# INFLUENCE OF FULL BLOOM SPRAY APPLICATION OF PUTRESCINE, KNO<sub>3</sub> AND CPPU ON NUMBER OF FRUITS, FRUIT SET, FRUIT DROP AND FRUIT RETENTION OF ALPHONSO MANGOES

Khattab, M. M; G.M. Hasseb and A. E. Shaban Pomology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University

# ABSTRACT

This study was carried out during 1997 and 1998 seasons. Alphonso mango trees of 20 year old were used. The experiment was conducted in an orchard located in Giza governorate. Panicles were sprayed at full bloom with putrescine at 150, 300 or 450 PPM, KNo<sub>3</sub> at 0.5, 1 or 2% and CPPU at 5, 10 or 15 PPM. The highest fruit number per panicle was recorded with putrescine followed by CPPU hand a direct relationship was detected in this respect with putrescine. The highest fruit set was scored with both of putrescine and CPPU. Whilst, the lowest one was detected with KNo<sub>3</sub>. A direct relationship was found between putrescine concentration and fruit set, reversibly, fruit set decreased with the increase in CPPU and KNo<sub>3</sub> concentration. Fruit drop decreased with KNo<sub>3</sub> while it increased with putrescine and CPPU. Both of KNo<sub>3</sub> and putrescine increased fruit retention at harvest with a direct relationship with the used concentrations. The highest values in this regard were recorded with 2% KNo<sub>3</sub> and 450 PPM putrescine.

Key words: Mango, Mangifera Indica, Fruit Set, Putrescine, CPPU, KNo3.

## INTRODUCTION

The mango (mangifera indica L.) belongs to the family Anacardiaceae. Compared to citrus and bananas, mangoes, is the third most important crop in the tropics. Alphonso mango cv. is considered one of the most promising cvs for export. The tree is moderately large, with broadly rounded, dense canopy; the fruit is yellow, ovate-oblique, averaging 6 cm long by 5 cm broad, the skin is thin; the flesh is firm to soft, low in fiber, yellow in colour, sweet with characteristic aroma and has very pleasant taste preferred by many who knows this cultivar. Low productivity of mango due to very low fruit set and high fruit drop are considered big problems concerning mango production. Various attempts have been made to increase fruit set and decrease fruit drop. The use of chemical substances as foliar application proved to be effective in reducing fruit drop and increasing yield as, Zora and Singh (1995) found that putrescine increased fruit set and fruit retention in mango cv. Dashehari and Langra when applied at full bloom. Oosthuyse (1995) reported that CPPU enhanced fruit retention in mango cvs. Tommy Atkins and Heidi. Moreover Oosthuyse (1993) demonstrated that foliar spray application of KNo3 at 4% increased fruit retention and yield of mango cv. Tommy Atkins.

The present study was carried out to investigate the effect of full bloom spray application of putrescine, potassium nitrate or sitofex (CPPU) on number of fruit, fruit set, fruit drop and fruit retention

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted throughout 1997 and 1998 seasons on Alphonso mango (*Mangifera Indica* L.) grown on sandy soil at Giza Governorate. The selected trees, in the two seasons of the study, were in the on year and about 20 years old, grafted on seedling rootstocks and planted at 6 meter a part, similar in vigor, size and subjected to the same cultural practices. The trees under investigation were planted among several varieties to ensure an adequate pollination and fertilization. The farm contained Alphonso, Hindy khassa, Zebda, Mabrouka and Balady cvs.

The panicles were sprayed at full bloom (90% blooming) with Putrescine (tetra methylene-diamine (C<sub>4</sub> H<sub>12</sub> N<sub>2</sub>-2HCl)) at 150, 300 and 450 PPM, Potassium nitrate (KNo<sub>3</sub>) at 0.5%, 1% and 2%, or CPPU {(N-(2-chloro-4-pyridyl-N-phenyl-urea)  $C_{12}H_{10}$  ClNo<sub>3</sub>, (Sitofex)} at 5, 10 and 15 PPM.

