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#### Abstract

COMMON bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) is one of the most important vegetable crops grown in Egypt. This study was conducted to determine the phenotypic, genotypic, and environmental correlations between seed yield and some of its traits, and to perform path analysis to assess the direct and indirect effects between seed yield per plant as dependent variable and the other traits as explanatory variables.Twenty-seven accessions of common bean were evaluated at El-Dalgamon village, El-Gharbia Governorate, Egypt during the two successive summer seasons of 2016 and 2017 using a randomized complete block design with three replications. The results revealed the importance of genotypic correlations coefficients compared to the corresponding values of phenotypic ones. The genotypic correlations were positive ranging from 0.60 to 0.99 for the correlation between seed yield per plant and each of plant height, number of leaves per plant, number of days to flowering, number of racemes per plant, number of days to maturity, number of pods per plant, and number of seeds per pod Genotypic path analysis indicated the importance of positive direct effects of plant height, number of pods per plant, number of days to maturity, and number of seeds per pod, suggesting the direct selection of these traits to improve seed yield. Whereas, number of leaves per plant, number of days to flowering, or number of racemes per plant should be selected simultaneously with plant height or number of pods per plant since they had high positive indirect effects on seed yield through these two traits.
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## Introduction

Common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) is a leguminous self-pollinated crop ( $2 \mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{x}=22$ ). It is one of the most important vegetable crops grown in the world as well as in Egypt to produce immature tender pods and/or dry seeds. According to FAOSTAT (2016), the cultivated area of dry bean in Egypt was 34.08 thousand hectares with a total production of 112.9 thousand tonnes. Egypt exportation of dry beans was 34.4 thousand tonnes, and it was ranked sixteenth among the largest exporters of dry bean in the world.

Seed yield in common bean is a quantitative trait which is influenced by several genes and environmental factors, in addition, it depends on other related traits (Ejara et al., 2017). The direct selection of complex traits such as seed yield may not be effective, thus, it is suitable to study the association between seed yield and its components to perform the indirect selection of traits related to seed yield (Ahmed and Kamaluddin, 2013).

Correlation and path analysis will clarify the relationship between various traits with seed yield, which will be important for effective selection procedures designed to improve seed yield. Although, the correlation coefficient is valuable to determine the relationship between traits, it does not provide the direct and indirect effects of different seed yield components. Path analysis gives information about the direct effect of a certain trait on another one and the indirect effects of such certain trait through the other studied traits. Correlation and path coefficient analysis could be used together to understand the cause and effects relationship between seed yield and its components to identify the traits which maybe considered as indirect selection criteria.

Many researchers studied the correlation and path coefficient analyses of seed yield and its components in common bean (Gonçalves et al., 2003, Karasu \& Oz, 2010, Ahmed \& Kamaluddin, 2013, Singh \& Singh, 2013, Akhshi et al., 2015, Ambachew et al., 2015, Ejara et al., 2017,

[^0]Gonçalves et al., 2017, and Panchbhaiya et al., 2017). However, there are few reports (Mohamed, 1997) on this subject in common bean under Egyptian conditions.

Therefore, this study was conducted to determine the phenotypic, genotypic, and environmental correlations between seed yield and some of its related traits in twenty-seven accessions of common bean and to perform path analysis to estimate the direct and indirect effects of such traits on seed yield.

## Materials and Methods

The present study was carried out during the two successive summer seasons of 2016 and

2017, at El-Dalgamon village, Kafr El-Zayat, El-Gharbia Governorate, Egypt. The genetic materials comprised twenty-six common bean accessions obtained from the Nordic Genetic Resource Center (NordGen) in addition to Giza 6 , the commercial cultivar widely grown in Egypt (Table 1). The accessions were cultivated for two generations for seed multiplication and disease-infected plants were discarded, then each accession was sown manually in four rows of 4 m long and 70 cm wide. Plants were spaced 10 cm within rows. The planting date was $4^{\text {th }}$ march in each year. The experiment was arranged in a randomized complete block design with three replications.

TABLE 1. List of evaluated 27 common bean accessions including 26 accessions from the Nordic Genetic Resource Center (NordGen) and Giza 6, the Egyptian local commercial cultivar

