

EFFECT OF MANURING WITH DIFFERENT LEVELS OF ORGANIC FERTILIZER WITH AND WITHOUT FERRUS SULPHATE OR ZINC SULPHATE ON SOIL NUTRIENTS AVAILABILITY TO WHEAT PLANTS

Shaaban, M. M.; Zeinab M. Mobarak and A.A. El-Sayed
Botany Dept., National Research Centre, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt

ABSTRACT

A pot experiment was conducted in the greenhouse of the Programme "Micronutrient and Other Plant Nutrition Problems in Egypt" with wheat plants to study the influence of manuring with different levels of Farm Yard Manure (FYM) alone or when enriched with FeSO₄ or ZnSO₄ on the dry matter formation, nutrient concentrations and nutrient uptake by the plants. The obtained data showed that there are positive correlations between the increased levels of organic manure and both uptake and dry matter formation by the plants. Enrichment with FeSO₄ or ZnSO₄ has additionally increased nutrient uptake, concentrations and dry weight of the plants and enrichment with FeSO₄ was the most effective. The treatments 1 [0.25 % FYM (5 m³/fed) + 0.35 g/pot FeSO₄ or ZnSO₄ (50 Kg/fed)] and 2 [0.50 % FYM (10 m³/fed) + 0.35 g/pot FeSO₄ or ZnSO₄ (50 Kg/fed)] were the best. Negative correlations were recorded with dry weight, N, Ca, Mg and Mn uptake and increased levels of FYM in case of enrichment with FeSO₄, while negative correlations were only found in both Mg and Mn uptake in case of enrichment with ZnSO₄.

INTRODUCTION

In order to establish high crop yields, adequate amounts of plant nutrients must be available in the soil. Deficiency of one or more nutrient(s) becomes limiting factor(s) to achieve good yields (El-Fouly, 1983).

Addition of organic manure to the soil is known to improve soil structure and availability of nutrients to crops. Decomposition rate of organic matter, however, depends very much upon organic matter type and soil conditions (Sluijsmans and Kolenbrander, 1977). Farm Yard Manure (FYM) is considered to be the best organic manure in improving soil fertility and supplying of nutrients (Cooke, 1972). Raising soil fertility, it makes crop nutrient uptake ratios very closely to crop nutrient requirements (Kolenbrander, 1972).

Availability of nutrients to be taken up by the plant roots is also pH controlled (Schaller, 1987; Marschner and Roemheld, 1996). Supplying of micronutrients to the soil in acidic forms was found to improve nutrients availability to crops (Lindsay, 1974, Marschner, 1995).

The present work aimed at studying the effect of addition of FYM in different levels with and without iron-sulfate or zinc-sulfate to the soil on dry matter formation, nutrients concentrations and nutrient uptake by wheat plants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material:

A pot experiment with wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. cv. Giza 69) was carried out in the greenhouse of the Programme “Micronutrients and Other Plant Nutrition Problems in Egypt”, National Research Centre, Dokki, Egypt. Seeds were sown in November in Mitscherlich pots containing 7.0 Kg soil.

Basic Fertilization:

Before sowing, each pot received 1.0 g superphosphate (15.5 % P₂O₅) and one third of 1.0 g potassium sulphate (48 % K₂O) + 2.0 g ammonium sulfate (20.6 % N). Two other splits of equal quantities of N and K were applied at 10 days after sowing and before tillering. At seedling stage, the plants of each pot were thinned to 10 plants.

Other practices:

Irrigation was applied to maintain the water level at 60 % of the field capacity. No pesticides were used during the course of the study.

