SORGHUM PROTEIN CONCENTRATE AND ISOLATE AS A POTENTIAL SOURCE OF HIGH PROTEIN FOR SPAGHETTI MANUFACTURE

Mohamed, A. N. S.

Food Technology Department-National Research centre-Dokki, Cairo Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Sorghum seed protein products namely, sorghum protein concentrate and sorghum protein isolate were added at 5, 10, 15 and 20% levels of supplementation to wheat flour to raise the nutritional value and spaghetti manufacture. Methods of extraction for both sorghum protein concentrate and isolate, chemical composition and functional properties were studied. Amino acid profiles, and scores for all raw materials were measured. All data of spaghetti samples including chemical composition, cooking quality, color characteristics and sensory evaluation were determined. The obtained results revealed that sorghum protein concentrate and isolate extracted by water method and 0.034 N NaoH respectively had higher protein content than the other methods. Also, their functional properties were the best between other methods. The protein content of spaghetti samples supplemented with both sorghum protein concentrate and isolate was increased as the level of supplementation increased. Results of cooking quality showed that, supplementation with both protein concentrate and isolate was increased as the level of supplementation increased. Results of cooking quality showed that, supplementation with both protein concentrate and isolate decreased the cooked weight, volume and increased the cooked loss in spaghetti samples as compared with control. Spaghetti samples were supplemented with protein isolate at all levels. Great change in ¿E values was noticed in spaghetti samples at all supplementation levels with protein isolate. Acceptable high protein spaghetti could be produced using 5% and 10% protein concentrate for sensory characteristics (color and laste) and at supplementation level 5% of protein isolate for sensory characteristics (color and taste) and at supplementation level 5% of protein isolate for color and taste without any significant differences with control.

INTRODUCTION

Sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.)] is the fifth most widely grown crop in the world. It is grown in semiarid areas, usually as a dry land crop. Most of the grain produced in these areas is consumed by human as food (Hulse et al., 1980). Sorghum is a major food crop in Africa and Asia. It is the staple food in many areas in sudan. It acts as the major source of protein. Sorghum, like other cereals, is deficient in lysine (Gujska and Khan 1990), two other limiting amino acids are threonine (Harden et al., 1976), and methionine (Hori & Conrad, 1976). Sorghum proteins had higher levels of disulphide bonding than old other cereal grains Mitoru and Blair (1984). Mitaru et al. (1985) and Hamaker et al. (1987).

Wheat, because of its wide area of adaptability, has the greatest potential, for new or expanded food uses.

The wheat protein efficiency ratio is less than half of that of casein. Therefore, by the selective addition of protein to pasta, nutritional value can be improved and the protein content increased (Morad et al., 1980).

in some countries like Egypt, spaghetti can be manufactured from wheat flour (72%) as a popular product. Both types of spaghetti(from semolina or wheat flour) are rich in energy.

Substitution of semolina at 20, 40 and 60% level by whole corn flour and defatted soybean flour at level 8% was carried out to improve the protein quality of produced pasta (Molina et al., 1975).

Supplimentation of semolina with fish protein concentrate was efficient at Both levels 10 and 20% in increasing the protein content and nutritional value of pasta (Kwee et al., 1969) the protein isolate, is usually prepared by several, extraction and precipitation methods (Berardi and Cherry, 1979; El.Tinay and Chandrasekhor, 1960) or precipitated with trichloroacetic acid (TCA) (Drawert et al., 1979). Protein concentrates were prepared by several methods Martinez et al. (1970), Lawhon et al. (1972) and Cannella and Sodini (1977).}

Functional properties such as water and oil absorption capacities, bulk density and viscosity, calcium precipitability, water hydration, emulsion and foaming properties for protein isolates and concentrate were investigated by (Lawhon and Cater 1971, Sosulski et al., 1976), Manak et al. (1980) and Choi et al. (1981).

Whole sorghum had a better amino acid composition and a higher protein content than sorghum flour. (endosperm), ground normal and high lysine sorghums were used to produce protein concentrates and by-products by alkaline extraction (Victor 1978).

The objectives of this study were the preparation of different formulas of spaghetti based on wheat flour supplemented with sorghum protein concentrate and isolate extracted with different methods to increase the protein content and improve the quality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials:

Hard wheat flour (72% extraction) was purchased from the North Cairo Mills Company, Egypt. Sorghum grain (Sorghum bicolor L) local variety (Dorado) was obtained from the Field Crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Centre, Minsitry of Agriculture, Egypt.

Analytical methods:

Moisture, protein, fat, ash and fibers were determined according to the methods recommended by the A.O.A.C. (1995). Total carbohydrates were calculated by difference.

Amino acid contents were determined at the Central Food and Feed laboratory of the Egyption Agriculture Organization, using Amino acid Analyzer (Beckman system 7300 and Data system 7000). The samples were prepared as described by Moore et al. (1958); and Winder and Egyum (1966).

Amino acid score (AAS) was calculated as the following equation:

(gm amino acid in sample)

Aminoacid score = ______x100
gm same amino acid in FAO/WHO reference protein (1985)

Processing of spaghetti samples:

For preparation of supplemented spaghetti, 5, 10, 15, 20 gm of sorghum protein concentrate and isolate flours were individually added to the basal spaghetti recipe, substituting for an equivalent amount of wheat flour.

The spaghetti samples were prepared in the Food Technology Dep. NRC, Cairo, Egypt, by using pasta matic 1000 simac machine corporation, Millano, Italy. The mixing time was 4-6 min, at 30 rpm under vacuum value of 35 cm. Hg. Spaghetti was hydrated under atmospheric air for 15 min., then dried in a cabinet dryer at 40°C for 14 hours. The samples were cooled enough at room temperature, then packed in polyethylene pouches and stored at room temperature until analysis.

