



**Egyptian Journal of Chemistry** 

http://ejchem.journals.ekb.eg/



# Effect of Addition of Pomegranate Peel in the Ration with or Without Polyethylene Glycol on Productive Performance of Lactating Goats



# Abdelkader M. Kholif<sup>1\*</sup>, Gamal Eldeen AboulFotouh<sup>2</sup>, Ola G.A. Hassan<sup>2</sup>, and Abdelalim M. Abd El-Mola<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Dairy Sciences, National Research Centre, 33 Buhouth Street, 12622 Dokki, Giza, Egypt <sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Fayoum University, 63511 Fayoum, Egypt

## Abstract

The industries of pomegranate juice processing generate enormous waste in peel's form. So, the aim of this study is assess the impact of mixing pomegranate peel (PP), detanninated pomegranate peel (DPP) and pomegranate peel with polyethylene glycol (PEG) on the nutrients digestibility, the yield of milk and its composition, feed conversion and some parameters of blood. As well as simple economic assessment of the examined rations are considered. Sixteen lactating Zaraibi goats of about 3-4 years old (an average body weight of about  $25 \pm 0.5$  kg are used). After 14 days of parturition was randomly assigned into four groups, four animals of each tested ration (R) for 90 days, R1: Control: 50% CFM + 25% Egyptian clover and 25% wheat straw, R2: Control ration + 1% PP, R3: Control ration + 1% PP + 20 g (PEG) and R4: Control ration + 1% DPP. The results revealed that: Ration three (R3) was the best ration for daily milk yield and total milk yield, where R4 showed the worst one. Values of digestible crude protein (DCP) intake were higher significantly (P<0.05) for R3 and R2 followed by R4 then R1. Regarding feed conversion, R4 was superior to other tested rations followed by R1 then R3 followed by R2.

Keywords: Pomegranate peel, polyethylene glycol, Lactating Zaraibi goats, feed intake, nutrients digestibility, milk yield and feed conversion

# 1. Introduction

Pomegranate (*Punicagranatum* L.) relates to the family of Punicaceae [1] and is popularly consumed as fresh fruit, drinks, food items and extracts that are used in herbal remedies and dietary supplements as botanical ingredients. Fruit (peel, seeds and juice) is the main source of dietary pomegranate phytochemicals [2]. Pomegranate peel can be regarded as a waste from the pomegranate industry, producing comparatively higher polyphenol levels compared to fruit juice or seeds and flower fractions [3].

The existence of increased molecular weight phenolics, proanthocyanidins, ellagitannins, complex polysaccharides, flavonoids and substantial amounts of microelements that have good anti-mutagenic, antioxidant, antimicrobial and apoptotic characteristics in general is characterized by pomegranate peel, which accounts for around 50 percent of fruit weight [4].

The average area under pomegranates amounted to 85.676 thousand Fadden representing 5.1% of the average area under fruit crops in Egypt over 2016/2017. Also average production of pomegranates amounted to 381 thousand ton in 2016/2017 according to Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics [5]. Pomegranate peel represents 50-67% of the total weight of pomegranate fruit according to [6 and 7], so average production of pomegranates peel amounted to190.5- 255.27 thousand ton in 2016/2017.

Hussein and Shujaa [8] and HamaKhanet al. [9] showed that the impact of antioxidant rates in pomegranate peel in lambs fed aids in improving their health and animal performance. Also,

\*Corresponding author e-mail: am kholif2000@yahoo.co.uk(Abdelkader M. Kholif)

EJCHEM use only: Received date 21 January 2022; revised date 02 March 2022; accepted date 14 November 2022 DOI: 10.21608/EJCHEM.2022.116304.5298

<sup>©2023</sup> National Information and Documentation Center (NIDOC)

Abarghuei *et al.* [10] indicated that cows fed 800 ml pomegranate peel extract in their diet were significantly increased in milk yield.

High intakes of tannins negatively influence on production; the accessibility of nutrients is decreased due to the complexes produced between tannins and of macromolecules, many forms voluntary consumption of feed and digestibility are decreased, animal's digestive physiology the may be compromised, and mucosal disturbances might happen, etc. [11], but, in any case, it is increasingly recognized that the quantity consumed is important because tannins in many forage types can have beneficial effects in moderate quantities [12].

Polyethylene glycol (PEG) is a polymer which irreversibly binds tannins, decreasing the harmful food intake impact of tannins [13], digestibility [14], and preferences [15]. So we used detanninated pomegranate peel and polyethylene glycol (PEG) as a tannin-binding agent in current research to decrease the effect of the compounds of polyphenolic.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the impact of mixing pomegranate peel (PP), pomegranate peel and detanninated pomegranate peel (DPP) with polyethylene glycol(PEG) on nutrients digestibility, milk production and its composition, feed conversion and some parameters of blood. Moreover, simple economic assessment of the tested rations was considered.

## 2. Materials and Methods

The current study was performed at the Experimental Farm and Laboratories of Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Fayoum University, Egypt, from April to June, 2019.

# 2.1.Preparation of pomegranate peel and detanninated pomegranate peel

Dried pomegranate peels were obtained from the derivative unites in Fayoum, Egypt. Peels were compressed in a chopper to decrease it to coarse size peel. Detanninated pomegranate peel was equipped in line with [16]. Both dried pomegranate peel powder and detanninated were transmitted in polyethylene bags to be investigated chemically and farm examination.

## 2.2.Polyethylene glycol (PEG) source

Polyethylene glycol 4000 formed by Chem-Lab NV, Industriezone "De Arend" 2, Belgium.

### **2.3.Experimental animals**

Sixteen lactating Zaraibi goats of about 3-4 years old  $(2^{nd} to 4^{th} \text{ lactation seasons})$  with an average body weight of about  $25 \pm 0.5$  kg were used in the present study. After 14 days of parturition was arbitrarily divided into four sets, four animals per each tested ration (R) using complete randomized design.

## 2.4.The examined rations

The goats were independently supplied rations of concentrate: roughage at ratio of 1:1 on DM basis. The concentrate feed mixture (CFM) consisted of 60% yellow corn, 20% soybean meal, 17.5% wheat bran, 1.5% limestone, 0.2% dicalcium phosphate, 0.3% premix and 0.5% NaCl. Four tested rations were used in this experiment as shown below:  $\mathbf{R}_1$ : Control ration included on 50% CFM + 25% Egyptian clover and 25% wheat straw,  $\mathbf{R}_2$ : Control ration + 1% pomegranate peel,  $\mathbf{R}_3$ : Control ration + 1% pomegranate peel + 20 g Polyethylene glycol (PEG) and  $\mathbf{R}_4$ : Control ration + 1% detanninated pomegranate peel.

