



## Chlorine Inactivation of PhiX174 Bacteriophage in Treated Sewage and Drinking Water Samples

Mohammed K. Rashed<sup>(1)</sup>, Waled M. El-Senousy<sup>(1)</sup>, ElSayed T.A. Sayed<sup>(2)</sup>, Maha AlKhazindar<sup>(2)#</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup>Environmental Virology Lab, Water Pollution Research Department, Environmental and Climate Change Research Institute and Food-borne Viruses Group, Center of Excellence for Advanced Sciences, National Research Centre (NRC), PO 12622, Giza, Egypt. <sup>(2)</sup>Botany and Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.



CHLORINE is the most frequently used disinfectant in water and wastewater treatment plants all over the world wide. This study investigated the effect of chlorine on phiX174 bacteriophages in treated sewage and drinking water samples which were inoculated separately with phiX174 either with or without autoclaving process. Then the samples were treated with different doses of chlorine solution for 15 and 30min. Our results showed that the phiX174 bacteriophage was more efficiently reduced in the autoclaved samples than in the non-autoclaved samples. In the non-autoclaved treated sewage samples, treatment with 7mg/L of chlorine for 30 min was sufficient to remove  $0.3 \pm 0.07 \log_{10}$ , while treatment with 12 mg/l of chlorine for 15min efficiently remove  $0.27 \pm 0.05 \log_{10}$  of the initial phiX174 doses. Furthermore, we observed higher viral reduction in the autoclaved treated sewage samples after treatment with 11mg/L of chlorine for 15min which removed  $1 \pm 0.1 \log_{10}$  of the phiX174 initial dose. However, when non-autoclaved and autoclaved drinking water samples were treated with 3 mg/l chlorine for 15 min, it efficiently removed  $1.2 \pm 0.07 \log_{10}$  and  $1.4 \pm 0.17 \log_{10}$  of phiX174, respectively. This reduction increased to  $1.4 \pm 0.08 \log_{10}$  and  $2.3 \pm 0.21 \log_{10}$ , respectively, from the initial phiX174 dose when the contact time was increased to 30min. However, phiX174 bacteriophage virus showed high resistance to different doses of chlorine in drinking water and treated sewage water samples.

**Keywords:** Drinking water, Enteric viruses, PhiX174 bacteriophage, Treated sewage, Water treatment, Wastewater treatment.

### Introduction

Waterborne disease outbreaks are caused by many bacterial or non-bacterial agents especially enteric viruses (Wilhelmi et al., 2003; Nimgaonkar et al., 2018). Studies have shown that one hundred and forty serotypes of enteric viruses affect humans, mainly causing gastrointestinal illnesses (Health Canada, 2017). These viruses can also cause acute illnesses such as conjunctivitis, poliomyelitis, meningitis, and respiratory infections (Kocwa-Haluch, 2001; Health Canada, 2017; Nimgaonkar et al., 2018). Rotaviruses mainly cause severe viral

gastroenteritis in children (Bányai et al., 2018). Adenoviruses are responsible for various clinical illnesses, such as gastrointestinal, respiratory infections, genitourinary, neurologic, and ophthalmologic diseases (La Rosa et al., 2018). Norovirus commonly causes gastroenteritis in all age groups (Sandkovsky et al., 2014; Riera-Montes et al., 2018). Astrovirus is an important causative agent of viral gastroenteritis (Gofti-Laroche et al., 2003), which causes diarrheal disease in young children and adults (Matsui et al., 2001). Coxsackievirus, echovirus, and AiV cause gastroenteritis in humans through contaminated food or water (Kitajima & Gerba,

#Corresponding author email: malkhazi@aucegypt.edu

Received 02/07/2022; Accepted 29/11/2022

DOI: 10.21608/ejbo.2022.148636.2030

Edited by: Dr. Mahmoud S.M. Mohamed, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.

©2023 National Information and Documentation Center (NIDOC)

2015; Haramoto et al., 2018). Fecal bacterial indicators such as total coliform, enterococci, and fecal coliform (*E. coli*) bacteria is used to evaluate water quality and protect public health by lowering the incidence of waterborne diseases (Griffin et al., 2001; Kay et al., 2004; Tallon et al., 2005). Enteric viruses are more tolerant to disinfectants such as chlorine in water and wastewater treatment plants than bacterial indicators. Moreover, due to environmental degradation, the absence of fecal coliforms is not indicative of the absence of enteric viruses (Ley et al., 2002; Wu et al., 2011; Fout et al., 2017). According to the World Health Organization (WHO) standards, the bacterial indicators and enteric viruses in water are not correlated (Cho et al., 2000; Hot et al., 2003). Therefore, a viral index has been introduced to indicate the presence/absence of viruses in water samples. Studies have suggested some enteric viruses as possible viral index organisms for viral pathogens, such as adenoviruses (Gerba et al., 2002; Katayama et al., 2008; El-Senousy et al., 2013; Verani et al., 2019), enteroviruses (Grabow, 2007), polyomaviruses (Bofill-Mas et al., 2008), a plant virus Pepper Mild Mottle Virus (Hamza et al., 2011), and bacteriophages (Jofre et al., 2016; Jebri et al., 2017). Therefore, it is crucial to study the effect of chlorine, frequently used to water disinfection in water and wastewater treatment on viral index candidates such as bacteriophages (Shah & McCamish, 1972; Duran et al., 2003; Tree et al., 2003, 2005; Strasser, 2017).

