# Bio Controlling of Yoghurt Using Bifidobacterium Bifidum and Lactis

# Saad A. H.<sup>1</sup>, Salama E. M.<sup>2</sup>, Shalaby, A. M<sup>3</sup>, Asmaa, A. Mohamed<sup>3</sup> and Alaa Abd Al-Gwad<sup>1</sup>

- 1 Department of Food Hygiene and Control, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Suez Canal University, Egypt.
- 2 Department of Food Hygiene and Control, Dean of Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Al-Arish University, Egypt.
- 3 Department of Food Hygiene, Animal Health Research Institute, Port Said Branch, Egypt.

#### **Abstract**

The antimicrobial activity of Bifidobacterium bifidum and lactis against some pathogenic bacteria such as Staphylococcus aureus, E. coli and Salmonella typhimurium was investigated during the manufacture and preservation of four types of yoghurt; Traditional yoghurt (TY) (Starter culture), Bifidobifidium yoghurt (BY) (Starter culture + Bifidobacterium bifidum), Bifidolactis voghurt (LY) (Starter culture + Bifidobacterium lactis) and Mixed yoghurt (MY) (Starter culture + Bifidobacterium bifidum+ lactis). Yoghurt samples were inoculated with 10<sup>4</sup> cfu/g Staphylococcus aureus, E. coli and Salmonella typhimurium and preserved for 14 days at 4±1°C. The inoculated bacterial count and the titratable acidity were determined in the prepared yoghurt samples at zero, 3<sup>rd</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> day of storage. The obtained results revealed elevation in the titratable acidity and noticeable inhibition in the count of the inoculated bacteria during the storage period of prepared voghurt samples at 4+1°C.

**Keywords:** Biocontrol, bio preservation, probiotics, Bifidobacterium spp., Staphylococcus aureus, E. coli, Salmonella typhimurium, yoghurt.

# Introduction

A variety of fermented milk products are made around the world, among which yoghurt is the most popular fermented dairy product especially in Egypt (El Kholy et al., 2014). Yoghurt is defined as a coagulated dairy product that results from the fermentation of milk lactose to

lactic acid by the action of lactic acid starter culture of both Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus bulgaricus (Oskar et al., 2004). Although yoghurt is usually considered to be safe, due to its acidic nature, but it may be involved in food borne illness due to process failure and contaminated materials. raw Some 112 Saad A. H. et al.

microorganisms like Staphylococcus aureus, E. coli and Listeria monocytogenes were the most common foodborne pathogens able to survive in fermented dairy products (Karagozlu et al., 2007). probiotic bacteria possess antagonistic activity against some foodborne microorganisms such as Staphylococcus aureus, E. coli, and Salmonella typhimurium, and this activity may be due to production of inhibitory compounds like bacteriocins, hydrogen peroxide or organic acids as well as competitive adhesion to epithelium in the intestine (Karagozlu et al., 2007). Staphylococcal food illness is one of the most common food borne disease worldwide caused by Staphylococcus aureus bacteria. It's intoxication, resulted from ingestion of food containing one or more preformed Staphylococcal enterotoxins (Cliver and Riemann, 2002). E. coli Since its discovery in 1982 has been recognized as a serious foodborne pathogen that hemorrhagic colitis. causes disease marked by extreme abdominal pain and bloody diarrhea (Kasimoglu and Akgun, 2004). Salmonellosis represents a very important foodborne disease that keep a serious risk to human general wellbeing caused Salmonella typhimurium (EFSA, 2010).

This study was aimed to investigate the ability of *Bifidobacterium bifidum* and *Bifidobacterium lactis* to

antagonism the viability of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *E. coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium* in prepared yoghurt samples during their storage at 4±1°C.