| Number | Accession | Name | Type | Origin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | NGB 9300 | ØIJORD | Advanced cultivar | Norway |
| 2 | NGB 17801 | HALLANDSBONA | Primitive | Sweden |
| 3 | NGB 17803 | SLOALYCKE | Primitive | Sweden |
| 4 | NGB 17805 | MOR KRISTIN | Primitive | Sweden |
| 5 | NGB 17806 | SARDAL | Primitive | Sweden |
| 6 | NGB 17807 | HARPLINGE | Landrace | Sweden |
| 7 | NGB 17808 | RYSK KEJSARBONA | Primitive | Sweden |
| 8 | NGB 17809 | BERNADINA | Primitive | Sweden |
| 9 | NGB 17810 | PETTERSONS BONA | Landrace | Sweden |
| 10 | NGB 17812 | STÅSHULT | Primitive | Sweden |
| 11 | NGB 17813 | HANNAS STRIMMIGA | Primitive | Sweden |
| 12 | NGB 17814 | SVEA | Landrace | Sweden |
| 13 | NGB 17815 | SANDA | Primitive | Sweden |
| 14 | NGB 17816 | GULLSPANG | Landrace | Sweden |
| 15 | NGB 17817 | MORBRORS GRONA | Landrace | Sweden |
| 16 | NGB 17821 | FISKEBY | Advanced cultivar | Sweden |
| 17 | NGB 17823 | SIGRID | Landrace | Sweden |
| 18 | NGB 17824 | KULLA | Landrace | Sweden |
| 19 | NGB 17825 | SIGNE | Landrace | Sweden |
| 20 | NGB 17826 | PERSSON | landrace | Sweden |
| 21 | NGB 17827 | EXTRA-HATIF DE JUILLAT | Advanced cultivar | France |
| 22 | NGB 18054 | GULBONA FRAN OSTERGARN | Landrace | Sweden |
| 23 | NGB 20198 | DAGMAR | Landrace | Sweden |
| 24 | NGB 20200 | ELNA | Landrace | Sweden |
| 25 | NGB 21935 | LAU | Primitive | Sweden |
| 26 | NGB 24332 | THORNGRENS BONA | Primitive | Sweden |
| 27 | ----------- | Giza 6 | Commercial cultivar | Egypt |

[^1]Ten competitive plants from the two middle rows of each plot were randomly taken and labeled, discarding 0.5 m on each side of the rows. Based on the descriptors for Phaseolus vulgaris L. (IPGR,1982), eleven agronomic traits were evaluated as follows:

- Plant height in cm, was obtained as an average at maturity measured from the cotyledon scar to the plant tip.
- Number of leaves per plant,was calculated as an average number of leaves of 10 plants.
- Number of days to flowering, was estimated as the number of days from emergence until $50 \%$ of the plants set flowers.
- Number of racemes per plant, was calculated as an average from 10 plants.
- Number of days to maturity, was estimated as the number of days from emergence until $90 \%$ of pods are mature.
- Number of mature pods per plant, was recorded as an average of 10 plants at harvest time.
- Pod width in cm,was measured from the middle of the pod for an average of 10 randomly taken mature pods.
- Pod length in cm, was measured from the exterior distance from the pod tip to the peduncle for 10 randomly taken mature pods.
- Number of seeds per pod, was calculated as an average number of seeds from 10 randomly taken mature pods.
- 100 -seed weight in g , was recorded as weight of 100 dry seeds at a moisture content of 12$14 \%$ from 10 plants.
- Seed weight per plant in g, was estimated as the total weight of seeds from 10 plants divided by 10 .


## Data analysis

The mean values were used for statistical analysis. The analyses of phenotypic, genotypic and environmental correlations were estimated according to Miller et al. (1958) as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r P_{x y}=\frac{\operatorname{cov} p_{x y}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{V} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{V} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{y}}}} \\
& r g_{x y}=\frac{\operatorname{cov} g_{x y}}{\sqrt{\mathbf{V g}_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{V} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{y}}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Wherer $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{xy}}=$ phenotypic correlation coefficient between traits x and y .
$\operatorname{covp}_{\mathrm{xy}}=$ phenotypic covariance between traits x and $y$.
$\mathbf{v} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{V} \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{y}}=$ phenotypic variance of trait x and trait y , respectively.
$\mathrm{rg}_{\mathrm{xy}}=$ genotypic correlation coefficient between traits x and y .
$\operatorname{covg}_{\mathrm{xy}}=$ genotypic covariance between traits x and y .
$\mathbf{v g}_{x}, \mathbf{V g}_{y=}$ genotypic variance of trait x and trait y , respectively.

The phenotypic correlation coefficients were tested for their significance at the probability levels of 0.05 and 0.01 by comparing the value of correlation coefficient with tabulated Pearson's-r value at $n-2$ degree of freedom where " $n$ " is the number of accessions, while the significance of genotypic and environmental correlations was evaluated by the bootstrap method (Efron, 1979) with 1000 simulations.

Path coefficient analysis was done based on the genotypic correlation coefficient. Dry seed weight per plant (seed yield) was considered as the dependent variable and the other traits as explanatory independent ones. The path analysis was carried out as given by Wright (1921) and the method of Dewey and Lu (1959) as follows:
$r_{i j}=p_{i j}+\Sigma r_{i k} p_{k j}$
where, $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is the mutual association between the independent trait (i) and the dependent trait (j) as measured by the correlation coefficient, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is the component of direct effects of the independent trait (i) on the dependent trait (j) and $\Sigma \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ik}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{kj}}$ is the summation of components of the indirect effects of an independent trait (i) on the dependent trait (j) through all other independent traits (k).

