RESPONSE OF GROWTH AND YIELD OF JERUSALEM ARTICHOKE TO DIFFERENT NITROGEN SOURCES AND ORGANIC MANURE (FYM).

El-Sharkawy, Z. A.

Department of potato and vegetatively propagated crops, Horticulture Research Institute, Agricultural Research centre, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

The study was carried out at the Horticultural Research station of Barrage region during summer seasons of 2000 and 2001 on Jerusalem artichoke Local and Fuseau cultivars on plant growth, tuber yield and tuber chemical constituents. Three organic manure levels, i.e 10,20 and 40m³ FYM/Fed and nitrogen sources, i.e (NH₄)₂ SO₄ and NH₄ No₃. The Local cultivar showed higher fresh weight of foliage, No. of branches, No. of main stems in contrast to plant height. Tuber yield of Fuseau cultivar was 14.78 and 15.48% higher than that of the Local one during 2000 and 2001 respectively. Fuseau and Local cultivars growth parameters responded positively with the increased levels of organic manure application up to 40m3 FYM/Fed; during 2000 and 2001. Results also indicated that Fuseau cultivar tuber yield and inulin content increased as FYM level elevated from 10, 20, 40 m3 FYM/Fed as compared to Local cultivar, during 2000 and 2001. Application the form of (NH₄)₂ SO₄ increased fresh weight of foliage and greater number of branches, number of main stems, and tuber yield by 6.63 and 22.80% more than that NH₄ NO₃ during 2000 and 2001, respectively. Local cultivar tubers showed greater total carbohydrate and total sugar, total protein, total nitrogen and total potassium, compared with Fuseau cultivar Fertilization with 40m3 FYM/Fed Also, (NH₄)₂ SO₄ increased inulin concentration, carbohydrate, total sugar, total protein and NPK content application with 40m3 FYM/Fed and 40 Kg N/Fed (NH₄)₂ SO₄ were the optimum rates to maxmize tuber yield and quality of the local and Fuseau Jerusalem artichoke cultivars.

INTRODUCTION

Jerusalem artichoke is an agricultural crop with a great potential for high sugar yields per hectare 9-13 t/ha (Klaushofer, 1986). The main storage carbohydrate is fructan that contributes about 70-80% of the tuber dry matter (Chubey and Dorreli, 1974; Pilnik and Vervelde, 1976 and Kosaric et al., 1984). Although the above-ground parts of the plant can be used for biogas production or in animal nutrition (Gunnarson et al. 1985; Malmberg and Theander, 1986; Seiler, 1988). The main interrest in Jerusalem artichoke is due to biotechnological utilization of the tubers. Fermentation of the tubers may yield ethanol or other bulk chemicals (Guiraud, et al., 1981; Williams and Ziobro 1982; Marchal et al., 1985; Fages et al., 1986; Rosa, et al., 1987). Hydrolyzed fructan is an important raw material for the production of fructose sweetener (Byun and Nafim, 1978).

Growth conditions, e.g. soil and fertilization, play an important role on yield production. The effect of nutrition has been investigated mainly by application of the main nutrients (NPK), N being the main factor.

The major inorganic forms of N absorbed by plants are NO_3^+ and NH_4^+ . Both forms of N can present naturally in the soil solution. NH_4 is released from decay of organic matter whereas NH_3^+ is released from nitrification of

NH₄⁺ (Haynes, 1986). Both forms of N also can be applied. NH₄⁺ is less costly and therefore, it is often be applied.

Today there is a trend of producing nitrogen fertilizer having high nitrogen concentration, with eleminating some industrial processes to reduce the cost of nitrogen unit. A great number of nitrogen fertilizer sources such as calcium nitrate, ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulphate and urea are used in Egypt. Thus, evaluation of these fertilizers to choose the best of them with regard to their effect on plant productivity as well as their economic is of paramount importance.

Organic matter in the organic manure is very important for structure of soil, water properties and retention, and release of the nutrient elements. (Cheng 1976 and Lapshina 1984) reported that application of 40 t FYM/ha increased the growth, leaf area and yields of fresh fodder and crude protein by 28.7-1.8% and 1.5-1.7 times, respectively (El-Nagar, 1996) on potato mentioned that application of FYM contribute to plant growth through its effect on physical, chemical and biological properties of the soil as well as through its effect as a source of essential nutrients. Moreover, organic fertilizers application led to higher tuber yields and higher dry matter of potato compared with mineral fertilization (Kolbe et al., 1995).

Organic fertilizers such as FYM had a considerable effect on increasing yield and dry matter of potato tubers (Sharma and Arora, 1990. Also, Arisha and Bardisi, 1999) found that plant height, NPK Contents in foliage and tuber, number and weight of tubers potato/plant and total tubers yield/Fed, as well as the tuber dry matter content were significantly increased with increasing FYM. (Zvara and Hergep, 1983; Leible, 1986 and Starck 1989) stressed the remarkable productivity improvements at high nutrient levels of nitrogen sources with organic manure (FYM) the increase in biological activities caused by organic manure might be due to available carbon, sources on which microorganins live besides conserving soil moisture and maintaining favourable soil temperature (Lou and Sum, 1994). This study aimed to investigate the effect of organic manure at different rates in the form of FYM and choose the best source of mineral fertilizers in the form of ammonium sulphate or ammonium nitrate as well as their combinations with FYM on growth, yield ability and N,P,K contents in Jerusalem artichoke tubers.

MATERIALS AND METHODES

Two field experiments were conducted during the Summer seasons of 2000 and 2001 at the experimental farm of the Barrage Horticultural Research Station farm., characteristics of both organic manure (FYM) and soil employed in this experiment are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

To the treatments of the N source were as follows: ammonium nitrate (NH₄ No₃ 33%N) and ammonium sulphate (NH₄)₂ So₄ (20.5%N) were applied at the rates of 40 kgN/Fed. In two equal parts, started one month after planting and the second half was added one month later and control without applying nitrogen—sources fertilizer organic manure i.e. farm yard manure (FYM) was applied before planting during soil preparation at rates of 10,20 and 40m^3 /Feddan.

Table (1): Soil analysis

Season	[Fine	Coarce sand	Texture	PH	EC	Water Hd ding capicaty%	Organic matter %
2000	16 50	8.5	32.09	415	Sandy clay	8.6	2.45		1.5
2001	16.30	8.3	32.15	41.41	Sandy clay	8.7	2.64	32.4	1.9

Table (2): FYM analysis:

Cassas	Mineral nu	trients (m	g/100g)	<u> </u>	Ani	ons
Season	N	P	K	Hco ₃ -	C1 ²²	So ₄ 2-
2000	0.2	12.54	4.5	1.08	30.41	17.25
2001	0.17	12.43	4.4	1.09	31.22	17,30

Plots were arranged in a split-split plot design with three replications. Cultivars; Fuseau and local consisted main plots, organic manure (FYM) rates were assigned randomly to sub-plots while N sources were assigned randomly to sub-sub-plots. Each experimental unit was of 3 rows 5m long and 1m apart. Within row spacing was about 50cm. The two experiments were planted on April 19th, 2000 and 23th,2001 during the two growing seasons. Plant growth and yield measurements. A random of representative sample of 5 plants were taken from each treatment after 120 days from planting when plants were reached green tops, where vegetative measurements and yield data were recorded. These measurements were, plant height, No. of stems, No. of branches. Fresh weight of branches/plant, plant fresh weight from eash sub-plot and then converted into kg/plot.

Chemical analysis

Carbohydrates, total sugar content was determined according to (Somogyi, 1952 and Nelson, 1974). Inulin concentration was determined according to (Winton and Winton, 1958), Nitrogen (Koch and Mc Meckin, 1924), protein content was determined as nitrogen content and converted to its equivalent protein content by multiplying with 6.25 as described by (Pregl, 1945), phosphorus (Troug and Meyer, (1939), potassium, (Brown and Lilleland, 1946), were determined following oven-dry at 65-70°c for 48h in an air-forced ventilated oven. Data were statistically analysed using a General linear Model procedure of SAS Institute, (1989). Fishers protected least significant (LSD) at P =0.05 was employed to separate the treatment means.

RUSULTS AND DISCUSSION

I- Vegetative growth characters:

() Plant height:

Data presented in Table 3. Showed that Fuseau cultivar produced longer plants comparing with local cultivar. The increment in the plant height was estimated by 15.3 and 10.3% for the two growing seasons, respectively.

A wide range of variation was reported by many authors, (Khereba 1979; Kiehin et al. 1993; and El-Sharkawy 1998), concerning the effect of organic manure levels; Data also presented in Table 3.

