EFFECT OF NITROGEN, PHOSPHOURS AND POTASSIUM FERTILIZATION ON YIELD AND QUALITY OF SUGAR CANE

EL-SAYED, G.S., A.M.H. OSMAN AND A.M. AHMED

Sugar Crops Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza. Egypt.

(Manuscript received 10 may 2004)

Abstract

Two field trials were carried out at Mattana Agricultural Research Station, (Qena Governorate) on sugarcane grown in 2002/2003 and 2003/2004 seasons.

Each trail included eighteen treatments represent the combination between three levels of nitrogen (180, 210 and 240 kg N/fed), phosphorus fertilizer (30, 45 and 60 kg $P_2O_5/{\rm fed}$) and two levels of potassium (24 and 48 kg $K_2O/{\rm fed}$). Sugar cane was planted during the first week of March and harvested after 12 months. The commercial planted sugarcane variety viz. Ph. 8013 was used. A split plot design was used in both seasons. Nitrogen levels were allocated in the main plots while the combinations of phosphours and potassium levels were randomely distributed in the sub plots.

The results showed that increasing nitrogen fertilizer levels significantly number of plants/ m^2 , cane and sugar yields in the 1^{st} season and sugar recovery% in the 2^{nd} season, while stalk height and number of millable cane/fed were significantly in both seasons.

Increasing phosphours fertilizer levels significantly number of plants/ m^2 , stalk height, reducing sugars, purity% and sugar recovery% as well as cane yield and sugar yield in the 2^{nd} season and number of millable cane/fed in both seasons.

Increasing potassium fertilizer levels significantly number of millable cane/fed in the $2^{\rm nd}$ season. While, leaf area and stalk height in both seasons.

The highest cane yields (46.60 and 49.77) (tons/fed) were obtained by applying 240 kg N/fed, 45 kg P_2O_5 and 24 kg K_2O /fed in the 1^{st} season and 240 kg N/fed, 30 kg P_2O_5 and 48 kg K_2O /fed in the 2^{nd} season, respectively.

The highest sugar yields (5.75 and 6.13) (tons/fed) were obtained by applying 240 kg N/fed, 45 kg P_2O_5 and 24 kg K_2O/fed in the 1^{st} season and 210 kg N/fed, 30 kg P_2O_5 and 24 kg K_2O/fed in the 2^{nd} season, respectively.

INTRODUCTION

Regarding to the effect of nitrogen fertilizer, Ahmed (1995) pointed out that increasing nitrogen fertilizer from 180 to 240 kg N/fed increased cane and sugar yields and that nitrogen levels had no significant effect on the number of millable cane.

Yousef et al (2000) found that adding 210 kg N/fed produced the highest number of millable cane/m², cane length, diameter and cane yield. Ahmed (2003) found that the application of 210 kg N/fed produced the highest values of purity% and sugar recovery% while the application of 240 kg N/fed gave the highest values of reducing sugars and number of millable cane/m², cane length, brix and cane and sugar yields. EI-Geddawy et al (2003 a,b) found that nitrogen fertilization had a significant effect on number of millable cane/fed in both seasons. They reported that the highest value (12.933%) of sugar recovery% was recorded by the application of 180 kg N/fed. The highest sugar yield was gained when sugar cane was fertilized with 120 kg N/fed.

The positive effect of P is required more by the meristematic tissues. Phosphate compounds in plants are called the energy currency where they are involoved in the formation of energy phosphates. Phosphours occupies a critical position both in plant growth and in the biology of all life forms occurring in soils, only the mono ionic H₂PO₄ and di-ionic (HPO₄ --) are considred available for plants as mentioned Under alkaline conditions, the soluble form however, is readly rendered causing one of the most important fertilization problems in Egypt. Several workers, Lakshmikantham (1983) found that the application of phosphours at 112 kg P2O5/ha was recommended to give the highest yield and quality of sugar cane. Bangar et al (1995) found that application of phosphours showed significant favorable effect on tillers number, height and diameter, cane yield and sugar production/ha. They added that cane yield was improved significantly by the application of 35.2 kg P₂O₅/ha by 35.7 % over the control. Ismail et al (2000) fertilized sugarcane with six levels of phosphorus (0, 15, 30, 45, 60 and 75 kg P₂O₅/fed). He obtained a significant influence due to P levels on purity % while their effect on stalk length, diameter and cane and sugar yield were insignificant. They recommended that the best P-level is 60 kg P2O5/fed.

The effect of K on the translocation of photosynthates, therefore, appears to be a direct one and most likely relates to photophosphorelation processes. When K level in the plant is high, it is probably stimulates the production of ATP, which is needed in the loading of sieve tubes with photosynthates mentioned by Bonnet (1963). In respect to the effect of K fertilization a number of authors have shown that potassium enhances translocation of assimilates promotes the rate of CO₂ assimilation (Bonnet 1963). In addition, higher levels of K nutrition have also resulted in an increased translocation rate of photosynthesis. Ismail (1991) fertilized sugarcane crop

with 0, 48, 72 and 96 kg K_2O/fed . He indicated that potassium rates had no significant effect on number of stalks/m² and number of millable cane/fed. Potassium rates showed almost no effect on stalk length. The highest values of stalk diameter were obtained when 72 kg K_2O/fed . was added. Cane yield was not greatly affected by potassium rate, while sugar yield was significantly affected. Juice quality in terms of brix%, purity%, reducing sugars% and sugar recovery% was enhanced with the increase of potassium. Nassar (1996) found that potassium application significantly affected stalk length, stalk diameter, juice quality brix, purity, reducing sugars and sugar recovery percentages and sugar yield. This study aimed to find out the optimum NPK fertilization levels required to obtain the highest cane and sugar yields.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field trials were carried out at Mattana Agricultural Research Station, (Qena Governorate) on sugarcane grown in 2002/2003 and 2003/2004 seasan .

