SIDE EFFECT OF CERTAIN SEX PHEROMONE FORMULATIONS AND / OR PROFENOFOS INSECTICIDE ON SOME NON TARGET INSECTS.

Mohamed, I.G.

Plant Protection Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center

ABSTRACT

Field experiments were carried out at the Experimental Farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Saba Basha, Alexandria University during two successive growing cotton seasons 1999 and 2000 to evaluate the side effect of three pheromone Formulations and / or profenofos insecticide in special regimes on spiny bollworm *Earias insulana* (Boisd.) as non target insect. In addition the side influence of the treatments were assessed on some predators. The experiments revealed the following results:

- 1. It was found that the lowest seasonal mean numbers of inspected spiny bollworm Larvae (4.03, 4.42 larvae / 100 bolls) in the profenofos (Curacron) insecticide treatment while it was (4.16,5.15 in T_1 , 5.33, 6.06 in T_2 and 5.39,5.52 larvae / 100 bolls in T_3 during the seasons of 1999 and 2000, respectively).
- There was no significant difference between the three cultivars of cotton (Giza70 , Giza88 and Giza89) .
- 3. The results showed that the population densities of prevailing and common predators were about 3-7 folds in pheromone / insecticide treated areas compared with the insecticide treatment during the study. The highest percent values of the total inspected predators were (22.39, 21.81 and 21.02) during season 1999, and (23.23, 22.51 and 23.4%) during season 2000 on Giza70, Giza88 and Giza89 in T₁ (PB-Rope / curacron / selibate / curacron) follwed by 18.91, 18.76, 20.2% in T₃ (selibate / curacron/last flight / curacron) in season 1999; 19.01 , 18.1 and 19.0% in season 2000 in Giza 70, Giza 88 and Giza 89, respectively. The lowest percent values were obtained in insecticide treatment were (13.9,13.47 and 13.36) in season 1999; 10.29, 11.27 and 11.29 in season 2000 on the three mentioned cultivars respectively.
- True spiders, Chrysopa spp. And Orius spp. were dominant in pheromone and / or insecticide- treated areas. The most susceptible species of predators for the insecticide application were peadrus spp., Coccinella spp. And Scymnus spp.

INTRODUCTION

The extensive use of pesticides in cotton fields has seriously affected the population densities of natural enemies to develop resistance to certain pesticides. Therefore, pheromones could be applied as a part of population suppression programme , for cotton pests, especially against pink bollworm. Since they are specific for the target insect-pest without causing drastic side effect on beneficial insects, i.e., parasitoids and predators (El-Adl et al., 1998 and Moawad et al., 1992).

This study aims to evaluate one of the modern concepts of pest control in defending the cotton crop against the spiny bollworm, whereas three sex pheromone formulations were used early in the season followed by two applications of conventional insecticies to see their effect on the non-

targat pest spiny bollworm and their resulting side effects on some perdatory insects (Al-Beltagy, 1999; Kostandy, 1995).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Field trials:

The field experiments were carried out at the Agriculture Research Farm, Faculty of Agriculture (Saba Basha), Alexandria, University, Egypt, The experimental area was cultivated with three cotton varieties (cultivars); Giza70, Giza88 and Giza89, on April 1999 and 2000 seasons.

The experiments was designed for evaluating three commercially registered slow release pheromone formulations and *l* or organophosphrous insecticide (curacron) against the pink bollworm; *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saunders), and the spiny bollworm, *Earias insulana* (Boisd.).

2. Treatment and rate of application in the field trials:

Table (1) shows the treatments, dates and rates of application during the growing seasons of 1999 and 2000.

Table(1): Treatments and rate of application in field trials.

