ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Do Interferon Regulatory Factors 3 and 7 Play Role in Breast Cancer?

¹Soheir El Sayed Abdel Mohsen, ²Nermine N. El-maraghy*, ³Hossam Eldin Elbahahie, ³Gehan A. Ibrahim

¹Oncology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt

²Medical Microbiology & Immunology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt ³Clinical Pathology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University; Ismailia, Egypt

ABSTRACT

Key words: Interferon regulatory factor, breast cancer, immune system

*Corresponding Author: Nermine El Maraghy Medical Microbiology & Immunology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Suez Canal University; Ismailia, Egypt. Tel.: 002/01224117033 nermine76@hotmail.com **Background**: Breast cancer is a disease whose progression varies from atypical ductal hyperplasia to ductal carcinoma in situ and invasive ductal carcinoma. Interferon regulatory factor-3mediated apoptosis is independent of IFN or p53 and a big debate about whether IRF3 is considered as a tumor suppressor gene or not. The IRF7 level was shown to be silent in some metastatic breast cancer cell lines as it was proved that silencing of IRF7 in breast cancer cells promotes bone metastasis. **Objective**: We conducted this work to study the effect of IRF3 and IRF7 in disease progression of cancer breast. **Methodology**: IRF3 and IRF7 were measured in 48 cancer breast patients and 48 normal control persons by using ELISA technique. Paraffin block for the breast tumor was done for all cases to assess the stage and the grade of cancer. Distant metastasis was diagnosed through chest x-ray, abdominal sonography and bone scan. **Results:** It was found that IRF3 and IRF7 were higher in advanced breast cancer compared with normal control group. **Conclusion**: To assess the effect of IRF3 and IRF7 in breast cancer is still in need for more researches.

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is a major health problem that is regulated by several mediators which vary from atypical ductal hyperplasia (ADH), ductal carcinoma *in situ* (DCIS) and invasive ductal carcinoma $(IDC)^1$. These signals were produced whether from the tumor itself and/or adjacent stroma, promote tumor vasculature, tumor proliferation, survival, and metastasis to different organs².

Several researches reported that interleukins (ILs), interferons (IFNs) and interferon regulatory factors (IRFs) are present in both tumor microenvironments and in metastatic sites as some of these cytokines stimulate while others inhibit breast cancer proliferation³. The role of these cytokines in disease progression, as markers of disease stage, and as novel treatment strategies requires further attention.

IRFs are group of transcription factors responsible for the regulation of interferon expression ². All these factors contain N-terminal which constitute of 120 amino acids. By consequence, these amino acids fold in order to the interferon consensus sequence (ICS) that is present on the interferon genes. For the remaining parts of these factors, their function depend upon the specific function of the protein⁴. It is very obvious that IRFs play an important role in the immune system^{4,5}, the immune modulation⁵⁻⁷ and the haematopoietic differentiation⁶⁻⁸. These factors had DNA-binding domain (DBD)⁹ responsible for binding to the IRFs present in the IFN- β promoter¹⁰. The mammalian IRF family is comprised of 9 members: IRF-1, 2, 3, 4/PIP/LSIRF/ICSAT, IRF-5, 6, 7, 8/ICSBP and 9¹¹⁻¹².

IRF-3 had several functional domains such as the DBD, the C-terminal IRF association domain as well as the several regulatory phosphorylation sites ¹³. Usually, IRF3 is found in an inactive cytoplasmic form that formed a complex with CREB binding protein ¹⁴. By turn, this complex is translocated to the nucleus and lead to the activation of the interferons alpha and beta and other interferon-induced genes ¹⁵⁻¹⁶.

There was a big homology between IFN3 and IRF7. Type I IFN is induced through IFN3 after activation upon engagement of Pattern Recognition Receptors in response to invading pathogens¹⁷.

It was found that it has a major role in apoptosis in response to the DNA damaging agents and in the absence of viral infection¹⁸⁻¹⁹. Therefore, the mechanism of IRF3-mediated apoptosis is independent of IFN or p53 but dependent on (TRAIL/ Apo2L) tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand or Apo 2 ligand that is transcriptionally activated by IRF3 ²⁰⁻²¹. The over expression of IRF3 can inhibit the growth of cancer cell lines *in vitro* and *in vivo* thus, blocking DNA synthesis& inducing apoptosis ²²⁻²³.

There was a big debate about whether IRF3 can be considered as a tumor suppressor gene or not. A study reported the normal IRF3 expression in lung epithelial cells and its altered expression in lung cancer according to the two variants IRF3 protein which has not been established ²⁴ and still this point under study.

