

# Effect of Spraying Nano-Chitosan, Basil Oil and Lemon Grass Extract on Yield and Fruit Quality of Barhy Date Palms Grown Under Minia Region Conditions

**Faissal F. Ahmed<sup>1</sup>\*, Mohamed A. M. Abada<sup>2</sup>, and Abd El-Baset Kh. Omar<sup>3</sup>** <sup>1</sup>Hort. Dept. Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt <sup>2</sup>Viticulture Res. Dept. Hort. Res. Instit. A.R.C. Giza, Egypt <sup>3</sup>Hort. Res. Instit. A.R.C. Giza, Egypt

## Abstract

This investigation was executed during 2016 and 2017 seasons to test the impact of spraying nano-chitosan and lemon grass extract and basil oil each at 0.5, 1 and 2% on yield, bunch weight and both physical and chemical characteristics of fruits in date palm cv Barhy. These materials were added via foliage three times before hand pollination, just after fruit setting and at one month later. Single and combined applications of nano-chitosan and lemon grass extract and basil oil each at 0.5 to 2% were very effective in improving yield, bunch weight and both physical and chemical characteristics of the fruits in date palm cv. Barhy relative to the control treatment. Combined applications of these substances were preferable in enhancing the investigated parameters than using each material alone. Using lemon grass extract at 0.5 to 2% was measurably superior than using the other two materials namely basil oil and nano-chitosan at the same concentrations. Using lemon grass extract, nano-chitosan and basil oil, in descending order was accompanied with activating all the studied characteristics. Increasing concentrations from each material from 1 to 2% failed to exhibit any promotion on these aspects. Carrying out three sprays of a mixture of lemon grass extract, Nano-chitosan and basil oil each at 1% gave the best results with regard to yield as well as fruit quality parameters of Barhy date palms grown under Minia region conditions.

**Key words:** Barhy date palm, nano-chitosan, basil oil, lemon grass extract, yield, bunch weight, fruit quality parameters

\*Corresponding author: hamdi20052005@yahoo.com

## Introduction

Date palm cv. Barhy as a prime cv. characterized by high sensitivity to unfavorable environmental conditions (biotic and abiotic stresses) consequently the yield and fruit quality were seriously affected. Therefore, it is necessary to find out the best friendly materials that are responsible for protecting our environment from pollution and at the same time alleviating the adverse effects of such stresses on the palm production (Simon, 1987 and Wrigley, 1995). The important recent substances used in this respect are chitosan and plant. One of the main disadvantages in fruit orchards is the occurrence of abiotic stresses which are responsible for preventing plant metabolism and blocking growth and fruiting. Chitosan as common polymer found in nature was materially used for counteracting the inferior effects of these stresses on productivity of fruit crops. It induces a high tolerance of fruit crop to all stresses (Hadwiger *et al.*, 2002; Xing *et al.*, 2015 and Malerbe and Cerana, 2016). Nowadays, several efforts were done for application of natural extracts as replacement for chemicals for enhancing production of different fruit crops. The reasons were the greater content of these plant extracts from fatty acids especially Omega 3 & 6 & 9, sulfide components, active ingredients like turemrone