#### 2.5.Feeding and management of animals

Animals were given food to supply their food needs according to NRC [17]. The concentrate feed mixture was offered with wheat straw daily at 8.00 am., then Egyptian clover offered once daily at 4.00 pm. The 1% pomegranate peel,1% pomegranate peel + 20 g polyethylene glycol (PEG) and 1% detanninated pomegranate peel were introduced daily to each animal of treated groups with the concentrate feed mixture. Fresh water was constantly accessible to the animals. The experimental period was extended to 90 days.

## 2.6.Digestibility trial

Digestibility trial was performed at the end of the site of experiment. The nutrient digestibilities and feeding values were decided utilizing acid insoluble ash (AIA) technique according to Van Keulen and Young [18]. Feces samples were gathered day by day per every animal for seven days, dried overnight in hot air oven at 60 °C, weighted, ground through 1mm screen, then complete drying was performed at 105 °C for 3hrs and weighted and saved in tight bottles to be chemically examined according to procedures of AOAC [19].

## 2.7.Lactation trial

After 14 days of the goat's parturition, milk production was noted down during the last three days of every month for three months. Goats have been milked (hand milking) twice daily at 7:00 am and 7:00 pm by milking one teat, whereas the other one was lift to lamb for suckling according to **Farag [20]**. Milk samples were stored in bottles (100 ml) and frozen at (-20  $^{\circ}$ C) till the chemical analysis.

## 2.8.Sampling of blood

Blood samples were collected at the end of lactation trial (the day 90) before morning feeding. A sample of 10 ml of blood per animal was gathered from the jugular vein in dry clean glasses tubes. Blood samples were centrifuged for 20 minutes at 3500 rpm to obtain serum. Serum was divided into an uncontaminated dried glass vial and stored at -18 °C to be analyzed chemically.

# 2.9.Samples of analysis 2.9.1.Feeds and feces analysis

Chemical analyses of feedstuffs and feces samples were performed to find out the proportion of crude protein (CP), crude fiber (CF), dry matter (DM), ether extract (EE) and ash content in line with the approaches of AOAC [19]. The nitrogen free extract (NFE) was computed by difference. Acid detergent fiber (ADF), neutral detergent fiber (NDF), and acid detergent Lignin (ADL) were decided in accordance with Goering and Van Soest [21]. The tannin contents were defined by Folin Denis reagent as explained by Makkar *et al.* [22].

## 2.9.2.Milk analysis

TheChemical analysis of milk samples were determined according to AOAC [19], The free radical scavenging activity of milk samples was calculated using DPPH (1, 1 diphenyl 2, picrylhydrazyl) assay [23], andFat corrected milk (4% FCM) was calculated by using the subsequent equation according to Gaines [24]: FCM = 0.4 M + 15 F Where: M= milk yield (g/d), F= fat yield (amount of fat = M x fat %).

## 2.9.3.Blood serum analysis

Serum protein, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), albumin, urea, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), creatinine, cholesterol, glucose, tri-glycerides and HDL concentration were decided utilizing specific kits (Stanbio Laboratory, Boerne TX, USA) following producer directions.

## 2.9.4.Statistical analyses

Numerical analyses were made by the general linear model procedure adapted by SPSS [25] in line with the subsequent model:

 $Y_{ij}=\mu+T_i+e_{ij}$ 

Where:  $Y_{ij}$  is the dependent variable,  $\mu$  is the overall mean,  $T_{i}$  is the effect of treatment and  $e_{ij}$  is the residual error. Duncan's multiple test [26] was carried out to separate among means.

## 2.9.5.Simple economic evaluation

Economic return of the tested rations were calculated on the assumption that the price of one kg of raw milk was 7 L.E. and the cost of one ton DM of Egyptian clover, corn, wheat bran, soybean meal, wheat straw and Pomegranate Peel were 2500, 4100, 3900, 6700, 1000, and 500 L.E, correspondingly and the price of one Kg Polyethylene glycol was 950 L.E.

# 3. Results and Discussion

## 3.1. Chemical composition of feed ingredients

Chemical composition of feed ingredients is presented Table (1). The detanninated in pomegranate peel (DPP) contained lower OM (84.12%), EE (1.62%), NFE (57.72%) and tannins (14.65%) compared with dried pomegranate peel (PP), however the DPP recorded higher content of DM (96.75%), CP (5.89%), CF (18.87%), NDF (30.10%), (29.17%), ADL (12.09%), ADF hemicelluloses (1.82%), cellulose (17.07%) and lignin (6.89%) than PP.

Chemical constituents of dried peels are almost close to the findings of Taher-Maddah et al.[27], Kushwaha et al. [16] and Sadq et al. [28]. Conversely, some differences are uncovered between chemical compositions of PP in the current study in contrast with those shown by Mirzaei-Aghsaghali et al.[29], Ebrahimi [30] and Delavar et al. [31]. These differences in the chemical constitution of PP may be produced by different unique materials, growing conditions (geographic, seasonal variations, changes of weather, and land characteristics). Chemical compositions of DPP were in harmony with Kushwaha et al., [16], who informed that DM (17.63%), ash (3.29%), EE (1.43%), CP (6.43%), CF (24.36%), NDF (28.54%), ADF (26.11%) and lignin (7.59%). Higher chemical compositions in DPP than PP showed that preservation and growth of the above compositions during the detannination process but in case of lower test values in DPP than PP showed that losses of the above components during the detannination process. The summative analysis of concentrate feed mixture; Egyptian clover and wheat straw were in the common range.

# Table (1) Chemical composition of feed ingredients that used in the tested rations (%)

Itom	Ingredient							
itelli -	CFM	EC	WS	PP	DPP			
DM	87.56	93.54	92.36	90.85	96.75			
OM	83.46	78.27	73.04	87.21	84.12			
CP	16.45	18.39	4.11	4.84	5.89			
EE	2.08	4.50	0.91	4.69	1.62			
CF	4.18	16.14	32.62	15.53	18.87			
NFE	60.76	39.24	35.39	62.15	57.72			
Ash	4.10	15.27	19.32	3.64	12.64			
NDF	11.50	49.45	57.53	20.58	30.10			
ADF	5.16	40.99	49.76	19.46	29.17			
ADL	1.20	12.40	12.18	7.68	12.09			
Hemicelluloses	6.33	8.47	7.75	1.11	1.82			
Cellulose	3.96	28.58	37.57	11.78	17.07			
Lignin	0.53	10.32	5.66	4.15	6.89			
Tannins				15.28	14.65			

(CFM): Concentrates feed mixture, (EC): Egyptian clover, (WS): Wheat straw, (PP): Pomegranate peel and (DPP): Detanninated pomegranate peel, (DM): Dry matter, (OM): Organic matter, (CP): Crude protein

# **3.2.Digestibility and nutritive values of tested rations**

Data in Table (2) presented the average values of digestibility coefficients and nutritive values of the tested rations. No significant differences were found between the tested rations regarding nutrients digestibility except EE digestibility, where R2, R3, and R4 were superior to R1. The result indicated that CP and CF digestibilities were the highest in R3 compared to other treatment groups. Furthermore, the mean value of OM and EE digestibilities were the highest value was found in R2. Also, DM digestibility was higher value in R2, R3, and R1 than R4.While, NFE digestibility showed higher in control group (R1) followed by R2, R3, and R4.

