Influence of Sleeve Gastrectomy on Gastro-Esophageal Reflux Disease
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Abstract

Background: bariatric operations can induce reflux by affecting organs motor functions depending on the type of procedure performed. Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) relatively new option of morbid obesity treatment, simpler to perform, short learning curve, and shorter duration (procedure time and hospital stay).

Objectives: The aim of this work was to evaluate upper gastrointestinal symptoms before and after sleeve gastrectomy on short term follow up period of 6 months post-operatively and to assess the relation between Gastro-Esophageal Reflux Disease and sleeve gastrectomy.

Patients and Methods: This follow up study included 50 morbidly obese patients treated with laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy during the period from May 2018 to October 2018. Rome III Criteria and upper GI Endoscopy evaluation was done preoperatively then 6 months postoperatively concerning upper GI symptoms.

Results: Before LSG 60% asymptomatic, 40 % GERD, 6.7 % dyspepsia (PDS predominance). UGI endoscopy showed 40% no significant findings, 60% gastritis, 20% esophagitis, 13.3% duodenitis, DU 6.7%. 40% H-pylori positive and 60% negative. After 6 months follow-up, 93.3% complained of upper GI symptoms, 66.7 % prevalence of dyspepsia (p<0.001). GERD symptoms disappear in 83.3%. 20% increased Vomiting of all patients associated with GERD (p =0.030). 13.3% increased Dysphagia (p =0.125) associated with dyspepsia. 100% correlation between GERD and hiatus hernia (p<0.001) and 66.7% between vomiting and incompetent cardia (p=0.029).

Conclusion: Improvement of Gastro-Esophageal Reflux Disease after Sleeve Gastrectomy in patients complaining of GERD pre-operatively, although there is incidence of post-operative hiatus hernia and develop of gastrointestinal symptoms .

Recommendation: further studies have to be applied.
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Introduction

Gastro-Esophageal Reflux Disease (GERD) may present with half the obese patients need surgical intervention with high incidence between obesity and (GERD) (1).

GERD incidence range among the obese population is 22-70%. Range among non-obese population is 15-20% and Barrett's esophagus is 1-2% (2). So obesity is reflux pathogenesis risk factor. Bariatric operations can induce reflux alone away from body mass index (BMI) factors according to procedure performed(1).

Sleeve Gastrectomy and Gastric Roux-en-Y bypass show great results in weight loss but differ in the impact on co-morbidities (3). Gastric bypass improve the symptoms of GERD superiorly according to databases suggestion (4). Few studies have clams that Sleeve Gastrectomy may predispose GERD "de novo" (5).

The golden standard operation that widely used for weight loss, control of co-morbidities and improve Gastro-Esophageal Reflux symptoms become Roux-en-Y Gastric bypass (6).

Sleeve Gastrectomy originally was the first step in duodenal switch, then for its good results established a definitive procedure (7). Sleeve Gastrectomy effect on GERD is unknown as some studies suggests that it may exacerbate or induce symptoms when done to
previously asymptomatic patients due to the anatomical changes introduced (8).

**Aim of Work:**

The aim of this work is to evaluate upper gastrointestinal symptoms before and after Sleeve Gastrectomy on short term follow up period of 6 months post-operatively and to assess the relation between Gastro-Esophageal Reflux Disease and Sleeve Gastrectomy.

**Patients and Methods**

**A. Patients’ Selection:**

This follow up study included 50 morbid obese patients with BMI range between 38.7 to 55 kg/m² without Postprandial (>30 min) epigastric pain, Bilious vomiting, bleeding disorder, uncontrolled Diabetes Mellitus nor uncontrolled Hypertension who were treated surgically after repeating failure of weight loss after multidisciplinary medical treatment by laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy during the period from May 2018 to October 2018. Rome III Diagnostic Criteria and upper Gastro-Intestinal Endoscopy evaluation were done preoperatively and 6 months postoperatively concerning upper gastrointestinal symptoms.

**B. Methods:**

**I- Preoperative evaluation by:**

History taking, general examination and complete laboratory investigation.

**II- Preoperative examination:**

1. Rome III Diagnostic Criteria evaluation by filling out the validated Rome III symptomatic questionnaire.
2. Waist circumference.
3. Waist to hip ratio.