methane, euvenol, theanine, caffeine, inalool and estrugal, nutrients, vitamin K, E, D and A, plant pigments, tannins, amino acids makes then very essential for protecting the plants against fungi and insects. They showed great potential system for reducing the risks of fungicides and insecticides. They are low in toxicity to mammals. These attributes make them wise and safe choice for the orchards of fruit crops. (Srivastava and Lal, 1997; Peng-Kong et al., 2004; Burt, 2004; Koroch et al., 2007; Akhila, 2010 and Dhekney, 2016). Lemongrass (Cymbopogn citratus) is an aromatic plant belonging to the gramineaeu family (Akhila, 2010). Essential oils are natural products obtained from plants. It contains mainly citral (Schaneberg and Khan, 2002) and 1 to 2% essential oil (Carison et al., 2001 and Mighani et al., 2010). The demand for lemongrass is for its high citral content (Tajidin et al., 2012). Ocimum basilicum L. (Sweet basil) belongs to the family lamiaceae. It is popular culinary herb and a source of essential oils, vitamins, Mg, K, Fe and Ca (Nacar and Tansi, 2012). Using chitosan has many important functions in stimulating yield and fruit quality in different fruit crops especially date palms and mango cvs. (Zagzog et al., 2007; Saied and Radwan, 2017; Ali et al., 2017; El-Sayed et al., 2018a and 2018b; Ayed, 2018). Previous studies carried out by Foleva et al., (2002); Al-Wasfy et al., (2013); Gouda-Fatma, (2016); Zagzog and Saied, (2017); Ahmed and Habasy-Randa, (2017); Ragab, (2018) and Akl et al., (2018) emphasized the great merits of using different plant extracts on yield and fruit quality of citrus, mangoes, dates and other horticultural crops. The main target of this study was elucidating the beneficial effects of using nano-chitosan and the two plants extracts namely lemon grass extracts and basil oil on yield as well as physical and chemical characteristics of Barhy date palm grown under Minia region conditions.

# Materials and methods

This study was conducted during 2016 and 2017 seasons on thirty-nine15 years-old Barhy date palms in a private date palm orchard situated at West Samalout, Minia Governorate. These palms were produced through conventional propagation by off shoots as well as characterized by regular bearing. Also, they are uniform in vigour, healthy, good physical conditions, free from insects, diseases and damages. They planted at 7x7 meters apart and irrigated with well water through surface irrigation system. The texture of the tested soil is sandy clay. Soil analysis was done according to Wilde *et al.*, (1985) and the obtained data are illustrated in Table (1).

Parameters	Values
Particle size distribution:	
Sandy %	66.1
Silt %	13.9
Clay %	20.0
Texture	Sandy clay
pH (1:5 extract)	7.11
EC (1:5 extract) mmhos/1cm/25 <sup>o</sup> C)	1.02
CaCO <sub>3</sub> %	3.99
Total N %	0.005
Available P (ppm)	3.2
Available K (ppm)	111.3
EDTA extractable micronutrients (ppm)	
Zn	1.5
Fe	1.4
Mn	1.7
Cu	0.5

**Table (1):** Physical and chemical analysis of the tested soil



All the selected palms (39 palms) received the common and usual horticultural practices that already applied in the orchard except those dealing with using chitosan combinations and plant extracts. Bunches /leaf were adjusted to 101.1 (according to Mohamed *et al.*, 2017). Hand pollination was carried out inserting five spaces into one female spathe after two days from spathe cracking.

#### This study included the following thirteen treatments:

- 1- Control (spraying with water/palms)
- 2- Spraying Basil oil at 0.5 % (5 g  $L^{-1}$ )
- 3- Spraying Basil oil at  $1.0 \% (10 \text{ g L}^{-1})$
- 4- Spraying Basil oil at 2.0 % ( $20 \text{ g L}^{-1}$ )
- 5- Spraying nano-chitosan at 0.5 %
- 6- Spraying nano-chitosan at 1.0 %
- 7- Spraying nano-chitosan at 2.0 %
- 8- Spraying lemongrass at 0.5 %
- 9- Spraying lemongrass at 1.0 %
- 10-Spraying lemongrass at 2.0 %
- 11-Spraying all at 0.5 % (5 g  $L^{-1}$ )
- 12-Spraying all at 1.0 % (10 g  $L^{-1}$ )
- 13- Spraying all at 2.0 % (20 g  $L^{-1}$ )

Each treatment was replicated three times, one palm per each. Chitosan concentrations (5, 10 and 20g L<sup>-1</sup>) was prepared by dissolving the assigned amounts HCL 0.05 N and the pH solution was adjusted to 5.6 by adding sodium hydroxide 1.0 N. to the final concentration then adjusted by using distilled water (Malerbe and Cerana, 2016). Nano-chitosan and basil oil were purchased from National Research Center Laboratory. Lemongrass extract was prepared by boiling 5, 10 or 20 g dried leaves/L<sup>-1</sup> water for twenty minutes then filtrating then these filtration was used to spray. Basil oil at the assigned amounts (5, 10 and 20 g L<sup>-1</sup>) was easily solubilized in Triton B wetting agent. All the investigated materials were sprayed three times 14 days before hand pollination (1<sup>st</sup> week of Feb.), just after fruit setting (mid-April) and 45 days later (last week of May). Date in Tables (2 & 3) show the chemical composition of lemongrass and basil.