Cooking quality of spaghetti, weight increase, volume increase, and cooking loss were evaluated according to the methods described by AACC (1983).

Sensory evaluation: Sensory evaluation of produced spaghetti samples were carried out according to the method described by Hallabo et al. (1985). Statistical analysis: Sensory evaluation data were statistically analyzed for analysis of variance and to catculate LSD for ranking according to the methods described by McClave and Benson (1991). Spaghetti color:

Color was measured by using a spectro-Colorimeter (tristimulus color machine) with CIE lab color scale (Hunter, Lab Scan XE, Reston VA.) calibrated with a white standard tile of Hunter Lab Color standard (LX NO. 16379): X = 77.26, Y = 81.94 and Z = 88.14 (L* = 92.43, a* = -0.86, b* = -0.16). Color difference ($_3$ E) was calculated from a, b and L parameters, using Huter-Scotfield's equation (Hunter, 1975).

$$_{b}E = (_{b}a^{2} + _{b}b^{2} + _{b}L^{2})^{M}$$

Where $a=a-a_c$, $b=b-b_o$ and L= L-L_o. Subscript "O" indicates color of control. Hue angle (tg⁻¹b/a) and saturaion. Index [$\sqrt{a^2+b^2}$] were also calculated.

Preparation of sorghum protein concentrates:-

Aqueous extraction procedure: sorghum flour was used for preparation of protein concentrate according to the method described by Lawhon et al. (1972).

Ethanol 90% procedure: - Ethanol 90% was used as an organic solvent to remove the residual lipids and sugars with minimum removal of nitrogen a coording to the method described by Martinez et al. (1970).

Acidic n-butanol procedure: - preparation of sorghum protein concentrate was described by Cannella and Sodini (1977).

Dilute salt solution procedure:-

Dilute calcium chloride solution (0.008M, pH 6.3-6.8) was used at room temperature followed by a water washing the sorghum flour to remove sugars, color, flavor components, and the low molecular weight vater soluble proteins as the method of Martinez et al. (1970).

Preparation of sorghum protein isolates:-

Water extraction method 50gm of sorghum flour was suspended in 500ml water. The procedure of El-Tinay and Chandrasekhor (1980) was followed.

0.034 N NaoH extraction method: the preparetin of protein isolate from sorghum flour was used according to the method described by Berardi and Cherry, (1979).0.5 N Nacl extraction method: the procedure of Baliga and Lyman (1957) was used Addition of 200ml of 0.5N sodium chloride solution to 50gm of sorghum flour.Urea (6m) extraction method: the extraction of sorghum flour protein was followed according to the method described by Drawert et al. (1979).Cacl₂ (0.1, 0.5 and 1 N) extraction method:- the procedure of El-Tiney and Chandrasekher (1980) was followed. 50gm of sorghum flour was suspended in 500ml (0.1, 0.5 and 1 Nacl₂). The pH of the suspension was adjusted to 10 with 1 M NaoH. All the extraction steps of he procedure were similar to the steps of the equeous procedure.

Functional Properties:-

Water absorption was determined at room temperature by the method of Sosulski et al. (1976). The values were expressed as gm of water absorbed by 100gm of protein.

Oil absorption was measured according to the method by Sosulki et al. (1976) at room temperature. The values were expressed as gm of oil absorbed by 100gm of protein.

Emulsification capacity (Ec) was determined by the procedure of Beuchat (1977) at room temperature.

Foaming proerties were determined as described by Huffman et al. (1975) at room temperature, using 1% protein solution. Foaming capacity (FC) was expressed as the percentage increase in the volume after 30 Sec., and foam stability (Fs) was expressed as the foam volume measured after 10 min.

Protein solubility was determined by the method of King et al. (1985) with minor modification. Suspensions containing 1% protein (W/v) were prepared. The suspensions were magnetically stirred for 15min, then centrifuged for 10 min at 4000 rpm. Protein in the supernatant was estimated by the kjeldahl method.

Bulk density (gm/ml) and viscosity (c.p.) were determined according to the method of Choi et el. (1981).

Heat coagulobility (%) was determind as the procedure of Kramer and Kwee (1977).

The procedure of Choi et al (1981) was followed to determine calcium perceptibility (%).

Water hydration (%) was determined by using humidity ~ control chamber with mixture of sulfuric acid – water (11:89) at 20°C according to Manak et al. (1980).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results of chemical composition for raw materials was presented in Table (1). From these results, it could be noticed that protein content of wheat flour was the highest 13.61% compared with sorghum flour, while fat, ash and fibers contents were 3.75, 2.06 and 2.85%, respectively and higher than those of wheat flour. Total carbohydrates content was relatively closed for both wheat and sorghum flours. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Victor (1978), Saldivar et al. (1988), Celis et al. (1996) and Malleshi and Klopfenstein (1998). They reported that, major components in sorghum flour were 11-18, 3.03, 1.30 and 77.94 for protein, fat, ash and total carbohydrates.

Table (1). Major Chemical composition of Raw materials. (on dry weight basis)

Components %	Sorghum flour	Wheat flour
Protein	11.16	13.61
Fat	3.75	1.83
Ash	2.06	1.76_
Fiber	2.85	2.60
Total carbohydrates	78.34	79.15

Data presented in Table (2) shows amino acids profiles of sorghum products and wheat flour. The results in Table (2) indicated that, sorghum flour had lower content of all essential amino acids than that of sorghum products (sorghum protein concentrate and isolate. The content of essential amino acids of wheat flour was bowered in leucine, cystine, phenylalanine, threonine and valine than the other samples among investigated.