# Materials and methods

### 1. Bacterial strains:

- 1.1. Yoghurt cultures: Yoghurt (obtained Chr. cultures from Hansen Lab.. Copenhagen, Denmark) include: - Traditional voghurt starter cultures (FD-DVS, YC-X11) include Streptococcus thermophiles Lactobacillus and delbrueckiisub bulgaricus spp. (1:1).
- 1.2. **Bifidus** yoghurt starter culture include **Bifidobacterium** strain culture (Bifidobacterium lactis DSM10140 and Bifidobacterium bifidum DSM10140). All Starter cultures were prepared according to Hull and Robert (1984).

# 1.3. Pathogenic strains:

The pathogenic bacteria used were Staphylococcus aureus, E. coli and Salmonella typhimurium reference strains obtained from animal health research institute, Dokki, Giza, Egypt. All strains were prepared according to Bachrouri et al. (2002).

2. Preparation of Traditional & Bifidus yoghurt samples: according to *Nighswonger et al.* (1996): Four groups of yoghurt were manufactured (TY, BY, LY and MY) then each group was subdivided into three batches, each

batch was inoculated with the pathogenic bacteria (10<sup>4</sup> CFU/ml).

- 3. **Determination of titratable acidity:** according to *A.O.A.C.* (2000).
- **4.** Microbiological examination: Counting *Staphylococcus aureus*, *E. coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium* according to *A.P.H.A.* (2001) at
- zero,  $3^{rd}$ ,  $5^{th}$ ,  $7^{th}$ , and  $14^{th}$  day of cold storage  $(4\pm1^{\circ}C)$ .
- 5. Statistical Analysis (SPSS, 2011): The data was analyzed using a mixed method of SPSS software (Release 20, IBM CO). Means were separated by Fisher's least significant difference test, and significance was tested at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

# **RESULTS**

**Table (1):** The mean Staphylococcus aureus count and its reduction % in the treated yoghurt samples

Types of yoghurt	Traditional yoghurt (TY)		Bifidobifidium yoghurt (BY)		Bifidolactis y (LY)	oghurt	Mixed yoghurt (MY)		
Storage period	Mean <u>+</u> SE	R%	Mean ± SE R%		Mean <u>+</u> SE	R%	Mean <u>+</u> SE	R%	
zero time	$6.7 \times 10^4 + \frac{1}{1.5 \times 10^4}$	0.0	$\begin{array}{c c} 2.9 \times 10^4 \\ \pm 6 \times 10^{3 \text{ d}} \\ \end{array} 0.0$		$2.2 \times 10^4$ + $4.8 \times 10^3 \text{ d}$	0.0	$1.2 \times 10^4$ $\pm 3 \times 10^{3}$ c	0.0	
3 day	$8.9 \times 10^{2}$ $\pm 2 \times 10^{2}$ d	98.6%	$\begin{array}{c c} 6.2 \times 10^{2} \\ \pm 1 \times 10^{2} \\ \end{array} 97.8\%$		5.8 x 10 <sup>2</sup> ± 3.9 x 10 °	97.3%	1.3 x 10 <sup>2</sup> ± 2 x 10 <sup>b</sup>	98.9%	
5 day	2.3 x 10 <sup>2</sup> + 3.8 x 10 <sup>c</sup>	99.6%	1.1 x 10 <sup>2</sup> + 2 x 10 <sup>b</sup> 99.6%		1.6 x 10 <sup>2</sup> + 3.6 x 10 <sup>b</sup>	99.2%	N.D. a	100%	
7 day	7.4 x 10 + 2 x 10 <sup>b</sup>	99.8%	N.D. <sup>a</sup> 100%		N.D. <sup>a</sup>	100%	N.D.	100%	
14 day	N.D. a	100%	N.D.	100%	N.D.	100%	N.D.	100%	

<sup>\*</sup>Treatments with different letters show significant difference (P-value <0.05)

**Mean**: average of triplicate. **S E:** standard error of mean. **N. D.:** not detected. **R%:** Reduction % from initial count.

