EFFECT OF FARMYARD MANURE, BIO AND MINERAL NITROGEN FERTILIZER AND HILL SPACES ON RICE CROP PRODUCTIVITY.

Bassal, S.A.A.* and F.A. Zahran**

* Field Crop Research Institute, Agric. Res. Cent., Giza, Egypt.

** Soil, Water and Environment Research Institute, Agric. Res. Cent., Giza, Egypt

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were conducted in El-Serw Agricultural Research Station, Damiatta Governorate during 2000 and 2001 seasons to study the effect of farmyard manure rates (0 and 20 m3/fad), hill spaces (20 x 15, 20 x 20 and 20 x 25 cm) and four mineral nitrogen fertilizer rates (0, 20, 40 and 60 kg N/fad) with or without blue green algae (BGA) and their combinations on growth, yield and quality of rice "cv. Giza 178". The main results could be summarized as follows:

The obtained results showed that the adding of 20 m3/fad of farmyard manure significantly increased flag leaf area, plant height, number of panicles /m2, panicle length, number of filled grains/panicle, grains weight / panicle, 1000-grain weight, straw and grain yields/fad in both seasons, also P and K percentages of rice grains were significantly affected by farmyard manure in the first and second seasons. respectively, while protein percentage was not significantly affected in both seasons.

Results revealed that all estimated characters were significantly affected by the hill spaces in both seasons except K and protein percentages which were not

significantly affected in the first season.

Results indicated that bio and mineral nitrogen treatments had significant effects on all studied characters in both seasons. The combination between biofertilizer (BGA) and 40 kg N/fad treatment gave the highest grain yield in both seasons. Increasing N-fertilizer rate up to 60 kg N/fad + BGA significantly increased P.

K and protein percentages of rice grains in both seasons.

The interaction between the studied treatments showed that the highest grain yield of rice was obtained with application of 20 m3/fad farmyard manure and 20 x 15 cm hill spaces. The maximum number of panicles/ m2 and grain yield were observed in the rice plants fertilized by 20 m3/fad farmyard manure and 20 kg N/fad with BGA inoculation treatment in both seasons. Maximum grain yield/fad was obtained when rice plants were transplanted at 20 x 15 cm and fertilized with 40 kg N/fad + BGA (biofertilization). The highest grain yield was taken from the treatment of 20 m³/fad farmyard manure, 20 x 15 cm hill space and 20 kg N/fad + BGA in 2000 season.

Generally, it could be concluded that hill space 20 x 15 cm with the application of 20 m3/fad farmyard manure and 20 kg N/fad + BGA produced the highest grain yield/fad for Giza 178 rice variety under the conditions of El-Serw district.

INTRODUCTION

Rice (Oryza sativa, L.) is one of the most important cereal crops in the world as well as in Egypt. It ranks after cotton regarding to exportation. As a food crop, it contributes over 20 percent of the per capital cereal consumption. The need to raise its productivity more and more per unit land area is a native goal to meet the consistent demands from this crop.

Improvement of rice production can be achieved through optimizing the cultural practices such as applying farmyard manure, hill spaces and nitrogen and biological nitrogen fixation [Blue Green Algae (BGA)]. Meelu and Morris (1984) reported that 10 ton/ha farmyard manure + 60 kg N/ha produced as much rice as 120 kg N/ha. Sakthiviel (1990) found that combined application of organic fertilizer and inorganic fertilizer gave maximum yield of rice. Abd El-Fattah et al. (1994) concluded that nitrogen fertilizer either from urea and/or farmyard manure significantly increased grain yield of rice due to the significant increase in all yield components. Also they reported that nitrogen percentage of grains was significantly increased with the application of 4 ton/fad organic manure compared with the control treatment. El-Awage et al. (1996) found that NPK concentration in wheat grains were significantly increased with application of farmyard manure compared with that of the control treatment. Nour (1998) indicated that application of either chemical nitrogen or organic manure significantly increased grain yield compared with control treatment. El-Kholy et al. (1999) found that nitrogen fertilizer either from ammonium sulphate and/or organic manure significantly increased grain yield and nitrogen content in grains of rice. Ebaid (2000) found that panicle weight, 1000-grain weight and grain yield/fad were significantly increased as the organic manure increased up to 30 ton/ha, while 20 ton/ha was edequate for the highest values of panicle length, number of panicles/hill and number of grains/panicle of rice.

With respect to hill spaces, several studies reported that density is the important factor for limiting grain yield of rice and its components. Amir et al. (1984) stated that the highest grain yield of rice was obtained with hill spacing of 20 x 20 cm. Abd El-Rahman et al. (1986) found that the closer spacing of 20 x 10 cm produced the maximum number of panicles/m2 and grain and straw yields/fad of rice. El-Kalla et al. (1988b) indicated that increasing spacing between hills significantly increased grain protein content of rice. Ibrahim (1990) found that increasing hill spacing from 15 to 20 and 25 cm reduced rice grain yield. While grain protein content was increased by increasing hill spacing from 15 to 20 and 25 cm. Abd El-Rahman et al. (1996) revealed that hill spacing had significant effect on rice grain yield and most of its attributing variables for Giza 178 variety. The narrow hill spacing (20 x 10 or 20 x 15 cm) produced the highest grain yield/ha. El-Keredy et al. (2000) stated that grain yield of rice was increased by narrow hill spacing along with increasing the number of seedling per hill. Zahran (2000) indicated that the space of 15 cm between hills gave the tallest plants, highest values of number of panicles/m2 as well as grain and straw yields/fad of rice. While transplanting rice of 25 cm space among hills gave the highest values of number of filled grains/panicle and 1000-grain weight.