The residual effect, which refers to the contribution of the remaining unknown factors and determines how best the independent factors stand for the variability of the dependent factor was calculated using the following formula:

Residual effect $=\sqrt{1-\Sigma \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{i j}} \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i j}}}$
where, $\Sigma \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is a summation of the product of direct effect of a variable and its correlation coefficient with the dependent variable.
All statistical analyses were performed using GENES software (Cruz, 2016).

## Results and Discussion

## Correlation

Seed yield in common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) is a complex trait with a quantitative nature, which is governed by several major and minor genes and is affected by variations in the environmental factors, making the direct selection of seed yield is a complicated process and may not be successful. The direct selection of another simply inherited trait which is strongly correlated with the seed yield, will facilitate the selection procedures and lead to desired progress in selection programs. Therefore, it is necessary to exploit the relationship between seed yield and its related traits, to define the suitable selection procedures designated to improve seed yield production in common bean.

The pleiotropism or "gene binding imbalance" can result in a genotypic correlation between two traits. Pleiotropism means that one gene can affect several traits at the same time, leading to strong correlation and gives the possibility for simultaneous selection of many traits together when one of them is selected (Falconer, 1960). Plant breeders can use the genetic correlations, because they are heritable. As suggested by Lopes et al. (2002), the indirect selection for traits can be performed when their correlation coefficient values with the desired trait are higher than 0.50 . Accordingly, in the present study, the correlation coefficient is considered as weak when is less than 0.50 , moderate when varies from $\pm 0.50$ to $\pm 0.69$, strong when varies from $\pm 0.70$ to $\pm 0.89$ and very strong when is higher than $\pm 0.90$.

Data in Table 2 show the phenotypic, genotypic and environmental correlation coefficients among studied traits, which reveal that, for most traits at both years of study, the genotypic correlations were equal to or higher than the corresponding phenotypic ones, and they had the same signal, and both outperformed the environmental correlations. According to Ambachew et al. (2015), these results show a minor environmental effect and greater importance of the genotypic factor to the trait expression, suggesting the possibility of success in indirect selection for such trait. These results agree with those obtained by Ambachew et al. (2015) and Gonçalves et al. (2017). In several traits, environmental correlations presented difference in value and sign, in relation to phenotypic and genotypic correlations, which indicate that different physiological processes
affect the genetic and environmental variations for these traits and the environment may restrict the direct selection (Falconer, 1960). Similar results were reported by Gonçalves et al. (2017).

The environmental correlation coefficient was equal to zero for the combination of 100 -seed weight with number of racemes per plant in the second year, suggesting that the random factors affecting 100 -seed weight are not related to the random ones affecting the number of racemes per plant.

There were highly significant ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) positive phenotypic (rf) and genotypic (rg) correlations with very strong values in both years for the correlation of seed yield per plant with each of plant height $\left(\mathrm{rf}_{\mathrm{y} 1}=0.979^{* *}, \mathrm{rg}_{\mathrm{yl}}=0.983^{* *}\right.$, $\mathrm{rf}_{\mathrm{y} 2}=0.969 * *$, and $\left.\mathrm{rg}_{\mathrm{y} 2}=0.972 * *\right)$ and number of pods per plant ( $\mathrm{rf}_{\mathrm{y} 1}=0.986^{* *}$, $\mathrm{rg}_{\mathrm{y} 1}=0.988^{* *}$, $\mathrm{rf}_{\mathrm{y} 2}=0.983^{* *}$, and $\left.\mathrm{rg}_{\mathrm{y} 2}=0.985^{* *}\right)$, where y 1 and y 2 refer to the years of 2016 and 2017 respectively. The correlations were highly significant with strong positive values between seed yield per plant and each of number of leaves per plant $\left(\mathrm{rf}_{\mathrm{y} 1}=0.835^{* *}, \mathrm{rg}_{\mathrm{y} 1}=0.840^{* *}, \mathrm{rf}_{\mathrm{y} 2}=0.772^{* *}\right.$, and $\mathrm{rg}_{\mathrm{y} 2}=0.776^{* *}$ ), number of racemes per plant $\left(\mathrm{rf}_{\mathrm{y} 1}=0.852^{* *}, \mathrm{rg}_{\mathrm{y} 1}=0.864^{* *}, \mathrm{rf}_{\mathrm{y} 2}=0.862^{* *}\right.$, and $\mathrm{rg}_{\mathrm{y} 2}=0.867^{* *}$ ), and number of days to maturity $\left(\mathrm{rf}_{\mathrm{y} 1}=0.748^{* *}, \quad \mathrm{rg}_{\mathrm{y} 1}=0.755^{* *}, \quad \mathrm{rf}_{\mathrm{y} 2}=0.737^{* *}\right.$, and $\operatorname{rg}_{\mathrm{y} 2}=0.744^{* *}$ ). While, moderate positive correlations ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) were found for seed yield per plant and each of number of days to flowering $\left(\mathrm{rf}_{\mathrm{y} 1}=0.597^{* *}, \mathrm{rg}_{\mathrm{y} 1}=0.601^{* *}, \mathrm{rf}_{\mathrm{y} 2}=0.553^{* *}\right.$, and $\mathrm{rg}_{\mathrm{y} 2}=0.558^{* *}$ ) and number of seeds per $\operatorname{pod}\left(\mathrm{rf}_{\mathrm{y} 1}=0.657^{* *}, \mathrm{rg}_{\mathrm{y} 1}=0.663^{* *}, \mathrm{rf}_{\mathrm{y} 2}=0.641^{* *}\right.$, and $\left.\mathrm{rg}_{\mathrm{y} 2}=0.648^{* *}\right)$. These results suggest that the selection for higher levels of such traits is expected to improve seed yield in common bean accessions. Among these traits, plant height and number of pods per plant have correlation values close to " 1 " with seed yield per plant, which propose, the true relationship of these traits with seed yield and their importance as seed yield predictors, accordingly, the direct selection of taller plants and plants with greater number of pods can be performed to indirectly increase seed yield per plant. In this regard, Gonçalves et al. (2017) stated that the traits which have moderate to strong correlations with the desired trait, are important for successful indirect selection in initial stages of plant breeding.