Each trail included eighteen treatments represent the combination between three levels of nitrogen fertilizer 180, 210 and 240 kg N/fed, three levels of phosphorus fertilizer 30, 45 and 60 kg P2O5/fed and two levels of potassium fertilizer 24 and 48 kg K_2O/fed . Sugarcane was planted during the first week of March and harvested after 12 months. The commercial sugarcane variety viz. Ph. 8013 was planted. A split plot design was used in both seasons. Nitrogen levels were allocated in the main plots while the combination of phosphours and potassium levels were randomely distributed in the sub plots. Plot area was 42 m². Chemical and physical analysis of the experimental site showed that the upper 30-cm of the soil was clay loam including 38.4% sand, 10.4% silt and 51.61% clay, containing 80, 11, 200 ppm N, P, K, respectively with a pH of 7.6. Nitrogen fertilizer was applied in the form of Urea (46% N) in two equal doses, the 1st one was added one month after the emergence of plants, while the 2nd dose was applied one month later. Phosphorus fertilizer was broadcasted with the 1st irrigation as calcium superphosphate (15% P_2O_5). Potassium was added in the form of potassium sulphate (48% K_2O) with the 2^{nd} N-dose. All required agricultural practices were done as recommended by Sugar Crops Research Institute.

Data recorded

- I. Vegetative traits during the growth period and at harvest times
- 1. Number of plants/m² was recorded after 150 days from planting.

Elongation rate (cm/day): Cane stalk was measured twice at 210 and 330 days from planting and elongation rate was estimated according to the following formula:

 $ER = L_2 - L_1 / T_2 - T_1$

where: L_1 = Stalk length at time T_1 , L_2 = Stalk length at time T_2 .

At harvest, a sample of 20 stalks represents each treatment was taken at random and the following data were recorded:

- 3. Stalk height (cm) was measured from soil surface to the top point of visible dewlap.
- 4. Stalk diameter (cm) was measured at the middle part of stalk.
- 5. Leaf area cm²/plant for the third, fourth and fifth leaf was determined at harvest.

II. Juice quality traits

Samples collected at harvest were stripped and squeezed then juice was extracted using 3 rools lab mill, filterate and weighed. The analytical methods were as follows:

Juice extraction % was calculated from the following equation:

Juice extraction % = juice weight x 100/stalk weight.

juice extraction% (JEP%) was about 58-60% from cane weight.

- Reducing sugars% was determined in the extracted cane juice according to the chemical control in the Egyptian production factories (Anonymous 1981).
- 7. Brix% was determined using a Brix hydrometer standardized at 20°C for laboratory technique to estimate the total soluble solids%.
- 8. The apparent juice purity% was calculated using the following formula according to Singh and Singh (1998).

juice purity% = sucrose% / brix% x 100.

9. Sugar recovery% was calculated according to the following equation:

Sugar recovery% = Richness% x Purity%

Where: Richness = (Sucrose in 100 g cane juice x factor)/100

Factor = 100 - [fiber% + physical impurties% + percent water free suga].

III. Yield and its attributes

At harvest, six guarded rows of each treatment were harvested, topped and cleaned to estimate the following traits:

- 10. Number of millable cane (1000 plants/fed) was recorded by counting the number of mature stalks in each plot after ten months from planting.
- 11. Cane yield (tons/fed) was calculated on plot basis.

12. Sugar yield (tons/fed) was estimated according to the following equation: Raw sugar yield = cane yield (tons/fed) x sugar recovery%.

The collected data were statistically analyzed according to the procedures outlined by Snedecor and Cochran (1981). To compare between means, Duncan multiple range test was used according to Duncan (1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- I. Vegetative traits during the growth periods and at harvest time
- 1. Number of plants/m² at 150 days from planting

Results given in Table (1) showed that applying 240 kg N/fed produced higher number of sugarcane plants/m² compared with that recorded at 180 and 210 kg N/fed in both seasons but these differences was significant in the 1st season only. The increase was 1.02 and 9.76% in the 1st season and 3.07, 6.79% in the 2nd one. The positive effect of N fertilizer was mainly due to the essential role of N element in building up plant organs and growth potential in terms of increasing tillering ability of cane plant.

The results showed that application of 30 kg P_2O_5/fed produced higher number of plants/m² in both seasons compared with that at 45 or 60 kg P_2O_5/fed . The differences were significantly in the 2^{nd} season. The increase in number of plants/m² of sugar cane due to P_2O_5 levels could be attributed to the important role of P fertilizer which is required more by the meristematic tissues. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Lakshmkantham (1983), Bangar *et al* (1995) and Ismail *et al* (2000).

The results showed that potassium fertilizer levels insignificantly in both seasons.

The interaction between A \times B, was significantly in the 1st season, the highest mean value was 11.03 obtained by applying 240 kg N/fed and 30 kg P₂O₅/fed and A \times B \times C, was 11.10 obtained by applying 240 kg N/fed, 45 kg P₂O₅/fed and 24 kg K₂O/fed.

In the 2^{nd} season significantly affected B x C, the highest mean value was 11.34 obtained by applying 30 kg P_2O_5/fed and 48 kg K_2O/fed .

In both seasons, significant affected A \times C, the highest mean value was 11.54 obtained by applying 240 kg N/fed, 60 kg P_2O_5 /fed and 24 kg K_2O /fed.

2. Leaf area

Data in Table (1) clear that leaf area was insignificantly affected by nitrogen and phosphours fertilizer levels in both seasons.

The results cleared that potassium fertilizer levels affected leaf area significantly in the 1^{st} season. The results indicated that applying 48 kg K_2O/fed increased leaf area in the 1^{st} season. While, applying 24 kg K_2O/fed was enough to attain higher LA/plant in the 2^{nd} season.

Data in Table (1) indicate that leaf area was insignificantly affected by the interactions among studied factors in both seasons.

3. Elongation rate at 150 days from planting

The results in Table (1) indicate that elongation rate was insignificantly affected by nitrogen, phosphours and potassium fertilizer levels as well as their interactions in both seasons.