}	2	height	(m)	Jerus	, P	em arti	height (m) of Jerusa lem artichoke at 120 days during 2000 and 2001 seasons.	at 120	days	during	2000 a	nd 200)1 sea	Sons.			height (m) of Jerusa — Iem artichoke at 120 days during 2000 and 2001 seasons.
				FYM (10m")	(, wo			FYM (20m²)	GH,			FYM (40m²)	Om,			Average	ge ge
Ses	SOUS	Seasons Cultivar	Control	(NH ₄) ₂ NH ₄ So ₄ No ₃	Ä Ö	æ	Control So. No.	(NH ₄) ₃	Į Š	¥	Control	(NH,), NH,	¥ §	æ	Control	(NH,), NH,	¥ Ś
		Fuseau	2.203	2.787	2.593	2.528	2.410	2.827	3.033	2.757	2.623	2.987	3.250	2.947	2.412	2.860	Fuseau 2.203 2.787 2.593 2.528 2.410 2.827 3.033 2.757 2.623 2.987 3.250 2.947 2.412 2.860 2.959 2.74
₹	000	2000 Local	1.800	2.260	2.33	2.132	2.027	2.410	2.603	2.347	2.192	2.553	2.73	2.491	2.006	2.408	1.800 2.260 2.33 2.132 2.027 2.410 2.603 2.347 2.192 2.553 2.73 2,491 2.006 2.408 2.557 2.32
		Σ	2.002	2.523	2.465	.002 2.523 2.465 2.330	2.218	2.618	2.818	2.552	2.618 2.818 2.552 2.407		2.990	2.760 2.990 2.719	2.209		2.634 2.758
		Fuseau	1.897	2.113	2.347	2.119	1.897 2.113 2.347 2.119 2.280 2.383 2.610 2.424 2.42	2,383	2.610	2.424	2.45	2.527	8.717	2.571	2.527 8.717 2.571 2.210	2.341	2.341 2.558 2.30
7	2001	Local	1.563	1.860	2.087	1.837	563 1.860 2.087 1.837 1.750 1.983 2.267 2.00	1.983	2.267	2.00		2.612	2.647	2.541	2.363 2.612 2.647 2.541 1.892		2.152 2.333 2.12
		¥	1.730	.730 1.987 2.217 1.978	2.217	1.978	2.015 2.183 2.438 2.212	2.183	2.438	2.212	2,438 2.57	2.57	2.682	2.556	2.682 2.556 2.054	2.247	2.247 2.446
ısı	LSD 0.05	ટ	Org	Şn.	-	7	CV.Org)rg	ડ	CV.N	N.Org	ō		CV.0	CV.Org.N		
Ñ	2000	0.243	0.0972	372	0.0	0.092	0.138	88	0.1	0.131	0.160	90		0.726	26		
Ñ	2001	0.052	0.042	42	0.0	0.031	0.060	8	0.0	0.044	0.053	53		0.075	75		

Indicated that 40m³ FYM/Fed produced (6.14 and 14.31%) and (13.46 and 22.6%) higher significant plant height than 20, 10m³/Fed in the two seasons, respectively, these results were in accordance with (Arisha and Bardisi, 1999). Moreover, the results in Table 3. Indicated that nitrogen fertilizer in the form of ammonium nitrate significantly increased plant hight by (4.50, 19.91) and (8.14, 16.03) compared with ammonium sulphate and control in the two seasons, respectively.

The interaction between cultivar and organic manure (FYM) affected plant height, it could be noticed that in 2000, 2001 Fuseau cultivar produced the longest stem compared to the local cultivar when using the higest organic manure (40m³) but these differences were not significant during the first season. As for the effect between cultivar and nitrogen sources on average plant height, data indicated that the tallest Jerusalem artichoke plants were obtained with Fuseau cultivar when applying ammonium nitrate in the second season only. However, the interaction between cultivar by organic manure (FYM) and nitrogen sources was significant in the second season only, indicating that the two produced the highest plants with high levels organic manure (40m³ FYM) when given combined with ammonia as compared with other treatments.

2) Number of branches:

The local cultivar produced the higher number of branches/plant compared with Fuseau cultivar in the two growing season (Table-4). As similar trend previously reported by (El-SharKawy, 1998 and Hamad, 2001) Regard to FYM, the same table indicated that application of 40 m³/Fed resulted in 16.3, 20.38 and 11.33, 23.72 higher branches/stem than 10m³ during the two growing seasons, respectively. Moreover, the results also indicated that ammonium sulphate significantly increased Number of branches in both seasons by 12.80, 12.68 as compared with ammonium nitrate. The interaction effect indicated that the greates No. of branches was achieved by both cultivars when subjected to 40 m³ FYM/Fed and also by applying ammonium sulphate in both years. It was also, clear that cultivar organic manure and nitrogen sources on were only significant in first season where combination of ammonium sulphate with

40 m² FYM consistently obtained the higher No, of branches produced by the two cultivars

3- Number of main stems:

Table (5) showed that the local cultivar surpassed Fuseau cultivar on number of main stems but these difference were only significant during the first season 19.80% ratio. These results were in hormony with (Khereba 1979) who found that significant difference in number of stems of some Jerusalem artichoke clones Also, (El-Baz et al. 1980, and Ibrahim et al. 1990) on potato plants showed that there were significant differences among cultivars in this character.

It is also evident from data in Table (5) that number of main stems tend to increase when the higher FYM (40m³) rate 10.37-61.81%, and 12.77-43.3% compared with 20-10m³ FYM during 2000 and 2001 seasons, respectively.

Table (4)	Table (4): Interactive number of	•	effect ranche	of c s/ste	ultivar, m of	organ Jerusal	iic ma em art	ichok	(FYM) e at 12	and ni O days	itrogen during	sour 2003	ces at and 20	effect of cultivar, organic manure (FYM) and nitrogen sources and their interiornables of Secondary seasons,	r intera	effect of cultivar, organic manure (FYM) and nitrogen sources and their interactions on ranches I stem of Jerusalem artichoke at 120 days during 2009 and 2001 seasons.
	 		FYM (10m ⁻)	0m ₂	 		FYM (20m3)	Ç wo	}	 	FYM (40m3)	GM ²	 		Average]]
Seasons	Seasons Cultivar	•	(NH4)2 NH4	¥	:	(NH4)2 NH4	(NH4)	X Ţ	:	(NHA)2 NH4	(NH4)	X X	:	(NH4)2 NH4	(NH4)2	_
			So.	So ₄ No ₃	E	Control	So4 No3	Nos	E		S04	Š 0	E	Control	Sog	¥ ∞oN
	Fuseau	35.30	38.73	32.27	35.43	40.63	42.74	34.71	39.56	44.06	48.36	42.60	45.10	39.99	43.37	Fuseau 35.30 38.73 32.27 35,43 40.63 42.74 34.71 39.56 44.06 48.36 42.60 45.10 39.99 43.37 36.53 39.96
2000	Local	51.86	52.95	45.84	50.22	51.98	52.61	47.35	50.65	54.93	55.40	51.02	53.79	52.92	53.35	Local 51.86 52.95 45.84 50.22 51.98 52.61 47.35 50.65 54.93 55.40 51.02 53.79 52.92 53.35 48.07 51.55
	Σ	43.58	45.84	39.06	42.82	45.84 39.06 42.82 46.30 47.67 41.03 45.00 49.49	47.67	41.03	45.00	49.49		46.81	52.02 46.81 49,44	46.46	48.51 42.30	42.30
	Fuseau 28.12 30.11 27.29 28.51	28.12	30.11	27.29	28.51	35.15	33.34	31,39	32.29	35.32	42.03	35.23	37.53	31.86	35.16	33,34 31,39 32,29 35,32 42,03 35,23 37,53 31,86 35,16 31,30 32,78
2001	Local 30.61	30.61	32.41 28.74 30.59	28.74	30.59	36.02	39.52	33.67	36.41	39.52 33.67 36.41 40.54 43.11 36.19 39.94	43.11	36.19	39.94	35.72		38.35 32.37 35.65
	¥	29.36	29.36 31.26 28.02 29.55	28.02	29.55	34.09	34.09 36.43 32.53 34.35	32.53	34.35	37.93	42.57	35.71	38.74	37.93 42.57 35.71 38.74 33.79	36.75 32.09	32.09
LSD 0.05 CV	ς	Q	Ď,	_	z	CV.Org	Org	ઇ	CV.N	N.Org)rg		ζ	CV.Org.N		
2000	0.422	0.2	0.274	0.	0.369	0.138	88	0.4	0.521	0.1	0,160			0.333		
2001	0.480	0.2	0.260	0	0.192	0.367	29	0.	0.272	0.3	0.333			0.471		