Our results support those obtained by many researchers who found positive correlations
TABLE 2. Estimates of phenotypic (rf), genotypic (rg) and environmental (re) correlation coefficients among 11 traits evaluated in 27 common bean accessions during the summer seasons of 2016 (upper diagonal) and 2017 (lower diagonal)

| Traits | r | Plant height | Number of leaves/ plant | Number of days to flowering | Number of racemes/ plant | Number of days to maturity | Number of pods/plant | Pod width | Pod length | Number of seeds/pod | 100-seed weight | Seed weight/ plant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plant height | rf |  | 0.880** | 0.576** | 0.791** | 0.704** | 0.961** | -0.355 | -0.103 | 0.571** | -0.494** | 0.979** |
|  | rg re r |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.882^{++} \\ & 0.339^{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.580^{++} \\ & 0.015 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.805^{++} \\ -0.398^{+} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.712^{++} \\ -0.261^{+} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.966^{++} \\ -0.636^{+} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.355^{+} \\ & -0.421^{+} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.104 \\ -0.054 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.577^{++} \\ & -0.019 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.498^{+1} \\ & -0.022 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.983^{++} \\ -0.680^{++} \end{gathered}$ |
| Number of leaves/ plant | rf | 0.831** |  | 0.543** | 0.679** | 0.713** | 0.827** | -0.164 | 0.0151 | 0.455* | -0.337 | 0.835** |
|  | rg | $0.832^{+}$ |  | $0.547^{++}$ | $0.693^{++}$ | $0.721^{++}$ | $0.833^{+}$ | -0.163 | 0.015 | $0.462^{+}$ | ${ }^{-0.341+}$ | $0.840^{++}$ |
|  | re | $0.619^{++}$ |  | 0.053 | -0.198 | 0.049 | -0.192 | -0.393 ${ }^{+}$ | 0.031 | -0.246 | -0.022 | -0.070 |
| Number of days to flowering | rf | 0.561** | 0.552** |  | 0.522** | 0.799** | 0.601** | -0.3587 | 0.042 | 0.422* | -0.314 | 0.597** |
|  | rg | $0.565^{+}$ | $0.559^{+}$ |  | $0.541^{++}$ | $0.814^{+}$ | $0.606^{+}$ | ${ }^{-0.3622+}$ | 0.042 | $0.426^{+}$ | -0.317 | $0.601^{+}$ |
|  | re | $0.206^{+}$ | -0.026 |  | 0.020 | -0.114 | 0.178 | 0.053 | 0.120 | 0.049 | -0.140 | 0.085 |
| Number of racemes/ plant | rf | 0.811** | 0.685** | 0.519** |  | 0.623** | 0.913** | -0.4585* | -0.139 | 0.572** | -0.635** | 0.852** |
|  | rg | $0.815^{++}$ | $0.689^{++}$ | $0.525^{+}$ |  | $0.632^{+}$ | $0.919^{++}$ | $-0.468^{+}$ | -0.145 | $0.590^{++}$ | $-0.656^{+}$ | $0.864^{+}$ |
|  | re | 0.266 | 0.159 | 0.018 |  | $0.298{ }^{+}$ | $0.779^{+}$ | 0.103 | 0.311 | -0.136 | 0.245 | 0.256 |
| Number of days to maturity | rf | 0.707** | 0.784** | 0.796** | 0.620** |  | 0.733** | -0.3313 | 0.187 | 0.576** | -0.415* | 0.748** |
|  | rg | $0.714^{+}$ | $0.793^{+}$ | $0.810^{++}$ | $0.630^{++}$ |  | $0.740^{++}$ | $-0.3341^{+}$ | 0.190 | $0.586^{+}$ | -0.42+ | 0.755 ${ }^{+}$ |
|  | re | 0.109 | 0.177 | 0.021 | 0.006 |  | 0.285 | -0.159 | -0.083 | 0.098 | -0.158 | $0.291^{+}$ |
