Aminoglycosides Resistance Gene Detection in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Escherichia coli* by Multiplex PCR

Ahmed K.A. El-Sayed¹, Mohammed I. Abou-Dobara¹, Hazem H. Saleh²

¹Botany and Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science, Damietta University, Damietta, Egypt. ²Urology and Nephrology Centre, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt.

ABSTRACT



Aminoglycosides (AMG) are a significant class of antibiotics frequently used with B-lactams in the management of severe infections brought on by both Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. The clinical efficacy of these antibiotics is currently under jeopardy due to rising Gram-negative bacteria's aminoglycoside resistance. An significant insight into the possible difficulties of treating bacteria comes from the characterization of the gene profiles for antibiotic resistance. E. coli and K. pneumoniae were subjected to a rapid-multiplex-PCR assay to look into the genes producing aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes (AMEs) and assess how common these resistance genes were. The disc diffusion method (Kirby-bauer) was used to assess the antimicrobial susceptibility of 95 bacterial strains against gentamicin, amikacin, neomycin, and tobramycin, with 54 strains of K. pneumoniae and 41 strains of E. coli making up the total. Three distinct sets of primers, aph(3), ant(2), and aac(6), were used in standard multiplex PCR to target AMG resistance genes. Ten distinct resistance patterns for E. coli and K. pneumoniae were found after an analysis of antimicrobial susceptibility tests against AMG for tested bacteria. The most common AME-genes in K. pneumoniae, according to the mPCR, were aac(6) and ant(2) (77.78% for both), followed by aph(3) (48.15%). While among E. coli isolates, aac(6) (75.61%), ant(2) (56.1%), and aph(3) (48.78%) had the highest prevalence of AME-gene resistance. Each isolate of E. coli and K. pneumoniae gained one or more drug-resistant genes, according to the results of the multiplex-PCR. It was hypothesised that the same resistance gene was horizontally spread between other bacterial species.

Keywords: Aminoglycosides resistance; *aph*(3) gene; *ant*(2) gene; *aac*(6) gene; *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*.

INTRODUCTION

Aminoglycosides (AMG) are a type of antibiotics that are frequently used with ß-lactams to treat severe infections brought on by Gram-negative and Grampositive bacteria. The clinical effectiveness of these antibiotics is currently in danger due to rising AMG resistance among Gram-negative bacteria. In Gramnegative bacteria, the emergence of aminoglycosidemodifying enzymes (AMEs) and 16S rRNA methylases results in the development of aminoglycoside resistance (Ramirez and Tolmasky, 2010; Wachino and Arakawa, 2012). Aminoglycosides are potent antibiotics with bactericidal effects that attach to the bacterial cell's ribosome and inhibit the production of proteins. Resistance to such antibiotic groups is primarily mediated by the development of enzyme modifications such as acetyltransferase, phosphortransferases, and adenyltransferases, modification of the target site as a result of a mutation in the 16s rRNA or ribosomal proteins (Yamane et al., 2005; O'Connor et al., 1991), and decreased intracellular antibiotic accumulation resulting from a change in outer membrane (Magnet et al., 2001).

There are three types of AMEs (Shaw *et al.*, 1993; Davies & Wright, 1997; Wright & Thompson, 1999), N-Acetyltransferases (AAC, catalyzes acetyl-CoAdependent acetylation of amino group), O-phosphotransferases (APH catalyzes ATP-dependent phosphorylation fahydroxyl group), and O-Adenyl-transferases (ANT, catalyzes ATP-dependent adenylationofahydroxyl group). Characterization the profiles of antimicrobial resistance gene distribution provide important information on the potential difficulty of treatment of bacteria. This information can be employed for facilitating prompt and effective treatment of bacterial infection. To examine the prevalence of AMG resistance gene, several methods have been developed, including conventional single-PCR and multiplex-PCR assays combined with agarose gel electrophoresis analysis, hybridization with DNA probes, and sequence analysis (Clark *et al.*, 1999; Vakulenko *et al.*, 2003, Kishk *et al.*, 2021). The existing methods have some disadvantages, such as how time-consuming, labor-intensive, and difficult it is to simultaneously evaluate many genes.