lable (5): Interactive effect of cultivat, organic manure (FTM) and nitrogen sources and their interactions on No.01	: (Altera	ctive el	160101		ar, ord	janic m	annre	(F 7 M)	and	itrogen	SOUIC	es an	their	interac	tions o	NO.01	_
	stem	s of Jer	usaler	n a <u>rt</u> ic	hoke	at 120 c	tays d	uring	20 <u>0</u> 0	nd 200	1 seas	ons.					
			FYM (1	(_{wo}			FYM (2	, wo			FYM (4	, E		 	Average	lau	
Seasons Cultivar Control (NH4)2 NH4	Cultiva	ultivar Control (NH4)2 NH4 M Control (NH4)2 NH4 M Control (NH4)2 NH4	(NH4)2	¥ z	Σ	Control	(NH4)	¥.	Σ	M Control (NH4)2 NH4	(NH ₄) ₂	¥.	Σ	Control	M Control (NH4)2 NH4	NH.	5
	1		200	NOS			Š	Ş		1	200	ő		.	204	No.	ı
	Fuseau	4.840	3.360	5.513	4.571	5.207	7.910	5.563	6.227	5.437	8 127	5.910	6.491	5.161	6.466	5.662 5.7	263
	Local	4.497	6.633	6.297	5.809	5.337	8.510	7.840	7.229	6.560	10.13	8.867	8.520	5.454	8.425	7.668 7.	186
2000	Σ	M 4.668 4.997 5.905 5.190 5.272 8.210 6.702 6.728 5.998 9.130 7.858 7.506 5.313 7.440 5.749	4.997	5.905	5.190	5.272	8.210	6.702	6.728	5.998	9.130	7.858	7.506	5.313	7.440	5.749	
	Fuseau	Fuseau 2,757 4443 3,597 3,599 5	4 443	3.597	3.599	5.337 7.017 6.473 6.276	7.017	6.473	6.276	5.507	7.557	7.97	7.080	4.867	6.339	5.749 5.0	651
2001	Local	3.803	5.373	4.647	4.608	5.863	6.627	6.373	6.288	6.523	7.897	7.550	7.323	5.397	6.632	3.190 6	673
	Σ	3.280	4.908	4.122	4.103	5.600	6.822	6.423	6.282	6.515	7.727	7.363	7.202	5 432	6.515 7.727 7.363 7.202 5.432 6.485 5.969	5.969	
LSD 0.05			5	~	_	<u>ې</u>	Drg.	ؽ	Z,	N.	DI.			CV.Org.N	Z.		
2000	0.553	0.384	84	0.407	07	0.544	44	0.5	0.576	0 705	95			0.997			
2001		0.372	2	0.333	33	0.526	2	0.471	7	0.576	76			0.815	ų		

Concerning the effect of nitrogen sources, data presented in table (5) indicated that the number of main stems increased significantly with ammonium sulphate 10.49, 28.65 and 7.97, 20.88% compared with ammonium nitrate and control during the two growing seasons, respectively. Concerning the interaction between cultivars and FYM, it could be noticed that in 2000 and 2001 seasons the local cultivar produced higher number of stems/plant than Fuseau cultivar, when received the higher rate of FYM, but these differences were not significant during the second season. Number of stems/plant of local cultivar was significantly enhanced with applying ammonium sulphate through the first season, while the two cultivars produced higher No. of stems/plant when applying ammonium sulphate during second season.

The maximum number of main stems of the two cultivars was abtained by applying 40m³ FYM and ammonium sulphate or ammonium nitrate during second season, on the other hand the firest season revealed No. of main stems of local cultivar increased significantly by 40m³ FYM combined with ammonium sulphate.

4- Foliage fresh weight:

Table (6) clearly indicate that, the local cultivar had the greater foliage fresh weight It showed 4.65 and 4.28 Kg during 2000 and 2001 seasons, respectively. Whereas, Fuseau cultivar produced 3.29 Kg and 2.99 Kg. Regarding to FYM; application of 40m³ resulted in the higher fresh weight 5.24 Kg and 4.77 Kg during the two studied seasons, respectively. Data presented in table (6) clearly show that the inorganic nitrogen in the form of ammonium sulphate compared with ammonium nitrate significantly affected the foliage fresh weight in both years.

Concerning the interaction effect, results in the same table showed that the foliage fresh weight was higher when the plants of the two cultivars were fertilized either with 40m3 FYM/Fed or by ammonium sulphate in both seasons. The interaction between organic manure (FYM) and the inorganic nitrogen sources indicated that foliage fresh weight increased when ammonium sulphate combined with 40m3 FYM. It caused about 19.79-4.42% foliage fresh weight comparing with using 20m3/Fed in both years, respectively. The intraction effect of cultivars, FYM and nitrogen sources were only significantly during first season, indicated that FYM (40m³) combined with ammonium sulphate resulted in increasing foliage fresh weight. It was obvious from previous results that using (NH₄)₂ so₄ as a nitrogen form had a positive effect in all parameters. This result could not only attributed to NH₄ cations but also to So₄ anion, since the two ions could participate in lowering soil pH and hence increasing the availability of the most important nutrients which in turn, increased plant growth (Riley and Barber, 1971; Russell, 1973 and Smiley, 1974). In addition, nitrogen also plays an important role in building stable soil organic matter as well as to produce optimum plant growth (Wallace, 1994).

5- Fresh weight of tubers per plant:

Data in Table (7) showed that Fuseau cultivar produced higher yield in both seasons compared with the local cultivar. The increment was estimated by 9.91 and 15.3% in the first and second seasons, respectively. However, the difference between the two cultivars did not reach to the significant level in the first season. A wide range of variation was reported by many outhers (Khereba, 1979; Soja and Liebhard, 1984; Spitters et al., 1988; Klug, 1992; Ei-Sharkawy, 1998 and Hamad, 2001.

At harvest time in Table (7). Fresh weight of tubers/plant increased significantly with increasing FYM up to $40 \, \mathrm{m}^3 / \mathrm{Fed}$. It expressed 14.12 and 54.54% in the first season and 8.53 and 27.92% in the second season compared with $20 \, \mathrm{m}^3$ and $10 \, \mathrm{m}^3$ FYM, respectively. Smith *et al.*, 1989 and Staniforth and Smith, 1991) reported that the organic manure improved soil structural characteristics and increased availability of nutrients and play an important role in producing increments of yield.

Results did not reflect any significant differences between the two sources of nitrogen in respect to fresh weight of tubers/plant in the first season. However in the second season, fertilization of Jerusalem artichoke/plants with ammonium sulphate significantly increased fresh weight of tubers/plant. Findings in Table (7) cleary showed that the Fuseau cultivar was significantly affected with the higher FYM/Fed during 2001 season and it produced the larger tuber fresh weight of 6.83 Kg/plant. While the local cultivar produced the larger tuber fresh weight 5.278 Kg/plant.

Fresh weight of tubers/plant was generally enhanced by a combination cultivars with nitrogen sources in both years (Table.7). However, results were not significant in 2000. While during 2001 the Fuseau cultivar with ammonium sulphate fertilizer resulted in 10.84% higher F.W. of tuber/plant than the local cultivar.

Application of 40m³ FYM/Fed increased F.W. of tubers/plant when Joined with ammonium sulphate in 2001. Not with stanting, application of 40, 20m³/Fed FYM with ammonium nitrate was not significant in the first season. However, tubers F.W. of Fuseau CV. responded positively to application of 40m³/Fed FYM combined with ammonium sulphate in the second season only. During the first season Fuseau and local cultivars tubers F.W./plant was not reach to the significant level by combination of 40 and 20m3/Fed (FYM) with different inorganic nitrogen sources.

il- Total yield/plot:

Table (8) revealed that Fuseau cultivar produced the highest yield compared with local cv. in both years of studies. The total tubers yield/plot of Fuseau cv. were 209.7 and 173.1 Kg/plot. While, total tubers yield/plot produced by local cv. were 178.7 and 146.3 Kg/plot in 2000 and 2001 seasons, respectively.

Similar varietal differences were reported by many outhors. (Spitters, 1987; Spitters et al., 1988; Perko, 1990; Klug, 1992 and Kiehn et al., 1993). Regarding the effect of FYM, it was clear from Table (8) that there were significant and consistent increases in total yield/plot by increasing organic manure level up to $40 \, \mathrm{m}^3 / \mathrm{Fed}$ in both years, resulted in 7.79, 54.65% and 8.89, 28.16% yield/plot increases over $20 \, \mathrm{m}^3$ and $10 \, \mathrm{m}^3$ FYM respectively.

