



## Chemical composition of *Pluchea dioscoridis* (L.) DC. essential oils from different natural habitats with their anticancer and antimicrobial potential

Walaa Soliman Madboly<sup>1</sup>, Hend Ahmed Saleh<sup>2</sup>, Sahar Ahmed El Khawas<sup>2</sup>, CrossMark  
Raifa Ahmed Hassanin<sup>2</sup>, Mona Mohamed Marzouk<sup>3\*</sup>, Sameh Reda Hussein<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Phytochemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Ahran Canadian University, Giza 12451, Egypt.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo 11566, Egypt.

<sup>3</sup> Phytochemistry and Plant Systematics Department, National Research Centre, 33 El Bohouth St., Dokki, Giza, Egypt 12622.

### Abstract

Plants of the Asteraceae family are widely used all over the world as traditional medicinal taxa for the therapy of various ailments. This study aims to investigate the chemical profiles of *Pluchea dioscoridis* (L.) DC. essential oils (EOs) from four different natural habitats; canal habitat (CH), urban habitat (UH), agricultural habitat (AH), and wadi habitat (WH), in addition to evaluating their antimicrobial and cytotoxic effects. The EOs were extracted by hydrodistillation method and were analyzed by gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy (GC-MS) technique. Fifty-six compounds have been identified, sesquiterpenes being the major components of the EOs with 69.62% (CH), 60.68% (UH), 78.27% (AH), and 94.87% (WH). The predominant sesquiterpenes were 6-epi-shyobunol (26.38%, WH), aromadendrene (16.72%, CH),  $\zeta$ -muurolene (14.51%, CH), himachalol (13.48%, UH), tau-muurolol (12.5%, WH), cubebol (9.78%, WH), cubenol (9.39%, WH), and caryophyllene oxide (8.27%, WH). Moreover, the antimicrobial effects of the investigated EOs were studied against ten pathogens, including three Gram-positive bacteria (*Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Streptococcus mutants*), three Gram-negative (*Escherichia coli*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Proteus vulgaris*), and four fungi (*Candida albicans*, *Cryptococcus neoformans*, *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *Syncephalastrum racemosum*) using standard agar disc-diffusion technique. Among the studied organisms, *C. neoformans* showed significant antimicrobial potency for the EOs from the four habitats. Furthermore, EOs of the four habitats exhibited potential cytotoxicity against the colon carcinoma cell line (HCT116) with LC<sub>50</sub> of 29.4 (CH), 23.6 (UH), 18.0 (AH), and 16.1 (WH)  $\mu$ g/ml, respectively. No effects were observed for breast adenocarcinoma (MCF-7), and hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) cell lines. EOs extracted from *P. dioscoridis*, especially for (WH) could be used as alternatives to synthetic antifungal and anticancer agents.

**Keywords:** Asteraceae, *Conyza*, *Cryptococcus neoformans*, HCT116, hydrodistillation, sesquiterpenes

### 1. Introduction

Medicinal and aromatic plants include a wide range of bioactive pharmacological chemicals that have inclusive varieties of therapeutic effects and contribute to both ecological and economic benefits [1, 2]. EOs are aromatic liquids created by a number of complicated metabolic pathways in plants with the aim of protecting them against a variety of pathogens [3, 4]. The soil conditions, genotype, species, harvest seasons, climatic factors, fertilizers, extraction techniques, and mode of production are some of the variables affecting the chemical contents of EOs. Monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes are the most

significant components of essential oils and are responsible for their unique aroma and different therapeutic actions [5, 6]. Many of the health benefits of EOs include anti-inflammatory, antibiotic, antibacterial, antiviral, and anticancer activities [7-9]. They have been widely used in various therapeutic diseases like sleep disorders, stress, cardiovascular problems, Alzheimer's disease, and pregnant labor pain [7, 8, 10]. In the past decade, various EOs have been examined for their *in vitro* and *in vivo* cytotoxic effect, attributed to numerous mechanisms, including loss of vital organ function,

\* Correspondence: monakhalil66@hotmail.com (Mona M. Marzouk); Scopus ID 35173349800

Receive Date: 08 September 2022, Revise Date: 05 November 2022, Accept Date: 17 November 2022

First Publish Date: 17 November 2022

DOI: 10.21608/EJCHEM.2022.161665.6942

©2023 National Information and Documentation Center (NIDOC)

induction of apoptosis and/or necrosis, and cell cycle arrest [11].

There are over 150 plant species in the genus *Conyza* (family Asteraceae) [12], which were identified as traditional medicinal herbs for the treatment of diarrhea, rheumatism, hemorrhoids, wounds bleeding, skin diseases as well as toothaches [13-14]. *Conyza dioscoridis* (L.) Desf is one of the *Conyza* species, its name has been previously modified due to taxonomic criteria, and now displays as *Pluchea dioscoridis* (L.) DC. [15, 16]. *P. dioscoridis* is a widely dispersed wild plant that grows naturally in the Mediterranean coast, Sinai Peninsula, Western Desert, Eastern Desert, and Nile Delta [15]. The plant was mentioned in folk medicines for the treatment of a number of diseases, including colds, ulcers, colic, carminative, paediatric epilepsy, and rheumatoid pains. [17]. Numerous studies have shown that the various extracts of this plant have powerful biological effects, including diuretic, anti-inflammatory, antipyretic, anti-ulcer, antinociceptive, anti-diarrheal, antidiabetic, antibacterial, and antifungal potentials [17, 18]. From the phytochemical view, *P. dioscoridis* produced a wide range of bioactive secondary metabolites, such as triterpenes, steroids, phenolic acids, and flavonoids [14, 17, 19]. The objective of the current research was to examine the impact of habitat change on the chemical profiles of EOs from *P. dioscoridis*, in addition to evaluating antimicrobial and cytotoxic effects.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Plant material

The stem branches of *P. dioscoridis* were collected from various habitats including a canal (CH), urban (UH), agricultural (AH), and wadi (WH) in January 2019 (Table 1). The collected samples were authenticated by Dr. Hend A. Saleh (co-author) according to Boulos [15]. Voucher specimens (No. WSM/1- WSM/4) were deposited by Prof. Dr. Mona M. Marzouk in the herbarium of the National Research Centre (CAIRC), Department of Phytochemistry and Plant systematics, Cairo, Egypt.