A flexible framework for evaluating thousands of possible antimicrobial resistance genes simultaneously is provided by DNA chips (Disney et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2005). On the other side, discovering many clinical isolates during an epidemiological research is expensive and time-consuming. Therefore, a rapid, lowcost, high-throughput approach is required to analyse the distribution of AMG resistance genes in clinical isolates. The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method is faster, more sensitive, and more focused for this type of detection when compared to southern blot hybriddization, macrorestriction, fingerprinting, and MIC determination (Vanhoof et al., 1994). Meanwhile, numerous genes can be identified concurrently by multiplex-PCR, and m-PCR tubes have the benefit of quickly and accurately detecting genotypic resistance to a variety of drugs (Geha et al., 1994; Martineau et al., 2000). For that reason, the goal of this research

^{*} Corresponding author e-mail: <u>Hazemhamedsaleh@yahoo.com</u>

was to provide a quick multiplex-PCR (mPCR) test for the simultaneous detection of AME genes and to assess the frequency of these resistance genes in isolates of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* in a single experiment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial sampling and culturing

A total of 95 bacterial strains (54 strains of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and 41 strains of *E. coli* were isolated from urine sample (37), wound sample (28), throat swab samples (18) and sputum samples (12). They were collected, during the period of January, 2019 to December, 2020, from intensive care units in Mansoura University Hospital (MUH). These samples were handled in Microbiology Diagnostic and Infection Control Units (MDICU), Mansoura Faculty of Medicine for isolation and biochemical identification. Isolation was carried on blood agar and Macconkey agar and then incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. For urine samples, inoculation on Cystine Lactose Electrolyte Deficient (CLED) Agar was carried out.

Bacterial identification

The obtained bacterial isolates were identified morphologically and biochemically according to the standard methods of Bergey's Manual of Determinative bacteriology (1985).

Antibiotics susceptibility testing

Antimicrobial susceptibility test was determined by disc diffusion method (Kirby-bauer) on Mueller Hinton agar (Oxoid, UK) using commercial antibiotic disks (Bioanalyse ASD, TURKEY) against gentamicin (10 μ g), amikacin (30 μ g), neomycin (30 μ g) and tobramycin (10 μ g). The results were interpreted according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Guide-line (CLSI, 2020).

Detection of resistant genes

Extraction of bacterial DNA

Freshly grown bacterial colonies were collected separately from the culture plate of each isolate with a sterile bacteriological loop and suspended in 1 ml of sterile distilled water. The suspended bacterial solution was centrifuged for 10 minutes at 5000x g. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was used for DNA isolation. Bacterial genomic DNA was prepared using Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (RBC Bioscience, Taiwan) according to the manufacturer instructions.

Multiplex PCR application

The oligonucleotide primers, used for PCR amplification, were obtained from Biosearch technology CA USA (Table 1). No positive controls were used in all PCR reactions where the products of PCR were compared to the molecular size (bp) of targeting genes. For detection of Aminoglycosides resistance genes standard PCR technique was performed via by means of three specific sets of primers to aph(3), ant(2) and aac(6) as described by Kim et al.(2012). Reaction was done in a total volume of 25µl using 12.5µl of master mix (Bioline, UK), 5µl DNA template, 1µl of each upstream and downstream primers (10 pmol/ml) and volume was completed with 5.5 µl free RNA water. The thermal profile reaction was initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 mins; followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 56°C for 1 minute and extension 72°C for 1 minute, followed by terminal extension at 72°C for 10 mins. Amplified DNA products were visualized on 2% agarose gels (Bio Basic INC, Canada) under the appropriate conditions, and then stained with ethidium bromide, and photographed using Canon Digital Camera under UV light.

Table (1): Nucleotide sequences of the primers used to amplify the aminoglycoside resistance genes.

Targeted Aminoglycoside resistance-gene	Primer sequence used	PCR Product size (bp)
anh(2)	5`-ATGGGCTCGCGATAATGTCG-3`	724
apn(3)	5`-AGAAAAACTCATCGAGCATC-3`	734
ant(2)	5`-ATGCAAGTAGCGTATGCGCT-3`	
uni(2)	5`-TCCCCGATCTCCGCTAAGAA-3`	477
	5`-AGTACTTGCCAAGCGTTTTAGCGC-3`	365
<i>uuc</i> (0)	5`-CATGTACACGGCTGGACCAT-3`	303

RESULTS

Isolation and identification of isolates

From 250 samples, *Klebsiella pneumoniae and Escherichia coli* isolates were identified and confirmed biochemically. A total of 95 isolates were tested and found to be resistant to aminoglycoside antibiotics.

Antibiotic susceptibility testing

The data obtained from antibiotic susceptibility analysis are shown in Table (2). The *K. pneumoniae* isolates showed maximum resistance to neomycin (85.1%) followed by tobramycin (53.7%). Of the *K*.

pneumoniae isolates, 44.4% were resistance to amikacin and 40.7% were resistance to gentamycin. However, the isolates of *E. coli* showed maximum resistance to neomycin (75.6%) followed by gentamicin (73.1%). Twenty two (53.6%) isolates were resistance to tobramycin, whereas only 13 isolates (31.7%) were resistance to amikacin. Meanwhile, isolates of both *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* showed a similar pattern of neomycin antibiotic resistance (Table 2). Antibiotic susceptibility tests also recorded variability in the proportions of microorganisms resistant to aminoglycosides, as shown in Table (3). The study recognized ten different resistance patterns to aminoglycosides, of which five involved both *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*, three were specific to *K. pneumoniae*, and two were specific to *E. coli*. Testing isolates were also shown to be concurrently resistant to gentamicin, amikacin, tobramycin, and neomycin.Ten (18.5%) cases of *K. pneumoniae* and six (or 14.6%) cases of *E. coli* had the fourth resistant-pattern (IVa) responsive to all investigated AMGs except Neomycin resistance