Bacteriophages are taxonomically classified into three groups: F-specific coliphages, somatic coliphages, and bacteriophages capable of infecting *Bacteroides spp.* (Jofre et al., 2016; Jebri et al., 2017). They are advantageous over bacterial indicators as they cannot replicate in a natural environment without their host present, are more persistent, more abundant in the environment, and the detection is easy and cost effective (Jofre, 2009; Toribio-Avedillo et al., 2021). Bacteriophages are used as indicators of fecal pollution and the presence of other viral pathogens. They can be used as a surrogate of enteric virus due to similarities in their biological and morphological properties (McMinn et al., 2017; Toribio-Avedillo et al., 2021). F-RNA phages and somatic bacteriophages are used as fecal viral pollution indicators in water treatment processes (Jofre et al., 2016; Jebri et al., 2017). Recently, water quality monitoring

rules included bacteriophages as viral indicators of enteric viruses (European, Commission, 2018; Health Canada, 2019). The *Microviridae* family includes bacteriophage phiX174 which consider one of the somatic coliphages (Reyes et al., 2012; Jebri et al., 2017). This phage is a non-tailed virus with an icosahedral capsid and circular single-stranded DNA (Bosch, 1998; Fane et al., 2006). It infects the *E. coli* C strain by attaching to the lipopolysaccharide via its capsid protein followed by the dissolution of the spike protein (Sun et al., 2017). Subsequently, the circular single-stranded DNA genome is transferred inside the cell and replicates using enzymatic machinery of the cell (Sun et al., 2014).

Disinfection of drinking water using free chlorine is performed using two steps: a primary disinfectant step starting with the coagulation, flocculation, sedimentation, and filtration, followed by a secondary step in the final outlet and through the distribution system (AWWA, 2000; Cromeans et al., 2010). The removal of viruses during disinfection depends on several variables, including temperature, turbidity, ionic strength, chlorine concentration, contact time, pH, and viral aggregation (Sharp et al., 1980). Chlorine significantly affects enteric viruses, such as norovirus, echovirus, adenovirus, poliovirus, enterovirus, coxsackievirus, rotavirus, hepatitis A virus, and hepatitis E virus (Engelbrecht et al., 1980; Gerba et al., 2003; Black et al., 2009; Kahler et al., 2010; Kitajima et al., 2010; El-Senousy et al., 2014). However, chlorine causes irritation of the eyes, mucous membranes, and skin, creating considerable discomfort for swimmers (Abad et al., 1994). High doses of chlorine can also produce tri-halomethanes, halo-acetic acid, and N-chloramines which are highly carcinogenic to humans (Pereira et al., 1982; Gerba et al., 2003).

In this study, we used the bacteriophage phiX174 acts as a viral model due to its structural similarity with several human enteric viruses (Grabow, 2001), and it has been used as a surrogate in several previous studies (Morinigo et al., 1992; Schijven & Hassanizadeh, 2000; Jin & Flury, 2002; Rashed et al., 2022).

Therefore, we investigated the effect of chlorine on the phiX174 bacteriophages in treated sewage and drinking water samples.

## Materials and Methods

### *Sewage and drinking water samples*

The treated sewage water samples were collected from the Zenin wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) in the El-Giza Governorate, Egypt. The flow rate in Zenin WWTP was 330,000m<sup>3</sup>/day, and the final chlorine concentration ranged between (0.5–0.8) mg/L. The drinking water samples were collected from the El-Giza drinking water treatment plant in El-Giza Governorate, Egypt, with a final chlorine concentration ranging between (1.9–2.5) mg/L. PhiX174 was separately inoculated into 400mL of treated sewage and drinking water samples. From each sample, 200mL was autoclaved before phiX174 inoculation to remove the organic matter, and animal debris that might influence the effect of chlorine on the virus, and 200 mL was directly inoculated without autoclaving. Eight chlorine doses (5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12) mg/L were selected for treating the sewage water samples, while two doses (2 and 3) mg/L were used for treating the drinking water samples. The reduction in virus removal was measured twice at 15 and 30min for all samples.

### *Preparation of solutions*

#### *Preparation of sodium thiosulfate solutions*

The following sodium thiosulfate solutions were prepared: 10% solution of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (0.1mg/L of this solution removes up to 15mg/L of residual chlorine from the treated sewage samples) (Willson, 1935). 3% solution of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (0.1mg/L can remove up to 5 mg/L of residual chlorine from drinking water samples) (Willson, 1935). 0.025N (normality) of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> solution (6.2g of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> dissolved in 1L of distilled water) was used for iodometric titration. To prevent contamination, 5 mL of chloroform or 0.4g of NaOH were added to all solutions (APHA, 2017a).

#### *Chlorine solution*

One gram of hypochlorite calcium chloride was dissolved in 1 L of distilled water with stirring to dissolve the powder completely. The solution was incubated overnight and filtrated using filter paper, and the filtrate was used to prepare different doses of chlorine after determining the strength of the chlorine solution (APHA, 2017a).