### 2.2. Hydrodistillation extraction of EOs

The extraction of *P. dioscoridis* EO was carried out with 200 gm, for each habitat. Separately, each sample was subjected to Clevenger-type apparatuses applying a round flask (2.5 L) containing 1.5 L of distilled water for hydrodistillation (5 h). Individually, the fatty layer of each sample was isolated and then dehydrated over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub> SO<sub>4</sub> (0.5 g). Finally, each oil sample was stored in glass vials and kept in the freezer till GC-MS analysis.

**Table 1.** Studied area of *P. dioscoridis*

| Studied area | Locality (Egypt)   | Altitude             |
|--------------|--|----------------------|
| CH           | Ismailia canal, Qalyubia government  | 30 29 °N<br>31 38 °E |
| UH           | Belbeis, Al-Sharqiyah government   | 30 33°N<br>31 43° E  |
| AH           | Al Khankah agricultural land, Al-Qalyubia government   | 30 23 °N<br>31 38 °E |
| WH           | The midstream of wadi Hagul, the Northern portion of the Eastern Desert, Cairo-Suez district | 29 58 °N<br>32 08 °E |

CH; Canal habitat, UH; Urban habitat, AH; Agricultural habitat, WH; Wadi habitat

### 2.3. GC-MS analysis

The four EOs samples were separately analyzed as stated by El Shamy et al. [20] using a GC-MS device: Trace GC Ultra Chromatographs (Thermo Scientific™ Corporate, Waltham, MA, USA) connected with EC single quadrupole mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific ISQ™) at National Research Centre, Egypt.

### 2.4 Antimicrobial evaluation

#### 2.4.1. Pathogen Strains

Ten tested microorganisms including three Gram-positive strains [*Bacillus subtilis* RCMB 015(1) NRRI B-543, *Staphylococcus aureus* RCMB 010010, and *Streptococcus mutants* (RCMB017(1) ATCC 25175], three Gram-negative strains [*Enterobacter cloacae* RCMB 001(1) ATCC23355, *Escherichia coli* RCMB 01005(2) ATCC25955, and *Proteus vulgaris* RCMB 004(1) ATCC 13315], as well as four fungal strains [*Aspergillus fumigatus* RCMB 002008, *Candida albicans* RCMB 005003(1) ATCC10231, *Cryptococcus neoformans* RCMB 0049001, and *Syncephalastrum racemosum* RCMB 016001(1)]. The tested strains were provided from Al-Azhar University, the Regional Centre for Mycology and Biotechnology, Egypt.

#### 2.4.2. Antimicrobial Assays

The antimicrobial activities of the EOs of *P. dioscoridis* were evaluated using the Agar disc-diffusion method. Agar dishes are incubated with a standard inoculum of the tested microorganisms, filter paper discs containing the EOs (50 µL, 6 mm in diameter) are placed on the surface of the agar, and then Petri dishes are incubated under appropriate conditions. Commonly, an antimicrobial agent spreads into the agar layer and inhibits the germination of the tested pathogens. Finally, the diameters of each inhibition zone are measured [21]. Ketoconazole and Gentamicin (each, 50 µL) were used as the positive controls.

## 2.5. Cytotoxic Activity

### 2.5.1. Cell Lines

Human tumor cell lines: breast adenocarcinoma (MCF-7), colon carcinoma (HCT116), and hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) were examined. The cell lines were maintained at the Bioassay-Cell Culture Laboratory, National Research Centre, Egypt.

### 2.5.2. Cell Viability Assay

In the current study, the cytotoxic effects of *P. dioscoridis* EOs were carried out using the MTT assay according to the method of Khan et al., 2018 [22].

## 2.6. Statistical analysis

Experiments for soil and antimicrobial analysis were carried out in triplicates. Data were statistically analyzed using the ANOVA one-way analysis ( $p < 0.05$ ) to compare significant differences. The computations were done by using the SPSS software version (25). Values presented are means  $\pm$  SD (standard deviation) of three replicates.

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1. Soil Analysis

The soil analysis for the different habitats were shown in **Table 2**. The values of cations and anions were substantially distinct between the four habitats samples. Mainly, the greatest values were demonstrated in the AH region and the lowest ones were at WH.

**Table 2.** Mean values of soluble ions content in soil collected from different habitats

| Analysis of soil |                              |                       |                       |                       |                       |
|------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Soluble ions     | CH                           | UH                    | AH                    | WH                    |                       |
| Cations          | Ca <sup>++</sup>             | 158.8<br>$\pm 0.1^a$  | 858.1<br>$\pm 0.1^c$  | 2452.5<br>$\pm 0.5^d$ | 775.0<br>$\pm 0.21^b$ |
|                  | Mg <sup>++</sup>             | 62.4<br>$\pm 0.5^c$   | 43.7<br>$\pm 0.4^b$   | 174.3<br>$\pm 0.3^d$  | 25.0<br>$\pm 0.005^a$ |
|                  | Na <sup>+</sup>              | 1307.8<br>$\pm 0.1^d$ | 249.5<br>$\pm 0.5^b$  | 1008.3<br>$\pm 0.3^c$ | 147.7<br>$\pm 0.7^a$  |
|                  | K <sup>+</sup>               | 311.5<br>$\pm 0.5^c$  | 78.0<br>$\pm 0.1^b$   | 460.6<br>$\pm 0.09^d$ | 12.0<br>$\pm 0.24^a$  |
| Anions           | Cl <sup>-</sup>              | 1.6<br>$\pm 0.1^c$    | 0.4<br>$\pm 0.01^a$   | 0.79<br>$\pm 0.1^b$   | 7.55<br>$\pm 1.7^c$   |
|                  | SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup> | 1.28<br>$\pm 0.01^b$  | 3.1<br>$\pm 0.1^c$    | 12.5<br>$\pm 0.1^d$   | 0.9<br>$\pm 0.1^a$    |
|                  | HC                           | 2887.1<br>$\pm 0.1^c$ | 1370.4<br>$\pm 0.1^a$ | 1501.8<br>$\pm 0.1^b$ | 2997<br>$\pm 10.1^d$  |
|                  | O <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>  |                       |                       |                       |                       |

Values are the mean of three replicates  $\pm$  standard deviation, the values in the same row with the same letter are not significant.