| Number of pods/plant | rf | 0.962** | 0.782** | 0.562** | 0.897** | 0.722** |  | -0.4167* | -0.096 | 0.609** | -0.598** | 0.986** |
|  | rg | $0.964^{+}$ | $0.785^{+}$ | $0.568^{++}$ | $0.902^{+}$ | $0.730^{++}$ |  | ${ }^{-0.4209+}$ | -0.099 | $0.618^{++}$ | $-0.607^{++}$ | 0.988 ${ }^{+}$ |
|  | re | 0.111 | 0.135 | -0.040 | 0.222 | 0.050 |  | 0.268 | 0.358 | -0.073 | 0.181 | $0.553^{+}$ |
| Pod width | rf | -0.298 | -0.055 | -0.341 | -0.445* | -0.230 | -0.352 |  | -0.114 | -0.416* | 0.398* | -0.436* |
|  | rg | -0.299 | -0.054 | $-0.343^{+}$ | $-0.447^{+}$ | -0.233 | ${ }^{-0.354+}$ |  | -0.114 | $-0.421^{++}$ | $0.402^{+}$ | $-0.440^{++}$ |
|  | re | -0.020 | -0.083 | -0.161 | -0.094 | 0.032 | 0.129 |  | -0.109 | 0.033 | -0.004 | 0.294 |
| Pod length | rf | -0.064 | 0.118 | 0.054 | -0.058 | 0.178 | -0.043 | -0.129 |  | 0.355 | 0.266 | -0.064 |
|  | rg | -0.065 | 0.118 | 0.055 | -0.062 | 0.180 | -0.044 | -0.130 |  | 0.362* | 0.266 | -0.063 |
|  | re | 0.362 | 0.282 | 0.001 | $0.579^{++}$ | 0.028 | $0.547^{+}$ | 0.094 |  | $-0.353^{+}$ | $0.353^{+}$ | -0.241 |
| Number of seeds/pod | rf | 0.507** | 0.386* | 0.276 | 0.649** | 0.490** | 0.596** | -0.439* | 0.352 |  | -0.378 | 0.657** |
|  | rg | $0.512^{++}$ | $0.391^{+}$ | 0.286 | $0.656^{++}$ | $0.499^{+}$ | $0.603^{+}$ | -0.445 ${ }^{+}$ | 0.355+ |  | $-^{-0.381+}$ | $0.663^{+}$ |
|  | re | -0.102 | 0.005 | $-0.306^{+}$ | 0.120 | -0.026 | -0.178 | 0.086 | 0.103 |  | -0.182 | 0.201 |
| 100-seed weight | rf | -0.441* | -0.195 | -0.289 | -0.616** | -0.330 | -0.556** | 0.378 | 0.266 | -0.441* |  | -0.585** |
|  | rg | $-0.448^{+}$ | -0.196 | -0.295 | $-0.629^{++}$ | $-0.337^{+}$ | $-0.566^{+}$ | $0.388{ }^{+}$ | 0.271 | $-0.454^{+}$ |  | $-0.589^{++}$ |
|  | re | -0.056 | -0.171 | -0.051 | 0.000 | -0.056 | 0.002 | -0.293 | -0.046 | 0.075 |  | -0.249 |
| Seed weight/ plant | rf | 0.969** | 0.772** | 0.553** | 0.862** | 0.737** | 0.983** | -0.370 | -0.029 | 0.641** | ${ }^{-0.557 * *}$ |  |
|  | rg | $0.972^{++}$ | $0.776^{+}$ | $0.558^{+}$ | $0.867^{++}$ | $0.744^{+}$ | $0.985^{+}$ | $-0.372+$ | -0.030 | $0.648^{++}$ | $-0.563^{++}$ |  |
|  | re | -0.066 | 0.193 | 0.023 | 0.193 | $0.256^{+}$ | $0.526^{++}$ | 0.087 | $0.306^{+}$ | 0.090 | $-0.386^{+}$ |  |

between seed yield and plant height (Karasu \& Oz, 2010, Ahmed \& Kamaluddin, 2013, Akhshi et al., 2015, Gonçalves et al., 2017 and Panchbhaiya et al., 2017), number of pods per plant (Karasu \& Oz, 2010, Sadeghi et al., 2011, Ahmed \& Kamaluddin, 2013, Cokkizgin et al., 2013, Akhshi et al., 2015, Panchbhaiya et al., 2017, and Razvi et al., 2018), number of seeds per pod (Karasu \& Oz, 2010, Sadeghi et al., 2011, Ahmed \& Kamaluddin, 2013, Cokkizgin et al., 2013, Akhshi et al., 2015, Ejara et al., 2017, Panchbhaiya et al., 2017, and Razvi et al., 2018), number of days to flowering (Ahmed \& Kamaluddin, 2013, Akhshi et al., 2015, and Panchbhaiya et al., 2017), number of days to maturity (Akhshi et al., 2015 and Panchbhaiya et al., 2017), and number of racemes per plant (Panchbhaiya et al., 2017).

