ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Detection of Enterococcal asa1 and vanA Genes in Clinical Samples from Adult Immunocompromised Patients

¹Nesreen M. Kamel^{*}, ²Mai E. Ahmed, ³Mohamed N. Salem, ⁴Ahmed F. Azmy, ⁵Ehab M. Fahmy

¹Department of Clinical Pathology, ²Department of Clinical Pathology and ³Department of Internal Medicine Faculty of Medicine – Beni-Suef University, Beni-suef, Egypt, ⁴Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Beni-Suef University, Beni-Suef, Egypt and ⁵Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Aswan Faculty of Medicine, Aswan, Egypt

ABSTRACT

Key words: Immunocompromised; Enterococci; VRE; vanA; asa1

*Corresponding Author: Nesreen Mostafa Kamel, M.D Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine – Beni-Suef University, Benisuef, Egypt Tel: +201141124433 nsreen_walid@yahoo.com **Background:** Vancomycin resistant Enterococci (VRE) is important opportunistic nosocomial pathogens due to rapid spread, and limited treatment options. **Objectives:** The aims of this study were to assess incidence of enterococcal infections and VRE in adult immunocompromised patients and detection of asa1 and vanA genes among these isolates. **Methodology:** The study included 80 adult immunocompromised patients admitted to Beni-Suef University Hospital. Antimicrobial susceptibility was done by disc diffusion method then MIC was done for vancomycin resistant strains and Enterococcus was considered VRE if the MIC was $\geq 16\mu g/ml$. PCR was done for detection of vanA and asa1 genes. **Results:** Enterococci were detected in 36 samples, 22.2% of isolates were vancomycin resistant. vanA and asa1 were detected in 33.3% and 63.8% of isolates respectively. VanA gene was detected in 4 (50%) of VRE whereas vanA gene was detected in 4 VSE isolates. **Comclusion:** Further studies are needed for detection of other virulence and resistance genes and their role in bacterial pathogenicity.

INTRODUCTION

Enterococcus is a genus of widespread facultative anaerobic, non-spore forming Gram-positive cocci arranged singly, in pairs or short chains. In the past, Enterococci were classified as an important member of the genus streptococcus. They are an essential component of alimentary tract microbiota in man and warm-blooded animals¹. Although considered to be harmless bacterial flora, Enterococci have emerged as one of the leading causes of hospital acquired infections. Enterococci are associated with urinary tract infection, intra-abdominal or pelvic wound infections, bacteraemia, infective endocarditis and rarely meningitis. Enterococcus faecium and Enterococcus faecalis are the species that are incriminated in major part of enterococcal infections²⁻⁴.

Several genes encoding for virulence factors have been described in *E. faecium and E. faecalis* and related to bacterial pathogenicity including *asa1*, *esp*, *hyl*, *gelE*, and *cyl*⁵. Aggregation substance (AS) is a surface protein adhesion encoded by *asa1 gene* and mediates contact of the donor and recipient bacterial cells through binding to Enterococcal binding substance allowing efficient transfer of transmissible conjugative plasmids. Moreover, AS promotes bacterial adhesion to extracellular matrix helping bacterial colonization and also helps *Enterococci* to resist phagocytosis through inhibition of reactive oxygen species production inside macrophages ^{6,7}.

Treatment of enterococcal infections is challenging as *Enterococci* are inherently resistant to several classes of antimicrobial agents such as cephalosporins, monobactams, sulphonamides and clindamycin Moreover, overuse of broad spectrums antimicrobial agents in hospital particularly in intensive care units exert a selective pressure on the organism leading to mutation. Enterococcal resistance to vancomycin or teichoplanin is of critical concern due to their importance in treatment of multi-drug resistant strains. The mechanism of enterococcal resistance to vancomycin involves interference with cell wall synthesis by interacting with D-alanyl-D-alanin group of the peptidoglycan chains⁹. There are nine phenotypes of acquired vancomycin resistance in enterococci including Van A, B, C, D, E, G, L, M, and vanN. The most predominant types worldwide are VanA and VanB, in which the genes encoding resistance are associated with mobile genetic elements. vanA display a high degree of resistance to vancomycin and teicoplanin and mainly associated *Enterococcus faecium*¹⁰. This study aims to detect incidence of enterococcal infections and VRE in adult immunocompromised patients with detection of vanA and asa1 genes among these enterococcal isolates.

