### Evaluation of Certain Botanical Extracts and Synthetic Insecticides against Whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) and Cotton Aphid, *Aphis gossypii* L. on Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) under Field Condition

Adnan A. E. Darwish\*, Awatef S. Mansy and Mohammed M. R. Attia

#### ABSTRACT

Screening extracts of wild plant species for insecticidal properties might lead to the discovery of new agents of pests management. The aim of this study was to evaluate insecticidal efficiency of five plant extracts in comparing with three synthetic insecticides against white fly, Bemisia tabaci and cotton aphid, Aphis gossypii infesting cucumber plants. The study was conducted at Nobaria district, Beheira Governorate, Egypt during two successive summer seasons of 2020 and 2021 under the open field conditions. The tested botanical extracts included aloe, Aloe barbadensis; oleander, Nerium oleander; ginger, Zingiber officinale; garlic, Allium sativum and chinaberry, Melia azedarach. The results showed that these extracts had potential effects in reducing the population density of B. tabaci and A. gossypii on cucumber plants. The descending order of plant extracts efficacy against B. tabaci nymphs treated with 10 % (w/v) was chinaberry followed by oleander, garlic, aloe and ginger. On the other hand, the efficiency of the tested plant extracts on the cotton aphids could be arranged according to their percentages of reduction in infestation as following: chinaberry, oleander, aloe, garlic and Ginger. According the provided data we can conclude that the tested aqueous extracts have an insecticidal effect against B. tabaci and A. gossypii and can be integrated into their management strategies. However, this inference needs thorough testing before generalization.

Key words: *Bemisia tabaci*, *Aphis gossypii*, cucumber, insecticides, plant extracts.

#### INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, to cope with the sustainable agriculture needs attention has been directed toward expansion in organic farming. Organic farming is the production extension system that completely or largely avoids the use of pesticides, synthetic fertilizers and growth regulators (Behera *et al.*, 2012). One of the plant defense mechanisms against insects and pathogens is the produce chemical substances and secondary metabolites. To decrease the harmful impacts of the chemical insecticides on the environment and human health, there is insistent need for new effective substrates in the programs of integrated pest

<sup>1</sup> Plant Protection Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Damanhour University, Egypt
\*corresponding author, adnandarwish2012@yahoo.com Received May 15, 2022, Accepted, June 23, 2022. management (IPM) (Rodríguez-González et al., 2019). Botanical extracts are less harmful to the environment, less toxic to humans and lower in cost than the use of insecticides (Dougoud et al., 2019). The botanical insecticides can reduce the viability of insect eggs, slowing the growth of insect and can cause insect mortality (Da Silva et al., 2017; Bedini et al., 2020; Tawfeek and Eldesouky, 2021). Recently, surveys of plant families have discovered different botanical insecticides that could be able to meet some of the desired demands (Lydon and Duke 1989; MacKinnon et al., 1997). Worldwide, cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) is one of the most important fresh consumed cucurbitaceous vegetables. In Egypt, cucumber is used to produce under open field conditions and recently is considered as one of the main greenhouse cultivated vegetables (Diab, 2016). The whitefly, Bemisia tabaci is a polyphagous insect pest with a wide host range (Oliveira et al., 2001; Bayhan et al., 2006; Stansly and Natwick, 2010). It causes economic losses in its hosts due to both direct damage (feeding through phloem and honeydew excretions) and indirect damage (transmit plant viruses) (Brown, 2010). The same is true for the cotton aphids, Aphis gossypii Glover which is considered as one of the most damaging insect pest because it has a broad host range, and transmits many important plant viruses (Ebert and Cartwright, 1997; Darwish and Eid, 2021). Keeping in view the above mention information, the present work aims to evaluate the efficacy of five botanical extracts in comparing with three insecticides on the population density of B. tabaci and A. gosypii on cucumber plants.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiment was carried out at a cucumber private farm (*var*. Beit alpha) at Nobaria district, Beheira Governorate, Egypt throughout two successive seasons 2020 and 2021. The sowing date was during the second week of March in the two successive seasons. During the period of this study no insecticides were applied and all the agriculture practices were performed as usual. The experiment laid out in Randomized

DOI: 10.21608/asejaiqjsae.2022.245691

Complete Block Design (RCBD) with five replications and 9 treatments including the control plots. The experiment contained of 5 blocks and 45 plots, each with area of  $16 \text{ m}^2$ . Small ridges (1 m) were established between blocks and plots as a barrier. The tested botanical extracts and the insecticides were evaluated and compared to the control.

The tested botanical plants included Aloe, Aloe barbadensis; Oleander, Nerium oleander; Ginger, Zingiber officinale; Garlic, Allium sativum and Zanzalacht (chinaberry), Melia azedarach

The tested insecticides included

- Acetamiprid (Mospilan) 20% SP provided by Nippon Soda Ltd, 25 mg L<sup>-1</sup>.
- Sulfoxaflor (Closer) 24%, SC provided by DowAgro Sciences Co., Ltd, 0.5mL<sup>-1</sup>
- Flonicamid (Teppeki 50%WG) was provided by ISK Biosciences, Belgium, 300 mg L<sup>-1</sup>

#### Preparation of M. azedarach extracts

Mature fruits of *M. azedarach* were collected, washed and cleaned with distilled water. The fruits were shade dried at room temperature (for 2 days). The fruits crushed into small pieces and ground using an electric grinder to a fine powder. The extract by soaking the obtained material in distilled water (at a rate of 100 g per 1liter water) for 24 hours prior to spraying. The soaked material was filtered using filter paper (Whatman No. 1) to get a solution with a concentration of 0.1 gm/ml (10 % w/v).

