

EFFECT OF DIFFERENT LEVELS OF N AND DIFFERENT SOURCES AND RATES OF P ON GROWTH, YIELD AND CRUDE PROTEIN IN FORAGE SORGHUM

Gheit, G.S.* and M.I. Zeadan**

*** Forage Crops Res. Section, Field Crops Institute, Agric. Res. Center**

**** Soils, Water and Environ. Res. Institute, Agric. Res. Center**

ABSTRACT

The present study was carried out at Sakha Agricultural Research Station during 1996 and 1997 seasons to study the effect of different levels of N (0, 60, 90 and 120 kg/fad) and sources of P (mono calcium phosphate and triple phosphate) under three rates 0, 15 and 30 kg P₂O₅/fad on growth, productivity and crude protein of forage sorghum "cv local hybrid 102" and balance between nitrogen and phosphorus. Randomized complete block design with four replications was used. Combined analysis of variances was done for total fresh and dry forage yield over both seasons. It was found that high levels of N and P encouraged plant growth and gave the tallest plants, with thick stem diameter. Fresh and dry forage yield, and crude protein content were increased by increasing nitrogen and phosphorus levels at all cuts in both seasons. The addition of (120 kg N + 15 kg P₂O₅ monocalcium phosphate)/fad was the superior. It produced 56.35 and 49.70 ton/fad fresh forage yield in 1996 and 1997 seasons, and 8.155 and 6.642 ton/fad dry forage yield in both seasons also, respectively. Also it had the highest leaf/stem ratio (fresh and dry) with higher crude protein content.

INTRODUCTION

Forage sorghum is widely grown in tropical and subtropical areas. In Egypt, it is considered one of the most important forage in summer crops, where a great need for green fodder to feed livestock has been increased. Therefore, great efforts have been directed towards improving sorghum forage crop through releasing the suitable genotypes and optimizing the agronomic practices. As one of great factors, proper fertilization needs to be exclusively investigated. Plopsoreanu and Cimponeru (1970) reported that sorghum x sudangrass hybrid gave high yields with 80 kg N + 40 kg P₂O₅/ha while Lingegowda *et al.* (1971) stated that sorghum hybrid Csh.1 fertilized by 45 kg P₂O₅ + 22 kg K₂O/ha as well as 67 kg N/ha as a single application at sowing, or as 2-3 split applications gave about 2-3 ton/ha of dry yield. Roy (1971) indicated that sorghum hybrid Csh. 1 which received 120 kg N and 26 kg P₂O₅/ha individually or in combination in addition to 24 kg K₂O/ha as basal top dressing resulted in the highest dry forage yield (3.7 t/ha). Abdella and Darwish (1972) found that application of 120 kg N and 100 kg P₂O₅/fad to grasses yearly and cutting once every 3 months gave the highest green yield compared to control. Sennik (1972) showed that application of 45 kg N + 45 kg P₂O₅/ha to sudangrass increased fodder yields in 3 cuts about 10.5 t/ha compared with control (zero N and P) which produced about 3.6 t/ha. Fornaworth (1973) found that hybrid sorghum cv. Beef Builder which received 35-50 kg N + 100 - 200 kg P₂O₅/ha in 6 split applications applied monthly produced dry matter yield, about 7-11 t/ha when cut 3 times during 213 days. Abd El-Gawad (1976) found that plant height, fresh or dry yields and crude protein content of the two sorghum cultivars were increased by increasing nitrogen levels upto 45 kg N/fad/each cutting. Abdel-Gawad and Sharaan

(1986) reported that dry matter yield was significantly increased with applying 45 kg N/fad at two equal split applications; after planting and after the first cutting. Gheit *et al.* (1995) found that plant height, stem diameter, fresh and dry forage yield as well as crude protein of sorghum hybrid plant were significantly increased by increasing N levels upto 90 kg N/fad. Application of 31 kg P₂O₅/fad significantly increased plant height, stem diameter, fresh and dry forage yield in one season and led to unobvious effect on the another seasons. Bassal *et al.* (1997) found that nitrogen fertilizer levels had marked effects on plant height, fresh and dry forage yield and crude protein percentage up to 30 kg N/fad/cut.