**TY:** Yoghurt made with traditional yoghurt culture (*L. bulgaricus* and *S. thermophilus*)

**BY:** Yoghurt made with traditional yoghurt culture + *B. bifidum* 

**LY:** Yoghurt made with traditional yoghurt culture + *B. lactis* 

**MY:** Yoghurt made with traditional yoghurt culture + B. bifidum+ B. lactis

**Table (2):** The mean E. coli count and its reduction % in treated yoghurt samples

Types of yoghurt	Traditional yoghurt (TY)		Bifidobifidium yoghurt (BY)		Bifidolactis y (LY)	oghurt	Mixed yoghurt (MY)		
Storage period	Mean + SE	R%	Mean ± SE R%		Mean + SE	R%	Mean <u>+</u> SE	R%	
zero time	4.6 x 10 <sup>4</sup> <u>+</u> 1 x 10 <sup>4 e</sup>	0.0	4.1 x 10 <sup>4</sup> <u>+</u> 8 x 10 <sup>3 e</sup>	0.0	$2.9 \times 10^4$ $\pm 6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{e}$	0.0	$2.3 \times 10^4$ $\pm 7 \times 10^{3 \text{ d}}$	0.0	
3 day	$7 \times 10^{3}$ $\pm 1 \times 10^{3}$ c	84.8%	$5.7 \times 10^3$ $\pm 1 \times 10^3 \text{ d}$	86.2%	$4.7 \times 10^3$ $\pm 9 \times 10^{2 \text{ d}}$	84%	$3.6 \times 10^{2}$ $\pm 1 \times 10^{2}$	98.3%	
5 day	$7.5 \times 10^{2}$ $\pm 2 \times 10^{2}$ d	98.3%	$4.2 \times 10^{2}$ $\pm 1 \times 10^{2}$ c	98.9%	$2.6 \times 10^{2}$ $\pm 6.5 \times 10^{\circ}$	99%	9.9 x 10 <u>+</u> 2 x 10 <sup>b</sup>	99.5%	
7 day	2.7 x 10 <sup>2</sup> <u>+</u> 4 x 10 <sup>b</sup>	99.4%	5.8 x 10 ± 1 x 10 <sup>b</sup>	99.8%	4.9 x 10 ± 1 x 10 <sup>b</sup>	99.8%	N.D. <sup>a</sup>	100%	
14 day	2.2 x 10 <u>+</u> 0.9 x 10 <sup>a</sup>	99.9%	N.D. <sup>a</sup>	100%	N.D. <sup>a</sup>	100%	N.D.	100%	

**Table (3):** The mean Salmonella typhimurium count and its reduction % in treated yoghurt samples

Types of yoghurt	Traditional yoghurt (TY)		Bifidobifidium yoghurt (BY)		Bifidolactis (LY	, ,	Mixed yoghurt (MY)		
Storage period	Mean + SE	R%	Mean + SE	R%	R% Mean $\pm$ SE		Mean + SE	R%	
zero time	4.9 x 10 <sup>4</sup> ± 1 x 10 <sup>4</sup> e	0.0	$\begin{array}{c c} 2.7 \times 10^4 \\ \pm 5 \times 10^{3 \text{ d}} \end{array}$ 0.0		$ \begin{array}{c c} 2.5 \times 10^4 \\ \pm 4.8 \times 10^3 \\                                    $		$ \begin{array}{c} 1.3 \times 10^{4} \\ \pm 3 \times 10^{3} & d \end{array} $	0.0	
3 day	$ \begin{array}{c} 2.9 \times 10^{3} \\ \pm 4.5 \times 10^{2} \\ \end{array} $	94%	$4 \times 10^{3} \\ \pm 1 \times 10^{3} $	85%	85% $ \begin{array}{c} 2.1 \times 10^{3} \\ \pm 5 \times 10^{2} \end{array} $		4.6 x10 <sup>2</sup> ± 1 x10 <sup>2 c</sup>	96.4%	
5 day	3.9 x 10 <sup>2</sup> ± 9 x 10 <sup>c</sup>	99%	2.9 x 10 <sup>2</sup> <u>+</u> 8x10 <sup>c</sup>	98.9%	1.4 x 10 <sup>2</sup> ± 2.6 x10 °	uu /1%		99.5%	
7 day	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ x } 10^{2} \\ \pm 2 \text{ x } 10^{\text{ b}} \end{array} $	99.7 %	2.6 x 10 ± 1 x 10 b	99.9%	1.5 x 10 ± 0.5 x 10 b	99.9%	N.D. <sup>a</sup>	100%	
14 day	1 x 10± 0.4 x 10 a	99.8 %	N.D. <sup>a</sup>	100%	N.D. a	100%	N.D.	100%	