#### Preparation of Allium sativum aqueous extract

The protective layer of garlic cloves were peeled out, 50 gm of garlic were weighed and rinsed. The garlic cloves were crushed (using electric grinder) and completely blended with 500 ml of distilled water. The homogenate was filtered using filter paper (Whatman No. 1) to get a solution with a concentration of 0.1 gm/ml (10 % w/v).

#### Preparation of Zingiber officinale Extract

The rhizomes *Z. officinale* were washed, peeled and cut into small slices and pulverized with slowly addition of distilled water then boiled for 2 min. The extract was refined by a piece of cotton cloth, squeezed well.

#### Preparation of Nerium oleander L. Extract

Fresh leaves of *Nerium oleander* L. (oleander) were shade dried, ground to a fine powder and then 100 g powder was soaking in one liter of distilled water. To allow the auto influx of plant metabolites to solvent extraction, the extraction was carried out at 25 °C with constant stirring overnight (24 h) in dark (Moosavi, 2012). The homogenate was filtered using filter paper (Whatman No.1) to get the solution (10 % w/v).

#### Preparation of Aloe barbadensis Extract

To collect the 1 kg clear gel of the aloe, leaves washed with tap water and cut into small pieces by using sharp knife then blinded to collect aloe sap.

#### **Data collection**

The prepared extracts were sprayed once on April  $20^{\text{th}}$  in both seasons with 10 % (w/v) at the rate of 200 liter per feddan. A Knapsack sprayer,  $Cp^3$  was used for spraying. The control plots treated with water only.

The inspection was conducted by picking out randomly five cucumber leaves from each replicate and placed in paper bags then transferred to the laboratory for examination with the help of binocular microscope for counting the individuals of *B. tabaci* (nymphs) and *A. gossypii* (total population). Inspection was done pre, and 1, 3, 7 and 14 days post treatment.

The percentages of population reduction were calculated according the equation of Henderson and Tilton (1955) as following:

Ta x Cb

Tb x Ca

Where:

- Cb = mean No. of individuals in control plots before application
- Ta = mean No. of individuals in treatment plots after application
- Ca = mean No. of individuals in control plots after application
- Tb = mean No. of individuals in treatment plots before application

#### Statistical analysis

The obtained data were statistically analyzed in accordance with Snedecore and Cochran (1967), and the least significant differences (LSD) at 5 % level of significance were computed. The statistical analysis system (SAS) version 9.2 (SAS, 2013) computer program was used to examine the data.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Field evaluation of the plant extract against the whitefly, *B. tabaci*

As shown in Tables (1&2), the most obvious reduction percentages were calculated for chinaberry, (*M. azedarach*) extract with mean values of  $55.64\pm10.06$ ,  $61.72\pm7.72$ ,  $73.64\pm4.815$  and  $70.76\pm7.51$  after 1, 4, 7 and 14 days post treatment, respectively in the 1<sup>st</sup> season, 2020. In season 2021, the same trend was noticed with reduction percentages of  $50.44\pm8.04$ ,  $62.26\pm9.58$ ,  $76.07\pm5.31$  and  $67.44\pm6.98$  after 1, 4, 7 and 14 days post treatment, respectively with general means

of 65.44±10.23 and 64.05±11.82 for 2020 and 2021, respectively. In second place came the oleander extract (*N. oleander*) with reduction percentages of  $46.04 \pm 5.81$ , 58.46±9.13, 67.47±5.3 and 66.76±8.84 in 2020 and 44.21±10.02, 55.14±7.09, 67.71±6.91 and 63.88±4.24 in 2021 after 1, 4, 7 and 14 days post treatment with general means of 59.68±11.21 and 57.73±11.47 in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> season, respectively. Also, the plots treated with garlic extract (A. sativum) showed reduction in whitefly nymphs reached to 42.62±3.39, 51.3±5.13,  $62.28\pm4.82$  and  $51.16\pm9.7$  at the previous intervals, respectively in 2020, while in the season 2021 this extract recorded 42.18±4.51, 49.2±6.81, 60.28±4.88 and 57.8±11.87. Aloe, (A. barbadensis) comes in the second-to-last rank with reduction percentages of 41.57±1.47, 46.09±9.65, 53.56±9.36 and 51.72±6.82in 2020 season and 38.97±5.19, 47.83±11.15, 57.33±6.69 and 51.45±8.99 in 2021 season at the previous intervals. Ginger extract (Z. officinale) was the least effective extract on the whitefly nymphs as it was recorded 37.55±5.32, 41.73±6.79, 45.57±7.88 and 40.47±9.06 with a general mean of 41.33±7.4 in 2020 and 34.3±8.29, 40.25±8.44, 51.2±6.07 and 44±8.83 with

general mean of 42.44±9.65 at the previous intervals, respectively.