4. Stalk height

Data illustrated in Table (2) clear that nitrogen fertilizer levels affected stalk height significantly in both seasons. The results indicated that applying 180 kg N/fed increased were 285.6 and 298.0 cm in both seasons. The positive effect of N fertilizer was mainly due to the essential role of N element in builiding up plant organs and growth potential in terms of increasing stalk height. These results are in harmony with those reported by Yousef et al (2000) and Ahmed (2003).

Concerning the effect of P and K fertilizer levels affected stalk height significantly in the 2^{nd} season. The results indicated that applying 30 kg P_2O_5/fed and 48 K_2O/fed increased were 290.6 cm and 6.09%. The increase in stalk height of sugar cane due to P_2O_5 levels could be attributed to the important role of P fertilizer which is required more by the meristematic tissues. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Ismail *et al* (2000) applying P fertilizer, Ismail (1991) and Nassar (1996) applying K fertilizer.

The interaction between A \times B, was significantly in both seasons, the highest mean value obtained by applying 180 kg N/fed and 60 kg P_2O_5 /fed.

5. Stalk diameter (cm)

The results in Table (2) indicate that nitrogen, phosphours and potassium fertilizer levels affected stalk diameter was insignificantly in both seasons. These results are in harmony with those reported by Yousef *et al* (2000) applying N, Ismail *et al*. (2000) applying P fertilizer, Ismail (1991) and Nassar (1996) applying K.

The interaction between A \times B, significantly in both seasons, the highest mean value was 3.13 cm obtained by applying 210 kg N/fed and 30 kg P_2O_5 /fed.

6. Reducing sugar% (RS%)

The results in Table (2) show that N and P fertilizers levels insignificantly in both seasons. The same conclusion was detected by Ahmed (2003) applying N fertilizer, Lakshmkantham (1983) and Ismail $et\ a/(2000)$ applying P fertilizer.

Data presented in Table (2) clear that potassium fertilizer levels affected insignificantly in the $2^{\rm nd}$ season. The results indicated that applying 48 K₂O/fed decreased RS% by 0.592. As potassium also promotes the rate of CO₂ assimilation. However, higher levels of K nutrition have also resulted in an increased translocation rate of photosynthesis. These results are in accordance with those cleared by Ismail (1991) and Nassar (1996).

The interaction between A x B, reducing sugar% was significantly in the 1st season, the lowest mean value were 0.567% obtained by applying 210 kg N/fed, 60 kg P_2O_5 /fed and 48 kg K_2O /fed, respectively.

7. Brix%

The results in Table (3) indicate that N and K fertilizer levels insignificantly in both seasons. These results are in line with those reported by Lakshmkantham (1983), Ismail (1991), Nassar (1996), Ismail *et al* (2000) and Ahmed (2003).

The results obtained in Table (3) indicate that phosphours fertilizer level significantly in the 2^{nd} season. The results show that the highest values was 23.93% which were recorded by adding 60 kg P_2O_5 /fed. The increase in brix% of sugar cane due to P_2O_5 levels could be attributed to the important role of P fertilizer which is required more by the meristematic tissues. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Lakshmkantham (1983) and Ismail *et al.* (2000).

The interaction between A \times B \times C, significantly in the 2nd season, the highest mean value was 24.00% which were recorded by adding 210 kg N/fed, 45 P₂O₅/fed and 48 K₂O/fed, respectively.

8. Juice purity%

The results in Table (3) clear that nitrogen and potassium fertilizer levels insignificantly in both seasons. These results are in accordance with those cleared by Ismail (1991), Nassar (1996) and Ahmed (2003).

The results obtained in Table (3) indicate that phosphours fertilizer level significantly in the 2^{nd} season. The results show that the highest values was 79.78%

which were recorded by adding 45 kg P_2O_5 /fed. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Lakshmkantham (1983) and Ismail *et al* (2000).

The interaction between A x B x C, were significantly in the 2^{nd} season, the highest mean value was 84.61% which were recorded by adding 180 kg N/fed, 45 kg P_2O_5 /fed and 48 kg K_2O /fed., respectively.

9. Sugar recovery% (SR%)

Data in Table (3) clear that nitrogen and phosphours fertilizer levels affected sugar significantly recovery% in the 2^{nd} season. The increase in SR% which were recorded 12.65 and 12.28% by adding 180 kg N/fed and 30 kg P_2O_5 /fed. The positive effect of N fertilizer was mainly due to the essential role of N element in building up plant organs and growth potential in terms of increasing this traits. These results are in line with those reported by Ahmed (2003) and El-Geddawy *et al* (2003 a,b)

The increase in sugar recovery% of sugar cane due to P_2O_5 levels could be attributed to the important role of P fertilizer which is required more by the meristematic tissues. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Lakshmkantham (1983) and Ismail *et al* (2000).

The effect of potassium fertilizer levels affected sugar recovery% was insignificant in both seasons. These results are in accordance with those cleared by Ismail (1991) and Nassar (1996).

Data in Table (3) show that leaf area was insignificantly interactions among studied factors in both seasons.

10. Number of millable cane (1000/fed)

Results obtained in Table (4) pointed out that nitrogen fertilizer levels significantly in the 1st season. The results show that the increase in number of millable cane/fed were 13.99 which were recorded by adding 240 kg N/fed compared with 180 and 210 kg N/fed. The positive effect of N fertilizer was mainly due to the essential role of N element in building up plant organs and growth potential in terms of increasing number of millable cane. The same results were cleared by Ahmed (1995), Yousef *et al* (2000), Ahmed (2003) and El-Geddawy *et al* (2003 a,b).

The results in Table (4) indicate that P fertilizer levels was significantly in both seasons, the highest values was 49.01 and 53.12 which were recorded by adding 60 kg P_2O_5 /fed compared with 30 and 45 kg P_2O_5 /fed, in both seasons., respectively. The increase of P fertilizer which is required more by the meristematic tissues. These

results are in agreement with those obtained by Lakshmkantham (1983), Bangar *et al* (1995) and Ismail *et al* (2000).

