## Mohamed El-Ashiry \& Mohamed Kashaf

## An account

P. Sed. 175_5. A
$41 \times 11 \mathrm{~cm}$.
$3^{\text {rd }}$ cent. B.C. (?)
Sedment ${ }^{(1)}$

This papyrus is a private collection deposited in the museum of the faculty of Archaeology, Cairo University under "SEDMENT (1996), 175_5. A" ${ }^{(2)}$ The writing runs along the fibres on recto in a calligraphy cursive. On the verso there are traces of two columns.

The papyrus was originally folded vertically 4 times. It suffers of scattered worm-holes throughout the text; on which some letters are missed. Besides, a considerable piece measures ca. $0.80 \times 1.00 \mathrm{~cm}$. is torn away from the left bottom corner (lines 46-47).

The document consists of two columns. Col. I has ends of 22 lines, while Col. II contains 47 incomplete lines; some of them are complete. Space between Col. I and Col. II ranges between $2.00-5.00 \mathrm{~cm}$. The upper margin is ca. 01.70 cm . The lower margin is ca. 04.00 cm . Both left-hand and right-hand margins are not preserved.

The hand-writing has parallels for the $3^{\text {rd }}$ century B.C., e.g. E. M. Thompson, An Introduction to Greek and Latin Palaeography, New York 1973 ( $1^{\text {st }}$ Edition Oxford, 1912), facsimile no. 20, p. 152.

In spite of the fact that our papyrus comes from Heracleopolis, the villages mentioned belong to the Arsinoite nome; $\Theta \varepsilon \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \phi \varepsilon i \alpha$, $\Pi \tau о \lambda \varepsilon ́ \mu \alpha \iota \varsigma$ (Themistes division), Кєркєбоо́ $\alpha$, Ф $\alpha \rho \beta \alpha^{\prime} \theta \circ \varsigma$ (Heraclides
(1) Sedment (Ehnasya; Graeco-Roman Heracleopolis Magna) near the Fayum.
(2) I would like to express my deep gratitude to Prof. Dr. Ola Al-Agouzi, Dean of the Faculty of Archaeology, Cairo University, for granting me photograph of this papyrus et alia.
division); that means many other villages could be mentioned but in the lost columns.

This papyrus is an account. It contains a list of names who are probably $\beta \alpha \sigma \lambda 1 k 0$ ó $\gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma{ }^{\prime}$ (see introduction of P.Tebt. III, 848, early second century), grouped under villages ( $\Theta \varepsilon \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \phi \varepsilon^{\prime} \alpha$, , Пто入 $\varepsilon \mu \alpha ı \varsigma$, Кєркєбоט $\chi \alpha$, $\Phi \alpha \rho \beta \alpha_{i} \theta \circ \varsigma$, see passim the text is being published herein). Against each name is set an amount (probably in artabae), unfortunately are cut off col. II, but appear in col. I, in which the names are unfortunately also torn out. The occurrence of $\varepsilon \dot{\delta}$ toîऽ $\tau \alpha \mu t \varepsilon i o t s$ "in the store-houses" in line 13 confirms that the amounts mentioned are in kind not in money. The amounts could be revenues or receipts in kinds from rent and other sources, the storing of artabae, payment for threshing works; cf. $\alpha \lambda о \omega \sigma \alpha \iota$ in line 6.

## Text:

Col. I

|  | ] $\tau \circ \sim$ | $\lambda$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ]s | $\lambda$ |  |
|  | ]ros | $\kappa$ |  |
|  | ]ros | $\kappa$ |  |
| 5 | ]. 05 | $\varepsilon$ | 5 |
|  | ] | $\eta$ |  |
|  | ] | $\zeta$ |  |
|  | ] | $\eta$ |  |
|  | ] | $\eta$ |  |
| 10 | ] | $\eta$ | 10 |
|  | ] | $\xi \varepsilon$ |  |
|  | ] | $\mu$ |  |
|  | ] | $\eta$ |  |
|  | ] | $\vartheta$ |  |
| 15 | ] | $\eta$ | 15 |

## Col. II


Пरิбıऽ Пє $\mu \psi \hat{\alpha}[\tau 0 \varsigma$
Паడ̄фıऽ Мє
Па̄бıऽ $\mu$ ıкро̀s
इọkùs Tع $\sigma \varepsilon v \circ[0 \phi 10 \varsigma$ $v a c ~ \alpha \lambda o \omega ̣ \sigma \alpha 1$


Пєтобipıs По́бı[тоऽ
' Eptev̀s' A $\mu \varepsilon \nu v \varepsilon \tau[0 \varsigma$
vac ( $\left.\gamma^{\prime} v o v \tau \alpha l\right) ~ \Theta \varepsilon \alpha \delta \varepsilon \lambda \phi \varepsilon i \alpha \kappa$ [ vac $\grave{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \imath v \tau \grave{\alpha}[$
$\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ тoîs т $\alpha \mu 1 \varepsilon$ 'iols




```
I\muov́\eta\etas П\alpha\sigma\chiо\mu\eta\nu[
[. ]. \sigmaO\varsigma M\alphal0\omega'\tau\tauọ[s
[......]\varepsilonvs Tve
[.....]... \mu\alpha ı\alpha
```


## Translation:

Col. II
Psenobastis son of Sochotes
Pasis son of Pempsas
Paophis son of Meteraipis
Pasis the young
5 Soceus son of Tesenouphis
Threshing (cows)
Petaus son of Orset...
Pais and his brother
Petosiris son of Pasis
10 Herieus son of Amennes
Total of Theadelphia
The $\qquad$ are in the store-houses
Soceus son of Pais
Apouesis son of Orseos
15 In Ptolemais
Pabeus son of Pasis
Socmenis son of Pachos
Nechtthepheros son of ...phre...
Harmais son of Pasous
20 Onnophris son of M...ascas
Total of Ptolemais 83?