Storage	Staphylococcus aureus				E. coli				Salmonella typhimurium			
period	TY	BY	LY	MY	TY	BY	LY	MY	TY	BY	LY	MY
zero time	0.82	0.83	0.72	0.72	0.63	0.63	0.72	0.72	0.64	0.67	0.71	0.72
3 day	0.81	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.63	0.63	0.67	0.74	0.67	0.70	0.72	0.76
5 day	0.85	0.85	0.99	0.87	0.67	0.72	0.68	0.75	0.67	0.72	0.74	0.74
7 day	0.87	0.87	0.99	0.94	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.76	0.67	0.76	0.76	0.76
14 day	0.87	0.88	0.99	0.95	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.76	0.68	0.76	0.77	0.78

**Table (4):** *Titratable acidity throughout the storage period of the treated yoghurt samples expressed as acidity%:* 

### **Discussion**

The results demonstrated in Table (1) showed that the Staphylococcus aureus bacterial counts in the prepared samples were 6.7 x 10<sup>4</sup> +  $1.5 \times 10^4$ ,  $2.9 \times 10^4 \pm 6 \times 10^4$ ,  $2.\overline{2}$  $x10^4 \pm 4.8 \ x10^3 \ and \ 1.2 \ x10^4 \pm 3$ x10<sup>3</sup> CFU/g in TY, BY, LY and MY samples, respectively at the zero day of cold storage at 4°C. Staphylococcus aureus undetectable in TY on the 14th day of storage. On the other hand, Staphylococcus aureus was not detected in BY and LY samples from the 7th day of storage, but was not detected in MY samples from the 5th day of storage. Different results were obtained by Abdel-Aziz (2011) and Marwa (2011) who reported that Staphylococcus aureus was not detected in BY on the 5th day of storage followed by TY sample in the 7<sup>th</sup> day of storage. Longer time (16 days in TY and 12 days in BY) reported by Oscar et al. (2007).On the contrary, Staphylococcus aureus disappeared

in TY after 24 hrs followed by BY after 48 hours Yesillik et al. (2011). E. coli count in TY. BY. LY and MY expressed at Table (2) was 4.6  $x 10^4 + 1 \times 10^4$ ,  $4.1 \times 10^4 + 8 \times 10^3$ ,  $2.9 \times \overline{10^4} + 6 \times 10^3$  and  $2.3 \times \overline{10^4} + 7$  $x 10^{3}$ CFU/g at zero time. respectively. It was not detected for MY on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of storage. While by the 14th day of storage E. coli was  $2.2 \times 10 + 0.9 \times 10$  in TY and it was undetectable in BY and LY. Similar results were reported by Amer et al. (2010) and Marwa (2011). Furthermore, Abdel-Aziz (2011) found that the initial count of E. coli completely disappeared at 11th day of storage in BY, while in TY samples E. coli was not detected 14<sup>th</sup>.Meanwhile. in the day Kasimoglu and Akgun (2004) reported that the elimination of E. coli was after 48 hrs in traditional yoghurt. Many studies have found that Bifidobacterium spp. showed strong inhibitory activity against E. coli and Staphylococcus aureus in yoghurt (Muhammad et al., 2015).