Treatments:

The treatments were carried out in three replicates and conducted as follows:

- Control:** - Without Farm Yard Manure (FYM)
- Without FYM + 0.35 g/pot FeSO₄ (50 Kg/fed)
- Without FYM + 0.35 g/pot ZnSO₄ (50 Kg/fed)
- 0.25 % of soil weight FYM (5 m³/fed)
 - 0.25 % of soil weight FYM (5 m³/fed) FYM + 0.35 g/pot FeSO₄ (50 Kg/fed)
 - 0.25 % of soil weight FYM (5 m³/fed) FYM + 0.35 g/pot ZnSO₄ (50 Kg/fed)
 - 0.50 % of soil weight FYM (10 m³/fed)
 - 0.50 % of soil weight FYM (10 m³/fed) FYM + 0.35 g/pot FeSO₄ (50 Kg/fed)
 - 0.50 % of soil weight FYM (10 m³/fed) FYM + 0.35 g/pot ZnSO₄ (50 Kg/fed)
 - 0.75 % of soil weight FYM (15 m³/fed)
 - 0.75 % of soil weight FYM (15 m³/fed) FYM + 0.35 g/pot FeSO₄ (50 Kg/fed)
 - 0.75 % of soil weight FYM (15 m³/fed) FYM + 0.35 g/pot ZnSO₄ (50 Kg/fed)
 - % of soil weight FYM (20 m³/fed)
 - 1.0 % of soil weight FYM (20 m³/fed) FYM + 0.35 g/pot FeSO₄ (50 Kg/fed)
 - 1.0 % of soil weight FYM (20 m³/fed) FYM + 0.35 g/pot ZnSO₄ (50 Kg/fed)

Sampling and sample analysis

- **oil and FYM samples:** a representative soil sample was taken after soil preparation but before fertilization. A sample was also taken from the ground manure. The samples were air-dried. Soil samples passed through a 2.0 mm sieve pores. Mechanical analysis of soil samples was carried

out using hydrometer method (Bauyoucos, 1954); pH and E.C (electric conductivity) were determined in soil/water or manure/water extract (1:2.5) (Jakson, 1973); Calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) content of the soil was determined using Calcimeter method (Black, 1965); Organic matter (O.M.) was determined using potassium dichromate method (Walkely and Black, 1934)

Total nitrogen was determined using Bauschi digestion and distillation apparatus. Soil and manure phosphorus was extracted using sodium bicarbonate (Olsen *et al.*, 1954). Potassium (K) and magnesium (Mg) were extracted using ammonium acetate (Chapman and Pratt, 1978)., while Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu were extracted using DTPA (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978). Physical characteristics and nutrient contents of soil and FYM are shown in Tables 1&2, respectively.

Soil nutrient status was evaluated according to the sufficient concentrations of Ankerman and Large (1974) as follows:

Element	P	K	Mg	Fe	Mn	Zn	Cu
	----- mg/100 g soil -----			----- ppm -----			
Concentration	1.2-2.7	21-30	30-180	11-16	9.0-12	1.6-3.0	0.9-1.20

Table 1: Mean values of physical and chemical soil characteristics

Physical characteristics		Nutrient concentrations	
Ph	8.3	Exchangeable Macronutrients (mg/100g soil)	
E.C. (dS/m)	0.8	P	5.2*
CaCO ₃ (%)	1.6	K	37.9*
O.M. (%)	0.1	Mg	30.7*
Sand (%)	13.8	Available Micronutrients (mg/Kg soil)	
Silt (%)	28.0	Fe	2.8***
Clay (%)	58.2	Mn	5.9**
Texture	Clay Loam	Zn	3.3*
		Cu	10.2*

* Adequate ** Low *** Very low

Table 2: Mean values of physical and chemical FYM characteristics

Physical characteristics		Nutrient concentrations	
PH	8.8	Exchangeable Macronutrients (%)	
E.C. (dS/m)	8.9	N	1.6
O.M. (%)	32.8	P	0.64
		K	1.87
		Mg	0.5
		Na	0.84
		Available Micronutrients (mg/Kg manure)	
		Fe	3143
		Mn	349
		Zn	140
		Cu	48

***Plant samples:**

The plants were harvested at 70 days age. Plants of every replicate were washed with tap water, 0.01 N HCl and bidistilled water, sequentially, oven dried at 70°C for 24 hours and ground. Plant material was dry-ashed in a

muffle furnace at 550°C for 6 hours using 3.0 N HNO₃. The residue was, then suspended in 0.3 N HCl.