Seven isolates of K. pneumoniae (12.9%) were found to exhibit the first pattern (I) resistance to the investigated AMGs, compared to five (12.2%) E. coli strains. Four of the K. pneumoniae strains (7.4%) and three (7.3%) of the E. coli strains displayed the resistance phenotype (IIb) to Gentamicin, Amikacin, and Neomycin. Nine strains of K. pneumoniae were found to be resistant to tobramycin and neomycin, whereas five isolates of E. coli were shown to have a third pattern of resistance (IIIa). The pattern (IIIb) was represented by 4 (7.4%) and 5 (12.2%) for K. pneumoniae and E. coli, respectively. It was resistant to Gentamicin and Amikacin and sensitive to Tobramycin and Neomycin. The pattern IVa, sensitive to all investigated AMGs except Neomycin, were reported for K. pneumoniae10 (18.5%) and 6 (14.6%) for E. coli. However, phenotypic resistance pattern IVb and IVc were only identified in isolates of K. pneumoniae and E. coli, respectively (Table 3).

Table (2): Antibiotic susceptibility % of isolates of *K*. *pneumoniae* and *E. coli* against chosen amino-glycoside antibiotics.

Antibiotic	Bacterial isolates susceptibility (%)			
used	K. pneumoniae	E. coli		
Gentamicin	22 (40.7%)	30 (73.1%)		
Amikacin	24 (44.4%)	13 (31.7%)		
Tobramycin	29 (53.7%)	22 (53.6%)		
Neomycin	46 (85.1%)	31 (75.6%)		

Genotyping of the bacteriological isolates

The results of PCR as summarized in Table 4 revealed that, ninety-five isolates were utilised in the current study. We studied three aminoglycoside resistance genes that encode AMEs found in Gramnegative bacteria by designed three sets of primers that were specific for aac(6), ant(2) and aph(3) genes (see Table 1). To characterize the AME genes detected in E. coli and K. pneumoniae, the effect of primer concentration (5.0-15.0 pmol) and annealing temperature (53.0-58.0°C) were examined using multiplex PCR. The optimal primer concentration and annealing temperature for multiplex PCR detection of AME genes was found to be 10.0 pmol (each primer) and 56.0°C, respectively. Amplified DNA fragments of three different sizes (365, 477, and 734 bp) were able to detect up to 5-10 cell/ml (Fig. 1).

The PCR product patterns of representative strains showed the expected sizes of the amplified aac(6), ant(2) and aph(3) genes, in both isolate types, either as

monoplex (lane 1-3) or multiplex (lane 4) as represented in Fig. (2). Non-specific background amplification products were not detected in this multiplex PCR assay. Therefore, the specificity of the primers selected in this study for multiplex-PCR was proved. The results also revealed that 8 out of 54 K. pneumoniae acquired aac(6) (14.81%) while E. coli isolates recorded 6 out of 41 E. coli (14.63%) acquired the same gene aac(6). The ant(2) gene was detected in 4 out of 54 K. pneumoniae (7.41%) and 6 out of 41 E. coli isolates (14.63%), while aac(6) and ant(2) genes were found together in 17 isolates, 12 from K. pneumoniae (22.22%) and five from E. coli (12.19). Detection of both aac(6) and aph(3) genes were found simultaneously only in eight E.coli isolates with frequency of 19.51%. Meanwhile, they did not detected in any K. pneumoniae. However, four isolates of the K. pneumoniae were found to contain both ant(2) and aph(3) genes with percentage of 7.41. In addition, eight out of 95 isolates of E. coli and K. pneumoniae, four isolates from each genus, were not found to have any AME genes (Table 4). The coexistence of three genes, aac(6), ant(2) and aph(3), was recognized in both K. pneumoniae and E. coli in 22(40.74%) 12(29.27), respectively (Fig. 3 and 4).

DISCUSSION

The increasing of multidrug-resistant species of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* that produce aminoglycosidemodifying enzymes, extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs) and AmpC enzymes has restricted options of treatment (Shahid *et al.*, 2003; Ananthakrishnan *et al.*, 2000). Early diagnosis of infections caused by these organisms is therefore crucial, as prompt treatment may lower mortality in hospitalised patients (Rao and Shivanada, 1993; Veenu and Arora, 1998).