 Table (2): Chemical composition of lemongrass plant (fresh leaf sheath and the blade) extract 7.5 months after planting

Components	0/0
B- Myrcene	3.18
3- Undecyne	1.46
Neral	31.05
Geranial	43.95
Geranyl acetate	1.06
Cadinene	0.95
Carodionl	1.30
Juniper camphor	1.56
Nerol	3.14

Source: Carlson et al., (2001) and Tajidin et al., (2012)



Components	%
Cineol 1 & 8	2.1
Terpinolene	7.7
α-Terpinol	1.0
α-Terpineol	1.0
Methyl chavicol	38.3
Eugenol	4.5
Methyleugenol	39.3
Cubenol	1.9
Camphor	0.6
Chavicol	0.6
Borneol	0.5
Carbohydrates	2.65
Total fiber	1.6
Total proteins	3.15
Total fats	0.64
Vitamin A	264 mg
Vitamin B <sub>1</sub>	0.034 mg
Vitamin B <sub>2</sub>	0.076 mg
Vitamin B <sub>3</sub>	0.902 mg
Vitamin B <sub>5</sub>	0.209 mg
Vitamin B <sub>9</sub>	68 mg
Vitamin C	18 mg
Vitamin E	0.8 mg
Vitamin K	0.8 mg
Calcium	177 mg
Fe	3.17 mg
Mg	64 mg
Mn	1.15 mg
Р	56 mg
К	295

#### **Table (3):** Chemical composition of (main components) basil oil (Nacar and Tansi, 2012)

#### Bunch weight and yield/palm

At ripening, bunch weight was recorded 100 fruits and from each bunch were randomly selected to determine fruit weight (g.); flesh %; T.S.S.%; total reducing and non-reducing sugars (Lane and Eynon, 1965 and A.O.A.C., 2000), total acidity % (as g malic acid/100 g pulp), total fibre % (A.O.A.C., 2000) and total soluble tannins % (Balbaa, 1981). This experiment of variance was set up in a randomized complete block design (RCBD). The analysis various (ANOVA) was used according to Mead *et al.*, (1993). Treatment means of the thirteen treatments were compared using new L.S.D test at 5 % level.

## **Results and discussion**

#### 1- Bunch weight

As shown in Table (4) single and combined applications of basil oil, nano-chitosan and lemon grass extract each at 0.5 to 2% significantly was responsible for increasing bunch weight relative to the control treatment. The promotion on bunch weight was significantly in proportional to the increase in concentrations of basil oil, nano-chitosan and lemongrass extract from 0.05 to 1%. Increasing concentrations of these plant extracts and nano-chitosan from 1 to 2% failed to show significant promotion on bunch weight. Treating the palms with



basil oil, nano-chitosan and lemongrass extract at 0.5 to 2%, in ascending order was very effective in enhancing bunch weight. Combined applications were significantly favorable than using each alone in enhancing bunch weight. The highest values of bunch weight (17.5 & 18.2kg) were recorded on the palms fertilized with all materials together at 1% during both seasons, respectively from the economical point of view. The untreated palms produced the lowest values (11.5 & 11.6 kg) during both seasons, respectively. These results were true during both seasons.

## 2- Yield/palm

As shown in Table (4) yield/palm was significantly improved in response to treating the palms three times with basil oil, nano-chitosan and lemongrass each at 0.5 to 2% relative to the control. The promotion on the yield was significantly associated with increasing concentrations of these materials from 0.5 to 2%. Increasing concentrations from 1 to 2% from any material failed to cause significant stimulation on the yield. From economical point of view, the best results were recorded on the palms that received three sprays of a mixture of basil oil, nano-chitosan and lemongrass extract at 1%. Under such promised treatment the yield per palm reached 176 and 183kg compared to the yield of the control that reached (115 & 116 kg) during both seasons, respectively. These results were true during both seasons.