The results showed that potassium fertilizer levels significantly in the 2^{nd} season. The results show the increase was 2.68% in the 2^{nd} season which were recorded by adding 24 kg K_2O/fed . Potassium also promotes the rate of CO_2 assimilation and translocation rate of photosynthesis. These results are in harmony with those reported by Ismail (1991).

The interaction between A \times B, A \times C, B \times C and A \times B \times C, number of millable cane 1000/fed were significantly in the 2nd season, the highest number of millable cane 1000/fed were 56.90, 56.81, 53.20 and 57.03 were obtained by applying 240 kg N/fed and 60 Kg P₂O₅/fed, 240 kg N/fed and 48 kg K₂O/fed, 60 kg P₂O₅/fed and 24 kg K₂O/fed and 240 kg N/fed, 45 Kg P₂O₅/fed and 48 kg K₂O/fed., respectively.

11. Cane yield (tons/fed)

Data illustrated in Table (4) clear that nitrogen fertilizer levels significantly in the 1st season. The results show the increase was 9.75 and 8.72% in the 1st season and 6.71 and 3.55% in the 2nd season, which were recorded by applying 240 kg N/fed. The positive effect of N fertilizer was mainly due to the essential role of N element in builiding up plant organs and growth potential in terms of increasing cane yield (tons/fed). These conclusion was detected by Ahmed (1995), Yousef *et al* (2000), Ahmed (2003) and El-Geddawy *et al* (2003 a,b).

Data given in Table (4) show that P fertilizer levels significantly in the 2^{nd} season. The results show the highest was 47.53, which were recorded by applying 30 kg P_2O_5 /fed. The increase in cane yield of sugar cane due to the important role of P fertilizer which is required more by the meristematic tissues. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Lakshmkantham (1983), Bangar *et al* (1995) and Ismail *et al* (2000).

Regard to the effect of K fertilizer levels insignificantly in both seasons. These results are in line with those reported by Ismail (1991).

The interaction between A \times B and A \times B \times C significantly in the 1st season, the highest mean value was 46.34 and 46.60 tons/fed obtained by applying 240 kg N/fed and 30 kg P₂O₅/fed and 240 kg N/fed, 45 kg P₂O₅/fed and 24 kg K₂O/fed., respectively.

In the 2^{nd} season significantly affected by B x C, the highest mean value was 47.63 tons/fed obtained by applying 30 kg P_2O_5 /fed and 48 kg K_2O /fed.

In both seasons, significantly affected by A x C, the highest mean value were 45.75 and 48.47 tons/fed obtained by applying 240 kg N/fed and 24 kg K_2O /fed.

12. Sugar yield (tons/fed)

Data obtained in Table (4) show that N fertilizer levels significantly in the 1^{st} season. The increase by 8.54 and 8.33% which were recorded by adding 240 kg N/fed. The positive effect of N fertilizer was mainly due to the essential role of N element in building up plant organs and growth potential in terms of increasing sugar yield (tons/fed). The same conclusion was detected by Ahmed (1995), Ahmed (2003) and El-Geddawy *et al* (2003 a,b).

The effect of phosphours fertilizer levels significantly in the 2^{nd} season. Application of 30 kg P_2O_5 /fed produced higher sugar yield. The increase in sugar yield due to P fertilizer which is required more by the meristematic tissues. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Lakshmkantham (1983), Bangar *et al* (1995) and Ismail *et al* (2000).

Regard to the effect of potassium fertilizer levels insignificantly in both seasons. These results are in line with those reported by Ismail (1991) and Nassar (1996).

The interaction between A x B and B x C significantly in the 2^{nd} season, the highest mean value were 6.07 and 5.88 tons/fed obtained by applying 210 kg N/fed and 30 kg P_2O_5 /fed and 45 kg P_2O_5 /fed and 24 kg K_2O /fed., respectively.

In both seasons, significantly A \times C, the highest mean value were 5.64 and 5.82 tons/fed obtained by applying 240 kg N/fed and 24 kg K₂O/fed and 180 kg N/fed and 48 kg K₂O/fed., respectively.

0.447 0.475 0.463 0.463 0,459 0.465 0.437 0.466 0.474 0.468 0.486 0.454 0.468 0.463 0.442 0.481 Table 1. Effect of nitrogen, phosphours and potassium fertilizers on number of plants/m² at age of 150 days from planting, leaf area/plant (cm²) at harvest and elongation rate at (210-330 cm/day) days from planting of sugarcane crop at harvest in 2002/2003 and 2003/2004 seasons. 0.460 0.498 0.443 0.455 0.456 0.474 0.466 0.466 0.458 0.464 0.458 0.472 0.472 0.451 0.467 2003/2004 Elongation rate (cm/day) 0.469 0.473 0.422 0.462 0.465 0.454 0.441 0.439 0.483 0.476 0.488 0.482 0.469 0.457 0.460 0.451 ¥ 0.508 0.475 0.475 0.476 0.468 0.470 0.480 0.475 0.478 0.455 0.473 Mean 0.487 0.448 0.460 0.479 0.502 0.497 0.474 0.479 0.492 0.467 0.478 0.493 0.485 0.493 0.490 0.464 0.521 0.494 0.524 0.507 0.484 3 2002/2003 0.476 0.444 0.433 0.435 0.453 0.466 0.465 0.461 0.439 0.476 0.466 0.478 0.482 0.429 0.483 0.492 ¥ 558.2 551.7 555.0 554.9 507.3 509.6 486.1 501.0 515.9 537.9 524.0 526.0 527.1 533.1 527.3 521.7 Mean 504.6 511.9 521.1 549.0 551.9 548.1 504.3 474.6 494.5 517.4 535.1 509.3 520.6 523.7 527.6 543.5 Leaf area (LA cm²/plant) at harvest 2003/2004 2 567.3 507.5 514.4 540.8 538.8 531.3 559.8 558.0 510.0 514.9 497.6 530.6 538.5 531.5 533.5 561.7 551.9 Mean 530.5 527.8 544.7 534.3 558.9 527.0 543.5 539.9 545.5 542.9 562.3 557.7 539.8 536.7 543.4 545.2 557.3 541.0 553.1 563.3 570.3 537.5 549.6 550.0 536.7 535.5 537.5 539.6 543.6 563.6 ø ž 2002/2003 514.5 525.0 561.3 546.5 539.9 524.4 536.3 547.4 551.7 518.4 549.4 535.9 534.7 537.1 540.9 ٩ ¥ 11.45 10.74 11.47 11.08 11.08 11.74 11.23 10.80 10.71 10.75 10.70 11.48 11.10 Mean m в 10.79 11,39 10.71 10.68 11.85 11.18 11.07 Number of plants/m2 at age of 150 days 10.87 10.94 v U р Q æ q 2003/2004 11.45 10.69 10.73 10.49 11.73 11.55 11.64 10.71 q U q ø ø В ¥ 10.19 10.18 10.19 Mean 10.22 4 e f ef ef ۵ ø p ٥ В р U ø 10.18 10.20 cde cde 10.24 10.17 de po o U U U • q Q q ₹ 2002/2003 d e 9.79 c 10.10 d e 9.91 d e 9.81 10.19 10.19 10.21 10.17 d e o 0 a 9 0 ž e P 4 P2 P P 2 5 ď ${\sf P}_2$ 2 P 5 ď Average Average Average Traits ź ź ž