The main objective of this work was to study the effect of different N levels, and different sources and rates of phosphorus on sorghum fresh and dry forage yields and its quality as well as some important characters (plant height, stem diameter, and fresh and dry leaf/stem ratio).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out at Sakha Agricultural Research Station during the two successive seasons 1996 and 1997. A randomized complete block design with four replications was used, the plot area was 2 x 3 m. Nitrogen fertilizer was urea 46.5% and phosphorus fertilizer sources were P₂O₅ monocalcium phosphate or triple phosphate. Soil samples were taken for chemical analysis before planting during two seasons (Table 1). Phosphorus applied during the tillage as one dose (two sources) while nitrogen added in three equal doses.

Table (1): Chemical analysis.

| Seasons | | 1996 | 1997 |
|---------------------|-----|------|------|
| Variables | | | |
| pH | | 8.11 | 8.10 |
| Total soluble salts | % | 0.22 | 0.21 |
| Calcium carbonate | % | 3.72 | 3.74 |
| Organic matter | % | 2.18 | 2.22 |
| Total nitrogen | % | 0.09 | 0.08 |
| Soluble nitrogen | ppm | 26 | 23 |
| Av.P | ppm | 10 | 9 |
| Av. K | ppm | 480 | 460 |

First dose of N was added after 21 days from sowing, after first cut and after second cut. Nitrogen levels and different phosphorus levels and sources are shown in Table (2).

The field experiments were planted in May, 24 in 1996 and June, 20, in 1997, following the broadcasting sowing technique with a seed rate of 20 kg/fad. Three cuts were taken during the growing season. Five randomized plants were taken for all the agronomic characters as follows:

- 1-Plant height (cm).
- 2-Stem diameter (cm).
- 3-Leaf/stem ratio (fresh and dry)
- 4-Fresh forage yield (ton/fad)
- 5-Dry forage yield (ton/fad)
- 6-Crude protein content (%) according to A.O.A.C. (1980).

Table (2): Treatments No. of different levels of nitrogen and different sources and rates of phosphorous.

| Treat. | N +P fertilizers | Treat. | N +P fertilizers |
|--------|-------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | 0 + 0 | 9 | 90 +15 monocalcium phosphate |
| 2 | 60 + 0 | 10 | 90 + 15 triple phosphate |
| 3 | 90 + 0 | 11 | 90 + 30 monocalcium phosphate |
| 4 | 120 + 0 | 12 | 90 + 30 triple phosphate |
| 5 | 60 + 15 monocalcium phosphate | 13 | 120+ 15 monocalcium phosphate |
| 6 | 60 + 15 triple phosphate | 14 | 120+ 15 triple phosphate |
| 7 | 60 + 30 monocalcium phosphate | 15 | 120 + 30 monocalcium phosphate |
| 8 | 60 + 30 triple phosphate | 16 | 120+ 30 triple phosphate |

Statistical analysis as the usual technique of analysis in randomized complete block design was adopted according to (Gomez and Gomez 1984) and multiple range test and Multiple F-tests following Duncan (1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plant height (cm):

Data presented in Table (3) show that there is clear effect of nitrogen levels and phosphorus sources and levels on plant height in both seasons. Plots which received 120 kg N + 15 kg P₂O₅ monocalcium superphosphate (treatment No. 13) gave the tallest plants. This means that balance between N and P had more effect than N alone. On the other hand, the plots which received 120 kg N + 15 or 30 kg P₂O₅ monocalcium superphosphate or triple phosphate gave the tallest plants also with no significant differences with treatment No. 13. Similar results were obtained by Abd El-Gawad (1970).

Table (3): Effect of different levels of N and different sources and rates of P on plant height (cm) of forage sorghum.

