Using the natural zeolite (clinoptiolite) in removing ammonia, heavy metals and improving water quality in fish ponds

M. M. Hamed^{*}, M. S. Hussein, A. M. Abd-Eltwab, and S. M. Alsaiad

Department of Fish Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt.

* Corresponding author E-mail: <u>mohamed123456@azhar.edu.eg</u>. (M. Hamed)

ABSTRACT:

The progressive build-up of toxic wastes, such as ammonia and heavy metals, is a problem of intensive fish culture systems. . This study was conducted to determine the effects of the natural zeolite (clinoptiolite) in removing ammonia and heavy metals. Twelve cement ponds (each 2m³) were used to carry out three experimental zeolite treatments with the following concentrations: 1kg/1000L, 2kg/1000L, and 3kg /1000L) with three replications, in addition to 0 concentration for the control. The clinoptilolite was placed in mesh bags (25x20 cm). Twenty mono-sex male Nile tilapia, O. niloticus, fingerlings, with an average initial weight of 21.10, 20.43, 22.70 and 22.40g for control, T1, T2 and T3, respectively, were stocked in each tank. The results revealed that application of zeolite significantly (P<0.05) decreased all the inorganic dissolved nitrogen. The average concentrations of unionized ammonia (NH₃) were 0.52, 0.39, 0.21 and 0.04 mg/l for Control, T1, T2, and T3 during the whole experimental period, whereas the concentrations of nitrate (NO₃-N) were 1.31, 0.91 0.88 and 0.61 mg/l, respectively. The concentrations of nitrite (NO2-N) were 0.36, 0.31, 0,19 and 0.11 mg/l during the whole experimentation. Zeolite had the ability to take up heavy metals; Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn, Pb and Zn. Heavy metals concentration values in ponds' water showed significant differences (P<0.05) for the control as well as the three treatments, where control ponds had the highest total mean concentrations of all metals (5.771 mg/l,) compared to T1 (4.827 mg/l), T2 (4.083 mg/l) and T3 (3.562mg/l), respectively. Heavy metals accumulation in musculature of O. niloticus in different treatments was investigated. All metals showed significant (P<0.05) differences among different treatments.

Keywords: Zeolite; Ammonia; Heavy Metals; Water Quality; Fish Growth; O. Niloticus; Fingerlings.

INTRODUCTION

The word "Zeolite" is derived from the two "zeein":"lithos" Greek words, meaning "boiling": "stones", due to the ability of the mineral to froth when heated to about 200°C (Polat et al., 2004). Zeolite is a cation exchanger which serves both as a sink for dissolved cations and as a source of nutrients such as NH4+ (Allen et al., 1993).(Hey 1930) concluded that natural zeolite is a class of crystalline, porous, hydrated aluminosilicates frameworks with loosely bonded alkaline or alkaline earth metals with important physicochemical characteristics. It can be used in many applications as cation exchange and molecular sieving (Dyer, 1988).

As reported by Ramalho (1997), the ion exchange process is widely used in wastewater treatment since it is able to achieve complete demineralization through simultaneous cation and anion exchange.

Using zeolites to improve water quality is widely documented, especially in freshwater aquaculture. However, the ability of zeolites to improve water quality in brackish and marine aquaculture was significantly limited due to the high content of Na, Ca, Mg, and other cations (Boyd CE, 1995). Heavy metals

removal by natural zeolites has been considered in recent years (Gholikandi et al, 2012).(Ouki et al., (1993) reported an increase in exchange capacity of chabazite by 19 times and of clinoptilolite by 21 times after contacting with salt solutions. Adsorption capacity towards heavy metal (Cd2+) was also seen to increase, with uptake that is higher by 46% for pretreated chabazite over its natural counterpart. (Leppert (1990) showed that CRRs(Clinoptilolite-Rich Rocks) can possibly be used for Pb removal from wastewater and subsequently disposed of as non-hazardous waste. (Moralı N. (2006) also indicated that the removal efficiency of zeolite for other heavy metals such as cadmium, chrome, copper, nickel, zinc, iron, and lead was 90, 90, 90, 75, 85, 70, and 95%, respectively. The CEC of the natural zeolite for some heavy metals may amount to: (Fe2+ 1.0meq/g -Zn2+ 1.1meq/ g-Ni2+ 1.0meq/g - Cd2+ 1.0meq/g - Pb2+ 1.2meq/g - Cu2+ 1.0meq/g zeolite).Ammoniaselective ion exchange using synthetic and natural zeolites have been used successfully to remove ammonia from aquaculture waters in the laboratory (Johnson and Sieburth, 1974; Teo et al., 1989; Bergero et al., 1994; Ferreiro et al., 1995). Zeolites have been also used on a pilot scale in the culture of different fish species (Bruin et al., 1981)reported that by

Hamed et al.