Nitrogen was determined using Bauschi digestion and distillation apparatus. Phosphorus was photometrically determined using a Spectrophotometer. K and Ca were measured using Dr. Lang Flamephotometer. Mg, Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu were determined using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer.

Dry weight determination:

The samples were weighed (gm) and oven dried at 70° C for 24 hours, then weighed again and the dry weight was calculated.

Data analysis

Data were statistically analyzed using Costate Statistical Package (Anonymous, 1989).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Dry matter formation:

Addition of the farm yard manure alone at all levels was found to increase the dry matter formation by wheat plants (Fig. 1). Moreover, enriching of the organic matter with FeSO₄ or ZnSO₄ realized additional increments in the dry matter. It can be also observed that the treatments 1) and 2) are the most effective in this respect and increasing of the FYM ratio led to less effect especially in case of the enrichment with FeSO₄ or ZnSO₄ which is clearly presented in treatment 4). A positive correlation of 0.55 was found in case of addition of FYM alone, and 0.47 in case of FYM+ZnSO₄ while it was negative (-0.16) in case of FYM+FeSO₄. Similar results were obtained by Ramadan *et al.* (1989) with organic manure. Decrease of biomass formation by the plants with ratio increment of the organic manure enriched with FeSO₄ or ZnSO₄ may attributed to the interruption in the uptake of one or more of the nutrients by the plant roots. Interruption may be because of reducing the availability of one or more nutrient(s) or creating nutrient unbalance in the root zone. This can clearly be shown from the elements concentrations in the plant tissues.

Fig. 1: Dry weight of wheat plants as affected by different levels of FYM alone or when enriched with FeSO₄ or ZnSO₄.

Nutrient concentrations:

Addition of FYM alone was less effective in raising nitrogen concentration in the plant tissues (Fig. 2). Low levels of organic manure enriched with FeSO₄ or ZnSO₄ [treatments 1) and 2)] increased N, P, K and Ca concentrations compared to control plants. Increasing of the organic manure ratio in the soil enriched with FeSO₄ or ZnSO₄ [Treatments 3) and 4)] was found to decrease the macronutrients N, P, Mg and Ca concentrations. As the organic matter ratio increased, may these elements -in the presence of Fe or Zn- form complexes unavailable to be taken up by the roots (Mengel and Kirkby, 1987). Addition of Fe or Zn in the sulfate form my lower pH and, hence, gave rise to more availability of micronutrients (Lucas and Kenezek, 1972) (Fig. 3). However, the high ratio of the organic matter may adsorb some of them causing retardation in their availability. It is also, clear from Fig. 3 that Zn addition raised the concentration of Fe, while addition of Fe dramatically lowered Mn-concentration in the plant tissues.

Fig. 2: Macronutrient concentrations (%) in wheat plant tissues as affected by FYM levels alone and when enriched with FeSO₄ or Zn SO₄.

Fig. 3: Micronutrient concentrations (ppm) in wheat plant tissues as affected by FYM levels alone and when enriched with FeSO₄ or Zn SO₄

Similar results were reported by Foy *et al.*(1978). Both Fe and Zn were found to antagonize with Cu concentration and the effect became less as the ratio of organic manure increased in case of Zn-enrichment. This may also be attributed to the degree of nutrients adsorption by high concentrations of soil organic matter (Mengel and Kirkby, 1987).

Nutrients uptake:

The picture may become clear with looking to nutrients uptake by wheat plants. Positive correlations were found between all determined macronutrients uptake and addition of FYM (Table 3) and, also, all determined micronutrients uptake and addition of FYM except with iron (Table 4).