Total essential amino acids for both sorghum products was higher than that of wheat flour and sorghum flour. Total non-essential amino acids was the highest in sorghum products as compared with wheat and sorghum flours.

The contents of alanine, arginine, aspartic acid, proline and serine were lowered while glutamic acid, glycine and histidine contents were higher than those of the other samples.

These results are in agreement with those obtained by Saldivar et al. (1988), Malleshi et al. (1996) and Malleshi and Klopfenstein (1998).

The amino acid scores for essential amino acids in sorghum products and wheat flour are given in Table (3).

The amino acid scores for essential amino acids in wheat flour and sorghum seed products are given in Table (3). Lysine, threonine and cystine + methionine were the first second and third limiting amino acids, respectively in wheat and sorghum flours.

Table (2). Amino acids profiles of sorghum flour, concentrate, isolate and wheat flour.

and wilder ilvar.				
Amino acids (g/100g tein	Sorghum flour	Sorghum protein concentrate	Sorghum protein isolate	Wheat flour
Essential amino acids				
Leucine	12.01	12.46	12.74	6.96
Isoleucine	3.58	. 3.81	4.12	4.25
Lysine	1.88	3.35	2.59	2.14
Cystine	1.40	1.75	1.80	1.33
Methonine	1.61	1.94	2.18	2.00
Phenylalanine	4.66	4.89	5.20	4.48
Tyrosine	3.49	3.67	3.84	3.50
Threonine	2.70	3.12	3.31	2.60
Valine	5.48	4.70	5.87	4.94
Non-essentialaminoacids		i ———		
Alanine	7.44	7.76	7.95	3.94
Arginine	3.49	3.75	3.92	3.61
Aspartic acid	6.94	7.11	7.33	4.64
Glutamic acid	19.71	20.24	20.59	26.59
Glycine	2.53	2.82	3.14	3.36
Histidine	1.97	2.16	2.38	2.45
Proline	7.66	7.90	8.16	8.11
Serine	3.58	3.86	4.10	3.85
Total essential amino acids	36.81	39.69	41.65	32.20
Total determined amino acids	90.13	95.29	99.22	88.75

In contrast, sorghum protein concentrate and isolate showed that lysine, threonine and isoleucine were the first, second and third limiting amino acids, respectively.

These results are in agreement with those obtained by Neucere and Sumrell (1979). They reported that, lysine, threonine, isoleucine and leucine are the most limiting amino acids in sorghum proteins.

From Table (4), it could be concluded that the values of protein extraction (73.50%), yield (42.81%) and protein recovery (350.23%) of the protein isolate prepared by 6M urea extraction method were higher than the other methods.

These results may be due to the effect of 6M urea extraction method to extraction great amount of protein in the extract solution and the ability of 20% TCA solution to precipitate approximately all the soluble protein in the solution, while the other methods depend on precipitation of protein by adjusting the pH to5 with 3N Hcl.

From the mentioned data it could be concluded that the protein isolate prepared by 0.034 N sodium hydroxide had higher values of protein content than the other methods. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Drawert et al. (1979) and El-Tinay et al. (1988).

Table (3). Amino acid scores of sorghum flour, protein concentrate, protein isolate and wheat flour.	scores	or so	orgnum t	lour,	rotein concen	rrate, pr	otein iso	late and whea	it flour.
	Milhont	Sorgh	Sorghum seed products	oducts			Ami	Amino acids scores (%)	
Essential amino acids. (g/fg)	To it	1011	Protein Protein	Protein	FACANHO 1985)	Wheat	Sorghum	sorghum protein	Sorghum sorghum protein Sorghum protein
	100		concentrate isolate	isolate	(coci ottation)	flour	flour	concentrate	isolate
Leucine	6.96 12.01	12.01	12.46	12.74	0ď 2	99.43	171.57	178.00	182.00
tsoleucine	4.25 3.58	3.58	3.81	4.12	4.00	106.25	89.50	95.25	103.00
Lysine	2.14 1.88	1.88	2.35	2.59	5.50	38.91	34.18	42.73	47.09
Cystine+methionine	3.33 3.01	3.01	3.69	3.98	3.50	95.14	86.00	105.42	103.71
Phenylalanine+tyrosine	7.98 8.15	8.15	8.56	9.04	6.80	117.35	119.85	125.888	132.91
Threonine	2.60 2.70	2.70	3.12	3.31	4.00	65.00	67.50	78.00	82.75
Valine	4 94 5 78	S 78	5.70	5.87	005	98 80	109 60	114.00	117.40

Table (4). Yield (%) and protein recovery (%) of sorghum protein

concentrate prepared by different methods.

		Protein co	ntent%	Protein		**Protein
Me	thods	Sorghum flour	Protein isolate	Protein extraction	*Yield %	recovery
Water	·	11.16	92.45	46.93	31.58	261.61
0.034 N I	VaoH	-	93.70	57.64	37.62	315.86
0.5 N Nacl		-	90.13	35.39	25.34	204.65
6 M urea		-	91.30	73.50	42.81	350.23
	0.1N	-	90.54	28.41	20.65	167.53
Cacl ₂	0.5N	-	91.62	33.62	22.79	187.10
! _	1 N	-	92.17	36.75	27.40	226.30

^{*}Yield = gm protein isolate or concentrate / 100gm flour

From Table (5), it could be concluded that the values of yield (80.62%) and protein recovery (493.69%) of sorghum protein concentrate prepared by ethanol method were higher than the other methods.

This may be due to that the weight of protein concentrate obtained from this method was higher than the other methods. The protein content of the protein concentrate prepared by water extraction method 73.68% was higher than of other methods.