For the relationship between serum IRF3 expression and the prognosis of malignancy, several studies were conducted . They found that the higher IRF3 expression in patients, the better prognosis after curative resection of non-small cell lung cancer, in comparison to those with a poor prognosis ²⁵. Also, IRF3 can induce tumor suppression by transcriptional reprogramming of macrophages ²⁶⁻²⁸.

IRF7 had a strong regulation of many interferonalpha genes as well as its strong role in the transcriptional activation of virus-inducible cellular genes, including the type I interferon genes ²⁹. Also, the expression of IRF7 is restricted to the lymphoid tissue, whereas it is inducible in many tissues ³⁰.

For the relationship between IRF7 level and malignant cells, it was found that it is silenced in some metastatic breast cancer cell lines, because it can help these cells to avoid the host immune response. In animal models, restoring IRF7 to these cell lines reduced metastases and increased host survival time ³¹.

Moreover, IRF7 has antitumor effects due to the induction of type I IFN where the growth of the tumor suppresses IFN pathway as an early event in the development of cancer ³². IRF-7 is a transcriptional target of the tumor-suppressor gene BRCA1 mutation that is one of the predisposing factor for breast and ovarian cancer, also, BRCA1 is one of the essential process in cellular DNA repair, cell-cycle regulation and chromatin remodeling ³³⁻³⁵

In addition, it was proved that the silencing of IRF7 in breast cancer cells promotes bone metastasis and these findings were confirmed in a study conducted on 800 patients in which high expression of IRF7 regulated genes in primary tumors was associated with prolonged bone metastasis free survival ³⁶.

The over-expression of IRF7 in macrophages, induced the production of type I IFN and increased the expression of genes encoding TRAIL, IL-12, IL-15, and CD80 and negatively regulated the transcription of protumorigenic genes .Furthermore, IRF7 transduced macrophages express a cytostatic activity on different cancer cell lines ³⁷⁻³⁸. The aim of the work is to study the effect of IRF3 and IRF7 in disease progression of cancer breast.

METHODOLOGY

This study was conducted in the Clinical Pathology Department Faculty of Medicine Suez Canal University Hospital; Ismailia, Egypt from January 2018 to January 2019.

The studied population was 48 cancer breast patients and 48 healthy persons as a control group. Blood samples were collected from all patients. IRF3 and IRF7 levels were measured by ELISA Kit purchased from CUSABIO, China. The test was performed on PVC microliter plate. The plate was read within 30 min after adding the stopping reagent at 450 nm. The ELISA reader is STAT FAX, USA.

Also, a paraffin block for the breast tumor was done for all cases to assess the stage and the grade of cancer by examining the skin, the lymph node invasion & the breast tissue. Each block was stained by Heamotoxin and Iodine (H &I) and was evaluated by a pathologist to confirm the diagnosis.

Moreover, assessment of distant metastasis was mediated through chest x-ray, abdominal sonography and bone scan.

Ethical consideration

Consent for an interview was taken from each participant, who was assured about the confidentiality of his/her information.

RESULTS

The studied population was 48 cancer breast patients with an age range 43.24 ± 11.63 (Mean \pm SD)

Parameter	Patients number n=48		
Age/ years			
(Mean ± SD)	43.24 ± 11.63		
Tumor size cm	3.34 ± 1.5		
Lymph Nodes	Negative	Positive	
Metastasis	(-ve node	(+ve node	
	involvement)	involvement)	
	11	37	
Pathology type	Invasive	Invasive	
	ductal	Lobular	
	carcinoma	carcinoma	
	46	2	
Distant Metastasis	Present	Absent	
	3	45	

 Table 1: The demographic data of the studied population

As shown in table(1) the total number of patients were 48 cancer breast patients with an age range 43.24 \pm 11.63 and the tumor size of 3.34 \pm 1.5(Mean \pm SD) respectively. The pathology type was invasive duct carcinoma in 46 patients and invasive lobular carcinoma in 2 patients. 37/48 cases have malignant involvement of lymph nodes with 3 recorded cases suffering from distant metastasis.

As shown in table (2), the levels of IRF3 and IRF7 in breast and control groups were 72.54 ± 6.7 , 27.77 ± 9.7 for the breast cancer group and 4.3 ± 3.8 & 3.7 ± 0.8 for the control group with a statistical significance.

breast cancer and normal controls groups.					
Group	IRF3	IRF7	P value		
Breast cancer	72.54±6.7	27.77±9.7	0.004*		
group					

 4.3 ± 3.8

 3.7 ± 0.8

0.004*

Table 2: The mean ± SD of IRF3 and IRF7 levels in breast cancer and normal controls groups.