Increase of nutrients uptake related to their availability increase can be attributed to changes in rhizosphere conditions which give rise to excretion of organic acids from the roots and evolution of CO₂ from the organic carbon (Marschner and Roemheld, 1996). The highest uptake of macro- and micronutrients was frequently found with the treatment 2) and enrichment with FeSO₄ or ZnSO₄ was found to, additionally, increase their uptake. Ca, Mg and Mn uptakes were found to be negatively correlate with FYM ratio increase in the soil in case of FeSO₄ enrichment. Similar trend was found in Mg and Mn uptake in case with ZnSO₄ enrichment. This can be explained by the antagonism between iron or zinc and these elements (Marschner and Roemheld, 1996; Walter *et al.*, 1994). This also may be due to the complexes of these nutrients formed by increasing of the organic manure levels, which have high stability constants rendering less availability of such elements for plant uptake.

Table 3: Uptake of macronutrients by wheat plants as affected by the increased ratios of organic manure with and without FeSO₄ or ZnSO₄

Treatment	O.M effect		O.M + FeSO ₄ effect			O.M + ZnSO ₄ effect		
	Uptake (mg/pot)	Uptake increase (%)	Uptake (mg/pot)	*O.M effect (uptake increase %)	**FeSO ₄ effect (uptake increase %)	Uptake (mg/pot)	*O.M effect (uptake increase %)	**ZnSO ₄ effect (uptake increase %)
Nitrogen (N)								
Control	228.0	--	391.1	--	71.5	246.1	--	7.93
1	314.5	37.9	514.1	31.4	63.4	513.7	108.7	63.3
2	287.6	26.1	536.5	37.1	86.5	560.2	127.6	94.8
3	310.5	36.1	462.6	18.3	48.9	470.9	91.3	51.6
4	291.2	27.7	392.6	0.4	34.8	428.6	74.1	47.2
Mean	286.3	--	459.3	--	--	443.9	--	--
±SD	34.6	--	67.2	--	--	120.9	--	--
r	0.55	--	-0.12	--	--	0.41	--	--
Phosphorus (P)								
Control	44.1	--	63.4	--	43.8	49.2	--	12.0
1	77.0	74.6	92.3	45.6	19.9	84.1	70.9	9.20
2	76.1	72.6	96.2	51.7	26.4	96.7	96.5	27.0
3	67.5	53.0	91.8	44.8	36.0	79.9	62.4	18.4
4	57.5	30.4	69.5	9.62	20.8	69.1	40.4	20.1
Mean	64.4	--	82.6	--	--	75.8	--	--
±SD	13.8	--	15.0	--	--	17.8	--	--
r	0.19	--	0.11	--	--	0.30	--	--
Potassium (K)								
Control	289.0	--	409.2	--	41.6	272.8	--	--
1	429.3	48.5	633.5	54.8	47.6	519.1	90.3	20.9
2	423.1	46.4	592.0	44.7	39.9	533.4	95.5	26.0
3	459.0	58.8	594.0	45.2	29.4	489.6	79.5	6.60
4	410.0	41.8	502.8	22.9	22.6	423.9	55.4	3.40
Mean	402.1	--	536.3	--	--	447.7	--	--
±SD	65.7	--	90.3	--	--	106.4	--	--
r	0.65	--	0.25	--	--	0.39	--	--
Calcium (Ca)								
Control	21.1	--	36.2	--	71.5	23.5	--	11.4
1	33.8	60.2	95.9	164.9	183.7	69.8	197.0	106.5
2	69.7	230.3	75.9	109.6	8.9	71.6	204.6	2.7
3	77.0	264.9	59.4	64.0	-22.8	64.6	174.9	-16.1
4	72.5	243.6	36.2	00.0	-53.2	63.1	168.5	-12.9
Mean ±SD	54.8	--	60.7	--	--	85.5	--	--
	25.5	--	25.8	--	--	19.9	--	--
r	0.90	--	-0.25	--	--	0.58	--	--
Magnesium (Mg)								
Control	31.7	--	49.5	--	56.2	38.5	--	21.5
1	47.2	48.9	72.4	46.2	53.3	55.5	44.2	17.6
2	45.2	42.6	72.2	45.8	59.7	50.7	31.7	12.2
3	45.9	44.8	57.6	16.4	25.2	45.9	19.2	00.0
4	42.5	34.0	39.6	-20.0	-6.8	39.2	1.8	-7.8
Mean	42.5	--	58.3	--	--	46.0	--	--
±SD	6.3	--	14.3	--	--	7.3	--	--
R	0.50	--	-0.39	--	--	-0.18	--	--