Table (5). Effect of different methods on yield and protein recovery of

protein isolate.

	Protein co	ntent (%)		Protein recovery
Methods	Sorghum flour	Protein concentrate	Yield (%)	(%)
Water	11.16	73.68	72.39	477.93
Acidic butanol	-	66.29	76.40	453.81
0.008 MCacl ₂	•	71.15	67.81	432.32
90% Ethanol	-	68.34	80.62	493.69

Similar results were found by Helmy (1996) in preparation of protein concentrates from cotton seed meals detoxified with several methods.

The results in Table (6) indicated that, the urea extraction method was higher in the percent of total nitrogen in extract (78.82%) than the other methods, but it was lower in the percent of total nitrogen in whey (5.61%). The results, indicated also that protein extractability at levels of CaCl₂ normality. Water and 0.5 N Nacl extraction method were low compared with 0.034N NaoH extraction method. Extraction with urea is a less drastic procedure than extraction with alkali, which is likely to cause hydrolysis of amide groups, destruction of amino acids and formation of unnatural compounds (Drawert et al., 1979). NaOH extraction method gave higher protein precipitation % than the other methods (except urea method), at pH 10 of solution the amount of soluble protein was more great and when the pH value was reached to 2.5 by 1 N Hcl, dissociation of

^{**} Protein recovery = gm crude protein in yield / gm crude protein in Flour*100

protein was happened. Alkaline extraction at pH 10 was found to be the best method to obtain protein isolate with a high protein content. (El. Tinay et al. (1988) and Helmy (1996).

Table (6). Effect of different methods on the preparation of protein isolate.

	1001010.				
Met	thods	Total Nitrogen in extract %	Total Nitrogen in whey %	Total Nitrogen in residue %	Protein precipitation %
Water		73.81	10.32	14.64	85.61
0.034 N N	laoH	75.54	9.73	13.48	90.92
0.034 N NaoH 0.5 N Nacl		71.69	15.90	11.34	79.46
6 M Urea		78.82	5.61	14.99	94.73
	0.1 N	63.74	20.50	14.72	72.51
Cacl₂	. 0.5 N	66.93	18.46	13.69	75.60
	1 N	69.68	17.82	11.41	82.49

Functional properties of sorghum protein products:

Functional properties of different protein concentrates and isolates were presented in Tables (7) and (8). The data obtained from Table (7) showed that the values of water, oil absorption capacities, nitrogen solubility%, emulsion capacity (EC) and foaming properties of protein concentrate prepared by water method were higher than those the other samples. They reported that sorghum protein products had hiher values of most functional properties than that found in sorghum flour.

The results in the Table (8) showed that all the values of different components of functional proerties for sorghum protein isolate prepared by 0.034N NaOH method were high compared with the other methods. The same trend of results was observed by El-Adawy et al. (2001) who found that extractions of protein isolate from Jupin seed tend to increase all the components of functional properties than found in Jupin flour.

Our results agree well with those reported by Fliedel and Kobrehe (1985), Singh and Singh (1991) and El-Adawy et al. (2001).

Gross chemical composition of different protein isolates.

The results in Table (9) showed that the contents of protein, ash and fiber of sorghum protein concentrate prepared by water method were higher than its contents from the other methods.

Fat and total carbohydrates contents were higher in sorghum protein concentrates prepared by 0.008M Ca Cl₂ water method and acidic butanol method respectively compared with the other samples. Similar results were found by Victor (1978) which extracted protein concentrate and isolate from sorghum. From the same table, the results revealed that the protein content of sorghum protein isolate prepared by 0.034N NaOH was higher than the other methods.

lable (/). runctiona	a properties of a	merent protein	concent	ates.		
	Water absorption	Oil absorption	Nitrogen	Emulsion	Foamir	g properties
Methods capacity (g.waterl capacity (mioil/g. solubility capacity (EC) (mil Foam capacity (Fc) Foam stability (Fs)	capacity (g.waterl	capacity (mloil/g.	solubility	capacity (EC) (ml	Foam capacity (Fc)	Foam stability (Fs)
	100g. sample)	sample).	%	oil/g sample).	ml/g. sample	samble
Water method .	219.96	180.64	22.82	53.61	58.56	24.68
Acidic butanol method	197.90	162.52	20.49	48.24	52.75	22.21
0.008 Mcacl ₂ -water method	212.41	174.44	22.04	51.78	56.62	23.64
90% ethanol method	204.02	167.56	21.17	49.71	54.38	22.90

(8). Func	tional propert	Table (8). Functional properties of different protein isolates.	t protei	n isok	ates.					Foaming	oaming properties
Methods	Water absorption	Oil absorption	Nitrogen	Bufk	Nitrogen Bufk Viscosity		Calcium- precipitability	Water	Emuision capacity	Foam	Foam
	100g.sample)	oil/100g.sample)	8	9./ml	ط دن		* * *	8	(EC)(ml oil/g capacity (sample) (Fc) ml/g (capacity (Fc) ml/g	(Fs)ml/g.
Water method	390.59	226.82	39.55 0.68	0.68	3.49	48.08	54.39	5.98	79.38	94.87	38.72
NaoH	395.87	229.88	40.08	69'0	3.52	48.73	55.13	90.9	80.45	96.15	39.24
Nac	380.70	221.12	38.56	99.0	3.29	46.87	53.03	5.83	77.34	92.49	37.75
M urea method	385.73	223.99	39.06	0.67	3.43	47.48	53.71	5.90	78.40	93.68	38.18
0.1N	382.52	222.13	38.73	99.0	3.34	47.09	53.27	5.86	77.72	92.91	37.92
0.5N	387.08	224.78	39.20	29.0	3.45	47.65	53.90	5.93	78.66	94.02	38.37
NO.	389.41	226.16	39.43	0.68	3.47	47.93	54.23	5.95	79.14	94.58	38.60