CH; Canal habitat, UH; Urban habitat, AH; Agricultural habitat, WH; Wadi habitat

### 3.2. Chemical compositions of EOs of *P. dioscoridis* from four different habitats

The EOs of *P. dioscoridis* were extracted by hydrodistillation and given golden yellow colour. The chemical composition of the extracted EOs was characterized through the GC-MS assessment. Fifty-six compounds were identified from the EOs of *P. dioscoridis* representing 90.2, 91.64, 95.39, and 98.91 (area %) for CH, UH, AH, and WH, respectively. Peak areas were used for the measurements of quantitative determinations. All compounds were identified based on their retention times, mass values, chemical formula, and fragmentation pattern described by Adams [23] and confirmed with the spectral matches in Wiley and NSIT libraries (**Table 3**).

The EOs components of *P. dioscoridis* were described by the presence of six classes of compounds containing monoterpenes, diterpenes, sesquiterpenes, carotenoids derived compounds, hydrocarbon, and fatty alcohols. Among them, thirteen compounds are common in the EOs of the four habitats, they are represented as *P*-cymene, neoisolongifolene,  $\alpha$ -Gurjunene, aromadendrene,  $\zeta$ -muurolene, valencene, cubedol, caryophyllene oxide, cubenol, khusinol, pentacosane, heptacosane, and nonacosane. Terpenoids were found as abundant compounds in addition to traces of acyclic compounds with the profusion of sesquiterpenes detected in perfect agreement with previous data on the EOs of *P. dioscoridis* [19]. Sesquiterpenoids were noticed as the key contents of the identified EOs with mixtures of oxygenated and non-oxygenated compounds with 69.62% (CH), 60.68% (UH), 78.27% (AH), and 94.87% (WH). For the *P. dioscoridis* specific EOs, 6-epi-shyobunol, aromadendrene,  $\zeta$ -muurolene, tau-muurolol, and trans caryophyllene were known previously for the prominent sesquiterpenes found in the *P. dioscoridis* leaves [19] while in the present results, the prevalent compounds were 6-epi-shyobunol (26.38%, WH), aromadendrene (16.72%, CH),  $\zeta$ -muurolene (14.51%, CH), himachalol (13.48%, UH), tau-muurolol (12.5%, WH), cubebol (9.78%, WH), cubenol (9.39%, WH), and caryophyllene oxide (8.27%, WH) (**Table 3**).