Nitrogen N₁, N₂ and N₃ application of 180, 210 and 240 kg N/Fed, respectively Phosphours P₁, P₂ and P₃ application of 30, 45 and 60 kg P₂O₂/Fed Potassium K₁ and K₂ application of 24 and 48 kg K/Fed, respectively.

Table 2. Effect of nitrogen, phosphours and potassium fertilizers on stalk height, diameter (cm) and reducing sugars% of sugarcane crop at harvest in 2002/2003 and 2003/2004 seasons.

Stalk height (cm)

Traits 2002/2003 Mean 2003/2004	Mean Mean	Stalk neignt	Mean 2003/2004	gnt (cm) 2003/2004	2004	-	Mean	2002/2003	"	Mean 2003/2	oter (cm)	2004	Mean	2002/2003	_	Mean	Reducing sugars%	2004	Mean
1007/2007	1007/C007	1007/0007	7007/5007	2007/500	T	Licaii	1	7007	2007	1001	/2007	100	- Lear	2002/2	con	i i i i i	/cnnz	100	Medi
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	N. 17	2000	2020	-	1	1	7	200		N.	200	1	Z C	N2	1	Y.	ν,	
P ₁ 2/0.7 280.7 a 290.2 297.8 a 2	280.7 a 290.2 297.8 a 294.0	275.7 290.2 297.8 a	290.2 297.8 a 294.0	297.8 a 294.0	a 294.0			2.97	2.90	2.93	2.90	2,83	a b 2.87	0.530	0.510	0.520	0.650	0.610	0.630
P ₂ 275.7 296.1 a 291.8 307.0 a 285.9 285.9	296.1 a 291.8 307.0 285.9	a 291.8 307.0 285.9	291.8 307.0	307.0		a 299.4		3.07	3.17	3.12	2.77	2.77	b 2.77	0.517	0.537	a b c 0.527	0.613	0.607	0.610
P ₃ 293.5 297.2 a 295.8 305.8 a 300.8	297.2 a 295.8 305.8 295.8	a 295.8 305.8 295.3	295.8 305.8	305.8		a 300.8		3.07	3.03	3.05	2.83	2.77	b 2.80	0.603	0.560	a 0.582	0.633	0.610	0.622
Average 280.0 291.3 a 292.6 303.5 a 298.1	291.3 a 292.6 303.5 285.6	a 292.6 303.5 285.6	292.6 303.5	303.5	_	a 298.1	_	3.03	3.03	3.03	2.83	2.79	2.81	0.550	0.536	0.543	0.632	609.0	0.621
P ₁ 282.9 289.5 a 292.4 299.5 a 295.9	289.5 a 292.4 299.5 286.2	a 292.4 299.5 286.2	292.4 299.5	299.5		a 295.9	_	3.17	3.10	3.13	2.77	2.80	b 2.78	0.503	0.517	b c 0.510	0.727	0.733	0.730
P ₂ 263.3 286.3 a 266.1 293.6 b 279.9	286.3 a 266.1 293.6 274.8	a 266.1 293.6 274.8	266.1 293.6	293.6		b 279.9		2.93	2.90	2.92	2.93	2.90	a 2.92	0.510	0.517	b c 0.513	0.720	0.533	0.627
P ₃ 231.3 245.1 b 268.9 279.2 b c 274.1	245.1 b 268.9 279.2 238.2	b 268.9 279.2 238.2	268.9 279.2	279.2		b c 274.1		2.88	2.88	b c 2.88	2.95	2.90	a 2.93	0.483	0.483	0.483	0.600	0.533	0.567
Average 259.2 273.6 b 275.8 290.8 b 283.3	273.6 b 275.8 290.8 266.4	b 275.8 290.8 266.4	275.8 290.8	290.8		b 283.3		2.99	2.96	2.98	2.88	2.87	2.88	0.499	0.506	0.502	0.682	0.600	0.641
P ₁ 219.1 237.0 bc 275.8 287.6 b 281.7	237.0 bc 275.8 287.6 228.1	b c 275.8 287.6 228.1	275.8 287.6	287.6		b 281.7	-	2.75	2.78	d 2.77	2.78	2.78	b 2.78	0.567	0.550	a b 0.558	0.600	0.567	0.583
P ₂ 199.5 226.3 c 253.4 282.3 c 267.9	226.3 c 253.4 282.3 212.9	c 253.4 282.3 212.9	253.4 282.3	282.3		267.9		2.77	2.82	c d 2.79	2.75	2.83	b 2.79	0.550	0.533	a b c 0.542	0.617	0.567	0.592
P ₃ 210.8 230.6 bc 233.5 265.1 d 249.3	230.6 b c 233.5 265.1 220.7	b c 233.5 265.1 220.7	233.5 265.1	265.1	_	d 249.3		2.85	2.83	b c d 2.84	2.82	2.85	a b 2.83	0.517	0.483	b c 0.500	0.583	0.567	0.575
Average 209.8 231.3 c 254.3 278.4 c 266.3	231.3 c 254,3 278.4 220.6	c 254.3 278.4 220.6	254,3 278.4	278.4		c 266.	m	2.79	2.81	2.80	2.78	2.82	2.80	0.544	0.522	0.533	0.600	0.567	0.583
P ₁ 257.6 269.1 263.3 286.1 295.0 a 290.6	269.1 263.3 286.1 295.0	263.3 286.1 295.0	286.1 295.0	295.0		a 290.	9	2.96	2.93	2.94	2.82	2.81	2.81	0.533	0.526	0.529	0.659	0.637	0.648
P ₂ 246.1 269.6 257.9 270.5 294.3 b 282.4	269.6 257.9 270.5 294.3	257.9 270.5 294.3	270.5 294.3	294.3		b 282.	4	2.92	2.96	2.94	2.82	2.82	2.83	0.526	0.529	0.527	0.650	0.569	0.609
P ₃ 245.2 257.6 251.4 266.1 283.4 C. 274.7	257.6 251.4 266.1 283.4	251.4 266.1 283.4	266.1 283.4	283.4		274.	7	2.93	2.92	2.93	2.87	2.84	2.85	0.534	0.509	0.522	909.0	0.570	0.558
Total average 249.7 265.4 257.5 b a 282.6 282.6	265.4 257.5 b a 274.2 290.9	257.5 b a 274.2 290.9	b a 274.2 290.9	a 290.9		282	9	2.93	2.94	2.94	2.82	2.83	2.83	0.531	0.521	0.526	a 0.638	b 0.592	0.615