Aminoglycosides (AMGs) play an important role in serious E. coli and K. pneumoniae infections, despite reports of increased resistance to drugs. Several reports have stated that aminoglycoside (gentamicin) resistance is closely related to ciprofloxacin resistance (Haller, 1985; Mulder et al., 1997; Mandal et al., 2003; Pépin et al., 2009). Gentamicin was the most active against Gram-negative bacteria, including E. coli and K. pneumoniae, and is often used in combination with either ß-lactam or daptomycin (Leclercq et al., 1991; Moulds and Jeyasingham, 2010). The AMEs were classified as aac, aph, and ant. Many studies have found a correlation between common genes encoding for AMEs and aminoglycoside resistance (Kobayashi et al., 2001; Choi et al., 2003; Vakulenko et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2005).

In this study, we investigated *aac*(6), *ant*(2) and *aph*(3) genes in clinical isolates of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* that possessed high-level of resistance to gentamicin, amikacin, neomycin and tobramycin. In comparison to previous studies (Ghatole *et al.*, 2004) that looked at *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* susceptibility to various antibiotics, this study found that *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* were more resistant to antibiotics as

Bacterial	Designated Pattern	Number of	Sensitivity of Antibiotic used [†]				Represented percentage
isolates	type	isolates	CN	AK	тов	Ν	(%)
	Ι	7	R	R	R	R	12.9
	IIa	9	S	R	R	R	16.7
niae	IIb	4	R	R	S	R	7.4
K. pneumor	IIIa	9	S	S	R	R	16.7
	IIIb	4	R	R	S	S	7.4
	IIIc	7	R	S	S	R	12.9
	IVa	10	S	S	S	R	18.5
	IVb	4	S	S	R	S	7.4
	Ι	5	R	R	R	R	12.2
E. coli	IIb	3	R	R	S	R	7.3
	IIc	12	R	S	R	R	29.3
	IIIa	5	S	S	R	R	12.2
	IIIb	5	R	R	S	S	12.2
	IVa	6	S	S	S	R	14.6
	IVc	5	R	S	S	S	12.2

Table (3): Phenotypic resistance patterns for isolates of K. pneumoniae and E. coli.

[†]CN, Gentamicin; AK, Amikacin; TOB, Tobramycin; N, Neomycin; R, Resistant; S, Sensitive.

 Table (4): Mono and Multi-Aminoglycoside Gene Resistance detected in K.pneumoniae and E. coli and their frequency.

Resistant Cones	No. of detecte	ed genes	Gene frequency (%)		
Resistant Ocnes	K.pneumoniae	E. coli	K.pneumoniae	E. coli	
aac(6) - ant(2) - aph(3)	22	12	40.74	29.27	
aac(6) - ant(2)	12	5	22.22	12.19	
ant(2) - aph(3)	4	0	7.41	0	
aac(6) - aph(3)	0	8	0	19.51	
<i>aac</i> (6)	8	6	14.81	14.63	
<i>ant</i> (2)	4	6	7.41	14.63	

there is a clear tendency towards decreased susceptibility for entirely groups of antibiotics. Additionally, in our study K. pneumoniae and E. coli isolates showed variable degrees of resistance against tested aminoglycosides as shown in Table 2. Among K. pneumoniae the highest percentages of resistance were observed for neomycin (85.1%) and tobramycin (53.7%) followed by amikacin (44.4%) and gentamycin (40.7%), while E. coli, the highest rates of resistance was observed for neomycin (75.6%) and gentamicin (73.1%) followed by tobramycin (53.6%) and amikacin (31.7%). Summarizing the results of susceptibility tests, neomycin was the aminoglycoside with the highest level of resistance against K. pneumoniae as well as E. coli with the percentages of susceptibility at 85.1% and 75.6%, respectively. The next highest antibiotic resistance was tobramycin (53.7%) for K. pneumoniae, while for E. coli was gentamycin (73.1%).

Resistance to aminoglycosides was also the subject of research performed by Lindemann *et al.* (2012) who tested ESBL-producing *E. coli* clinical isolates and revealed high rates of their resistance to gentamicin (80.6%), netilmicin (89.4%), and tobramycin (94%).

70

Moreover, this work is in accordance with our study in the aspect of high activity of amikacin against ESBL producers (6% resistance). The low rates of reduced susceptibility against amikacin were also observed by Haldorsen *et al.* (2014) that reached the level of 0.4%, whereas for gentamicin and tobramycin these rates were 3.2% and 3.4%, respectively.

Regarding to PCR detection, generally, aph(3) gene out of other three AME-genes examined in current study have not been identified individually among E. coli and K. pneumoniae but coexist with another genes. Each isolate of E. coli and K. pneumoniae acquired one or more resistance genes. The most prevalent AMEgenes among the K. pneumoniae, 54 isolates) were aac(6) and ant(2) (77.78%), followed by aph(3)(48.15%). While among the E. coli isolates the most prevalent AME-gene resistance was *aac*(6) (75.61%) followed by ant(2) (56.1%) and aph(3) (48.78%). Twenty-two (40.74%) isolate of K. pneu-moniae and twelve (29.27%) isolate of E. coli, each one of them acquired three AME-gene (aac(6), ant(2) and aph3). The PCR results revealed also that the coexists aac(6)and ant(2) have been detected among K. pneumoniae and E. coli with 22.22% and 12.19%, respectively.