In our results, the descending order of plant extracts efficacy against B. tabaci treated with 10 % (w/v) was M. azedarach followed by N. oleander, A. sativum, A. barbadensis and then Z. officinale. Hammad et al. (2001) studied the effect of M. azedarach L. extracts against of *B. tabaci* adults. They found that the extracts of callus and different age classes of M. azedarach leaves and fruits have shown significant repellent activity of 58.9-67.7% and significantly decreased the whitefly oviposition rate. Jazzar and Hammad (2003) tested the efficacy of aqueous extracts of M. azedarach fruits and leaves against B. tabaci nymphs under laboratory conditions and found that the M. azedarach extract produced a significant greater mortality of B. tabaci than the untreated nymphs. Also the our results are in harmony with the results of Rathi and Al-Zubaidi (2011), who found that the crude phenolic extracts of N. oleander had higher effect against both first and third nymphal instars of B. tabaci mortality (cumulative mortality reached 100 % at concentration of 1% and 2 %) than second nymphal instar.

Table 1. The Reduction percentages of whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci*, after different treatments by plant extracts and synthetic insecticides in season of 2020.

Insecticides	Pre-	Days post treatment				General
	spray	I Day	4 Days	one weeks	Two weeks	means
Control	(89.6)	(108.2)	(106.4)	(115)	(133.2)	
Malia azadanaah	(01, 2)	(48)	(41.6)	(30.6)	(38.6)	
mena azeaarach	(91.2)	55.64±10.06°	61.72±7.72 <sup>c</sup>	73.64±4.815 <sup>bc</sup>	70.76±7.51°	65.44±10.23°
A 11·	(00, c)	(62)	(51.4)	(42.8)	(63.6)	
Allium salivum	(00.0)	$42.62{\pm}3.39^{\text{def}}$	51.3±5.13d <sup>e</sup>	$62.28 \pm 4.82^{d}$	$51.16\pm9.7^{d}$	51.84±9.16 <sup>e</sup>
Zingiber officinale	(97.2)	(66.2)	(60.2)	(60.2)	(76.4)	
	(87.2)	$37.55{\pm}5.32^{\text{ef}}$	$41.73 \pm 6.79^{f}$	$45.57{\pm}7.88^{\mathbf{f}}$	$40.47 {\pm} 9.06^{e}$	$41.33 \pm 7.4^{f}$
Nerium oleander	(86.8)	(57)	(42.4)	(36.4)	(42.2)	
		$46.04{\pm}5.81^{\text{de}}$	58.46±9.13 <sup>cd</sup>	$67.47 \pm 5.3^{cd}$	66.76±8.84°	$59.68 \pm 11.21^{d}$
Aloe barbadensis	(91.2)	(65)	(57.6)	(53.4)	(65.4)	
		$41.57 \pm 1.47^{def}$	$46.09{\pm}9.65^{\text{ef}}$	53.56±9.36 <sup>e</sup>	51.72±6.82 <sup>d</sup>	$48.24 \pm 8.48^{e}$
Acetamiprid	(92)	(31.6)	(25)	(23.4)	(26.6)	77.14±5.81 <sup>b</sup>
		71.33±5.47 <sup>b</sup>	77.13±2.91 <sup>b</sup>	79.55±6.61 <sup>b</sup>	$80.57{\pm}3.77^{\text{b}}$	
Flonicamid	(95)	(12.8)	(9.8)	(7.8)	(13)	01.05+2.018
		88.72±2.61 <sup>a</sup>	91.2±1.81 <sup>a</sup>	93.49±2.167ª	$90.79 \pm 3.32^{a}$	91.05±2.91
Sulforeflor	(97.2)	(12.4)	(7.2)	(5.8)	(8)	
Sulfoxatior		$89.58 {\pm} 3.06^{a}$	93.99±2.33ª	$95.32{\pm}1.76^{a}$	94.48±1.32ª	93.34±3.05ª
F values		81.549	49.370	45.905	40.025	123.673
L.S.D.		6.801	8.2197	7.5631	8.93075	4.90125

Means followed by the same letter(s) in the same column are not significantly different ( $p \ge 0.05$ ).