Concerning nitrogen effect, Abd El-Hafez (1982) reported that the concentration of N, P and K in rice grains increased gradually with the increase in nitrogen levels. Abd El-Rahman et al. (1986) found that raising nitrogen fertilizer level up to 150 kg N/ha was associated with marked increase in plant height, number of panicles/m², number of filled grains/panicle, panicle weight as well as grain and straw yields/ha of rice. Hamissa et al. (1987) reported that protein content was significantly

increased as nitrogen level increased up to 192 kg N/ha. El-Kalla et al. (1988a) reported that raising nitrogen levels up to 60 kg N/fad significantly increased flag leaf area, number of panicle/m², panicle length and weight, 1000-grain weight as well as grain and straw yields/fad of rice. Bassal et al. (1996) and Sharief et al. (1998) stated that raising nitrogen fertilizer rate up to 60 kg N/fad significantly increased grain yield of rice and its attributing variables. Ebaid and Ghanem (2001) found that increasing nitrogen level up to 165 kg N/ha significantly increased N and K percentage in rice grains.

Previous studies have shown different effects for biofertilization on the yield and its components in rice. Yanni et al. (1982) found that inoculation with BGA and increasing the nitrogen fertilizer rates increased plant Ncontent at booting stage and plant height, the effective tillers and panicle weight of rice. Ashoub et al. (1993) found that the highest yields/fad were given by 20 kg N + 10 kg Nostoc (biofertilization) or 40 kg N + 5 kg Nostoc. Protein concentration in rice grains increased with increasing rate of N and Nostoc. Abd El-Fattah et al. (1994) concluded that inoculation with BGA significantly increased rice grain yield and its components. The highest values of nitrogen content of rice grains was obtained from 30 kg N/fad (FYM) + 30 kg N/fad (Urea) + BGA treatment. El-Kholy (1997) found that the highest values of grain yield and its components and N, P, K and protein percentage in rice grains were obtained from 40 kg N/fad and inoculation by BGA at rate 1000 g/fad treatment. Hammad et al. (1997) concluded that the algalization significantly increased the 1000-grain weight and grain and straw yields/fad of rice. The highest yield was achieved with the treatment of BGA, 20 kg N/fad and 15 kg P₂O₅/fad. Sharief et al. (1998) stated that inoculation with BGA was significantly affected on growth, yield and yield components of rice compared to without biofertilization. El-Kholy et al. (1999) found that BGA inoculation with addition of 20 kg N/fad and organic manure at the level of 20 m3/fad produced the highest increase of rice yield and soil fertility. While the highest value of nitrogen content in grains of rice was obtained from the treatment of BGA inoculation with addition of 40 kg N/fad and organic manure at the rate of 20 m3/fad. Amin et al. (2000) stated that Algalization treatment with NPK of (60-15-24) resulted in higher grain yield of rice, while nitrogen and phosphorous percentages in rice grains was not significantly affected by different treatments.

This investigation was carried out to study the effect of farmyard manure, hill spaces as well as mineral nitrogen rates, biological nitrogen fixation (BGA) and their combinations on growth, productivity and quality of rice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out in El-Serw Agricultural Research station, Dammiatta Governorate in 2000 and 2001 seasons, to study the effect of farmyard manure rates (0 and 20 m³/fad), three hill spaces (20 x 15, 20 x 20 and 20 x 25 cm) and four nitrogen fertilizer rates (0, 20, 40 and 60 kg N/fad) with or without blue green algae (BGA) and their combinations on growth, yield components, yield and quality of Giza 178 rice variety (*Oryza sative*, L.). A split-split plot design with four replications was

used. The main plots were occupied by the two farmyard manure rates, while the sub plots were assigned to the hill spaces and nitrogen fertilizer with or without blue green algae (BGA) treatments were devoted to the sub-sub plots. The size of each sub-sub plot was 3 x 3.5 m occupying an area of 10.5 m2 (1/400 fad). Blue green algae (BGA) was obtained from Agriculture Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture. The nursery land was well prepared through two ploughings, harrowing and leveling. Rice grains at rate of 60 kg/fad were soaked in water for about 36 hours and incubated for 24 hours. Thereafter, it were handy broadcasted with 2-3 cm of standing water in the nursery land on 20 and 24th May in the first and second seasons, respectively. The preceding winter crop was wheat in both seasons. The permanent field was well prepared and Calcium Superphosphate (15.5 P2O5) at the rate of 100 kg/fad was added on the dry soil before ploughing. Thirty days old seedlings were transplanted in the permanent field. The other usual agricultural practices of growing rice were conducted as recommended by Ministry of Agriculture except the factors under study. The algalization was inoculated 7 days after transplanting by mixing blue green algae powder very well with suitable quantity of softy soil at rate of 1 kg/fad. Nitrogen fertilizer in the form of Urea (46 % N) was applied as per treatments in two equal doses, the first dose was added 20 days after transplanting, whereas the second dose was added at the panicle initiation. Samples of soil were taken from the surface layer (0-30 cm depth) before FYM addition. Mechanical and chemical analysis of the experimental soil are shown in Table (1). FYM was applied at 15 days before transplanting. The chemical analysis of FYM is given in Table (2).

Table 1: Mechanical and chemical analysis of the experimental soil in 2000 and 2001 seasons.

Soil contents	2000 season	2001 season
1- Mechanical analysis		
Sand (%)	16.20	17.15
Silt (%)	21.36	21.12
Clay (%)	62.44	61.73
Texture class	Clayey	Clayey
2- Chemical analysis		
Organic matter (%)	1.63	1.81
Available nitrogen ppm	25.65	27.80
Available phosphorus ppm	7.7	7.9
Available potassium ppm	278.0	267.0
Soil reaction pH (1:2.5)	8.1	8.3
E.C. m-mohes (1:5)	4.2	3.9

Table 2: Chemical analysis of FYM used in 2000 and 2001 seasons.