Figure (1): Electrophoresis Profile of the Polymerase Chain Reacttion Products of Clinical *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* isolates containing Aminoglycoside Resistance Genes. Sensitivity detection for minimal cell concentration required to yield PCR products was about 5-10 cell/ml. A, *aph*(3), (lane 5); B, *ant*(2), (lane 4) and C, *aac*(6), (lane 4) genes. Lane M: 1000 base pair (bp) marker; lanes 1, 4 and 5: different cell concentrations (cell/ml) of the clinical isolates.

The aac(6) gene was most prevalent AMEs encountered in 77.7% in *K. pneumoniae* and 75.6% in *E.coli*, which is similar to those of other reports from India and abroad (Shahid and Malik, 2005; Ndegwa *et al.*, 2010; Moniri *et al.*, 2010). It is noteworthy that aac(6) enzyme has got notable attention as to be implicated in the resistance of kanamycins and tobramycin as well as amikacin and neitlmicin (Schmitz *et al.*, 1999).

In Poland PCR assays revealed the presence of aac(6)-Ib among 26 (59.2%) strains, aph(3)-Ib among 26 (59.2%) strains, aph(3)-Ib among 16 (36.2%), aac(3)-Ia among 7 (15.9%), and ant(2)-Ia among 2 (4.6%) strains, aac(6)-Ib and aph(3)- Ib genes were common among ESBL non-producers, and were detected among 4 (26,7%) and 3 (20%) strains, respecttively; whereas, among ESBL producers, the most fre-

quently detected genes encoding AMEs were aac(6)-Ib and *aph*(3)-Ib, observed in 22 (75.9%) and 13 (44.8%) of isolates, respectively. In addition, few ESBL-producing strains presented aac(3)-Ia and ant(2)-Ia genes. Additionally, they noticed that one isolates harbored three genes encoding AMEs: aph(3)-Ib, aac(3)-Ia, aac(6)-Ib (Ojdana et al., 2018). However, the ant (2) gene was observed 77.7% and 56.1% in K. pneumoniae and E. coli strains, respectively in which is in deferent with an earlier study from Iran in 250 isolates of P. aeruginosa obtained from various clinical specimens, which reported that ant(2) was prevalent detected in 28% of clinical isolates (Vaziri et al. 2011). Meanwhile, the data revealed that the least prevalence 48% of aph(3) which is similar to what has been observed in earlier study from India in Enterococcus species, reported a high prevalence (40.4%) of aph(3)(Padmasini et al. 2013).



Figure 2. Individual monoplex (lane 1, 2 and 3) and multiplex (lane 4) PCR products for *aph*(3), *ant*(2) and aac6 genes, respectively. Lane M: 1000 base pair (bp) marker.



Figure (3): Multiplex PCR patterns for K.pneumoniae strains.

1000 900 900 700 600 500 400 300 250 200 150 100 50	bp	Μ	1	2	3	4	5
300 400 300 250 100 50	1000 900 800 700 600						
300 250 200 150 100 50	500 400				-		
200 150 100 50	300 250						
50	200 150						
	100 50						

Figure (4): Multiplex PCR patterns for E. coli strains.

The results of multiplex-PCR revealed that each isolate of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* acquired one or more resistance AMEs genes causing multidrug resistance problem. It was proven that the same gene of resistance was transferred horizontally between different bacterial species (Padmasini *et al.*, 2013).

CONCLUSION

A total of 95 clinical isolates have been isolated from Egyptian hospitals and laboratories (January 2019 to December 2020). Out of 95 bacterial isolates, 54 isolates were *K. pneumoniae* (56.8%) and 41 isolates were *E. coli* (43.2%). The most efficient aminoglycoside antibiotic among Egyptian clinical bacterial isolates was Amikacin. Aminoglycosides are used to treat both gram positive and gram-negative bacterial infections. This group of antibiotics is a second line drug which is prescribed in combination with other groups in clinical settings. Carriage of aminoglycoside resistance and their horizontal transferability in hospital setting demands urgent need to devise proper antibiotic policy and to slow down their expansion from hospital to community environment.