116 Saad A. H. et al.

Salmonella typhimurium count at zero time was  $4.9 \times 10^4 + 1 \times 10^4$ ,  $2.7 \times 10^4 + 5 \times 10^3$ ,  $2.5 \times 10^4 + 4.8 \times 10^4$  $10^3$  and  $1.3 \times 10^4 + 3 \times 10^3$  cfu/g in TY, BY, LY and MY respectively. While it was not detected for MY on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of storage. By the 14<sup>th</sup> storage Salmonella dav of typhimurium count was 1x10 + 0.4 x 10 in TY and was not detected in BY and LY as showed in Table (3). Salmonella typhimurium survive for up to 5 days at 4 ° C in both TY and BY when the yoghurt pH is < 4.5, but up to 10 days if pH is 4.5 or higher Hal-Haddad (2003). Nassib et al., (2006) found typhimurium that Salmonella survived longer in BY than TY, and this was due to the development of lower pH by traditional starters.

In Table (4) all yoghurt samples showed increase in titratable acidity during the storage and the yoghurt fortified with probiotic strains should higher increase in the acidity than the traditional yoghurt and that may be one of the antimicrobial mechanisms the probiotic could use against the pathogenic previous microorganisms. The results showed that the more the acidity produced, the more decline in pathogenic bacterial count. These results agreed with those obtained by Abdel-Aziz (2011) and El-Kholy et al., (2014).

Clinical studies have shown that certain probiotics can effectively reduce infection. Possible mechanisms of probiotic action include prevention of adsorption, intracellular internalization of pathogens, production of metabolites and substances that directly affect pathogens, and interaction with cells (immune regulation) in building protection (*Power et al.*, 2014).

# Conclusion

This study demonstrated the capability of B. bifidum and B. lactis to inhibit the growth of Staphylococcus aureus, E. coli and Salmonella typhimurium during the storage of yoghurt and the possibility of using them for bio preservation of yoghurt. As the presence of pathogenic bacteria as Staphylococcus aureus considered as a public health hazard, thus the hygienic standard needs to be strengthened during manufacture preservation and to ensure production of safe voghurt. Furthermore, It is recommended to promote consuming dairy products fortified with probiotics for their health benefits and saving the product against pathogenic microorganisms.

#### References

**Abdel-Aziz, M. M.** (2011): The role of Bifidobacterium in improvement of physical, chemical and microbial quality of fermented dairy products. Ph.D. Thesis. Faculty of Vet. Med. Benha Univ.

Amer, A.; Aiad, A. and Abd-Allah, M. (2010): Effect of yoghurt processing and ice-cream

manufacture on viability of some food- borne bacteria. Assiut Vet. Med. J., 56(127):108-119.

**A.O.A.C.** (2000): Dairy products in: Official methods of analysis. Association of Official and Analytical Chemists, Inc., Gaithersburg, USA.

**A.P.H.A.** (American Public Health Association) (2001): Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of foods. 4<sup>th</sup> Ed. Amer. Public Health Asso., Washington, D.C., U.S.A.

**Bachrouri, M; Quinto, E. J. and Mora, M. T.** (2002): Survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 during storage of yogurt at different temperature. J. of Food Sci., 67 (5) 1899-1903.

**Cliver, D.O. and Riemann, H.P.** (2002): Food-borne Diseases (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.), California, Academic Press.

EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) (2010): The Community Summary Report on Trends and Sources of Zoonosis, Zoonotic Agents and Food-borne Outbreaks in the European Union in 2008. EFSA J., 8 (1): 1496.

El Kholy, A. M.; El-Shinawy, S. H.; Meshref, A. M. S. and Korany, A. M. (2014): Screening of antagonistic activity of probiotic bacteria against some food-borne pathogens. J. of Applied and Enviro. Microbiol.. 2 (2):53-60.

Hal-Haddad, K. S. (2003): Survival of Salmonellae in bio-

yoghurt. Int. J. Dairy Technol. 56 (4), 199-202.

Hull, R. R. and Robert, A. V. (1984): Differential enumeration of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* in yogurt. J. Aust. Dairy Technol., 3: 160-164.