*P<0.05 significant

Control group

Table 3: Mean ± SD of IRF3 and IRF7 levels indifferent stages of breast cancer

Stages of breast cancer	No. of patients	IRF3	IRF7
Ι			
(Mean ± SD)	5	22.60±10.31	18.60 ± 6.46
IIa/Iib			
(Mean ± SD)	29	45.78±15.6	20.12±7.9
IIIa/IIIb			
(Mean ± SD)	11	51.1±18.6	26.6 ± 20.30
IV			
(Mean ± SD)	3	85.3±13.7	43.3±16.90

*P value between groups is significant <0.05

Table (3) showed the levels of IRF3 and IRF7 in cancer breast patients according to the pathological stages. In stage I, the levels of their IRF3 and IRF 7 were 22.60 ± 10.31 and 18.60 ± 6.46 respectively. In groups IIa/IIb, the IRF levels of the 29 patients were 45.78 ± 15.6 for IFR3 and 20.12 ± 7.9 for IRF7. In groups IIIa/IIIb, the IRF3 and IRF7 were 51.1 ± 18.6 and 26.6 ± 20.30 respectively. Lastly, for group V, the levels of IRF3and IRF7 in the 3 patients were 85.3 ± 13.7 and 43.3 ± 16.90 respectively.

 Table 4: Factors associated with poor prognostic

 markers in female breast cancer patients

Factors	Statistical Significance		
	Univariate analysis <i>p-value</i>	Multivariate analysis <i>p-value</i>	
Age (years)	< 0.05	NS	
IRF3	< 0.05	NS	
IRF7	< 0.05	< 0.05	

*p<0.05 significant

DISCUSSION

Cancer occurs when there is an imbalance between cell growth and death. In the present study, we tried to express the role of IRF3 and IRF7 as anti-tumor factors activity which suppress distant metastasis in patients suffering from cancer breast with different stages and grades. More than 20 years, since the discovery of IRF are playing big roles in regulating/initiating host immunity downstream danger sensors through regulation of hematopoietic differentiation and controlling of cell-cycle and apoptosis and thus oncogenesis and antitumor immunity in several cancers are their main effects ³⁹⁻⁴⁰.

Although IRF3 is a part of a family of IFN regulatory transcription factors that has a potential antioncogenic functions, so the expression of the dominant negative IRF3 mutant which inhibits the expression of IRF3 target genes induced oncogenic transformation *in vitro* and *in vivo*²³. In normal cells, IRF-3 inhibits cellular proliferation and induces silencing through the *p*53 function. Also, it was found that IRF3 inhibits tumor growth through the expression of chemokines such as MIP-1, CCL5/RANTES, and CXCL10/IP-10 *in vivo* & IFN- β , tumor necrosis factor- α , and IL 6 *in vitro*³⁹.

For instance, IRF3 was highly expressed in early (stage I) and advanced (stage II and III) cancers who survived which suggests that this gene may suppress tumor progression and metastasis ³⁷. It has been demonstrated that this factor shows a relatively higher expression in tumors with favorable outcome ³⁸.And this is coincident with our study as IRF3 is expressed in stage I and highly expressed in advanced stages (II,III&IV) as compared with the control groups.

On the other hand, activation of IRF7 is crucial for the induction of IFN-I production ³⁴ where they control clearance of apoptic cells, clearance & angiogenesis ²⁶ as when they are activated induce tumoricidal activity through phagocytosis and ADCC ³³.Macrophages and tumor cells are known that they have the power to release certain pro-tumorigenic factors, used for macrophage adoptive transfer in cancer therapy. Interestingly, it was observed that the IRF7 active mutants in macrophages down-regulate the transcription of pro-angiogenic and metastatic genes (such as *VEGF*) as IRF7 may increase the antitumor properties of macrophage to reduce their protumorigenic effects ³⁶

In vivo, primary macrophages transduced with Ad-IRF7 mediate their antitumor effects through the secretion of type I IFN (for type I IFN-sensitive tumors), via recruitment and polarization of other immune cells and down-regulation of expression of the genes which promote metastasis and angiogenesis ³⁰.

The immune system plays a major role in suppression metastasis as human cancer correlates with disease progression ³⁴.As it was found that low expression of IRF7 in primary breast tissue increase the risk of metastasis in those patients ³²⁻³⁴. All of these studies are consistent with our study as it was expressed in our work that IRF7 play a major role in breast cancer rather than IRF3and was expressed in higher level in advanced stages in breast cancer rather than the normal control group .