adding the zeolite, ammonium concentration in the treated aquarium was lower than that of the control test for most of the experiments. (Demir A, (2002) indicated that the greater the initial concentration of ammonia, the lesser the NH3- removal efficiency is. Ammonia removal efficiency in freshwater is better than marine water. (Rafie and Saad (2006) concluded that the use of 10 g zeolite in a small cotton bag as a bed medium to plant lettuce seedlings in a recirculating aquaponic system could improve water quality parameters by lowering the concentration of total ammonia-N. According to (Obradovic et al. (2006), adding 60 kg of this zeolite to a pool resulted in a remarkable decrease in the total water hardness, nitrates and ammonia (.Saltali, K.Sari, (2007) reported 75% ammonium removal at pH 7 and nearly 79% at pH 8 for Turkish (Yildizeli) zeolite.(Ergun et al. (2008) mentioned that ammonia excretion rates were investigated as a function of zeolite dosage in rainbow trout dietary. A diet with 2.5% natural zeolite decreased ammonia discharge by 24% compared to the control group.(Yusof et al. (2010) indicated that there have been many studies of natural zeolites - particularly clinoptilolite - for removing ammonium from wastewater. The results of these studies do not provide much useful information concerning the use of zeolites in aquaculture, because TAN concentrations in wastewater are much greater than in waters of aquaculture. (Farhangi and Hajimoradloo (2011) Concluded that in lethal concentration of ammonia, application of 15 g L _1 zeolite prevented the mortality rate in rainbow trout fish. Use of a surfactant modified natural clinoptilolite for removal of nitrogenous anions from a recirculated aquaculture system was also reported. (Farhangi and Rostami-Charati (2012) reported that in lethal concentration of ammonia, application of 15 and 12 g L_1of the zeolite could prevent the mortality rate in beluga and Persian sturgeon, respectively. In another study, natural clinoptilolite zeolite was used to reduce ammonia and hardness level of water for rearing freshwater aquarium fish angel. According to (Ghiasi and Jasour (2012), adding 10 g L _1 zeolite was an optimum dose for improvement of water quality in angel culture. Natural clinoptilolite zeolite was applied to reduce ammonia lethal concentration in rainbow trout culture. (Motesharezad et al. (2015) illustrated that the effect of different levels of clinoptilolite zeolite and nitrifying bacteria was investigated on nitrogenous compounds absorption in a closed system of carp breeding. (Öz et al. (2017) pointed out that the effect of natural Zeolite Clinoptilolite on aquarium water conditions indicated that zeolite put inside a net bag reduced ammonia content in fish tanks by 72%, while zeolite put directly in aquarium reduced ammonia by 33% compared with control. Although the zeolite removal efficiency of ammonia in saltwater is relatively less than that in freshwater, the highest efficiency and lowest cost, compared to other materials in the same class such as activated carbon, are still remarkable (Xue et al., 2018).

But in Egypt so far, zeolite has not been used in the field of fish farming as in East Asian and European countries, but its use was limited to the treatment of well water in modern land and an attempt to reduce the high concentrations of salts in it.

The aim of the research: Evaluation of the efficiency of zeolite in removing ammonia and heavy metals and its effect on improving water quality in fish ponds. Improving the quality of the Egyptian fish product and increasing its competitiveness in global markets

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study has been done in a private farm at Abo Shabana (agriculture drainage water Tollumbat 7) in Riyad City, Kafr El-Sheikh governorate, Egypt.

Zeolites are crystalline granules (sedimentary rocks) whose chemical composition can be illustrated as table (1).

Ponds preparation and Experimental fish:

The current experiment was conducted using twelve cement ponds to represent four three treatments; control and zeolite treatments where 3-5 mm- zeolite was added at a rate of (1kg/1000L) to the T2, (2kg/1000L) to the T3and (3kg /1000L) to the T4. clinoptilolite put into net bags (50x20 cm) which are 3000 g capacity and made from cloth. It was waited for accumulation of matters demanded binding (ammonium, nitrate, and nitrite), first 30 days after beginning of the experiment and then, clinoptilolite put into pond water covering the ponds floor. The experimental ponds were equal in water volume (2m³) and dimensions (1x2 m³) with the same average water depth of 100 cm. The source of irrigation was drain channel of tollombat 7and the water was changed every 4 days (under constant aeration, temperature = 28° C and pH = 8.2).

Experimental ponds were stocked with monosex male Nile tilapia, *O. niloticus*,

fingerlings at an average initial length of 12.20, 11.70, 12.40 and 11.90cm and an average initial weight of 21.10, 20.43, 22.70 and 22.40g for control and three zeolite treatments, respectively.

Nile tilapia were fed on a commercial diet containing 30% crude protein for six days/week at a daily feeding rate of 3% of an average fish-body weight twice, once at 9.00 am and the other at 3.00pm during the experimental period in each pond. The trial lasted for 16 weeks. It started on the 15th of July and harvesting was done on the 15th of November 2018 in which the following cultural practices were done. Fish were fed on a 35% - floating protein ratio at a rate of 3%from the fish weight

Sampling:

Water sediments were collected monthly and fish samples biweekly from each pond. Water samples were taken from different places at each site by a PVC tube column sampler at half meter- depth from the water surface. The samples at each site were mixed in a plastic bucket and a sample of 1 liter was placed in a polyethylene bottle, kept refrigerated and transferred cold to the laboratory for analysis. Sampling of bottom sediments (from the upper 10 cm surface layer) was taken using Peterson grab as described by (Boyd and Tucker (1992) and was kept in cleaned plastic bags. The wet sediment samples were dried by air

Laboratory Analysis.

Water quality parameter

Hydrogen ion concentration (pH) was measured with pH meter (Model25, Fisher Scientific). Total dissolved solids (TDS as g/l) were determined using salinity-conductivity meter (model, YSI EC 300). Temperature and dissolved oxygen were measured by using a digital oxygen meter (Model YSI 55). The concentration of total hardness (mg/l as CaCO3), total ammonia (NH4-N+NH3-N), unionized ammonia (NH3-N), nitrite (NO2-N) and nitrate (NO3-N) was measured by methods described in Boyd and Tucker (1992). Transparency (cm) was measured by using a Secchi Disc of 20cm diameter. Heavy metals were extracted with conc. HNO3 and HCl were preserved in a refrigerator till being analyzed for Fe, Zn, Mn, Cu, Cd and Pb concentration as µg/l (EPA. 1992). Measuring conductivity is a quick and easy way to estimate the amount of total dissolved solids (TDS) in natural waters, since most of these

solids dissolve to form ions. Whereas total dissolved solids can include organic as well as inorganic molecules, conductivity depends only upon dissolved ions. For water used for agricultural and irrigation purposes the values for EC and TDS are related to each other and can be converted with an accuracy of about 10% using the following equation: TDS (mg/l) = $640 \times EC$ (ds/m or ms/cm).

Heavy Metals residues in fish.