Treatment And dates	App	olication No. (Date - R	ate of application / fee	ddan)
T1	Rope- PBW	Profenophos72% E.C	Selibate	Profепорhos72% EC
	300 dispensers	750ml	100 rings	750mi
1999	19-6	5-8	22-8	9-9
2000	19-6	8-8	23-8	9-9
T2	Last-Flight	Profenophos72%E.C	Selibate	Profeлophos72% EC
1999and	300 drops	750m1	100 rings	750ml
2000	19-6	25-7	13-8	9-9
Т3	Selibate	Profenophos72%E.C	Last-Flight	Profenophos72% EC
1999 and	100 sings	750 mi	300 drops	750m)
2000_	1 9 -6	25-7	13-8	29-8
T4	Profenophos72%E.C	Profenophos72%E.C	Profenophos72%E.C	Profenophos72%E.C
1999 and	750 m)	750 m!	750 ml	750 ml
2000_	10 <u>-</u> 7	31-7	19-8	_4-9
T5				
Check	-	•	-	-
Untreated				

2.1. Treatment 1 (T1):

- A. An application of PB-rope was applied at the rate of 300 dispensers/ feddan throughout the period from 19th June, to 5th August in season 1999 and to 8th august in 2000 season.
- B. Profenophos (Curacron 72% E.C) was sprayed at the rate of 750 ml/ feddan as recommended.
- C. Selibate pheromone was applied on 22th August in the season of 1999 and on 23rd August in the 2000 season. Selibate was applied at the rate of 100 rubber rings #feddan.

D. Spraying (curacron) profenophos at the rate of 750 ml/feddan on 9th Sept. during the 1999 and 2000 seasons.

Treatment T1 was applied for the three cotton cuttivars (Giza70, Giza88 and Giza89).

2.2 Treatment (T2):

- A. The Last filght pheromone was applied in from of drops on the top of cotton leaf at a rate of 300 drops / feddan during the two seasons.
- B. Selibate pheromone was applied first followed by profenophos at the rate of 750 ml/feddan on the 29th August, for the two seasons. Treatment t2 was applied for the three cotton cultivars

2.3 Treatment (T3)

Selibate was applied at the rate of 100 rings / feddan then the insecticide at the rate of 750 ml / feddan on 25^{th} July of the two seasons. Finally profenophos was sprayed on 29^{th} August of 1999 and 2000 seasons . This treatment was applied for the 3 cultivars of cotton (Giza70, Giza88 and Giza89).

2-4 Treatment (T4):

Profenophos at a rate of 750ml/ feddan was sprayed on July, 10th and 31st, on August 19th and Sept., 4th during the two growing seasons. This treatment was applied for the 3 cotton cultivars of cotton.

2-5- Treatment (T5):

Check untreated: neither pesticide nor pheromone was incorporated during the study .

3. Estimation of cotton bollworms infestation:

The infestation levels of the studied pests were determined by taking random samples of green bolls. Sampling lasted for a period of 12 weeks. The samples were examined externally before dessection and internal inspection. Infestation records were based on the existence of injury symptoms regardless the presence of Larvae.

Analysis of variance using "F" and "L.S.D" tests was used for the comparisons amonge treatments.

4.lmpact of the treatments upon certain predators of the cotton pests:

Weekly inspections were carried out in the field for detecting the main prevailling predators in cotton fields. The direct counting method (Hafez, 1960) was applied in sampling of 20 cotton plants at random for each replicate. The inspected. Predators were *chrysopa carnea* (egg and Larvae), Coccinella spp, Orius spp., Scymnus Spp., Paederus alfierii and true spiders. Counts were done weekly along the sampling periods of 14 weeks during the growing Seasons of 1999 and 2000.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

I- Influence of consequent application of sex pheromone formulations and/or insecticide on spiny bollworm infestation.

The data given in Table (2) show the seasonal mean numbers of spiny bollworm during the growing cotton seasons of 1999 and 2000.

The results indicated that there were significant difference between the seasonal mean numbers of spiny bollworm larvae in the areas treated with the insecticide alone, the other area treated with pheromone/insecticide and untreated check and also between the three cultivars (Giza70, Giza88 and Giza89). It is evident that T4 treatment (insecticide alone) was the most effective treatment to protect the bolls from infestation, (spiny bollworm larvae were 4.03&4.42 larvae/ 100 bolls).

The remaining treatments, $T_1(Rope-PBW/curacorn /selibate/curacron)$, T3 (selibate / curacron / last flight / curacron) and T2 (last flight / curacron / selibate / curacron) gave (4.16&5.15) , (5.39&5.52) and 5.33&6.06) (larvae/100 bolls) compared with those of 7.80 & 7.51 — larvae/100 bolls in the untreated check treatment in both seasons, respectively.