**Table 3.** Components of essential oils of *P. dioscoridis* from four different habitats

| No.                                  | Rt (min.) | Compound name                    | MF   | Area %              |                    |                    |                    | M.WT |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|--|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------|
|                                      |           |                                  |  | CH                  | UH                 | AH                 | WH                 |      |
| <b>Monoterpenes</b>                  |           |                                  |  |                     |                    |                    |                    |      |
| 1                                    | 34.25     | p-cymene <sup>a</sup>            | C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>14</sub>                | 1.03                | 0.89               | 1.06 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.20               | 134  |
| 2                                    | 41.7      | à-terpinene                      | C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>32</sub>                | -                   | -                  | 0.38               | -                  | 272  |
| <b>Diterpenes</b>                    |           |                                  |  |                     |                    |                    |                    |      |
| 3                                    | 37.31     | Phytol, acetate                  | C <sub>22</sub> H <sub>42</sub> O <sub>2</sub> | -                   | -                  | 4.65               | 1.78               | 338  |
| 4                                    | 38.23     | geranylgeraniol                  | C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>34</sub> O              | 1.04                | 0.95               | -                  | -                  | 290  |
| 5                                    | 38.24     | Cembrene                         | C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>32</sub>                | -                   | -                  | 0.85               | -                  | 272  |
| 6                                    | 38.65     | Phorbol                          | C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>28</sub> O <sub>6</sub> | 0.29                | -                  | -                  | -                  | 364  |
| 7                                    | 39.29     | Phytol <sup>a</sup>              | C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>40</sub> O              | 6.14                | -                  | 5.49               | -                  | 296  |
| <b>Sesquiterpenes</b>                |           |                                  |  |                     |                    |                    |                    |      |
| 8                                    | 20.57     | Clovene                          | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>                | -                   | 0.83               | 5.52               | 1.12               | 204  |
| 9                                    | 20.61     | á-Guaiene <sup>a</sup>           | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>                | 0.80                | -                  | 2.25               | 1.18               | 204  |
| 10                                   | 21.58     | Neoisolongifolene <sup>*</sup>   | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>                | 0.35                | 4.21 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.41               | 1.60               | 204  |
| 11                                   | 21.7      | á-Gurjunene <sup>a</sup>         | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>                | 0.80                | 1.64               | 7.44 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.38               | 204  |
| 12                                   | 21.76     | Berkheyaradulene <sup>a</sup>    | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>                | -                   | 3.66               | 6.91               | 3.87               | 204  |
| 13                                   | 22.56     | á Koraiene                       | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>                | -                   | 2.01               | -                  | -                  | 204  |
| 14                                   | 22.65     | Di-epi-à- Cedrene                | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>                | 4.87                | 1.78               | 4.78               | -                  | 204  |
| 15                                   | 22.67     | Trans caryophyllene <sup>a</sup> | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>                | -                   | 2.01               | 3.47               | 2.21               | 204  |
| 16                                   | 23.44     | à-Humulene <sup>a</sup>          | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>                | 0.87                | -                  | 1.67               | 1.49               | 204  |
| 17                                   | 23.59     | Aromadendrene <sup>a</sup>       | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>                | 16.72 <sup>bc</sup> | 1.01               | 0.65               | 1.62               | 204  |
| 18                                   | 23.97     | Ç-Muurolene <sup>a</sup>         | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>                | 14.51 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.52               | 0.50               | 0.17               | 204  |
| 19                                   | 24.2      | Valencene <sup>*</sup>           | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>                | 5.15 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.74               | 0.58               | 0.35               | 204  |
| 20                                   | 24.63     | ë-Cadinene <sup>a</sup>          | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>                | 8.33                | -                  | 0.61               | -                  | 204  |
| 21                                   | 25.02     | á-copaene <sup>a</sup>           | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>                | 0.41                | -                  | 4.83               | 1.14               | 204  |
| 22                                   | 25.03     | á-ylangene                       | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>                | -                   | 5.40               | 5.28               | 1.30               | 204  |
| 23                                   | 25.46     | Cubebol <sup>*</sup>             | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O              | 4.58                | 2.84               | 2.79               | 9.78 <sup>b</sup>  | 222  |
| 24                                   | 25.78     | Caryophyllene oxide <sup>a</sup> | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub> O              | 0.48                | 2.42               | 0.49               | 8.27 <sup>b</sup>  | 220  |
| 25                                   | 25.83     | Elemol <sup>a</sup>              | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O              | 1.46                | 2.21               | -                  | 0.20               | 222  |
| 26                                   | 25.97     | Ç-Elemene <sup>a</sup>           | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>                | 1.87                | -                  | -                  | 3.01               | 204  |
| 27                                   | 26.2      | Ledene <sup>a</sup>              | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>                | 0.35                | -                  | 2.31               | -                  | 204  |
| 28                                   | 26.5      | Epizonarene                      | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub>                | 0.41                | -                  | -                  | -                  | 204  |
| 29                                   | 26.66     | Aromadendrene oxide <sup>a</sup> | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub> O              | -                   | 0.64               | 0.65               | 0.22               | 220  |
| 30                                   | 27.38     | Cubebol <sup>a</sup>             | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O              | 1.26                | 2.10               | 2.84               | 9.39 <sup>b</sup>  | 222  |
| 31                                   | 27.67     | Khusinol <sup>*</sup>            | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub> O              | 1.19                | 1.30               | 1.29               | 1.96 <sup>b</sup>  | 220  |
| 32                                   | 27.93     | Ç-Eudesmol <sup>a</sup>          | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O              | 0.91                | 0.52               | 0.82               | -                  | 222  |
| 33                                   | 28.08     | Vellerdiol                       | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub> O <sub>2</sub> | -                   | -                  | -                  | 1.57               | 236  |
| 34                                   | 28.42     | tau-Muurolol <sup>a</sup>        | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O              | -                   | 8.49               | -                  | 12.5               | 222  |
| 35                                   | 28.56     | á-Acorenol                       | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O              | -                   | -                  | 7.32               | -                  | 222  |
| 36                                   | 29.3      | Himachalol                       | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O              | -                   | 13.48 <sup>c</sup> | -                  | -                  | 222  |
| 37                                   | 29.49     | 6-epi-shyobunol <sup>a</sup>     | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>26</sub> O              | -                   | -                  | 10.03 <sup>c</sup> | 26.38 <sup>c</sup> | 222  |
| 38                                   | 30.6      | 9,10-dehydroiso-longifolene      | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>22</sub>                | 0.40                | 1.53               | -                  | -                  | 202  |
| 39                                   | 31.79     | Longifolenaldehyde               | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub> O              | 0.96                | 0.56               | -                  | 0.40               | 220  |
| 40                                   | 31.82     | Isospathulenol <sup>a</sup>      | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>24</sub> O              | -                   | 0.78               | -                  | 0.59               | 220  |
| 41                                   | 34.08     | Furoscrobiculin B                | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O <sub>2</sub> | 0.38                | -                  | -                  | 0.17               | 232  |
| 42                                   | 35.98     | Heliannuol                       | C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O <sub>4</sub> | 1.38                | -                  | -                  | -                  | 264  |
| <b>Carotenoids derived compound</b>  |           |                                  |  |                     |                    |                    |                    |      |
| 43                                   | 27.15     | á-Ionone <sup>a</sup>            | C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>20</sub> O              | -                   | 0.69               | -                  | -                  | 192  |
| <b>Hydrocarbon</b>                   |           |                                  |  |                     |                    |                    |                    |      |
| 44                                   | 35        | Eicosane                         | C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>42</sub>                | -                   | 1.16               | -                  | -                  | 282  |
| 45                                   | 36.85     | Heneicosane                      | C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>44</sub>                | 1.9                 | 1.18               | -                  | -                  | 296  |
| 46                                   | 38.58     | Docosane <sup>a</sup>            | C <sub>22</sub> H <sub>46</sub>                | 0.31                | 1.83               | 0.33               | -                  | 310  |
| 47                                   | 40.26     | Tetracosane                      | C <sub>24</sub> H <sub>50</sub>                | -                   | 1.74               | 0.39               | -                  | 338  |
| 48                                   | 42.26     | Tricosane                        | C <sub>23</sub> H <sub>48</sub>                | 0.35                | -                  | -                  | -                  | 324  |
| 49                                   | 43.44     | Pentacosane <sup>a</sup>         | C <sub>25</sub> H <sub>52</sub>                | 1.96                | 2.40 <sup>b</sup>  | 1.32               | 0.35               | 352  |
| 50                                   | 44.94     | Hexacosane                       | C <sub>26</sub> H <sub>54</sub>                | 0.27                | 1.14               | -                  | -                  | 366  |
| 51                                   | 46.38     | Heptacosane <sup>a</sup>         | C <sub>27</sub> H <sub>56</sub>                | 1.76                | 3.38 <sup>b</sup>  | 1.07               | 0.71               | 380  |
| 52                                   | 47.75     | Octadecane <sup>a</sup>          | C <sub>28</sub> H <sub>58</sub>                | 1.26                | 2.14               | -                  | -                  | 394  |
| 53                                   | 49.11     | Nonacosane <sup>a</sup>          | C <sub>29</sub> H <sub>60</sub>                | 1.70                | 2.49 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.65               | 0.81               | 408  |
| <b>Fatty alcohol</b>                 |           |                                  |  |                     |                    |                    |                    |      |
| 54                                   | 37.33     | 1-Nonadecanol                    | C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>40</sub> O              | 2.28                | 8.62               | 0.50               | -                  | 284  |
| 55                                   | 40.13     | 1-Docosanol                      | C <sub>22</sub> H <sub>46</sub> O              | -                   | 1.73               | 0.43               | -                  | 326  |
| 56                                   | 40.15     | 1-Eicosanol                      | C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>42</sub> O              | 0.29                | 0.62               | -                  | 0.28               | 298  |
| <b>Total of identified compounds</b> |           |                                  |  | 90.2                | 91.64              | 95.39              | 98.91              |      |
| <b>Monoterpenes</b>                  |           |                                  |  | 1.03                | 0.89               | 1.44               | 0.20               |      |
| <b>Diterpenes</b>                    |           |                                  |  | 7.47                | 0.95               | 10.99              | 1.78               |      |
| <b>Sesquiterpenes</b>                |           |                                  |  | 69.62               | 60.68              | 78.27              | 94.87              |      |
| <b>Carotenoids derived compound</b>  |           |                                  |  | -                   | 0.69               | -                  | -                  |      |
| <b>Hydrocarbon</b>                   |           |                                  |  | 9.51                | 17.46              | 3.76               | 1.87               |      |
| <b>Fatty alcohol</b>                 |           |                                  |  | 2.57                | 10.97              | 0.93               | 0.28               |      |