At the end of the experiment, (20 fish from each pond) of examination, musculature were collected separately and metals were extracted by HNO3 and HCl according to the methods described in Sediment samples were extracted with HNO3, HCl acid and H2O2 according to EPA (1996). Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer(Model Thermo Electron Corporation) instrument was used to detect metals concentrations which were expressed as µg/g dry wt in both sediments and fish samples. The degree of metal removal (%) (Removal efficiency or Purification rate %) the investigated treatments from was calculated by the following general mathematical equation; where, CI and CT are concentrations (mg/L) in control and zeolite treatments, respectively. the meaning of this part is not clear. The using natural zeolite was calculated by the following mathematical formula;

Uptake = $(C_0 - C/C_0) 100\%$

Where C0 and C are the initial and final concentration (mg L^{-1}).

Growth performance parameters

Total fish samples (20 fish from each pond) were taken biweekly during the experimental period. Body measurements (body weight in g and body length in cm) were conducted at biweekly intervals. Throughout the whole experimental period, growth parameters were calculated as follows:

Daily weight gain (DWG) was calculated using the formula:

DWG = [Average W2 (g) - Average W1 (g)] / t

Where W1 and W2 = the initial and final fish weight, while t is the experimental period in days.

Specific growth rate (SGR) was calculated according to Jauncey and Rose (1982) as:

SGR = (Ln W2 – Ln W1 x 100) / t where, w1 = first fish weight in grams, w2 = final fish weight in grams, t =period in day.

Fish condition factor (K) was calculated according to Schreck and Moyle (1990) as:

 $K = (Wt/L3) \times 100$ where, Wt is the total gutted weight of the fish (g), and L is the total length (cm).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out by using SPSS statistical package

Program version 20. One-way ANOVA was used for data analysis And the comparison between groups was done using Duncan's test

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Water Quality Parameters of Fish Ponds

The obtained results of water quality parameters of the experimental ponds during the experimental period (120 days) as averages are summarized in Table 2

In general, water temperature averages were 27.50, 28.20, 27.90 and 28.40 °C during the whole experiment period in the four treatments Cont. T1, T2, T3, and T4, respectively. Water temperature did not show very significant differences (P<0.05)among zeolite treatments throughout the experimental period. In general, it is already known that the optimal temperature for feed intake, growth and spawning of the Nile tilapia is 25 - 28 °C (Boyd, 1998)

From table (2) ,the data of dissolved oxygen (DO) in experimental ponds for all treatments were relatively good for Nile tilapia culture and had averages of 4.41, 4.67, 4.59 and 4.70 mg /l for T1, T2,T3 and T4, respectively. DO of T4 showed higher value (4.70) than other treatments while control showed a relatively low one. The suitable levels of DO concentration for tilapia as a warm water species are 5.0 to 15.0 mg/l or higher to maintain good health and feed conversion (Boyd, 1998).

Water pH data:

Application of zeolite caused significant differences (P<0.05) Increase in water pH (8.10 in T2 and 8.24 in T3 and 7.92 in T4) was compared with control ponds (T1,7.51). This may be attributed to the fact that zeolites, in general, are weakly acidic in nature and sodium-form exchangers are selective for hydrogen, which leads to high pH values when the exchanger is equilibrated with relatively dilute electrolyte solutions (Leinonen and Lehto, 2001). Both carbonate and nitrate ions are attracted by the negative charge within zeolites (Mumpton, 1999). The desirable pH range for most fish species is 7-9 (Boyd 1998).

Meanwhile, the values of the total alkalinity [CaCO3+Ca (HCO3)2] showed significant differences (P<0.05). A decrease in control with a total mean of (392.96 mg/l), while these values increased by increasing zeolite levels in water of T1 and T2 and T3 (413.55 ,402.63, 407.23), respectively.

On the other hand, the total hardness (Ca⁺²+Mg⁺²) also showed significant differences (P<0.05) decrease in control with a total mean of (284.36mg/l), while such values increased by increasing zeolite levels in water in T3 and T4 (305.14, 309.98 and 311.66 mg/l) for T2, T3 and T4, respectively. On his part, Barker et al. (2009) indicated that the desirable range of alkalinity is 50-300 mg l-1, but fish survive in waters up to 400 mgl-1. This may be referred to the adsorption activity of zeolite for removing positive ions (Ca++, Mg++ and/or Fe++) from water, which was compatible with the interpretation of (Mumpton, 1999). Also, zeolite can be used for the fixation of phosphates, cleanup of sewerage, and both heavy metal, and ammonium ion removal (Kocakusak et al., 2001). Obradović et al. (2006)

The average values of seechi disk readings showed significant differences (P<0.05), increased with increasing the proportion of zeolite in the fish diet as shown in Table 1. T4 recorded the highest value at 26.50cm, followed by T3, T2 and control Tl at 22.20, 19.90 and 19.10cm, respectively. Due to its absorption/ adsorption properties and its ability to gain and lose water reversibly (Kocakusak *et al.*, 2001; Xia *et al.*, 2009), zeolite can adsorb the suspended and organic matters and play a role in leaching the water of ponds

From table (2) that zeolite proved itself as reliable corrector of environmental conditions in removing ammonia NH3 from water and decreasing other water parameters. Accordingly, the results revealed that all parameters of water quality for zeolite treatments were in the suitable ranges and good for tilapia culture than control.

Nitrogen compound

Application of zeolite significant differences (P<0.05) decreased all the inorganic dissolved nitrogen (Table 3).