Insecticides	Pre- spray		General			
		I Day	4 Days	one weeks	Two weeks	means
Control	(75)	(86.4)	(88)	(98.4)	(102.6)	
Melia azedarach	(75.2)	(42.6)	(33)	(23.2)	(33)	64.05±11.82°
		$50.44 \pm 8.04^{c}$	62.26±9.58°	$76.07 \pm 5.31^{bc}$	67.44±6.98°	
Allium sativum	(72.8)	(48.8)	(43.2)	(37.8)	(41.4)	$52.37{\pm}10.15^{\mathrm{de}}$
		$42.18 \pm 4.51^{d}$	$49.2{\pm}6.81^{\text{de}}$	$60.28{\pm}4.88^{\text{cd}}$	$57.8 \pm 11.87^{d}$	
Zingiber officinale	(78.4)	(59.4)	(54.8)	(50)	(59.6)	$42.44 \pm 9.65^{f}$
		$34.3{\pm}8.29^{\text{de}}$	$40.25 \pm 8.44^{e}$	$51.2 \pm 6.07^{d}$	44±8.83 <sup>e</sup>	
Nerium oleander	(79)	(50.4)	(41.2)	(33.2)	(38.8)	57.73±11.47 <sup>d</sup>
		$44.21{\pm}10.02^{\text{cd}}$	$55.14{\pm}7.09^{\text{cd}}$	67.71±6.91°	$63.88{\pm}4.24^{\text{cd}}$	
Aloe	(76.6)	(54.4)	(46.4)	(42.6)	(50.2)	$48.89 {\pm} 10.24^{e}$
barbadensis		$38.97{\pm}5.19^{\mathrm{de}}$	$47.83 \pm 11.15^{de}$	$57.33 {\pm} 6.69^{d}$	$51.45 {\pm} 8.99^{de}$	
Acetamiprid	(76.6)	(21.6)	(17.2)	(6.4)	(7.2)	$80.33 \pm 5.44^{b}$
		75.56±3.46 <sup>b</sup>	80.72±3.45 <sup>b</sup>	81.6±7.49 <sup>b</sup>	83.45±4.22 <sup>b</sup>	
Flonicamid	(79.4)	(12.6)	(6.4)	(6.2)	(11.8)	90.63±4.68 <sup>a</sup>
		86.15±3.03ª	93.1±3.11ª	94.1±4.72 <sup>a</sup>	$89.16 \pm 3.54^{ab}$	
Sulfoxaflor	(78)	(8.4)	(7.2)	(3.2)	(2.8)	94.09±4.35ª
		90.52±2.6 <sup>a</sup>	92.01±3.92 <sup>a</sup>	$96.74 {\pm} 3.16^{a}$	$97.09 \pm 4.03^{a}$	
F values		66.199	41.096	42.438	34.477	96.228
L.S.D.		8.0058	9.3459	7.47935	9.25505	5.6002

Table 2. The Reduction percentage of whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci*, after different treatments by plant extracts and synthetic insecticides in season of 2021.

Means followed by the same letter(s) in the same column are not significantly different ( $p \ge 0.05$ ).

Siam and Othman (2020) evaluated four botanicals extracts for suppressing the mango scale insect, Aulacaspis tubercularis. They found that the combination of garlic and aloe was the most effective one in reducing the insect. Also, the present results showed that A. sativum was more effective in killing B. tabaci than A. barbadensis. Meles et al. (2012) determined the efficacy of some plants extracts including aloe and garlic against different insects (from different orders) and reported that aloe extract was more effective in repelling than to kill insects. However, the results also clearly show that the used plant extracts were more efficient in suppressing the cotton aphids than the whitefly; this might be attributed for the high ability to movement for aphids than whitefly nymphs. Also, Okonkwo and Ohaeri (2013) tested Zingiber officinale on brown plant hopper and found that this plant had insecticidal properties against treated insects.

# Field evaluation of the plant extract against cotton aphid, *A. gossypii*:

Results presented in Tables 3 and 4 show that the mean number of *A. gossypii* on cucumber leaves significantly decreased after treatment with all examined plant extracts and insecticides comparing with

check control. The highest reduction percentage of cotton aphids (best control level) was recorded for chinaberry, (M. azedarach) extract with 56.08±11.15, 62.58±6.44, 78.76±7.97 and 78.84±10.44 in 2020 season, and 58.38±8.43, 64.4±11.05, 80.37±9.71 and 77.03±8.51 in 2021 season after 1, 3, 7 and 14 days, respectively with a general mean of 69.06±13.29 and 70.05±12.68 in 2020 and 2021, respectively. The oleander extract (N. oleander) caused the following  $(51.57 \pm 7.42,$ reduction percentages 63±8.76. 77.89±6.04 and 64.56±11.57 in 2020 and 58.11±13.19, 69.89±7.23, 79.96±7.71 and 68.42±8.11 in 2021 after the previous intervals, respectively). Aloe extract come in the  $3^{rd}$  place with  $45.22\pm19.52$ ,  $55.88\pm6.9$ , 66.79±5.07 and 59.08±3.23 in 2020 and 50.83±12.71, 59.09±8.91, 65.45±4.84 and 64.59±10.33 in 2021. Garlic extract comes in the second-to-last rank with reduction percentages of 44.41±19.3, 46.72±5.5,  $57.47\pm10.4$  and  $53.48\pm8.2$  with a general mean of 50.52±12.26 in the 1st season, 2020 and 48.72±9,  $52.6\pm7.39$ ,  $63.62\pm7.48$  and  $58.21\pm5.44$  with a general mean of 55.79±8.95 in 2021 season. Ginger extract was found to be less effective one with mean values of 40.71±7.38, 43.24±6.67, 55.98±6.15 and 46.95±9.78 reduction percentages at 1, 4, 7 and days intervals with a

general mean of  $46.72\pm9.18$  in 2020 and  $43.62\pm9.08$ ,  $48.69\pm8.8$ ,  $59.39\pm6.09$  and  $49.16\pm7.98$  at 1, 4, 7 and 14 days post treatment, respectively. In the current results the *N. oleander* extract came in the 2<sup>nd</sup> place after *M. azedarach* against *A. gossypii* in agreement with the results of Heikal (2018) who evaluated the efficiency of eight plant extracts against *A. gossypii* under greenhouses conditions on Cucumber plants. The efficacy of the extracts can be arranged in the following descending order: Neemazal, Azadirachta, Eucalyptus, Nerium, Helianthus, Glycine, Citronella and Jojoba extracts.