#### *1% Starch solution and 5% potassium iodide solution*

One gram of starch was dissolved in 100mL of distilled water under constant heating and stirring

until it completely dissolved. The solution was incubated overnight and then filtrated using filters paper. Approximately 1.25g of salicylic acid / L or a few drops of toluene were added to the clear solution to prevent contamination. The potassium iodide (KI) solution was prepared by dissolving 1g of KI in 100mL of distilled water (APHA, 2017a).

#### *Determination of the strength of chlorine solution*

Chlorine solution (10mL) was added to a conical flask containing 2mL of glacial acetic acid and 5mL of 5% KI. Then the solution was titrated against 0.025N of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> solution. When the color changed from brown to pale yellow, 1%starch solution droplets were added until the color turned blue. The solution was continuously titrated against 0.025N of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> solution until the blue color disappeared. The strength of chlorine was determined using the following equations (APHA, 2017a).

$$1- NV_{\text{of CL}} = NV_{\text{of Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3}$$

where, N is normality, V is volume

$$2- \text{Strength of Chlorine} = N_{\text{CL}} * \text{equivalent weight of chlorine (35.5) g/L}$$

#### *Determination of chlorine*

The chlorine doses were determined using the following equation:

$$\text{Each 1 ml of chlorine solution} = \text{the strength of chlorine (mg/L)}$$

Therefore, based on the required dose, the equivalent volume in mL was taken from the stock solution to 1L of the sample (the sample volume used was 200mL).

#### *Determination of the residual chlorine in the sample*

The residual chlorine in the samples was determined after incubation for 15 and 30min. Two mL of glacial acetic acid and 5mL of 5% KI, were added to a conical flask containing 200mL of the sample. Then, this solution was titrated against 0.025N of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> solution.

When the color changed from brown to pale yellow, droplets of 1% starch solution were added till the solution turned blue. It was titrated against 0.025N of Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> solution until the blue color

disappeared (APHA, 2017a).

$$\text{The residual chlorine mg/l} = \frac{\text{NV of Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3 \times 35.5 \times 1000}{\text{volume of sample}}$$

#### Quantification of infectious bacteriophage phiX174 virus

The phiX174 virus was quantified by examining the water and wastewater according to standard methods 23<sup>rd</sup> edition (APHA, 2017b). All inoculated samples were treated with 3% or 10% Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> solution (depending on the type of water sample) to remove the residual chlorine before quantifying the infectious bacteriophage. The phiX174 strain (ATCC 13706B1) and *Escherichia coli* strain C (ATCC 13706) were kindly provided by Dr. Maha Al-Khazindar, associate professor of virology, faculty of science, Cairo University.

#### Statistics

All the experiments were repeated thrice. Statistical analysis was done by One-way ANOVA to all samples except the comparison between the doses of chlorine of treated sewage samples was done by One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test (IBM® SPSS® Statistics Version 22).

#### Results

The statistical analysis represented that there

was a highly significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) between the non-autoclaved and autoclaved treated sewage samples after the samples were inoculated separately with phiX174 and treated with eight chlorine doses for contact times 15 and 30min. The non-autoclaved and autoclaved treated sewage samples treated for 15min with 7mg/L of chlorine after inoculated with phiX174 showed efficient removal of  $0.19 \pm 0.02$ , and  $0.88 \pm 0.09 \log_{10}$  respectively. However, at the contact of 30min and treated with 7mg/L of chlorine, the non-autoclaved and autoclaved treated sewage samples have efficient removal of  $0.3 \pm 0.07$ , and  $0.89 \pm 0.16 \log_{10}$  respectively (Fig. 1). When the time was increased from 15 to 30 min for all doses of chlorine, a significant increasing ( $P < 0.05$ ) of bacteriophage phiX174 removal in non-autoclaved treated sewage samples was observed. Chlorine dose of 5mg/L for treatment of the non-autoclaved treated sewage samples was sufficient to remove  $0.14 \pm 0.02 \log_{10}$  at contact 15 min and increased to remove  $0.24 \pm 0.02 \log_{10}$  from the initial phiX174 dose at contact 30min (Fig. 1). Conversely, there was not significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) between autoclaved treated sewage samples after the same treatment for contact times 15 and 30min. When the inoculated autoclaved treated sewage samples were treated with 11mg/L of chlorine for 15 and 30min,  $1 \pm 0.1 \log_{10}$ , and  $1.05 \pm 0.14 \log_{10}$  respectively, were removed from the initial phiX174 dose (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1.  $\log_{10}$  reduction of phiX174 bacteriophage virus after treatment with eight different chlorine doses (5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12) mg/L in non-autoclaved treated sewage and autoclaved treated sewage samples at contact time A: 15min, and B: 30min

The results presented in Fig. 2 showed that the residual chlorine increased from  $2.66 \pm 0.18$  mg/L to  $8.72 \pm 0.43$  mg/L which significant difference was detected between doses ( $P < 0.05$ ) when the chlorine concentration was increased from 5 mg/L to 12 mg/L in the phiX174 inoculated autoclaved treated sewage water samples treated for 15 min. Furthermore, a significant difference was detected also between the doses ( $P < 0.05$ ) when the contact time was increased to 30 min, and the residual chlorine ranged between  $2.21 \pm 0.12$  mg/L to  $7.69 \pm 0.26$  mg/L in the same samples. In contrast, the residual chlorine in the phiX174 inoculated non-autoclaved treated sewage water treated with chlorine for 15 min ranged from  $2.01 \pm 0.15$  mg/L to  $8.02 \pm 0.36$  mg/L, a significant difference was detected ( $P < 0.05$ ) between doses. When the contact time was increased to 30 min, the residual chlorine ranged from  $2 \pm 0.09$  mg/L to  $7.38 \pm 0.42$  mg/L also a significant difference was detected ( $P < 0.05$ ) between doses.