Table (6)	Table (6): Interactive	_	effect	of CL	ıltivar,	organ	ic mar	ure (FY™)	and r	nitrogen	1 Sour	ces a	effect of cultivar, organic manure (FYM) and nitrogen sources and their interactions on	rintera	ctions	5
•	Folia	ge fres	h weig	nt of	Jerus	alem as	tichok	e at 12	0 day	s duri	Foliage fresh weight of Jerusalem artichoke at 120 days during 2000 and 2001 seasons	and 2	2001 St	sasons.			
			FYM (10m ²	, EO			FYM (20m	Om,			FYM (40m ³	10m,			Average	e e	l
Seasons	Seasons Cultivar Control (NH4)2 NH4	Control	(NH4)2 SO2	Į Š	ž	Control (NH4)2 NH4	(NH4)2 So4	¥ S	ž	Contr	Control (NH4)2 NH4	N S	₹	Control (NH4)2 NH4	(NH4)2	ž ő	Σ
	Fuseau	1.620	2.560	1.920	2.033	2.627	4.007	3.180	3.271		3 5.937	4.543	4.584		4.163	3.214	3.296
2000	Local	2,423	3.827	3.253	3.253 3.168		6.330	4.460	4.874	4.827	7 6.950	5.833	5.903	3.694	5.702	4.549	4.649
	≥	2.022	3.193	2.587	2.601	3.230	5.168	3.820	4.073			5.238	5.244		4.935	3.882	
	Fuseau	1.437	2.017	1.720	1.724		3.197	2.713	2.746			4.447	4,499		3.483	2.960	2.990
2001	Local	3.113	3.803	3,330	3.416		5.623	4.123	4,361			4.720	5.054		5.103	4.058	4.277
	≥	2.275	2.910	2.525	2.570		4.410	3.418	3.553	4.187		4.583	4.777		293	3.509	
LSD 0.05	S	ō	2		z	<u>ა</u>	Org	ซ	z	Z	10.			ō. S	_		
2000		0.1	161	Ö	1 3	0.20	23.	9	0.165	O	0.232			0.329	က္က		
2001	0.098	0.1	22	Ö	0.113	0.1	0.172	0.1	0.160	0	196			0.27	9		
Table (7)	Table (7): Interactive	active	effect	of CL	ultivar,	organ	ic mar) and	FYM)	and 1	nitroger	Sour	ces ar	effect of cultivar, organic manure (FYM) and nitrogen sources and their interactions on	r intera	actions	10 s
	Fresi	Fresh weigt	h of Tu	per/p	lant (K	(g) of J	erusale	emarti	choke	3 at 18	days (during	2000	gh of Tuber/plant (Kg) of Jerusalemartichoke at 180 days during 2000 and 2001 seasons	H seas	ons.	
			FYM (10m	Om,			FYM (20m3	(0m)			FYM (40m	40m,			Average	e Ge	
Seasons	Seasons Cultivar Control (NH4)2 NH4	Contro	(NH4)2 SO4	Į Š	ž	Contro	Control (NH4)2 NH4 So4 No3	X X So	₹	Contr	Control (NH4)2 NH4	NO.	¥	Contro	(NH ₄₎₂ So ₄	¥ 8	¥
	Fuseau	3.300	5.29(4.437	3 4.437 4.342	6.917	5.663	8.090	6.890	8,383	3 8.900	8.517	8.517 8.600	6,200	6.618 7.014 6.611	7.014	6.611
2000	Local	2.767	3.36	3.017	3.049			7.267				7.617	7.746	5.523	6.378	5.967	5.956
	≥	3.033	4.327	3.727	3,696			7.678				8.067	8.173	5.862	6.493	6.491	
	Fuseau		523	4.007	4.232			5.973				6.310	6.829	5.017	6.828	5.430	5.758
2001	Local		8,3	4.930	1 4.493			4.267				1 4.517	5.278	3.973	6.088	4.571	4.877
	2	0000	20,0	4 450	F 35 F			R 420				5413	6 053	A 105	C ASD	400	

Fresh weigh of Tuber/plant (Kg) of Jerusalemartichoke at 180 days during 2000 and 2001 seasons. FYM (10m²) FVM (10m²) FVM (10m²) FVM (10m²) FVM (10m²) FVM (10m²) Fuseau 3.300 5.290 4.437 4.342 6.917 5.663 8.090 6.890 8.383 8.900 8.517 8.600 6.200 6.518 7.014 6.51 2.000	0			Z	6	8		75	8			
Fresh weigh of Tuber/plant (Kg) of Jerusalemartichoke at 180 days during 2000 and 2001 season Fresh weigh of Tuber/plant (Kg) of Jerusalemartichoke at 180 days during 2000 and 2001 season FyM (10m²)	CHOUS	ons.	<u>a</u>	Į Š	7.014 6	5.967 5	6.491	5.430 5	4.5714	5.001		
Fresh weigh of Tuber/plant (Kg) of Jerusalemartichoke at 180 days during 2000 and 2001	Piele	seas	Averag	(NH ₄₎₂ So ₄	6.618	6.378	6.493	6.828	6.088	6.458	z	m
Fresh weigh of Tuber/plant (Kg) of Jerusalemartichoke at 180 days during 2000 at FYM (10m²) FYM (10m²) FYM (20m³) FYM (20m³) FYM (40m²) FYM (40m²) FYM (40m²) FYM (40m²) FYM (40m²) FYM (40m²) Fuseau 3.300 5.290 4.437 4.342 6.917 5.663 8.090 6.890 8.383 8.900 8.517 8.600 2.000	mer.	1d 2001		Control	6.200	5.523	5.862	5.017	3.973	4.495	CV.Org	2.04
Fresh weigh of Tuber/plant (Kg) of Jerusalemartichoke at 180 days during Fresh weigh of Tuber/plant (Kg) of Jerusalemartichoke at 180 days during FYM (10m²) FYM (20m²) FYM (20m²) FYM (40m²) FYM (40m²) FYM (40m²) FYM (40m²) FYM (40m²) FYM (40m²) Fuseau 3.300 5.290 4.437 4.342 6.917 5.663 8.090 8.383 8.900 8.517 2000	35000	2000 at		¥	8.600	7.746	8.173	6.829	5.278	6.053		
Fresh weigh of Tuber/plant (Kg) of Jerusalemartichoke at 180 days d FYM (10m²) FUSEau 3:300 SO ₄ No ₃ No ₃		uring ;	Om,	Z S	8.517	7.617	8.067	6.310	4.517	5.413		
Fresh weigh of Tuber/plant (Kg) of Jerusalemartichoke at 180 FYM (20m²) FYM (20m²) FYM (20m²) FYM (20m²) FYM (20m²) FYM (20m²) FVM (20m²) Fuseau 3.300 5.290 4.37 4.342 6.917 5.663 8.090 6.890 8.383 2000 Local 2.767 3.363 3.017 3.049 6.150 7.808 7.267 7.073 7.653 Fuseau 3.437 5.233 4.007 4.232 5.400 7.267 5.973 6.982 8.048 Fuseau 3.457 5.233 4.007 4.232 5.400 7.267 5.973 6.982 8.048 Fuseau 3.457 5.233 4.007 4.232 5.400 7.267 5.973 6.213 6.193 2.001 Local 3.203 5.397 4.930 4.493 4.150 6.167 4.267 4.861 4.567 Local 3.203 5.290 4.468 4.363 4.175 6.713 5.120 5.537 5.330 LSD 0.05 CV Org	e a face	days d	FYM (4	(NH4)2 SO4	8.900	7.967	8.433	7.933	6.750	7.367	j.	4
Fresh weigh of Tuber/plant (Kg) of Jerusalemartichoke FYM (10m²) FYM (20m²) FYM (20m²) FYM (20m²) FYM (20m²) FYM (20m²) FUseau 3.300 5.290 4.437 4.342 6.917 5.663 8.090 6.890 2.000 Local 2.767 3.363 3.017 3.049 6.150 7.808 7.267 7.073		at 180		Control	8.383	7.653	8.048	6.193	4.567	5.330	ž	4
Fresh weigh of Tuber/plant (Kg) of Jerusalemartic Fresh weigh of Tuber/plant (Kg) of Jerusalemartic FYM (10m²) FYM (20m²) FYM (20m²) FYM (20m²) Fuseau 3.300 5.290 4.437 4.342 6.917 5.663 8.090 2000 Local 2.767 3.363 3.017 3.049 6.150 7.808 7.267 M 3.033 4.327 3.727 3.696 6.533 6.733 7.678 Fuseau 3.457 5.233 4.007 4.232 5.400 7.267 5.973 2.001 Local 3.203 5.347 4.930 4.493 4.150 6.167 4.267 LSD 0.05 CV Org 0.837 N.S 0.620 0.837 N.S 0.620 0.837 N.S 0.630	É	choke		₹	6.890	7.073	6.982	6.213	4.861	5.537	z	83
Fresh weigh of Tuber/plant (Kg) of Jerusali FYM (10m²) FYM (10m²) FYM (10m²) FYM (2 Seasons Cultivar Control (NH4)2 NH4 M Control (NH4)2 NH2 NH2 NH2 NH2 NH2 NH2 NH2 NH2 NH2 NH		emarti	(, mg;	χŠ	8.090	7.267	7.678	5.973	4.267	5.120	ับ	Ξ
Fresh weigh of Tuber/plant (Kg) of Jacobs Cultivar Control (NH4)2 NH4 M Control (So4 NO)		erusal	FYM (2	(NH4) So 12	5.663	7.808	6.733	7.267	6.167	6.713	o G	Ś
Fresh weigh of Tuber/plant (K Fresh weigh of Tuber/plant (K FYM (10m²)		g) of J		Contro	6.917	6,150	6.533	5.400	4.150	4.775	<u>ું</u>	z
Fresh weigh of Tuber(pl Fresh weigh of Tuber(pl FYM (10m ³) FYM (10m ³) FYM (10m ³) Fuseau 3.300 5.290 M. So ₄ No ₃ No ₃ 2000 Local 2.767 3.363 3.017 No ₃ 2001 Local 3.033 4.327 3.727 Fuseau 3.457 5.233 4.007 2001 Local 3.203 5.290 4.468 LSD 0.05 CV Org 0.520 0.000 O.500	IIIVar,	ant (K		ž	4.342	3.049	3,696	4.232	4.493	4.363	z	337
Seasons Cultivar Control (NHs) Fresh weigh of Ti	5	sper/pl	10m,	Z K S S	4.437	3.017	3.727	4.007	4,930	4,468	_	õ
Seasons Cultivar Contro Fresh weig Seasons Cultivar Contro 2000 Local 2.767 M 3.033 Fuseau 3.457 Euseau 3.457 Local 3.203 Local 3.300 LSD 0.05 CV 3.300 LSD 0.05 CV 3.300	nalie	h of Tu	FYM (Sot S	5,290	3.362	4.327	5.233	5.347	5.290	jīg	6 <u>2</u> 0
Seasons Cultivar Seasons Cultivar Fuseau 2000 Local M Fuseau 2001 Local LSD 0.05 CV 2000 N.S	acnye	h weig		Contro	3.300	2.767	3.033	3.457	3.203	3.330	Ç	
Seasons (7) 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 20	: Inter	Fres		Cultivar	Fuseau	Local	₹	Fuseau	Local	≥	જ	S)
	lable (/)			Seasons (2000			2001		LSD 0.05	2000