| Treatments | | 1996 | | | 1997 | | |
|------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | 3 rd cut | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | 3 rd cut |
| | N P | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 120.8 k | 97.4 g | 61.25 e | 110.00 c | 110.25 c | 102.00 c |
| 2 | 60 | 127.4 j | 110.3 c-e | 64.75 b-e | 116.25 bc | 118.00 bc | 112.75 bc |
| 3 | 90 | 135.8 f-h | 100.6 fg | 67.00 b-d | 117.00 bc | 117.00 bc | 112.00 bc |
| 4 | 120 | 132.1 hi | 114.2 a-d | 68.00 bc | 137.25 a-c | 116.60 bc | 120.50 bc |
| 5 | 60 +15 Mono. | 135.1 f-i | 108.1 d-e | 63.25 c-e | 116.80 bc | 110.00 c | 120.50 bc |
| 6 | 60 +15 Tri. | 137.9 e-g | 113.6 b-d | 66.00 b-e | 115.70 bc | 118.50 bc | 114.25 bc |
| 7 | 60 +30 Mono. | 134.1 g-i | 113.0 b-d | 67.75 bc | 116.00 bc | 117.50 bc | 116.25 bc |
| 8 | 60 +30 Tri. | 130.9 ij | 112.2 c-e | 68.00 bc | 116.60 bc | 1.80 bc | 120.00 bc |
| 9 | 90 + 15 Mono. | 139.4 d-f | 113.3 b-d | 67.25 b-d | 117.60 bc | 116.00 bc | 121.75 bc |
| 10 | 90 +15 Tri. | 138.4 d-g | 112.1 c-e | 68.00 bc | 114.00 bc | 115.00 bc | 117.00 bc |
| 11 | 90 + 30 Mono. | 142.9 b-d | 133.3 b-d | 65.75 b-e | 114.00 bc | 114.60 bc | 116.50 bc |
| 12 | 90 +30 Tri. | 142.1 bc | 106.0 ef | 62.50 de | 117.00 bc | 114.00 bc | 115.50 bc |
| 13 | 120 + 15 Mono. | 149.1 a | 121.0 a | 73.00 a | 150.75 a | 146.00 a | 144.25 a |
| 14 | 120+ 15 Tri. | 141.5 g-e | 114.2 a-d | 68.25 ab | 135.50 a-c | 137.00 ab | 127.75 ab |
| 15 | 120 + 30 Mono. | 146.5 ab | 117.1 a-c | 68.25 ab | 150.50 a | 132.50 a-c | 134.50 ab |
| 16 | 120+30 Tri. | 144.6 a-c | 114.3 a-d | 68.25 ab | 143.00 ab | 145.00 a | 131.25 ab |

Means designated by the same letter(s) are not significant at the 0.05 level according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Stem diameter (cm):

It seems that high nitrogen, phosphorus levels and the sources of phosphorus did not have a remarkable effect on stem diameter, except in few cases where it had significant differences relative to the rest of treatments (Table 4).

Leaf/stem ratio, (fresh and dry):

Data presented in Tables (5 and 6) revealed that application with 120 kg N + 15 kg P₂O₅ monocalcium phosphate gave the highest leaf/stem ratio, (fresh and dry) at all cuts during the two seasons except at first cut in 1996 for fresh and dry leaf/stem ratio without significant differences with the last three treatments (treatments 14, 15 and 16) in most cases.

Table (4): Effect of different levels of N and different sources and rates of P on stem diameter.

| Treatments | | 1996 | | | 1997 | | |
|------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | 3 rd cut | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | 3 rd cut |
| | N P | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 0.70 gh | 0.93 b | 0.79 c-d | 0.90 c | 1.20 b | 1.00 a |
| 2 | 60 | 0.86 a-c | 0.91 b | 0.81 cd | 0.93 bc | 1.33 ab | 1.05 a |
| 3 | 90 | 0.76 eg | 0.98 ab | 0.92 ab | 1.05 ac | 1.20 b | 1.10 a |
| 4 | 120 | 0.82 bd | 0.88 b | 0.91 ab | 0.95 a-c | 1.27 ab | 1.05 a |
| 5 | 60 +15 Mono. | 0.70 gh | 0.87 b | 0.86 a-d | 1.05 a-c | 1.35 ab | 1.13 a |
| 6 | 60 +15 Tri. | 0.68 h | 0.99 b | 0.79 c-d | 0.93 bc | 1.33 ab | 1.10 a |
| 7 | 60 +30 Mono. | 0.84 ad | 0.99 ab | 0.83 b-d | 0.98 a-c | 1.33 ab | 1.08 a |
| 8 | 60 +30 Tri. | 0.71 oh | 0.94 b | 0.89 a-c | 1.00 a-c | 1.40 a | 1.13 a |
| 9 | 90 + 15 Mono. | 0.78 d-f | 0.96 ab | 0.89 a-c | 1.08 ab | 1.42 a | 1.15 a |
| 10 | 90 +15 Tri. | 0.84 a-d | 0.88 b | 0.93 a-b | 1.00 a-c | 1.33 ab | 1.02 a |
| 11 | 90 + 30 Mono. | 0.81 ce | 0.90 b | 0.84 a-d | 0.98 a-c | 1.25 ab | 1.13 a |
| 12 | 90 +30 Tri. | 0.84 a-d | 0.94 b | 0.89 ad | 1.00 a-c | 1.25 ab | 1.17 a |
| 13 | 120 + 15 Mono. | 0.90 a | 1.15 a | 0.94 a | 1.10 a | 1.40 a | 1.15 a |
| 14 | 120+ 15 Tri. | 0.74 f-h | 0.96 ab | 0.90 ac | 0.95 a-c | 1.30 ab | 1.05 a |
| 15 | 120 + 30 Mono. | 0.88 ab | 0.97 ab | 0.85 a-d | 1.08 ab | 1.38 ab | 1.02 a |
| 16 | 120+30 Tri. | 0.84 ad | 0.96 ab | 0.88 a-d | 10.00 ac | 1.38 ab | 1.10 a |