Figure 3 showed that no significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) between the removal efficiency of phiX174 from inoculated non-autoclaved and autoclaved drinking water samples treated with the chlorine doses for contact 15 min. The chlorine dose 2 mg/L for 15 min was sufficient to remove  $1 \pm 0.13 \log_{10}$  and  $1.2 \pm 0.15 \log_{10}$  from the initial

phiX174 dose of inoculated non-autoclaved and autoclaved drinking water samples respectively.

Furthermore, no significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) between the removal efficiency of phiX174 from inoculated non-autoclaved and autoclaved drinking water samples treated with the chlorine doses for contact 30 min. At contact time 30 min, chlorine dose of 3 mg/L was sufficient to remove  $1.4 \pm 0.08 \log_{10}$  and  $2.3 \pm 0.21 \log_{10}$  from the initial phiX174 dose of the inoculated non-autoclaved and autoclaved drinking water samples respectively. Also, the statistical analysis indicates that no significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) between the viral removal from non-autoclaved drinking water samples when the time increased from 15 to 30 min. When the contact time was 15 min with a chlorine dose of 3 mg/L for treated non-autoclaved drinking water samples, the reduction reached  $1.2 \pm 0.07 \log_{10}$ , and increased to  $1.4 \pm 0.08 \log_{10}$ , when the time increased to 30 min. On the other hand, there was no significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) of the viral removal of phiX174 from inoculated autoclaved drinking water samples when the time increased from 15 to 30 min. The reduction of phiX174 removal from inoculated autoclaved drinking water sample increased from  $1.4 \pm 0.17 \log_{10}$  to  $2.3 \pm 0.21 \log_{10}$  when the time increased from 15 to 30 min with 3 mg/L chlorine dose (Fig. 3).






Fig. 2. The residual chlorine (mg/L) after removal of phiX174 bacteriophage after treatment with eight chlorine doses (5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12) mg/L in non-autoclaved treated sewage and autoclaved treated sewage samples, for A: 15min, B: 30min



**Fig. 3.**  $\log_{10}$  reduction of phiX174 bacteriophage treatment with two different chlorine doses (2, and 3) mg/L for 15 and 30min in non-autoclaved and autoclaved drinking water samples

Figure 4 indicated that the residual chlorine in the autoclaved drinking water samples inoculated with phiX174 was  $1.77 \pm 0.14$  mg/L and  $2.3 \pm 0.16$  mg/L when treated with 2 mg/L and 3 mg/L chlorine for 15min, respectively, and a significant difference was detected ( $P < 0.05$ ). However, the residual chlorine was  $1.5 \pm 0.2$  mg/L and  $2.17 \pm 0.27$  mg/L when samples were treated with 2 mg/L and 3 mg/L of chlorine, respectively, for 30min contact time, and no significant difference was detected ( $P > 0.05$ ). Contrastingly, the residual chlorine in the inoculated non-autoclaved drinking water samples was  $1.1 \pm 0.12$  mg/L and  $1.5 \pm 0.1$  mg/L when treated with 2 and 3 mg/L of chlorine, respectively, for 15min, a significant difference was detected ( $P < 0.05$ ). While the residual chlorine was  $0.88 \pm 0.07$  mg/L and  $1.28 \pm 0.13$  mg/L in the samples treated for 30 mins with 2 and 3 mg/L chlorine, respectively, a significant difference was detected ( $P < 0.05$ ).



**Fig. 4.** The residual chlorine mg/L after removal of phiX174 bacteriophage from non-autoclaved and autoclaved drinking water samples after treatment with two chlorine doses (2-3) mg/L for 15 and 30min

## Discussion

Monitoring the quality of drinking water and wastewater treatment processes in developed and developing countries is crucial for preventing waterborne diseases. Approximately 6.3% of deaths occur due to insufficient sanitation, unsafe drinking water, and poor hygiene. Moreover, approximately 4% of diseases occurring worldwide can be prevented by improving sanitation, water quality, and hygiene (WHO, 2015; Szálkai, 2019). Disinfection is critical to adequately remove or inactivate of pathogens in the drinking water or wastewater treatment processes (Ngwenya et al., 2013). Chlorine-based disinfectants such as free chlorine (sodium or calcium hypochlorite), chlorine dioxide, and chloramines (monochloramine, dichloramine), are widely used to inactivate waterborne pathogens and provide safe drinking water (Thurston-Enriquez et al., 2005; Kitajima et al., 2010; Ngwenya et al., 2013; Li et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2020). It is necessary to detect residual disinfectants in the drinking water distribution system and the sewage treatment plant effluents to get partially prevent the growth and contamination of microbes. (WHO) recommends that the residual chlorine of drinking water must be higher than 0.5 mg/L for 30min at  $\text{pH} < 8$ , while the residual chlorine at the distribution system endpoint should be 0.2 mg/L (WHO, 2011). The recommended chlorine dose used in traditional wastewater treatment plants is less than 25 gm/L, which results in residual chlorine ranging between 0.5 to 1 gm/L in the plant's effluent (Kingsley et al., 2017).