SD = Standard deviation

r = Correlation Coefficient

Uptake (Treatment-Control with Fe SO₄ or ZnSO₄) x 100

* O.M effect = $\frac{\text{Uptake with Fe SO}_4 \text{ or ZnSO}_4 \text{ control treatment}}{\text{Uptake (FeSO}_4 \text{ or ZnSO}_4 \text{ - Organic manure alone)}} \times 100$

** FeSO₄ or Zn SO₄ effect = $\frac{\text{Uptake (FeSO}_4 \text{ or ZnSO}_4 \text{ - Organic manure alone)}}{\text{Uptake with organic manure alone}} \times 100$

Table 4: Uptake of micronutrients by wheat plants as affected by increased ratio of organic manure with and without FeSO₄ or ZnSO₄

Treatment	O.M Effect		O.M + FeSO ₄ effect			O.M + ZnSO ₄ effect		
	Uptake (mg/pot)	Uptake increase (%)	Uptake (mg/pot)	*O.M effect (uptake increase %)	**FeSO ₄ effect (uptake increase %)	Uptake (mg/pot)	*O.M effect (uptake increase %)	**ZnSO ₄ effect (uptake increase %)
Iron (Fe)								
Control	1.29	--	2.34	--	81.4	1.60	--	24.0
1	1.89	46.5	5.48	143.2	189.8	3.49	118.1	84.6
2	1.42	10.0	5.18	121.3	264.8	3.67	129.3	158.4
3	1.32	2.32	5.31	126.9	302.2	3.31	106.8	150.7
4	0.68	-47.2	3.85	64.5	466.1	3.07	91.8	351.4
Mean	1.32	--	4.43	--	--	3.02	--	--
±SD	0.43	--	1.33	--	--	0.82	--	--
r	-0.65	--	0.32	--	--	0.51	--	--
Manganese (Mn)								
Control	0.27	--	1.31	--	385.2	0.84	--	211.0
1	0.44	62.9	0.51	-61.0	15.9	1.48	76.2	236.3
2	0.46	70.4	0.50	-61.8	8.7	1.68	100.0	265.2
3	0.46	70.4	0.47	-64.0	2.2	1.29	53.6	180.4
4	.40	48.1	0.36	-72.5	-10.0	0.88	4.76	54.5
Mean	0.406	--	0.63	--	--	1.23	--	--
±SD	0.079	--	0.38	--	--	0.36	--	--
r	0.54	--	-0.79	--	--	-0.05	--	--
Zinc (Zn)								
Control	0.58	--	0.83	--	43.1	0.94	--	62.0
1	1.05	81.0	1.39	67.5	32.4	1.57	67.0	49.5
2	1.00	72.4	1.38	66.2	38.0	1.64	74.5	64.0
3	1.12	93.1	1.58	90.3	41.0	1.68	78.7	46.4
4	1.03	77.6	1.16	39.8	12.6	1.38	46.8	34.0
Mean	0.96	--	1.26	--	--	1.44	--	--
±SD	0.21	--	0.28	--	--	0.30	--	--
r	0.70	--	0.40	--	--	0.50	--	--
Copper (Cu)								
Control	0.07	--	0.10	--	42.8	0.07	--	00.0
1	0.12	71.4	0.11	10.0	-8.3	0.07	00.0	-36.3
2	0.11	57.1	0.12	20.0	8.3	0.10	42.8	-9.0
3	0.13	85.7	0.11	10.0	-15.3	0.15	114.2	15.4
4	0.11	57.1	0.11	10.0	00.0	0.16	128.6	45.4
Mean	0.108	--	0.11	--	--	0.11	--	--
±SD	0.02	--	0.007	--	--	0.04	--	--
r	0.61	--	0.44	--	--	0.96	--	--