Analysis	Analysis 2000 season					
OM %	9.0	11.0				
Total N %	0.4	0.4				
Total P ₂ O ₅ %	0.4	0.3				
Total K ₂ O %	1.2	1.4				

The studied characters:

At harvest, ten guarded hills were taken at random from the inner area of each experimental plot to estimate the following characters:

1. Flag leaf area (cm2). The flag leaf area was calculated using the following equation of Palaniswamy and Gomez (1974).

- Leaf area (LA) = K (LW)

- Where: L= leaf length, W= Maximum width of the leaf and K = factor of 0.75.
- 2. Plant height (cm). The average height of ten randomly chosen plants from each plot and measured from ground level to the panicle tip.

3. Number of panicles/m² counted in randomly chosen 1 m² in each plot.

4. Panicle length (cm). Average length of randomly ten panicles.

5. Number of filled grains/panicle. Average number of filled grains from ten

6. Grains weight/panicle (g). It was estimated from 10 randomly chosen main panicles from each plot.

7. 1000-grain weight (g). Average weight of 1000-grain randomly taken from

each plot.

8. Grain and straw yields (ton/fad). The plants in the inner six square meters of each experimental unit were harvested, collected together, labeled and tied. Plants were transfered to thresh and the grains were separated. The grain and straw yields were recorded in kg/m2, then it were converted to estimate grain and straw yields in tons/fad.

Chemical analysis:

Grains of rice were subjected to a chemical analysis to determine protein, phosphorus and potassium contents.

1- Micro Kjeldhal apparatus as described by A.O.A.C (1970) was used to determine nitrogen percentage.

2- Protein percentage of rough rice grains was calculated by multiplying its N percentage by the factor of 5.95 as outlined by Juliano (1985).

3- Phosphorus percentage was determined colourimetrically according to Jackson (1967).

4- Potassium percentage was estimated by flame photometer as described

by Chapman and Pratt (1961).

Obtained data were subjected to the statistical analysis as the usual technique of analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the split-split plot design. The treatment means were presented and compared using least significant differences (LSD) method as mentioned by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A- Effect of farmyard manure:

Data in Tables 3, 4 and 5 show clearly that flag leaf area plant height, number of panicles/m2, panicle length, number of filled grains/panicle, grains weight/panicle, 1000-grain weight and grain and straw yields/fad were significantly increased with application of farmyard manure in both seasons.

Table 3: Averages of flag leaf area (cm)², plant height (cm), number of panicles/m² and panicle length (cm) as affected by farmyard manure, hill spaces and nitrogen fertilizer (bio and mineral and their combinations) in 2000 and 2001 seasons.

Characters	Flag leaf area (cm) ²			Plant height (cm)		o. of les/m²	Panicle length (cm)	
Treatments	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001
A- FYM (m3/f	ad):	Taran Sanak						
0	14.9	14.6	84.0	79.8	284.3	280.4	20.6	20.0
20	18.2	17.4	88.4	85.1	313.8	312.7	23.9	23.4
F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
B- Hill space	s (cm):							
20 x 15	15.6	15.1	88.5	84.3	327.6	323.3	22.0	21.5
20 x 20	16.6	16.0	86.7	83.3	298.9	296.4	22.3	21.7
20 x 25	17.4	16.9	83.4	79.4	270.6	270.0	22.5	21.9
F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
LSD (5%)	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.7	3.0	2.8	0.1	0.2
C- Bio and m	ineral i	nitrogen	fertiliz	er treatr	nents (k	g N/fad):	
0	13.5	12.9	32.5	77.5	260.8	256.1	19.7	19.2
20	15.3	15.0	83.7	79.1	286.8	282.5	22.0	21.4
40	17.6	17.1	86.4	82.9	313.4	307.9	22.3	21.7
60	17.9	17.4	88.3	84.8	315.1	311.0	22.4	21.8
BGA	15.1	14.6	83.3	78.9	286.1	282.0	21.6	21.1
20 + BGA	17.0	16.3	87.1	82.7	309.2	309.2	23.2	22.6
40 + BGA	17.9	17.3	88.6	86.3	319.1	315.0	23.4	22.9
60 + BGA	18.1	17.4	89.7	87.2	301.9	308.8	23.5	22.9
F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
LSD (5%)	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.7	2.6	3.7	0.1	0.2

Applying 20 m³/fad farmyard manure increased the grain and straw yields/fad by 22.6 % and 15.96 % compared with the control in 2000 season and by 19.30 % and 14.81 in 2001 season, respectively. These increases of grain and straw yields/fad due to farmyard manure may be attributed to that farmyard manure improves soil structure and leads to an increase in the availability of nutrients and enhances reclamation of alkali soils. Such results are in accordance with whose obtained by Meelu and Morris (1984), Sakthiviel (1990), Abd El-Fattah et al. (1994), Nour (1998), El-Kholy et al. (1999) and Ebaid (2000).

Concerning the effect of farmyard manure on P, K and protein percentages in rice grains are presented in Table (5). The data of chemical analysis revealed that P percentage in the first season and K percentage in the second season were significantly affected by farmyard manure. While protein percentage was not significantly affected by farmyard manure in both seasons. The highest values of P, K and protein percentages of rice grains were obtained with application of 20 m³/fad farmyard manure in both seasons. These results agree with those obtained by El-Awage et al. (1996) and El-Kholy et al. (1999).

Table (4): Averages of number of filled grains/panicle, grains weight/ panicle (g), 1000-grain weight (g) and grain yield (ton/fad) as affected by farmyard manure, hill spaces and nitrogen fertilizer (bio and mineral and their combinations) in 2000 and 2001 seasons.