Table (8): Interactive effect of cultivar, organic manure (FYM) and nitrogen sources and their interactions on Total vield/plot Jerusalem artichoke at 180 days during 2000 and 2001 seasons.	: Intera vield	ictive e /plot Je	effect (os cul marti	tivar, choke	nteractive effect of cultivar, organic manure (FYM) and nitrogen source vield/plot Jerusalem artichoke at 180 days during 2000 and 2001 seasons.	man: davs d	ure (F) urina	YM) ari 2000 a	id nitro	gen so 1 seas	urces ons.	andt	neir inte	eractio	us on	Total
			FYM (10m³)	0m,		- 	FYM (20m³)	, E)		FYM (40m²)	, wo			Average	9	
Seasons	Seasons Cultivar (NH4)2 NH4	Control	(NH4)2	Ă 4	2	(NH4) NH4	(NH4)z	Ĭ,	3	W Control (NH4)2 NH4	(NH4)2	¥	2	(NH ₄) ₂ NH ₄	(NH4)	¥.	3
		5	504	No	Ē		So ₄ No ₃	Š	Ē		So4 No3	No	E	5	So ₄ No ₃	No	E
	Fuseau 99.	99.00	160.7	133.1	130.9	00 160.7 133.1 130.9 227.5 249.9 242.7 240.0 251.5 267.0 255.5 258.0 192.7 225 9 210.4 209.7	249.9	242.7	240.0	251.5	267.0	255.5	258.0	192.7	225 9	210.4 2	7.60
2000	Local	83.00	100.9	90.50	91.47	Local 83.00 100.9 90.50 91,47 184,5 234,1 218,0 212.2 229,6 239,0 228,5 232,4 165,7 191,3 179,0 178,7	234.1	218.0	212.2	229.6	239.0	228.5	232.4	165.7	191.3	179.0 1	78.7
	Æ	91.00	130.8	111.8	111,2	91.00 130.8 111.8 111.2 206.0 242.0 230.4 226.1 240.5 253.0 242.0 245.2 179.2	242.0	230.4	226.1	240.5	253,0	242.0	245.2	179.2	208.0 194.2	194.2	
	Fuseau	103.7	157.0	120.2	127.0	Fuseau 103.7 157.0 120.2 127.0 162.0 218.0 179.2 186.4 185.8 243.0 189.3 206.0 150.5 206.0 162.9 173.1	218.0	179.2	186.4	185.8	243.0	189.3	206.0	150.5	206.0	162.9	173.1
2001	Local	96.10	160.4	147.9	134.8	Local 96.10 160.4 147.9 134.8 124.5 185.0 128.0 145.8 137.0 202.5 135.5 158.3 119.2 182 6 137.1 146.3	185.0	128.0	145.8	137.0	202.5	135.5	158.3	119.2	1826	137.1	146.3
	Σ	99.90	99.90 158.7 134.1 130.9	134.1	130.9	143.3	201,5	153.6	166.1	143,3 201,5 153,6 166,1 161.4	222.8	162,4	182.2	222.8 162.4 182.2 134.9	194.3 150.0	150.0	
LSD 0.05	ò	Org	D O	z		CV.Org)rg	ટ	CV.N	N.Org	Ē		Q	CV.Org.N			
2000	13.13	7.69	60	5.0	5.044	10.87	97	7.1	7.133	8,736	98			12.35			
2001	6.756	4.528	28	3.0	3.079	6.403	23	4.3	4.354	5.333	33			7.541			

(Lapshina 1984 and Lou and sum, 1994) reported that the increase in biological activities caused by organic manure might be due to available carbon sources on which microorganisms activates besides conserving soil moisture and maintaining favourable soil temperature.

At harvesting (Table.8) showed the effect of using of the nitrogen sources, total tubers yield/plot was generally enhanced by $(NH_4)_2$ so₄ which caused increased 6.90, 14.09 and 22.95, 30.57% compared with NH_4 NO_3 and control in both seasons, respectively.

Concerning the interaction between cultivars and FYM, data presented in the same table indicated that the two cultivars responded significantly to higher levels of FYM. Also, the interaction between cultivars and nitrogen sources, data presented in Table (8) showed that the two cultivars surpassed when applying $(NH_4)_2$ SO₄ compared with NH_4 NO₃ and the control treatment in the two seasons.

It is also clear that FYM fertilizer exerted its increasing effect on the total yield/plot when combined with $(NH_4)_2\ SO_4$. The interaction between cultivars, FYM and nitrogen sources, indicated that the highest values were resulted with applying nitrogen fertilizer as $(NH_4)_2\ SO_4$ form and $40m^3\ FYM$ for both cultivars. These were true in both seasons of 2000 and 2001.

III- Inulin content of tubers:

Regardless treatments, the present study indicated that the Fuseau cultivar contained 3.47% higher tuber inulin concentration than those of the local one. The same trend was reported by (El-SharKawy, 1998). The positive effect of late harvest on increasing tuber inulin was reached 7.24-2.77% for $10 \rm m^3\text{-}20 \rm m^3$ FYM/Fed, respectively compared with $40 \rm m^3$ FYM/Fed. Concerning the nitrogen sources, it was observed that ammonium sulphate surpassed then ammonium nitrate and control by 3.41, 7.22%, respectively. Concerning the interaction effects, results in Table (9) showed that the inulin concentration (g100g⁻¹ DW) was higher when the plants of the two cultivar were fertilizer either with $40 \rm m^3$ and ammonium sulphate. The interaction between organic manure (FYM) and the inorganic nitrogen sources indicated inulin increment when NH₄So₃ combined with $40 \rm m^3$ FYM,while, the interaction effect of cultivars, FYM and the inorganic nitrogen sources were indicated that FYM $(40 \rm m^3/Fed)$ combined with $(\rm NH_4)_2$ So₄ increased inulin content of the tubers .

IV- Carbohydrate content of tubers:

Tubers of the local cultivar was significantly in carbohydrate than those of Fuseau cultivar. The present study indicated that 40m³ FYM/Fed significantly enhanced tuber carbohydrate by 3.58 and 6.54% compared with 20 and 10m³ FYM/Fed. In general, carbohydrate concentration with significantly higher (NH₄)₂ SO₄ and NH₄ No₃ compared with untreated by 2.35 and 2.24%. The effect of interaction between FYM rate and cultivars on carbohydrate percentage indicated that the local cultivar was significantly stimulated with the rate of 40m³ FYM/Fed. it is Also clear that the effect of interaction between cultivar and inorganic nitrogen source. Local cultivar was superior with ammonium sulphate while, Fuseau cultivar was shown in table (9) in dictated that carbohydrate percentage increased with ammonium nitrate sources.