#### **3-Fruit characteristics**

It is evident from the data in Tables (4 to 6) that single and combined applications of basil oil, nano-chitosan and lemon grass each at 0.5 to 2 % were significantly very effective in improving fruit quality in terms of increasing fruit weight, fruit flesh %, T.S.S. %, total and reducing sugars and reducing total acidity %, total fibre % and total soluble tannins relative to the control. The promotion was significantly associated with increasing concentrations of each material from 0.5 to 2 % had meaningless promotion on the fruit characteristics. The best materials in improving fruit quality were basil oil, nano-chitosan and lemon grass extract each at 0.5 to 2 %, in ascending order. Significant differences on fruit characteristics were observed among the three materials from economical point of view. The best results with regard to fruit quality characteristics. These results were true during both seasons.

## Discussion

The previous outstanding effects of nano-chitosan on yield and fruit quality of Barhy date palms are mainly attributed to its positive effects on enhancing the tolerance of plants to biotic and abiotic stresses which resulted in enhancing growth and palm nutritional status (Hadwiger *et al.*, 2002; Xing *et al.*, 2015 and Malerbe and Cerana, 2016). The results of Zagzog *et al.*, (2007); Saied and Radwan, (2017); Ali *et al.*, (2017); El-Sayed *et al.*, (2018a and 2018b) and Ayed, (2018) emphasized the present results. The outstanding effects of basil oil and lemon grass on fruiting of Barhy date palms might attributed to the greater content of plant extracts from fatty acids active ingredients vitamins, nutrients and antioxidants Srivastava and Lal, (1997); Peng-Kong *et al.*, (2004); Koroch *et al.*, (2007); Akhila, (2010) and Dhekney, (2016). The promoting effect of plant extracts on yield and fruit quality in our results are in concordance with those obtained by Foleva *et al.*, (2002); Al-Wasfy *et al.*, (2013); Gouda-Fatma, (2016); Zagzog and Saied, (2017); Ahmed and Habasy-Randa, (2017); Ragab, (2018) and Akl *et al.*, (2018)



# Conclusion

Carrying out three sprays of a mixture of lemon grass extract, nano-chitosan and basil oil each at 1% gave the best results was regard to yield as well as fruit quality parameters of Barhy date palms grown under Minia region condition

 Table (4): Effect of spraying lemongrass extract, nan-chitosan and basil oil alone or in combinations on bunch weight, yield/palm, fruit weight and fruit flesh % of Barhy date palms during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Treatments	Bunch weight (kg)		Yield/palm (kg)		Fruit weight (g)		Fruit flesh %	
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
Control (spraying with water)	11.5	11.6	115	116	16.9	17.0	88.0	88.0
Spraying Basil oil at 0.5 % (5 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	12.1	12.1	121	121	17.3	17.3	88.4	88.5
Spraying Basil oil at 1.0 % (10 g $L^{-1}$ )	13.0	12.9	130	129	17.9	18.0	90.1	90.2
Spraying Basil oil at 2.0 % (20 g L-1)	13.1	13.1	131	131	18.0	18.0	90.2	90.3
Spraying nano-chitosan at 0.5 % (5 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	13.6	13.5	136	135	18.0	18.4	90.6	90.7
Spraying nano-chitosan at 1.0 % (10 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	14.2	14.1	142	141	18.4	18.9	91.0	91.3
Spraying nano-chitosan at 2.0 % (20 g L-1)	14.3	14.2	143	142	18.5	19.0	91.2	91.3
Spraying lemongrass at 0.5 % (5 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	15.0	15.1	150	151	18.9	19.5	91.7	91.8
Spraying lemongrass at 1.0 % (10 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	15.6	16.0	156	160	19.4	20.1	92.1	92.3
Spraying lemongrass at 2.0 % (20 g L-1)	15.7	16.1	157	161	19.5	20.2	92.1	92.4
Spraying all at 0.5 % (5 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	16.9	17.4	169	174	22.0	21.0	92.4	92.0
Spraying all at 1.0 % (10 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	17.5	18.2	175	182	23.0	21.2	92.8	93.4
Spraying all at 2.0 % (20 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	17.6	18.3	176	183	23.3	21.3	93.0	93.5
New L.S.D. at 5 %	0.6	0.7	4.5	4.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4



.