Other reports by Hatami et al. [32], Sadq et al. [28] and Jami et al. [33] discovered that utilizing pomegranate peel helped in improving the digestibility of nutrients in diets in comparison of the control treatment. These scholars stated that the development in digestibility may occur because of the added nutritive value of the PP extract itself and low and moderate (2% - 4.5%) concentrations of condensed tannins in the diet improved production efficiency in ruminants, by growing the flow of nonammonia nitrogen and essential amino acids from the rumen. In ruminants, a mainly significant positive impact of tannins is dietary protein protection from ruminal microflora attack [34]. The high digestibility of ether extract (EED) was discovered in lambs fed 4% PP, due to tannin was protected the ether extract to degrade in the rumen and it escapes and flow rate

from rumen into the tiny intestine and it is more fitting to absorption [35]. These findings may support the obtained results of EE digestibility.

In contrast, Karamnejad *et al.* [36]; Shaani *et al.*[37] and Eliyahu *et al.*[38] found that feeding PP containing diets brought about a decline in DM, OM, and CP digestibility in comparison of the control. Also, Abarghuei *et al.*[10] reported that dietary inclusion of tannin- rich pomegranate peel extract (up to 1200 mg/day) had no influence on the digestibility of DM, OM, NDF, and ADF in dairy cows. The inconsistency among these works might be connected with variations among studies, in the diets used as well as the differences in the amount and type of pomegranate (i.e., the concentration and nature of the active ingredients), and kind of experimental animals, all which can influence digestibility.

Data in Table (2) cleared that no significant differences were obtained between the tested rations for SV, TDN, DCP, DE, ME and NEL.

The R2 and R3 were recorded slightly higher of SV, TDN, and DCP than R4 and R1. The increases in TDN, SV, and DCP value for R2 and R3 may reflect the results of digestibility coefficients of CP, EE, CF, and NFE.

Digestible energy (DE), metabolizable energy (ME) and net energy for lactation (NEL) were deceased with R1 and R4 compared with R2, and R3. The results that obtained by Sadq *et al.*[28] in this regard may support our findings they found that the higher TDN, DE, and ME are increased in lambs fed 1% PP compared to lambs fed 0, 2, and 4%.

coefficients an	nd nutritive values of the t	ested rations (o	on DM basis 9	%)	
	<b>.</b>	Tested ra	tions		
	Item	R1	R2	R3	R4
Diges	Digestibility coefficients %:				

Table (2)	
Digestibility coefficients and nutritive values of the tested rations (on DM basis %)	

T.	Tested rai	CE			
Item	R1	R2	R3	R4	±SE
Digestibility coefficients %:					
DM	72.26	72.64	72.62	70.72	0.39
OM	73.90	74.49	73.94	71.72	0.45
СР	70.15	71.62	71.77	69.93	0.50
EE	56.73 <sup>b</sup>	66.89 <sup>a</sup>	64.41 <sup>a</sup>	62.99 <sup>a</sup>	1.35
CF	60.99	61.63	61.78	58.19	0.64
NFE	79.63	79.48	78.63	76.66	0.48
Nutritive values:					
TDN, %	59.97	60.74	60.23	58.44	0.37
SV,%	52.78	53.48	52.99	51.22	0.36
DCP, %	9.71	9.92	9.94	9.68	0.07
DE, MCal/kg *	2.64	2.68	2.66	2.58	0.02
ME, MCal/kg *	2.22	2.25	2.23	2.15	0.02
NEL, MCal/kg *	1.35	1.37	1.36	1.31	0.01

DM: Dry matter, OM: Organic matter, CP: Crude protein, EE: Ether extract, CF: Crude fiber, NFE: Nitrogen free extract, TDN: Total digestible nutrients, SV: Starch value, DCP: Digestible crude protein, DE: Digestible energy, ME: Metabolisale energy, NE: Net energy. Average in the same row having different superscripts are differ significantly (P<0.05) for a, and b.

\*calculated according to NRC [17], DE: 0.04409\*TDN, ME: 1.01\*DM-0.45 and NEL: 0.0245\* TDN-0.12

R1: Control: 50% CFM + 25% Egyptian clover and 25% wheat straw, R2: R1 + 1% pomegranate peel, R3: R1 + 1% pomegranate peel+ 20g Polyethylene glycol (PEG) and R4: R1 + 1% detanninated pomegranate peel

## 3.3.Feed intake and feed conversion

There are no significant differences for dry matter and energy intake (SV, and TDN) of the tested rations Table (3), while the values of DCP intake were higher significantly (P<0.05), for R3 and R2 followed by R4 then R1. These findings are in accord with those obtained by Safari et al.[39] and Kotsampasi et al.[40], who reported that there is no effect of the addition of pomegranate peel in the diet on dry matter intake, Also, Saeed et al., [41] indicated that the diet lambs containing low level (1.5%) of PP was higher nitrogen intake compared to the control.

There were no important variations were found in feed conversion of DM, SV, TDN, and DCP between the tested rations Table (3). Regarding feed conversion of DM, SV, and TDN, R4 was insignificantly superior to the other tested rations followed by R3 then R1. Ration (R2) was the worst one regarding feed conversion. Safari et al.[39] concluded that milk efficiency of dairy cow fed diet containing pomegranate seed and peel was similar across the control ration.

## Table (3)

Effect of the tested rations on daily feed intake and feed conversion of lactating goats

_					
Item	R1	R2	R3	R4	±SE
Feed intake					
DM, Kg	1.40	1.41	1.43	1.41	0.003
TDN, Kg	0.81	0.83	0.83	0.78	0.007
SV, Kg	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.68	0.007
DCP, g	121.59 <sup>b</sup>	135.25 <sup>a</sup>	137.10 <sup>a</sup>	127.52 <sup>ab</sup>	2.25
Feed conversion					
DM/FCM, kg/kg	1.76	1.88	1.74	1.72	0.04
SV/FCM, kg/kg	1.02	1.11	1.01	0.95	0.02
TDN/ FCM, kg/kg	0.89	0.97	0.88	0.83	0.02
DCP/ FCM , g/g	0.15	0.18	0.17	0.16	0.004

Average in the same row having different superscripts are differ significantly (P<0.05) for a and b.