SD = Standard deviation r = Correlation Coefficient

Uptake (Treatment-Control with Fe SO₄ or ZnSO₄) x 100

* O.M effect = $\frac{\text{Uptake with Fe SO}_4 \text{ or ZnSO}_4 \text{ control treatment}}{(\text{Uptake (FeSO}_4 \text{ or ZnSO}_4 \text{ - Organic manure alone)})} \times 100$

** FeSO₄ or Zn SO₄ effect = $\frac{\text{Uptake with organic manure alone}}{\text{Uptake with organic manure alone}} \times 100$

CONCLUSIONS

From the present work, it can be concluded that the presence of Farm Yard Manure (FYM) in the root rhizosphere generally leads to availability increase of macro- and micronutrients to be taken up by wheat plant roots. Enrichment of organic manure with iron sulphate or zinc sulphate additionally increased the nutrients taken up by the plants. However, high ratios of the manure in the root area, especially in case of the addition of FeSO_4 or ZnSO_4 , led to negative effects on nutrients uptake and consequently their concentrations in the plant tissues which led, in turn, to less biomass formation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was carried out as a part of the Egyptian-German programme "Micronutrients and Other Plant Nutrition Problems in Egypt" executed by the National Research Centre (Coordinator Prof. Dr. Mohamed M. El-Fouly) and Institute of Plant Nutrition, Technical University, Munich, FRG.

REFERENCES

- Ankerman, D. and L. Large (1974). Soil and Plant Analysis. A&L Agric. Lab. Inc. New York, USA (1974).
- Anonymous, A. (1989). Cohort Soft Ware Corp. Costate user manual version 3.03, Barkley Ca, USA.
- Bauyoucos, H. H. (1954). A recalibration of the hydrometer for making mechanical analysis of soil. *Agron. J.* 43: 343-348.
- Black, C.A. (1965). Methods of Soil Analysis. No.9, Part 2, Am. Soc. Agron. Inc., Madison, Wisconsin.
- Chapman, H. D. and P. F. Pratt (1978). Methods of Analysis for Soils, Plants and Waters. Division of Agric. Sci., Univ. California, Berkeley, USA. 309 p.
- Cooke, G. W. (1972). Fertilizing for Maximum Yield. Grosby Lockwood and Son Ltd. London, 1972.
- El-Fouly, M.M. (1983). Micronutrients in arid and semiarid areas: levels in soils and plants and the need for fertilizers with reference to Egypt. *Proc. 15th Coll. Int. Potash Inst. Bern*, pp.163-173.
- Foy, C. D.; R. L. Chaney and M. C. White (1978). The physiology of metal toxicity in plants. *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol.* 29: 511-566.
- Jackson, M. L. (1973). Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice-Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi, India, 1973.
- Kolenbrander, G. J. (1972). Does leaching of fertilizers affect the quality of ground water at the water-works?. *Stikstof* 15: 8-15.
- Lindsay, W. L. (1974). Role of chelation in micronutrient availability. In: E. W. Carson (Ed.) "The Plant Root and its Environment". University Press of Virginia, 1974, pp.507-524.