Nitrogen N₁, N₂ and N₃ application of 180, 210 and 24 kg Nifled, respectively. Phosphours P₁, P₂ and P₃ application of 30, 45 and 60 kg P₂O₂/fed Potassium K₁ and K₂ application of 24 and 48 kg K/fed, respectively.

Table 3. Effect of nitrogen, phosphours and potassium fertilizers on brix, purity and sugar recovery% of sugarcane crop at harvest in 2002/2003 and 2003/2004 seasons.

-				Bris	Brivo/					Durith , 0/	7074					0.00	/0		
F	Traits	2002	2002/2003	Mean	2003/2004	2004	Mean	2002/2003	2003	Mean	2003/2004	7004	Mean	2002/2003	2003	Mean 2003	2003/2004	2004	Mean
		Kı	K ₂		K,	K ₂		K,	, Y		K	K,		X X	2	100	K, K	, Y	
	P ₁	23.87	23.72	23.79	def 23.30	abcd ef 23.67	23.48	80.06	80.89	80.48	a 84.01	c d 79.98	82.00	12.30	12.38	12.34	12.50	12.90	12.70
Z.	P ₂	23.94	24.40	24.17	abcd ef 23.63	e f 23.07	23.35	79.84	81.60	80.72	c d e 79.46	a 84.61	82.03	12.42	12.58	12.50	12.90	12.90	12.90
	P ₃	24.25	24.28	24.27	c d e f 23.43	a b c d 3.83	23.63	83.03	80.92	81.97	b c 80.42	d 79.85	80.14	12.40	12.67	12.53	12.20	12.50	12.35
Ave	Average	24.02	24.13	24.08	23.46	23.52	23.49	80.97	81.14	81.06	81.30	81.48	81.39	12.37	12.54	12.46	12.53	12.77	a 12.65
	۹.	24.20	23.43	23.82	b c d e f 23.57	. ef 23.10	23.33	82.26	83.20	82.73	efgh 76.51	c d e f 78.49	77.50	12.47	12.42	12.44	12.63	12.57	12.60
ž	P ₂	24.57	23.50	24.03	f 23.03	a 24.33	23.68	79.58	83.52	81.55	a b 83.53	e f g h 76.58	90.08	12.55	12.30	12.43	12.73	11.72	12.23
	P ₃	23.53	23.60	23.57	a b 24.23	a b c 24.03	24.13	85.03	84.04	84.54	h 74.27	g h 75.17	74.72	12.17	12.23	12.20	11.50	11.47	11.48
Ave	Average	24.10	23.51	23.81	23.61	23.82	23.72	82.29	83.59	82.94	78.10	76.75	77.43	12.39	12.32	12.36	12.29	11.92	b 12.10
	q.	23.50	23.90	23.70	abcd ef 23.70	a b 24.20	23.95	82.76	80.62	81.69	cdef 78.32	fgh 75.63	76.97	12.17	11.97	12.07	11.57	11.50	11.53
ź	P ₂	23.43	23.57	23.50	abcd e 23.77	a b c d 24.00	23.88	82.65	85,30	83.97	cdef 9 77.42	defg h 77.10	77.26	12.33	12.47	12.40	11.58	11.60	11.59
	P ₃	23.77	23.47	23.62	abc 24.02	abc 24.03	24.03	83.20	85.03	84.12	fgh 76.06	fgh 76.13	76.09	12.47	12.50	12.48	11.53	11.70	11.62
Ave	Average	23.57	23.64	23.61	23.83	24.08	23.95	82.87	83.65	83.26	77.27	76.29	76.78	12.32	12.31	12.32	11.56	11.60	11.58
۵	r,	23.86	23.68	23.77	23.52	23.66	b 23.59	81.69	81.57	81.63	79.61	78.03	a 78.82	12.31	12.26	12.28	12.23	12.32	a 12.28
×	P ₂	23.98	23.82	23.90	23.48	23.80	b 23.64	69.08	83.47	82.08	80.14	79.43	a 79.78	12.43	12.45	12.44	12.41	12.07	a 12.24
×	P ₃	23.85	23.78	23.82	23.89	23.97	23.93	83.76	83.33	83.54	76.92	77.05	b 76.98	12.34	12.47	12.41	11.74	11.89	b 11.82
Total average	rerage	23.90	23.76	23.83	23.63	Total average 23.90 23.76 23.83 23.63 23.81 23.72	23.72	82.05	82.79	82.42	78.89	78.17	78.53	12.36	12.39	12.38	12.13	12.09	12.11