The reduction of phiX174 bacteriophages in the autoclaved treated sewage was higher than in non-autoclaved treated sewage at the same dose and contact time which a significant difference detected ( $P < 0.05$ ). This might be due to the breakdown of the suspended solids during the autoclaving process, which led to direct exposure of the virus to chlorine, or due to the breakdown of the organic particles and coagulation of proteins that protect the viruses from chlorine. Furthermore, increasing the time of treatment showed a significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) in non-autoclaved and autoclaved treated sewage samples due to increasing the period of exposure of the virus to chlorine (Salonius et al., 1967; Lotrario et al., 1995; El-Senousy et al., 2014). However, the reduction of phiX174 bacteriophages in the autoclaved and non-autoclaved drinking water was

higher than that in the treated sewage (autoclaved and non-autoclaved) samples besides, there was no significant difference ( $P>0.05$ ) between the non-autoclaved and autoclaved drinking water samples with increasing time from 15min to 30min due to absence of suspended solids and organic matter. The high protein content of bacteria and parasites in the treated sewage might protect the viruses against chlorine exposure as these microorganisms are much larger than the viruses (El-Senousy et al., 2014).

Chlorine is an oxidant that kills pathogens by reacting with the cell components via transport or diffusion across the cell causing cell death (Cho et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2011). Chlorine mainly damages or alters the nucleotide sequences coding for proteins like the murine norovirus affects the nucleotide sequences encoding for capsid proteins (Rachmadi et al., 2018). It can occasionally inactivate nonstructural proteins (Guay et al., 2005). Moreover, higher chlorine concentrations can damage the cell's genome (Nieuwenhuijsen et al., 2000). Two important factors affect the chlorine disinfection: the concentration of residual chlorine  $C$ , expressed in mg/L and the contact period  $T$  expressed in minutes. These combined values, also called CT values, help to evaluate the disinfection process and facilitate practical applications. The CT value ( $\text{mg} \times \text{min/L}$ ) indicates the effectiveness of the disinfection process (Collivignarelli et al., 2017). The drinking water guidelines WHO suggest that a CT value is ranging from 2 to 30  $\text{mg} \times \text{min/L}$  can achieve a 2- $\log_{10}$  reduction in the viral load (WHO, 2011). Our results showed that the reduction of phiX174 load increased with an increase in the chlorine dose.

Our results showed that significant difference in phiX174 bacteriophage removal ( $P<0.05$ ) from inoculated treated sewage samples by increasing the dose or time which the suitable dose of chlorine in treatment plants depends on the dose which achieves higher viral reduction at a low cost and ensuring safety by preventing the formation of the carcinogenic trihalomethane compounds, especially in drinking water (Richardson et al., 2007).

Kanna (2016) observed a higher reduction rate with an initial  $\log_{10}$  reduction of MS2, 28B, phi6, and phiX174 by 0.34, 2.14, 4.89, and 4.97  $\log_{10}$ , respectively. Moreover, Strasser (2017) reported that the phi6, and phiX174 were surrogates of the

enteric viruses have a 5  $\log_{10}$  (99.999%) reduction in hospital sewage treated with initial free chlorine at 2,800 and 3,500mg/L, respectively, for 10min. El-Senousy et al. (2014) showed 4  $\log_{10}$  reduction from the initial dose of human enterovirus, norovirus GGI, GGII, and 3  $\log_{10}$  reductions from the initial dose of human and animal rotavirus in drinking water (autoclaved and non-autoclaved) treated with of 3mg/L chlorine for 15min. However, we observed a reduction of 1.4  $\log_{10}$  and 1.2  $\log_{10}$  of the phiX174 bacteriophage at the same dose and the contact time in autoclaved and non-autoclaved samples, respectively, suggesting that the phiX174 is might be more resistant than human enterovirus, norovirus GGI, GGII, and human and animal rotavirus. Tree et al. (2005) reported that MS2 bacteriophage, showing 1  $\log_{10}$  reduction, was more resistant than poliovirus which reduced by 2.85  $\log_{10}$  after being treated with 30mg/L of chlorine for 30min. Duran et al. (2003) reported that MS2 is more resistant than phiX174 to chlorinated secondary effluent when treated with 20 mg/L of chlorine for 20 – 30min showing a reduction of (1.3  $\log_{10}$ , 2.1  $\log_{10}$ ) and (2.8  $\log_{10}$  – 3.3  $\log_{10}$ ) respectively. The lower reduction rate observed in our study, even in autoclaved samples might be due to the difference in nature of water samples and the difference in the viral isolates.

Bacteriophages have been suggested as potential enteric virus surrogates (Dias et al., 2018). Bacteriophages infect a specific bacterial host. Somatic and F+ coliphages infect *E. coli* and could be used as adequate surrogates for the presence or absence of enteric viruses (Nappier et al., 2019). Previous studies suggested that the PhiX174 bacteriophage adequately represents enteric viruses in several water treatment processes, (Abbaszadegan et al., 2007; Mayer et al., 2008; Kreißel et al., 2014; Rashed et al., 2022). The phiX174 bacteriophage is an adequate surrogate for the poliovirus and adenovirus (Shirasaki et al., 2016). We observed higher resistance of phiX174 to chlorine than other enteric viruses. Therefore, our findings suggest that bacteriophage phiX174 is a suitable viral index candidate for evaluating treated sewage and drinking water samples.