**III- Preoperative surgical investigation:**

1. H-pylori antigen rapid test (HPSA).
2. Chest X-ray.
3. Pulmonary function tests.
4. Upper GI endoscopy.

**IV- Post operative follow up:**

Gastrograffin upper gastro-intestinal contrast study on postoperative day 1-5 to evaluate the gastric volume and to exclude postoperative leak was done.

Rome III Diagnostic Criteria (Ref) evaluation by filling out the validated Rome III symptomatic questionnaire and upper Gastro-Intestinal Endoscopy was done 6 months postoperatively concerning upper gastrointestinal symptoms using for the study to detect post-operative complications related to upper gastrointestinal symptoms for the study.

**Statistical analysis:**

Recorded data were analyzed using the statistical package for social sciences, version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Quantitative data were expressed as mean± standard deviation (SD). Qualitative data were expressed as frequency and percentage.
A. Normal squamo-columnar junction; B. An irregular squamo-columnar junction (considered within normal limits); C. Sliding Hiatus Hernia; D. Reflux esophagitis with impaired visualisation of the lower esophagus

**Figure (1):** Endoscopic appearances of the effect of Reflux on esophagus

**Results**

**Table (1):** Distribution of studied patients regarding their risk factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>No of patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DM positive</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM negative</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN Positive</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN Negative</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma Positive</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma negative</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table (2):** Distribution of studied patients regarding their gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No of patients</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table (3):** Distribution of studied patients regarding their gender and BMI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range (Kg/m2)</td>
<td>33 – 55</td>
<td>41 – 54</td>
<td>33 – 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (Kg/m2)</td>
<td>49.15</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table (4):** Distribution of studied patients regarding their percent of excess weight loss (%EWL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EWL %</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>66.5%</td>
<td>68.8%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table (5):** Relation between upper GI symptoms pre and post opertative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Pre NO.</th>
<th>Pre %</th>
<th>Post NO.</th>
<th>Post %</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60.00%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.00%</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GERD</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26.00%</td>
<td>0.454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspepsia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.00%</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>66.00%</td>
<td>&lt;0.001 S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.00%</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sixty percent (30/50) of patients had no symptoms, 40% had symptoms of GERD 20/50 in the form of heart burn, regurgitation or cough, 3/20 had dyspepsia in the form of post prandial distress (PPD) or epigastric pain syndrome (EPS) accompanied with GERD 6%.

Among our study group of patients who were all subjected to upper GI endoscopy preoperative 20/50 of patients had no specific finding, 40% where 30/50 had gastritis 60%. 20% had associated oesophagitis 10/30, 14% had duodenitis 7/30 and 6% were accompanied by duodenal ulcers 3/30.

After 6 months of follow up of upper GI symptoms there was a significant increase in the number of patients developing upper GI symptoms 94% (47/50) compared to 40% (20/50) in the preoperative period p <0.001. Only 6% of patients had No symptoms in the postoperative period compared to 60% of patients had no symptoms preoperatively.

The percentage of patients who developed GERD did not significantly increase p = 0.454 with post-operative percentage of 26% 13/50 compared to 40% 20/50 in the pre-operative period. Patients complaining of dyspepsia increased significantly in number p <0.001 with 66% 33/50 compared to 6% 3/50 pre-operatively. New symptoms developed and persist throughout the follow up period like vomiting which increased significantly reaching 20% p =0.030 of all patients all associated with GERD 10/13 and dysphagia non-significantly p =0.125 with a percentage of 14% associated with dyspepsia. 7/13

The prevalence of GERD was not significantly with post-operative percentage of 26% (13/50) compared to 40% (20/50) in the pre-operative period (p = 0.454). Whereas only 30.77% (4/13) of patients who developed GERD post operatively already had GERD symptoms in the pre-operative period 20% (4/20) of patients with GERD before LSG. The symptoms of GERD newly developed in 18% (9/50) and pre-operative GERD symptoms disappeared in 80% (16/20).
The prevalence of dyspepsia increased significantly in the post-operative period with 66% (33/50) compared to 6% (3/50) pre-operatively (p<0.001) whereas 10% (3/33) of patients who developed dyspepsia post-operatively already had dyspepsia in the pre-operative period (100% (3/3) of patients with dyspepsia before LSG) and 90% (30/33) developed De-novo dyspepsia.