Characters	No. of filled grains/ panicle			weight/ cle (g)		grain ht (g)		n yield n/fad)					
Treatments	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001					
A- FYM (m³/fad):													
0	127.3	125.0	2.84	2.72	20.28	19.99	2.711	2.870					
20	134.9	131.7	3.04	2.95	21.97	21.57	3.324	3.424					
F-test	****	3 * 20	*	**	**	**	**	**					
B- Hill spaces	(cm):		A S. A										
20 x 15	126.9	124.6	2.74	2.63	20.75	20.40	3.163	3.325					
20 x 20	131.5	128.3	2.98	2.88	21.11	20.84	2.995	3.114					
20 x 25	134.9	131.7	3.10	3.00	21.52	21.10	2.894	3.003					
F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**					
LSD (5%)	0.8	0.9	0.03	0.04	0.11	0.16	0.041	0.025					
C- Bio and mir	neral nitr	ogen fer	tilizer tr	eatments	(kg N/fa	ad):							
0	116.9	115.3	2.55	2.42	18.69	18.51	2.026	2.166					
20	128.9	126.7	2.87	2.77	20.44	20.05	2.779	2.891					
40	134.5	130.4	3.11	3.01	21.86	21.48	3.255	3.365					
60	137.2	132.5	3.11	3.01	21.99	21.82	3.310	3.439					
BGA	125.9	122.8	2.74	2.63	20.51	19.82	2.641	2.754					
20 + BGA	136.4	132.4	3.08	2.98	21.96	21.58	3.292	3.435					
40 + BGA	137.1	135.7	3.11	3.04	21.92	21.73	3.535	3.715					
60 + BGA	131.9	129.8	2.95	2.82	21.65	21.26	3.302	3.412					
F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**					
LSD (5%)	1.4	1.7	0.04	0.06	0.17	0.20	0.035	0.035					

B- Effect of hill spaces:

Data listed in Tables 3, 4 and 5 show the effect of hill spaces on some characters of growth and productivity of rice in both seasons. Results revealed that all estimated characters were significantly affected by the hill space in 2000 and 2001 seasons. There was a tendency of decreasing plant height, number of panicles/m², grain and straw yields/fad and increasing flag leaf area, panicle length, number of filled grains/panicle, grains weight/panicle and 1000-grain weight in favor of wider spacing in both seasons. The highest grain yield was produced with hill spacing of 20 x 15 cm in both seasons. The increase in grain yield/fad with the narrow hill spaces may be attributed to the increase in number of panicles/m². Similar results were obtained by Abd El-Rahman et al. (1986), El-Kalla et al. (1988b), Ibrahim (1990), Abd El-Rahman et al. (1996), El-Keredy et al. (2000) and Zahran (2000).

With respect to P, K and protein percentages in grains of rice, data presented in Table (5) indicated that P, K and protein percentage in grains of rice were significantly affected by hill spaces in the first and second seasons, except K % and protein % which were not significantly affected in the first season. Protein percentage positively responded to increase in hill spacing from 20 x 15 to 20 x 20 or 20 x 25 cm. Meanwhile, P and K % were detected with the increment of hill spacing up to 20 x 25 cm. Such results are matched with those reported by El-Kalla et al. (1988b) and Ibrahim (1990).

Table (5): Averages of straw yield (ton/fad), P, K and protein percentages in grains of rice as affected by farmyard manure, hill spaces and nitrogen fertilizer (bio and mineral and their combinations) in 2000 and 2001 seasons.

Characters	Straw yield/ (ton/fad)		P	P %		%	Protein %	
Treatments	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001
A- FYM (m3/f	ad):							
0	3.164	2.904	0.199	0.202	0.271	0.266	9.48	9.71
20	3.669	3.334	0.212	0.211	0.291	0.293	10.31	10.45
F-test	**	**	*	N.S	N.S	*	N.S	N.S
B- Hill space	s (cm):			187				
20 x 15	3.622	3.340	0.204	0.213	0.284	0.285	9.79	9.85
20 x 20	3.405	3.092	0.209	0.205	0.281	0.282	9.94	10.13
20 x 25	3.222	2.925	0.203	0.203	0.277	0.271	9.96	10.25
F-test	**	**	*	**	N.S	*	N.S	**
LSD (5%)	0.073	0.041	0.004	0.004		0.008		0.17
C- Bio and m	nineral	nitrogen	fertiliz	er treatr	nents (k	kg N/fac	d):	
0	2.877	2.672	0.179	0.188	0.263	0.255	8.50	8.78
20	3.245	2.959	0.200	0.200	0.273	0.276	9.72	10.02
40	3.592	3.255	0.212	0.207	0.273	0.282	10.07	10.43
60	3.617	3.293	0.215	0.212	0.290	0.289	10.27	10.51
BGA	3.163	2.903	0.197	0.188	0.271	0.262	8.89	8.98
20 + BGA	3.542	3.192	0.199	0.209	0.282	0.283	9.97	10.00
40 + BGA	3.674	3.356	0.216	0.217	0.292	0.284	10.54	10.64
60 + BGA	3.623	3.323	0.227	0.232	0.303	0.303	11.15	
F-test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
LSD (5%)	0.029	0.035	0.006	0.009	0.011	0.012	0.48	0.48

C- Effect of bio and mineral nitrogen fertilizer treatments:

Results presented in Tables 3, 4 and 5 indicate that bio and mineral nitrogen treatments had significant effect on flag leaf area, plant height, number of panicles/m², panicle length, number of filled grains/panicle, grains weight/panicle, 1000-grain weight and grain and straw yields/fad of rice in both seasons. The increasing of mineral nitrogen fertilizer rate from 0 to 60 kg N/fad increased all studied traits as compared with those of the control in both seasons. Consequently the increments of grain yield and straw yields/fad were 63.38 % and 25.72 % in 2000 season and 58.77 % and 23.24 in 2001 season, respectively. The increase in grain yield/fad may be attributed to the role of nitrogen in activation the growth and hence increasing yield components i.e. number of panicles/m², number of grains/panicle, panicle weight and 1000-grain weight. Similar results were obtained by Abd El-Rahman et al. (1986), El-Kalla et al. (1988a), Bassal et al. (1996) and Shareif et al. (1998).