- Lindsay, W.L. and W. A. Norvell (1978). Development of DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 42: 421-428.
- Lucas, R. E. and B. D. Kenezek (1972). Climatic and soil conditions promoting micronutrient deficiencies in plants. In: Micronutrients in Agriculture, 1972, pp.256-288.
- Marschner, H. (1995). Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. Academic Press, London, England, pp.597-680.
- Marschner, H. and V. Roemheld (1996). Root-induced changes in the availability of micronutrients in rhizosphere. In: Y. Waisel, A. Eshel and U. Kafkafi (Ed.) "Plant Roots the Hidden Half" Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, Basel, Hong Kong 1996, pp. 557-579.
- Mengel, K. and E. A. Kirkby (1987). Principles of Plant Nutrition, 4th Ed. Int. Potash Inst. Bern, Switzerland, 1987, 687 p.
- Olsen, S. R.; C. W. Cole, S. S. Watanabe and L. A. Dean (1954). Estimation of available phosphorus in soil by extraction by sodium bicarbonate. USDA Agric. Circ. 939, 19 p.
- Ramadan, H. A.; M. A. Dawood and M. A. Gamal, (1989). Availability of iron, manganese, zinc and copper in some brown soils in nenawy region. Proc. of 5th Micronutrients Symp." Micronutrients and Foliar Fertilizer Use in the Arab Region".16-21 Dec., 1989, Cairo-Ismailia, Egypt.
- Schaller, G. (1987). pH changes in the rhizosphere in relation to the pH-buffering of soils. Plant & Soil 97: 439-444.
- Sluijsmans, C.M.J. and G. J. Kolenbrander (1977). The significance of animal manure as a source of nitrogen in soils. In Proc. Int. Seminar on " Soil Environment and Fertility Management in Intensive Agriculture" Tokyo, 1977, pp. 403-411.
- Walkley, A. and I. A. Black (1934). Examination of the Dejtjareff method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Sci. 37: 29-38.
- Walter, A., V. Roemheld, H. Marschner and S. Mori (1994). Is the release of phytosiderophores in zinc deficient wheat plants a response to impaired iron utilization? Physiol. Plant. 92: 493-500.

تأثير التسميد بمستويات مختلفة من السماد العضوي بدون أو مع اضافة كبريتات الحديدوز أو كبريتات الزنك على صلاحية العناصر للامتصاص لنباتات القمح محمود محمد شعبان - زينب محمود مبارك - عادل عبد الخالق السيد قسم النبات-المركز القومي للبحوث-الدقى-القاهرة-ج.م.ع

أجريت تجربة أصص بصوبة برنامج العناصر المغذية الصغرى بالمركز القومي للبحوث على نبات القمح لدراسة أثر تسميده بمستويات مختلفة من السماد العضوي (FYM) مع أو بدون كبريتات الحديدوز أو كبريتات الزنك على تركيزات العناصر المغذية بأنسجة النبات و معدل امتصاصها وكذلك تكوين المادة الجافة. ولقد أوضحت النتائج أن هناك ارتباطا موجبا بين مستويات السماد العضوي وامتصاص العناصر ونسبة المادة الجافة بالنباتات. كما دلت النتائج على أن اضافة كبريتات الحديدوز أو كبريتات الزنك قد أدى الى زيادة اضافية فى كمية العناصر الممتصة بواسطة النباتات وكذلك تركيزاتها داخل الأنسجة مما أدى الى زيادة تكوين المادة الجافة. ولقد كان

لاضافة كبريتات الحديدوز الأثر الأكبر فى هذا الصدد، كما كانت المعاملتان رقم ١ {٢٥}، % من محتوى التربة سماد عضوى (معدل ٥ م^٣/فدان) + ٠,٣٥ جم/أصيص كبريتات حديدوز أو كبريتات زنك (بمعدل ٥٠ كج/فدان) ورقم ٢ {٥}، % سماد عضوى (معدل ١٠ م^٣/فدان) + ٠,٣٥ جم/أصيص كبريتات حديدوز أو كبريتات زنك (بمعدل ٥٠ كج/فدان) أفضل المعاملات. إلا أنه وجد أن هناك ارتباطا سالبا بين زيادة مستويات السماد العضوى و بين كمية المادة الجافة بالنبات من جهة وامتصاص عناصر النيتروجين والكالسيوم والمغنيسيوم والمنجنيز من جهة أخرى وذلك فى حالة اضافة كبريتات الحديدوز، بينما وجد فقط ارتباطا سالبا بين زيادة مستويات السماد العضوى وامتصاص كل من المغنيسيوم والمنجنيز فى حالة اضافة كبريتات الزنك.