Table (2): Mean numbers of Earias insulana (Boisd.) larvae at the different treatments in the three cultivars during season 1999 And 2000.

	1999			
Treatments		Variety		Mean
Heautients	Giza70	Giza88	Giza89	Treatments
Rope PBW/Curacron/Selibate/ Curacron(T1)	4.0 0	3.25	5.25	4.16(a)
Last-flight/Curacron/Selibate/ Curacron(T2)	5.58	4.42	6.00	5.33(b)
Selibate/Curacron/Last.Flight/ Curacron(T3)	5.00	5.17	6.00	5.39(b)
Curacron(T4)	4.33	4.25	3.50	4.03(a)
Untreated Check(T5)	7.75	7.08	8.58	7.80(c)

Treat.x Var:F=4.74*** L.S.D.0.02=0.89

Mean cultivar

2000

5.33(a)

4.83

5.87(a)

Treatments		Variety		Mean
rreatments	Giza70	Giza88	Giza89	Treatments
Rope PBW/Curacron/Selibate/ Curacron(T1)	4.83	4.75	5.83	5.15(b)
Last-flight/Curacron/Selibate/ Curacron(T2)	5.67	5.91	6.58	6.06(c)
Selibate/Curacron/Last.Flight/ Curacron(T3)	5.67	5.08	5.83	5.52(b)
Curacron(T4)	4.58	3.75	4.92	4.42(a)
Untreated Check(T5)	7.67	6.67	8.25	7.15(d)
Mean cultivar	5.68(a)	5.22(a)	6.29(a)	5.7 <u>3</u>

Treatments:F=52.97***

L.S.D.0.02=0.4457

On the other hand, the infestation with the spiny bollworm *Eairias* insulana in the pheromone / insecticide- treated areas was higher than that obtained in the treatment of insecticide alone. These findings may due to the following reasons:

1. The spiny bollworm infest vegetable fields severely, so the rate of its activity and spreading in Alexandria Governorate is high.

- 2. The Rope- PBW pheromone was not specific for the spiny bollworm.
- 3. The areas treated with pheromone/insecticide were sprayed twice only, whereas the insecticide treated area was sprayed four times.

These results are in agreement with those obtained by Critchley et.al. (1987) and Nackache et.al. (1992).

2- Effect of Sex pheromone and/or insecticides on the population of predatory insects:

The results in Tables (3 and 4) show the influence of pheromone/insecticide alternatively and insecticide alone treatments on the inspected predators during the growing cotton seasons of 1999 and 2000. The data clearly elucidate that the populations of the predators in seasons of 1999 and 2000 were much high in pheromone/insecticide treatments as compared with the insecticide treatment. The highest percent values of totals inspected predators (22.39,21.81,21.05 in 1999 and 23.4,22.51, 23.23% in 2000 on the cultivars Giza70, Giza88 and Giza89, respectively). These values were recorded in T_1 (Rop-PBW/ curacron / selibate / curacron) followed by T_3 then T_2 . The lowest percent value—was recorded in the insecticide treatment (13.19,13.47 and 13.36 in 1999 and 11.29,11.27 and 10.29) in season 2000 on Giza70, Giza88, and Giza89, respectively).

The weekly average of the individuals/20 plants in pheromone / insecticide plots prior spraying was firstly around 3-5 times more than that of insecticide- treated area in the two seasons.

The following are the prevailing predators in the inspected areas during the course of investigation.

a.Orius spp.