The experiment was laid out in a complete randomized design with three single trees as replicates for each treatment. Twenty panicles per replicate (distributed among the four directions) were tagged. In the second season, another group of trees in the same farm and in the on year were chosen for study. The following parameters were studied: -

## Number of fruits per panicle:

Number of fruit per panicle was counted at couple of weeks intervals from fruit set up to harvesting.

#### Fruit set:

Thirty panicles of different sizes were collected for total perfect flowers calculation. Fruit set percentage was calculated at couple of week's intervals from the petal fall stage up to harvesting according to the following equation:

No. of fruit set per panicle

Fruit set percentage = ------ X 100 No. of total perfect flowers per panicle

#### Fruit drop:

Fruit drop percentage was calculated at two weeks intervals proportionally to those counted at set.

## Fruit retention:

Fruit retention percentage was determined at harvest as follows: No. of mature fruits per panicle Fruit retention percentage = ------ X 100 No. of setting fruits per panicle

#### Design of experiment and statistical analysis:

A complete randomize design was used, each treatment was replicated three times with one tree for each replicate. Data were tabulated and statistically analyzed according to (Snedecor and Cochran, 1980). Means were compared by L.S.D at 5%.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Number of fruits per panicle: -

Data in Tables (1 & 2) show that Putrescine and CPPU increased significantly fruit number per panicle. These results are in agreement with the findings of Zora *et al.* (1995) and Oosthuyse (1995) they concluded that Putrescine and CPPU increased yield of mango cvs. Dashehari, Langra, Tommy Atkins and Heidi.

Putrescine proved supperior in this regard, followed by CPPU. On the other hand, KNo<sub>3</sub> decreased this number, there is an adverse relationship between the used concentration of Kno<sub>3</sub> and the number of fruits attained per panicle. The findings of Oosthuyse (1996) with mango cv. Kent disagreed with the obtained results. Contrarily, number of fruit per panicle increased as Putrescine concentration increased. This result came in line with the findings of Costa and Bagni (1981), (1983); Eddo *et al.* (1985) and Rugini *et al.* (1986).

Data cleared that the lowest concentration of CPPU (5 PPM) resulted in the highest number, Conversely the lowest was recorded with the highest concentration (15 PPM) these results agreed with those of Greene (1989) on apple, moreover Mataa *et al.* (1997) supported these results in their trails on mandarin.

Regarding KNo<sub>3</sub> effect on fruit number per panicle, data indicated that number of fruit per panicle was reduced with the higher concentration of KNo<sub>3</sub>. The findings of Sharma *et al.* (1990) with mango cv. Dashehari and Oosthuyse (1993) with mango cv. Tommy Atkins, are in contrary of these results.

It is worth mentioning that, number of fruits per panicle decreased sharply and continuously after fruit set and up to maturity, nevertheless the striking decrease in fruit number occurred during the period of 15 to 30 day from set. The rate of decrease was significantly high throughout the 60 days from set, as during this period this number decreased from (23.37 and 21.42) to (2.11 and 1.96) in both seasons respectively, thereafter this rate was low and insignificant. These findings are in accordance with that obtained by Singh (1987) and Subhadrdraband *et al.* (1996).

#### Fruit set

Data in Tables (3 & 4) indicate that Putrescine and CPPU treatments significantly increased fruit set percentage. However,  $KNo_3$  decreased it in both seasons . These findings are in harmony with those reported by Oosthuyse (1993) and Zora *et al* (1995).

The highest percentage of fruit set was recorded with Putrescine in the first season, while, in the second one it was recorded with CPPU. Differences between them were significant only in the first season.

Khatab, M.M. et al.

1+2

3+4

## Khatab, M.M. et al.

Fruit set percentage increased as the concentration of Putrescine increased, contrary it decreased with increasing the concentration of both KNo<sub>3</sub> and CPPU. These findings are in accordance with that obtained by Nunez (1986) and Zora *et al.* (1995) on mango Dashehari and Langra.

Fruit set decreased significantly 60 days from setting, however an insignificant continuous decrease was noted afterwards till maturity, it could be noticed from the data that fruit set decreased by 94.77% and 93.93% by age in both seasons respectively. The findings of Singh *et al.* (1987) and Subhadrabandhu *et al.* (1996) confirmed these results.