Means designated by the same letter(s) are not significant at the 0.05 level according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Table (5): Effect of different levels of N and different sources and rates of P on fresh leaf/stem ratio (%).

| Treatments | | 1996 | | | 1997 | | |
|------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | 3 rd cut | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | 3 rd cut |
| | N P | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 24.20 d | 29.23 h | 44.88 d | 26.20 d | 31.97 f | 32.30 e |
| 2 | 60 | 34.63 a-b | 36.65 bj | 57.80 bc | 29.95 b-d | 38.55 cd | 36.00 b-d |
| 3 | 90 | 31.05 a-c | 36.30 c-h | 54.85 cd | 28.08 b-d | 31.65 f | 35.18 b-e |
| 4 | 120 | 29.63 a-d | 32.47 fh | 57.88 bc | 28.48 b-d | 37.35 de | 34.50 c-e |
| 5 | 60 +15 Mono. | 26.13 c-d | 37.20 b-f | 55.60 cd | 27.10 cd | 37.78 ce | 34.67 c-e |
| 6 | 60 +15 Tri. | 30.70 ac | 33.90 e-h | 59.53 bc | 32.33 b | 42.03 bc | 35.80 b-d |
| 7 | 60 +30 Mono. | 32.53 a-c | 30.00 jh | 58.45 bc | 27.88 bd | 30.55 f | 35.00 b-d |
| 8 | 60 +30 Tri. | 32.42 a-c | 36.23 d-h | 54.45 cd | 27.38 cd | 33.08 f | 36.00 b-d |
| 9 | 90 + 15 Mono. | 29.65 ad | 38.35 b-f | 58.50 bc | 27.10 cd | 29.80 f | 35.50 b-d |
| 10 | 90 +15 Tri. | 27.85 cd | 37.67 b-f | 58.00 bc | 31.10 bc | 38.63 cd | 34.28 de |
| 11 | 90 + 30 Mono. | 29.20 a-d | 40.17 be | 58.85 bc | 30.67 b-d | 32.58 f | 35.00 b-d |
| 12 | 90 +30 Tri. | 28.30 b-d | 35.60 d-h | 58.20 bc | 30.92 bc | 33.72 ef | 36.10 b-d |
| 13 | 120 + 15 Mono. | 35.22 a | 48.03 a | 70.93 a | 38.40 a | 47.10 a | 39.88 a |
| 14 | 120+ 15 Tri. | 29.07 a-d | 42.18 a-d | 60.70 a-c | 28.08 b-d | 32.72 f | 37.55 a-c |
| 15 | 120 + 30 Mono. | 27.55 cd | 43.72 ab | 61.40 a-c | 29.08 b-d | 31.88 f | 37.17 a-d |
| 16 | 120+30 Tri. | 31.08 a-c | 43.38 a-c | 64.65 a-c | 29.13 b-d | 43.45 ab | 37.47 a-d |

Means designated by the same letter(s) are not significant at the 0.05 level according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Table (6): Effect of different levels of N and different sources and rates of P on dry leaf/stem ratio (%)