The average concentration of unionized ammonia (NH3) was 0.52, 0.39, 0.21 and 0.04 mg/l for Cont T1, T2, T3 and T4, respectively. The concentrations of nitrite (NO2-N) were 0.36, 0.31, 0.19 and 0.11 mg/l during the whole experimental period whereas the concentrations of nitrate (NO3-N) were 1.31, 0.91 0.88 and 0.61 mg/l. In this context, Kamal et al. (2008) recorded similar data for nitrite (0.02 - 0.03 mg/L). Zeolite preference for larger cations, including NH4+, was exploited for removing NH4-N from municipal sewage effluent and has been extended to agriculture and aquaculture applications (Mumpton and Fishman, 1977). It is clear that adding zeolite to water ponds decreases the nitrogen components (Table 1) in water by ion exchange activity and also inside fish body by metabolism activation.

A direct relationship between the mass of zeolite and total ammonia removal was evident (Burgess r.m *et al.*, 2004). The desirable ranges are 0.2-2.0 mg/l for total NH4-N, <0.3 mg/l for NO2-N and 0.2-10 mg/l for NO3- N in fish ponds (Boyd, 1998).

Heavy Metals.

Water

Metals concentration values showed significant differences (P<0.05) for the four treatments (Table 4), where control ponds (T1) had the highest total mean concentrations of all metals (5.771 mg/l) compared to T2 (4.827 mg/l) T3 (4.083 mg/l) and T4 (3.562mg/L).

Hamed *et al.* (2013) attributed the increase of metals content in water to the decomposition of organic matter in sediments and release of metals to the overlying water. Also, cu showed the highest accumulated metal in different sites, while zn was the lowest one.

Natural zeolite, clinoptilolite has the ability to take up heavy metals; Cd,Cu, Fe, Mn, Pb and Zn (Barloková and j llavsky, 2010). Thus, application of zeolite (clinoptilolite) decreased heavy metals in pond's water and was more effective in T4 this complies with studies which showed that natural zeolites were able to remove cationic heavy metal elements from industrial wastewater.

Moreover, Kocakusak *et al.* (2001) mentioned that zeolites application in wastes increased both heavy metals and ammonium ions removal. Metal ions' removal efficiencies for tested pond's water treated with zeolite are shown in Table 3.

In which, zeolites had selectivity chelated Cd, Pb, Mn and Zn. In the two treatments the selectivity of ions was: Cd> Pb> Mn> Zn> Fe> Cu. The difference in selectivity might be

attributed to ion-exchange processes. Also, data demonstrated the preference of zeolite for Cd and Pb compared to other metals. Similar results were recorded by Shaheen s.m *et al.* (2012) who described more interactions of Pb2+ and Cd2+ competing for ion exchange sites in natural zeolite clinoptilolite.

Means with the same letter in each column are not significantly different ($P \ge 0.05$). Zeolite, clinoptilolite as a bulking material has the ability to increase the porosity of the substrate and, as a result, to improve the composting process and the biodegradability of the organic matter The net negative charge in zeolite is balanced by the positive cations (sodium, potassium, or calcium). These cations are exchangeable with certain cations in solutions such as lead, cadmium, zinc, and manganese (Barrer, r.m 1978) and make zeolite suitable for removing undesirable heavy metal ions from industrial effluent waters.

Fish:

Metals accumulation in musculature of O. niloticus in different treatments are shown in Table 4.

All metals showed significant differences (P<0.05) among different treatments. It was observed that the concentrations of all studied metals in fish reared in T2, T3 and T4 were lower than those reared in control ponds (T1). This may be explained by the assumption that dissolved metals are adsorbed on zeolite (Shaheen *et al.*, 2012), Thus, to decrease metals bioaccumulation in fish. Adding natural clinoptilolite has also reduced water and tissue bioaccumulation of cadmium in Prussian carp (Carassius gibelio)

The effect of natural zeolites on the removal of cadmium from water of freshwater cichlid fish, Mozambique tilapia (*O. mossambicus*) ponds was investigated. It was found that the addition of4 g L zeolite reduced the concentration of cadmium in water remarkably and eliminated it from fish body, which ultimately improved fish hematological parameters. It was concluded that Cd2+ ions can bind with the easily exchangeable extra framework Na+ ion of zeolite resulting lesser free Cd2+ ions that lead to a lower chance of metal uptake by fish (James 2000)

In study (Noegrohati, 2006), **it was** found thatthe abundance of heavy metals in different tissue/organs showed that liver had a high tendency to accumulate heavy metals than musculature. This may be explained as liver is the main target organ for detoxification and excretion of toxicants besides gills, being the key interface for the uptake of water borne metal from water

Growth Parameters.

Fish Growth can be simply monitored by measuring the increase of fish weight and length traits Table (6).

Condition factor as an index of growth provides a measure of fatness "plumpness" or "robustness" of fish and food conversion efficiency. Condition factor is frequently assumed to reflect not only characteristics of fish such as health, reproductive state and growth, but also characteristics of the environment such as water quality (Schreck and Moyle, 1990).

Concerning the values of condition factor (k) of O. niloticus (Table 5) for different treatments; they were 1.49, 1.49, 1.50 and 1.51 respectively. Control ponds (T1), T2, T3 and T4 where T4 had the highest (P<0.01) K value compared with Fish in control.

Final body weight followed were control ponds T1, T2, T3 and T4 (150.10, 151.30, 152.80g and 155.60 gm), respectively. They showed the highest final body weight followed by T4. Average fish final length at the end of the experimental period was found to follow the same order of final body weight, being 20.70, 21.30, 21.60 and 22.85 cm, respectively (Table 5).

Regarding the survival rate of Nile tilapia, it was improved by adding zeolite in the pond bottom. The highest value of survival (96.4%) was obtained by adding zeolite in the pond bottom (T4), while the lowest (92.9%) was observed for control T1.