Table (6) shows that there were no significant differences between the cotton cultivars in seasonal mean numbers of total counted predators / 20 plants. The results in table (5) show that there are asignificant difference between treatments in both seasons where the highest seasonsal mean number was recorded in T_1 (PBW- Rope/curacron / selibate/ curacron in 1999 season) followed by T_2 and T_3 , whereas the lowest mean number (3.09)was recorded in T_4 (insecticide alone). In 2000 season the highest mean number of orius Spp. (4.83) was recorded in T_1 followed by T_3 and T_2 , respectively. On the other hand the mean number in the untreated check is 5.86.

b. Scymnus Spp

Table (6) Shows no significant difference between varieties while table (5) shows a high significant difference between treatments, where the highest seasonal mean numbers of total scymnus spp. /20 plants, were (5.17 & 4.71) individuals /20 plants in T_1 at 1999 and 2000 seasons respectively. The lowest count however, T_5 (untreated check) gave 5.86 and 5.46 during the growing seasons of 1999 and 2000, respectively

program on the three	[
number of predators/ 20 plants weekly counted in the different regimes program on the three	s of 1999
plants weekly counted	seasons of 1999
Total number of predators/ 20	varieties throughout the growing seasons of 1999
Table (3):	varieti

			Ì	ļ	١					ľ								Ì	Į
Varieties		I		Giza70			Total		-	Giza 88			Total		U	Giza79			Total
ļ	1477	٠			-	١	ŏ	-	•	-	٠	,	δ	-	۲	-	-		ō
reat.	0 U L	-	-	-	7	- 18	totals	-	۱،	١,	7	- 12	totals	<u>.</u>	-		•		totals
12-6		20	16	17	20	22	95	18	19	17	23	19	96	21	18	19	19	21	96
L	2,19	30	25	23	53	30	137	56	54	56	31	34	141	33	23	28	28	3	140
	3.0	31	29	29	40	38	167	39	32	32	41	36	183	31	25	39	35	38	168
	4	44	39	32	47	45	207	47	43	46	47	42	225	42	35	40	44	45	506
	-S-	48	45	44	20	51	238	22	47	47	90	25	256	49	46	45	50	45	235
	₁₉	46	46	48	9	55	201	48	20	47	11	48	204	44	46	52	12	49	203
	۳/	48	40	52	2	49	199	25	47	51	13	42	205	25	44	5.	12	23	211
	ω	45	Ξ	12	16	51	135	47	7	13	14	4	122	35	11	13	16	47	122
	ŧ6	14	12	14	4	44	88	10	10	13	9	43	82	12	12	16	9	42	88
	10"	18	20	22		38	105	11	15	19	7	41	93	12	18	21	8	33	95
	113	22	25	27	2	31	107	20	19	21	5	40	105	19	23	22	2	33	66
	12 ^m	27	27	28	7	26	115	24	22	22	10	39	117	24	23	23	6	37	116
	13"	31	9		14	23	81	24	3	5	7	39	7.5	24	4	_ 5	10	32	75
	14 ^{[h}	7	7	6	2	25	-09	8	9	2	2	36	62	3	9	- 2	2	24	\$
Total of totals		431	348	364	254	528	1925	429	346	369	265	557	1961	398	334	379	253	529	1893
		22.39	_	7-	13 19	27.43		21.81	17.59	Ψ.	_	28.32		21.05	-	20 02	ω	27.9	
		%	%	%	%	%		%	%	%	%	%		%	%	 %	%	%	ĺ
T,= PB-R	T ₁ = PB-Rop/ curacror	ron/seli	bate/cu	n/selibate/curacron.		*1.No.=	"1.No.= inspection number	tion nu	mber		T ₂ = L	ast flig	hť curi	T_2 = Last flight/curacron/selibate/curacron	elibate	/curacr	u o		l
	1 1 1 1 1 1																		

T₁= PB-Rop/ curacron/selibate/curacron. *1.No.= inspection ** 12-6 = starting date of inspection T₃= Selibate / curacron / last flight / curacron T₄= Curacron T₅= Untreated check

e three	
ži th	
at regimes program on the three	
regimes	
s/ 20 plants weekly counted in the different	,
ŧ	
. <u>=</u>	
counter	8
weekly	ns of 20
plants	ng seasons
20	5
number of predators/	out the growin
ō	ugh
number	ties throughor
Total	varietie
4	
Table (4):	