R1: Control: 50% CFM + 25% Egyptian clover and 25% wheat straw, R2: R1 + 1% pomegranate peel, R3: R1 + 1% pomegranate peel+ 20g Polyethylene glycol (PEG) and R4: R1 + 1% detanninated pomegranate peel

## 3.4.Milk yield and milk composition

Overall mean values of milk production and composition are shown in Table (4). The R3 was the best ratio for daily milk yield, while R4 showed the worst one. Also, the R3 recorded the highest values of FCM followed by R4, R1 and R2, respectively. There are significant differences (p<0.05) for fat% and total solids % between the tested rations, where the ration four (R4) was the highest value compared with other rations. There are no significant differences (p<0.05) for solids not fat, total protein and lactose percentages between the tested rations, however the ration of R2 was the highest value compared with other rations. Moreover, There are no significant effect (p<0.05) for ash % between the tested rations.

These results of daily milk yield and fat correct milk are in agreement with those got by Safari *et al.*[39] and Kotsampasi *et al.*[40], who discovered that the diet containing pomegranate peel had no effect on milk yield and FCM. However, Shaani *et al.*[37] reported that the addition of ensiled pomegranate pulp mixture (PPM) in the diet of cow reduced milk yield and increased 3.5% fat corrected milk (FCM) compared with the control. Moreover, Abarghuei *et al.*[10] observed that cows fed 800 ml pomegranate peel extract in their diet were significantly increased in milk yield and FCM. So, the differences among studies may reflect the effect of animal breed and the differences in the amount and type of pomegranate.

This result is an agreement with the results of Alphonsus and Essien[42], who found the same relationship between milk fat% and milk yield and the opposite relationship between milk total solids content and milk yield.

Safari *et al.*[39] found that the supplementing diet containing pomegranate seed and peel significantly increased milk fat percent and milk fat yield dairy cows, and Shaani*et al.*[37] reported that the addition of ensiled pomegranate pulp mixture (PPM) in the diet of cow increased milk fat percent. Such findings may support the obtained results. On the other hand Kotsampasi*et al.*[40], who indicated that there were no effects of addition of pomegranatepulp silage on milk fat percent and milk fat yield of lactating dairy cows, Also Abarghuei*et al.*[10] found that there is no effect of addition of 800 ml pomegranate peel extract

These results are agreement with those obtained by Safari *et al.*,[39] found that there is no effect of the supplementing diet containing pomegranate seed and peel on milk ash % and increased milk ash yield of dairy cows, but Kotsampasi *et al.*,[40] who reported

in the diet on milk fat percent, but there were significantly increased in milk fat yield.

These results of milk TScontent, and TS yield of milk are in accord with those obtained by Kotsampasi *et al.*,[40], who indicated that there were no effects of addition of pomegranatepulp silage on milk TS% and TS yield of milk for lactating dairy cows, Also Abarghuei *et al.*[10] indicated that there is no effect of addition of 800 ml pomegranate peel extract in the diet on milk TS %. Moreover, Shaani *et al.*,[37] and Safari *et al.*,[39] found that the supplementing diet containing pomegranate peel significantly increased milk TS% and milk TS yield.

Such results nearly similar to that obtained by Safari *et al.*[39], who found that the supplementing diet containing pomegranate seed and peel significantly increased milk of SNF content and milk SNF yield of dairy cows. The contrast trend was found by Kotsampasi *et al.* [40] indicated that the addition of pomegranatepulp silage on diet of lactating dairy cows decreased milk SNF % and SNF yield.

Such results were followed the same trend obtained by Abarghuei *et al.*,[10] ,Kotsampasi *et al.*,[40] and Shaani *et al.*,[37] , who indicated that there is no effect of addition of pomegranate peel in the diet on milk TP % and milk TP yield, but Safari *et al.*,[39] found that the supplementing diet containing pomegranate seed and peel significantly increased milk TP % and milk TP yield of dairy cows.

The obtained results were nearly similar to those obtained by Abarghuei*et al.*,[10] indicated that there is no effect of addition of 400, 800 and 1200 ml pomegranate peel extract in the diet of dairy cows on milk lactose % and milk lactose yield, Moreover Shaani *et al.*,[37] reported that the addition of ensiled pomegranate pulp mixture (PPM) in the diet of cow decreased milk lactose %. These results expect R4 contrast to those obtained by Safari *et al.*,[39] and Kotsampasi *et al.*,[40] who found that the supplementing diet containing pomegranate peel significantly increased milk lactose % and milk lactose yield.

that the addition 75 of pomegranatepulp silage in the diet of dairy cows decreased milk ash % and there is no effect on milk ash yield. Such finding differences may support the different animal breed and methodology of pomegranate prepared.

Table (4)	
Overall mean values of milk yield and composition for the tested rations of lactating goat	

Item		Treatments							
item	R1	R2	R3	R4	±SE				
Milk yield (g/h/day)	842.33 <sup>a</sup>	844.56 <sup>a</sup>	851.78 <sup>a</sup>	808.22 <sup>b</sup>	15.19				
Fat corrected (4%) milk yield (g/h/day)	800.58	756.52	827.25	823.53	13.90				
Fat %	3.68b <sup>c</sup>	3.33°	3.83 <sup>ab</sup>	4.16 <sup>a</sup>	0.10				
TS%	14.24 <sup>bc</sup>	14.11 <sup>c</sup>	14.39 <sup>ab</sup>	14.62 <sup>a</sup>	0.07				
SNF%	10.55	10.78	10.56	10.46	0.08				
TP%	3.96	4.04	3.96	3.92	0.03				
Lactose %	5.72	5.84	5.72	5.67	0.04				
Ash%	0.88	0.90	0.88	0.87	0.01				
Fat yield (g)	30.91	27.91	32.44	33.35	0.74				
TS yield (g)	120.03	119.28	122.42	118.16	2.24				
SNF yield (g)	89.12 <sup>a</sup>	91.37 <sup>a</sup>	$89.98^{a}$	84.81 <sup>b</sup>	2.07				
TP yield (g)	33.42 <sup>a</sup>	34.26 <sup>a</sup>	33.74 <sup>a</sup>	31.81 <sup>b</sup>	0.78				
Lactose yield (g)	48.27 <sup>a</sup>	49.49 <sup>a</sup>	48.74 <sup>a</sup>	45.94 <sup>b</sup>	1.12				
Ash yield (g)	7 / 3 <sup>a</sup>	7.61 <sup>a</sup>	$7.50^{a}$	7.07 <sup>b</sup>	0.17				

Average in the same row having different superscripts are differ significantly (P<0.05) for a, b and c. TS: total solids, SNF: solids not fat and TP: total protein

R1: Control: 50% CFM + 25% Egyptian clover and 25% wheat straw, R2: R1 + 1% pomegranate peel, R3: R1 + 1% pomegranate peel+ 20g Polyethylene glycol (PEG) and R4: R1 + 1% detanninated pomegranate peel

Data exhibited in Table (5) showed that overall mean values of milk yield and composition in the different lactation periods. Regarding the effect of milking period, data showed increasing milk yield and FCM from first period (PI) to second period (PII) and then decreasing in thirdperiod (PIII). There are significant differences for milk yield between periods of lactation for each ration. Period  $\Pi$  showed the highest yield, but PIII was the lowest one, Abedo et al., [43] found the same trend with advance of lactation. Concerning the results of the effect of milking period on milk fat %, it was clear that highest value significantly (p≤0.05) was found with PI and PIII compared to PII. Such differences may also reflex the milk yield results, while there are no significant differences (p<0.05) for milk fat yield.