These results are in accordance with those obtained by Osman *et al.* (2008), who found a strong correlation between body weight and body length for tilapia. The fluctuations in fish growth (length and weight) are affected by different factors such as feeding regime (Saeed and Abdel-Mageed, 2011) and environmental conditions

On the other hand, the highest averages daily gain and SGR were recorded at T3, (1.44) and 1.47%/day, respectively) followed by T4(1.59 and 1.58%/day, respectively), while the lowest values 1.15 and 1.41%/day, respectively, were found in control ponds. The good conditions of water quality in T3 and T4 (treated with zeolite) may be the reason for higher harvest weights than those reared in control ponds. The data in the present study

matches the conclusions of Xia *et al.* (2009) who reported that natural zeolite helps to prevent the occurrence of disease and enables to promote growth and survival

Khodanazary *et al* (2013). Reported that incorporation of 5% clinoptilolite zeolite in the diet of common carp exhibited positive effects on dry matter, protein apparent digestibility coefficients and growth performance Improvement of growth and nutritional parameters was observed using Iranian natural clinoptilolite with different dosages of 4, 10 and 15 g L in rearing freshwater aquarium fish, angel (Pterophyllum scalare) (Ghiasi and Jasour 2012).

CONCLUSION

Application of zeolite in fish ponds improved fish health, disease resistance, water quality parameters, growth parameters and decreased heavy metals accumulation in water, sediment and in organs. However, proximate chemical composition of fish musculature did not significantly vary. Application of zeolite scattered on the pond bottom increased significantly the efficiency of improving pond quality than that put in the bag.

REFRENCES

- Allen, E.R., Hossner, L.R., Ming, D.W., Henning, D.L. 1993: Solubility and Exchange in Phosphate Rock and Saturated Clinoptilolite Mixtures. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 57: 1368-1374.
- Bergero, D., Boccignone, M., Palmegiano, G.B. 1994: Ammonia removal capacity of European natural zeolite tuffs: Application to aquaculture waste water. *Aquaculture and Fisheries*, 25: 81-86.
- Boyd, C.E. 1995: Bottom soils, sediment, and pond aquaculture. Chapman and Hall, New York, USA.
- Boyd, 1998: Water quality for pond aquaculture. Research and development series No. 43. pp. 37. International Centre for Aquaculture and Aquatic Environments. Alabama Agricultural Experiment Station. Auburn University
- Briggs, M.R.P., Funge-Smith, S.J. 1996: The effects of zeolites and other aluminosilicate clays on water quality at various salinities. *Aquaculture Research* 27, 301-311.
- Barlokova, D., Ilavsky, J. 2010: Removal of Iron and Manganese from Water Using Filtration by Natural Materials. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies, Vol.19, No. 6, 11171122 ISSN 1230-1485Manganese from Water

- Barrer, R.M., 1978: Zeolites and clay minerals as sorbent and molecular sieves, Academic Press, London, 407–486
- Beyazit, N., Peker, I., Ergun, 2008: Removal of lead and zinc ions from aqueous solution using Amasya zeolites from Turkey. *International Journal of Environment and Pollution* 19 (2): 160-170.
- Boyd, C.E., Tucker, C.S. 1992: Water quality and pond soil analysis for aquaculture, Alabama agric. Experim. Station. Auburn. Univ.: 183 pp. Academic Press, London, 407–486. sludge during composting". Environ. Technol., 11: 949-960 Solid Waste", Laboratory Manual Physical/Chemical Methods,ns, New York
- Bruin, W.J., Nightingale, J. W., Mumaw, L. 1980: Seattle Aquarium" Tech. Rep. 9: 1–17.
- Burgess, R.M., Perron, M.M., Cantwell, M.G., Ho, K.T., Serbst, J.R., Pelletier, M.C. 2004: Use of Zeolite for Removing Ammonia and Ammonia- Caused Toxicity in Marine Toxicity Identification Evaluations. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol., 47: 440–447
- Barker, 2009: A Guide to Acceptable Procedures and Practices for Aquaculture and Fisheries Research. 3rd Edition. Primary Industries (Fisheries) ACEC. Nelson Bay, Australia

Dyer, A. 1988: An Introduction to Zeolite Molecular Sieves. Chichester, John Wiley &. Sons Toronto

- Demir, A.G., Eyyüp, D. 2002: Ammonium removal from aqueous solution by ionexchange using packed bed natural zeoliteYildiz Technical University, Department of Environmental Engineering, 80750, Istanbul, *Turkey*
- EPA, 1992. Method 3005A, "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste", Laboratory manual physical/chemical methods, Washington, DC.
- EPA, 1996. Method 3050B, "Test Methods for Evaluating Soil, Sludges and Solid Waste", Laboratory Manual Physical/Chemical Methods, Washington, DC
- Ergun, S., Yigit, M., Turker, A., Harmantepe, B. 2008: Incorporation of soybean meal and hazelnut meal in diets for Black Sea turbot (Scophthalmus maeoticus). Isr. J. Aquac. Bamidgeh, 60:27-36
- Hajimoradloo, A.M. 2011: Effect of clinoptilolite zeolite to prevent of mortality in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) by total ammonia (NH₄-N) concentration. 1st International Congress on Aquatic Animal Health Management and Disease, January 27- 28, Tehran-Iran.
- Farhangi, F.R. 2012: Increasing of survival rate to *Acipenser persicus* by added Clinoptilolite zeolite in acute toxicity test of ammonia Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation & Legislation International Journal of the Bioflux