.

Table (5): Effect of spraying lemongrass extract, nan-chitosan and basil oil alone or in combinations on flesh/seed, T.S.S. %, total and reducing sugars in the fruits of Barhy date palms during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Treatments	Flesh/seed		T.S.S. %		Total sugars %		Reducing sugars %	
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
Control (spraying with water)	9.9	9.8	28.0	28.1	22.9	23.0	17.1	17.1
Spraying Basil oil at 0.5 % (5 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	10.3	10.2	28.5	28.6	23.2	23.5	17.5	17.5
Spraying Basil oil at 1.0 % (10 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	10.7	10.6	29.0	29.0	23.7	24.0	18.0	18.0
Spraying Basil oil at 2.0 % (20 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	10.8	10.7	29.1	29.1	23.8	24.1	18.1	18.1
Spraying nano-chitosan at 0.5% (5gL <sup>-1)</sup>	11.2	11.1	29.7	29.6	24.2	24.6	18.5	18.6
Spraying nano-chitosan at 1.0 % (10g L <sup>-1</sup> )	11.5	11.6	30.2	30.1	24.7	25.1	19.0	19.1
Spraying nano-chitosan at 2.0% (20 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	11.6	11.7	30.3	30.2	24.8	25.2	19.1	19.2
Spraying lemongrass at 0.5 % (5 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	12.0	12.1	31.0	31.0	25.3	26.0	19.5	19.6
Spraying lemongrass at 1.0 % (10 g <sup>L-1)</sup>	12.3	12.5	31.4	31.5	25.9	26.4	20.0	20.0
Spraying lemongrass at 2.0 % (20 g L <sup>-1)</sup>	12.3	12.6	31.5	31.6	26.0	26.5	20.1	20.1
Spraying all at 0.5 % (5 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	12.6	13.0	32.0	32.2	26.5	27.0	20.6	20.8
<b>Spraying all at 1.0 % (10 g L<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	12.8	13.4	32.5	32.6	27.4	27.5	21.0	21.3
<b>Spraying all at 2.0 % (20 g L<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	12.9	13.5	32.6	32.7	27.5	27.6	21.1	21.4
New L.S.D. at 5 %	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3

**Table (6):** Effect of spraying lemongrass extract, nan-chitosan and basil oil alone or in combinations on the percentages of non-reducing sugars, total acidity, total crude fibre and total soluble tannins of Barhy date palms during 2016 and 2017 seasons.

Treatments	Non-reducing sugars %		Total acidity %		Total crude fibre %		Total soluble tannins %	
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
Control (spraying with water)	5.8	5.9	0.211	0.215	0.96	0.90	0.18	0.19
Spraying Basil oil at 0.5 % (5g L <sup>-1</sup> )	5.7	6.0	0.200	0.203	0.91	0.86	0.16	0.17
Spraying Basil oil at 1.0 % (10g L <sup>-1</sup> )	5.7	6.0	0.190	0.192	0.86	0.81	0.14	0.15
Spraying Basil oil at 2.0 % (20g L-1)	5.7	6.0	0.188	0.190	0.85	0.80	0.14	0.14
Spraying nano-chitosan at 0.5 % (5g L <sup>-1</sup> )	5.7	6.0	0.171	0.175	0.79	0.75	0.11	0.12
Spraying nano-chitosan at 1.0 % (10g L <sup>-1</sup> )	5.7	6.0	0.160	0.160	0.74	0.69	0.09	0.10
Spraying nano-chitosan at 2.0 % (20g L-1)	5.7	6.0	0.158	0.159	0.73	0.67	0.08	0.09
Spraying lemongrass at 0.5 % (5g L <sup>-1</sup> )	5.8	6.4	0.144	0.140	0.66	0.63	0.07	0.07
Spraying lemongrass at 1.0 % (10g L <sup>-1</sup> )	5.9	6.4	0.130	0.130	0.60	0.56	0.05	0.05
Spraying lemongrass at 2.0 % (20g L-1)	5.9	6.4	0.129	0.128	0.59	0.55	0.05	0.04
Spraying all at 0.5 % (5 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	5.9	6.2	0.118	0.115	0.50	0.41	0.05	0.05
Spraying all at 1.0 % (10 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	6.4	6.2	0.106	0.106	0.31	0.31	0.03	0.02
Spraying all at 2.0 % (20 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	6.4	6.2	0.105	0.105	0.30	0.30	0.03	0.01
New L.S.D. at 5 %	NS	NS	0.011	0.009	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.02



