
- El-Gabiery, A. (2002). Effect of some growth regulators on growth, boll shedding, yield components in Egyptian cotton. M.Sc. Thesis, Al-Azhar Univ., A.R.E.

- Grigis, E.A. (1993). The effect of pix and cycocel timing concentration on growth, yield and yield components of cotton. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., vol. 20(2A): 571-580.
- Guo, C. and D.M. Oosterhuis (1994). Compatibility of PGR IV and pix. Proc. Beltwide Cotton Conf., 1994, P. 1325.
- Hickey, J.A. (1995). Effects of sequential applications of PGR1v and mepiquat chloride on cotton growth parameters. Proc. Beltwide Cotton Conf., 1995, 2: 1150-1153.
- Jones, J.W.; J.D. Hesketh; E.J. Kanprath and H.D. Bowen (1974). Development of a nitrogen balance of cotton growth. Models: A first approximation. Crop. Sci., 14: 541-546.
- Landivar, J.A.; D. Locke; Z. Cespedes and D. Moseley (1995). The effect of estimated plant concentration of pix on leaf area expansion and main stem elongation rate. Proc. Beltwide Cotton Conf., 1995, 2: 1081-1082.
- Livingston, S.D. and R.D. Parker (1994). Lint yield responses to application of PGR IV and mepiquat chloride applied to five cotton varieties in S. Texas. Proc. Beltwide Cotton Conf., 1994, 1263-1266.
- Mauney, J.R. (1986). Vegetative growth and development of fruiting sites. Cotton physiology. National Cotton Council, Memphis, TN, USA, p. 11-38.
- Nickel, L.G. (1982). Plant growth regulators, Agricultural uses. Springer, Berlin and Heidelberg, P. 173.
- Oosterhuis, D.M. (1990). Growth and development of the cotton plant. Proc. 1<sup>st</sup> Annual Workshop for Practicing Agronomists. American Society of Agronomy, Madison Wisconsin, USA, 1990 p. 1-24.
- Oosterhuis, D.M. and D. Zhao (1994). Enhanced root growth with PGR IV. Proc. Beltwide Cotton Conf., 1994, p 1348-1350.
- Oosterhuis, D.M.; L.D. Janes and B.R. Bondada (1995). Research on plant growth regulation in cotton, Summary of 1994 results. Proc. Beltwide Cotton Conf., 1995, 2: 1077-1079.
- Ramachandra-Reddy, A.; K.R. Reddy; H.F. Hodges and A.R. Reddy (1996). Mepiquat chloride (pix)- induced changes in photosynthesis and growth of cotton. Plant Growth Regulation, 20: 3, 179-183.
- Robertson, W.C. and J.T. Cothern (1995). A plant growth regulation program for cotton. Proc. Beltwide Cotton Conf., 1995, 2: 1150.
- Rolbelen, G. (1957). Untersuchugen an stroleninduziert en blatt arbumutonten van arbidoposis. Thaliana (L.) Verebangsie.
- Simons, T.J. and A.F. Ross (1971). Change in Q metabolism associated with enclosed systemic resistance to tobacco. Phytopathology, 61: 1261-1265.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1981). Statistical Method. 6<sup>th</sup> Ed. Iowa State Univ., Press Ames, USA.
- Turner, O.J. (1996). The optimum plant growth regulation system for Southeastern cotton producers. Proc. Beltwide Cotton Conf., 1996, 2: 1145-1146.
- Wahdan, G.A. (1990). Physiological effect of nitrogen as foliar application and some growth regulators on cotton plants. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minufiya Univ.

# Kassem, M.M.A. and Alia A.M. Namich

استجابة صنف القطن جيزة ٨٣ للرش المتتابع بالجبرلين وكلوريد الميبكويت (بكس) محمد محمد أحمد قاسم و عالية عوض محمود ناميش معهد بحوث القطن – مركز البحوث الزراعية – جيزة

أجرى هذا البحث بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بملوى بمحافظة المنيا خلال موسمى الزراعية 1.00 المراسف المتبابة صنف القطن جيزة 1.00 المتبابع بمنظمى النمو الجيرلين وكلوريد الميبكويست (بكس) وذلك برش الجيرلين في مراحل النمو المبكرة النبات متبوعاً برش البكس الثناء النمو الشمرى حيث كانت معاملات التجربة: 1- مقارنة 1- رش الجيرلين في مرحلة البادرات + بكس عند بداية التزهيير 1- رش الجيرلين مرتيسن (فسى مرحلة سي البادرات والوسواس) + بكس عند بداية التزهير. وكان التركيز المستخدم لكلا من الجيرلين والبكس 1- جزء في المليون.

وتشير النتائج إلى أن الرش الجبرلين مرة واحدة سواء في مرحلسة البادرات أو فسى مرحلسة الوسواس متبوعاً برش البكس عند بداية التزهير كان له تأثيرا إيجابياً على نمو النبات مما ادى إلى ي زيدة المسمة الشمرية لننبات بزيادة عدد الأفرى الشمرية وعدد المموقع الشمرية الكلى وعدد الأزهار وعدد اللوز الكلى المنبات وكذلك إلى زيادة الكفاءة الشمرية للنبات بزيادة عدد اللوز المتفتح/ببات ونقص النمبة المنويسة لتساقط الثمار مما أدى إلى زيادة معنوية في محصول المقطن الزهر/فدان.

بينما نتج من المعاملة بالجبرلين مرتين (في مرحلة البادرات ومرحلة الوسواس) مع رش البكسس عند بداية التزهير زيادة معنوية في صفات النمو وكذلك السعرات الثمرية للنبات من خلال زيادة معنوية في طول النبات وعدد عقد الساق الرئيسي وعدد الافرع الشرية وعدد المواقع الشرية وعدد الأزهار وعدد اللوز الكلي النبات ولكن ذلك لم يودي إلى زيادة محصول القطن الزهر / فدان وذلك للزيادة المعنوية في عدد اللوز عير المتفتح/نبات ونقص النمية المنوية التبكير نتيجة لهذه المعاملة.

تَثَمِير النتافج إلى أن الوش بالجبرلين في مرحلة البادرات أو مرحلة الوســـواس أو الإثنيــن معـــا متبوعا برش البكس عند بداية التزهير يؤدى إلى زيادة معنوية في محترى الأوراق مــــن معظـــم المكونـــات الكيميائية مثل الكلوروفيل بانواعه والكربوهيدرات الكلية المختزلة والغير مختزلة وكذلك المركبات الفينولية.

لم يكن للمعاملة بالجبرلين + البكس أى تأثير معنوى على طول السلامية وعدد الأيام من الذراعة حتى ظهور أول زهرة أو تفتح أول لوزة ومتوسط وزن اللوزة والنعبة المئوية للشعر وكذلك صفات الجــودة : نعومة ومتانة الشعر بينما كمان هناك زيادة معنوية فى معامل البذرة فى موسم ٢٠٠٢ فقط نتيجة للمعــاملتين المتضمنتين رش الجبرلين فى مرحلة الوسواس أو رش الجبرلين مرتين بالإضافة إلى رشة تأليــة بــالبكس عند بداية التزهير.