Data showed that there are important differences for milk total solids % and milk total solids yield between periods of lactation, where the values of milk total solids % of PII and PIII were higher than PI; and the value of milk total solids yield of PII was higher than PI and PIII. The values of milk total solids % were 14.13, 14.45, and 14.44(g/head/day) for PI, PII and PIII, respectively. Also, there are significant differences for both milk SNF %, milk SNF yield, milk TP %, milk TP yield, milk lactose %, milk lactose yield , milk ash % and milk ash yield between periods of lactation, where the values of milk solids not fat % and milk solids not fat yield of PII were higher than and PI and PIII.

## Table (5)

Overall mean values of milk yield and composition in the different lactation periods

Item	Treatments						
item	PI	PII	PIII	±SE			
Total milk yield (g/h/day)	833.00 <sup>b</sup>	941.83 <sup>a</sup>	735.33°	0.85			
fat corrected (4%) milk yield	819.18 <sup>a</sup>	850.35 <sup>a</sup>	736.38 <sup>b</sup>	9.34			
Fat %	3.89 <sup>a</sup>	3.35 <sup>b</sup>	4.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.14			
TS%	14.13 <sup>b</sup>	14.45 <sup>a</sup>	14.44 <sup>a</sup>	0.12			
SNF%	10.24 <sup>b</sup>	11.09 <sup>a</sup>	10.43 <sup>b</sup>	0.10			
TP%	3.84 <sup>b</sup>	4.16 <sup>a</sup>	3.91 <sup>b</sup>	0.04			
Lactose %	5.55 <sup>b</sup>	6.01 <sup>a</sup>	5.65 <sup>b</sup>	0.05			
Ash%	.85 <sup>b</sup>	.92 <sup>a</sup>	.87 <sup>b</sup>	0.01			
Fat yield (g)	32.40	31.57	29.48	1.26			
TS yield (g)	117.70 <sup>b</sup>	136.05 <sup>a</sup>	106.17 <sup>c</sup>	1.46			
SNF yield (g)	85.30 <sup>b</sup>	$104.48^{a}$	76.69 <sup>c</sup>	1.15			
TP yield (g)	31.99 <sup>b</sup>	39.18 <sup>a</sup>	28.76 <sup>c</sup>	0.43			
Lactose yield (g)	46.20 <sup>b</sup>	56.59 <sup>a</sup>	41.54 <sup>c</sup>	0.62			
Ash yield (g)	7.11 <sup>b</sup>	8.71 <sup>a</sup>	6.39 <sup>c</sup>	1.10			

Average in the same row having different superscripts are differ significantly (P < 0.05) for a, b and c.

PI: First period of lactation, PII: Second period of lactation and PIII: Third period of lactation. TS: total solids, SNF: solids not fat and TP: total protein

Egypt. J. Chem. 66, No. SI: 13 (2023)

## 3.5.Milk radical scavenging activity

There are no noteworthy differences (p<0.05) for antioxidant activity between the tested rations Table (6). The R3 recorded the highest antioxidant activity followed by R2 then R4 and finally control (R1). These findings are in accord with those obtained by Shabtay*et al.*[44],who indicated that the milk from cows fed pomegranate peel extract was higher antioxidant activity than the control, where hydrolyzable tannins are exposed to positively relationship with antioxidant activity and polyphenol content in pomegranate peel and juice [45 and 46] and in pomegranate, hydrolyzable tannins contain gallagic punicalin, ellagic acid, acid and punicalagin[45]. Punicalagin has high lipid peroxidation-inhibitory radical-scavenging and activities [47], and its health encouraging elements might be of significance to the health of the animal which uses it [48]. So, encourage use of milk enriched with antioxidants in human diets to support human health and avoid complaints connected to oxidative stress, including cancers [49].

#### Table (6)

Effect of the tested rations on milk radical scavenging activity of lactating goat

Tested rations	Inhibition %	Remaining of *DPPH%
R1	14.36	85.64
R2	17.01	82.99
R3	17.34	82.66
R4	15.65	84.35
±SE	0.52	0.52

\*DPPH (1, 1 diphenyl 2, picrylhydrazyl)

R1: Control: 50% CFM + 25% Egyptian clover and 25% wheat straw, R2: R1 + 1% pomegranate peel, R3: R1 + 1% pomegranate peel+ 20g Polyethylene glycol (PEG) and R4: R1 + 1% detanninated pomegranate peel.

## 3.6. Blood serum parameters

No important variations were detected between the tested rations for serum total protein, albumin and globulin Table (7). All values were within the normal range (6.1-7.5, 2.3-3.6 and 2.7-4.4 g/dl, respectively) as found by Boyd [50]. Generally, no changes in the blood metabolites (serum albumin, total protein and globulin) suggested that damage to the liver did not occur. These results are an agreement with Hatamiet al.[32], who found that the addition of PEG to the PP diets has no effect on plasma albumin and total protein concentration. However, Safari et al. [39] indicated that the level of albumin and total protein was lower for cows fed pomegranate by-products than control during postpartum. Also, Khan et al., [51] found that no significant difference was found in total protein level between control and groups fed PP, while was found increased in albumin level in tested groups compared to the control. The result in Table (7) indicated that the differences between rations for serum urea and creatinine were not significant. The values of serum urea and creatinine were within the normal range (10-50 mg/dl) as reported by Kaneko [52] and (0.7-1.5 mg/dl) as noticed by Boyd [50], respectively. Such finding may suggest no negative effect on goat kidneys. Also, it was clear that no significant differences were obtained regarding serum glucose

Egypt. J. Chem. 66, No. SI: 13 (2023)

Table (7) of goat fed R1, R2, and R3, but there are significant differences between R4 and other tested rations. The values of serum glucose of the tested rations were within the normal range (48-76 mg/dl) as observed by Boyd [50]. Table (7) showed no significant changes in AST and ALT levels and the values of serum AST and ALT were within the standard sort (8-40 and 5-30 Unit/dl, respectively) according to [52], who indicated the healthy effect of tested additives to goat's diets, where serum levels of AST and ALT are those conventionally used for domestic animal hepatic damagem, Specifically, ALT is used to detect bile obstruction, i.e. mild and progressive damage to the liver [53], whereas liver enzymes like ALT, which is a liver specific hepatocellular enzyme released by hepatocellular damage, is used to assess liver damage [54]. These results of serum AST and ALT were similar to those obtained by Hatami et al., [32] who found that the addition of PEG to the PP diets has no effect on plasma AST and ALT concentration. However, Safari et al., [39] indicated that the level of AST was lower for cows fed pomegranate by-products than control during postpartum. Also, Ramzi, [55] indicated that the level of AST was highest in lambs fed PP than the control, while ALT level was highest in lambs of the control compared to groups that fed PP in the diet.