| Treatments | | 1996 | | | 1997 | | |
|------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | 3 rd cut | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | 3 rd cut |
| | N P | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 49.90 c | 61.25 f | 70.57 f | 55.60 g | 68.95 g | 61.63 f |
| 2 | 60 | 62.38 a-b | 66.50 ef | 76.95 ef | 58.93 e-g | 85.53 b-d | 68.80 b-e |
| 3 | 90 | 59.35 a-c | 73.63 c-f | 82.22 c-e | 52.5 g | 69.88 g | 65.10 ef |
| 4 | 120 | 61.50 a-c | 76.47 b-e | 80.07 d-f | 690.92 d-f | 83.57 ge | 65.70 d-f |
| 5 | 60 +15 Mono. | 51.70 bc | 76.00 b-e | 87.57 b-e | 64.68 c-e | 72.00 fg | 67.88 c-e |
| 6 | 60 +15 Tri. | 57.70 a-c | 71.72 df | 88.13 b-d | 55.90 fg | 70.88 fg | 70.32 be |
| 7 | 60 +30 Mono. | 62.20 ab | 73.00 c-f | 84.63 c-e | 59.90 d-g | 75.82 e-g | 66.93 g-e |
| 8 | 60 +30 Tri. | 58.17 a-c | 68.32 e-f | 85.10 be | 63.88 c-f | 76.53 eg | 71.03 b-d |
| 9 | 90 + 15 Mono. | 50.63 bc | 66.57 ef | 95.57 b | 60.83 d-f | 70.35 fg | 70.47 b-e |
| 10 | 90 +15 Tri. | 53.28 a-c | 76.60 b-e | 91.50 bc | 63.42 c-f | 77.70 d-g | 67.55 ce |
| 11 | 90 + 30 Mono. | 54.80 a-c | 65.70 ef | 81.80 c-e | 65.68 b-e | 77.00 d-g | 71.82 bc |
| 12 | 90 +30 Tri. | 54.80 a-c | 70.18 df | 81.47 c-e | 67.68 b-d | 79.13 d-f | 69.93 e |
| 13 | 120 + 15 Mono. | 64.78 a | 92.80 a | 113.4 a | 77.22 a | 95.55 a | 77.72 a |
| 14 | 120+ 15 Tri. | 56.15 a-c | 83.50 a-d | 86.38 b-e | 65.68 be | 90.65 a-c | 67.28 ce |
| 15 | 120 + 30 Mono. | 58.42 a-c | 83.65 a-d | 78.53 d-f | 70.30 a-c | 93.20 ab | 67.93 ce |
| 16 | 120+30 Tri. | 58.20 a-c | 88.78 ab | 108.4 a | 73.32 ab | 93.70 ab | 73.88 ab |

Means designated by the same letter(s) are not significant at the 0.05 level according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Fresh forage yield:

Results in Table (7) revealed significant differences among treatments at the three cuts and total yield in both seasons and its combined. In 1996 season, fresh forage yield was higher than in 1997, this may be due to early sowing date in 1996 season. The treatment 120 kg N + 15 kg P₂O₅ monocalcium super phosphate/fad, produced the highest total fresh forage yield in both seasons with no significant differences if compared to treatments 9, 15 and 16 in 1996 and 4 and 15 in 1997. The combined analysis revealed that this treatment gave also the highest total yield over the two seasons (53.025 ton/fad). Similar results were obtained by Roy (1971), Abdella and Darwish (1972), Fornaworth (1973) and Gheit *et al.* (1995).

Dry forage yield (ton/fad):

Dry forage yield exhibited approximately the same trend as fresh forage yield in both seasons and also its combined. Meanwhile, this treatment did not differ significantly from treatments 9, 10, 15, 16 in 1996; 4, 9, 15, 16 in 1997 and 15, 16 for the combined total dry yield. It can be concluded that application of 120 kg N + 15 kg P₂O₅ monocalcium phosphate/fad gave the highest dry forage yield (Table 8).

These results are in harmony with those obtained by Plopsoreanu and Cimponeru (1970), Roy (1971), Abdella and Darwish (1972) and Gheit *et al.*, 1995.

Crude protein content:

Data in Table (9) show that treatments 13, 14, 15 and 16 had the highest crude protein values, while control treatment gave the lowest values at all cuts in both seasons.

It can be concluded that with addition of 120 kg N plus 15 kg P₂O₅/fad as monocalcium phosphate to forage sorghum may be recommended to activate the balance between nitrogen and phosphorous.