However, inoculated plants of rice by bio nitrogen (BGA) fertilizer increased grain yield/fad by 30.36 % and 27.15 % when compared with the control in 2000 and 2001 seasons, respectively. The combination between biofertilizer (BGA) and 40 kg N/fad treatment gave the highest values for all

studied characters of growtn, yield and its components except flag leaf area, plant height and panicle length which were at biofertilizer (BGA) and 60 kg N/fad treatment. The combination between biofertilizer (BGA) and 40 kg N/fad treatment increased grain yield/fad by 74.48 % and 71.51 % as compared with the control treatment in 2000 and 2001 seasons, respectively. These increases in grain yield/fad due to the combinations among biofertilizer (BGA) and mineral nitrogen may be attributed mainly to N₂-fixation by BGA. These results are in harmony with those of Yanni et al. (1982), Ashoub et al. (1993), Abd El-Fattah et al. (1994), Hammad et al. (1997), El-Kholy (1997), Shareif et al. (1998), El-Kholy et al. (1999) and Amin et al. (2000).

Concerning the effect of nitrogen fertilizer treatments on P, K and protein percentages in rice grains, the data presented in Table (5) indicate that P %, K % and protein % of rice grains were significantly affected by nitrogen fertilizer treatments in both seasons. Increasing nitrogen rates from 0 to 60 kg N/fad significantly increased P, K and protein percentages of rice grains in both seasons. Inoculation plants of rice by biofertilization (BGA) increased P, K and protein percentages compared with the control (0 kg N/fad) in 2000 and 2001 seasons. The combination between biofertilizer (BGA) and 60 kg N/fad treatment gave the highest values from P, K and protein percentages of rice grains in both seasons. This increase in protein percentage could be attributed to the role of BGA which was more effective in supplying plants with extra nitrogen lately during the grain filling period. Such results were supported by findings of Abd El-Hafez (1982), Hamissa et al. (1987), Ashoub et al. (1993), Abd El-Fattah et al. (1994), El-Kholy (1997) and Ebaid and Ghanem (2001).

D- Interaction effects:

The interaction between farmyard manure and hill spaces (Table 6) had significant effects on number of panicles/m² and straw yield/fad in both seasons and panicle length and grain yield/fad in one season only. Maximum grain yield/fad of rice was obtained with application 20 m³/fad farmyard manure and 20 x 15 cm hill spacing.

Table 6: Number of panicles/m², panicle length (cm), grain yield (ton /fad) and straw yield (ton /fad) as affected by the interaction between farmyard manure and hill spaces.

Characters Treatments		NO. 01		Panicle length	Grain yield	Straw yield		
rreaument		panic	les/m	(cm)	(ton/fad)	(ton/fad)		
FYM m³/fad	Hill spaces (cm)	2000	2001	2000	2000	2000	2001	
	20x15	314.8	310.7	20.4	3.091	3.352	3.087	
0 m ³ /fad	20x20	284.0	278.7	20.6	2.818	3.163	2.912	
o III noa	20x25	254.0	252.0	20.8	2.701	2.977	2.714	
	20x15	340.3	335.9	23.6	3.559	3.893	3.593	
20 m ³ /fad	20x20	313.9	314.1	23.9	3.409	3.646	3.273	
20 III nau	20x25	287.3	288.0	24.2	3.304	3.468	3.135	
F-test		nantana	**	1	**	**		
LSD (5%)		4.2	4.0	0.1	0.035	0.018	0.057	

The interaction between farmyard manure and nitrogen treatments (Table 7) had significant effect on flag leaf area, number of panicles/m², number of filled grains/ panicle, 1000-grain weight and grain yield/fad of rice in both seasons. The highest value of grain yield/fad was produced by application 20 m³ /fad farmyard manure and the addition of 20 kg N/fad + BGA in both seasons.

Table 7: Flag leaf area (cm)², number of panicles/m², number of grains/panicle, 1000-grain weight (g) and grain yield (ton/fad as affected by the interaction between farmyard manure and nitrogen fertilizer (bio and mineral and their combinations).