## References

- Ahmed, F.F. and E.Y. Habasy-Randa, 2017. Response of Balady mandarin trees to spraying some plant extracts. J. Biol. Chem. Environ. Sci. 12(1): 523-544.
- Akhila, A. 2010. Essential Oil-Bearing Grasses: The genus *Cymbopogon* (Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Industrial Profiles). Taylor and Francies Group, L.L.C.
- Akl, A.M.A.; A.Y.M. Ahmed, and A.A.F. Oraby, 2018. Yield and fruit quality of Ewaise mango trees grown under Upper Egypt conditions as affected by applications of nutrients, plant extracts, selenium and silicon. Researcher 10 (4): 11-20
- Al- Wasfy, M.M.; F.F. Ahmed and A.M. El-Masry, 2013. Behaviour of Washington Navel orange Trees to foliar application of some plant extracts. Hort. Science Journal of Suez Canal Univ. Vol. 1: 281-285.
- Ali, A.H.; M.K. Uwakiem, and O.M.M. Khafagy, 2017. The beneficial effects of using chitosan and glutathione on the fruiting of Red Roomy grapevines. New York Sci. J. 10 (12): 110-119.
- Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (A.O.A.C.) 2000. Official Methods of Analysis (A.O.A.C), 12th Ed., Benjamin Franklin Station, Washington D.C., U.S.A.pp.490-510.
- Ayed, S.H.A. 2018. Effect of different sources, concentrations and frequencies of silicon besides chitosan application on fruiting of Zebda mango trees. Ph.D.Thesis Fac.og Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
- Balbaa, S. I. 1981. Chemistry of Drugs. Laboratory Manual. Cairo Univ. Chapter 6: 127-132.
- **Burt, S. 2004.** Essential oils: their antibacterial properties potential applications in foods a review Int. J. Food Microbial, 94: 223-252.
- Carison L.H.C.; R.A.F. Machado; C.B. Spricigo; L.K. Pereira; A. Bolzan, 2001. Extraction of lemongrass essential oil with dense carbon dioxide. J. Supercritical Fluids. 21: 33-39
- Dhekney, S.A. 2016. Encyclopedia of food and health. Academic Press, Oxfor.pp.261-265.
- El-sayed, M.A.; A.A. Gobara; A.S. Abdalla, and S.H.A. Ayed, 2018a. Effect of silicon and chitosan on growth and nutritional status of Zebda mango trees growth under Minia region conditions. Stem cell (9): 1-16.
- El-sayed, M.A.; A.A. Gobara; A.S. Abdalla and S.H.A. Ayed, 2018b. Effect of silicon and chitosan on fruit setting, yield and fruit quality of Zebda mango trees growth under Minia region conditions. Researcher (10): 1-18.
- **Foleva, T.S.; J.P.H. Van Leek; A. Linssen, de groot, L.N. Cvstatievs. 2002.** Screening of plant extracts for antioxidants activity: a comparative screening of plant extracts for antioxidant activity a comparative study on three testing methods. Phytochem Anal. 13: 8-17.