Rt; retention time, MF; molecular formula, CH; Canal habitat, UH; Urban habitat, AH; Agricultural habitat, WH; Wadi habitat, \*; Compounds were significantly affected by different habitats, <sup>a</sup> Compounds reported before from *P. dioscoridis*, <sup>b</sup> the major compounds compare with samples, <sup>c</sup>; the major compounds of each distinct sample

The variability in EOs between the different habitats may be explained according to various environmental factors such as growth location, soil, light, and precipitation, which may change the quantitative and qualitative amount of the volatile constituents in the EOs in our study. The soil study indicates a significant difference in the soil's cations and anions, and variations in climatic conditions may also be the reason for EOs varied [24]. Among all identified sesquiterpenes, aromadendrene (16.72 %) is the major compound in (CH), himachalol (13.48 %) in (UH), while 6-epi-shyobunol (10.03%) in (AH) and (26.38 %) in (WH).

Because of the reactivity of oxygen, oxygenated terpenoids normally play a larger role in biological activity than non-oxygenated ones [25]. The richness of oxygenated terpenoid structures such as 6-epi-shyobunol could operate as individual or synergistic inhibitors of plant germination and growth.

The EOs profile of *P. dioscoridis* revealed that monoterpenes were trace components which is consistent with the results of Elshamy, et al. [19]. Only two compounds (*p*-cymene and  $\alpha$ -terpinene) were detected, while five monoterpenes were reported in other published data [19].

Five diterpenes were distinguished, phytol which is the abundant component in (CH) and (AH) is the only diterpene structure found previously in the EO of *P. dioscoridis* leaves [26]. Moreover,  $\alpha$ -ionone was the only described carotenoid-derived structure from *P. dioscoridis* EO in (UH) that was previously reported from the investigated species [27]. The rest compounds including hydrocarbons were traced in the investigated EOs and agreed with the previous data [19-28] except for (UH) which represented 17.46 % of total oil.

The differences in the secondary metabolites comprising EOs may be assigned to the plant organs, age, and development, as well as some environmental factors such as temperature, seasonality, altitude, atmospheric composition, and water availability [29, 30, 31].

The composition of soil in different ecosystems may have an impact on EO yield and properties. The soil that produces high EO has several characteristics that increased production, such as a high percentage of particles (less stony soil), and a high presence of salts (lower significant electrical conductivity) (**Table 2**). The EO composition could potentially be influenced by edaphic factors. Different degrees of K<sup>+</sup> application, on the other hand, influenced both the EO production and components [32].

Furthermore, the production of EO is influenced by environmental factors such as light, ambient temperature, and soil nutrient accessibility [33]. Other research has found that altitude has an impact on the chemical makeup of essential oils from a variety of plants [34]. As a result, geographic location in terms of latitude and longitude could influence EOs biosynthesis. **Table 1** shows that there are only minor changes in EOs yield between populations according to their latitudinal location. With a lower latitude, the populations (AH) and (WH) produce much more EO.

### 3.3. Antimicrobial Activity of EOs of *P. dioscoridis*

The antimicrobial potentials of the EOs were screened against ten selected fungi and bacteria strains. After being incubated in conditions that allowed for the growth of the tested pathogens, the activity was measured in terms of the diameter of the inhibition zone (mm) that formed around the discs. The positive antimicrobial effects were revealed using a fungal strain (*C. neoformans*), three gram-positive bacterial strains (*B. subtilis*, *S. aureus*, *S. mutants*), and one gram-negative bacteria (*E. cloacae*) **Table 4**. No effects were observed for the rest microbes.

Also, other bioactive terpenes, aldehydes, alcohols, and esters may impact the antimicrobial potential of EOs. The C10 and C15 terpenes with aromatic rings and phenolic hydroxyl groups that can create hydrogen bonds with the active sites of the target enzymes have mainly explained the antibacterial properties [35]. Additionally, these bonds reduced membrane potentials, proton pump stoppage, and ATP exhaustion, all may be playing a role in the observed antibacterial activity [36]. The recognized antibacterial activity of EOs is demonstrated by cell coagulation, cytoplasm leakage, potassium ion efflux, and cell apoptosis or necrosis, which causes the death of cells. It has been found that EOs abundant with *p*-cymene can effortlessly penetrate the fungal cell membrane that is present in the four *P. dioscoridis* habitats [37].

Moreover, the fungicidal effect which operates as a natural agent is assumed to be due to direct cell membrane destruction rather than metabolic dysfunction, resulting in fungal death [38]. Herein, the significant antifungal effects were observed against *C. neoformans*, where the EO of WH showed the highest potency (35 ±0.49 mm), followed by CH (26.7 ±2.22 mm), UH (24.17 ±0.59 mm), then AH (22.07 ±0.33 mm), compared with the reference compound; ketoconazole (25.03 ±0.71 mm).

**Table 4.** Antimicrobial activity of *P. dioscoridis* EOs from different habitats

| Tested pathogens         | Inhibition zone diameter (mm) |             |             |             |             |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                          | CH                            | UH          | AH          | WH          | Control     |
| <b>Fungus</b>            |                               |             |             |             |             |
| <i>C. neoformans</i>     | 26.7 ±2.22*                   | 24.17 ±0.59 | 22.07 ±0.33 | 35 ±0.49 *  | 25.03 ±0.71 |
| <b>Gram +ve bacteria</b> |                               |             |             |             |             |
| <i>S. aureus</i>         | -                             | -           | 12.9 ±0.56  | 14.07 ±0.16 | 24.03 ±0.43 |
| <i>B. subtilis</i>       | 8.27 ±0.48                    | 8.93 ±0.43  | 8.13 ±0.15  | -           | 26.14 ±0.86 |
| <i>S. mutants</i>        | -                             | 11.9 ±0.56  | 9.13 ±0.43  | -           | 21.9 ±0.49  |
| <b>Gram -ve bacteria</b> |                               |             |             |             |             |
| <i>E. cloacae</i>        | 8.93 ±0.43                    | 8.07 ±0.71  | 8.03 ±0.33  | -           | 30.8 ±0.53  |