Characters Treatments		Characters Flag leaf area (cm²)		No. of panicles/m²		No. of filled grains /panicle		1000-grain weight (g)		Grain yield (ton/fad)	
FYM m³/fad	N-fertilizer (kg/fad)	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001
	0	11.9	11.5	235.3	230.4	112.4	113.6	17.7	17.6	1.834	1.680
	20	13.5	13.5	256.9	253.8	123.7	120.9	19.6	19.3	2.520	2.399
	40	16.0	15.4	304.9	296.7	128.9	126.0	21.4	21.1	3.131	3.038
ad	60	16.3	16.0	313.8	307.3	140.7	135.2	22.1	21.8	3.360	3.195
0 m³/fad	BGA	13.2	13.1	259.3	253.6	120.7	118.3	18.7	18.3	2.395	2.245
	20+BGA	15.4	14.9	274.3	279.0	126.7	123.9	20.8	20.5	2.949	2.734
_	40+BGA	16.4	16.1	316.8	313.6	134.1	133.6	21.1	21.0	3.444	3.220
	60+BGA	16.7	16.2	312.7	309.2	130.9	128.6	20.9	20.4	3.328	3.173
	0	15.0	14.4	286.2	281.8	121.3	117.0	19.6	19.4	2.498	2.371
	20	17.0	16.5	316.8	311.2	134.2	3.420.20	21.3	20.8	3.262	3.159
-	40	19.2	18.7	325.3	319.1	140.1	134.9	22.3	21.9	3.598	3.472
fac		19.6	18.9	313.1	314.7	133.7		1	21.8	3.519	3.425
m³/fad	60 BGA	16.9	16.0	312.9	310.4	131.1	127.3		21.3	3.113	3.038
20 1		18.7	17.8	344.0	339.3		CAN PROPERTY.	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF	22.7	3.921	3.850
2	20+BGA	19.5	18.5	321.3	316.4	140.0			22.5	3.786	3.649
	40+BGA	19.5	18.6	291.1	308.3	132.9		1	22.1	3.696	3.631
	60+BGA	19.0	10.0	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
	F-test SD (5%)	0.2	0.2	3.7	5.2	1.9	2.4	0.2	0.3	0.050	0.050

The interaction between hill spaces and nitrogen treatments (Table 8) had significant effect on grains weight/panicle and grain yield/fad in both seasons and flag leaf area, number of panicles/m², panicle length and protein percentage in the first season only. Grain yield/fad of rice reached its maximal from the treatment had the combination of 20 x 15 hill spacing and the addition of 40 kg N/fad + BGA. It was 3.917 and 3.670 ton/fad in 2000 and 2001 seasons, respectively.

The interaction among farmyard manure, hill spaces and nitrogen treatments (Table 9) had significant effects on grain yield/fad of rice in 2000 season only. Maximum grain yield/fad (4.032 ton/fad) was taken from the treatment 20 m³/fad farmyard manure, 20 x 15 cm hill spacing and 20 kg N/fad + BGA inoculation.

Finally, it can be stated that transplanting rice in hills 20 x 15 cm with adding 20 m³/fad farmyard manure and 20 kg N/fad + BGA inoculation was the recommended treatment to increase rice productivity under the conditions of El-Serv district.

Table 8: Flag leaf area (cm)², number of panicles/m², panicle length (cm), grains weight/ panicle (g), grain yield (ton/fad) and protein percentage as affected by the interaction between hill spaces and nitrogen fertilizer (bio and mineral and their combinations).

Characters Treatments				panicle length (cm)		weight cle (g)		yield /fad)	Protein %
Hill	N-fertilizer (kg/fad)	2000	2000	2000	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000
	0	12.0	288.7	19.7	2.4	2.2	2.355	2.177	8.24
	20	13.8	315.3	21.7	2.6	2.5	3.073	2.996	10.20
Cm	40	15.9	342.7	21.9	2.8	2.7	3.514	3.374	10.48
2	60	16.3	342.7	22.1	3.0	2.8	3.605	3.456	10.11
×	BGA	13.4	310.7	21.3	2.5	2.4	2.857	2.786	8.73
20	20+BGA	16.0	338.7	22.9	2.9	2.8	3.688	3.388	9.77
2	40+BGA	16.5	348.7	23.1	2.9	2.8	3.917	3.670	10.07
	60+BGA	16.6	333.7	23.2	2.9	2.7	3.590	3.456	10.70
	0	13.0	259.0	19.6	2.6	2.5	2.142	2.010	8.52
	20	15.1	286.8	22.0	3.0	2.9	2.870	2.737	9.59
CH	40	17.2	316.0	22.4	3.2	3.1	3.346	3.248	10.28
20 0	60	17.7	315.3	22.4	3.1	3.0	3.395	3.290	10.29
×2	BGA	14.8	287.0	21.6	2.8	2.7	2.744	2.611	9.18
20;	20+BGA	15.3	306.5	23.2	3.2	3.1	3.361	3.262	9.93
2	40+BGA	17.4	319.0	23.5	3.1	3.1	3.670	3.509	10.80
	60+BGA	17.6	301.7	23.5	2.9	2.8	3.582	3.295	10.95
	0	13.8	234.7	19.8	2.7	2.6	2.002	1.890	8.75
	20	16.1	258.3	22.2	3.1	2.9	2.730	2.604	9.37
CH	40	18.1	286.7	22.6	3.3	3.2	3.234	3.143	9.45
	60	18.3	282.3	22.6	3.3	3.2	3.318	3.185	10.41
x 25	BGA	15.4	260.7	21.8	2.9	2.8	2.660	2.527	8.93
20 ×	20+BGA	17.7	282.7	23.4	3.3	3.1	3.255	3.226	10.21
N	40+BGA	18.0	289.5	23.7	3.2	3.2	3.558	3.425	10.75
	60+BGA	18.0	270.3	23.7	3.1	2.9	3.263	3.155	11.81
	F-test	**	*	*	**	**	**	**	*
LS	SD (5%)	0.3	6.4	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.086	0.086	1.16

Table (9): Grain yield (ton/fad) as affected by the interaction among farmyard manure, hill spaces and nitrogen fertilizer (bio and mineral and their combination).