- **Gouda-Fatma, E.M. 2016.** Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and lemongrass of spraying on fruiting of Ruby seedless grapevines. Assuit J. Agric. Sci. 47 (6-1): 173-180.
- Hadwiger, L. A; S. J. Klosterman and J. J. Choi, 2002. The mode of action of chitosan and its oligomers in inducing plant promoters and developing disease resistance in plants. *Advances in chitin science*, *5*, 452-457.
- Koroch, A.R.; H.R. Julian, and J.A. Zygadlo, 2007. Bioactivity of Essential Oils and Their Components. In: Bener, R.G. (Ed) Flavores and fragrances Spring-verlage, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 87-115.
- Lane, J. H. and L. Eynon, 1965. Determination of reducing sugars by means of Fehlings solution with methylene blue as indicator A.O.AC. Washington D.C.U.S.A. pp.490-510.
- Malerbe, M. and R. Cerana, 2016. Chitosan effects on plant systems. Inter. J. Mol. Sci. 17(7): 996.
- Mead, R.; R. N. Currnow, and A. M. Harted, 1993. Statistical Methods in Agricultural and Experimental Biology. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Chapman and Hall, London pp. 10- 44.
- Mighani, M.E; J. Parveen; Y. Liyana 2010. Comparison studies chemical composition analysis from different parts of lemongrass (*Cymbopogon citratus*) essential oil. In: Proceeding of the 9<sup>th</sup> International annual symposium on sustainability sience and management. pp. 232-237.
- Mohamed, M.A.; M.A. Ragab, and A.A. Gobara, 2017. Evergreen fruit orchards. Dar El-Kotube. Airo ISBN 977-397-00-0 pp 1-274.
- Nacar, S. and S. Tansi, 2012. Chemical components of different basil (*Ocimum basilicum*.
   L) cultivars grown in Mediterranean region in Turkey. Israel J. of Plant science. 48(2): 109-112.
- **Peng-Kong; H.M. Wong-Salmah Yosef; Y.B. Ghazali, and Che man. 2002.** Physicochemical characteristics of Rosella (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L). Nutrition and Food Sci. 32(2): 68-73.
- **Ragab, W.M.A.B. 2018.** Productive capacity of Valencia orange trees as affected by spraying some crop seed sprout extracts. M.Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ.
- Saied, H.H.M. and E.M.A. Radwan, 2017. Insight into the effect of chitosan on growth and fruiting of Succary mango trees. J. Product & Dev. 22(3): 781-793.
- Schaneberg, B.T.; I.A. Khan, 2002. Comparison of extraction methods for marker compounds in the essential oil of lemongrass by GC. J. Agric. Food Chem. 50: 1345-1349.
- Simon, H. 1987: The date palm, breed of the desert. Dodd, Mead & Company New York. U.S.A. 158 p.
- Strivastva, A.K. and B.Lal, 1997. Studies on biofungicidal properties of leaf extract of some plants. Indian phytopah. 50(3): 408-411.



- Tajidin, N. E.; S.H. Ahmed; A.B. Rosenant; H. Azimah, and M. Munirah, 2012. Chemical comparison and citeral content in lemongrass (*Cymbopogon citratus*) essential oil at three maturity stages. African J. of Biotechnology. 11 (11): 2685 – 2693.
- Wilde, S. A.; R. B. Corey; J. G. Layer and G. K. Voigt, 1985. Soils and Plant Analysis for Tree Culture. 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed, Oxford and (BH publishing Co., New Delhi. India, pp. 529 -546.
- Wrigley, G. 1995. Date palm (*Phoenix dactlifera* L.) (palmae) pp. 39-403. In J. Smartt and N.W. Simmends, Evaluation of crop plants. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Longman, U.K.
- Xing, K; X. Zhu; X. Peng and S. Qin, 2015. Chitosan antimicrobial and eliciting properties for pest control in agriculture: a review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 35(2), 569-588.
- Zagzog. O.A. and H.M.M. Saied, 2017. Insight onto the effect of fourteen plant extracts on fruiting of Sakkoti date palms. Current Sci. Inter. 06(03): 552-559.
- Zagzog. O.A.; M.M. Gad and K. Hafez-Naglaa, 2017. Effect of nano-chitosan on vegetative growth, fruiting and resistance of malformation of mango. Trends in Hort. Res. 7: 100-120.