Values are the mean of three replicates ± standard deviation, \*; Statistically significant difference from the control ( $P \leq 0.05$ ). CH; Canal habitat, UH; Urban habitat, AH; Agricultural habitat, WH; Wadi habitat

Caryophyllene oxide is an antimicrobial agent that has been demonstrated to be effective against several pathogens [39]. Other studies have demonstrated that caryophyllene and caryophyllene oxide are absorbed by the cell membrane of fungi and act as antifungal agents by releasing lipophilic drugs [40]. This is confirmed by the presence of caryophyllene oxide as the major compound in WH (3.27 %). Furthermore, the influence of EOs of (AH) and (WH) gives moderate effectiveness on *S. aureus* (13 and 14 mm), compared with the reference: gentamycin (24 mm). However, weak efficiency was observed for (AH) against *B. subtilis*, *S. mutants*, and *E. cloacae*, while there was no effect for (WH). The EO of (CH) and (UH) exhibited weak effectiveness on *B. subtilis*, and *E. cloacae* but that of (UH) displayed a moderate potency against *S. mutants*.

The existing data showed that increased concentration of EOs, especially sesquiterpenes increase the antimicrobial potential. This result comes to an agreement that these activities depend on the concentration of antimicrobial components [39, 41]. Thus, EOs have the potential to be used as natural antifungal products that are eco-friendly and should be recognized when developing crop protection methods. Furthermore, because of their beneficial effects as antibacterial agents, bio-stimulants, antifeedant activities, and fertilizers, plants containing EOs have long been employed as green manure [42, 43]. Moreover, various studies have shown that the volatile chemicals in EO have favorable effects on plants growing under stressed settings [44, 45]. As a result, the importance of EO's volatile components for crop stress control is highlighted.

### 3.4. Cytotoxic Activity of *P. dioscoridis* EOs

Amongst all examined cell lines, the EOs of *P. dioscoridis* exhibited significant cytotoxicity against HCT116 for all habitats in ascending order: WH>AH>UH >CH, with LC<sub>50</sub> (µg/ml) 16.0> 18.1>23.6 >29.4 and LC<sub>90</sub> (µg/ml) 29.1> 30.2>44.8 >55.6, respectively.

Cell viability assays have been used to clarify the processes underlying the inhibitory action of EOs on cancer cell proliferation. According to the literature, EO structures directly inhibit proteins and enzymes, impede the transcription of genes [46, 47], decrease the

potential of the mitochondrial membrane, and cause apoptosis [48]. As a result, it has been suggested that EOs directly block proteins and enzymes, suppressing gene transcription [46,47], reducing the potential of the mitochondrial membrane as well as causing apoptosis [48].

Sesquiterpenes, in both oxygenated and non-oxygenated forms, were extremely potent antitumor leads [50]. Additionally, several states reported that the anticancer action of EOs was increased by the presence of more sesquiterpene content. which agrees with our results in AH and WH where the highest sesquiterpene contents were 78.27% and 94.87% [51,52].

## 4. Conclusions

The analysis of the EOs by GC-MS revealed the identification of 56 compounds. Sesquiterpenes were described as abundant constituents of EOs of *P. dioscoridis* obtained from the four different habitats. Additionally, the differences in EO composition among the investigated habitats revealed a weak antibacterial effect with varied values against *S. aureus*, *B. subtilis*, *S. mutants*, and *E. cloacae* and indicated considerable antifungal activity against *C. neoformans* in all habitats. Furthermore, *P. dioscoridis* EOs showed anticancer activity against a colon carcinoma cell line (HCT116). In order to obtain health benefits, the investigated EOs of these plants, particularly for (WH), may be employed as natural, eco-friendly antifungal, and anticancer drugs.

## 5. References

- [1] Tripathi, P. Singh, A. Rakshit, A. Meena, V.S. Parihar, M. Singh, H.B. Singh, A.K.B.T.-B. "An Ace in the Hole" in Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Cultivation Wood head Publishing: Sawston, UK, Vol. 1, pp. 253–263, ISBN 978-0-12-821667-5, 2021. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-821667-5.00010-5.
- [2] Aftab, T., 2019. A review of medicinal and aromatic plants and their secondary metabolites status under abiotic stress. *Journal of Medicinal Plants*, 7(3):99-106.
- [3] Chouhan, S., Sharma, K. and Guleria, S., 2017. Antimicrobial activity of some essential oils-