CONCLUSION

IRF3 and IRF7 play an important role in the immune system and have great implication in tumor development/progression. From our results, they play a consistent role in disease progression of cancer breast with different stage and metastasis especially IRF7. Finally, the relationship between IRF and oncogenesis are still in need for more research.

Conflicts of interest:

- The authors declare that they have no financial or non financial conflicts of interest related to the work done in the manuscript.
- Each author listed in the manuscript had seen and approved the submission of this version of the manuscript and takes full responsibility for it.
- This article had not been published anywhere and is not currently under consideration by another journal or a publisher.

REFERENCES

- 1. Beckmann MW, Niederacher D, Schnurch HG, Gusterson BA & Bender HG. Multistep carcinogenesis of breast cancer and tumour heterogeneity. Mol Med. 1997;75:429–39.
- Nicolini A, Carpi A&Rossi G. Cytokines in breast cancer. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2006; 17:325–37.
- Mamane Y, Heylbroeck C, Genin P, Algarte M, Servant MJ, LePage C, DeLuca C, Kwon H, Lin R & Hiscott J: Interferon regulatory factors: the next generation. Gene 1999; 237:1-14.
- 4. Tsuneya Ikezu; Howard E. Gendelman Neuroimmune Pharmacology 2008.
- Taniguchi T, Ogasawara K, Takaoka A& Tanaka N: IRF family of transcription factors as regulators of host defense. Annu Rev Immunol. 2001; 19:623-55.
- Stellacci E, Testa U, Petrucci E, Benedetti E, Orsatti R, Feccia T, Stafsnes M, Coccia EM, Marziali G& Battistini A: Interferon regulatory factor-2 drives megakaryocytic differentiation. Biochem. 2004; 377:367-78.
- Battistini A: Interferon regulatory factors in hematopoietic cell differentiation and immune regulation. Interferon Cytokine Res. 2009; 29:765-80.
- Escalante CR, Yie J, Thanos D& Aggarwal AK: Structure of IRF-1 with bound DNA reveals determinants of interferon regulation. Nature 1998; 391:103-6.

- 9. Fujita T, Sakakibara J, Sudo Y, Miyamoto M, Kimura Y& Taniguchi T: Evidence for a nuclear factor(s), IRF-1, mediating induction and silencing properties to human IFN-beta gene regulatory elements. EMBO. 1988; 7:3397-5.
- Barnes B, Lubyova B& Pitha PM: On the role of IRF in host defense. Interferon Cytokine Res. 2002; 22:59-71.
- 11. Nehyba J, Hrdlickova R, Burnside J& Bose HR Jr: A novel interferon regulatory factor (IRF), IRF-10, has a unique role in immune defense and is induced by the v-Rel oncoprotein. Mol Cell Biol. 2002; 22:3942-57.
- Mamane Y, Heylbroeck C, Genin P, Algarte M, Servant MJ, LePage C, DeLuca C, Kwon H, Lin R & Hiscott J: Interferon regulatory factors: the next generation. Gene 1999; 237:1–14.
- Taniguchi T, Ogasawara K, Takaoka A & Tanaka N: IRF family of transcription factors as regulators of host defense. Annu Rev Immunol. 2001;19:623– 55.
- Yoneyama M, Suhara W& Fujita T .Control of IRF-3 activation by phosphorylation . Interferon Cytokine Res. 2002;22 (1): 73–6.
- Collins, SE.; Noyce, RS.; Mossman& KL. Innate Cellular Response to Virus Particle Entry Requires IRF3 but Not Virus Replication. Virol. 2004;78 (4): 1706–17.
- Hou, F; Sun, L, Zheng, H, Skaug, B, Jiang, QX, Chen & ZJ. MAVS Forms Functional Prion-Like Aggregates To Activate and Propagate Antiviral Innate Immune Response. Cell 2011; 146 (3): 448– 61.
- Honda K& Taniguchi T .IRFs: master regulators of signalling by Toll-like receptors and cytosolic pattern-recognition receptors. Nat Rev Immunol. 2006 ;6: 644-58
- Kim T, Kim TY, Song YH, Min IM 7 Yim J, et al. Activation of interferon regulatory factor 3 in response to DNA-damaging agents .Biol Chem. 1999; 274:30686-89.
- 19. Karpova AY, Trost M, Murray JM, Cantley LC& Howley PM .Interferon regulatory factor-3 is an in vivo target of DNA-PK. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002; 99: 2818-23.
- 20. Weaver BK, Ando O, Kumar KP & Reich NC Apoptosis is promoted by the dsRNA-activated factor (DRAF1) during viral infection independent of the action of interferon or p53. FASEB J. 2001; 15: 501-15.
- 21. Kirshner JR, Karpova AY, Kops M & Howley PM .Identification of TRAIL as an interferon regulatory factor 3 transcriptional target. Virol . 2005; 79: 9320-24.