,		vari	rrieti	es thr	ough.	out th	e gr	eties throughout the growing seasons of 2000	seas	ons	of 2	000								
	varieties			7	Giza70			Total		•	Giza 88	38		Total			Giza79			Total
	Treat.	•INo	1	1,	T,	1	r.	Of totals	۲	<u>-</u>	Ţ.	1.7	ř	Of totals	Ļ	T ₂	T,	,	ž	Totals
	13-6	<u>a</u>	23	19	18	23	24	107	28	52	72	18	27	120	21	70	20	19	58	108
		2 _{nd}	53	29	25	32	22	142	30	27	27	27	32	143	30	26	25	28	33	142
		36	35	28	31	38	Ŗ	166	36	35	32	45	44	192	35	32	31	45	32	172
		4	ဓ္တ	37	38	40	33	190	36	38	14	51	39	207	33	¥1	33	32	42	174
		5.	4	31	43	œ	33	165	44	99	48	13	46	185	40	¥	43	80	47	172
		9	క్ట	38	47	6	32	165	47	39	45	12	44	187	42	46	47	o	44	188
23		711	47	47	47	14	35	£	44	90	45	11	42	192	45	37	47	13	46	188
81		8	40	11	12	3	44	110	45	12	6	4	44	119	44	13	12	'n	41	115
		6	41	13	12	9	36	108	38	16	5	9	37	110	37	12	15	8	43	115
		10	Ξ	17	17	12	35	35	14	13	12	4	42	85	12	17	17	4	39	88
		11	-13	21	22	3	45	110	16	Ξ	15	9	37	85	15	18	23	2	27	88
		12"	24	29	24	6	4	127	14	=	12	3	40	80	22	9	о	ဖ	43	86
		13#	88	18	4	2	88	80	÷	ო	ı,	9	42	67	27	8	8	4	34	81
		14"	6	7	9	9	36	64	4	4	2	2	怒	46	10	8	8	0	34	9
	Total		425	335	346	205	505	1816	4.9	320	329	205	555	1818	413	311	338	183	533	1778
			23.4	18.45		11.29	27.8		22.51	176181	181	11 27	30.53		23.23	17 49	19.01	10.29	 န	
			%	%	%	%	%			*	ጽ	%	%		%	%	%	%	%	
	T ₁ = PB-Rop/ curacron/selibate/curacron. ** 13-6 = starting date of inspection	op/ curs	acron	ron/selibate/cura late of inspection	te/cura vection	cron.		"1.No.= inspection number T ₁ = Selibate / curacron / la	inspec bate /	tion	num ron /	"1.No.= inspection number T ₁ = Selibate / curacron	ght / cu	racron	T,= L	ք Last fligh [,= Curacron	ນ curac າ T _s	ron/selit = Untre	T ₁ = Last flight/ curacron/selibate /curacron T ₁ = Curacron T ₅ = Untreated check	acran X

c.Coccinella Spp.

There was no significant difference between cotton varieties in 1999 and low significant difference in 2000 Season, where Giza88 showed the highest mean number followed by Giza70 (table 6).

Table (5) shows significant difference between treatments. It is notitized that T_1 (PB-Rope/ curacron/ selibate/curacron) had the highest effect in comparison with T_2 and T_3 .

The curacron treatment (T4) had the lowest seasonal mean number in both seasons 1999&2000.

d. Chrysopa spp.

Results in table (6) shows that there was no significant difference between varieties in 1999 season , while the mean numbers were significantly low between varieties in 2000 season, where Giza88 had the highest mean number; 5.36 individual 20 plants, followed by Giza89 (5.24) comparing with Giza70 (4.97). The seasonal mean numbers of counted chrysopa spp./ 20 plants were significantly differed between treatments. T_1 and T_3 were comparable to T_2 and all treatments were copmarable to T_4 insecticide alone in 1999, whereas T_1 was comparable to all treatments in addition, the lowest mean number was recorded in T_4 (insecticide alone) and all treatments were comparable to T_4 season 2000.

e. Paedrus alfireil

There was no significant difference between the three varieties in both 1999 and 2000 seasons. All the treatments were comparable to $(\mathsf{T_4})$ insecticide alone which had the lowest seasonal mean numbers in both seasons of 1999 and 2000 and the highest were in $\mathsf{T_1}$ which was comparable to $\mathsf{T_2}$ and $\mathsf{T_3}$ in season 2000 only , (table 5)

f. True spiders.