Table (9): Effect of different levels of N and different sources and rates of P on crude protein content (%).

| Treatments | | 1996 | | | 1997 | | |
|------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | 3 rd cut | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | 3 rd cut |
| | N P | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 8.4 | 8.5 | 8.6 |
| 2 | 60 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 8.6 |
| 3 | 90 | 8.6 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 8.5 | 8.8 | 8.8 |
| 4 | 120 | 8.9 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| 5 | 60 +15 Mono. | 8.5 | 8.9 | 9.1 | 8.5 | 8.8 | 9.1 |
| 6 | 60 +15 Tri. | 8.4 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 8.9 |
| 7 | 60 +30 Mono. | 8.9 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 9.1 | 9.0 |
| 8 | 60 +30 Tri. | 8.7 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 8.9 | 9.0 |
| 9 | 90 + 15 Mono. | 8.5 | 8.9 | 9.3 | 8.7 | 9.0 | 8.9 |
| 10 | 90 +15 Tri. | 8.6 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 8.7 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| 11 | 90 + 30 Mono. | 8.7 | 9.1 | 9.3 | 8.6 | 8.9 | 9.1 |
| 12 | 90 +30 Tri. | 8.7 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 9.1 |
| 13 | 120 + 15 Mono. | 8.9 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 9.2 |
| 14 | 120+ 15 Tri. | 9.0 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 9.2 |
| 15 | 120 + 30 Mono. | 9.0 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 9.2 | 9.2 |
| 16 | 120+30 Tri. | 9.0 | 9.2 | 9.5 | 9.0 | 9.3 | 9.2 |

REFERENCES

- Abd El-Gawad, K.I. (1976). Comparison of nitrogen and phosphatic needs to forage sorghum in two successive seasons. M.Sc. Thesis. Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ.
- Abd El-Gawad, K.I. and A.N. Sharaan (1986). Date of nitrogen application and yield in forage sorghum and sudangrass. Bull. Fac. of Agric., Univ. of Cairo, Vol. 37, No. 1: 49-53.
- Abdella, F.H. and Darwish (1972). Effect of cutting frequency and nitrogen level on forage yield, chemical composition and feeding value of perennial millet. Bull. Agric. Sci. Assiut Univ 3: 5-16.
- A.O.A.C. (1980). Association of Official Agricultural Chemists Official Methods of Analysis, 13th Ed. Washington D.C.
- Bassal, S.A.A.; A.M. Abd El-All and K.A. E-Douby (1997). Effect of preceding winter crop and nitrogen fertilizer levels on the productivity of sorghum forage crop .J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., (3): 623-634.
- Duncan, D.B. (1955). Multiple range test and multiple F-tests. Biometrics, 11: 1-42.
- Gheit, G.S.M.; K.M. Sayed and S.A. Ramadan (1995). Effect of different rates of N, P and K fertilizers on growth, yield and crude protein in sorghx sudangrass (local hybrid 402). J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 20(5): 2593-2600.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York.
- Fornaworth, J. (1973). The effect of nitrogen, phosphate and potash fertilizer levels on the yield of forage sorghum var. Beef Builder, grown on the El-Hassa Oasis. Saudi Arabia Min. Agric. and Water No. 14. [Herb.

- Abst. 44(12): 3727, 1974).
- Lingegowda, B.K.; S.S. Inambar and K. Krisl Mamurtly (1971). Studies on the split application of nitrogen to rainfed hybrid sorghum. Indian J. Agron. 16(2): 157-158.
- Plopsoreanu, M. and N. Cimponeru (1970). Experimental results on successive fodder crops on irrigated, medium-leached chernozem in the arid plain. Lucrari St. Intilice, institut Agronomic Timis Oara, Agron 13: 157-164.
- Roy, R.N. (1971). Fertilizer our hybrid sorghum to harvest a bumper crop. Indian Farming 21(5): 24-26.
- Sennik, M.G. (1972). Sudangrass for green fodder on irrigated lands in the foothills of the zoilusku Alotum mountain. Vestnik Selshokozyaisivennoi Nauki, Alma, Alta No. 6: 30-32 (Herb. Abst. 43(2), 1973].

تأثير مستويات السماد النيتروجيني وصور ومستويات السماد الفوسفاتي على نمو وكمية محصول العلف في السورجيم ومحتواه من البروتين الخام.