#### Fruit drop:

It is evident from Tables (5&6) that spraying panicles of Alphonso mango at full bloom with KNo<sub>3</sub> reduced significantly fruit drop percentage in the two seasons of study. These results were supported by those obtained by Sharma *et al.* (1990).

Regarding the other tested treatments, data indicated that no significant difference was noted between the control and CPPU in the first season while it was significant in the second one. Concerning putrescine this difference was significant in both seasons. The highest percentage of fruit drop was recorded with Putrescine, followed by control and CPPU. The finding of Tiburico *et al.* (1993) and Paksasorn *et al.* (1995) disagree with the obtained results.

It is also indicated from the results, that differences between the three concentrations within CPPU and Putrescine were insignificant during the two seasons of study. These results are supported by Antognozzi *et al.* (1993) and Joublan *et al.* (1995) on kiwi fruit and grape.

It is obvious that increasing the concentration of KNo<sub>3</sub> significantly reduced the percentage of fruit drop. The results of Sharma *et al.* (1990) are in agreement with these findings. Data revealed a significant increase in fruit drop during the 75 days from setting, thereafter it increased insignificantly up to maturity. These results were supported by the results obtained by Singh (1978).

#### Fruit retention:

Data in Tables (7 & 8) revealed that spraying panicles of Alphonso mango with KNo<sub>3</sub> at full bloom, resulted in the highest fruit retention percentage, data also indicated that, KNo<sub>3</sub> at 2% gave better results than 1% or 0.5%. In this regard; Putrescine came after KNo<sub>3</sub>, as the recorded retention percentages were (5.07 and 7.41%) the two seasons consequantly. Using Putrescine at 450 PPM was more effective than 300 or 150 PPM. These results are in agreement with those reported by Oosthuyse (1996) as spraying KNo<sub>3</sub> at 2% increased fruit retention of mango cv. Kent. Zora *et al.* (1995) demonstrated that spraying Putrescine at full bloom resulted in increasing the final fruit retention of mango cvs. Dashehari and Langra.

5+6

Khatab, M.M. et al.

7+8

CPPU significantly decreased fruit retention than the other treatments, as a reverse relationship between the concentration of CPPU and percentage of fruit retention was observed. As spraying CPPU at 5 PPM maximized this percentage, Meanwhile this percentage was decreased with the concentrations 10 or 15 PPM. The control showed the lowest percentage in this regard (3.71 and 2.75) in the two seasons respectively without significant difference with the CPPU treatment. The findings of Oosthuyse (1993 and 1995) confirmed these results.

## REFERENCES

- Antogynozzi, E.; F. Faniani; A. Palliott; A. Tombesi; S. Lavee and R. Goren (1993). Effects of CPPU (Cytokinin) on kiwi fruit productivity. Acta Hort., 329: 150 - 152.
- Costa, N. and N. Bagni (1981). Effect of polyamines on fruit set of apple (cv. Rubyspur). Acta Hort., 120: 239.
- Costa, G. and N., Bagni (1983). Effect of polyamines on fruit set of apple. HortScience, 18 (1): 59 - 61.
- Eddo, R. and M., Massimo (1985). Increased yield in the olive with putrescine treatment. HortScience, 20 (1): 102 103.
- Greene, D. W. (1989). CPPU influences Mcintosh apple crop load and fruit characteristics. HortScience, 24: 94 96.
- Joublan, M. J. P.; H. R. Merino; E. R. Wilckens and E. Medina (1995). Effect of CPPU and gibberellic acid on grape cv. Moscated Rosa fruit. Agro. Ciencia, 11(2): 119 - 127.
- Mataa, M.; S. Tominaga and I. Kozaki (1997). Effects of exogenous growth regulators applications on source leaf carbohydrate accumulation patterns in Pankan (*Citrus reticulats* Blanco). J. of the Japanese Soc. Hort. Sci., 66 (2): 245 251.
- Nunez, R. and T. L. Davenport (1986). Abscission of mango fruitlets as influenced by enhanced ethylene biosynthesis. Plant Physiol., 82: 891-894.
- Oosthuyse, S. A. (1993). Effect of spray application of KNo<sub>3</sub>, Urea and growth regulators on the yield of Tommy Atkins mango. Yearbook South African Mango Growers. Association, 13: 58 62.
- Oosthuyse, S. A. (1995). Effect of post-bloom aqueous spray application of GA<sub>3</sub>, NAA, and CPPU on fruit retention, fruit size and yield in Tommy Atkins and Heidi mango. Yearbook South African Mango Growers. Association, 15: 31 33.
- Oosthuyse, S.A. (1996). Effect of KNO<sub>3</sub> spray to flowering mango trees on fruit retention, fruit size, tree yield and fruit quality. Yearbook South African Mango Growers association, 16: 27-31.
- Paksasorn, A.; T., Hayasaka; H., Matsui; H., Ohara and N., Hirata (1995). Relationship of polyamine content to ACC content and ethylene evolution in Japanese apricot fruit. J. of the Japanese Soc. for Hort. Sci., 63 (4): 761-766.