Vomiting was a persistent newly developed symptom in the post-operative period with a significant value 20% (10/50) (p =0.030) where all were associated with GERD (6/8).

Another newly developed symptom after LSG was dysphagia with a non-significant value 14% (7/50) (p =0.125).

On performing UGI endoscopy 6 months post-operatively on all study subjects 20% (10/50) came negative for findings, 46% (23/50) showed gastritis, 26% (13/50), showed incompetent cardia and 34% (17/50) showed Hiatus hernia. Among the study group of patients a significant relation was found between positive symptoms after 6 month of follow up post-operatively and upper GI endoscopy done after 6 months for correlation with symptoms where 85.1% (40/47) of the patients having troublesome symptoms had positive findings and 100% (3/3) of patients who had no symptoms had no obvious finding (p =0.034).

A significant relation was found between patients complaining of GERD symptoms 6 months post-operatively and the finding of Hiatus Hernia on upper GI endoscopy where 100% (17/17) rendered positive for this finding (p <0.001).

A significant relation was found between patients complaining of vomiting 6 months post-operatively and the finding of incompetent cardia on upper GI endoscopy where 70% (7/10) rendered positive for this finding (p=0.029).

The mean for patients with no co-morbidities in relation to BMI extent was 47.01 (SD 5.31) where for patients with HTN was 52.7, patients with diabetes was 51.55 (SD 3.29) and patients with asthma was 40.6 with no significant correlation between them (p =0.461)
Table (6): Relation between BMI and co-morbidities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comorbidity</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>P VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>47.01</td>
<td>5.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN</td>
<td>52.70</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>51.55</td>
<td>3.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>40.60</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A significant correlation was found between H-pylori infection and GERD pre-operatively 65% (13/20) (p =0.024) whereas No significant correlation was found between patients with dyspepsia and H-pylori infection proven by stool antigen testing (p =0.152). Patients marked negative for symptoms were also marked negative for H-pylori infection with percentage of 76.6% (23/30) with significant value (p =0.024).

No significant correlation was found between special habits of medical importance which is exclusively smoking in our study and upper GI symptoms before LSG.

Discussion

Obesity continues to be a leading public health concern associated with many comorbidities that significantly decrease life expectancy (10).

Middle East countries in general are typical developing countries that have experienced a rapid rise in the prevalence of morbid obesity. According to the World Health Organization, obesity has reached an ‘alarming level’. The same study pointed out that unlike Europe and North America, obesity is more prevalent among women and in urban areas in the Middle East (11).

Weight reduction can be achieved by several non-surgical methods that include; diet control, physical exercise, and/or drug therapy but these methods often elicit compensatory changes in appetite and energy expenditure that make weight loss of more than 5 to 10 percent unlikely to be sustained for more than 5 years (12).

In contrast, surgery typically causes substantial long term sustained weight loss (13).

In the same aspect, the rising prevalence of morbid obesity and super-obese patients (BMI >50 Kg/m²) who are seeking treatment had led to that surgery became the choice which provides adequate EWL in comparison to non-surgical methods (14).

Over the last 20 years, bariatric surgery has come to play a significant role in confronting this problem, using either restrictive or mixed restrictive and malabsorptive techniques (15).

Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy remains one of the safest and most effective modern surgical options for the treatment of morbid obesity (10).

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is one of the most prevalent chronic gastrointestinal diseases, with an estimated 20–30% of the US adult population experiencing heartburn or acid regurgitation or both at least once a week Obesity is considered a major risk factor in the pathogenesis of GERD, and approximately 50% of morbidly obese patients have signs or symptoms of GERD (9).

Also epigastric pain syndrome and post prandial distress dyspepsia are present in 28 and 11 % of the obese patients considered for bariatric surgery (14).