	ii coulbo:		erent prot	FILL COUCEDITS	ares and	protein	ane (3), chemical composition of unferent protein concentrates and protein isolates. (on dry weight basis)	ary we	ignt b	asis).	}
		Protein c	Protein concentrate	•			Protein isolate	isolate			
_	Water		0.008m	90% Ethanol Water	Water	0.034N	Dev bad Nod SMirror	S.M. rood]	Cacl ₂ method	poq
	method	butanol method	cací ₂₋ water method	method	method	NaoH method	method	method 0.1N 0.5N	0.1N	NS.0	N.
_	73.68	66.29	71,15	68.34	92.45	93.70	90.13	91.30 90.54 91.62 92.17	90.54	91.62	92.17
_	1.60	1:31	1.64	1.45	0.75	99.0	08.0	0.87	9. 26.	0.94 0.98	9.
	3.45	2.38	2.67	2.89	0.64	0.57	92.0	09:0	0.85	0.90	0.97
	4.50	3.82	4.10	4.26	0.96	0.89	1.49	1.04 1.13 1.25	1.13	1,25	4
	16.77	26.20	20.24	23.04	5.20	4 18	6.87	6 19 6 54 5 25 4 AB	6.54	20.2	977

4789

Sorghum protein isolates prepared by Ca Cl₂ (0.5N, 1N) method and were high in fat and ash contents compared with the other methods.

Fiber and total carbohydrates contents of sorghum protein isolate prepared by 0.5N Nacl method were the highest as compared with the other investigated samples of protein isolate.

Chemical composition of produced spagnatti samples.

Results in Table (10) showed the chemical composition of produced spaghetti samples. It can be concluded the addition of sorghum protein concentrate and isolate at levels 5, 10, 15 and 20% tend to increase the protein content in spaghetti samples as compared with control. little increase in fat, ash and fibers contents was foundas a result of supplementation. Total carbohydrates content was reduced in spaghetti samples and the reduction in samples supplemented with sorghum protein isolate was greater than that occurred in samples supplemented with sorghum protein concentrate. The results are in agreement with the results obtained by Nielsen et al., (1980), Bahnassey et al. (1986) and Szczopa et al. (1997).

Table (10). Chemical composition of produced spaghetti samples (on dry weight basis).

	,v.g		$r_{}$			_			
Į.			C	oncen	trate sa	amples			
Components %	Control	Spag with	hetti s sorghi	upplen am pro	nente teins		hette s i sorgh Iso		
		5%	10%	15%	20%	5%	10%	15%	20%
Protein	12.30								30.93
Fat	0.91	0.96	1.04	1.12	1.20	0.93	0.96	0.99	1.02
Ash	0.80	0.95	1.13	1.29	1.47	0.82	0.84	0.87	0.91
Fiber	0.65	0.87	1.08	1.31	1.53	0.69	0.72	0.77	0.81
Total carbohydrates	85.34	81,33	77.21	73.08	68.99	80.64	75.92	71.10	66.33

Cooking quality of Sp -----samples.

From Table (11), the results showed that, spaghetti control sample was the highest in values of change in cooked weight and volume and was the lowest in value of change in cooked loss. The rate of reduction in values of change in cooked weight and volume were reduced with high percent in supplemented spaghetti samples with sorghum protein concentrate than that of spaghetti samples supplemented with sorghum protein isolate at the same levels.

Also, from the same table, the rate of change in cooked weight was increased in spaghatti samples supplemented with sorghum protein isolate as compared with samples supplemented with sorghum protein concentrate at all levels. The obtained results are in agreement with those obtained by Siwawj (1994).

Reported that, who suplemented wheat flou with 10, 15, 20 and 25% of sorghum flour in manufactur of macaroni and the results of cooking quality were improved. Similar findings were obtained by Molina et al. (1975), Nielsen et al. (1980) and Morad et al. (1980).

Table (11). Cooking quality of spaghetti supplemented with sorghum protein concentrate and isolate at different levels.

		in cooked ight		nge in d volume	1	nange in oked loss
Spaghetii samples	%	Relative value	%	Relative value	%	Relative value
Control	310.84	100	291.71	100	6.46	100
Spaghetti supplemented with sorghum protein concentrate at levels of:	-					
<u>5%</u>	282,15	90.77	268.32	91.98	6.61	102.32
10%	273.38	87.95	249.54	85.54	6.98	108.05
15%	260.62	83.84	237.19	81.31	7.32	113.31
20%	247.80	79.72	221.46	75.92	778	120.43
Spaghetti supplemented with sorghum						
protein isolate at levels of:					!	
5%	306.21	98.51	280.26	96.07	6.84	105.88
10%	296.50	95.38	267.42	91.67	7.41	114.71
15%	287.43	92.46	259.74	89.04	7.79	120.59
20%	274.62	88.34	241.31	82.72	8.16	126.31

Table (12). Hunter color values of spaghetti supplemented with sorghum protein concentrate and isolate at different levels.

Samples	L	а	В	a/b	Saturation	Hue	şЕ
Control .	86.31	1.06	11.55	0.09	11.59	84.75	
Spaghetti supplemented with sorghum protein concentrate at levels of:							
5%	86.22	1.58	11.85	0.13	11.95	82.40	0.61
10%	83.92	2.79	13.18	0.21	13.47	78.04	3.37
15%	79.90	3.99	15.21	0.26	15.72	75.29	7.94
20%	78.64	4.15	14.45	0.29	15.03	73.96	8.76
Spaghetti supplemented with sorghum protein isolate at levels of:							
5%	80.58	2.41	12.29	0.19	12.52	78.88	5.93
10%	76.49	2.67	12.43	0.21	12.71	77.86	9.99
15%	72.64	3.36	12.97	0.25	13.40	75.47	13.93
20%	71.54	3.56	13.64	0.26	14.09	75.37	15.13

Color values of spaghetti samples were presented in table (12) and showed that the addiation of both sorghum proteins to spaghetti samples tend to reduced (L) lightness values for samples than control.