REFERENCES

- ANANTHAKRISHNAN, A. N., R. KANUNGO, A. KUMAR, AND S. BADRINATH. 2000. Detection of extended-spectrum beta lactamase producers among surgical wound infections and burns patients in JIPMER. Indian J Med Microbiol; 18:160-5.
- Bergeys Manual of systematic bacteriology. Int. Bact.; July 1985, p. 408
- CHEN, S., S. ZHAO, P. F. MC DERMOTT, C. M. SCHROEDER, D. G. WHITE, AND J. MENG. 2005. A DNA microarray for identification of virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes in *Salmonella serovars* and *Escherichia coli*. Mol Cell probes, 19(3):195-201.
- CHOI, S. M., S. H. KIM, H. J. KIM, D. G. LEE, J. H. CHOI, J. H. YOO, J. H. KANG, W. S. SHIN, AND M. W. KANG. 2003. Multiplex PCR for the detection of genes encoding aminoglycoside modifying enzymes and methicillin resistance among *Staphylococcus* Species. J Korean Med Sci., 18:631-636.
- CLARK, N. C., O. OLSVIK, J. M. SWENSON, C. A. SPIEGEL, AND F. C. TENOVER. 1999. Detection of a streptomycin/spectinomycin adenylyltransferase gene (aadA) in *Enterococcus faecalis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 43(1):157-160.
- CLSI. 2020. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute Wayne, PA
- DAVIES. J. AND G. D. WRIGHT. 1997. Bacterial resistance to aminoglycoside antibiotics. Trends Microbial., 5:234-240.
- DISNEY, M. D., S. MAGNET, J. S. BLANCHARD, AND P. H. SEEBERGER. 2004. Aminoglycoside microarrays to study antibiotic resistance. Angew Chen Int Ed Engl, 43(12):1591-1594.

- GEHA, D. J., J. R. UHL, C. A. GUSTAFERRO, AND D. H. PERSING. 1994. Multiplex PCR for identification of methithillin – resistant staphylococci in the clinical laboratory. J Clin Microbiol., 32:1768-1772.
- GHATOLE, M., P. MANTHALKAR, S. KANDLE, V. YEMUL, AND V. JAHAGIRDAR. 2004. Correlation of extended-spectrum beta lactamases production with cephalosporin resistance in Gramnegative bacilli. Indian J Pathol Microbiol; 47:82-4.
- HALDORSEN, B. C., G. S. SIMONSEN, A. SUNDSFJORD, AND O. SAMUELSEN. 2014. Norwegian Study Group on Aminoglycoside Resistance. Increased prevalence of aminoglycoside resistance in clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella spp*. in Norway is associated with the acquisition of AAC(3)-II and AAC(6')-Ib. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis;78(1):66–9.
- HALLER I. 1985. Comprehensive evaluation of ciprofloxacin-aminoglycoside combinations against Enterobacteriaceae and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Strains. Antimicrob Agents Chemother., 28:663-666.
- KIM, H. C., J. H. JANG, H. KIM, Y. J. KIM, K. R. LEE, AND Y. T. KIM. 2012. Multiplex PCR for Simultaneous Detection of Aminoglycoside Resistance Genes in *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Korean J Clin Lab Sci., 44(3): 155-165.
- KISHK, R., N. SOLIMAN, N. NEMR, R. ELDESOUKI, N. MAHROUS, A. GOBOURI, E. AZAB AND M. ANANI. 2021. Prevalence of Aminoglycoside Resistance and Aminoglycoside Modifying Enzymes in *Acinetobacter baumannii* Among Intensive Care Unit Patients, Ismailia, Egypt. Infection and Drug Resistance. 14: 143-150.
- KOBAYASHI, N., M. ALAM, Y. NISHIMOTO, S. URASAWA, N. UEHARA, AND N. WATANABE. 2001. Distribution of aminoglycoside resistance genes in recent clinical isolates of *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Enterococcus faecium* and *Enterococcus avium*. Epidemiol Infect., 126:197-204.
- LECLERCQ, R., E. BINGEN, Q. H. SU, N. LAMBERT-ZECHOVSKI, P. COURVALIN, AND J. DUVAL. 1991. Effects of combinations of β-Lactams, daptomycin, gentamicin, and glycolpeptides against glycopeptide resistant Enterococci. Antimicrob Agents Chemother., 35:92-98.
- LINDEMANN, P. C., K. RISBERG, H. G. WIKER, AND H. MYLVAGANAM. 2012. Aminoglycoside resistance in clinical *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates from Western Norway. APMIS;120(6):495–502.
- MAGNET, S., P. COURVALIN, AND T. LAMBERT. 2001. Resistance-nodulation-cell division-type efflux pump involved in aminoglycoside resistance in *Acinetobacter baumannii* strain BM4454. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 45(12):3375-3380.
- MANDAL, S., M. D. MANDAL, AND N. K. PAL.2003. Combination effect of ciprofloxacin and

gen-tamicin against clinical isolates of *Salmonella enterica* Serovar *typhi* with Reduced Susceptibility to Ciprofloxacin. Jpn J Infect Dis., 56:156-157.