METHODOLOGY

This study was carried out at Beni-Sueif University Hospital over a period of one year from June 2016 to June 2017. The study was conducted on 36 enterococcal isolates collected from a total 80 immunocompromised adult patients admitted into different wards including Intensive Care Unit, Rheumatology Unit and Dialysis Unit. These 80 clinical specimens were as follow; 42 urine, 31 blood and 7 sputum samples. Samples were sent to the Clinical Microbiology Laboratory for further investigations.

The study was approved by Ethics Committee, Faculty of Medicine, Beni-Suef University.

Bacterial identification:

Enterococcal isolates were identified by the standard laboratory methods including colony morphology on blood agar, Gram stained smear, catalase negative test, growth on bile esculin producing blackening of agar (Oxoid Co, England). Every enterococcal sample was collected on broth glycerol in 2 epindorphs and stored at-70°c for subsequent PCR analysis.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing:

Agar disc diffusion method was performed, and interpreted according to the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute guideline (CLSI)¹¹. The following antimicrobials were tested: Ciprofloxacin (5 μ g/disk), Levofloxacin (5 μ g/disc), Erythromycin (15 μ g/disc), Vancomycin (30mcg/disc), Teicoplanin (30 mcg/disc), Amoxicillin-Clavulanic acid (30 μ g/disc), Kanamycin, Ofloxacin (5 μ g/disc), Doxycycline (30 μ g/disc) and finally Nitrofurantoin (300 μ g/disc) only for urine samples (Oxoid Co. England)¹².

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) for *VRE* was determined using agar dilution method according to CLSI 2016 using Brain-heart infusion agar (Oxoid Co. England). *Enterococci* which had MIC value $\geq 32\mu$ g/mL were considered as resistant; MIC value of 8–16 μ g/mL as intermediately resistant; and MIC of ≤ 4 µg/mL were considered susceptible to vancomycin. Any *Enterococcus* was considered *VRE* if the MIC was $\geq 16\mu$ g/ml¹³⁻¹⁵.

Conventional Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for detection of *vanA* and *asa1* genes:

All enterococcal isolates were taken for detection of *vanA* gene and *asa1* gene using PCR in Microbiology Department for Research and postgraduate studies in Faculty of Pharmacy and Biochemistry Department in Faculty of Medicine, Beni-Suef University. DNA templates were prepared by boiling protocol method using heat block (Fisher scientific, England) and purified with phenol chloroform protocol ¹⁶. Polymerase chain reaction was performed in a total volume of 25 µl consisting of 1µl of each primer, 12.5 µl Dream Taq Green PCR Master Mix (2X), 250 ng of genomic DNA and then the volume was completed to 25 µl with

nuclease-free water. The negative control contained all the reagents except DNA template. PCR amplification for *VanA* and Asa1was performed by using the following sets of primers synthesized by Invitrogen Company, UK. Primers for *vanA* gene Forward 5-GGG AAA ACG ACA ATT GC-3 and Reverse 5-GTA CAA TGC GGC CGT TA-3 (732pb) and for *asa1* gene is Forward 5- GCA CGC TAT TAC GAA CTA TGA -3 and for Reverse 5- TAA GAA AGA ACA TCA CCA CGA -3 (375pb)^{5,17}.

Programmable thermal controller PCR machines DNA thermal cycler (Biometra An Analytic Jena Company, Germany and Sensquest labcycler, Germany.) were used. Thermal cycler was used under the conditions as shown in table 1¹⁸.