<u>جَ</u>	
~~	1
7	3
Ξ	
3	i
_	1
_	
듯	3
	5
2	è
ᅕ	
. <u>×</u>	1
ច	
ĕ	,
-	ž
يّ	č
.5	Ç
L	Į
<u>.</u>	1
ž	ĩ
÷	ż
Ð	3
Ξ	1
10	
(i)	4
Ж,	1
2	۶
2	ì
õ	Ì
67	ï
5	1
2	å
χ,	1
×	4
Ξ	
C	1
73	1
ĕ	1
ď	1
_	-
€	1
5	3
_	- 2
Ŧ.	
Ŧ.	
re (F	
ure (F	1 1 1 1
nure (F	
nanure (F	10/1 2 4 1/0/
manure (F	- 10/ N - 1/0/
: manure (F	10/1
ic manure (F	
inic manure (F	
yanic manure (F	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
rganic manure (F	1.0 10/1 10.1
organic manure (F	- A. C 10/1 - E 1
, organic manure (F	And And Address of the Annual Contract of the
ır, organic manure (F	-4 4 4 4 4 1 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
var, organic manure (F	The state of the s
tivar, organic manure (F	The state of the s
ultivar, organic manure (F	1 1 to tal
cultivar, organic manure (F	10/1 2 - 1 / 1 / 2 - 1 / 2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
cultivar, organic manure (F	
of cultivar, organic manure (F	
of cultivar, organic manure (F	1
et of cultivar, organic manure (F	
ect of cultivar, organic manure (F	because 1875 and because 1877 at foresterning and about the because the state of th
ffect of cultivar, organic manure (F	
Effect of cultivar, organic manure (FYM) and nitrogen sources and their interactions on Inulin(%),	1 L
Effect of cultivar, organic manure (F	
: Effect of cultivar, organic manure (F	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
) : Effect of cultivar, organic manure (F	
(9) : Effect of cultivar, organic manure (F	
(9) : Effect of cultivar, organic manure (F	The state of the s
le (9): Effect of cultivar, organic manure (F	
ble (9): Effect of cultivar, organic manure (F	
Table (9): Effect of cultivar, organic manure (F	The state of the s

ļ.

s Control 11.42 10.40 10.41 16.18 16.80 16.80	chare (// and total sugar (// of octusatem attrement at the days during zood and zoot seasons	200	10/1										2	
Control 11 42 10.40 10.41 16.18 16.80 16.49	M (10m ²)			FYM (20	Ę			FY ™ (4	Ę			Avera	ag.	
11.42 10.40 10.41 16.18 16.80 16.49	(NH4), NH4 So, No,	Σ	Control	(NH ₄) ₃ NH ₄ So ₄ No ₃	Ĭ Š	æ	Control	(NH,); NH, So, No,	ž Ś	Σ	Control	So, NE	¥ S	Σ
11.42 10.40 10.41 16.18 16.80 16.49			1											
10.40 10.41 16.18 16.80 16.49		11 63	11.78	12.70 1	2.10	12.20	12.16	12.83	12.36	12.45	11 79	12.49	11 93	12 09
16.18 16.80 16.49		11 17	10.92		2.07	11.71	11.92	12.43	12.07	12.14	11.08	12.14	11.80	11.67
16.18 16.80 16.49	90 11.39	11.40	11.35		12.09	11.95	12.04	12.63	12.22	12.29	11.43	12.32	11 90	
16.80 16.80 16.49														
16.80		16.43	16.65		7.09	16.89	17.19	17.94	17.93	17.69	16.67	17.12	17.22	17.00
16 49	17.18	17.00	17.40		7.56	17.62	17.90	18.29	18.06	18.08	17.37	17.74	17.60	17.57
		16.72	17.03	17.41	17.33	17.25	17.54	18,12	18.00	17.89	17.02	17.43	17.41	
· PEDS INCO														
	71 1274		13.11	13.29 1	3.11	13 17	13.91	14.37	14.37	14.22		13.46	13.41	
Local 12.85 13.6	56 13.53		13.64	13.91	80.7	13.87	14.41	14.62	14.44	14.49	13.63	14.63	14 02	13.35
M 12.70	12.18 13.13	13.01	13.38	13.60 13.60	3.60	13.52	14.16	14.50	14.41	14.36		13.76	13.71	13.90
CV "S.D 0.05% CV	Org	z	CV.Org	CV.N	٠,	N.Org				CV.Org.N	N.9.			
10.05237	0.03719	0.0370	0.05259	0.05260	90	0.06441				.60 0	60			
Carbohydrate 0.2028	0.09602	0.09602	0.1358	0.136	g	0.1663				0.235	7			
Total sugar 0.1335 0.	0.04294	0.04299	0.06073	0.060	73	0.07438				0.10	22			

Table (10): Effect of cultivar, organic manure (FYM) and nitrogen sources and their—interactions on Protein of Jerusalem artichoke at 180 days during 2000 and 2001 seasons...

	20100			יצע סו	מס תם		2 4 5	7 7110 0	200	500						
		FYM (1	OH,			FYM (20m²)			FYIM (40)	(E	-		Ave	rage	
Cultivar	Control	(NH ₄) ₂ So ₄	Ž.	¥	Control (NH ₄) ₂ NH ₄ M Control (NH ₄) ₃ NH ₄ M Control (NH ₄) ₃ So ₄ No ₃ M ₉ Control So ₄	(NH1)3	ž Š	ž	Control	'NH')' NH' 'So' No	ĭ Š	Σ	NH4 M Control	Ξď	H,); NH,	ž
Fuseau 8 236	8 236	8.658	8.448	8.447	8.506	9.364	9.019	8.963	8.396	9.427	9.130	8.984	8.379	o;	8 863	8.798
Local	8 665	8.752	8.686	8.701	8.917	9.402	9.040	9.120	9.015	9.469	9.261	9.248	8.866	6	8 995	9.023
Σ	8.450	8 705	8.567	8.574	8.712	9.383	9.029	9.041	8 705	9.448	9,195	9.116	8.622	ç	8 930	-
L.S.D 0.05%	ટ	ō	Đ.	z	CV.Org	S	Z.	N.Org				င	Org.N			
	0.06414	0 0	801	0.0480	0.06790	ŏ	88	0.08316				0	1176			

Concerning the interaction effects, results in the same Table, showed the carbohydrate content increased with a combination of 40m³ FYM/Fed and ammonium sulphate or ammonium nitrate of the two cultivars.

V- Total sugar content:

In general, total sugar content of local cultivar surpassed that of the Fuseau cultivar by 3 96% (Table 9) Regardless of cultivar total sugar content was positively inflenced by rate of FYM ($40\text{m}^3/\text{Fed}$), significantly increased by 3.41 and 9.40% compared with 20 and 10m^3 FYM/Fed Total sugar content was correspondingly enhanced by 13.76 and 13.7,1g/100g Dry weight with (NH₄)₂SO₄, or NH₄ No₃ compared with control 13.4,1g/100g. The interaction between rate of FYM and Jerusalem artichoke cultivars was significant indicating that total sugar concentration of the two cultivar were increased as FYM fertilizer elevated from 10,20 and 40m^3 FYM/Fed.

Results also indicated that the interaction effect between inorganic sources and Jerusalem artichoke cultivars on total sugar content was significant when applicantion 40m^3 FYM/Fed with $(NH_4)_2$ SO₃ of the two cultivars.

VI- Total protein content:

Local cultivar produced tubers with significantly higher percentage of total protein percentage than Fuseau cultivar. Differences in tuber total protein might be due to genetic differences among Jerusalem artichoke cultivars (El-sharkawy, 1998 and Mansour et al., 2001). Results indicated a significant increase of tuber total protein content as FYM level elevated to $40\text{m}^3/\text{Fed}$, the increase of FYM application from 10 to 20 and $40\text{m}^3/\text{Fed}$ resulted in 5.95 and 0.38% higher tuber total protein concentration.

Results also indicated as significant increase of tuber protein percentage as ammonium sulphate compared with ammonium nitrate and untreated control. Concerning the interaction effect between cultivars and organic manure, it could be noticed that the local cultivar produced the higher protein concentration compared to the Fuseau cultivar when using the higher FYM (40m³/Fed). As for the effect between cultivar and nitrogen sources on protein percentage, data indicated that tuber protein parentage increase significantly with the two cultivar by applying (NH₄)₂ SO₃. Concerning, the interaction between cultivar, FYM and inorganic nitrogen sources it is lear that the total protein concentration increased significantly with two cultivars (Fuseau and local) by applying 20 or 40m³ FYM/Fed with (NH₄)₂ SO₃.

Nitrogen, phosphrous and potassium content in tubers:

Concerning the nitrogen and potassium content in the tubers, the data in table (11) showed that local cultivar tubers contained higher level compared with of Fuseau cultivar, wihle the phosphorus content in the tubers in same table indicated that no Sig nificant differences between the two cultivar.

Regarding the effect of FYM fertilizer levels on NPK content, were significant by increasing FYM level from 10 to 20 and 40m³/Fed elevated tuber NPK content by 1.360, 1.406 and 1.444g/100g DW. respectively.