## Conclusions

Based on our findings, we conclude that bacteriophage phiX174 requires high doses of chlorine (9mg/L) in the absence of suspended solids and organic matter to remove 1  $\log_{10}$  in treated

sewage samples even after 30min. The viral load can be reduced to 0.5 log<sub>10</sub> using 12mg/L chlorine in the presence of suspended solids and organic matters. However, 2 and 3mg/L of chlorine were sufficient to remove 1.2 log<sub>10</sub> and 1.4 log<sub>10</sub> from the initial phiX174 dose, respectively, after 30 min in the non-autoclaved drinking water samples. After treating samples with 2 and 3mg/L of chlorine for 30min without suspended solids and organic matter, the initial phiX174 doses could be reduced to 2 log<sub>10</sub> and 2.3 log<sub>10</sub>, respectively.

*Conflict of interests:* The authors declare no conflict of interest.

*Authors' contributions:* All authors have contributed equally in conceptualization, methodology design, laboratory work, interpretation of the results, and manuscript preparation.

*Ethical approval:* Not applicable

## References

- Abad, F.X., Pinto, R.M., Diez, J.M., Bosch, A. (1994) Disinfection of human enteric viruses in water by copper and silver in combination with low levels of chlorine. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **60**, 2377–2383.
- Abbaszadegan, M., Mayer, B.K., Ryu, H., Nwachuku, N. (2007) Efficacy of removal of CCL viruses under enhanced coagulation conditions. *Environmental Science and Technology*, **41**, 971–977.
- APHA. (2017a) American Public Health Association. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 23rd EDITION. Washington, DC, **4000**, 56–69.
- APHA. (2017b) American Public Health Association. Standard methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 23rd EDITION. Washington, DC, **9224**, 102–109.
- AWWA (Water Quality Division Disinfection Systems Committee) (2000) Committee Report: Disinfection at large and medium-size systems. *Journal-American Water Works Association*, **92**, 32–43.
- Bányai, K., Estes, M.K., Martella, V., Parashar, U.D. (2018) Viral gastroenteritis. *The Lancet*, **392**, 175–186.
- Black, S., Thurston, J.A., Gerba, C.P. (2009) Determination of Ct values for chlorine of resistant enteroviruses. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part A*, **44**, 336–339.
- Bofill-Mas, S., Formiga-Cruz, M., Clemente-Casares, P., Calafell, F., Girones, R. (2008) Potential transmission of human polyomaviruses through the gastrointestinal tract after exposure to virions or viral DNA. *Journal of Virology*, **82**, 8244.
- Bosch, A. (1998) Human enteric viruses in the water environment: a minireview. *International Microbiology*, **1**, 191–196.
- Cho, H.B., Lee, S.-H., Cho, J.-C., Kim, S.-J. (2000) Detection of adenoviruses and enteroviruses in tap water and river water by reverse transcription multiplex PCR. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, **46**, 417–424.
- Cho, M., Kim, J., Kim, J. Y., Yoon, J., Kim, J.-H. (2010) Mechanisms of Escherichia coli inactivation by several disinfectants. *Water Research*, **44**, 3410–3418.
- Collivignarelli, M.C., Abbà, A., Benigna, I., Sorlini, S., Torretta, V. (2017) Overview of the main disinfection processes for wastewater and drinking water treatment plants. *Sustainability*, **10**, 86.
- Cromeans, T.L., Kahler, A.M., Hill, V.R. (2010) Inactivation of adenoviruses, enteroviruses, and murine norovirus in water by free chlorine and monochloramine. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **76**, 1028–1033.
- Dias, E., Ebdon, J., Taylor, H. (2018) The application of bacteriophages as novel indicators of viral pathogens in wastewater treatment systems. *Water Research*, **129**, 172–179.
- Duran, A.E., Muniesa, M., Mocé-Llivina, L., Campos, C., Jofre, J., Lucena, F. (2003) Usefulness of different groups of bacteriophages as model microorganisms for evaluating chlorination. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **95**, 29–37.
- El-Senousy, W.M., Barakat, A.B., Ghanem, H.E., Kamel, M.A. (2013) Molecular epidemiology of human adenoviruses and rotaviruses as candidate viral indicators in the Egyptian sewage and water samples. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, **27**, 1235–1247.