Table 4. Effect of nitrogen, phosphours and potassium fertilizers on on number of millable cane (1000/fed), cane and sugar yields (tons/fed) of sugarcane crop at harvest in 2002/2003 and 2003/2004 seasons.

a b 5.73 5.85 c 5.56	5.73 a b 5.85 c 5.86 5.71 5.71 a a 6.07 b c b c	5.73 a b 5.85 c 5.85 5.71 5.71 b c 6.07 d d 5.16	5.73 a b 5.885 c 5.586 5.71 5.71 5.71 5.74 5.76 5.76 5.76 5.76 5.76 5.76 5.76 5.76 5.76 5.76 5.76 5.76 5.77 5.77 5.77 5.76 5.77 5.77 5.77 5.76 5.76 5.76 5.76 5.77 5.77 5.77 5.77 5.77 5.77 5.77 5.77 5.77 5.76 5.76 5.76 5.77 5.	5.73 a b 5.85 5.85 5.71 6.07 5.70 6.07 5.70 6.07 5.70 5.70 6.07 5.70 6.07 6	5.73 5.88 5.88 5.86 5.75 5.75 5.75 5.76 5.77 5.78
+++					
		N (1990) (1991) (1991) (1990)			
+					
	45.63 47.83 48.17 44.97 46.53				
-					
	41.34 4 41.03 6 48 6 48			 	
u	c d c d 42.25				
	41.04 e f 41.20 e f e f	e f e f 41.20 e f 41.20 c 42.43 c 42.43	41.04 e f 41.20 e f 41.20 c 42.43 c 42.43 d 46.60 b 44.50	41.04 e f e f e f e f 41.20 e f 42.43 e d e d e d e d e d e d e d e f e f e f e f e f e f e f e f e f e f	41.04 e f e f e f 41.20 c 42.43 46.13 d 66.13 d 66.13 d 66.13 d 66.13 d 66.13 d 66.13 d 66.13 d 66.13 d 66.0 d 74.50 d 74
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	b c 46.00 c 44.70	b c 46.00 c 44.70 a 55.63 48.78	b c 46.00 c 44.70 a 55.63 a 56.90 a 56	6.00 46.00 44.70 44.70 48.78 48.78 8 56.02 8 56.49 9 56.49 6 49.94	46.00 44.70 8 a 55.63 48.78 8 a 56.90 56.49 56.49 56.47 6 49.94 6 49.94 6 7.59 7 6 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
	43.17 b 45.23 b 44.93	43.17 b 45.23 b 44.93 a 55.83 b b	45.17 44.93 b 44.93 a 55.83 b 48.67 a 56.41 a 56.41 a 56.41 a 57.03 a	43.17 45.23 44.93 44.93 44.93 48.67 8 56.41 8 56.41 8 3 57.03 9 57.03 9 57.03 9 57.03 9 77.04 9 77.04 9 77.05 9 77	43.17 44.52 44.93 a a 55.83 b 48.67 b 48.67 a 56.41 a 57.00 a 56.81 b 47.76 b 47.76 b 47.76 b 56.81 a 57.00
42 60	47.63 46.77 b c b c 44.47	47.63 b 46.77 b c 44.47 a 55.43 b b	47.63 b C 44.47 b C 44.44 a a 55.43 b B 48.89 a a 55.63 a a a 55.95	47.63 46.77 44.47 44.47 44.47 48.89 6 6 55.63 8 8 55.63 8 8 85.95 8 8 85.95 8 8 85.80 8 8 85.80 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	47.63 46.77 4 b c 44.47 8 a 55.43 8 a 55.83 8 a 56.80 8 a 56.80 8 a 56.80 8 a 56.80 8 a 57.85 8 a 57.85 8 a 7 a 8 b 8 b 9 b 1 a 1 a 1 a 1 a 1 a 1 a 1 a 1 a
	44.62	44.10 44.62 46.42 49.83 b 46.96	44.62 46.42 49.83 b 46.96 50.45 50.65	44.62 46.42 46.96 50.45 50.65 49.70 49.70 49.70 49.70 49.70	44.62 46.42 49.83 b 46.96 50.45 50.65 49.70 a 50.27 49.70 b 45.68 b 45.68 a 50.27 a 50.27 d 49.01
No. of Control of Control	44.63	44.63 48.40 50.27 47.77	44.63 48.40 50.27 47.77 50.53 49.90	44.63 50.27 47.77 51.33 50.53 49.90 50.59	48.40 50.27 47.77 51.33 50.53 49.90 50.59 48.12 48.12
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	44.43	44.43	44.60 49.40 49.57 50.77	44.43 49.40 46.14 49.57 50.77 49.50 49.94	44,60 44,43 49,40 46,14 49,57 50,77 49,50 49,59 45,79 45,79
	P ₁	P ₁ P ₂ Average	P ₁ P ₂ P ₃ P ₄ P ₄ P ₅ P ₆ P ₇	Average P ₁ P ₂ Average P ₃ P ₄ P ₄ P ₄ P ₄ P ₅	P ₁ P ₂ P ₃
	ž	N ₂	N ₂ Ave	N ₃ Ave	N A Ave