Such differences may support the effect of animal breed.

There were no significant differences among all groups in the overall means of serum cholesterol, triglyceride, HDL, LDL, and LDL/HDL ratio Table (7). The obtained results of lipid profiles were similar to Hussein and Shujaa [56] they reported that the addition of PP in the diet of Awassi had no effect on

cholesterol, and triglyceride concentration. On the other hand, Safari *et al.*,[39] indicated that the level of cholesterol was lower for cows fed pomegranate by-products than control during postpartum, and Khan *et al.*,[51] found that total cholesterol and HDL concentration in lambs fed 1% PP were decreased, while triglyceride, LDL concentration, and LDL/HDL ratio increased compared to control group.

Table (7)

Effect of the tested rations on some blood serum parameters of lactating goats

Items		Rat	ions		±SE	Normal range
	R1	R2	R3	R4		-
Total protein, g/dl	7.30	7.23	7.23	7.40	0.05	6.1-7.5
Albumin, g/dl	3.33	3.37	3.5	3.37	0.08	2.3-3.6
Globulin, g/dl	3.97	3.86	3.73	4.03	0.08	2.7-4.4
Urea, mg/dl	29.67	28.67	29.00	28.00	0.7	10-50
Creatinine, mg/dl	0.76	0.67	0.7	0.66	0.03	0.7-1.5
Glucose, mg/dl	61 <sup>a</sup>	61.67 <sup>a</sup>	63 <sup>a</sup>	43 <sup>b</sup>	2.7	48-76
AST, IU/dl	11.03	11.87	10.20	10.60	0.32	8-40
ALT, IU/dl	16.33	16.67	17	19.33	0.61	5-30
Cholesterol, mg/dl	97.67	101.67	103.67	97.67	1.08	-
Triglyceride, mg/dl	62.33	65.00	65.33	64.33	2.08	-
HDL, mg/dl	42.67	45.33	49	45.33	1.06	-
LDL, mg/dl	42.53	43.33	41.53	39.47	0.57	-
LDL/HDL ratio	1.00	0.96	0.85	0.87	0.03	-

Average in the same row having different superscripts are differ significantly (P<0.05) for a and b.

AST: Aspartate transferase, ALT: Alanine transferase, HDL: High density lignin, LDL: Low density lignin.

R1: Control: 50% CFM + 25% Egyptian clover and 25% wheat straw, R2: R1 + 1% pomegranate peel, R3: R1 + 1% pomegranate peel+ 20g Polyethylene glycol (PEG) and R4: R1 + 1% detanninated pomegranate peel.

# **3.7.** Simple economical evaluation of the tested rations

Ration two (R2) had higher net revenue and relative percentage of net revenue compared with other tested rations Table (8). The cost of feed consumed for R3 was higher than the other tested rations because of the price of polyethylene glycol was higher, Moreover, R3 showed negatively net revenue. Finally R2 was the best one.

#### Table (8)

Simple economical evaluation of the tested rations of lactating goats

Item	Rations				
	R1	R2	R3	R4	
Milk yield (kg/head/90d)	75.81	76.01	76.66	72.74	
Dry matter consumed (kg/head/90d)	126	127.26	129.06	127.26	
Price of one kg DM of the ration, L.E.	3.25	3.257	22.257	3.257	
Cost of feed consumed (L.E /head/90d).	409.5	410.13	2120.13	410.13	
*Total revenue, L.E	530.67	532.07	536.62	509.18	
**Net revenue, L.E	121.17	121.94	-1583.51	99.05	
Relative percentage of net revenue	100	100.64	-1306.85	81.74	

\*, Total revenue, L.E= Milk yield (kg /head /90day) × 7.0 L.E (price of one kg goats milk).

\*\*, Net revenue, (L.E./h/90d) = Total revenue (L.E./h/90d) - Cost of feed consumed (L.E./h/90d), LE= Egyptian pound.

R1: Control: 50% CFM + 25% Egyptian clover and 25% wheat straw, R2: R1 + 1% pomegranate peel, R3: R1 + 1% pomegranate peel+ 20g Polyethylene glycol (PEG) and R4: R1 + 1% detanninated pomegranate peel.

## 4. Conclusion

It seems that the rations containing pomegranate peel (R2), and pomegranate peel with polyethylene glycol (R3) could be used successfully for lactating goats since it improved feed intake, nutrients digestibility, milk yield and composition, but R3 is very expensivecompared with control one from economical point of view, R3 showed negatively economical effect and not recommended.

The contributions made by each listed author: Abdelkader Kholif was supervised the work, made statistical analysis and publishing the manuscript. Gamal EldeenAboulfotouh was supervised the work in the farm, methodology and wrote the manuscript. Ola Gamal A. Hassan works in the farm, labs, and wrote the manuscript. Abdelalim M. Abd El-Mola was supervised the work in labs, methodology and review of the original draft. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

**Ethics declarations:** Statement of animal right, the research was performed in line with appropriate worldwide, nationalized and institutional procedures for the care and use of animals.

**Declaration of competing interest**: The authors report no declarations of interest. The data was available to provide.

## 5. Acknowledgment

We thank Dr. Dina Salah Aboul-Hassan (National Research Centre, Dairy Science Department) and Nihal Adel Zaki (Assistant Professor in English Literature, Faculty of Al-Alun, Minia University) for making plagiarisms for this manuscript.