Data from large databases suggest that all of the common bariatric procedures usually improve GERD symptoms, with Roux-en-Y gastric bypass being superior to Laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding and Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy in this regard. Yet, smaller prospective studies indicate that LSG can induce de-novo GERD in some patients (9).
The removal of the gastric fundus, a large part of the body and a portion of the antrum, leads to important anatomical and functional alterations that affect both gastric acid secretion and motility, in particular accommodation, which may give rise to gastrointestinal GI symptoms (17).

In our study 50 patients (36 females and 14 males) with mean age of 38.4 ±7.4 (36.2 for females and 41 for males) and BMI of 42.9kg/m² ±7.6 (43 for females and 41 for males) with 3 patients with DM (6%), 7 with HTN (14%) and 4 with asthma (8%). Twenty patients tested +ve for H-pyloori (40%) and took medications for eradication for 2 weeks.

In the preoperative assessment with Rome III criteria questionnaire and UGI endoscopy 60% had no UGI symptoms, 40% had GERD and 6% had dyspepsia. All were subjected to UGI endoscopy and showed no significant finding in 40%, gastritis in 60%, esophagitis in 20%, duodenitis in 14% and DU in 6%. A significant relation was found between GERD as a symptom and H-pyloori infection (p=0.024) and another strong relation was found between gastritis in preoperative UGI endoscopy and H-pyloori infection 66.7% (20/30) (p<0.001) and between duodenitis and H-pyloori infection 100% (7/7) (p=0.018).

In a comparative study performed UGI endoscopy on 142 morbidly obese patients who filled out the validated Rome III symptomatic questionnaire preoperatively. Symptoms were referred by 43% of patients: gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) 27.9% and dyspepsia 24.6%, subdivided in postprandial distress (PDS) 66.7% and epigastric pain 33.3% syndromes. Of GERD patients, 19.7% presented concomitantly PDS. Belching was present in 8.2% and nausea and/or vomiting in 1.6% of patients. At endoscopy, one or more lesions were present in 47.1% of the patients: erosive esophagitis 5.6%, hiatal hernia 23.2%, gastroduodenal erosions 6.3%, and peptic ulcers 3.5%. At histology, 24% of patients have Helicobacter pylori infection, and its prevalence in gastroduodenal erosions and ulcers was 22.2 and 60%, respectively. He stated that the presence of symptoms cannot be considered as a valuable guide to indicate endoscopy since the majority of endoscopic lesions were asymptomatic and not H. pylori related (18).

EWL% after 6 months from LSG showed a mean of 67% ±4.58% (66.5% for females and 68.8% for males). In comparative study who compared between LRYGP and LSG where 34 patients had LSG (28f and 6m) and achieved EWL% mean of 46.6% ± 16.1% after 6 months of follow up (19, 20).

In comparative studies maximum weight loss was in the first 6 months, leveling off at 1 year in the majority of cases with satisfactory and long term effects regarding weight loss in our study and comparative ones.

After a median follow up of 6 months duration there have been significant development of UGI symptoms mainly dyspepsia in the form of PPD and only 3 patients with EPS. De-novo dyspepsia was observed in 66% mostly in the form of PPD, where the prevalence of dyspepsia was highly significant (p<0.001). The prevalence of GERD was not statistically different before and after LSG but GERD symptoms was observed to disappear gradually in 880% of patients who had considerable GERD symptoms pre-operatively and newly developed in 34%. Hiatus hernia was a significant finding post LSG in association with GERD were 100% of patients complaining of GERD had hiatus hernia at endoscopy (p<0.001) representing 34% of all findings reported by endoscopy after LSG.

In a comparable study which applied the same questionnaire in our study (Rome III criteria) for UGI symptoms on 74 patients with a median follow up of 13 months. LSG was associated with de novo dyspepsia-like syndrome, with an (OR of 7.00 95% CI 2.9–18.3, p<0.0001). In turn, the prevalence of GERD before and after surgery was not different (OR=1.083, 95 % CI 0.4652–2.530, p>0.05). Pre-surgical GERD symptoms disappeared in 65 % after LSG, worsen or did not change in 35 %, and newly developed in 22 % (16).
In another study on 28 patients mean BMI of 55.5 kg/m² using the GERD score questionnaire, all patients were interviewed to evaluate their reflux symptoms had a 64% response rate, with 22% of patients indicating new-onset GERD symptoms despite receiving daily anti reflux therapy (21).