- MARTINEAU, F., F. J. PICARD, N. LANSAC, C. MENARD, P. H. ROY, M. OUELLETTE, AND M. G. BERGERON. 2000. Correlation between the resistance genotype determined by multiplex PCR assays and the antibiotic susceptibility patterns of *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother., 44:231-238.
- MONIRI, R., R. K. FARAHANI, G. H. SHAJARI, M.
 H. N. SHIRAZI, AND A. GHASEMI. 2010.
 Molecular epidemiology of aminoglycosides resistance in *Acinetobacter Spp.* with emergence of multidrug-resistant strains. Iranian J. Publ. Health., 39: 63-68.
- MOULDS, R. F. W. AND M. S. JEYASINGHAM. 2010. Gentamicin: a great way to start. Aust Prescr., 33:134-135.
- MULDER, J. G., J.G. KOSTERINK, AND J. E. DEG-ENER. 1997. The relationship between the use of flucloxacillin, vancomycin, aminoglycosides and ciprofloxacin and the susceptibility patterns of coagulase-negative staphylococci recovered from blood cultures. J Antimic. Chemother., 40:701-706.
- NDEGWA, D. W., N. L. M. BUDAMBULA, S. KA-RIUKI, G. REVATHI, AND J.N. KIIRU. 2010. Aminoglycoside modifying enzymes detected in strains of *Escherichia, Klebsiella, Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter* implicated in invasive infections in Nairobi, Kenya. Proceedings of 2010 JKUAT scientific technological and industrialization conference. Nairobi, Kenya, 17 to 19 November 2010.
- O'CONNOR, M., E. A. DE STASIO, AND A. E. DAHLBERG. 1991. Interaction between 16S ribosomal protein S12: differential effects of paromomycin and streptomycin. Biochimie, 73(12):1493-1500.
- OJDANA, D., A. SIENKO, P. SACHA, P. MAJE-WSKI, P. WIECZOREK, A. WIECZOREK, AND E. TRYNISZEWSKA. 2018. Genetic basis of enzymatic resistance of *E. coli* to aminoglycosides. Advances in Medical Sciences, 63, 9-13.
- PADMASINI E, PADMARAJ R, RAMESH SS. High level aminoglycoside resistance and distribution of aminoglycoside resistant genes among clinical isolates of Enterococcus species in Chennai, India. ScientificWorldJournal. 2014 Feb 4;2014:329157. doi: 10.1155/2014/329157.
- PÉPIN, J., M. PLAMONDON, C. LACROIX, AND I. ALARIE. 2009. Emergence of and risk factors for ciprofloxacin-gentamicin-resistant *Escherichia coli* urinary tract infections in a region of Quebec. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol., 20: e163-e168.
- RAMIREZ, M.S., AND M. E. TOLMASKY, 2010. Aminoglycoside modifying enzymes. Drug Resist Update. 13(6):151-71. doi: 10.1016/j.drup.20-10.08.003. Epub 2010 Sep 15.
- Rao, P. S., and P. G. Shivananda. 1993. Bacteraemia

due to non-fermenting Gram-negative bacilli in immuneocompromised patients. Indian J Med Microbiol; 11:95-9.

- SCHMITZ, F. J., J. VERHOEF, AND A. C. FLIUT. 1999. Prevalence of aminoglycosides resistance in 20 European university hospitals participating in the European SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance programme. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis., 18: 414-421.
- SHAHID, M., A. MALIK, AND SHEEBA. 2003 Multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains harbouring R-plasmids and AmpC ß-lactamases isolated from hospitalised burn patients in a tertiary care hospital of North India. FEMS Microbiol Lett; 228:181-6.
- SHAHID, M., AND A. MALIK. 2005. Resistance due to aminoglycoside modifying enzymes in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates from burns patients. Indian J. Med. Res., 122: 324-32.
- SHAW, K. J., P. N. RATHER, R. S. HARE, AND G. H. MILLER. 1993. Molecular genetic of aminoglycoside resistance genes and familial relationships of the aminoglycoside-modifying enzyme. Microbio Rev., 57:138-163.
- VAKULENKO, S. B., S. M. DONABEDIAN, A. M. VOSKRESENSKIY, M. J. ZERVOS, S. A. LERNER, AND J. W. CHOW. 2003. Multiplex PCR for detection of aminoglycoside resistance genes in enterococci. Antimic. Agents Chem-other, 47(4):1423-1426.
- VANHOOF, R., C. GODARD, J. CONTENT, H. J. NYSSEN, AND E. HANNECART-POKORNI. 1994. Detection by polymerase chain reaction of genes encoding aminoglycoside-modifying enzyme in methithillin-resistant *staphylococcus aureus* isolates of epidemic phage types. Belgian Study Group of Hospital Infections (GDEPIH/GOSPIZ). J Med Microbiol., 41:282-290.
- VAZIRI, F., S. N. PEERAYEH, Q. B. NEJAD AND A. FARHADIAN. 2011. The prevalence of aminoglycoside-modifying enzyme genes (aac (69)-I, aac (69)-II, ant (20)-I, aph (39)-VI) in *Pseu-domonas aeruginosa*. CLINICS, 66: 1519-1522.
- VEENU, S. R., AND D. R. ARORA. 1998. Isolation, and susceptibility pattern of non-fermenting Gramnegative bacilli from clinical samples. Indian J Med Microbiol 1998; 17:14-8.
- WACHINO, J., AND ARAKAWA. Y. 2012. Exogenously acquired 16S rRNA methyltransferases found in aminoglycoside-resistant pathogenic Gram-negative bacteria: an update. Drug Resist Updat 2012; 15:133-48.
- WRIGHT, G. D., AND P. R. THOMPSON. 1999. Aminoglycoside phosphotransferases: proteins, structure, and mechanism. Front Biosci., 4:19-21.
- YAMANE, K., J. WACHINO, Y. DOI, H. KURO-KAWA, AND Y. ARAKAWA. 2005. Global spread of multiple aminoglycoside resistance genes. Emerg Infect Dis, 11(6):951-953.