Table (11) : Effect _of cultivar, organic manure (FYM) and nitrogen sources and their interactions on Phosphrous, Nitrogen and_Potassium of Jerusalem artichoke at 180 days during 2000 and 2001 seasons	: Effect o	of cul	f cultivar, organic manure (FYM) and nitrogen sources and their interactions on Pho and Potassium of Jerusalem artichoke at 180 days during 2000 and 2001 seasons	ganic m	lanure (Jerusak	FYM) a am arti	ind nitri choke 2	ogen s	ource days d	s and	their ii 2000 a	nterac ind 20	tions (101 sea	on Pho	osphr	Snc.
		FYM (FYM (10m³)			FYM (20m ³)	,0m²)			FYIM (40m²)	Om.			Average	 age age	
reatments		(NHY)	Ĭ	:		(Ť	Ĭ			(NH.)	Ĭ		-	(NH*)	¥	:
Cullya	Curuvar	So.	Nos	Ę	روماليوا 	°os	ro x	E		SO4	Š.	 ¥	course Course	70 S	No.	Ε
Phosphrous												 	1			
Fuseau	0.4467	0.4677	0.4677 0.4507	0.455	0.4674	0.4410	0.455 0.4674 0.4410 0.4860 0.481 0.4967 0.5103 0.5067 0.505 0.4693 0.4897 0.4811 0.4800	0.481	0.4967	0.5103	0.5067	0.505 (0.4693 (0.4897	0 4811	0.4800
Local	0.4313	0.4953	0 4800	0.469	0.4580	0.5033	0.4580 0.5033 0.4833	0.482	0.4710	0.482 0.4710 0.5177 0.4932 0.494 0.4534 0.5054 0.4851 0.4815	0.4932	24	0.4534 (0.5054	0.4851	0.4815
₹	0.4390	04815	0 4653	0.462	0.4113	0.4972	0.4113 0.4972 0.4847 0.481 0.4838 0.5140 0.5002 0.4995 0.4614 0.4976 0.4934	0.481	0.4838	0.5140	0,5002	0.4995	0.4614	0.4976	0.4934	
Nitrogen				1											 	}
Fuseau	1.318	1.385	1 352	1.353	1.361	1.498	1.498 1.443 1.434 1.343 1.508 1.461 1.437 1.341 1.464 1.418 1.408	1.434	1.343	1.508	1.461	1.437	1.341	1.464	1.418	1.408
Local	1.380	1.400	1.390	1.390	1.427	1.505	1.446	1.459	1,442	1,459 1,442 1,517 1,48? 1,48 1,416	1.48?	1.48	1.416	1.474	1,474 1,439 1,443	1.443
₹	1.349	1.394	1.371	1.371	1.394	1.502	1.445		1.393	1,447 1,393 1,513 1,471 1,459 1,378 1,469	1.47	1.459	1.378	1.469	1.429	
Potassium											<u> </u>					
Fuseau	1.316	1.407	1.410	1.37B	1.323	1,416	1.323 1.416 1.430 1.390 1.339 1.438 1.453 1.410 1.326 1.420 1.431 1.393	1.390	1.339	1.438	1.453	1,410	1.326	1 420	1 431	1 393
Local	1315	1 335	1.378	1.343	1.414	1,421	1.434	1,423	1,417	1,423 1,417 1,526 1,489 1,477 1,382 1,427 1,434	1.489	1.477	1.382	1.427	1,434	1.414
Σ	1,316	1.371	1.394	1 360	1.369	1.415	1.432	1,406	1,406 1,378	1.482	1.471	1.444	1,444 1.354 1.424	1.424	1 133	
L.S.D 0.05%	<u></u>	>	Org	z	CV.Org	Ę	CV.N	N.Org	ū			٠.	ĆV.Org.N	-		
Phosphrous	0.01171	171	0.00680	0.00680 0.00679	0.0012	212	0.00960	0.011076	1076				0.01663			1
Nitrogen	0 0 1 1 7	117	0 0068	0.00679	0.00970	970	0.00960	0.01176	176			~	0.01663			
Potassium	0.0117	171	0.00680	0.00680 U 00679	0.009602	9602	0.00960	0.0118	118			_	0.01663			

The results, also showed that N,P content increased with applying ammonium sulphate while, potassium content was increase significant applying ammonium nitrate. Results of the interaction effect between cultivars and FYM rates (Table 11) on N and K content were found in local cultivar with higher levels of FYM ($40m^3$ /Fed) application on the contrary, higher levels of FYM ($40m^3$) with Fuseau cultivar produced phosphorus tubers content. Moreover, the interaction between cultivar and inorganic nitrogen sources on NPK content, the data in table (11) showed that a significant was (NH₄)₂ SO₃ with two cultivars However, the interaction between cultivars, FYM and nitrogen sources, the data in table (11) showed that the highest FYM ($40m^3$ /Fed) with (NH₄)₂ SO₃ produced NPK content increases of two cultivar

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that application of 40m³ FYM/Fed with ammonium sulphate at the rated 40Kg N/Fed was the best treatment for improving the vegetative growth, Also, producing the highest tuber yield and increase inulin concentration total carbohydrate, total protein, nitrogen and phosphorus concentration.

REFERENCES

- Arisha, H.M. and A. Bardisi (1999). Effect of mineral and organic fertilizer on growth, yield and quality of potato under Sandy soil conditions. Zagazig J.Agric. Res., 20 (2):391-405.
- Brown, J. and O. Lilliland (1946). Rapid determination of potassium and sodium in plant material and soil extracts by flame photometry. Pros. Amer. Soc. Hort Sci., 48:341-346.
- Byun, S.M. and B.H. Nafim (1978). Production of fructose from Jerusalem arrichoke by enzymatic hydrolysis. J. Food Sci., 43: 1871-1873.
- Cheng, B.T. (1976) Soil organic matter as a plant nutrient. IAEA-SM-211/59. Acta Horticulture No. 172,199.
- Chubey, B.B. and D.G. Dorrell (1976) Jeropalem advolves, a potential fructose crop for the prairies. Can. Inst. Fund Sci. (achinol., J. 7.98-109.
- El-Baz, S.A.; A.M. Sharara; M.Z.Abder Halk and A.El-Shako (1980). Studies on the use of cut seed potataes for the fall (Nill) planting. Agric. Res. Rev., 58 (3): 111-123, Egypt.
- El-Nagar, E.M. (1996). Effect of applying some organic residues to sandy and calcareous soils on growth and composition of some plants. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ., Egypt.
- EL-Sharkawy, Z.A. (1998). Physiological studies on Jerusalem artichoke. Ph.D Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Egypt.
- Fages, J.; D. Mulrd; J.J. Rouuet and J.L. Wilelm (1986). 2,3- Buranediol production from Jerusalem artichoke, Helianthus tuberoses, by Bacillus polymyxa ATCC 12321, Optimization of kia profile. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 25:197-202.

- Guiraud, J.P.; J. Daurelles and P. Galzy (1981). Alcohol production from Jerusalem artichoke using yeasts with inulinase activity. Biotechnol. Bioengineering, 23: 1461-1465.
- Gunnrson, S.; A. Malmberg; B. Mathisen; O. Theander; L. Thyselius and U.Wonsche (1985). Jerusalem artichoke (*Helianthus luberosus* L.) for biogas production. Riomass, 7: 85-97.
- Hamad, N.M. (2001). Effect of cultivar and location on yield, tuber quality and storability of Jerusalem artichoke M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain shams University, Egypt.
- Haynes, R.J. (1986) Nitrification, P.127-165 In Hynes (Ed) R.J. "Mineral Nitrogen in the plant-soil system, "Academic press, London.
- Ibrahim, A.A; A.A.G.Ali; M.Z. Abdel-Hak and M.S.A. Zoromba (1990). Response of some potato cuitivars to foliar application of some mirconutrients and varying population. Zagazig J.Agric Res., 17(4): 1093-117.
- Khereba, A.H.A. (1979). New clones of Jerusalem artichoke (Helianthus Tuberous L.). Research Bulletin No: 1173 Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University. Egypt.
- Kiehin, F.A. and B.B. Chubey (1985). challenger Jerusalem artichoke. Can.-J.- of-pl.-Sci.,65:3, 803-805; (1985).
- Kiehn, F.A. and B.B. Chubey (1993). Variability in agronomic and compositional characteristics of jerusalem artichoke. Inulin and Inulin., Containing Crops., 10:1-9.
- Klaushofer, H. (1986). Zur Biotechnologie fructosanhaltiger Pflanzen. Starch, 38:91-94.
- Klug-Andersen,S. (1992). Jersulem artichoke a vegetable crop, growth regulation and cultivars. Acta-Horticulture 318:145- 152. (C.F. Horted 1989-1995).
- Koch, F.G. and T.L.Mc Meckin (1924). A new direct nesslirization microkeidahai method and a modification of the Nessler-Folin reagent for ammonia, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 46:2066.
- Kosaric, N.; G. P. Cosentino and A. Wieczorek (1984). The Jerusalem artichoke as an agricultural crop. Biomass, 5: 1-36.
- Kolbe, H.; S.Meineke and W.L. Zhang (1995). Differences in organic and mineral fertilization on potato tuber yield and chemical composition compared to model calculations. Agribiol. Res., 48(1): 63-73.
- Lapshina-TB. (1984). Effect of organic manures and fertilizers on yield of Jerusalem artichoKe. Trudy-Komi-Filiala-AN-S ssR. 1984, N.68, 78-91.
- Leible, L. (1986). Erfragspotentiale von Topinambur (Helianthus tuberosus L.), Zuckerhirse (Sorghum bicolor [L.] Moench) und Sonnenblume (Helianthus annurs L.) für die Bereitstellung fermentierbarer Zucker resp.OI unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der N-Dungung. Thesis, Universität Hohenheim.
- Lou, A.C. and X.Sum (1994). Effect of organic manure on the biological activities associated with insoluble phosphorus release in a blue purple paddy soil. Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Anal., 25(13-14): 2513-2522.
- Malmberg, A. and O. Theander (1986). Differences in chemical composition of leaves and stem in Jerusalem artichoke and changes in low-molecular