**Karagozlu, N.; Karagozlu, C. and Ergonul, B.** (2007): Survival characteristics of *E. coli O157:H7*, *S. typhimurium* and *S. aureus* during kefir fermentation. Czech J. Food Sci., 25: 202–207.

**Kasımog'lu, A. and Akgün, S.** (2004): Survival of *E. coli* O157:H7 in the processing and post-processing stages of acidophilus yogurt. Inter. J. of Food Sci. and Tech., 39 (5): 563-569.

Marwa, A. Meawad (2011): Effect of bacteriocins produced by some lactic acid bacteria on the quality of yoghurt. MD Thesis, Benha University.

Muhammad, Z.; Muhammad, A.; Muhammad, A; Ghulam, M.; Aqeela, Y. and Hafiz M. A. H. (2015): Antimicrobial activity of bacteriocins isolated from Lactic Acid Bacteria against resistant pathogenic strains. Int. J. of Nut. and Food Sci., 4 (3): 326-331

Nassib, T. A.; El-Din, M. Z. and El-Sharoud, W. M. (2006): Effect of thermophilic lactic acid bacteria on the viability of *Salmonella* serovar *typhimurium* PT8 during milk fermentation and preparation of buffalo's yogurt. Int. J. of Dairy Tech., 59 (1): 29-34.

118 Saad A. H. et al.

Nighswonger, B. D.; Brashears, M. M. and Gilliland, S. E. (1996): Viability of Lactobacillus acidophilus and Lactobacillus casei in fermented milk products during refrigerated storage. J. of Dairy Sci., 79: 212–219.

Oscar, C.; Caroline, P.; Caroline, C.; Laura, V. and Maria, L. (2007): Evaluation of the effect of Lactobacillus rhamnosus probiotic culture added to yogurt over Staph. aureus, *E*. coliO157:H7, Salmonella monocytogenes and enteritidis populations. Arch Latinoam Nutr, 57 (1): 51-5.

Oskar, A.; Simin, N. and Robert, M. R. (2004): Yogurt and gut function Review, Am J Clin. Nutr, 80, 245-56.

Power, S. E.; Toole, P. W. O.; Stanton, C.; Ross, R. P. and Fitzgerald, G. F. (2014): Intestinal microbiota, diet and health, British J. of Nutrition, 111 (3): pp. 387–402.

**SPSS** (2011): Statistical Package for Social, Science 20.0.0 SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL., USA.

Yesillik, S.; Yildirim, N.; Dikici, A.; Yildiz, A. and Yesillik, S. (2011): Antibacterial effect of some fermented commercial and homemade dairy products and 0.9% lactic acid against selected foodborne pathogens. Asian J. of Animal and Veterinary Advances; 6 (2): 189-195.

# الملخص العربي

تم في هذه الدراسة تصنيع الزبادي بأربع انواع النوع الاول الزبادي العادي (TY) تحتوي علي بادئا الزبادي فقط والنوع الثاني (BY) وهو زبادي مضاف اليه بادئا الزبادي + البيفيدو بيفيديم و النوع الثالث (LY) وهو زبادي مضاف اليه بادئا الزبادي + بكتريا البيفيدو لاكتس والنوع الرابع (MY) وهو زبادي مضاف اليه بادئا الزبادي + بكتريا البيفيدو بيفيدم + بكتريا البيفيدو لاكتس. وتم اضافة عدد معلوم من البكتريا الممرضة من المكور العنقودي الذهبي وبكتريا الايشيريشيا كولاي وبكتريا السالمونيلا تيفيميوريم. ثم تم قحص انواع الزبادي عند تكوين الخثرة وعند اليوم الثالث والخامس والسابع والرابع عشر لقياس نسبة الحموضة وقياس العدد الكلي لبكتيريا المكور العنقودي الذهبي وبكتريا الايشيريشيا كولاي وبكتريا السالمونيلا تيفيميوريم وقد اظهرت النتائج ارتفاعا في نسبة الحموضة علي مدار فترة التخزين.