Society Gonbad Kavous University, P.O. Box, 163, Gonbad, Iran

- Ferreiro Almeda, M.S., Ramos, S., Lopez Ruiz, J., Lopez Alcalh, J.M. 1995: New products of zeolitic nature with interest on marine systems. Cuadernos de Quirnica Oceanografica, 2: 27-44.
- Ghiasi, F., Jasour, M. 2012: The effects of natural zeolite(clinoptilolte) on water quality, growth performance and nutritional parameters of fresh water aquarium fish, angel (Pterophyllum scalare). International Journal of Research in Fisheries and Aquaculture. 2(3): 22-25.
- Hey, M.H. 1930: Studies on the zeolites. I. General review. *Mineralogical Magazine*. 22, pp.422-437.
- Hamed, Y.A., Abdelmoneim, T.S., Elkiki, M.H. Hassan, M.A. 2013: Assessment of Heavy Metals Pollution and Microbial Contamination in Water, Sediments and Fish of Lake Manzala, Egyp. Faculty of engineerng port said universty Life Science Journal, 2013; 10(1) http://www.lifesciencesite.com
- Johnson, W.J., Suburth, J.M. 1974: Ammonia removal by selective ion exchange: a backup system for microbiological filters in closed system aquaculture. *Aquaculture* 4: 61-67.
- James, R. 2000: Effect of zeolite on reduction of cadmium level in water and improvement of haematological parameters in Oreochromis
- Khodanazary, A., Boldaji, F., Tatar, A., Dastar, B. 2013: Effects of dietary zeolite and perlite supplementations on growth and nutrient utilization performance, and some serum variables in Common carp,(Cyprinus carpio). Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 13, 495-501
- Kamal, S.M., Abdel Ghanny, S., Abdel All, M.M. 2008: Effect of nutrition and fertilization on production of Nile tilapia (O. niloticus) fingerlings in concrete ponds. Proceedings of the 8th international symposium on Tilapia in aquaculture, Volume (1), pp: 387-401. Cairo International Convention Center (CICC), Egypt, 12-14 October.
- Kocakusak, 2001: Doğal Zeolitler ve Uygulama Alanları. TÜBİTAKMAM, Malzeme ve Kimya Tek. Arş. Enst., Rapor No: KM 362, Proje No:
- 5015202, P.K.21, Gebz
- Leppert, D. 1990: Heavy metal sorption with clinoptilolite zeolite alternatives for treating contaminated soil and water, Mining Eng., 42: 604-608
- Leinonen, Lehto, 2001: Purification of metal finishing waste waters with zeolites and activated carbon Waste Management & Research 19(1):45-5
- Mumpton, F.A. 1999: Uses of Natural zeolites in agriculture and industry, National Academy of

Hamed et al.

Sciences Colloquium, (Geology, Mineralogy and Human Welfare), Proc. Natl. Acyad. Sci. USA, 96/7: 3463-3470.

- Mumpton, F.A., Fishman, P.H. 1977: The application of natural zeolites in animal science and aquaculture. Journal of Animal Science 45: 1188–1203.
- Moralı, N. 2006: Investigation of Zinc and Lead Removal from Aqueous Solutions using Clinoptilolite. Ms c thesis, School of Natural and Applied Sciences of Middle East Technical University, p. 93.17.
- Bruin, W., Nightingale, J.W. 1981: Evaluation of a recirculating freshwater salmon rearing facility using clinoptilolite for ammonia removal. Journal of the World Mariculure Society 12(1), 40-47.
- Noegrohati, S., 2006: Bioaccumulation dynamic of heavy metals in *Oreochromis nilotycus* (predicted through a bioaccumulation modelcontructed based on biotic ligand model (BLM)). Sri Noegrohati Bioaccumulation Dyn. Heavy, 16: 29-40.
- Ouki, S.K., Cheeseman, C.R., Perry, R. 1993: Effect of conditioning and treatment of chabazite and clinoptilolite prior to lead and cadmium removal. *Environmental Science and Technology* 27 1108-1116.
- Öz, 2017: Investigation of ammonium saturation and desorption conditions of clinoptilolite type zeolite in aquarium conditions, Turkish Journal of Agriculture - Food Science and Technology. 5(12) (2017) 1590-1594.
- Osman, M.F., Khattab, H.M., Mounes, H., Hafez, 2008: F.A. Productive performance of Oreochromis niloticus under different nutritional and aquaculture systems. International Symposium on Tilapia in Aquaculture: 887-902
- Obradovic, S., Adamovic, M., Vukasinovic, M., Jovanovic, R., Levic, J. 2006: The application effects of natural zeolite in feed and water on production results of Oncorhynchus Mykiss (Walbaum). Roum Biotechnol Lett 11 (6): 3005-3013.
- Polate, Demir, 2004: Use of Natural Zeolite (Clinoptilolite) in Agriculture Journal of Fruit

and Ornamental Plant Research vol. 12, 2004 Special ed

- Rafiee, G.R., Saad, R. 2006: The Effect of Natural (Clinoptilolite) on Aquaponic Production of Red Tilapia (Oreochromis sp.) andLettuce((Lactuca sativa var longifolia), and Improvement of Water Quality. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology, 8, 31332.
- Ramalho, R.S. 1997: *Introduction to wastewater treatment processes*, 2nd ed, Academic Press: Elsevier Science.
- Saltali, K., Sari, A., Aydin, M., Saltali, K., Sari, A., Aydin, M. 2007: Removal of Ammonium ion from aqueous solution by natural Turkish (Yıldızeli) zeolite for environmental quality. J Hazard Mater. 14(1):258-263
- Saeed, S.M., Abdel-Mageed, S.A. 2011: Impact of Poultry Slaughterhouse By-Product on the Aquatic Environment of Fish Ponds. J. The Arabian Aquaculture Society, 6 (1): 101-118.
- Saeed, S.M., 2013. Impact of environmental parameters on fish condition and quality in Lake Edku, Egypt. Egypt. J. Aquat. Biol. & Fish., 17, (1):101-112.
- Shaheen, S.M., Derbalah, A.S., Moghanm, F.S. 2012: Removal of Heavy Metals from Aqueous Solution by Zeolite in Competitive Sorption System. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Dev., 3, 362–367
- Schreck, Moyle, 1990: methods for Fish Biology. American Fisheries Society, 1990 - Science - 684 pages
- Teo, 1989: Tilapia in aquaculture, Volume (1), pp: 387-401. Cairo. International Convention Center (CICC), Egypt, 12-14 October to food security and nutrition for all. Rome, Italy, p. 200. Using Filtration by Natural Materials, Polish Journal of Environmental
- Xue, Y., Carrillo, V., Pino, B., Minasny, A.B. 2018: McBratney Soil properties drive microbial community structure in a large scale transect in South Eastern Australia Sci. Rep., 8 (2018), Article 11725
- Xia, 2009: Hydrogen storage in high surface area carbons: Experimental demonstration of the effects of nitrogendoping. J.Am. Chem. Soc., 131: 16493-16499