Table 1: Thermal cycler conditions used foramplification of asa1 and van A genes

	asa1	van A
Initial denaturation	95°C for	95°C for
	30 s	30 s
Annealing	49°C for	50°C for
_	90 s	60 s
Extension	72°C for	72°C for
	60s,	60s,
Final extension	72°C for 5	72°C for 5
	min	min

PCR products were analyzed by gel electrophoresis and the amplified genes were visualized by placing on UV transilluminator (Whatman, Biometra, Germany) at 220 nl wave length and were photographed directly. **Data management and statistical analysis:**

All collected data and results were revised for completeness and consistency. Pre-coded data were entered into the computer using "Microsoft Office Excel Software" program (2010) for windows. Data were then transferred to the Statistical package of Social Science Program (SPSS), Version 22.0 (IBM Corp., New York, USA). All data were expressed by a count of patients and were analyzed using chi-square test at pvalues<0.05 to be significant.

RESULTS

This study was conducted on 80 immunocompromised patients admitted to different hospital wards over the period of one year. *Enterococci* were isolated from 36 (45%) of the total collected 80 samples. Most of our enterococcal infections were detected in patients admitted to ICU department (48.8%) as shown in table (2). The majority of our enterococcal isolates were recovered from urine samples (61.2%) followed by blood (33.3%) and sputum (5.5%).

Among our patients, 25% had liver failure, 17.5% had renal failure, 6.25% had malignancy, and 12.5% had SLE. As regards diabetes mellitus (DM) 42.5% of patients had underlying DM.

Table	2:	Frequence	cy of	enter	rococci	amo	ng
immun	ocon	npromised	patients	and	distribu	ıtion	of
patients in different departments							

Departments	Number	Percentage	Enterococci	
	(n=80)	(%)	(N=36)	
ICU	39	48.8%	13	
Internal	16	20%	10	
medicine				
Oncology	6	7.5%	1	
Rheumatology	7	8.7%	2	
Nephrology	12	15%	10	

Frequency of antibiotic resistance among the 36 Enterococcal isolates:

100% of our enterococcal isolates were resistant to Amoxicillin Clavulanic and 72.5% were resistant to Erythromycin. Meanwhile, about 50% of isolates were resistant to Kanamycin, Ciprofloxacin, and Ofloxacin. Resistance to Vancomycin, and Teicoplanin were 22.2%. Figure:1.



Fig. 1: Frequency of antibiotic resistance among the 36 enterococcal isolates

Percentage of *asa1* and *vanA* genes among the enterococcal isolates:

Of the 36 enterococcal isolates, 23(63.3%) were *asa1* gene positive, and 12(33.3%) were *vanA* gene positive as shown in Figures 2 & 3.



Fig. 2: Gel electrophoresis of PCR amplified *asa1* gene (378bp) in enterococcal isolates. Lane M was 100bp DNA ladder. Lane 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18 considered as positive for *asa1* gene . Lane 8, 13, 16 considered as negative for *asa1* gene.



Fig. 3: Gel electrophoresis of PCR amplified *van A* **gene (732bp) in enterococcal isolates.** Lane M was 100 bp DNA ladder. Lane 2 considered as positive for *van A* gene. Lane3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10, ,11,12,13,14,15,16, 17, 18 considered as negative for *van A* gene

Relation between *asa1* and *vanA* genes and vancomycin resistance phenotype:

Our study revealed that *asa1* gene was positive in 50% of *VRE* isolates and 67.8% of VSE isolates while *van A* gene was positive in 50% of *VRE* isolates and 28.5% of *VSE* isolates.

Relation between *asa1* gene and different risk factors among the 36 enterococcal isolates:

There was no statistically significant difference (p-value >0.05) between positive and negative *asa1* gene, as regards usage of antibiotic, and distribution in different departments. On the other hand, there was a statistically significant difference (p-value <0.05) as regards presence of DM and presence of underlying disease with high percentage of positive *asa1* among liver failure patients (60.9%).