Results presented in Table 1 and 2 indicate that the mean initial reduction percentages of *B. tabaci* population on cucumber plant (after 24 hr.), caused by Acetamiprid, Flonicamid and Sulfoxaflor were 71.33 $\pm$ 5.47, 88.72 $\pm$ 2.61 and 89.58 $\pm$ 3.06% for the 1<sup>st</sup> season, 2020, and 75.56 $\pm$ 3.46, 86.15 $\pm$ 3.03 and 90.52 $\pm$ 2.6 for the 2<sup>nd</sup> season, 2021, respectively. However, the mean reduction percentages of accumulation effect (general mean) were 77.14 $\pm$ 5.81, 91.05 $\pm$ 2.91 and 93.34 $\pm$ 3.05% in 2020 season and 80.33 $\pm$ 5.44, 90.63 $\pm$ 4.68 and 94.09 $\pm$ 4.35 in 2021 for Acetamiprid, Flonicamid and Sulfoxaflor, respectively.

Based on the obtained results it is obvious that the reduction percentages of whiteflies nymphs were higher in 2<sup>nd</sup> season than the 1<sup>st</sup> season. In similar results, Darwish et al. (2021) found that the insecticide Sulfoxaflor was the most effective insecticides against the whitefly in tomato field followed by Thiamethoxam, Pyriproxphene, Buprofezin Acetamiprid, and Dinotefuran. Jahel et al. (2017) also, found that the insecticide sulfoxaflor was the most effective insecticide followed by Azadirachtin and Beauveria bassiana. Concerning the effect of these insecticides against A. gossypii, there are a little differences, whereas the insecticides Flonicamid was the most effective insecticide with reduction percentages of 88.26±3.57, 95.92±4.32, 96.41±3.29 and 87.99±5.41 in 2020 and 88.48±3.15, 91.3±4.16, 94.61±4.39 and 93.37±6.36 at 1, 4, 7 and 14 days post treatment. The insecticide Sulfoxaflor came in the 2<sup>nd</sup> rank with a general mean of 90.98±5.44 and 90.88±4.94 followed by Acetamiprid with a general mean of 68.76±8.08 and 74.43±8.09 in 2020 and 2021 seasons, respectively. In the same frame, El-Sherbeni et al. (2018) found that Flonicamid insecticide was the most effective against the cotton aphid, A. gossypii in cotton fields.

Table 3. The Reduction percentage of cotton aphids, *Aphis gossypii*, after different treatments by plant extracts and synthetic insecticides in season of 2020.

Insecticides	Pre-	Days post treatment				General
	spray	I Day	4 Days	one weeks	Two weeks	means
Control	(18.6)	(16.4)	(15.6)	(14.8)	(20.6)	
Nerium oleander	(18.6)	(8)	(5.6)	(3.2)	(7)	
		$51.57 \pm 7.42b^{cd}$	$63 \pm 8.76^{bc}$	77.89±6.04 <sup>b</sup>	$65.79 \pm 5.44^{cd}$	64.56±11.57 <sup>b</sup>
Aloe barbadensis	(17.8)	(8.2)	(6.6)	(4.8)	(8)	
		45.22±19.52 <sup>cd</sup>	55.88±6.9°	66.79±5.07 <sup>cd</sup>	59.08±3.23 <sup>de</sup>	56.74±12.69 <sup>c</sup>
Allium sativum	(17.2)	(8)	(8)	(5.6)	(8.8)	
		$44.41 \pm 19.3^{cd}$	46.72±5.5 <sup>d</sup>	$57.47 {\pm} 10.4^{de}$	$53.48 \pm 8.2^{ef}$	50.52±12.26 <sup>cd</sup>
Melia azedarach	(18)	(6.8)	(5.8)	(3)	(4)	
		56.08±11.15 <sup>bc</sup>	$62.58 \pm 6.44^{bc}$	78.76±7.97 <sup>b</sup>	$78.84 \pm 10.44^{b}$	69.06±13.29 <sup>b</sup>
Zingiber officinale	(19.4)	(10.4)	(9.4)	(6.6)	(11.4)	
		40.71±7.38 <sup>d</sup>	$43.24 \pm 6.67^{d}$	55.98±6.15 <sup>e</sup>	$46.95{\pm}9.78^{\rm f}$	46.72±9.18 <sup>d</sup>
Acetamiprid	(20)	(6)	(5.4)	(4.4)	(6)	
		65.22±5.39 <sup>b</sup>	$67.25 {\pm} 6.49^{b}$	70.08±11.13 <sup>bc</sup>	$72.5 \pm 8.75^{bc}$	$68.76 \pm 8.08^{b}$
Sulfoxaflor	(20.4)	(2.2)	(0.6)	(0.6)	(2.6)	
		$87.02 \pm 4.26^{a}$	90.9±3.61ª	96.67±4.57 <sup>a</sup>	$89.35 \pm 5.03^{a}$	$90.98 \pm 5.44^{a}$
Flonicamid	(19.2)	(2.2)	(1.4)	(0.4)	(2.2)	
		88.26±3.57ª	95.92±4.32ª	96.41±3.29ª	87.99±5.41ª	92.14±5.67ª
F values		13.506	45.952	22.872	22.187	55.089
L.S.D.		14.7407	8.0759	9.41655	9.585	6.3747

Means followed by the same letter(s) in the same column are not significantly different ( $p \ge 0.05$ ).