Elements	Percentage (%)
SiO2 (Silicon Oxide)	71.10
Al2O3 (Aluminum Oxide)	13.12
Fe2O3 (Iron III Oxide)	0.91
TiO (Titanium Oxide)	0.01
CaO (Calcium Oxide)	1.54
MgO (Magnesium Oxide)	0.99
K2O (Potassium Oxide)	2.40
Na2O (Sodium Oxide)	0.90
Al2O3 (Aluminum Oxide)	13.12
Fe2O3 (Iron III Oxide)	0.91

Table 1: Chemical	composition of	Yemen natural	zeolite used i	in the	present study	7
					•	

Table 2: water quality parameters in different treatments during the experimental period based on) means± SE)

Devery store of sustar	Treatments					
rarameters of water	Control.T1	T2	T3	T4		
Temperature(c)	27.50±0.14 ^b	28.20±0.05ª	27.90±0.06 ^b	28.40±0.06ª		
Dissolved O2 (mg/l)	4.41±012 ^c	4.67 ± 0.17^{a}	4.59±0.15 ^b	4.70±0.12ª		
PH	7.51±0.012 ^d	8.10 ±0.011 ^b	8.24. ±0.014a ^a	7.92.±0.29 ^c		
Total alkalinity (mg/l)	392.96±1.88 ^d	413.55±2.33ª	402.63±1.96°	407.23±1.88 ^b		
Total hardness (mg/l)	284.36±1.69d	305.14±1.33c	309.98±1.22 ^b	311.66±1.7 ^a		
Turbidity	19.10±0.05	19.90±0.06c	22.20±0.07 ^b	26.50±0.07ª		
PH Total alkalinity (mg/l) Total hardness (mg/l) Turbidity	7.51±0.012 ^d 392.96±1.88 ^d 284.36±1.69d 19.10±0.05	8.10 ±0.011 ^b 413.55±2.33 ^a 305.14±1.33c 19.90±0.06c	$\begin{array}{c} 8.24. \pm 0.014a^{a} \\ 402.63 \pm 1.96^{c} \\ 309.98 \pm 1.22^{b} \\ 22.20 \pm 0.07^{b} \end{array}$	7.92.±0.29° 407.23±1.88 ^b 311.66±1.7 ^a 26.50±0.07 ^a		

Table 3: Nitrogen compound concentration (mg/L) in water of different treatments Means±SE).

Demomentary of				Treatments			
r arameters of	Control T1	ТЭ	Rate of	ТЭ	Rate of	Τ1	Rate of
water	Control 11	12	Removal%	15	Removal %	14	Removal %
NH3-N (mg/L)	0.52 ± 0.05^{a}	$0.39 \pm 0.03 b^{b}$	25 %	0.21±0.01ac	59.3%	$0.04 \pm 0.02 a^{d}$	92.6
NO2 (mg/L)	0.36 ± 0.043^{a}	0.31 ± 0.038^{ab}	13.8%	0.19 ± 0.038^{b}	27.7%	0.11±0.037°	69.4%
NO3 (mg/L)	1.31 ± 0.002^{a}	0.91 ± 0.001^{b}	30.5%	$0.88 \pm 0.001^{\circ}$	32.8%	0.61 ± 0.006^{d}	53.4%

Table 4: Heavy metals concentration (mg/L) in water of different treatments (Means± SE).

1				Treatments.			
metals	Control T1	T2	Rate of Removal %	Т3	Rate of Removal %	T4	Rate of Removal %
Cu	2.23.± .26 ^a	1.98 ±0.30 ^b	11.2%	$1.72 \pm 1.29^{\circ}$	22.8%	1.47 ± 0.80^{d}	34.1%
Pb	1.46 ± 0.19^{a}	1.22 ±0.21 ^b	16.4%	$0.921 \pm 0.21^{\circ}$	36.9%	0.845 ± 0.70^{d}	42.1%
Fe	1.12 ± 0.42^{a}	0.977 ± 0.39^{b}	12.7%	$0.906 \pm 0.38^{\circ}$	19.1%	0.899 ± 0.70^{d}	19.7%
Mn	0.632 ± 0.29^{a}	$0.377 \pm 0.40^{\rm b}$	40.3%	$0.322 \pm 0.38^{\circ}$	49.1%	0.274 ± 0.22^{d}	56.6%
Cd	0.210 ± 0.30^{a}	0.196 ± 0.26^{b}	6.6%	$0.180 \pm 0.28^{\circ}$	14.2%	0.151 ± 0.037^{d}	28.1%
Zn	0.119 ± 0.78^{a}	0.077 ± 0.32^{b}	35.2%	0.054 ±0.29°	54.6%	0.049 ± 0.71^{d}	58.8%
Total	5.771	4.827		4.038		3.562	

Table 5: Means \pm SE of heavy metals concentration ($\mu g/g \ dry \ wt$.) in musculature of O. niloticus in different treatments

Heavy	Treatments				
Metals	Control T1	T2	T3	T4	
Cu	249.61±0.46 ^a	178.78±0.48 ^b	133.88±0.48 ^c	128.71±0.70 ^d	
pb	206.26±0.63ª	155.06±0.60 ^b	98.36±0.61°	91.05±0.79 ^d	
Fe	46.34±0.10 ^a	33.18±0.11 ^b	31.8±0.11 ^c	31.06±0.49°	
Mn	19.12 ± 0.14^{a}	14.36±0.09b	12.9±0.10 ^c	11.2±0.12 ^d	
Cd	8.29±0.02a	6. 49±0.02 ^b	6.31±0.02 ^b	5.23±0.04°	
Zn	8.19±0.03ª	6.61±0.03 ^b	5.53±0.03 ^b	4.19±0.06°	