There was no statistically significant difference (p-value >0.05) between positive and negative *van A*, as regards presence of diabetes mellitus disease, usage of antibiotic, and distribution in different departments. On the other hand, there was a statistically significant

difference (p-value <0.05) between positive and negative *van A*, as regards presence of underling disease with high percentage of positive *van A*, among renal failure patients (66.7%).

DISCUSSION

In the last few decades, enterococci have emerged as important nosocomial pathogens and the most important reason for this is the trend of increasing antimicrobial resistance seen in these organisms¹⁹. The majority of our enterococcal isolates were recovered from urine specimens followed by blood and sputum respectively. Our result coincides with Hasani et al as they reported that highest number of their enterococcal isolates were recovered from the urine and blood samples respectively ²⁰. Also, in Shenawy et al studies isolation of *Enterococci* and it was significantly higher from urine followed by endotracheal aspirate, sputum and wound swab specimens respectively ²¹.

All our enterococcal isolates were resistant to Amoxicillin- Clavulanic followed by resistance to Erythromycin while Vancomycin and Teicoplanin were the most effective antibiotics. Batistão et al reported that resistance to Erythromycin was the most frequent among all antibiotics they used followed by and Ciprofloxacin²². Tetracycline Regarding Vancomycin resistance our study showed that 8 (22.2%) isolates of enterococcal isolates were resistant to Vancomycin (VRE), this was in correspondence with Shokry et al reported that the VRE percentage was 14.9% of overall isolates²³. In contrast Praharaj et al study revealed that 34 (9.26%) out of 367 isolates of Enterococcus species were Vancomycin resistant 24. The study conducted by Shenawy, et al reported that Vancomycin resistance was as high as 35.2% among enterococcal clinical isolates²¹. The high their percentage of VRE among our isolates probably reflects the increased use of Vancomycin in our hospital over the past few years. This fact shows the importance of strict enforcement of antibiotic policies with greater adherence to infection control measures in order to prevent emergence and spread of antibiotic resistant bacteria.

Several studies reported that *vanA* genotype is of a serious concern due to the risk of transmission of this gene to other organisms. From an epidemiological point of view, the most dangerous *VRE* are *vanA* and *vanB* genotypes as they are responsible for the majority of acquired transferable resistance ²⁵. In the present study, *vanA* was detected in 33.3% (12 out of 36) of enterococcal isolates. *VanA* genotype was detected in 50% (4 out of 8 isolates) of *VRE* similarly Zadeh et al study revealed that 59.09% of *VRE* contained *VanA* genes 26 and was lower than Prahraj et al study who reported that *vanA* gene was found in 87.5% of their *VRE* isolates ²⁴.

In the present study, there were 4 *vanA* gene negative isolates resistant to both vancomycin and teicoplanin and this phenotypic pattern may be mediated by other van genes so, we recommend performance of further PCR analysis for detection of other vancomycin resistance genes. Our study detected *vanA* gene in 8 of 28 VSE which suggest that these genes were nonfunctioning.

Our study detected asa1 gene in 63.8% of enterococcal isolates which was to some extent comparable to the study conducted by Elsner et al in which asa1 gene was detected in 40% of their enterococcal isolates ²⁷. In other studies by Cafini et al and Archimbaud et al asa1 gene was detected in 78.5% of their enterococcal isolates ^{28, 29}.

Our study revealed also a high percentage of *asa1* among liver failure patients (60.9%) while *vanA* gene was high among renal failure patients (66.7%). This may be attributed to their overuse of antibiotics as they are usually undergo frequent hemodialysis sessions and often have complicated illnesses, which may places them at greater risk for *VRE* infection or colonization.

In conclusion *VRE* has become a critical nosocomial pathogen because of its rapid spread, limited options for treatment therefore a strict adherence to infection control measures with avoidance of abuse of antimicrobial chemotherapeutic agents are key factors to stop the circle of antimicrobial resistance. Further studies are needed including phenotypic identification of enterococcal species, biofilm detection and molecular studying of other virulence and vancomycin resistance genes.