جابر سليمان غيط* و محمد إبراهيم زيدان**

□ قسم بحوث محاصيل العلف معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية - مركز البحوث الزراعية
** معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئة - مركز البحوث الزراعية

أجريت هذه الدراسة بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بسخا خلال موسمي 1996 ، 1997 لمعرفة تأثير مستويات السماد النيتروجيني (صفر ، 60 ، 90 ، 120 كجم نيتروجين/فدان) وصور السماد الفوسفاتي (أحادي وثلاثي) تحت ثلاث مستويات (صفر ، 15 ، 30 كجم فوسفات/فدان) على نمو وكمية محصول العلف الناتج من هجين سورجيم العلف المحلى 102 ومحتواه من البروتين الخام وتأثيره على التوازن بين النيتروجين والفوسفور. زرعت التجربة في تصميم قطاعات كاملة العشوائية ذات أربع مكررات وتتلخص النتائج في الآتي:

- وجد أن المستويات المرتفعة من السماد النيتروجيني والفوسفوري أدت إلى تشجيع نمو النباتات وأعطت نباتات أطول.
- كما وجد أن محصول العلف الأخضر والجاف ومحتواه من البروتين الخام يزيد بزيادة مستويات السماد النيتروجيني والفوسفاتي في كل الحشاشات في كلا الموسمين ونسبة الورق/السوق (أخضر وجاف).
- وأن إضافة 120 كجم نيتروجين + 15 كجم فوسفات أحادي كانت أفضل المعاملات حيث أعطت هذه المعاملة 56.35 ، 49.70 طن/فدان علف أخضر للموسمين 1996 ، 1997 م ، 8.155 ، 6.642 طن/فدان علف جاف لنفس الموسمين على الترتيب.

Table (7): Effect of different levels of N and different sources and rates of P on fresh forage yield (ton/fad).

| Treatment | N.P. | 1996 season | | | Total | 1997 season | | | Total | Combined |
|-----------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | 3 rd cut | | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | 3 rd cut | | |
| 1 | 0 | 22.050 f | 8.925 f | 4.375 a | 35.350 i | 12.950 g | 7.910 e | 4.900 g | 25.760 h | 30.555 i |
| 2 | 60 | 24.500 ef | 14.000 de | 5.250 a | 43.750 gh | 18.375 ef | 9.345 d | 6.650 df | 34.370 g | 39.060 h |
| 3 | 90 | 26.600 ce | 17.500 bc | 4.900 a | 49.000 df | 17.675 ef | 12.005 bc | 8.575 bc | 38.255 ef | 43.631 fg |
| 4 | 120 | 28.000 bd | 17.675 bc | 5.250 a | 50.925 be | 23.800 b | 14.525 a | 10.150 a | 48.475 ab | 49.700 b |
| 5 | 60 +15 Mono. | 25.375 de | 12.775 e | 4.725 a | 42.875 h | 16.625 f | 11.550 bc | 6.475 ef | 34.650 g | 38.766 h |
| 6 | 60 +15 Tri. | 25.900 de | 14.000 de | 4.550 a | 44.450 gh | 19.075 de | 9.240 d | 7.175 ef | 35.490 fg | 39.970 h |
| 7 | 60 +30 Mono. | 26.600 ce | 16.800 bc | 4.375 a | 47.775 eg | 19.950 de | 11.025 c | 6.475 ef | 37.450 fg | 42.616 g |
| 8 | 60 +30 Tri. | 25.200 df | 15.400 cd | 5.075 a | 45.675 fh | 17.675 ef | 9.870 d | 6.650 df | 34.195 g | 39.935 h |
| 9 | 90 + 15 Mono. | 31.150 ab | 18.200 ab | 5.075 a | 54.425 ab | 18.025 ef | 12.180 b | 8.050 bd | 38.255 ef | 46.340 ce |
| 10 | 90 +15 Tri. | 29.575 ac | 16.975 bc | 5.075 a | 51.625 be | 19.425 de | 11.655 bc | 6.300 fg | 37.380 fg | 44.506 eg |
| 11 | 90 + 30 Mono. | 29.925 ab | 16.800 bc | 4.725 a | 51.450 be | 21.000 cd | 12.250 b | 7.875 be | 41.125 de | 46.291 ce |
| 12 | 90 +30 Tri. | 29.225 ac | 15.400 cd | 5.075 a | 49.700 cf | 23.975 b | 11.795 bc | 6.650 df | 42.420 d | 46.060 df |
| 13 | 120 + 15 Mono. | 31.325 a | 20.650 a | 4.375 a | 56.350 a | 26.775 a | 14.525 a | 8.400 bc | 49.700 a | 53.025 a |
| 14 | 120+ 15 Tri. | 29.925 ab | 16.625 bc | 5.075 a | 51.625 be | 23.450 b | 14.175 a | 8.400 bc | 46.025 bc | 48.825 bc |
| 15 | 120 + 30 Mono. | 30.625 ab | 17.150 bc | 4.900 a | 52.675 ad | 23.100 bc | 14.595 a | 8.925 ab | 46.620 ac | 49.651 b |
| 16 | 120+30 Tri. | 31.675 a | 16.800 bc | 5.250 a | 53.725 ac | 23.275 bc | 12.040 b | 8.050 bd | 43.365 cd | 48.545 bd |

Means designated by the same letter(s) are not significant at the 0.05 level according to Duncan's multiple range test.