Insecticides	Pre-	Days post treatment				General
	spray	I Day	4 Days	one weeks	Two weeks	means
Control	(29)	(30.6)	(33)	(36)	(42.8)	
Nerium oleander	(28)	(12)	(9.4)	(6.8)	(12.8)	
		58.11±13.19 <sup>bc</sup>	69.89±7.23 <sup>bc</sup>	79.96±7.71 <sup>b</sup>	$68.42 \pm 8.11^{cd}$	69.1±11.71 <sup>b</sup>
Aloe barbadensis	(25.8)	(13)	(11.8)	(11.4)	(13)	
	(25.8)	50.83±12.71 <sup>cd</sup>	59.09±8.91 <sup>de</sup>	65.45±4.84°	64.59±10.33 <sup>d</sup>	59.99±10.67°
Allium sativum	(26.2)	(14)	(14)	(11.6)	(16)	
	(26.2)	48.72±9 <sup>cd</sup>	$52.6 \pm 7.39^{ef}$	63.62±7.48°	$58.21 \pm 5.44^{de}$	55.79±8.95°
Melia azedarach	(25, 2)	(10.8)	(9.8)	(6)	(8.2)	
	(23.2)	58.38±8.43 <sup>b</sup>	$64.4{\pm}11.05^{cd}$	80.37±9.71 <sup>b</sup>	$77.03 \pm 8.51^{bc}$	$70.05 \pm 12.68^{b}$
Zingiber officinale	(27.4)	(16.2)	(16)	(13.8)	(20.6)	
	(27.4)	$43.62 \pm 9.08^{d}$	$48.69{\pm}8.8^{\rm f}$	59.39±6.09°	49.16±7.98 <sup>e</sup>	50.22±9.45°
Acetamiprid	(25.2)	(9.4)	(6.6)	(6.8)	(7.8)	
		64.27±5.94 <sup>b</sup>	76.54±6.44 <sup>b</sup>	77.43±6.93 <sup>b</sup>	79.48±2.89 <sup>b</sup>	$74.43 \pm 8.09^{b}$
Sulfoxaflor	(26.4)	(3.6)	(2.2)	(3)	(2.6)	
		$87.01 \pm 4.46^{a}$	92.33±4.95ª	90.81±5.47ª	93.37±3.77ª	90.88±4.94ª
<b>F</b> 1	(24.8)	(3)	(2.4)	(1.8)	(2.4)	
FIOIIICallilu		$88.48 \pm 3.15^{a}$	91.3±4.16 <sup>a</sup>	94.61±4.39 <sup>a</sup>	93.37±6.36 <sup>a</sup>	91.94±4.9 <sup>a</sup>
F values		17.971	23.129	17.748	25.168	53.599
L.S.D.		11.47905	9.8661	8.73205	9.1229	5.82515

Table 4. The Reduction percentage of cotton aphids, *Aphis gossypii*, after different treatments by five plant extracts and three synthetic insecticides in season of 2021.

Means followed by the same letter(s) in the same column are not significantly different ( $p \ge 0.05$ ).

#### CONCLUSION

Finally we can conclude that the botanical extracts of chinaberry, oleander, garlic, aloe and ginger had different potential effects to control the whitefly and cotton aphid on cucumber plants. These extracts easily prepared and the plants locally available and can be integrated into the integrated pest management strategies of these insect pests.

#### REFERENCES

- Bayhan, E., M.R. Ulusoy and J.K. Brown. 2006. Host range, distribution, and natural enemies of *Bemisia tabaci* 'B biotype' (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) in Turkey. J. Pest Sci. 79: 233–240.
- Bedini, S., S. Guarino, M.C. Echeverria, G. Flamini, R. Ascrizzi, A. Loni and B. Conti. 2020. Allium sativum, Rosmarinus officinalis, and Salvia officinalis Essential Oils: A Spiced Shield against Blowflies. Insects 11, 143.
- Behera, K.K., A. Alam, S. Vats, H.P. Sharma and V. Sharma. 2012. Organic farming history and techniques. In: Lichtfouse, E. (eds) Agroecology and Strategies for Climate Change. Sustain. Agric. Res. 8: 287-328. Springer, Dordrecht. doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-1905-7\_12.