Nitrogen N₁, N₂ and N₃ application of 180, 210 and 240 kg Nirel, respectively.
Prosphours P₁, P₂ and P₃ application of 30, 45 and 60 kg P₂Q₂ffed
Potasslum K₁ and K₂ application of 24 and 48 kg K/fed, respectively.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, A.M. 1995. Evaluation of some sugar cane varieties grown in Upper Egypt. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., Cairo, Egypt.
- Ahmed Z.A. 2003. Assessment of the optimum nitrogen level and seeding rate for two promising sugar cane varieties (2003). Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 18 (6B): PP 559-573.
- Anonymous, 1981. Chemical control in Egyptian sugar production factories.
 Jan., PP 232.
- Bangar, K.S. S.R. Sharma and S.B. Nahatkar 1995. Response of sugar cane varieties to P application. Indian J. Agron., 40 (3): 465-468.
- Bonnet, J.A. 1963. Response of eighteen consecutive sugar cane crops to NPK in Puerto Rico. Puerto Rico Univ., Agric. Expt. Sta., Tech. Bull., 38: 1-63
- 6. Duncan, D.B. 1955. Multiple range and multiple F test. Biometrics 11: 1-24.
- El-Geddawy, I.H. M.A.M. Rizk. M.G.A. Taha and M.S.H. Osman 2003-a. Effect of fertilization on yield and yield components on sugar cane. Egypt, J. Agric. Res., 81 (4): 2003.
- El-Geddawy, I.H. M.A.M. Rizk. M.G.A. Taha and M.S.H. Osman 2003-b. Effect of fertilization on chemical composition and juice quality on sugar cane. Egypt, J. Agric. Res., 81 (4): 2003.
- Ismail, A.M.A. 1991. Effect of some herbicides on growth and yield of sugar cane. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., AL-Azhar Univ., Cairo, Egypt.
- Ismail, A.M.A. A.M. Hagrus M.M. El-Sonbaty and S.H. Farrag 2000. Effect of phosphobacterin and phosphorus levels on yield and quality of syugar cane. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 78 (4): 1603-1613.
- Lakshmikantham, M. 1983. Technology in sugar cane growing. 2nd Ed., Oxford & IBH Publishing Co., New Delhi, 259.

- Nassar, A.M. 1996. Yield and quality response of some sugar cane (Saccharum spp) cultivars to potassium nutrition and date of harvest. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ., Cairo, Egypt.
- Singh, R.P. and P.P. Singh 1998. Quality of juice and commercial cane sugar as influenced by crop cane in sugar cane. Indian sugar 34 (1): 21-28.
- 14. Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran 1981. Statistical methods. 6th Ed., Iowa State Univ. Press Ames. Iowa U.S.A.
- 15. Yousef, M.A. E.M. Taha and A.Z. Ahmed 2000. Influence of some cultural practices on yield and yield components of some sugar cane varieties. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 78 (5): pp 1995-2009.

تأثير التسميد النيتر وجينى والفوسفاتي والبوتاسي على حاصل وجودة قصنب السكر جمال سعد السيد ، عادل محمود حسن عثمان ، عبد اللاه محمد احمد

معهد بحوث المحاصيل السكريه-مركز البحوث الزراعية - الجيزه - مصر

أقيمت تجربتان حقليتان بمحطه البحوث الزراعيه بالمطاعة بمحافظه قنا لدراسه تأثير التسميد النيتروجيني والفوسفاتي والبوتاسي على حاصل قصب السكر. أشتطت الدراسة على ١٨ معاملة تمثل التفاعل بين: ثلاثة مستويات من التسميد النيتروجيني وثلاثة مستويات من التسميد الفوسفاتي ومستويات من التسميد البوتاسي وزعت المعاملات في تصميم قطع منشقه مرة وأحدة حيث وضعت مستويات التسميد الازوتي في القطع الرئيسية بينما التوافق بين مستويات التسميد الفوسفاتي والبوتاسي في القطع الفرعية القطعة التجزيبية ٤٤ متر مربع الشمات على ٦ خطوط بطول لا متر وعرض ١ متر وتمت الزراعة خلال الاسبوع الاول من مارس في كلا الموسمين.

أوضحت النتائج المتحصل عليها؛

- تقوق مستوي السماد الازوتي ۲٤٠ كجم ن/فدان على المستويات الاخرى للازوت معنويا في
 الموسم الاول في عدد النباتات في المتر المربع وحاصلي العيدان والسكر طن/فدان وزاد معنويا
 في الموسم الثاني في صفة ناتج السكر ومعنويا في كلا الموسميين في صفات ارتفاع النبات وعدد
 العيدان القابلة للعصير ٢٠٠٠/فدان.
- تثوق مستوي السماد الفوسفاتي ٣٠ كجم فوسفور/قدان بالمقارنة للمستويات الاخرى للفوسفور
 معنويا في الموسم الثاني في صفات عدد العيدان في المتر المربع وارتفاع الساق والنمبة المئوية
 للسكريات المختزلة والنقاوة وناتج السكر وحاصلي العيدان والسكر طن/قدان. ومعنويا في كلا
 الموسميين لعدد العيدان الكلية القابلة للعصير ١٠٠٠/قدان.
- تقوق مستوى السماد البوتاسي ٢٤ كجم بوتاسيوم/قدان معنوبا مقارنة بمستويات السماد البوتاسي
 في الموسم الثاني في صفة عدد العيدان القابلة العصير ٢٠٠٠/قدان. وزاد معنوبا في كمالا
 الموسميين في صفات مساحة الاوراق وارتفاع النبات
- اوضحت النتائج أن احسن حاصل عيدان نتج من النقاعل بين ٢٤٠ كجم ن/فدان و ٤٥ كجم فوسفور/فدان و ٢٤٠ كجم فوسفور/فدان و ٢٤٠ كجم بوتاسيوم/فدان بينما في الموسم الاول وكانت القيمة ٢٠٦٠٠ طن/فدان بينما في الموسم الثاني نتج من التفاعل بين ٢٤٠ كجم ن/فدان و ٣٠ كجم فوسفور و ٤٨ كجم بوتاسيوم/فدان فكانت القيمة ٢٩٠٧ عض/فدان.
- اوضحت النتائج ان اعلى حاصل سكر نتج من التفاعل بين ٢٤٠ كجم ن/فدان و ٤٥ كجم فوسفور /فدان و ٢٤ كجم بوتاسيوم/فدان في الموسم الاول وكانت القيمة ٥,٧٥ طن/فدان بينما في الموسم الثاني نتج من التفاعل بين ٢١٠ كجم ن/فدان و ٣٠ كجم فوسفور /فدان و ٢٤ كجم بوتاسيوم/فدان فكانت القيمة ٣٠,٦٣طن/فدان. a