- 22. Kim TK, Lee JS, Oh SY, Jin X & Choi YJ, et al. Direct transcriptional activation of promyelocytic leukemia protein by IFN regulatory factor 3 induces the p53-dependent growth inhibition of cancer cells. Cancer Res . 2007; 67: 11133-40
- 23. Kim TY, Lee KH, Chang S, Chung C & Lee HW, et al. Oncogenic potential of a dominant negative mutant of interferon regulatory factor 3. BiolChem . 2003; 278: 15272-78.
- 24. Duguay D, Mercier F, Stagg J, Martineau D & Bramson J, et al. In vivo interferon regulatory factor 3 tumor suppressor activity in B16 melanoma tumors. Cancer Res. 2002; 62: 5148-52.
- 25. Tokunaga T, Naruke Y, Shigematsu S, Kohno T & Yasui K, et al. Aberrant expression of interferon regulatory factor 3 in human lung cancer. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2010; 397: 202-7.
- 26. Oshita F, Sekiyama A, Ito H, Kameda Y & Miyagi Y .Genome-wide cDNA microarray screening of genes related to survival in patients after curative resection of non-small cell lung cancer. Oncol Rep. 2006; 16: 817-21.
- 27. Romieu-Mourez R, Solis M, Nardin A, Goubau D & Baron-Bodo V, et al. Distinct roles for IFN regulatory factor (IRF)-3 and IRF-7 in the activation of antitumor properties of human macrophages. Cancer Res . 2006; 66: 10576-85.
- Goubau D, Romieu-Mourez R, Solis M, Hernandez E & Mesplède T, et al. Transcriptional reprogramming of primary macrophages reveals distinct apoptotic and anti-tumoral functions of IRF-3 and IRF-7. Eur J Immunol. 2009; 39: 527-40.
- 29. Marié I &Durbin JE and Levy DE. Differential viral induction of distinct interferon-alpha genes by positive feedback through interferon regulatory factor-7. EMBO J. 1998, 17 (22): 6660–9.
- Bidwell. Silencing of Irf7 pathways in breast cancer cells promotes bone metastasis through immune escape. Nature Medicine 2012.

- Au, W C; Yeow W S & Pitha P M. Analysis of functional domains of interferon regulatory factor 7 and its association with IRF-3. Virology 2001; 280 (2): 273–82.
- 32. Yang Y, Shaffer AL 3rd, Emre NC, Ceribelli M & Zhang M, et al. Exploiting synthetic lethality for the therapy of ABC diffuse large B cell lymphoma. Cancer Cell 2012; 21: 723-37
- Andrews HN, Mullan PB, McWilliams S, Sebelova S & Quinn JE, et al. BRCA1 regulates the interferon gamma-mediated apoptotic response. Biol Chem. 2002; 277: 26225-32
- 34. Scully R & Livingston DM. In search of the tumour-suppressor functions of BRCA1 and BRCA2. Nature. 2000; 408: 429-32.
- 35. Venkitaraman AR .Cancer susceptibility and the functions of BRCA1and BRCA2. Cell .2002; 108: 171-82.
- 36. Bidwell BN, Slaney CY, Withana NP, Forster S & Cao Y, et al. Silencing of Irf7 pathways in breast cancer cells promotes bone metastasis through immune escape. Nat Med . 2012; 18: 1224-31.
- 37. Romieu-Mourez R, Solis M, Nardin A, Goubau D & Baron-Bodo V, et al. Distinct roles for IFN regulatory factor (IRF)-3 and IRF-7 in the activation of antitumor properties of human macrophages. Cancer Res. 2006; 66: 10576-85.
- Goubau D, Romieu-Mourez R, Solis M, Hernandez E & Mesplède T, et al. Transcriptional reprogramming of primary macrophages reveals distinct apoptotic and anti-tumoral functions of IRF-3 and IRF-7. Eur J Immunol. 2009; 39: 527-540.
- Vogelstein B, Papadopoulos N, Velculescu VE, Zhou S & Diaz LA Jr, et al. Cancer genome landscapes. Science 2013; 339: 1546-58.
- 40. Garraway LA & Lander ES .Lessons from the cancer genome. Cell 2013; 153:17-37.