Davamatara	Treatments					
Farameters	Control T1	T2	T3	T4		
Condition factor (K	1.49±0.11 ^b	1.49±0.12 ^b	1.50±0.11ª	1.51±0.10a ^a		
Initial weight (gm)	21.10±0.62 ^b	20.43±0.59°	22.70±0.60 ^a	22.40±0.59ª		
Final weight(gm)	150.10±1.63 ^c	151.30±1.80 ^b	152.80±1.52 ^b	155.60±1.81ª		
Initial length (cm)	12.20 ± 0.80^{a}	11.70±0.89 ^b	12.40 ± 0.126^{a}	11.90 ± 0.86^{ab}		
Final length (cm	20.70±1.77 ^c	21.30±1.70 ^b	21.60±1.91ª	21.85±1.82 ^a		
DWG, g/fish	1.15±0.032 ^b	1.16±0.033 ^b	1.44 ± 0.042^{a}	1.59 ± 0.034^{a}		
SGR, %/d	1.41±0.059b	1.42 ± 0.054^{b}	1.47 ± 0.028^{a}	1.58 ± 0.027^{a}		
Survival rate %	92.9 ±0.11°	94.4 ±0.11 ^b	94.7 ±0.11 ^b	96.1 ±0.11 ^a		
avit at the	FERRER		ALS -			
			the lost			
- 73	C - HAR	の品語				
	1. 1. 72.					
		THE PLANE	and the second s			

Table 6: growth parameters of O. niloticus in different treatments. It and higher profits. (Means \pm SE)



Figure 1: Commercial natural zeolite, clinoptilolite, granules (3-5mm)

استخدام الزيلوليت الطبيعى (كلينوبتيولايت) فى إزالة الأمونيا والمعادن الثقيلة وتحسين جودة الماء وأداء النمو فى أحواض الأسهاك محمد محمود حامد , محسن صالح حسين، سعد محمود الصياد، أحمد مأمون عبد التواب. قسم الانتاج السمكي، كلية الزراعة، جامعة الأزهر، القاهرة، مصر. *البريد الإلكتروني للباحث الرئيسي:mohamed123456@azhar.edu.eg

الملخص العربي:

يعتبر تراكم النفايات السامة مثل الأمونيا والمعادن الثقيلة هما المشكلتين الرئيسيتين في أنظمة الاستزراع السمكي وفي هذه الدراسة تمت دراسة إمكانية تحسين جودة الاستزراع السمكي باستخدام الزيوليت الطبيعي وهو عبارة عن سيليكات الألومنيوم الملئية حيث يسمح الإطار الداخلي له بتبادل الأيونات بينه وبين البيئة الملئية. أجريت هذه الدراسة بإحدي المزارع الخاصة التي تعتمد علي استخدام ماء الصرف الزراعي بمنطقة الرياض بمحافظة كفرالشيخ لمينه وبين البيئة الملئية. أجريت هذه الدراسة بإحدي المزارع الخاصة التي تعتمد علي استخدام ماء الصرف الزراعي بمنطقة الرياض بمحافظة كفرالشيخ لمينه وبين البيئة الملئية. أجريت هذه الدراسة بإحدي المزارع الخاصة التي تعتمد علي استخدام ماء الصرف الزراعي بمنطقة الرياض بمحافظة كفرالشيخ لمحديد تأثير الزيوليت الطبيعي (كلينوبتيوليت) في إزالة الأمونيا والمعادن الثقيلة وتحسين جودة الماء في أحواض الأساك حيث تم استخدام اثني عشر حوا اسمنتيا بطول(2متروعرض امتر وارتفاع عود الماءامتر) تمثل أربع معاملات تجريبية ثلاث مكررات لكل معاملة (كنترول بدون معاملات) المعاملة وحضا اسمنتيا بطول(2متروعرض امتر وارتفاع عود الماءامتر) تمثل أربع معاملات تجريبية ثلاث مكررات لكل معاملة (كنترول بدون معاملات) المعاملة الثانية (1 كجم زيوليت /1000لتر) الرابعة (3كجم زيوليت /1000لتر) الرابعة في عرد ريوليت /1000لتر) الثانية والثانية والثانية والثانية والثالثة علي التولي وأمل معاميان وحيد الجنوبي بقو معنو وزن ابتدائي في أول التجربة ثم تم نثره علي قاع الحوض بعد ذلك وكانت فاعليته أفضل. وتم استخدام إصبعيات البطي النيلي وحيد الجنس بحول وزن ابتدائي في أول التجربة ثم تم نثره علي قاع الحوض بعد ذلك وكانت فاعليته أفضل. وتم استخدام إصبعيات البطبي النيلي وحيد الجنس والان معنوي في تركيزات كل من الأمونيا الغير متأيية والنثرات. كمان الزيوليت والنائية والثائنة علي التولي وترا استخدام إصبعيات البطبي أول النوبي معنو في تركيزات كل من الأمونيا الغير متأيية والثانية والثالثة علي التوالي وأظهرت النتائية مايلي: أن اضافة الزيوليت أدى معنو تركيزات كل من الأمونيا الغير متأينة والثانية والثالثة علي التوالي وأظهرت النتائج مايلي: أن اضافة الزيوليت أدى والحين وإلى معنوي ولمان والخديز والزنك وأطهرت الرمين والذلك وألم مالكنزول والنوبي مثل الكامون والنحان والتتيل معنوي والزكن

الكلمات الاسترشادية: التلوث البيئي، الفضلات، المخلفات السامة، الاصبعيات، البلطي النيلي، نمو الأسماك.