The effect was great and clear in samples supplemented with sorghum protein isolate while, values of (a) redness for supplemented samples with sorghume protein concentrate were increased than the same values for spaghetti samples supplemented with sorghum protein isolate at the same supplementation level (except 5% level). The values of (b) yellowness in samples supplemented with sorghum protein concentrate were increased than those for samples supplemented with sorghum protein isolate (except 5% level).

Saturation values of supplemented spaghetti samples with both sorghum proteins (concentrate and isolate) were raised while, hue values in the same samples were reduced. Compared with control. Results of ¿E values indicated that the highest change in samples occurred in all supplementation levels with protein isolate and the lowest change was found in samples contained 5% sorghum protein concentrate. The obtained results are in agreement with those obtained by Haber et al (1978), who examined spaghetti processed from wheat hard red spring and soft red winter wheat supplemented with six high protein derivatives from soybean and cotton seed meal and found that spaghetti processed from control gave the best overall quality and the highest color score. Who reported that, high protein materials such as soybean and cotton seed meals were used with wheat flour to made spaghetti and the color was decreased in most samples.

Sensory evalution of spaghetti samples.

Results of sensory evaluation for spaghetti samples are presented in Table (13). From these results, control sample was the highest in all sensory attributes among the samples investigated. Spaghetti samples supplemented with sorghum protein concentrate had high score values for all sensory charactristcs compared with results of samples supplemented with sorghum protein isolate. There was no significant differences in appearance regarding samples supplemented with sorghum protein concentrate between control, 5 and 10%. Also no effect was observed in appearance of samples Supplemented with sorghum protein isolate between 5 and 10% or 15 and 20%. In regard to color, there was no significant differences between control sample, samples supplemented with 5, 10, 15% sorghum protein concentrate and sample contained 5% sorghum protein isolate. The same result was observed in samples supplemented with 10 and 15% sorghum protein isolate. Supplementation of spaghetti with protein concentrate or isolate had no effect between levels 5 and 10% or at 5% respectively, at levels 15 and 20% for samples supplemented with protein concentrate or at 10, 15and 20% for samples supplemented ith protein isolate, no significant differences were observed for taste. Control sample and samples supplemented with 5 and 10% protein concentrate or 5% protein isolate were similar in taste. The results of tenderness indicated that, supplementation with protein concentrate caused significant differences between levels 10, 15 and 20% but 5% was similar to control sample. Protein isolate samples different in tenderness between them, stickiness of samples containg 5 and 10% protein concentrate and 5% protein isolate similar to control sample. Samples containg 20% protein concentrate and 15% protein isolate were similar in stickiness property.

	(LSD)	0.62	0.87	96.0	99.0	96.0	
spaghetti samples	Protein isolate 20%	5.22° 0	6.64 ⁰ 0.	6.88 ^B 0	6.40° 0	5.26° 0	27.40
	Protein Isolate 15%	5.60°	2.06°	7.24 ^B	6.68 BC	5.82 BC	30.40
	Protein isolate10%	7.28 ^B	7.42°	7.74B	7.02 8	6.72 ' ^{IB}	34.18
uation of	Protein Isolate 5%	7.56 ⁸	9.12 ^A	8.40A	7.26 AB	7.08 ^A	39.42
Table (13). Statistical parameters of mean values of sensory evaluation of spaghetti samples	Protein concentrate 20%	7.78 ^B	8.58 B	7,50 B	6.80 BC	5.70 BC	34.94
	Protein concentrate 15%	8.20 AB	8.76^A	7.62 ^B	7.06 ⁸	6.40 ⁸	37.86
	Protein concentrate 10%	8.50 ^A	9.12 ^A	8.34 A	7.23 AB	6.90 ^A	39.73
	Protein concentrate 5%	8.64 A	9.20 ^A	8.56^	7.52 A	7,14 ^A	41.06
istical p	Control	9.02	9.46 ^A	8.70 ^A	7.74^	7.38 A	42.3
Table (13). Stat	Characteristics	Appearance(10)	Color (10)	Taste (10)	Tenderness(10)	Stickiness (10)	Total (50)

REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (1995). official methods of analysis of A.O.A.C. International published by A.O.A.C. International suite 400 2200 wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia, 22201-3301, USA.
- Bahnassey, Y.; K. Khan and R. Harrold (1986). Fortification of spaghetti with Edible legumes. 1. physico chemical, Antinutritional, amino acid, and mineral composition. Cereal Chem., 63 (3): 210-215.
- Baliga, B.P. and C.M. Lyman (1957). Preliminary report on the nutritional significance of bound gossypol in cottonseed meal. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc., 34: 21.
- Berardi, L.C. and J.P. Cherry (1979). Preparation and composition of coprecipitated protein isolates from cottonseed, soybean, and peanut flours. Cereal Chem., 56: 95.
- Beuchat, Ł.R. (1977). Functional and electrophoretic characteristics of Succinylated peanut flour protein. J. Agric. Food Chem., 25: 258.
- Cannella, M. and G. Sodini (1977). Extraction of gossypol and oilgosaccharides from oilseed meals. J. Food Sci., 42 (5): 1218.
- Celis, L.P.E.; L.W. Rooney and C.M. McDoNough (1996). A Ready to Eat Breakfast cereal from food Grode Sorghum. Cereal Chem., 73 (1): 108-114.
- Choi, Y. R.; E.W. Lusas and K.C. Rhee (1981). Succinylation of Cottonseed flour: Effect on the functional properties of protein isolates, prepared from modified flour. J. Food Sci., 46: 954.
- Drawert, F.; A. A. El-Refai and B.J. Radola (1979) Extraction of cottonseed proteins with urea. Chem., Microbial. Technol. Lebensm., 6: 87.
- El-Adawy, T.A.; E.H. Rahama; A.A. El-Bedawy and A.F. Gafar (2001). Nutritional potential and functional properties of sweet and bitter lupin seed protein isolates. Food Chemistry, 74: 455-462.
- El-Tinay, A.H. and H. Chandrasekhar (1980). Protein and gossypol extractability from cottonseed flour. J. Sci., Food Agric., 31: 38.
- El-Tinay, A.H; A.M. Nour; S.H. Abdel-Karim and S.O. Mahgoub (1988)
 Aqueous protein and gossypol exraction from glanded cotton seed
 flour:: Factors affecting protein coagulation and gossypol content. Food
 Chemistry, 30 (1): 19.
- FAOWHO (1985). Energy and protein requirements. Report of a Joint FAOWHO/UNU. Expert Consultation Technical Report Series, 724.
- Fliedel, G. and K. Kobrehel (1985). Studies on sorghum proteins. 1. Solubilization of proteins with oaps. J. Agric Food Chem., 33(2): 303-308.
- Fliedel, G. and K. Kobrehel (1985). Studies on sorghum proteins. 1. solubilization of proteins with soaps. J. Agric. Food. Chem., 33(2): 303-308.
- Gujska, E. and K. Khan (1990). Effect of temperature on properties of extrudate from high starch fraction of navy pinto and garbanzo beans, J. Food. Sci., 55 (2): 466.

- Haber, T.A.; A.A. Seyam and O.J. Banasik (1978). Functional properties of some high protein products in pasta. J. Agric. Food Chem., 26 (5): 1191.
- Hallabo, S.A.: S.B. Magoll; S.K. Mohamed and A.Ramy (1985). Effect of processing on the chemical composition and amino acid pattern of supplemented macaroni, Bull. Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ., 36: 171.
- Hamaker, B.R.; A.W. Kirlies; L.G. Butler; J.D. Axtell and E.T. Mertz (1987). Improving the in vitro protein digestibility of sorghum with reducing agents. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 84: 626-627.
- Harden, M.L.; R. Stanaland; M. Briley and S.P. Yong (1976). The nutritional quality of protein in sorghum. J. Food Sci., 41: 1082-1085.
- Helmy, I.M.F. (1996). Technological studies on cottonseed protein. Ph.D in food scinces and technology, Faculty of Agriculture Al-Azhar University.
- Hori, J.O. and J.H. Conrad (1976). the nutritive value of protein in selected sorghum lines as measured by rat performance. Nutr. Rep. Int., 13: 307-314.
- Huffman, V.L.; C.K. Lee and E.E. Burns (1975). Selected Functional properties of Sunflower Mea! [Helianthus annus] J. Food Sci., 40: 70.
- Hulse, J.H.; E,M. Laing and O.E. Pearson (1980). Sorghum and the millets :Their composition and nutritive value. New york. Academic press.
- Hunter, R.S. (1975). Scales for measurements of color differences. In Measurement of Appearance, J. wiley Ed., P. 133. Interscience, New York.
- King, J.; C. Aguirre and S. De Pablo (1985). Functional properties of lupin protein isolates (lupins albuscymultopa) J. Food Sci., 50: 82.
- Kramer, A. and W.H. Kwee (1977). Functional and nutritional properties of tomato protein concentrate. J. Food Sci., 43: 207.
- Kwee, W.H.; V. D. Sidwell; R.C. Wiley and O.A. Hammerle(1969). Quality and Nutritive value of pasta made from rice, corn, soya and tapioca enriched with fish protein concentrate. Cereal Chem., 46: 78.
- Lawhon, J.T. and C.M. Cater (1971). Effect of processing method and pH of precipitation on the yields and functional properties of protein isolates from glandless cottenseed. J. Food Sci., 36: 372.
- Lawhon, J.T.; L.W. Rooney; C.M. Cater and K.F. Mattil (1972). Evaluation of a protein concentrate produced from glandless cottonseed flour by a wet. extraction process. J. Food Sci., 37: 778.
- Malleshi, N.G. and C.F. Klopfenstein (1998). Nutrient composition, amino acid and vitamin contents of malted sorghum, pearl millet, finger millet and their Nootlets. International J. of Food Sciences and Nutrition, 49: 415-422.
- Malleshi, N.G.; N.A. Hadimani; R. Chinnaswamy and C.F. Klopfenstein (1996). Physical and nutritional qualities of extruded weaning Foods containing sorghum, pearl millet, or finger millet blended with mung beans and non fat dried milk. Plant foods Human Nutrition, 49: 181-189.