Ethics approval and consent to participate:

The study was approved from clinical pathology department, Faculty of Medicine, Beni-Suef University. A signed informed consent was obtained from patients. The ethical committee signed on the work approval.

Acknowledgement:

I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to my professor Mona El-Khlousy, Professor of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of medicine Beni-Suef University for providing a good scientific atmosphere and for all her support and help.

Conflicts of interest:

- The authors declare that they have no financial or non-financial conflicts of interest related to the work done in the manuscript.
- Each author listed in the manuscript had seen and approved the submission of this version of the manuscript and takes full responsibility for it.
- This article had not been published anywhere and is not currently under consideration by another journal or a publisher.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sreeja S, Babu PRS, Prathab AG.: The prevalence and the characterization of the enterococcus species from various clinical samples in a tertiary care hospital. Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR 2012 6, 1486-1488.
- 2. Lebreton F, Willems R, Gilmore M: Enterococcus Diversity, Origins in Nature, and Gut Colonization, Edition. Eye and Ear Infirmary, Boston: Massachusetts, 2014, pp.
- Firouzeh F, Akhi MT, Oskouei M: Antibiotic resistance of enterococci isolated from clinical specimens. Medical journal of tabriz university of medical sciences, 2010 32.
- Neelakanta A, Sharma S, Kesani VP, Salim M, Pervaiz A, Aftab N, Mann T, Tashtoush N, Karino S, Dhar S, Kaye KS: Impact of Changes in the NHSN Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infection (CAUTI) Surveillance criteria on the Frequency and Epidemiology of CAUTI in Intensive Care Units (ICUs). Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology 2015 36, 346-349.
- Vankerckhoven V, Van Autgaerden T, Vael C, Lammens C, Chapelle S, Rossi R, Jabes D, Goossens H: Development of a multiplex PCR for the detection of asa1, gelE, cylA, esp, and hyl genes in enterococci and survey for virulence determinants among European hospital isolates of Enterococcus faecium. Journal of clinical microbiology 2004 42, 4473-4479.
- 6. Mundy LM, Sahm DF, Gilmore M: Relationships between enterococcal virulence and antimicrobial resistance. Clinical microbiology reviews 2000 ;13, 513-522.
- Süssmuth SD, Muscholl-Silberhorn A, Wirth R, Susa M, Marre R, Rozdzinski E: Aggregation substance promotes adherence, phagocytosis, and intracellular survival of Enterococcus faecalis within human macrophages and suppresses respiratory burst. Infection and immunity 2000; 68, 4900-4906.
- 8. Courvalin P: Genetics of glycopeptide resistance in Gram-positive pathogens. International Journal of Medical Microbiology 2005; 294, 479-486.
- Walsh C: Molecular mechanisms that confer antibacterial drug resistance. Nature 2000 ;406, 775-781.
- Hegstad K, Mikalsen T, Coque TM, Werner G, Sundsfjord A: Mobile genetic elements and their contribution to the emergence of antimicrobial resistant Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium. Clinical Microbiology and Infection 2010; 16, 541-554.