Data in Table (6) revealed that there was low significant difference between the seasonal mean numbers of spiders / 20 plants during 1999 as Giza88 slightly exceeded the other two varieties. Mean while, there was no significant difference between varieties during season 2000. The seasonal mean number of total counted spiders/ 20 plants differed significantly between treatments in 1999 and 2000, where $-T_1$ (PB- Rope / Curacron / selibate/ curacron) was comparable to the other treatments followed by T_3 and T_2 , while T_4 (only insecticide) had the lowest seasonal mean number, (Table 5) .

From the above mentioned results it could be concluded that T_1 (PB-Rope / curacron / selibate / curacron) was superior to the other treatments and was approximately equal to untreated check in affecting the predators.

On the other hand during the whole period of investigation, T_1 and T_3 achieved better results and proved to be soft on predators than T_2 . In addition all gossypture/ insecticide treatments proved to be better than T_4 pesticide (curacron) treatment.

Similar results were obtained by Gaston, et al (1977), Critchley et al, (1985), El-Adi, et al. (1986), El-Heneidy, et al. (1986), Moawad, et al. (1992), Al-Beltagy(1994), Abdel- Meguid et al. (1999) and Abdel- Rahman, (1999).

Table (5): Seasonal mean numbers of total counted predators/20 Plants in pheromonel insecticide (T1, T2 and T3), only insecticide (T4) and untreated check (T5) during the growing season of 1999 and 2000

T		Me	an numbers	of predator	s/20 plant	s	
Treatments	Orlus	Scymnus	Coccinella	True spiders	Chrysopa	Peadrus	mean
T1	5.17c	5.17c	3.81c	6.81c	6.52¢	2.50b	5.00
T2	4.30Ь	3.64b	3.19b	5.74b	5.38b	2.19Ь	4.07
тз	4.43b	3.43b	3.48c	6.07Ь	6.43c	2.58b	4.40
T4	3.09a	2.76a	2.18a	4.71a	4.09a	1.50a	3.05
T5	5.80a	5.86d	5.74d	8.90d	8.50d	3.62c	6.40
F	47.93***	82.61***	111.49***	117.02***	91.74***	39.83***	
L.S.D0.05	0.4039	0.3960	0.3400	0.4000	0.4700	0.3400	
			2000				

Mean numbers of predators/20 plants Treatments Orius Scymnus Coccinella True spiders Chrysopa Peadrus mean 4.83c 4.71c 4.07c 6.71c 6.37c 2.93c 4.94 Τ2 5.50b 4.19ь 3.31b 3.20ь 4.51b 2.29b 3.83 Т3 5.81b 4.86b 4.02 4.36b 3.48b 3.10b 2.48b 14 2.50a 2.26a 1.83a 3.43a 2.69a 1.40a 2.35 T5 6.26d 8.50d 7.52d 2.86d 5.46d 4.38d 6.33 121,16** 180.198*** 192.44*** 89.27*** 86.93*** 83.27*** L.S.D0.05 0.365 0.384 0.340 0.372 0.468 0.320

Table (6): Seasonal mean numbers of total counted predators/20 Plants In the three cultivars of cotton G70, G88 and giza89 throughout the growing season of 1999 and 2000

			1555			_	
pred Cult.	Orius	True spiders	Scymnus	Coccinella	Peadrus	Chrysopa	mean
G70	4.69	6.343a	4.26	3.42	2.53	6.21	4.576
G88	4.71	6.74 3 b	4.03	3.93	2.42	6.24	4.679
G89	4.29	6.257a	4.23	3.69	2.49	6.10	4.510
;F	N.S	20.160*	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S
L.S.D0.05		0.35					

			2000	1			
Pred Cult.	Orius	True spiders	Scymnus	Coccinella	Peadrus	Chrysopa	mean
G70	4.50	6.10	3.79	3.714b	2.90	4.97a	4.33
G88	4.39	5.93	3.76	3.790b	2.73	5.36b	4.33
G89	4,16	5.94	3.99	3.585a	2.46	5.24b	4.33
F	N.S	N.S _	N.S	37.750*	N.S	21.64*	
L.S.D0.05				0.100		0.26	