- Manak, L.J.; J. T. Lawhon and E.W. Lusas (1980). Functioning potential of soy, cottonseed, and peanut protein isolates produced by industrial membrane systems. J. Food Sci., 45: 236.
- Martinez, W.H.; L. C. Beradi and L.A. Goldblatt (1970). Cottonseed protein products-Composition and functionality. J. Agric. Food Chem., 18: 961.
- McClave, J. T. and P.G. Benson (1991). Statistics for business and economics. Max well macmillian international editions. Dellen publishaing company. USA.
- Mitaru, B. N. and R. Blair (1984). Comparative effect of cooking and high moisture storage of sorghum in protein digestibility in rats. Nutr. Rep. Int., 30: 397-399.
- Mitaru, B.N.; R.D. Reichert and R. Blair (1985). Protein and amino acid digestibities for chickens of reconstitute and boiled sorghum grain varingin tannin contents. Poult. Sci., 50: 455-456.
- Molina, M.R.; I. Mayorga; P.A. Lachance and R. Bressani (1975). Production of high-Protein quality pasta products using a semolina-com-soy flour mixture. I. Influence of thermal processing of corn flour on pasta quality. Cereal Chem., 52:240-247.
- Molina, M.R.; I. Mayorga; P.A. lachance and R.C. Bressani (1975). Production of high-protein Quality pasta products using Asemolina-Corn-soy flour mixture. I-Influence of thermal processin of corn flour on pasta Quality. Cereal Chem., 52: 240-247.
- Moore, S.; D.H. Spachman and Stein (1958). Chromatography of amino acid on Sulphonated polystyrene resine. Anal. Chem., 30: 1185.
- Morad, M.M.; S.B. El-Magoli and S.A. Afifi (1980). Macaroni supplemented with lupin and defatted soybean flours. J. Food Sci., 45: 404.
- Neucere, N.J. and G. Sumrell (1979). Protein fractions from five varieties of Grain sorghum. Amino acid composition and solubility properties. J. Agric. Food Chem., 27(4): 809.
- Nielsen, M.A.; A.R. Sumner and I.I. Whalley (1980). Fortification of pasta with pea flour and Air-classifed pea protein concentrate. Cereal Chem., 57 (3): 203-206.
- Saldivar, S.O.S.; D.A. Knabel; L.W. Rooney; T.D. Tanksley and A.M. Sproule (1988). Natritional value of sorghum and maize Tortilles. Acedmic press limited, 83-94.
- Singh, V. and B. Singh (1991), functional properties of sorghum peanut composite flour. Cereal Chemistry, 68(5): 460-463.
- Siwawej, S. (1994) Sorghum macaroni. Food, 24 (2): 116-122.
- Sosulski, F.W.; E.S. Humbert; K. Bui and J.D. Jones (1976). Functional properties of rapeseed flour, concentrate and isolate. J. Food Sci., 41: 1348.
- Szczopa, L.E.; W. Obuchowski; K. Czaczyk; B.Pastuszewska and L. Buraczewska (1997). Effect of lupine flour on the quality and oligosaccharides of pasta and erisps. Nahrung, 41(4): 219-223.
- Victor, Y. Wu. (1978). Protein concentrate from Normal and High-lysine sorghums: preparation, composition, and properties. J. Agric. Food Chem., 26(2): 305-309.

Widner, K. and B.O. Egyum (1966). protein hydrolysis. Adescription of the method used at the department of animal physiology in Copeлhagen. Acta Agricultural Scandinavica, 16: 115.

استخدام البروتين المركز والمفصول للسورجم كمصدر عالى للبروتين في صناعة المكرونة الاسباجتي عدد على المكرونة الاسباجتي عبد العزيز ندير شحاتة محمد

قسم الصناعات الغذائية - المركز القومي للبحوث - دقى - القاهرة

تم استخدام بروتين السورجم المركز والمقصول بنسب اسمستبدال ٥، ١٥ و او وكذلك ٢٠ من دقيق القمح بغرض رفع القيمة الغذائية في صناعة المكرونة الاسباجتي. وتسمم دراسمة طرق الاستخلاص لكل من البروتين المركز والمقصول والتركبب الكيماوي والصفحات البظيفيسة وكلك تركيب الأحماض الامينة والأحماض الامينية المحددة Chemical score لكل المواد الخام المستخدمة. وأيضا تم تقدير التركيب الكيماوي وخواص جودة الطهي وصفات اللون وكذلك التقييم الحصي لمعينات الاسباجتي. وأوضحت المتنافج أن البروتين المركز المحضر بطريقة المساء وكذلك البروتين الموقوق البروتين وأيضا الافضل فسي البروتين وأيضا الافضل فسي كافة الصفات الوظيفية المختلفة بالمقارنة مع الطرق الأخرى.

ووجد أن محتوى البروتين لمعينات الاسباجتي يزداد بزيادة مستوى الاستبدال ولوحــظ أن كملا نوعي بروتين السورجم (المركز، المفصول) أدى إلى انخفاض الوزن والمحجم وزيــــادة الغقــد نتيجة للطبخ في عينات الاسباجتي بالمقارنة مع الكنترول.

وأظهرت النتائج حدوث انخفاض في قيم (lightness (L لمينات الاسباجتي المحتويسة على بروتين مركز على جميع مستويات الاستبدال كما لوحظ حدوث تغير كبير في اللون إلى اللون الداكن كنتيجة لمزيادة قيم وE في عينات الاسباجتي المحتوية على بروتين مفصول على جميع نسب الاستبدال.

وقد أمكن الحصول على عينات اسباجتي عالية البروتين ذات خصائص حسسية مقبولسة عند نسب استبدال ٥، ١٠ الله بالبروتين المركز اللون والطعم على الترتيب وكذلك علسى مسستوى استبدال ٥% بالبروتين المفصول للون والطعم بدون حدوث أي تغيرات معنويسة بالمقارنسة مسع الكنترول. وأظهرت النتائج أنه يمكن إنتاج مكرونة أسباجتي مقبولة الصفات الحسية باستبدال دقيق القمح حتى مستوى ١٠ الله بروتين سورجم مركز وكذلك ١٠ لا بروتين سورجم مفصول.