In contrast to our findings, negative correlations have been reported between seed yield and plant height (Sadeghi et al., 2011, Kulaz \& Ciftci, 2013, Önder et al., 2013, and Ejara et al., 2017), number of pods per plant (Önder et al. 2013 and Ejara et al., 2017), and both of number of days to flowering and number of days to maturity (Sadeghi et al., 2011 and Razvi et al., 2018 ).

Pod width had negative correlations with all traits except with 100 -seed weight in both years, also pod length showed negative correlations with plant height, number of racemes per plant, number of pods per plant, pod width and seed yield per plant while it had positive correlations with the remaining traits. In addition, 100 -seed weight had negative correlations with all traits except that with pod width and pod length, while all remaining correlation coefficients either phenotypic or genotypic among the other traits, were positive in both years.These results suggest that the selection for longer pods, wider pods, or greater weight of 100 seeds, will lead to a decrease in seed yield per plant.

In this respect, Panchbhaiya et al. (2017) found negative correlation between seed yield and pod length. Also, Gonçalves et al. (2003), Singh and Singh (2013) and Akhshi et al. (2015) reported negative correlations between 100 -seed weight and seed yield. On the contrary, positive correlations have been found between seed yield and each of pod width (Karasu \& Oz, 2010 and Panchbhaiya et al., 2017), pod length (Karasu \& Oz, 2010, Sadeghi et al., 2011, Ahmed \& Kamaluddin, 2013, Cokkizgin et al., 2013, Akhshi et al., 2015, Gonçalves et al., 2017, and Razvi et
al., 2018), 100-seed weight (Sadeghi et al., 2011, Cokkizgin et al., 2013, Gonçalves et al., 2017 and Razvi et al., 2018), and 1000-seed weight (Karasu \& Oz, 2010, Önder et al., 2013, Ejara et al., 2017 and Panchbhaiya et al., 2017).

The results demonstrated that the traits which had the highest correlations with seed yield per plant were plant height and number of pods per plant, which exhibited both phenotypic and genotypic correlations coefficients higher than 0.90 . Also, number of leaves per plant, number of racemes per plant and number of mature pods per plant showed strong positive correlation coefficients higher than 0.70 with seed yield, suggesting the possibility to increase seed yield by indirect selection of such traits.

## Path analysis

The correlation coefficient is useful for measuring the degree and direction of association between traits. However, it can generate deceptive results because the high degree of correlation between two traits may happen due to the indirect effect of a third one (Cruz et al., 2012) (as cited in Machado et al., 2017). Consequently, it is necessary to examine the cause and effect relationship between variables. Path analysis splits the correlation coefficient between traits into direct and indirect effects using main and explanatory variables (Ahmed and Kamaluddin, 2013). In our study, we considered seed yield per plant as the dependent variable and the other traits as independent ones.

Data in Table 3 illustrate the results of the path coefficients of direct and indirect effects at the genotypic level of studied traits on seed yield per plant. In the first year, number of pods per plant had maximum positive direct effect ( 0.6224 ) followed by plant height ( 0.4012 ), number of seeds per pod (0.0895) and number of days to maturity (0.0629) with a contribution of $62.97 \%, 40.81 \%, 13.5 \%$ and $8.33 \%$ of the genotypic correlation of each trait respectively with seed yield per plant.While, in the second year, the maximum positive direct effects were obtained by plant height ( 0.5778 ), number of pods per plant ( 0.3731 ), number of days to maturity ( 0.1582 ) and number of seeds per pod ( 0.1203 ), with a contribution of $59.43 \%$, $37.89 \%, 21.27 \%$ and $18.58 \%$ respectively, which suggest the importance of these traits as selection criteria for high seed yield in common bean. On the contrary, number of leaves per plant, number of days to flowering and number of racemes per
TABLE 3. Genotypic path coefficient analysis of seed yield and related traits in 27 common bean accessions evaluated in 2016 (Y1) and 2017 (Y2) summer seasons, Diagonal (bold) values indicate direct effects whereas values of upper and lower diagonal indicate indirect effects