- El-Senousy, W.M., El-Gamal, M.S., Mousa, A.A.E., El-Hawary, S.E., Kamel, M.M., Fathi, M.N., El-Mahdy, E.M. (2014) Effect of chlorine on noroviruses, rotaviruses and Hepatitis E virus in drinking water. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, **32**, 2206–2212.
- Engelbrecht, R.S., Weber, M.J., Salter, B.L., Schmidt, C.A. (1980) Comparative inactivation of viruses by chlorine. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **40**, 249–256.
- European, Commission (2018) Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Quality of Water Intended for Human Consumption. COM/2017/0753 final – 2017/0332 (COD). Brussels
- Fane, B.A., Brentlinger, K.L., Burch, A.D., Chen, M., Hafenstein, S., Moore, E., et al. (2006) wX174 et al., the Microviridae. In: "*The Bacteriophages*", pp. 129–145. Oxford University Press New York, NY.
- Fout, G.S., Borchardt, M.A., Kieke, B.A., Karim, M.R. (2017) Human virus and microbial indicator occurrence in public-supply groundwater systems: meta-analysis of 12 international studies. *Hydrogeology Journal*, **25**, 903–919.
- Gerba, C.P., Pepper, I.L., Whitehead Iii, L.F. (2002) A risk assessment of emerging pathogens of concern in the land application of biosolids. *Water Science and Technology*, **46**, 225–230.
- Gerba, C.P., Nwachuku, N., Riley, K.R. (2003) Disinfection resistance of waterborne pathogens on the United States Environmental Protection Agency's contaminant candidate list (CCL). *Journal of Water Supply: Research and Technology—AQUA*, **52**, 81–94.
- Gofti-Laroche, L., Gratacap-Cavallier, B., Demanse, D., Genoulaz, O., Seigneurin, J.-M., Zmirou, D. (2003) Are waterborne astrovirus implicated in acute digestive morbidity (E. MI. RA study)? *Journal of Clinical Virology*, **27**, 74–82.
- Grabow, W.O.K. (2001) Bacteriophages: update on application as models for viruses in water. *Water Sa*, **27**, 251–268.
- Grabow, W.O.K. (2007) Overview of health-related water virology. *Perspectives in Medical Virology*, **17**, 1–25.
- Griffin, D.W., Lipp, E.K., McLaughlin, M.R., Rose, J.B. (2001) Marine recreation and public health microbiology: quest for the ideal indicator: This article addresses the historic, recent, and future directions in microbiological water quality indicator research. *Bioscience*, **51**, 817–825.
- Guay, C., Rodriguez, M., Serodes, J. (2005) Using ozonation and chloramination to reduce the formation of trihalomethanes and haloacetic acids in drinking water. *Desalination*, **176**, 229–240.
- Hamza, I.A., Jurzik, L., Überla, K., Wilhelm, M. (2011) Evaluation of pepper mild mottle virus, human picobirnavirus and Torque teno virus as indicators of fecal contamination in river water. *Water Research*, **45**, 1358–1368.
- Haramoto, E., Kitajima, M., Hata, A., Torrey, J.R., Masago, Y., Sano, D., Katayama, H. (2018) A review on recent progress in the detection methods and prevalence of human enteric viruses in water. *Water Research*, **135**, 168–186.
- Health Canada (2017) Enteric Viruses in Drinking Water Document for Public Consultation Prepared by the Federal-Provincial-Territorial Committee on Drinking Water Consultation period ends. <https://www.canada.ca/content/dam/hc-sc/documents/programs/consultation-enteric-virus-drinking-water/document/enteric-viruses-drinking-water.pdf>
- Health, Canada (2019) "*Guidelines for Canadian Drinking Water Quality: Guideline Technical Document- Enteric Viruses*". Ottawa, ON:Health Canada.
- Hot, D., Legeay, O., Jacques, J., Gantzer, C., Caudrelier, Y., Guyard, K., Lange, M., Andreoletti, L. (2003) Detection of somatic phages, infectious enteroviruses and enterovirus genomes as indicators of human enteric viral pollution in surface water. *Water Research*, **37**, 4703–4710.
- Jebri, S., Muniesa, M., Jofre, J. (2017) General and host-associated bacteriophage indicators of faecal pollution. *Global Water Pathogens Project*, [Http://www. Water pathogens. Org](http://www.waterpathogens.org) (Farnleitner, A. & Blanch, A.(Eds) Part 2 Indicators and Microbial Source Tracking Markers), [Http://www. Water pathogens. Org/Book/Coliphage](http://www.waterpathogens.org/Book/Coliphage) Michigan State University, E. Lansing, MI, UNESCO.
- Jin, Y., Flury, M. (2002) Fate and transport of viruses in