Table (8): Effect of different levels of N and different sources and rates of P on dry forage yield (ton/fad).

| | Treatment | | 1996 season | | | Total | 1997 season | | | Total | Combined |
|----|----------------|----|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | N. | P. | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | 3 rd cut | 1 st cut | 2 nd cut | 3 rd cut | | | |
| 1 | 0 | | 3.176 h | 1.205 g | 0.822 ce | 5.204 g | 1.996 h | 1.031 e | 0.803 e | 3.830 i | 4.516 h |
| 2 | 60 | | 3.726 eg | 1.789 f | 0.833 be | 6.348 ef | 2.160 gh | 1.213 de | 1.189 ae | 4.562 hi | 5.455 fg |
| 3 | 90 | | 3.868 dg | 2.225 ce | 0.731 de | 6.823 de | 2.458 dg | 1.583 ad | 1.465 ac | 5.506 cg | 6.164 de |
| 4 | 120 | | 3.815 eg | 2.265 be | 0.797 ce | 6.876 de | 2.853 cd | 1.932 a | 1.534 a | 6.320 ac | 6.598 cd |
| 5 | 60 +15 Mono. | | 3.448 gh | 1.778 f | 0.790 ce | 6.016 f | 2.302 fh | 1.448 cd | 1.051 ce | 4.801 gh | 5.409 fg |
| 6 | 60 +15 Tri. | | 3.571 fh | 1.796 f | 0.711 de | 6.079 f | 2.367 eh | 1.197 de | 1.061 ce | 2.624 hi | 5.351 g |
| 7 | 60 +30 Mono. | | 4.048 ce | 2.209 de | 0.655 e | 6.913 de | 2.980 bc | 1.665 ac | 1.091 be | 5.735 bf | 6.324 ce |
| 8 | 60 +30 Tri. | | 3.995 ef | 2.037 ef | 0.846 be | 6.878 de | 2.561 cg | 1.236 de | 1.144 ae | 4.941 fh | 5.909 ef |
| 9 | 90 + 15 Mono. | | 4.339 ac | 2.447 ad | 0.923 ae | 7.707 ac | 2.797 ce | 1.526 bd | 1.530 ab | 5.852 ac | 6.780 bc |
| 10 | 90 +15 Tri. | | 4.178 ae | 2.503 ac | 1.108 ab | 7.791 ac | 2.661 cf | 1.492 bd | 1.171 ae | 5.324 eh | 6.556 cd |
| 11 | 90 + 30 Mono. | | 4.177 ae | 2.458 ad | 0.925 ae | 7.560 bc | 2.864 cd | 1.560 ad | 1.314 ad | 5.739 be | 6.649 cd |
| 12 | 90 +30 Tri. | | 4.105 be | 2.538 ab | 0.946ad | 7.588 bc | 2.917 bc | 1.559 ad | 0.998 de | 5.473 dg | 6.531 cd |
| 13 | 120 + 15 Mono. | | 4.304 ad | 2.664 a | 1.191 a | 8.155 ab | 3.359 ab | 1.852 ab | 1.432 ad | 6.642 a | 7.399 a |
| 14 | 120+ 15 Tri. | | 4.06 ce | 2.423 ad | 0.827 be | 7.308 cd | 2.694 cf | 1.721 ac | 1.355 ad | 5.770 bc | 6.540 cd |
| 15 | 120 + 30 Mono. | | 4.539 ab | 2.556 a | 1.048 ac | 8.141 ab | 2.959 bc | 1.872 ab | 1.460 ac | 6.291 ad | 7.217 ab |
| 16 | 120+30 Tri. | | 4.612 a | 2.440 ad | 1.206 a | 8.260 a | 3.525 a | 1.577 ad | 1.266 ad | 6.368 ab | 7.315 a |

Means designated by the same letter(s) are not significant at the 0.05 level according to Duncan's multiple range test.