## 6. References

- Miguel, G.M., Neves, A.M. and Antunes, D.M. 2010. Pomegranate (Punicagranatum L.): A medicinal plant with myriad biological properties – A short review. J. Med. Plants Res, 4(25),2836-2847.
- [2] Mars, M. and Marrakchi, M. 1999. Diversity of pomegranate (Punicagranatum L.) germplasm in Tunisia.Genet.Resour. Crop. Evolution; 46(5),461-467.
- [3] Sestili, P., Martinelli, C., Ricci, D., Fraternale, D., Bucchini, A., and Giamperi, L. 2007. Cytoprotective effect of preparations from various parts of Punicagranatum L. fruits in oxidatively injured mammalian cells in comparison with their antioxidant capacity in cell free systems. Pharmacological Research; 56: 18-26.
- [4] Prakash, A., Mathur, K., Vishwakarma, A., Vuppu, S., and Mishra, B. 2013. Comparative assay of antioxidant and antibacterial properties

Egypt. J. Chem. 66, No. SI: 13 (2023)

of Indian culinary seasonal fruit peel extracts obtained from Vellore, Tamilnadu. International

- [5] Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics. 2019. Annual Bulletin Of Statistical Crop Area And Plant Production. No. 71-22122-2017.Cairo, Egypt.
- [6] Christaki, E.V., Bonos, E.M. and Florou-Paneri, P.C. 2011. Dietary benefits of pomegranates in humans and animals. J. Food Agric. Environ. 9, 142–144.
- [7] Prakash, C.V.S. and Prakash, I. 2011. Bioactive chemical constituents from pomegranate (Punicagranatum) juice, seed and peel- A Review.Int J Res Chem Environ, 1, 1-18.
- [8] Hussein, S.A.M. and Shujaa, T.A. 2013a. The effect of using different ratios of pomegranate peels in performance and digestibilities in Awassi lambs. Journal of Tikrit University for Agricultural Sciences, 13, 52-62.
- [9] HamaKhan, K.M., Hamasalim, H.J., Sadq, S.M. and Ramzi, D.O.M. 2015. Changes in lipid profile and some blood biochemical parameters in Karadi lambs receiving different levels of pomegranate peels. Research Opinions in Animal and Veterinary Sciences. 5, 210-214.
- [10] Abarghuei, M.J., Rouzbehan, Y., Salem, A.Z.M. and Zamiri, M.J. 2013. Nutrient digestion, ruminal fermentation and performance of dairy cows fed pomegranate peel extract. Livest. Sci. 157, 452–461.
- [11] Addisu Sh. 2016. Effect of dietary tannin source feeds on Ruminal fermentation and production of cattle; a review. Online J. Anim. Feed Res., 6(2): 45-56.
- [12] Waghorn, G.C. and Mcnabb, W.C. 2003. Consequences of plant phenolic compounds for productivity and health of ruminants. Proc. Nutr., Soc. 62, 383–392.
- [13] Silanikove, N., Nitsan, Z. and Perevolotsky, A. 1994. Effect of a daily supplementation of polyethylene glycol on intake and digestion of tannin-containing leaves (Ceratoniasiliqua) by sheep. J. Agric. Food Chem, 42, 2844-2847.
- [14] Silanikove, N., Shinder, D., Gilboa, N., Eyal, M. and Nitsan, Z. 1996.Binding of Poly (ethylene glycol) to Samples of Forage Plants as an Assay of Tannins and Their Negative Effects on Ruminal Degradation. J Agric. Food Chem., 44(10):3230-3234.
- [15] Titus, C. H., F. D. Provenza, A. Perelovotsky, N. Silaniokove, and J. Rogosic. 2001. Supplemental polyethylene glycol influences preferences of goats browsing blackbrush. J. Range Manage., 54,161–165.
- [16] Kushwaha, S. C., Bera, M. B. and Kumar, Pradyuman 2013. Nutritional composition of detanninated and fresh pomegranate peel powder. Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology, 7(1), 38-42.
- [17] NRC 1985. Nutrient requirement of sheep.National Academy of Science, National Research council.Ashington, D.C., USA.
- [18] Van Keulen, J.V .and Young, B.A. 1977. Evaluation of acid insoluble ash as a natural marker in ruminant digestibility studies. J. Anim. Sci., 44, 282.

Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research, 19, 131-135.

- [19] AOAC 2009. Official method of analysis.18th Ed., Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC.
- [20] Farag, M.A.A.M. 1979. Milk productions from local breeds of sheep.M.Sc.Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University.
- [21] Goering, H. K. and Van Soest, P. J. 1970. Forage Fiber Analysis (Apparatus, Reagents, Procedures and Some Applications). USDA.Agricultural handbook, Washington, DC, 379 p.
- [22] Makkar, H.P.S., Blummel M., Borowy, N.K., and Becker, K. 1993. Gravimetric determination of tannins and their correlations with chemical and protein precipitation methods. J. Sci. Food Agric.61,161-165.
- [23] Brand-Williams, W., Cuvelier, M.E. and Berset, C. 1995. Use of a free radical method to evaluate antioxidant activity.Lebensm.Wiss. Technol. 28(1): 25–30.
- [24] Gaines, W. L. 1928. The energy basis of measuring energy milk in dairy cows.Univ. Illinois Agric.
- [25] SPSS 2007. Statistical Package for Social Science, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA Copyright© for Windows, version 16.0.
- [26] Duncan, D.B. 1955. Multiple range and multiple F tests. Biometrics, 1:11.
- [27] Taher-Maddah, M., Maheri-Sis, N., Salamatdoustnobar, R. and Ahmadzadeh, A. 2012. Estimating fermentation characteristics and nutritive value of ensiled and dried pomegranate seeds for ruminants using in vitro gas production technique.Open Veterinary Journal, 2, 40-45.
- [28] Sadq, S.M., Ramzi, D.O.M., Hamasalim, H.J. and Ahmed, K.A. 2015. Growth performance and digestibility in karadi lambs receiving different levels of pomegranate peels. Open Journal of Animal Sciences, 5, 16-23.
- [29] Mirzaei-Aghsaghali. A., Maheri-Sis, N., Mansouri, H., Razeghi, M. E., Mirza-Aghazadeh, A., Cheraghi, H. and Aghajanzadeh-Golshani, A. 2011.Evaluating potential nutritive value of pomegranate processing by-products for ruminants using in vitro gas production technique.ARPN J. Agric. Biol. Sci, 6, 45-51.
- [30] Ebrahimi, B. 2012. Evaluation of pomegranate pomace using gas production technique.European Journal of Experimental Biology; 2 (3), 853-854.
- [31] Delavar, M.H., Tahmasbi, A.M., Danesh-Mesgaran, M. and Valizadeh, R. 2014. In vitro rumen fermentation and gas production: influence of different by-product feedstuffs. Annual Research & Review in Biology.4(7), 1121-1128.
- [32] Hatami, A., Alipour, D., Hozhabri, F, and Tabatabaei, M. 2018. Effect of different levels of pomegranate marc with or without polyethylene glycol on performance, nutrients digestibility and protozoal population in growing lambs. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 235, 15–22.
- [33] Jami, E., Shabtay, A., Nikbachat, M., Yosef, E., Miron, J. and Mizrahi, I. 2012. Effects of adding a concentrated pomegranate-residue extract to the ration of lactating cows on in vivo digestibility

Egypt. J. Chem. 66, No. SI: 13 (2023)

and profile of rumen bacterial population. Journal of Dairy Science. 95:5996-6005.