- present status and future perspectives. *Medicines*, 4(3):58. doi: 10.3390/medicines4030058
- [4] De Groot, A.C., Schmidt E., 2016. Essential oils, part I: Introduction. *Dermatitis*, 27:39–42. doi:10.1097/DER.000000000000175
- [5] De Groot, A.C., Schmidt E., 2016. Essential oils, part III: Chemical composition. *Dermatitis*, 27:161–169. doi: 10.1097/DER.000000000000193
- [6] Ramsey, J.T., Shropshire, B.C., Nagy, T.R., Chambers, K.D., Li, Y. and Korach, K.S., 2020. Focus: Plant-based medicine and pharmacology: Essential oils and health. *The Yale journal of biology and medicine*, 93(2):291.
- [7] Ali, B., Al-Wabel, N.A., Shams, S., Ahamad, A., Khan, S.A. and Anwar, F., 2015. Essential oils used in aromatherapy: A systemic review. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*, 5(8): pp.601–611. doi:10.1016/l.apjtb.2015.05.007.
- [8] Jimbo, D., Kimura, Y., Taniguchi, M., Inoue, M. and Urakami, K., 2009. Effect of aromatherapy on patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Psychogeriatrics*, 9(4):173–179. doi: 10.1111/j.1479-8301.2009.00299.x.
- [9] Smith, C.A., Collins, C.T., Crowther, C.A., 2011. Aromatherapy for pain management in labour. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD009215. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD009215.
- [10] Marchand, L., 2014. Integrative and complementary therapies for patients with advanced cancer. *Annals of Palliative Medicine*, 3(3):160–171. doi:10.3978/j.issn.22-24-5820.2014.07.01.
- [11] Blowman, K., Magalhães, M., Lemos, M.F.L., Cabral, C. and Pires, I.M., 2018. Anticancer properties of essential oils and other natural products. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 2018: 3149362. doi: 10.1155/2018/3149362.
- [12] Wang, A., Wu, H., Zhu, X. and Lin, J., 2018. Species identification of *Conyza bonariensis* assisted by chloroplast genome sequencing. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 9: 374. doi:10.3389/fgene.2018.00374.
- [13] Peng, L., Hu, C., Zhang, C., Lu, Y., Man, S. and Ma, L., 2020. Anti-cancer activity of *Conyza blinii* saponin against cervical carcinoma through MAPK/TGF- $\beta$ /Nrf2 signaling pathways. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 251:112503. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2019.112503.
- [14] Ayaz, F., Küçükboyacı, N. and Demirci, B., 2017. Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of the essential oil of *Conyza canadensis* (L.) Cronquist from Turkey. *Journal of Essential oil*, 29(4):336–343. doi:10.1080/10412905.2017.1279989
- [15] Boulos, L. Flora of Egypt, Al Hadara Publishing: Cairo, Egypt, Vol. 3, 2002.
- [16] The plant list. Version 1.1. Available online: <http://www.theplantlist.org/> accessed on 1 January 2013.
- [17] El Zalabani, S., Hetta, M., Ross, S., Abo Youssef, A., Zakiand, M. Ismail, A., 2012. Antihyperglycemic and antioxidant activities and chemical composition of *Conyza dioscoridis* (L.) Desf. DC. growing in Egypt. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* 6 (10): 257–265, 2012.
- [18] Awaad, A.S., El-Meligy, R., Qenawy, S., Atta, A. Soliman, GA., 2011. Anti-inflammatory, antinociceptive and antipyretic effects of some desert plants. *Journal of Saudi Chemical Society* 15, 367–373. doi:10.1016/j.jscs.2011.02.004.
- [19] Elshamy, A.I., El Gendy, A., Farrag, A., Nassar, M.I., 2015. Antidiabetic and antioxidant activities of phenolic extracts of *Conyza dioscoridis* L. shoots. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 7: 65–72.
- [20] El shamy, A.I., Ammar, N.M., Hassan, H.A., Al-Rowaily, S.L., Ragab, T.I., El Gendy, A.E.-N.G., Abd-ElGawad, A.M., 2020. Essential oil and its nanoemulsion of *Araucaria heterophylla* resin, Chemical characterization, anti-inflammatory, and antipyretic activities. *Industrial Crops and Production*, 148: 112272. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2020.112272.
- [21] Balouiri, M., Sadiki, M., Ibsouda, S.K., 2016. Methods for *in vitro* evaluating antimicrobial activity, A review. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis*, 6(2): 71–79. doi:10.1016/j.jpha.2015.11.005.
- [22] Khan, F., Aldhahri, M., Hussain, M.A., 2018. Encapsulation of 5-fluorouracil into PLGA nano fibers and enhanced anticancer effect in combination with Ajwa-dates-extract (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.). *Journal of Biomedical Nanotechnology*, 14 (3): 553–563. doi:10.116-6/jbn.2018.2515
- [23] Adams, R.P., 2009. Identification of Essential Oil Components by Gas Chromatography/Quadruple Mass Spectroscopy, 4th ed., Allured Publishing Corporation, USA.
- [24] Barra, A., 2009. Factors Affecting Chemical Variability of Essential Oils: a Review of Recent Developments. *Natural Product Communications*, 4 (8):1147–1154.
- [25] Abd-El gawad, A.M., El shamy, A.I., El Gendy, A.E.-N.G., Gaara, A., Assaeed, A.M., 2019 Volatiles Profiling, Allelopathic Activity, and Antioxidant Potentiality of *Xanthium Strumarium* Leaves Essential Oil from Egypt: Evidence from Chemometrics Analysis. *Molecules*. 24: 584. doi:10.3390/molecules24030584.
- [26] El-Seedi, H.R., Azeem, M., Khalil, N.S., Sakr, H.H., Khalifa, S.A., Awang, K., Saeed, A., Farag,