تاثير رش النانو شيتوسان، زيت الريحان ومستخلص حشيشة الليمون علي كمية المحصول وخصائص الجودة في نخيل البلح البارحي النامي تحت ظروف منطقة المنيا

> فيصل فاضل أحمد حسن ، محمد علي مجاور عباده ، عبد الباسط خيامى عمر <sup>7</sup> فسم البساتين –كلية زراعة –جامعة المنيا- مصر قسم بحوث العنب – معهد بحوث البساتين – مركز البحوث الزراعية- الجيزة- مصر معهد بحوث البساتين – مركز البحوث الزراعية- الجيزة- مصر

## الملخص العربي

أجريت هذه الدراسة خلال موسمى ٢٠١٦، ٢٠١٦ وذلك لاختبار تأثير رش النانو شيتوسان، زيت الريحان ومستخلص حشيشة الليمون بتركيز من ٥.٠، ٢، ٢ % لكل منهما علي كمية المحصول ووزن السوباطة وكلا من الخصائص الطبيعية والكيميائية للثمار في نخيل البلح صنف البرحى. وقد تم اضافة هذه المواد من خلال الأوراق ثلاث مرات قبل التلقيح اليدوى بأسبوعين وبعد مرحلة عقد الثمار مباشرة وبعدها بشهر بـ ٤٥ يوم. أشارت نتائج الدراسة الى ان المستخدام الفردى والمشترك لمستخلص حشيشة الليمون والنانو شيتوسان وزيت الريحان بتركيز من ١ الى ٢ % لكل الاستخدام الفردى والمشترك لمستخلص حشيشة الليمون والنانو شيتوسان وزيت الريحان بتركيز من ١ الى ٢ % لكل الاستخدام الفردى والمشترك لمستخلص حشيشة الليمون والنانو شيتوسان وزيت الريحان بتركيز من ١ الى ٢ % لكل منهما كان فعالا جدا في تحسين كمية المحصول ووزن السوباطة والخصائص الطبيعية والكيميائية للثمار وذلك منهما كان فعالا جدا في تحسين كمية المحصول ووزن السوباطة والخصائص الطبيعية والكيميائية للثمار وذلك منهما كان فعالا جدا في تحسين كمية المحصول ووزن السوباطة والخصائص الطبيعية والكيميائية للثمار وذلك منهما كان فعالا جدا في تحسين كمية المحصول ووزن السوباطة والخصائص الطبيعية والكيميائية للثمار وذلك منهما كان فعالا جدا في تحسين كمية المحصول ووزن السوباطة والخصائص الطبيعية والكيميائية للثمار وذلك الملقارنة بمعاملة الكونترول. وكان الاستخدام المشترك لهذه المواد افضل في تحسين الصفات تحت الدراسة مقارنة المقان الفردى ولقد تفوق استخدام مستخلص حشيشة الليمون عن استخدام زيت الريحان والنانو شيتوسان. ان استخدام مستخلص حشيشة الليمون وزيت الريحان مرتبة ترتيبا تنازليا كان مصحوبا بتحسين جميع الصفات المخيرة، وكان التحسن طفيفا في هذه الصفات عند رفع التركيز المستخدم لجميع هذه المواد من ١ الى استخدام مستخلص حشيشة الليمون وزيت الريحان مرتبة ترتيبا تنازليا كان مصحوبا بتحسين جميع الصفات المخيرة، وكان التحسن طفيفا في هذه الصفات عند رفع التركيز المستخدم لجميع هذه المواد ما الى المرحى المحمول علي المرحي مرعية اليرحى الميرعي م جميع الصفات المختيرة، وكان التحسن طفيفا في هذه الصفات عند رفع التركيز المستخدم لجميع هذه المواد ما ١ الى استخدام مستخلص حشيشة الليمون والنانو شيتومان وزيت الربحى مرعيري مارمى ما النائومي والناني ركين الحصول على مارعي مركيز المالمحي م

الكلمات الدالة: نخيل البلح البرحى، زيت الريحان، مستخلص حشيشة الليمون، النانو شيتوسان، وزن السوباطة، كمية المحصول، خصائص الجودة للثمار.