- Brown, J.K. 2010. Phylogenetic biology of the *Bemisia tabaci* sibling species group. In: Stansly, P., Naranjo, S. (eds) Bemisia: Bionomics and Management of a Global Pest. 31-67.Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2460-2\_2
- Darwish, A.A.E. and K.S.A. Eid. 2021. Ecological and control studies of the cotton aphids, *Aphis gossypii* (Glover.) on eggplant, *Solanum* melongena L. J. Plant Prot. Pathol. 12: 527 -534.
- Darwish, A.A.E., M.M.R. Attia and A.M.H. Khozimy. 2021. Effect of Some Integrated Pest Management Elements on the Population Density of *Bemisia Tabaci* (Gennadius) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) on Tomato Plants (*Solanum Lycopersicum* L.). Alex. Sci . Exch. J. 42: 57-68. DOI: 10.21608/ ASEJAIQJSAE.2021.149092.
- Da Silva, E.M., R. Railda, P. Antonia, R.A. Karla, M. Escobar Falco and R. Matias. 2017. Insecticidal effect of the ethanol extract of *Baccharis dracunculifolia* (Asterales: Asteraceae). Rev. Biol. Trop. 65: 517–523.
- Diab, Y.A.A., M.A. Mousa and H.S. Abbas. 2016. Greenhouse- grown Cucumber as an Alternative to Field Production and its Economic Feasibility in Aswan Governorate, Egypt. Assiut J. Agric. Sci. 47:122-135.
- Dougoud, J., S. Toepfer, M. Bateman and W.H. Jenner. 2019. Efficacy of homemade botanical insecticides based on traditional knowledge. A review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 39: 1-22. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-019-0583-1.

- Ebert, T. A. and B. Cartwright .1997. Biology and ecology of Aphis gossypii Glover (Homoptera:Aphididae). Southwest. Entomol. 22: 116-153.
- El-Sherbeni, A.D., S.A. Hamed, E.S. El-Zahi and S. K. M. Korish. 2018. Efficacy of some novel and conventional insecticides against *Aphis gossypii* Glover and their side effects on non-targeted organisms and plant defense enzymes of cotton plants. J. Plant Prot. Pathol. 9: 343– 350.
- Hammad, E.A., H. Zournajian and S. Talhouk. 2001. Efficacy of extracts of *Melia azedarach* L. callus, leaves and fruits against adults of the sweetpotato whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Hom., Aleyrodidae). J. Appl. Entomol.125: 483-488.
- Heikal, G.A.M. 2018. Evaluation Efficiency of some Plant Extracts on Insect *Aphis gossypii* Glover which Infesting Cucumber Plants under Greenhouses Conditions. J. Plant Prot. Pathol. 9: 659 – 662.
- Henderson, C.F. and E.W. Tilton. 1955. Tests with acaricides against the brown wheat mite. J. Econ. Entomol. 48: 157-161.
- Jahel, M.K., M.H. Safaa, A.A. Hafez, T.R. Abd El-Zahar and K.Kh. Elgizawy. 2017. Comparative efficacy of different insecticides against whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) on Tomato Plants. Middle East J. Applied Sci. 7: 786-793.
- Jazzar, C. and E.A. Hammad. 2003. The efficacy of enhanced aqueous extracts of *Melia azedarach* leaves and fruits integrated with the *Camptotylus reuteri* releases against the sweetpotato whitefly nymphs. Bull. Insectology 56: 269-275.
- Lydon, J. and S.O. Duke. 1989. The potential of pesticides from plants. Herbs, spices, and medicinal plants: recent advances in botany, horticulture, and pharmacology (USA). 4: 1-41.
- MacKinnon, S., D. Chauret, M. Wang, R. Mata, R. Pereda-Miranda, A. Jiminez, C.B. Bernard, H.G. Krishnamurty, L.J. Poveda, P.E. Sanchez-Vindas, J.T. Arnason and T. Durst. 1997. Botanicals from the Piperaceae and Meliaceae of the American Neotropics: phytochemistry. Phytochemicals for Pest Control (ed. by P.A. Hedin, R.M. Hollingworth, E.P. Masler, J. Miyamoto and D.G. Thompson), 49-57. American Chemical Society, Washington.

- Meles, T., S.H. Prasad, B. Etana, K. Belay and T. Aregai. 2012. Insecticidal and repellent properties of selected medicinal plants collected from Sofoho, Axum, North East Africa. Int. J. Integr. Sci. Innov. Technol. 1:1–8. https://ijiit.webs.com/documents/120103-01-4.pdf
- Moosavi, M. R. 2012. Nematicidal effect of some herbal powders and their aqueous extracts against Meloidogyne javanica. Nematropica 42: 48–56.
- Okonkwo, C.O. and O.C. Ohaeri. 2013. Insecticidal potentials of some selected plants. J. chem. pharm. Res. 5: 370–376.
- Oliveira, M., T. Henneberry and P. Anderson. 2001. History, current status, and collaborative research projects for Bemisia tabaci. Crop Prot. 20: 709–723.
- Rathi, M.H. and F.S. Al- Zubaidi. 2011. Effect of crude phenolic extracts of *Nerium oleander* L. leaves on the biological performance of *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.)(Homoptera: Aleyrodida). Diyala J. Pure Sci. 7: 214-226.
- Rodríguez-González, Á., S. Álvarez-García, Ó. González-López, S. Da Silva and P.A. Casquero. 2019. Insecticidal Properties of Ocimum basilicum and Cymbopogon winterianus against Acanthoscelides obtectus, Insect Pest of the Common Bean (Phaseolus vulgaris, L.). Insects 10, 151. doi:10.3390/insects10050151
- SAS (Statistical Analysis Systems). 2013. The SAS System for Windows, Release 9.2. Statistical Analysis Systems Institute, Cary, NC.
- Siam, A. and E. Othman. 2020. Field evaluation of botanicals extracts for suppressing the mango scale insect, *Aulacaspis tubercularis* (Newstead) (Hemiptera: Diaspididae). Egypt J. Biol. Pest Control 30: 1-5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-020-00221-4
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran. 1967. Statistical methods, 6th ed., Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, Iowa, USA, 259.
- Stansly, P.A. and E.T. Natwick. 2010. Integrated systems for managing *Bemisia tabaci* in protected and open fi eld agriculture. p. 467–497. In: "*Bemisia*: Bionomics and Management of a Global Pest (P.A. Stansly, S.E. Naranjo, eds.). Springer, 540.
- Tawfeek, M.E. and S.E. Eldesouky. 2021. Influence of potassium silicate on the survival, development and reproduction of Aphis gossypii Glover (Hemiptera: Aphididae). Alex. Sci. Exch. J. 42: 951 – 960. http://dx.doi.org/10.21608/asejaiqjsae.2022.214669