REFERENCES

- Abdel-meguid, A.M., N. A. Badr and A.M. Hossain (1999). Comparative effects of synthetic pheromones and conventional insecticides in cotton fields. 2nd int. Conf Peat Control, Mansoura, 1:241-249.
- Abd El-Rahman, A.Kh. (1999). New approach for the control of the pink boilworm *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saund.) (Lepidoptera Gelechiidae) using pheromones and insectides. Ph. D. Thesis fac. Agric., Saba Basha, Alex. Univ.
- Al-Beltagy, A.M.; A.M. Hamid and I.M. Galal (1999). Population density and dynamics of some common predators under alternative pink bollworm control programs. 2nd int. Conf. Pest Control, Mansoura.,1:105-117.
- Critchley, B.R; D.G.Campion; L.J.McVigh; E.M. McVeigh; G.G.Cavanagh; M.M. Hosny; El-Sayeda A. Nasr; A.A. Khidr and M. Naguib (1985). Control of pink bollworm, Pectinophora gossypiella
- (Saund.)(Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Egypt by mating disruption using hollow fibre, Laminate flake and microencapsulated formulations of synthetic pheromone. Bull. Ent. Res., 75:329-345.
- Critchley,B.R.;D.G.Campion;G.G.Cavanag and D.J.Chamberln (1987).control of three major bollworm pests of cotton in Pakistan by single application of their combined sex pheromones. Trop.Pest. Management, (34): 374-377.
- El-Adl, M.A. and A.M. Ghanem (1986). Relation between Gossyplore treatment and beneficial arthropods in diminishing injurious insect attacking cotton plants. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoure Univ., 11(1):398-401.
- El-Adl, M.A.; M.M. Hosny and D.G.Campion (1988).Mating disruption for the control of PBW *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saund) in the delta cotton growing area of Egypt.Tropical Pest Management., 34(2):210-214
- El-Heneidy, A.H.; T.SM. Abbas and A.A. Khidr (1986). Comparative population densities of certain predators in cotton fields treated with sex pheromones and insecticides in Menofia Governorate. Egypt Bull. Ent. Soc. Egypt, Ser., 16:181-190.
- Gaston, L.K.; Kaae: H.H.Shorey and D.Sellers (1977). Controlling the R.S. PBW by disruption sex pheromone communication between adult moths. Science, 196:904-905.
- Kostandy, S.N. (1995). The Simultaneous effect of early using of insecticides on cotton pests and its related natural enemies. Annals Agric. Sci., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 40(2):877-889.
- Moawad, G.M.; R.Z.Sawires; A.M.El-Hamaky and F.M.Gergis(1992). The impact of sex pheromones and insecticides on the natural enemies in cotton fields in Middle Egypt. Bull. Ent. Soc. Egypt, Ser., 19:231-242
- Nackache, J.; E. Dunkelblum; M. Kehat; Anshelevich and M. Harel (1992). Mating disruption of the spiny bollworm, *Earias insulan*. Boisd. With shin etsu twist-tie ropes in israel. Bull. Ent. Res., 82(3):369-373.

التأثيرات الجانبية لبعض تجهيزات الفرمونات ومبيسد السبروفينوفوس أو مبيسد البروفينوفوس منفردا على بعض الحشرات الغير مستهدفه .

ابراهيم جلال محمد

معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات - مركز البحوث الزراعية

لقد أجريت التجارب الحقلية في مزرعة كلية الزراعة - سابا باشا - جامعة الإسكندرية خلال موسمي ١٩٩٩، ٢٠٠٠ ، وذلك لتقييم التأثيرات الجانبية لثلاث تجسهيزات مسن الفرمون (جوسيبلور) بالتكامل تبادليا مع رشتين من مبيد الكوراكرون على دودة اللوز الشوكية وكذلك علمي بعض المفترسات الشائعة في حقول القطن ومقارنتها بفاعلية استخدام المبيدات فقط (أربع رشات) . ولقد تم دراسة تأثير هذه المعاملات على ٣ أصناف من القطن المصري خلال موسمي ١٩٩٩، عبرة ٨٠ ، جيزة ٨٨ ، جيزة ٨٨ ، جيزة و٨٨ ، جيزة المهندات التجارب النتائج التالية :