- Rugini, E.; G., Bongi and M. Menuccini (1986). Effect of putrescine, Larginine and cobalt on fruit set ethylene content and apparent parthenocarpy in olive (*Olea europaea* L.) Acta Horticulturae, N0.179, vol.1.
- Sharma, T.R.; P. K., Nair and M. K., Nema (1990). Effect of foliar spray of urea, KNO<sub>3</sub> and NAA on fruiting behavior of mango cv. Langra. Orriza Journal of Horticulture, 18 (1-2): 42-47.
- Singh, R. N. (1978). Mango Indian Council of Agricultural Research. New Delhi. India. 276.
- Singh, R. N.; S. Gorakh; J. S. Mishra; O. P. Rao and Singh (1987). Studies on the effect of pre and post harvest treatment of calcium nitrate and calcium chloride on the storage life of Amrapali mango. Progressive Horticulture 19(1 - 2): 1 – 9.
- Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cocharn (1980). Statistical Methods. Seventh Edition, Iowa State Univ., Press., Ames., Iowa, USA.
- Subhadrabandhu, S.; Lamsub.; S., Sueranant and L., Kusol (1996). Effect of CPPU N-(2-chloro-4-pyridyl)-N-phenylurea) on fruit setting of mango (*mangifera indica* L.) cv. Nam Dok Mai. Kasetsart-Journal Natural Sciences, 30 (3): 285-292.
- Tiburico, A. F.; J. L. Campos and X. Figuoras (1993). Recent advances in the understanding of polyamines functions during plant development. Plant Growth Regulation, 12: 331 – 340.
- Zora, S.; S. Lakhuir; Z. Singh and L. Singh (1995). Increased fruit set and retention in mango with exogenous application of polyamines. Journal of Horticultural Science, 70 (2): 271-277.

تأثير الرش بالبيتروسين ، نترات البوتاسيوم والسيتوفكس عند التزهير الكامل على عدد التشار، نسبة العقد ، نسبة التساقط ونسبة الثمار المتبقية للمانجو الفونس. ماجدة محمود خطاب، جمال محمد حسيب ، أيمن السيد شعبان. قسم الفاكهة. كلية الزراعة ـ جامعة القاهرة

أجريت هذه الدراسة خلال موسمي 1997، 1998 على أشجار مانجو صنف الفونس، أعمارها 20عام متجانسة في النمو ونامية في مزرعة خاصة تحت ظروف محافظة الجيزة.

تم الرشّ عند التّزهير الكاملُ بكل من البيتروسينُ بتركيز 150، 300، 450 جزء في المليون، نترات البوتاسيوم بتركيز 5, ، 1، 2% والسيتوفكس بتركيز 5 ، 10 ، 15جزء في المليون.