Treatn	Characters nents			Grain yie	eld (ton/fa	id) in 200	0 season		
	1100			N	I-fertilize	er (kg/fai	d)	原并基 格量	
FYM m³/fad	Hill spaces (cm)	0	20	40	60	BGA	20+BGA	40+BGA	60+BGA
0 m ³ /	20 x 15 20 x 20	2.044	2.730	3.290 3.108	3.570 3.276	2.481	3.345	3.724	3.544 3.283
fad	20 x 25	1.652	2.338	2.996	3.234	2.310	2.688	3.234	3.157
20 m³ /fad	20 x 15 20 x 20 20 x 25	2.665 2.478 2.352	3.416 3.248 3.122	3.738 3.584 3.472	3.640 3.514 3.402	3.234 3.094 3.010	4.032 3.909 3.822	3.909 3.766 3.682	3.836 3.682 3.570
	F-test SD (5%)					86			

REFERENCES

Abd El-Fattah, Faiza, K.; Dawlat, N. Abadi and M.H. Hegazy (1994). Effect of blue green algae inoculation, nitrogen fertilizer and farmyard manure on yield and yield components of rice. 6th Conf. Agron., Al-Azhar Univ., Cairo, Egypt, Vol. 1: 261-270.

Abd El-Hafez, S.A. (1982). Effect of irrigation and fertilization on rice yield

and soil properties. Ph. D. Thesis Fac. Agric. Mansoura Univ.

Abd El-Rahman, A.A.M.; I.R. Aidy and M.M.H. El-Nahal (1986). Productivity of rice as influenced by different planting spaces and nitrogen levels. Proc. 2nd Conf. Agron., Alexandria, Egypt, Vol. 1: 47-60.

Abd El-Rahman, A.A.M.; M.H Ghonema and A.A. Leilah (1996). Yield and its attributes of two rice entries as influenced by hill spaces and nitrogen levels under saline soil conditions. Proc. 7th Conf. Agron., Mansoura Univ., Eavpt. Vol.1:113-120.

Amin, M.A.A.; M.A. El-Akabawy and Nadia O. Monged (2000). Balanced nutrition and algalization for optimum yield of rice. Egypt, J. Appl. Sci.,

15(10): 321-336.

Amir, P.; A.L. Quyyum and M.R. Akthar (1984). Economically optimal plant density at different levels of fertilizer use for irrigated rice in the punjab. Pakistan J. of Agric. Res., 5(2): 71-77.

A.O.A.C. (1970). Association of official Agricultural Chemists Official Methods

of Analysis, 11th Ed., A.O.A.C., Washington, D.C. 832.

Ashoub, M.A.; A.M. Esmail; S.N. Shaalan and A.S. Osman (1993). Response of rice plant to mineral nitrogen and biofertilization. Annals of Agric. Sci., 38(1): 139-148.

Bassal, S.A.A.; A.M. Abd El-All; I.O.E. Metwally and K.E. El-Habbak (1996). Growth and yield of rice in relation to winter preceding Crops and Nfertilizer levels. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 21(1): 79-88.

Chapman, H.D. and P.E. Pratt (1961). Methods of analysis for soil, plant and

water. Univ. of California, Division of Agric. Sci.

Ebaid, R.A. (2000). Rice productivity as affected by integration of organic and inorganic nitrogen fertilizers. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 25(12): 7357-7365.

Ebaid, R.A. and S.A. Ghanem (2001). Effect of nitrogenous and potash fertilizers on the productivity of Sakha 101 rice cultivar. J. Agric. Sci.

Mansoura Univ., 26(4): 1833-1840.

El-Awage, T.I.; A.M. Hanna and I.M. El-Naggar (1996). Influence of mineral and organic nitrogen fertilization on wheat production and some soil physical properties. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 21(4): 1491-1500.

El-Kalla, S.E.; A.T. El-Kassaby; A.A. Kandil; A.N. Attia and I.O. El-Sayed (1988a). Response of rice cultivar (IR50)to nitrogen and zinc sulphate application. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 13(2): 629-634.

El-Kalla, S.E.; A.T. El-Kassaby; A.A. Kandil; A.N. Attia and I.O. El-Sayed (1988b). Response of rice cultivar (IR28) to nitrogen levels, hill spacing and their interaction. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 13(2): 635-641.

El-Keredy, M.S.; M.E. Mosalem; S.G. Sorour and A.M. Hegazy (2000). Effect of transplanting pattern and plant density on the short duration rice cultivar "Giza 177" Proc. 9th Conf. Agron., Minufiya Univ., Egypt, 19 p. (Abstract).

El-Kholy, M.H. (1997). Effect of soil irrigation levels, algalization and nitrogen application on rice and soil properties. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric,

Mansoura Univ., Egypt.

El-Kholy, M.H.; Y.M.Y. Abido and K.E. Nassar (1999). Effect of organic manure and nitrogen fertilization on efficiency of blue green aglae inoculation in paddy fields. Proc. of the international symposium on biological nitrogen fixation and crop production, Cairo, Egypt, 169-179.

Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural

reseasrch. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York.

Hamissa, M.R.; M.S. Balal; F.N. Mahrous; M. Nour and E.A. Wahab (1987). Fertilizer requirment of traditional and improved short saturated rice varieties. First Conf. Of Fert. (Availability & needs) April 13-16.

Hammad, S.A.; M.H. El-Mancy and M.Th.A. Kotb (1997). Algalization efficiency for rice production and reducing some of the pollution

sources. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 22(9): 3027-3038.

Ibrahim, E.M. (1990). Response of some rice cultivars to plant population and nitrogen fertilization. M. Sci. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Mansoura Univ., Egypt. Jakson, M.I. (1967). Soil chemical analysis prentic-Hall of India, New Delhi.

Juliano, B.O. (1985). Polysaccharides, protein, lipids of rice. Rice Chemistry

and Technology, 2nd Ed. Am. Assoc. Cereal. Chemists.

Meelu, O.P. and R.A. Morris (1984). Integrated management of plant nutrients rice-based cropping system. Fertilizer News, 29 (12): 161-164

Nour, M.A. (1998). Effect of farmyard manure and water regime on production of rice. Egypt, J. Agric. Res., 76(4): 1533-1547.

Palaniswamy, K.M. and K.A. Gomez (1974). Length-width method for estimating leaf area of rice. Agron. J., (66): 430-433.