- Lebreton F, Depardieu F, Bourdon N, Fines-Guyon M, Berger P, Camiade S, Leclercq R, Courvalin P, Cattoir V: D-Ala-d-Ser VanN-type transferable vancomycin resistance in Enterococcus faecium. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 2011; 55, 4606-4612.
- 12. Jean BP, Franklin RC, George ME, Stephen GJ, James SL, Brandi L, David PN, Robin P, Mair P, Sandra SR, Jana MS, Maria MT, John DT, Melvin PW, Barbara LZ: Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing M100S, 26th Edition. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Edition., Wayne, USA, 2016, pp. 82-85.
- 13. Sambrook JF, Russell D: Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, Edition., 2001, pp.
- 14. Humphries RM, Schuetz AN: Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Bacteria That Cause Gastroenteritis. Clinics in Laboratory Medicine 2015; 35, 313-331.
- Limbago BM, Swenson JM: Special Phenotypic Methods for Detecting Antibacterial Resistance. In (ed):^(eds): Manual of Clinical Microbiology, Eleventh Edition, Edition. American Society of Microbiology, 2015, pp.
- 16. Rezvani J, Nasr R, Shamsabadi F, Akbari Eidgahi MR: Frequency of VanA, VanB and VanH variants amongst vancomycin-resistant enterococci isolated from patients in central region of Iran. Gastroenterology and hepatology from bed to bench 2016; 9, 308-315.
- 17. Arias CA, Panesso D, Singh KV, Rice LB, Murray BE.: Cotransfer of antibiotic resistance genes and a hylEfm-containing virulence plasmid in Enterococcus faecium. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 2009; 53, 4240-4246.
- Altay K, Aktas M, Dumanli N, Aydin M: Evaluation of a PCR and comparison with RLB for detection and differentiation of Theileria sp. MK and other Theileria and Babesia species of small ruminants. Parasitology Research 2008; 103, 319.
- Guzman Prieto AM, van Schaik W, Rogers MRC, Coque TM, Baquero F, Corander J, Willems RJL: Global Emergence and Dissemination of Enterococci as Nosocomial Pathogens: Attack of the Clones? Frontiers in microbiology 2016; 7, 788-788.
- 20. Hasani A, Sharifi Y, Ghotaslou R, Naghili B, Hasani A, Aghazadeh M, Milani M, Bazmani A: Molecular screening of virulence genes in highlevel gentamicin-resistant Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium isolated from clinical specimens in Northwest Iran. Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology 2012; 30, 175-181.
- 21. Shenawy G, Abdel-Latif R, Shedeed D: Detection of VanA, VanB and VanC Genes in Vancomycin

Resistant Enterococci in Zagazig University Hospitals. The Egyptian Journal of Medical Microbiology 2016; 25, 141-148.

- Batistão DW, Gontijo-Filho PP, Conceição N, Oliveira AG, Ribas RM.: Risk factors for vancomycin-resistant enterococci colonisation in critically ill patients. Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz 2012; 107, 57-63.
- Shokry M, Elhewala A, Megahed A, Fakhr A. Incidence of Vancomycin Resistant Enterococci Colonization in Zagazig University Pediatric ICU. Egyptian Journal of Medical Microbiology 2018; 27(1) 17-24
- 24. Praharaj I, Sujatha S, Parija SC: Phenotypic & genotypic characterization of vancomycin resistant Enterococcus isolates from clinical specimens. The Indian journal of medical research 2013; 138, 549-556.
- 25. Mihajlović-Ukropina M, Medić D, Jelesić Z, Gusman V, Milosavljević B, Radosavljevic B: Prevalence of different enterococcal species isolated from blood and their susceptibility to antimicrobial drugs in Vojvodina, Serbia, 2011-

2013. African Journal of Microbiology Research 2014; 8, 819-824.

- 26. Zadeh AH, Shojapour M, Nazari R, Akbari M, Sofian M and Abtahi H: Genotyping of Vancomycin Resistant Enterococci in Arak Hospitals. Jundishapur J Microbiol. 2015; 8(4): e16287.
- 27. Elsner HA, Sobottka I, Mack D, Laufs R, Claussen M, Wirth R: Virulence Factors of Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium Blood Culture Isolates. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases 2000; 19, 39-42.
- Archimbaud C, Shankar N, Forestier C, Baghdayan A, Gilmore MS, Charbonné F, Joly B: In vitro adhesive properties and virulence factors of Enterococcus faecalis strains. Research in Microbiology 2002; 153, 75-80.
- 29. Cafini F, Gómez-Aguado F, Corcuera M, Ramos C, Bas P, Collado L, Gomez-Lus M, Prieto J: Genotypic and phenotypic diversity in Enterococcus faecalis: Is agar invasion a pathogenicity score? Revista española de quimioterapia : publicación oficial de la Sociedad Española de Quimioterapia 2015; 28, 101-108.