معاملات البيتروسين سجلت أعلى عدد من الثمار لكل نورة يليها السيتوفكس ونترات البوتاسيوم. وجدت علاقة عكسية بين عدد الثمار وتركيز كل من نترات البوتاسيوم والسيتوفكس. بينما أظهرت معاملات البيتروسين علاقة طردية بين التركيز وعدد الثمار. معاملات البيتروسين والسيتوفكس سجلت نسبة عقد أعلى من الكنترول بينما انخفضت هذه النسبة مع نترات البوتاسيوم. أيضاً وجدت علاقة طردية بين تركيز البيتروسين ونسبة العقد في حين قلت نسبة العقد بزيادة تركيز السيتوفكس ونترات البوتاسيوم ورسين نترات البوتاسيوم بينما زادت هذه النسبة مع البيتروسين والسيتوفكس سجلت نسبة عقد أعلى من ونيبة العقد في حين قلت نسبة العقد بزيادة تركيز السيتوفكس ونترات البوتاسيوم. انخفضت نسبة التساقط مع زيادة واضحة في نسبة الدة راسية مع البيتروسين والسيتوفكس. نترات البوتاسيوم والبيتروسين أظهرت زيادة واضحة في نسبة الثمار المتبقية عند الحصاد وكانت هناك علاقة طردية بين التركيز وهذه النسبة، حيث سجلت أعلى قيم مع تركيز 2% نترات بوتاسيوم، 450 جزء في المليون من البيتروسين.

Age of											
Fruits	P	UTRESCIN	IE	ΡΟΤΑ	SSIUM NIT	RATE		CPPU		CONTROL	MEAN (C)
(days)	150 PPM	300 PPM	450 PPM	.50 %	1 %	2 %	5 PPM	10PPM	15PPM		
15	27.43	31.70	38.80	16.80	11.66	8.33	30.53	24.70	23.13	20.66	23.37
30	4.20	4.66	5.26	8.10	7.40	6.33	6.46	5.16	4.70	3.93	5.62
45	3.00	3.70	4.16	3.40	3.00	2.93	4.33	3.53	3.26	3.00	3.43
60	1.93	1.93	2.50	2.23	1.60	1.46	2.73	2.53	2.20	2.03	2.11
75	1.60	1.80	2.20	1.96	1.50	1.30	2.10	1.53	1.33	1.00	1.63
90	1.53	1.70	2.13	1.90	1.40	1.00	1.63	1.30	1.232	0.96	1.47
105	1.43	1.66	2.06	1.73	1.33	096	1.43	1.10	1.00	0.83	1.35
120	1.36	1.60	2.00	1.66	1.26	0.93	1.23	0.90	0.83	0.76	1.25
MEAN (A)	5.31	6.09	7.38	4.72	3.64	2.90	6.30	5.09	4.71	1.147	
MEAN (B)		6.24			3.75			5.36		4.14	

Table (1): Effect of spraying putrescine, KNo<sub>3</sub> and CPPU at full bloom on number of fruits/panicle (season 1997).

LSD at 5% for : Concentrations (A)= 0.7895 Substances (B)= 0.4558 Age of fruit (C)= 0.6446 A x B x C = 2.233

Table (	(2)	: Effect of s	praying	putrescine	, KNo	₃ and CPPU	at full	bloom o	n number (	of fru	uits/	panicle	season 19	998)	).
---------	-----	---------------	---------	------------	-------	------------	---------	---------	------------	--------	-------	---------	-----------	------	----

Age of											
Fruits	PUTRESCINE			POTA	SSIUM NIT	RATE		CPPU		CONTROL	MEAN (C)
(days )	150 PPM	300 PPM	450 PPM	.50 %	1 %	2 %	5 PPM	10PPM	15PPM		
15	23.50	23.80	27.13	16.06	11.10	8.40	33.60	26.06	22.30	22.30	21.42
30	4.60	5.30	6.36	8.70	4.20	4.40	6.30	6.50	5.20	3.30	5.51
45	3.30	3.90	4.16	3.86	2.40	2.80	4.30	3.80	4.10	1.70	3.43
60	1.70	2.20	2.80	2.60	1.90	1.70	2.20	1.60	1.60	1.30	1.96
75	1.50	1.90	2.30	1.80	1.60	1.33	1.90	1.36	.096	1.00	1.56
90	1.46	1.96	2.30	1.66	1.56	1.30	1.86	1.33	083	0.73	1.48
105	1.40	1.83	2.23	1.60	1.46	1.26	1.76	1.03	0.76	0.66	1.39
120	1.36	1.80	2.16	1.50	1.40	1.16	1.73	0.90	0.70	.060	1.33
MEAN (A)	4.85	5.32	6.62	4.72	3.20	2.79	6.70	5.32	4.55	3.94	
MEAN (B)		5.59			3.57			5.52		3.94	