Sakthiviel, S. (1990). Studies on the influence of interaction of different organic manure and graded levels of fertilizer nitrogen on transplanted rice. M. Sc. (Ag). Thesis Annamalaia University Annamalaia, Naigar.

Sharief, A.E.; M.H. El-Hinidi; A.T. El-Kassaby and F.I. Yossef (1998). Response of rice productivity to biofertilization type and nitrogen fertilizer levels. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 23(12): 5817-5825.

Yanni, Y.G.; M.I. Zidan and S.N. Shaalan (1982). Effect of inoculation with Blue-Green Algae, source and rates of combined nitrogen on growth, yield and nitrogen content of rice (*Oryza sativa*, L.) Giza 172. The first OAU/STRC Inter-African Conference on Bio-fertilizers, Cairo (Egypt), 22-26 March.

Zahran, H.A.A. (2000). Response of some rice cultivars to different spaces among hills and rows under saline soil conditions. M. Sci. Thesis, Fac.

Agric. Mansoura Univ., Egypt.

تأثير السماد العضوى والنيتروجينى المعدنى والحيوى ومسافات الزراعة على الناجية محصول الأرز.

سامى عبد العزيز عبد الحميد بصل* _ فهمى عبد المنعم زهران **

* معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقاية _ مركز البحوث الزراعية _ الجيزة _ مصر

* معهد بحوث الأراضى والمياه _ مركز البحوث الزراعية _ الجيزة _ مصر

أجريت تجربتان حقليتان في محطة البحوث الزراعية بالسرو بمحافظة دمياط خالل موسمى المربت تجربتان حقليتان في محطة البحوث الزراعية بالسرو بمحافظة الزراعية (۲۰ × ۲۰، ۱۰ × ۲۰۰ و ۲۰۰ تدراسة تأثير السماد النيتروجيني الحيوى (التلقيح بالطحالب الخضرراء المزرقة) والمعدني (صفر ، ۲۰ ، ۲۰ و ۲۰ كجم نيتروجين / فدان) والتفاعل بينهم على النمو والمحصول وجودته لصنف الأرز جيزة ۱۷۸، وتتلخص أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها فيما يلى:

احت إضافة السماد العضوى بمعدل ٢٠ م / فدان إلى زيادة معنوية فى مساحة ورقة العلم وطول النبيات وعدد السنابل / م وطول السنبلة وعدد الحبوب الممتلئة / سنبلة ووزن حبوب السنبلة ووزن الألف حبة ومحصول القش والحبوب للفدان فى موسمى الزراعة.

حان للتسميد العضوى تأثيرا معنويا على نسبة الفوسفور في الموسم الأول والبوتاسيوم في الموسم النائي بينما لم يكن له تأثيرا معنويا على نسبة البروتين في حبوب الأرز في كلا الموسمين.

آشارت النتائج إلى التأثير المعنوى لمسافات الزراعة على كل الصفات تحت الدراسة خـــلال موســمى
 الزراعة عدا صفتى نسبة البوتاسيوم والبروتين فى حبوب الأرز لم يكن لمسافة الزراعة تـــــأثيرا معنويـــا
 عليهما فى الموسم الأول.

٤- كان للتسميد النيتروجيني المعدني والحيوى تأثيرا معنويا على كل الصفات المدروسة وقد أعطت إضافة
 ٤٠ كجم نيتروجين / فدان + التلقيح بالطحالب الخضراء المزرقة أعلى محصول من الحبوب / فدان خلال موسمي الزراعة.

 د_ بزيادة معدل التسميد المعدنى حتى ٦٠ كجم نيتروجين / فدان + التاقيح بالطحالب الخضراء المزرقة زادت نمبة كل من الفوسفور والبوتاسيوم والبروتين فى حبوب الأرز فى موسمى الزراعة.

آ - أوضح النفاعل بين عوامل الدراسة أن أعلى محصول من الحبوب / فدان تم الحصول عليه من إضافة و ٢٠ ماد عضوى / فدان وشقل الأرز على مسافة ٢٠ ماد سم بين الجور وكذا ك بلغ عدد السنابل/م ومحصول الحبوب للفدان أقصاه عند تسميد الأرز بمعدل ٢٠ م سماد عضوى مع إضافة ٢٠ كجم نيتروجين / فدان + التلقيح بالطحالب الخضراء المزرقة خلال موسمى الزراعة. وأيضا تم الحصول على أعلى محصول من الحبوب / فدان عند شتل الأرز على مسافة ٢٠ م ١٥ سم والتسميد بمعدل ٤٠ كجم / فدان + التلقيح بالطحالب الخضراء المزرقة وذلك في موسمى الزراعة ، وتم الحصول على أعلى محصول من حبوب الأرز أيضا بإضافة السماد العضوى بمعدل ٢٠ م / فدان والشتل على مسافة ٢٠ مدم و التسميد بالسماد المعدني بمعدل ٢٠ م / فدان + التلقيح بالطحالب الخضراء المزرقة في موسم قلى موسم و ١٠ سم والتسميد بالسماد المعدني بمعدل ٢٠ كجم نيتروجين / فدان + التلقيح بالطحالب الخضراء المزرقة في موسم ٢٠٠٠.

وعموماً توصى الدراسة بإضافة السماد العضوى بمعدل 7 م 7 فدان والشتل على مسافة 7 م 1 سم مع إضافة السماد المعدني بمعدل 7 كجم نيتروجين / فدان والتسميد الحيوى بالطحسالب الخضراء المزرقة وذلك بهذف إنتاج أعلى محصول من الحبوب لصنف الأرز جيزة 7 177 تحت ظروف منطقة السرو.