الكشف عن الجينات المقاومة للأمينوجليكوسيد بواسطة تفاعل البوليميريز المتسلسل المتعدد للكلبسيلا الرئويه و الاشريشيا كولاى

أحمد قاسم عبد الصمد السيد¹- محمد إسماعيل أبودوباره² - حازم حامد صالح³ ¹قسم النبات والميكروبيولوجى - كلية العلوم - جامعة دمياط، مصر ²مركز أمراض الكلى والمسالك البولية، جامعة المنصورة، المنصورة، مصر

الملخص العربسي

تعتبر مجموعة الأمينوجليكوسيد هى فئة مهمة من المضادات الحيوية التى تستخدم غالبًا في علاج الالتهابات الشديدة التي تسببها البكتيريا سالبة الجرام وموجبة الجرام والتى لها القدره على إفراز إنزيم البيتا لاكتام مما يترتب عليه زيادة مقاومة هذه البكتريا تجاه المضادات الحيوية. من خلال هذه الدراسة تم فصل 95 عزلة من البكتريا عبارة عن 54 من الكليبسيلا الرئوية و 41 عزلة من الإشيريشيا كولاى وتم إجراء إختبار الحساسية لهذه البكتيريا تجاه عدد من فضل 95 عزلة من البكتريا عبارة عن 54 من الكليبسيلا الرئوية و 41 عزلة من الإشيريشيا كولاى وتم إجراء إختبار الحساسية لهذه البكتيريا تجاه عدد من ألمضادات الحيوية. من خلال هذه الدراسة تم المضادات الحيوية (الجنتاميسين ، الأميكاسين ، النيومايسين والتوبراميسين) باستخدام طريقة الانتشار القرصي (كيربي باور).وكذالك الكشف عن بعض المضادات الحيوية (الجنتاميسين ، الأميكاسين ، النيومايسين والتوبراميسين) باستخدام طريقة الانتشار القرصي (كيربي باور).وكذالك الكشف عن بعض الجينات المسؤلة عن مقاومة هذه البكتريا باستخدام تقنية تفاعل البلمرة المتسلسل المتعدد باستخدام ثلاث مجموعات محدة من البادئات للجينات(3) *hag و و(2) ant* و (2)*ant و و(2) ant* و (2)*ant و و(2) عليه و حود عشر*ة أنماط مختلفة فى مقاومة الإشيريشيا كولاى والبكتريا الرئوية، كما أظهرت نتائج تفاعل البلمرة المتسلسل المتعدد أن جينوة عن وجود عشرة أنماط مختلفة فى مقاومة الإشيريشيا كولاى والبكتريا الرئوية لهذه المحدادات الحيوية، كما أظهرت نتائج تفاعل البلمرة المتسلسل المتعدد أن جينات الأمينوجليكوسيد هى الأكثر انتشارًا بين البكتريا والبكتريا الرئوية لهذه المحدادات الحيوية، كما أظهرت نتائج تفاعل البلمرة المتسلسل المتعدد أن جينات الأمينوجليكوسيد هى الأكثر انتشارًا بين البكتريا والرئوية لهذه المدادات الحيوية، كما أظهرت نتائج تفاعل البلمرة المتسلسل المتعدد أن جينات الأمينوجليكوسيد هى الأكثر انتشارًا بين البكتريا الرئوية حيزة المي الدينات (6) معور ور2) مع من معالم ما معدد أن جينات الأمينوجليكوسيد مى ولاكثر انتشارًا بين البكثر انتشارًا بين الرئوية ولاكثر انتشارًا هي (6) معور ور2) معام ور2) معام ور ما ما مسلسل الما معد ألهم ما ألكن النتشارًا هي مى (6) معام ما مير مى ما لهرت البغيز النتسارًا هي مى معان الممر مى ما ما ما ما مى ما ما ما ما معان البلمرة المكامن الرئوية