LSD at 5% for : Concentrations (A)= 1.511 Substances (B) = 0.8725 Age of fruit (C) = 1.234 A x B x C= 4.274

Age of											
Fruits	PUTRESCINE			ΡΟΤΑ	SSIUM NIT	RATE		CPPU		CONTROL	MEAN (C)
(days)	150 PPM	300 PPM	450 PPM	.50 %	1 %	2 %	5 PPM	10 PPM	15 PPM		. ,
15	9.88	11.42	14.0	6.05	4.20	3.00	11.0	8.89	8.33	7.44	8.42
30	1.50	1.62	1.89	2.91	2.66	2.28	2.32	1.86	1.68	1.41	2.01
45	1.08	1.20	1.50	1.22	1.08	1.05	1.44	1.26	1.17	1.08	1.20
60	0.69	0.69	0.90	0.80	0.63	0.52	0.98	0.90	0.69	0.73	0.76
75	0.57	0.69	0.79	0.70	0.53	0.48	0.75	0.54	0.47	0.36	0.58
90	0.55	0.61	0.76	0.68	0.50	0.36	0.58	0.46	0.44	0.34	0.52
105	0.51	0.60	0.74	0.62	0.47	0.34	0.51	0.39	0.35	0.29	0.48
120	0.48	0.57	0.71	0.59	0.45	0.33	0.44	0.32	0.29	0.27	0.44
MEAN(A)	1.90	2.17	2.65	1.69	1.31	1.04	2.25	1.82	1.69	1.49	
MEAN(B)		2.24			1.34			1.92		1.49	

Table (3): Effect of spraying putrescine, KNo<sub>3</sub> and CPPU at full bloom on fruit set percentage (season 1997)

LSD at 5% for : Concentrations (A) = 0.2697 Substances (B)= 0.1557 Age of fruit (C) = 0.2202 A x B x C= 0.7629

Table (4): Effect	ct of spraying putrescine, KNo₃ and CPPU at full bloom on fruit set perce	ntage (seas	on 1998).

Age of				9	Substance						
Fruits	P	UTRESCIN	IE	POTA	SSIUM NIT	RATE		CPPU		CONTROL	MEAN (C)
(days)	150 PPM	300PPM	450 PPM	.50 %	1 %	2 %	5 PPM	10 PPM	15 PPM		
15	8.70	8.81	10.2	5.93	4.09	3.10	12.42	9.62	8.24	8.26	7.91
30	1.69	1.95	2.44	3.21	1.55	1.62	2.34	2.42	1.93	1.23	2.03
45	1.22	1.45	1.53	1.425	0.89	1.02	1.59	1.42	1.52	0.62	1.26
60	0.62	0.83	1.05	0.96	0.72	0.64	0.80	0.59	0.58	0.47	0.72
75	0.55	0.70	0.85	0.66	0.61	0.48	0.69	0.50	0.35	0.36	0.57
90	0.53	0.68	0.84	0.61	0.57	0.47	0.68	0.48	0.30	0.26	0.54
105	0.51	0.67	0.81	0.58	0.53	0.46	0.64	0.37	0.28	0.24	0.50
120	0.50	0.66	0.79	0.55	0.51	0.42	0.63	0.32	0.25	0.22	0.48
MEAN(A)	1.79	1.96	2.29	1.74	1.18	1.02	2.47	1.96	1.68	1.45	
MEAN(B)		2.01			1.31			2.03		1.45	
LSD at 5% for : 0	Concentrat	ions (A) =	0.5580	Substance	es(B)= 0.	3222 Ag	e of fruit (C	;) = 0.4557	7 АхВэ	C = 1.579	