More than one of the pathophysiological mechanisms underlying functional dyspepsia may be involved after LSG (22).

Limited gastric accommodation related to fundus removal may be one of the major factors since the new stomach has a greater luminal pressure and smaller volume, having only one tenth of the distention. Altered duodenal sensitivity to nutrients could be worsened by faster gastric emptying, and this might promote dyspeptic symptoms (23).

It can then be speculated that the mechanism of upper GI symptoms after LSG is mainly due to altered motility patterns rather than acid-related disorders. Some evidence strengthens this hypothesis: the association between dysphagia and LSG, its lack of association with GERD, and the reduced efficacy of PPI on post-surgical GI symptoms (16).

Vomiting was also a significant symptom in our study that appeared totally de novo after LSG in 20% of the study figures and continues to be a troublesome symptom for the whole follow up period almost always associated with GERD, it was mildly responsive to repetition of PPI therapy and was associated with incompetent cardia at endoscopy in 66.7% (p=0.029). Dysphagia also developed de novo in 14% of patients post LSG and was entirely associated with dyspeptic symptoms.

A comparative study found out that dysphagia newly developed after LSG in 19.7% of the patients to both solid and fluid in 85.7% of them and was mainly associated with PDS-like dyspepsia rather than GERD, while vomiting was associated with 13.6% of dyspeptic patients. Dysphagia in this surgical setting has been ascribed to impaired emptying of the gastric pouch rather than to alteration of esophageal motility. It is, however, to be noted that this symptom might also be due to the patient’s interpretation of feeling full due to the lower distend ability of the neo-stomach. Besides, upper discomfort after LSG might also be influenced by technical pitfall as the distance from pylorus (i.e., portion of antrum resected) and the bougie used to calibrate the sleeve (16).

Concerning postoperative UGI endoscopy findings and relation to symptoms that developed post LSG, our study found that 20% were -ve for findings, 46% had gastritis, 26% had incompetent cardia and 34% had hiatus hernia. 85.1% of patients with symptoms had +ve findings (p=0.034) where a significant correlation was found between GERD and hiatus hernia 100% (p<0.001) and vomiting and incompetent cardia 66% (p=0.029). The finding of esophagitis, duodenitis and DU disappeared post-operatively.

In a comparative study UGI endoscopy was done preoperatively and postoperatively at six months. Preoperatively six 18.8% patients had esophagitis with 50% being LA grade B. Postoperatively the incidence of esophagitis increased to 25%, but this rise was not statistically significant. Moreover, there was improvement in five patients in terms of reduction of LA grading including one patient with resolution of esophagitis. 34.4% of patients shows hiatal hernia, all small, in postoperative period (24). Another study revealed that the occurrence of erosive esophagitis after LSG is related to the presence of hiatal hernia after the operation. GERD symptoms are not consistent with the presence of erosive esophagitis as 40.1 % of patients who did not have postoperative GERD symptoms still had postoperative erosive esophagitis on endoscopy. Therefore, he proposes that postoperative follow-up endoscopy is necessary to identify the true prevalence of postoperative GERD (25).

Conclusion
This study suggests that LSG represents a safe and effective procedure to achieve significant weight loss with satisfactory results to patients undergoing the procedure. LSG showed no significant change in GERD prevalence which was considered to be a main troublesome, as only one third of patients who developed GERD post operatively already had GERD symptoms in the pre-operative period, on the other hand GERD symptoms newly developed in few number of the patients and pre-operative GERD symptoms improved in most of patients with real and significant impact on upper gastrointestinal symptoms mainly dyspepsia which increased in prevalence and incidence postoperatively, on the other hand significant association between GERD and vomiting was found also with a significant correlation to incompetent cardia in UGI endoscopy. A consistent relation was found between GERD and the finding of hiatus hernia in the postoperative setting raising the question of additive or alternative procedures that could prevent the incidence of hiatus hernia postoperatively.

**Recommendation**

Further studies have to be applied to a larger number of patients for a longer period of follow up.
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