#### الملخص العربى

## تقييم كفاءة بعض المستخلصات النباتية وبعض المبيدات الحشرية ضد ذبابة القطن البيضاء Bemisia tabaci ومن القطن Aphis gossypii علي نباتات الخيار تحت الظروف الحقلية

عدنان عبدالفتاح السيد درويش، عواطف سعد منسي، محمد مبروك رجب عطية

الهدف من هذه الدراسة هو تقييم النشاط الإبادي للمستخلص المائي لخمس نباتات وذلك بالمقارنة بالنشاط الإبادي بثلاث مبيدات حشرية ضد كلا من ذبابة القطن البيضاء Bemisia tabaci ومن القطن Aphis gossypii علي نباتات الخيار تحت الظروف الحقلية. تم اجراء هذه الدراسة نباتات الخيار تحت الظروف الحقلية. تم اجراء هذه الدراسة نباتات الخيار تحت الظروف الحقلية. تم اجراء هذه الدراسة نباتات الخيار تحت الظروف الحقلية. تم اجراء هذه الدراسة نباتات الخيار تحت الظروف الحقلية. تم اجراء هذه الدراسة نباتات الخيار تحت الظروف الحقلية. تم اجراء هذه الدراسة نباتات الخيار تحت الظروف الحقلية. تم اجراء هذه الدراسة نباتات الخيار تحت الظروف الحقلية. تم اجراء هذه الدراسة نباتات الخيار تحت الظروف الحقلية. تم اجراء هذه الدراسة نباتات الخيار تحت الظروف الحقلية. تم اجراء هذه الدراسة نباتات الخيار تحت الظروف الحقلية. تم اجراء هذه الدراسة نباتات الخيار تحت الظروف الحقلية. تم اجراء هذه الدراسة نباتات الخيار تحت الظروف الحقلية. تم اجراء هذه الدراسة نباتات الخيار تحت الظروف الحقلية. تم اجراء هذه الدراسة نباتات الخيار تحت الظروف الحقلية. تم اجراء هذه الدراسة نباتات الخيار تحت الظروف الحقلية. تم اجراء هذه الدراسة معلي الدوف الحقاية. تم اجراء هذه الدراسة معلي معلي التوفي العامين ٢٠٢٠ و ٢٠٢١. تشمل ما الدفلة معلي الموسم الصيفي التوفي التوفيرا الألوفيرا القطن الفي الموسم التوفيرة الذوف التوفي التوف

تشير النتائج الي أن المستخلصات المختبرة كانت لها كفاءة في خفض تعداد كلا من الذبابة البيضاء ومن القطن علي نباتات الخيار . الترتيب التنازلي للمستخلصات المختبرة حسب كفاءتها في خفض تعداد حوريات الذبابة البيضاء كان كالآتي الزنزلخت، الدفلة، الثوم، صبار الالوفيرا واخيرا الزنجبيل. متوسط النسبة المئوية للخفض التي حققتها

المبيدات المختبرة في الموسم الاول ٢٠٢٠ كانت ٢٧,١٤ و ٩٩,٠٥ و ٩٣,٣٤% لمبيدات Acetamiprid و Flonicamid و Sulfoxaflor و Sulfoxaflor وفي الموسم الثاني ٢٠٢١ حققت هذه المبيدات نسب مئوية للخفض وصلت الي ٢٠٢٣ و ٩٠,٦٣ و ٩٠,٦٣%، علي الترتيب. ومن ناحية أخري فإن كفاءة هذه المستخلصات في خفض حشرة من القطن يمكن ترتيبها كالآتي الزنزلخت، الدفلة، صبار الالوفيرا، الثوم واخيرا نبات الزنجبيل. مبيد Flonicamid كان الاكثر فاعلية في خفض تعداد حشرة من القطن عن كل من Sulfoxaflor ومبيد المستخلصات النباتية المختبرة لها تأثير إبادي ضد كل من المستخلصات النباتية المختبرة لها تأثير إبادي ضد كل من الحشرتين ومن الممكن ادراجها في برامج المكافحة المتكاملة لهما.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الذبابة البيضاء، من القطن، الخيار، المبيدات الحشريه، مستخلصات نباتية.