- sugar and fructan content with time of harvest. Swedish J.Agric. Res., 16; 7-12.
- Marchal, R.; D. Blanchet and J. P. Vandecasteele (1985). Industrial optimization of acetonebutanol fermentation: a study of the utilization of Jerusalem artichokes. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 23: 92-98.
- Mansour S.A.; Z.A. El-Sharkawy; A.A. Tawfik and H.M. Ramaddan (2001). Response of some Jerusalem artichoke cultivars to nitrogen and potassium levels in drip-irrigated sandy soil. Aferican Crop Science Conference Proceedings, 5:853-860.
- Nelson, N.(1974). A photometry adaptation of the Somogyi methods for determination of glucose. J.Biology, Chem., 195:19-23.
- Perko, J. (1990). Experiment in the diversification of vegetable growing: The Japanese artichoke observations and yield. Revue-Suisse-deviliculture, d'Arboriculture-et-d' Hortoi 22:5, 295-297. (C.F. Hort Abst 66-8088, 1991).
- Pilnik, W. and G.J. Vervelde(1976). Jerusalem artichoke (*Heliantbus tuberosus* L.) as a source of fructose, a natural alternative sweetener. Z. Ackerund Pflanzenbau, 142: 153-162.
- Pregl, F. (1945): Quantitative organic micro-analysis. 4 th Ed. Chundchri 1,Londen.
- Riley, D. and S.A. Barber (1971). Effect of ammonium fertilization on phosphorus uptake as related to root-indvced PH changes at the root-soil interface soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc., 35:301.
- Rosa,M.F.;I.SA. Correla and J.M. Novais (1987). Production of ethanol at high Temperatures in the fermentation of Jerusalem artichoke juice and a simple medium by klyveromyces marxianus. Biotechnol. Letters, 9:441-444.
- Russell, E.W. (1973). soil conditions and plant growth, 10th ed., language Book Soc.x longman, London, p.624.
- SAS Institute, I. (1989). SAS / STAT User's Guide version 6,4th Ed Cary, N.Carolina: SAS Institute, Inc., ISBN: 1-555, 44-376-L, 846 PP.
- Seiler, G.J. (1988). Nitrogen and mineral content of selected wild and cultivated genotypes of Jerusalem artichoke. Agron., J. 80: 681-687.
- Sharma, V.C. and B.R. Arora (1990). P and K uptake pattern in potato as affected by applied nitrogen. Madras Agric. J.,77(3-4): 125-130.
- Smiley, R.W. (1974). Rhizosphere Ph as influenced by plant, soil and nitrogen fertilizers, soil sci-soc-Am. Pro., 38:795.
- Smith, S.R.; J.E. Hall and P. Hadley (1989). Composting sewage sludge wastes in relation to their suitability for use as fertilizer materials for vegegetable crop production. International symposium of compost Recycling of Wastes, Athens, 4-7 October.
- Soja, G. and P. Liebhard (1984). Yield, nutrient and sugar analysis during tuber formation of there different varieties of Jerusalem artichoke (*Helianthus tuberosus*.Ł) at different stages of maturity. Bodenkulture., 35:317-327.

- Somogyi.M. (1952). Notes on, sugars determination. J. Biology. Chem., 19-23:159.
- Spitters, G J.T. (1987). Jerusalem artichoke-a new crop for the sweetener market. Prophyta., 41(10): 259-261(1987).
- Spitters, G.J.T.; M. Lootsma, Gelder W.M.J.-V.A.N. and W.J.M. Meijer (1988). Jerusalem artichoke, not just a sop. Prophyta, 42(9):265-266. (C.F.Hort-Abst. 60-7185. (1990).
- Starck, H.G. (1989). Topinambur zur Erzeugung von nachwachsenden Rohstoffen. Diploma paper, Universität Kiel.
- Staniforth, A. and S.R. Smith (1991). The use of sewage straw based compast as fertilizer for agriculture. Agricultural Progress, 66:23.
- Troug, E. and A.H. Meyer (1939). Improvement in denies calorimetric method for phosphors and arsenic. Indian English Chemistry Analysis Edition., 1:136-139.
- Wallace, A. (1994). Soil organic matter is essential to solving soil and environmental problems. Common . soil Sci. plant Anal., 25(1&2): 15.
- Willams, I.A. and G.ziobro (1982). Processing and fermentation of Jerusalem artichoke for ethanol production. Biotechnol. Letters, 4: 45-50.
- Winton, A.L. and K.B. Winton (1958). The analysis of foods. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. Londan. 857pp.
- Zvara, J. and P. Hergep (1983). Einflub von Klarschlamm und NPK auf Ertrag nd Zukkergchalt bei Topinambur (*Heliantbus tuberosus* L.).Bodenkultur, 34:13-21, 1983.

استجابة النمو والمحصول والمحتوى الكيمياني لمحصول الطرطوفة للمصادر النيتروجينية ومستويات الأسمدة العضوية ظهرة عبد المولى الشرقاوي قسم بحوث البطاطس ومحاصيل الخضر خضرية التكاثر - معهد بحوث البماتين

أجريت الدراسة بمحطة بحوث القناطر أنشساء الموسسم الصيفسي ٢٠٠٠ و ٢٠٠١ لصنفسي الطرطوفة الفيوزا والمحلي وتأثيرها على النمو الخضرى والمحصول والمحتوى الكيماوي للدرنات أستخدمت ٣ معدلات من الصماد العضوى ٢٠١٠، ١٠٠ متر مكعب . ومصدرين من المصادر النتروجنية (سلفات نشـــادر ونترات الأمونيوم ﴾ (• ؛كجم نتزوجين/إندان) . تفوق الوزن الطازج للمجموع الخضرى للصنَّــف المحلـــي مقارنة بالصنف المستورد فيوزًا أيضا عدد الغروع الجانبية والفروع الرئيمية على عكس ارتفـــاع النبـــات . المحصول الكلي للصنف ليوزا تفوق بنسبة ١٤،٧٨ و ١٥٠٤٨% مقارنة بالصنف المحلي خسلال الموسسمين ٢٠٠٠ و ٢٠٠١ على القوالي . الصنفين المحلى والمستورد (فيوزا) أظهرت استجابة إيجابية مسبع التسسميد العصوى حتى ٤٠مُ/إندان أثناء العوسمين ٢٠٠٠ و ٢٠٠١ . أيضا النتالج تشير الى محصول درنات الفيسوزا ومحتوى الدرنات من الانيوين يتفوق مع الزيادة من التسميد العضوى ٢٠٣١ إلى ٤٠٦ مقارنـــة بـــالصنف المحلي خلال الموسمين . أضافة المصدر النتزوجيني في صورة ـــــلفات النشـــادر أدى لزيـــادة المجمـــوع الخضوري أيضا عدد الفروع الجانبية والفروع الرئسية على عكس إرتفاع النبات ، التمميد بــــــلعات النشــــادر (٠) وحدة نتروجينية للغدان) أدى لزيادة محصول الدرنسات بنسبة ٣٠٦،٦ و ٢٢،٨٠% مقارنسة بنسترات الأموننبود خلال الموسمين ٢٠٠٠ . ٢٠٠١ على التوالي . الصنف المحلي أطهر زيادة فــــي المحتسوي مــــن الكربو هيدرات والمسكريات الكلية والبروتين والنتزوجين والنوتاسيوء مقارنة بمسالصنف الممستورد (فيسوزا) التسميد ٤٠م مماد عضوي وأيضها التسميد الفتروجيني في صورة سلفات نشادر (٤٠ وحده نتروجين/فــــدان) ادى لزيادة الانيولين والكربوهيدرات والمكريات الكلية والسبروتين والنستروجين والفوسسفور والبوتاسسيوم المحتوي الدرنات التسميد بالسماد العضوى (٤٠م٣/فـــان) مخساف إليسه سنفات النشسادر (٤٠ وحدة انتروجين/دان أعطى أفضل محصول وجودة لصنفي الطرطوفة المحلى والمستورد (فيوزا).