Age of											
Fruits	P	UTRESCIN	E	ΡΟΤΑ	SSIUM NIT	RATE		CPPU		CONTROL	MEAN (C)
(days )	150PPM	300PPM	450PPM	.50 %	1 %	2 %	5 PPM	10PPM	15PPM		
30	86.47	85.26	84.37	50.36	36.51	23.24	78.78	79.22	79.73	80.86	68.48
45	89.56	89.41	88.97	78.84	74.11	64.81	85.78	85.65	85.91	85.45	82.84
60	93.51	94.01	93.04	86.68	86.15	82.12	91.04	89.75	90.48	90.13	89.69
75	94.24	94.41	94.22	88.08	87.04	83.79	93.12	93.74	94.21	95.15	91.79
90	94.40	94.71	94.45	88.49	87.95	87.96	94.63	94.67	94.68	95.31	92.72
105	94.54	94.81	94.79	89.48	88.53	88.37	95.29	95.52	95.67	95.96	93.29
120	94.67	95.01	95.02	89.92	89.14	88.75	95.96	96.34	96.39	96.28	93.74
MEAN (A)	92.48	92.51	92.12	81.69	78.49	74.14	90.65	90.69	91.01	91.30	
MEAN (B)		92.37			78.10			90.78		91.30	

Table (5): Effect of spraying putrescine, KNo<sub>3</sub> and CPPU at full bloom on fruit drop percentage (season 1997).

LSD at 5% for : Concentrations (A)= 1.662 Substances (B) = 0.9593 Age of fruit (C) = 1.269 A x B x C= 4.396

I able (6): Effect of spraving putrescine. KNo <sub>3</sub> and CPPU at full ploom on fruit drop percentage (season 19)
---

Age of											
Fruits	F	UTRESCIN		POTA	SSIUM NITI	RATE		CPPU		CONTROL	MEAN (C)
(days )	150 PPM	300 PPM	450 PPM	.50 %	1 %	2 %	5 PPM	10 PPM	15 PPM		
30	75.02	76.92	80.10	45.26	62.18	46.25	78.49	74.66	74.99	85.64	69.95
45	84.54	82.75	85.09	74.92	77.76	66.41	85.96	85.20	80.20	92.57	81.54
60	89.09	90.40	92.28	83.07	82.00	78.65	92.54	93.68	92.29	94.26	88.82
75	91.16	91.51	93.27	88.66	84.66	84.19	93.35	94.60	95.24	95.45	91.20
90	91.30	91.75	93.43	89.46	85.61	84.60	93.41	94.72	95.85	96.70	91.68
105	91.58	91.89	93.74	89.90	86.54	84.95	93.67	95.94	96.21	96.97	92.13
120	91.82	92.00	93.90	90.59	87.16	86.07	93.81	96.49	96.57	97.23	92.56
MEAN (A)	87.78	88.17	90.25	80.26	80.84	75.87	90.17	90.75	90.19	94.11	
MEAN (B)		88.73			78.99			90.37		94.11	

LSD at 5% for : Concentrations (A) = 2.379 Substances (B) = 1.374 Age of fruit (C) = 1.817 A x B x C= 6.295

	Substances												
	Putrescine			KNo₃			CPPU						
150	300	450	0.5%	10/	29/	5	10	15	Control				
ppm	ppm	ppm	0.5 %	1 /0	2 /0	ppm	ppm	ppm					
4.96bcd	4.97bc	5.30b	10.07a	10.85a	11.25a	4.03cde	3.65e	3.59e	3.71de				
	5.07 B		10.72 A				3.71 C						

Table (7): Effect of spraying putrescine, KNo<sub>3</sub> and CPPU at full bloom on fruit retention percentage (season 1997).

Values followed by the same letter in row are not statistically different at 5 % level

Table (8): Effect of spraying putrescine,	KNo₃ and CPPU at full blo	om on fruit retention percentage
(season 1998).		

	Substances												
Putrescine				KNo₃			CPPU						
150	300	450	0.5%	10/	20/	5	10	15	control				
ppm	ppm	ppm	0.5 %	178 278		ppm	ppm	ppm					
6.09 cd	7.99 c	8.16 c	9.39 bc	12.83 ab	13.92 a	6.17 cd	3.49 d	3.42 d	2.75 d				
	7.41 B		12.04 A				4.36 C	2.75 C					

Values followed by the same letter in row are not statistically different at 5 % level