| Traits | year | Plant height | Number of leaves/plant | Number <br> of days to <br> flowering | Number of racemes/ plant | Number of days to maturity | Number of pods/plant | Pod width | Pod length | Number of seeds/pod | 100 -seed weight | Genotypic correlation with seed yield/plant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plant height | Y1 | 0.4012 | -0.0249 | -0.0227 | -0.1024 | 0.0448 | 0.6011 | 0.0151 | 0.0017 | 0.0516 | 0.0175 | 0.9830 |
|  | Y2 | 0.5778 | $-0.0886$ | -0.0442 | -0.0310 | 0.1130 | 0.3596 | 0.0042 | 0.0016 | 0.0616 | 0.0181 | 0.9721 |
| Number of leaves/ plant | Y1 | 0.3537 | -0.0283 | $-0.0215$ | -0.0882 | 0.0454 | 0.5185 | 0.0069 | -0.0002 | 0.0414 | 0.0120 | 0.8396 |
|  | Y2 | 0.4809 | -0.1065 | -0.0437 | -0.0262 | 0.1254 | 0.2929 | 0.0008 | -0.0028 | 0.0470 | 0.0079 | 0.7757 |
| Number of days to flowering | Y1 | 0.2326 | -0.0155 | -0.0392 | -0.0688 | 0.0512 | 0.3770 | 0.0154 | -0.0007 | 0.0383 | 0.0111 | 0.6014 |
|  | Y2 | 0.3262 | -0.0595 | -0.0783 | -0.0200 | 0.1282 | 0.2117 | 0.0049 | -0.0013 | 0.0344 | 0.0119 | 0.5582 |
| Number of racemes/plant | Y1 | 0.3228 | -0.0196 | -0.0212 | -0.1273 | 0.0397 | 0.5717 | 0.0199 | 0.0024 | 0.0528 | 0.0231 | 0.8643 |
|  | Y2 | 0.4708 | $-0.0734$ | -0.0411 | -0.0380 | 0.0996 | 0.3364 | 0.0063 | 0.0015 | 0.0789 | 0.0254 | 0.8666 |
| Numberof days to maturity | Y1 | 0.2857 | -0.0204 | -0.0319 | -0.0805 | 0.0629 | 0.4607 | 0.0142 | -0.0031 | 0.0524 | 0.0148 | 0.7548 |
|  | Y2 | 0.4125 | -0.0844 | -0.0634 | -0.0239 | 0.1582 | 0.2722 | 0.0033 | -0.0043 | 0.0601 | 0.0136 | 0.7438 |
| Number of pods/ plant | Y1 | 0.3876 | $-0.0236$ | -0.0238 | -0.1169 | 0.0465 | 0.6224 | 0.0179 | 0.0016 | 0.0553 | 0.0213 | 0.9884 |
|  | Y2 | 0.5570 | -0.0836 | -0.0444 | -0.0343 | 0.1154 | 0.3731 | 0.0050 | 0.0011 | 0.0726 | 0.0229 | 0.9847 |
| Pod width | Y1 | -0.1426 | 0.0046 | 0.0142 | 0.0596 | -0.0210 | -0.2620 | -0.0425 | 0.0019 | -0.0377 | -0.0141 | -0.4396 |
|  | Y2 | -0.1727 | 0.0058 | 0.0269 | 0.0170 | -0.0368 | -0.1321 | -0.0142 | 0.0031 | -0.0535 | $-0.0157$ | -0.3723 |
| Pod length | Y1 | $-0.0415$ | -0.0004 | -0.0016 | 0.0184 | 0.0119 | -0.0614 | 0.0048 | -0.0164 | 0.0323 | $-0.0093$ | -0.0631 |
|  | Y2 | -0.0376 | -0.0125 | -0.0043 | 0.0024 | 0.0285 | -0.0165 | 0.0018 | -0.0239 | 0.0427 | -0.0109 | -0.0304 |
| Number of seeds/ pod | Y1 | 0.2314 | -0.0131 | -0.0168 | -0.0751 | 0.0368 | 0.3847 | 0.0179 | -0.0059 | 0.0895 | 0.0134 | 0.6629 |
|  | Y2 | 0.2959 | -0.0416 | -0.0224 | -0.0250 | 0.0790 | 0.2251 | 0.0063 | -0.0085 | 0.1203 | 0.0184 | 0.6475 |
| 100-seed weight | Y1 | -0.1999 | 0.0096 | 0.0124 | 0.0836 | -0.0264 | -0.3780 | -0.0171 | -0.0044 | -0.0341 | $-0.0351$ | -0.5894 |
|  | Y2 | -0.2590 | 0.0209 | 0.0231 | 0.0239 | -0.0533 | -0.2112 | -0.0055 | -0.0065 | -0.0546 | -0.0404 | -0.5627 |

plant had negative direct effects, which indicate that the selection based only on these traits, will decrease the seed yield per plant.

Plant height and number of pods per plant had the largest positive direct effect on seed yield per plant along with the largest genotypic correlations. The traits which have high positive correlation and high positive direct effects are expected to be useful selection criteria in selection programs. Thus, the higher seed yield may be obtained from the direct selection of such traits.

All traits had high positive indirect effects through plant height and number of pods per plant in the two years of study except pod width, pod length and 100 -seed weight which had negative indirect effects. Although, number of leaves per plant, number of days to flowering and number of racemes per plant had negative direct effects on seed yield per plant, they had high positive indirect effects through plant height and number of pods per plant which nullifies their negative effects, so, they were related to the seed yield mostly by their positive indirect effects resulting in high positive genotypic correlations. In case of negative direct effect along with positive correlation coefficient, it means that the indirect effects are the cause of positive correlation and the simultaneous selection should be considered (Singh and Chaudhary, 1985). As a consequence, the selection based only on number of leaves per plant, number of days to flowering or number of racemes per plant will not be useful, as it will lead to the selection of accessions with lower seed yield and hence, the simultaneous selection of these traits accompanied by plant height or number of pods per plant is recommended.