In Kerkesoucha
Socmenis son of Nechates
Socmenis son of Soceus
Total of Kerkesoucha 13
In Parbaithos in the ....
Sokmenis son of Inaros
Odessius son of Iyt....
Po..... son of Pachnoubis
Armais son of Pasis
Panysis the young
... son of Pasis
....
.........
Manres son of T..
Petosiris son of I....
Tesenouphis son of P...
Pasis son of Paues
Pasis son of ...
Petesouchos son of Menreos
Pause son of Tothoetis
Onnophris son of Harmais
Imouthes son of Paschomen..
.... son of Maithotis
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Notes:

Col. II
$02 \Pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \hat{\alpha}\left[\tau \circ \varsigma\right.$, occurs two times in papyri, once as $\Pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \hat{\alpha} \varsigma, \Pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \hat{\alpha} \tau \varsigma\left(3^{\text {rd }}\right.$ decl.) cf. P. Tebt. III, I, 764 (243 B.C.), 913, then as $\Pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \hat{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \Pi \varepsilon \mu \psi \hat{\alpha}$, ( $1^{\text {st }}$ decl.) cf. P. Cairo Zen. IV, 59644 ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ cent. B.C.), 3.
$06 \dot{\alpha} \neq \omega \sigma \alpha 1$, this word is a very perplexing, it does not occur, in such a form, in papyri at all; in spite of the fact that the verb, $\alpha \lambda \alpha \alpha \omega$ (I thresh) occurs; e.g. BGU VII, 1507 (Arsinoite
 ( $\gamma \alpha \tau \alpha$ ıऽ) "in the first of Mesore we thresh using 3 pairs". The verb occurs a lot, especially in its present active masculine participle form ( $\alpha \lambda o \omega \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ); see e.g. SB III, 7013 (Arsinoite nome, $3^{\text {rd }}$ cent. A.D.), ii, 4-6: $\alpha \lambda o \omega \bar{v} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma, \pi \alpha \hat{v} \nu \mathrm{\varepsilon}$ ع/ $\Gamma \alpha i \omega v /$ Xopí $\delta \eta \mu \circ$ "the threshing men for the month of Pauni are Gaion, Choridemus"; SB VIII, 9699 (Arsinoite nome, 78-79 A.D.), xxiii, $503=$ P.Lond. I, 131: $\mu \mathrm{io} \mathrm{\theta}\left(\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ $\beta \circ \omega v \zeta \varepsilon(v \gamma \circ v \varsigma) \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \circ \omega v \tau \circ(\varsigma) \varepsilon i \varsigma \tau \eta \nu v(\pi v \rho \alpha v) \alpha \lambda \omega$ "the fees of rent one pair of cows for threshing to thresh wheat ...".

The verb appears in its feminine participle form only three times but in just one papyrus; the scribe ignores some grammatical rules relevant to vowel contraction; instead of writing the participle in its correct form which should have been $\alpha \lambda \circ \omega \bar{\sigma} \alpha$ after contracting the ' $\alpha$ ' with the ' 0 ' and dropping the ' $v$ ', it was written $\alpha \lambda 00 v \sigma \alpha$ without any contraction except dropping out the ' $\alpha$ '; see SB VIII, 9699 (Arsinoite nome, 78-79 A.D.) lines $515,601,625$ respectively: $\mu \mathrm{\imath} \sigma \theta(0 \varsigma) \beta 0 o \mathrm{~s} \alpha \lambda 00 v \sigma \eta$ (ऽ) $\varepsilon^{i} \varsigma \tau[\eta \dot{\eta} \nu \alpha \omega]$ "renting a threshing cow to do threshing...", $\mu \imath \sigma \theta(\delta \varsigma) \beta \circ \omega(v) \tau \rho i ́ \omega(v) \alpha \lambda 00 v \sigma \omega(v)$.. $\delta 1 \alpha \phi \imath \beta(10 \varsigma)$ "renting three cows for threshing ....... through Phibis", $\mu \mathrm{l} \sigma \theta(\delta \varsigma) \beta \circ \omega(v)$ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda 00 v \sigma(\hat{\omega} \nu)$ "renting ten cows for threshing".

To sum up; in accordance with the previous examples one may deduct that the feminine participle is used to express the cows that do the processing of threshing while masculine
participle is used to express the owner of the cow(s) or the man who is responsible for renting those cows for the same processing.
$12 \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \tau \alpha[$, this line could be completed as $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \tau \alpha$ $\pi \alpha \kappa \varepsilon ́ \imath \mu \varepsilon v \alpha$ see P. Teb. III, 856 (171 B.C.), col. V, 26, see also the introduction.