- M.A., AlAjmi, M.F., Pålsson, K. and Borg-Karolson, A.K., 2017. Essential oils of aromatic Egyptian plants repel nymphs of the tick *Ixodes ricinus* (Acari: Ixodidae). *Experimental and Applied Acarology*, 73: 139–157. doi:10.1007/s10493-017-0165-3.
- [27] El Gamal, A. M., Ahmed, R. F., Abd-El Gawad, A. M., El Gendy, A. E. N. G., Elshamy, A. I., Nassar, M. I., 2021. Chemical profiles, anticancer, and anti-aging activities of essential oils of *Pluchea dioscoridis* (L.) DC. and *Erigeron bonariensis* L. *Plants*, 10 (4): 667. doi:10.3390/plants10040667.
- [28] Lis, A., Góra, J., 2000. Essential oil of *Conyza canadensis* (L.) Cronq. *Journal of Essential Oil Research* 12: 781–783. doi:10.1080/10412905-2000.9712214.
- [29] Elshamy, A.I., Abd-ElGawad, A.M., El-Amier, Y.A., El Gendy, A.E.N.G. and Al-Rowaily, S.L., 2019. Interspecific variation, antioxidant and allelopathic activity of the essential oil from three *Launaea* species growing naturally in heterogeneous habitats in Egypt. *Flavour and fragrance journal*, 34(5): 316–328. doi:10.1002/ffj.3512.
- [30] Abd-El Gawad, A.M., Elshamy, A.I., El-Amier, Y.A., El Gendy, A.E.-N.G., Al-Barati, S.A., Dar, B.A., Al-Rowaily, S.L., Assaeed, A.M., 2020. Chemical composition variations, allelopathic, and antioxidant activities of *Symphotrichum squamatum* (Spreng.) Nesom essential oils growing in heterogeneous habitats. *Arabian Journal of Chemistry*, 13: 4237–4245. doi:10.3390/plants10071294.
- [31] Abd-El Gawad, A.M., Elshamy, A.I., Al-Rowaily, S.L., El-Amier, Y.A., 2019. Habitat affects the chemical profile, allelopathy, and antioxidant properties of essential oils and phenolic enriched extracts of the invasive plant *Heliotropium curassavicum*. *Plants*, 8: 482. doi:10.3390/plants8110482.
- [32] Chrysargyris, A., Drouza, C., Tzortzakis, N., 2017. Optimization of potassium fertilization/nutrition for growth, physiological development, essential oil composition and antioxidant activity of *Lavandula angustifolia* Mill. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 17: 291–306. doi:10.4067/S0718-95162017005000023
- [33] Singh, S.K., Katoch, R., Kapila, R.K., 2015. Genetic and biochemical diversity among *Valeriana jatamansi* populations from Himachal Pradesh. *Science World Journal*, 863913. doi:10.1155/2015/863913.
- [34] Hosseini, S.S., Nadjafi, F., Asareh, M.H. and Rezadoost, H., 2018. Morphological and yield related traits, essential oil and oil production of different landraces of black cumin (*Nigella sativa*) in Iran. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 233:1–8. doi:10.1016/j.scienta.2018.01.038.
- [35] Belletti, N., Ndagihimana, M., Sisto, C., Guerzoni, ME., Lanciotti, R., Gardini, F., 2004. Evaluation of the Antimicrobial Activity of Citrus Essences on *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry*, 52: 6932–6938. doi:10.1021/jf049444v.
- [36] Turina, A.D.V., Nolan, M.V., Zygadlo, J.A. and Perillo, M.A., 2006. Natural terpenes: self-assembly and membrane partitioning. *Biophysical Chemistry*, 122(2): 101–113. doi:10.1016/j.bpc.2006.02007.
- [37] Pina-Vaz, C., Gonçalves Rodrigues, A., Sansonetty, F., Martinez-De-Oliveira, J., Fonseca, A.F. and Mårdh, P.A., 2000. Antifungal activity of local anesthetics against *Candida* species. *Infectious diseases in obstetrics and gynecology*, 8(3-4): 124–137. doi:10.1155/s10-64744900000168.
- [38] Pina-Vaz, C., Sansonetty, F., Rodrigues, A.G., Martinez-De-Oliveira, J., Fonseca, A.F., Mårdh, P.A., 2000. Antifungal Activity of Ibuprofen Alone and in Combination with Fluconazole against *Candida* Species. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 49: 831–840. doi:10.1099/0022-1317-49-9-831.
- [39] Schmidt, E., Bail, S., Friedl, S.M., Jirovetz, L., Buchbauer, G., Wanner, J., Denkova, Z., Slavchev, A., Stoyanova, A., Geissler, M. 2010. Antimicrobial activities of single aroma compounds. *Natural Product Communications*, 5: 1365–1368.
- [40] Sarpietro, M.G., Di Sotto, A., Accolla, M.L., Castelli, F., 2015. Interaction of  $\alpha$ -caryophyllene and  $\alpha$ -caryophylleneoxide with phospholipid bilayers: Differential scanning calorimetry study. *Thermochimica Acta*, 600: 28–34. doi:10.1016/j.tca.2014.11.029.
- [41] Mohamed, T.A., Saleh, I., Ali, S., Hussien, T., Hegazi, N.M., Abdel-Halim, S., Abd El-Razek, M.H., El-Beih, A., Marzouk, M.M., Pare, P.W. and Efferth, T., 2022. A Comparative Evaluation of the Antimicrobial Activities of the Essential Oils of Three *Salvia* Species Growing in Egypt, Obtained by Hydrodistillation and Microwave-Assisted Hydro-distillation. *Journal of Essential Oil Bearing Plants*, 25(5):1109-1121. doi: 10.1080/0972060X.2022.2135388.
- [42] Raut, J.S., Karuppayil, S.M., 2014. A status review on the medicinal properties of essential oils. *Industrial Crops and Production*, 62: 250–264. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2014.05.055.
- [43] Raveau, R., Fontaine, J., Lounès-Hadj Sahraoui, A., 2020. Essential oils as potential alternative biocontrol products against plant pathogens and weeds: A review. *Foods*, 9: 365. doi:10.3390/foods9030365.



- [44] Hara, M., Yamauchi, N., Sumita, Y., 2018. Monoterpenes induce the heat shock response in *Arabidopsis*. *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung C*, 73(5-6):177-184. doi:10.1515/znc-2017-0116.
- [45] Yamauchi, Y., Kunishima, M., Mizutani, M., Sugimoto, Y., 2015. Reactive short-chain leaf volatiles act as powerful inducers of abiotic stress-related gene expression. *Scientific Reports*, 5, 8030. doi:10.1038/srep08030.
- [46] Weng, C.J., Chou, C.P., Ho, C.T., Yen, G.C., 2012. Molecular mechanism inhibiting human hepatocarcinoma cell invasion by 6-shogaol and 6-gingerol. *Molecular Nutrition and Food Research*, 56(8):1304–1314. doi:10.1002/mnfr.201200173,
- [47] Singh, M., Singh, N., 2009. Molecular mechanism of curcumin-induced cytotoxicity in human cervical carcinoma cells. *Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry*, 325(1-2):107–119. doi:10.1007/s11010-009-0025-5.
- [48] Zhang, Y., Xin, C., Qiu, J., 2019. Essential oil from *Pinus koraiensis* pinecones inhibits gastric cancer cells via the HIPPO/ Yap signaling pathway. *Molecules*, 24(21):3851. doi:10.3390/molecules24213851.
- [49] Bomfim, D.S., Ferraz, R.P., Carvalho, N.C., Soares, M.B., Pinheiro, M.L., Costa, E.V. and Bezerra, D.P., 2013. Eudesmol isomers induce caspase-mediated apoptosis in human hepatocellular carcinoma HepG 2 Cells. *Basic and Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology*, 113(5): 300–306.
- [50] Mohamed, T.A., Albadry, H.A., Elshamy, A.I., Younes, S.H., Shahat, A.A., El-Wassimy, M.T., Moustafa, M.F., Hegazy, M.E.F., 2020. A new Tetrahydrofuran sesquiterpene skeleton from *Artemisia sieberi*. *Journal of the Chinese Chemical Society*, 86: 1–5. doi:10.1002/jccs.202000198.
- [51] Blowman, K., Magalhães, M., Lemos, M.F.L., Cabral, C. and Pires, I.M., 2018. Anticancer properties of essential oils and other natural products. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 2018: 3149362. doi:10.1155/2018/3149362
- [52] Danin, A., 2012. *Plants of Desert Dunes*; Springer Science & Business Media: Berlin, Germany.