Overall, to improve seed yield in common bean, the path analysis suggests the direct selection of plant height, number of days to maturity, number of pods per plant, or number of seeds per pod. Whereas, simultaneous selection with either plant height or number of pods per plant, should be considered for number of leaves per plant, number of days to flowering, or number of racemes per plant.

In this respect, many researchers found positive direct effects on seed yield for plant height (Karasu \& Oz, 2010, Kulaz \& Ciftci, 2013, Önder et al., 2013, Ejara et al., 2017, and Gonçalves et al., 2017), number of days
to flowering (Raffi and Nath, 2004), number of days to maturity (Kulaz and Ciftci, 2013), number of pods per plant (Gonçalves et al., 2003, Raffi \& Nath, 2004, Karasu \& Oz, 2010, Ahmed \& Kamaluddin, 2013, Kulaz \& Ciftci, 2013, Ambachew et al., 2015, and Ejara et al., 2017), number of seeds per pod (Gonçalves et al., 2003, Karasu \& Oz, 2010, Salehi et al., 2010, Ahmed \& Kamaluddin, 2013, Önder et al., 2013, Akhshi et al., 2015, Ambachew et al., 2015, and Ejara et al., 2017), and 100 or 1000 seed weight (Karasu \& Oz, 2010, Kulaz \& Ciftci, 2013, Akhshi et al., 2015, Ejara et al., 2017 and Gonçalves et al., 2017).

On the contrary, negative direct effects on seed yield have been reported for plant height (Raffi \& Nath, 2004 and Ahmed \& Kamaluddin, 2013), number of leaves per plant (Önder et al., 2013), number of days to flowering (Önder et al., 2013 and Gonçalves et al., 2017), number of days to maturity (Raffi and Nath, 2004), number of pods per plant (Önder et al., 2013 and Gonçalves et al., 2017), number of seeds per pod (Kulaz \& Ciftci, 2013 and Gonçalves et al., 2017), pod width (Karasu and Oz, 2010), pod length (Ejara et al., 2017), and 100 or 1000 seed weight (Önder et al., 2013 and Ahmed \& Kamaluddin, 2013). The likely causes of contradictory results might due to different accessions involved in each study, different environmental conditions and the difference of the studied parameters.

The residual effect shows how much the explanatory variables represent the variability of the dependent variable (Singh and Chaudhary, 1985). The residual effect in our study at the genotypic path coefficient was 0.02 and 0.07 in the first and the second year, respectively, so the effects of studied traits explain $98 \%$ and $93 \%$ of the variability in the seed yield in both years respectively and show that we did not consider few traits which are related to seed yield. In this regard, Ejara et al. (2017) found high residual effects both at phenotypic (45.82\%) and genotypic (51.3\%) levels.

## Conclusion

This study suggested the indirect selection for plant height, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, and number of days to maturity. Whereas, simultaneous selection with plant height or number of pods per plant will be suitable for number of leaves per plant, number of days
to flowering and number of racemes per plant to select accessions with high seed yield potential in common bean.
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# الارتباط ومعامل تحليل المسنار لمحصول البذور وبعض الصفات المتعلقة بها في الفاصوليا العادية 

ابراهيم الصاوى البلاط وعاصم عبد المجيد العربى قسم البساتّين - كلية الزراعة - جامعة طنطا - مصر.


#### Abstract

تعد الفاصوليا واحدة من أهم محاصيل الخضر المنزر عة في مصر. أجريت هذه الدر اسة لقياس معاملات الارتباط اللظهرى والور اثي والبيئى بين محصول البذور وبعض الصفات المتعلقه به وكذلك لعمل تحليل المسار لتقنير التأثيرات المباشنرة وغبر المباشنرة بين محصول البذور للنبات كمتغير تابع وباقي الصفات كمتغيرات مستقلة. لللك تم تقييم سبعة و عشرين تركيبا ور اثيا من الفاصوليا العادية في قرية الالجمون بمحافظة الغربيه بمصر  أوضحت النتائج أهمية معاملات الارتباط الور اثي مقارنة بنظيرتها من معاملات الارتباط المظهري. كانت  لللنبات وكل من طول النبات، عدد الأور اق/النبات، عدد الأيام حتى التز هير، عدد النور ات للنبات، عدد الأيام حتى النضج، عدد القرون/نبات، و عدد البذور في القرن.

أثبت معامل تحليل المسار على المستوى الور اثي أههية التأثيرات المباشرة الموجبة لكل من طول النبات، عدد القرون/نبات، عدد الأيام حتى النضيج و عدد البذور في القرن مما يقتر ح معه الانتخاب المباشر لهذه الصنا لتحسين محصول البذور وعلى الجانب الآخر فإن صفات عدد الأور راق/نبات، عدد الأيام حتى التز هير، أو عدد النورات/نبات يجب أن يتضمنهم انتخاب متز امن مع صفتى طول النبات أو عدد القرون/نبات، لما لهذه الصفات من تأثير ات غبر مباشرة عالية وموجبة على محصول البذور من خلال هاتّين الصفتين.
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