- [34] McNabb, W.C., Waghorn, G.C., Peters, J.S, and Barry, T.N. 1996. The Effect of Condensed Tannins in Lotus pedunculatus on the Solubilisation and Degradation of Ribulose-1,5bisphosphate Carboxylase (EC 4.1.1.39; Rubisco) Protein in the Rumen and the Sites of Rubisco Digestion. British Journal of Nutrition, 76, 535-549.
- [35] Patra, A.K., and Saxena, J. 2011. Exploitation of Dietary Tannins to Improve Rumen Metabolism and Ruminant Nutrition. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 91, 24-37.
- [36] Karamnejad, K., Sari, M., Salari, S, and Cha, M. 2019. Effects of nitrogen source on the performance and feeding behavior of lambs fed a high concentrate diet containing pomegranate peel. Small Ruminant Research; 173: 9–16.
- [37] Shaani, Y., Eliyahu, D., Mizrahi, I., Yisef, E., Ben-Meir, Y., Nikbachat, M., Solomon, R., Mabjeesh, S.J., and Miron, J. 2015. Effect of feeding ensiled mixture of pomegranate pulp and drier feeds on digestibility and milk performance in dairy cows. J. Dairy Res., 83, 35–41.
- in dairy cows. J. Dairy Res., 83, 35–41.
  [38] Eliyahu, D., Shaani, Y., Yosef, E., Ben-Meir, Y., Nikbachat, M., Solomon, R., Mabjeesh, S.J., Weinberg, Z.G, and Miron, J. 2015. Effect of ensiling pomegranate pulp with solid additives on chemical composition, intake and digestibility by sheep.Small Rumin. Res. 131, 93–98.
- [39] Safari, M., Ghasemi, E., Alikhani, M, and Ansari-Mahyari, S. 2018. Supplementation effects of pomegranate by-products on oxidative status, metabolic profile, and performance in transition dairy cows. J. Dairy Sci., 101,1–13.
- [40] Kotsampasi, B., Christodoulou, C., Tsiplakou, E., Mavrommatis, A., Mitsiopoulou, C., Karaiskou, C., Dotas, V., Robinson, P.H. Bampidis, V.A. Christodoulou, V, and Zervas, G. 2017. Effects of dietary pomegranate pulp silage supplementation on milk yield and composition, milk fatty acid profile and blood plasma antioxidant status of lactating dairy cows. Animal Feed Science and Technology, 234, 228– 236.
- [41] Saeed, A., Abdulridha, Elaf., Ali, Zahraa., Mohsen, M. and Fathel, M. 2017. Effect of addition of different levels of pomegranate peel powder to concentrate diet on productive performance of Awassi lambs. QJVMS. 16 :(3) 6th Conference (1st international) 27-28 Sep.
- [42] Alphonsus, C. and Essien, I.C. 2012. The relationship estimates amongst milk yield and milk composition characteristics of Bunaji and Friesian×Bunaji cows. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 11(36): 8790-8793.
- [43] Abedo, A. A., Hafez, Y. H., Khalifa, E. I., Mohamed, Bahera, K, and El-Zolaky, O. A. 2013. Milk Yield and Composition Of Dairy Zaraibi Goats Fed Microbial Inoculated Corn Silage. Egyptian Journal of Sheep and Goat Sciences, 8 (1): 141-151.
- [44] Shabtay, A., Nikbachat, M., Zenou, A., Yosef, E., Arkin, O., Sneer, O., Shwimmer, A., Yaari, A., Budmand, E., Agmond, G. and Miron, J.

Egypt. J. Chem. 66, No. SI: 13 (2023)

2012. Effects of adding a concentrated pomegranate extract to the ration of lactating cows on performance and udder health parameters. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 175:24–32.

- [45] Gil, M. I., Tomas-Barberan, F. A., Hess-Pierce, B., Holcroft, D. M. and Kader, A. A. 2000. Antioxidant activity of pomegranate juice and its relationship with phenolic composition and processing.J. Agric. Food Chem. 48, 4581–4589.
- [46] Tzulker, R., Glazer, I., Bar-Ilan, I., Holland, D., Aviram, M. and Amir, R. 2007. Antioxidant activity, polyphenol content, and related compounds in different fruit juices and homogenates prepared from 29 different pomegranate accessions. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 55, 9559-9570.
- [47] Kulkarni, A.P., Aradhya, S.M. and Divakar, S. 2004. Isolation and identification of a radical scavenging antioxidant-punicalagin from pith and carpellary membrane of pomegranate fruit. Food Chem. 87, 551–557.
- [48] Adams, L.S., Seeram, N.P., Aggarwal, B.B., Takada, Y., S. and, D., Heber, D. 2006. Pomegranate juice, total pomegranate ellagitannins, and punicalagin suppress inflammatory cell signaling in colon cancer cells. J. Agric. Food Chem. 54, 980–985.
- [49] Serrano, A., Palacios, C., Roy, G., Cespón, C., Villar, M., Nocito, M. and González-Porqué, P. 1998. Derivatives of gallic acid induce apoptosis in tumoral cell lines and inhibit lymphocyte proliferation. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 350, 49– 54.
- [50] Boyd, J.W. 2011. The interpretation of serum biochemistry test results in domestic animal, In: Veterinary Clinical Pathology, Merck Sharp &Dohme Corp., a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc.
- [51] Khan, K.M.H, Hamasalim, H.J., Sadq, S.M and Ramzi, D.O.M. 2015. Changes in lipid profile and some blood biochemical parameters in Karadi lambs receiving different levels of pomegranate peels. Res OpinAnim Vet Sci. 5(5), 210-214.
- [52] Kaneko, J.J. 1989. Clinical Biochemistry of Domestic animals 4th ed., Academic Press, Inc. (U.S.A).
- [53] Silanikove, N, and Tiomkin, D. 1992. Toxicity induced by poultry litter consumption: effect on parameters reflecting liver function in beef cows. Anim Prod, 54: 203-209.
- [54] Mahgoub, O., Kadim, IT., Tageldin, MH., Al-Marzooqi, WS., Khalaf, SQ, and Ambu Ali, A. 2008. Clinical profifi le of sheep fed nonconventional feeds containing phenols and condensed tannins. Small Rum Res, 78: 115-122.
- [55] Ramzi, D.O.M. 2016. Effect of different ratios of pomegranate peels on hematological, biochemical parameters and reproductive hormones of karadi ram lambs. Inter J Vet Sci, 5(1): 19-23.
- [56] Hussein, S.A.M and Shujaa, T.A. 2013b. The effect of using different ratios of pomegranate peels powder on performance and some blood characteristics in Awassi Lambs. J.TikritUniv.Agric.Sci. 13, 255-265.