- ا) أظهرت النتائج لن استخدام السيد فقط حقق مستوى جيد من الإصابـــة (٢٠٤، ٤،٤٢ يرقــة / ١٠٠ لوزة) بالمقارنة مع استخدام الفرمونات والمبيد تبادليا ... حيث كان مستوى الإصابــة (٢٠١، ١٠٠ وردة / ١٠٠ لوزة) في المعاملة الأولى . (٣٠٣٥ ، ١٠٠ / يرقه / ١٠٠ لوزة) في المعاملة الثانيــة ، (٢٠٠ ، ٥،٢٥ يرقة / ١٠٠ لوزة) في المعاملة الثانيــة خيلال موســمي ١٩٩٩، ٢٠٠٠ علــي التا تنهــ.
- ٢) ولقد تأكدت هذه النتائج بإظهار الاختلافات المعنوية بين الستوسطات الموسمية لمعاملات الفرمونات مسع المبيد ومعاملة المبيد منفرد ومن جهة أخرى لم تكن هناك أية فروق معنوية بين متوسسطات الإصابسة بديدان اللوز الشوكية بين الأصناف الثلاثة.
- ") أظهر الفحص الدوري الذي أجري على مدى 15 أسبوع خلال موسسمي ١٩٩٩، ٢٠٠٠ أن الكثافة المددية لأتواع المفترسات المختلفة في المسلحات المعاملة بالفرمون مع العبيد بالنبادل بلغست حوالسي ٣-٧ أمثال الكثافة المددية لهذه المفترسات في المسلحة المعاملة بالعبيد فقط خلال قترة النطبيق . حيث كانت أعلى نسبة مئوية لمجموع المفترسات في المسلحة المعاملة الكلي في معاملة السب بسي بروب كوراكرون ~ سليبت ~ كوراكرون ~ اليبت مكوراكرون ~ اي المعاملة الأولسي ٢١,٢ ، ٢١,١٨ ، ٢٢,٣٢ % موسم ١٩٩٩، ٢١,٢ ، ٢١,١٨ ، ٢٢,٣٢ % موسم ٨٨، جيزة ٩٨ على الترتيب يليها في ذلك المعاملة الثالثة (سليبت ~ كوراكرون لاسبت فلايست كوراكرون) ١٩,١ ، ١١,١٨ ، ٢٠.١ % موسم ١٩٩٩، ١١,١١ ، ١٩,١١ ، ١٩,١٠ % موسم منوية لمجموع المفترسات بالنمية لتعدادها الكلي وهسي ١٢,٠١ ، ١٢,١١ ، ١٢,١١ % فسي موسم منوية لمجموع المفترسات بالنمية لتعدادها الكلي وهسي المتناف القطن جيزة ١٢، ١٢,١٢ % فسي موسم جيزة ٨٨ على أصناف القطن جيزة ١٢، ٢٠,٠٠ جسيزة ٨٨ جيزة ٨٤ على أصناف القطن جيزة ١٢، ٢٠,٠٠ جسيزة ٨٨ جيزة ٨٤ على أصناف القطن جيزة ١٢، ٢٠,٠٠ جيزة ٨٨ جيزة ٨٤ على أصناف القطن جيزة ١١,٠٠٠ هي معاملة المبيد منفود .
- 3) كانت أنواع العناكب الحقيقية True spiders ، وأسد الدن Chrysopa spp اكستر أنسواع العناكب الحقيقية Chrysopa spp ، وأسد الدن و Orius spp وكانت أكستر الأنسواع حساسية لتطبيق العنيد هي مفترسات الرواغ حساسية التطبيق العنيد هي مفترسات الرواغ المسلمة المواغ Peadrus spp وأبسو المسلمة المسلمة Scymnus spp والاسكمنس والاسكمنس المسلمة المسل