- porous media. *Advances in Agronomy*, **77**, 39–102.
- Jofre, J. (2009) Is the replication of somatic coliphages in water environments significant? *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **106**, 1059–1069.
- Jofre, J., Lucena, F., Blanch, A.R., Muniesa, M. (2016) Coliphages as model organisms in the characterization and management of water resources. *Water*, **8**, 199.
- Kahler, A.M., Cromeans, T.L., Roberts, J.M., Hill, V.R. (2010) Effects of source water quality on chlorine inactivation of adenovirus, coxsackievirus, echovirus, and murine norovirus. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **76**, 5159–5164.
- Kanna, C.R. (2016) Inactivation of viruses in water by chlorination using bacteriophages as model organisms. *Master Thesis*, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden. Retrieved from <http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:slu:epsilon-s-5091>
- Katayama, H., Haramoto, E., Oguma, K., Yamashita, H., Tajima, A., Nakajima, H., Ohgaki, S. (2008) One-year monthly quantitative survey of noroviruses, enteroviruses, and adenoviruses in wastewater collected from six plants in Japan. *Water Research*, **42**, 1441–1448.
- Kay, D., Bartram, J., Prüss, A., Ashbolt, N., Wyer, M. D., Fleisher, J.M., Fewtrell, L., Rogers, A., Rees, G. (2004) Derivation of numerical values for the World Health Organization guidelines for recreational waters. *Water Research*, **38**, 1296–1304.
- Kingsley, D.H., Fay, J.P., Calci, K., Pouillot, R., Woods, J., Chen, H., Niemira, B.A., Van Doren, J.M. (2017) Evaluation of chlorine treatment levels for inactivation of human norovirus and MS2 bacteriophage during sewage treatment. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **83**, e01270-17.
- Kitajima, M., Gerba, C.P. (2015) Aichi virus 1: environmental occurrence and behavior. *Pathogens*, **4**, 256–268.
- Kitajima, M., Tohya, Y., Matsubara, K., Haramoto, E., Utagawa, E., Katayama, H. (2010) Chlorine inactivation of human norovirus, murine norovirus and poliovirus in drinking water. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, **51**, 119–121.
- Kocwa-Haluch, R. (2001) Waterborne enteroviruses as a hazard for human health. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, **10**, 485–488.
- Kreißel, K., Bösl, M., Hügler, M., Lipp, P., Franzreb, M., Hamsch, B. (2014) Inactivation of F-specific bacteriophages during flocculation with polyaluminum chloride a mechanistic study. *Water Research*, **51**, 144–151.
- La Rosa, G., Proroga, Y. T. R., De Medici, D., Capuano, F., Iaconelli, M., Della Libera, S., Suffredini, E. (2018) First detection of hepatitis E virus in shellfish and in seawater from production areas in Southern Italy. *Food and Environmental Virology*, **10**, 127–131.
- Lee, W.H., Wahman, D.G., Bishop, P.L., Pressman, J.G. (2011) Free chlorine and monochloramine application to nitrifying biofilm: comparison of biofilm penetration, activity, and viability. *Environmental Science and Technology*, **45**, 1412–1419.
- Ley, V., Higgins, J., Fayer, R. (2002) Bovine enteroviruses as indicators of fecal contamination. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **68**, 3455–3461.
- Li, H.Y., Osman, H., Kang, C.W., Ba, T. (2017) Numerical and experimental investigation of UV disinfection for water treatment. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, **111**, 280–291.
- Lotrario, J.B., Stuart, B.J., Lam, T., Arands, R.R., O'Connor, O.A., Kosson, D.S. (1995) Effects of sterilization methods on the physical characteristics of soil: implications for sorption isotherm analyses. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, **54**, 668–675.
- Matsui, S.M., Kiang, D., Gintzon, N., Chew, T. (2001) Selected highlights. *Gastroenteritis Viruses*, **238**, 219.
- Mayer, B.K., Ryu, H., Abbaszadegan, M. (2008) Treatability of US Environmental Protection Agency contaminant candidate list viruses: removal of coxsackievirus and echovirus using enhanced coagulation. *Environmental Science and Technology*, **42**, 6890–6896.
- McMinn, B.R., Ashbolt, N.J., Korajkic, A. (2017) Bacteriophages as indicators of faecal pollution

- and enteric virus removal. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, **65**, 11–26.
- Moriñigo, M.A., Wheeler, D., Berry, C., Jones, C., Muñoz, M.A., Cornax, R., Borrego, J.J. (1992) Evaluation of different bacteriophage groups as faecal indicators in contaminated natural waters in Southern England. *Water Research*, **26**, 267–271.
- Nappier, S.P., Hong, T., Ichida, A., Goldstone, A., Eftim, S.E. (2019) Occurrence of coliphage in raw wastewater and in ambient water: a meta-analysis. *Water Research*, **153**, 263–273.
- Ngwenya, N., Ncube, E.J., Parsons, J. (2013) Recent advances in drinking water disinfection: successes and challenges. In: "Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology", Whitacre, D. (Ed.), Vol. 222, pp. 111-170. Springer, New York.
- Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J., Toledano, M.B., Eaton, N.E., Fawell, J., Elliott, P. (2000) Chlorination disinfection byproducts in water and their association with adverse reproductive outcomes: a review. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, **57**, 73–85.
- Nimgaonkar, I., Ding, Q., Schwartz, R.E., Ploss, A. (2018) Hepatitis E virus: advances and challenges. *Nature Reviews Gastroenterology and Hepatology*, **15**, 96–110.
- Pereira, M.A., Lin, L.H.C., Lippitt, J.M., Herren, S.L. (1982) Trihalomethanes as initiators and promoters of carcinogenesis. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, **46**, 151–156.
- Rachmadi, A.T., Kitajima, M., Watanabe, K., Yaegashi, S., Serrana, J., Nakamura, A., Nakagomi, T., Nakagomi, O., Katayama, K., Okabe, S. (2018) Free-chlorine disinfection as a selection pressure on norovirus. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **84**, e00244-18.
- Rashed, M.K., El-Senousy, W.M., Sayed, E.T.A.E., AlKhazindar, M. (2022) Infectious pepper mild mottle virus and human adenoviruses as viral indices in sewage and water samples. *Food and Environmental Virology*, **14**, 246-257.
- Reyes, A., Semenkovich, N.P., Whiteson, K., Rohwer, F., Gordon, J.I. (2012) Going viral: next-generation sequencing applied to phage populations in the human gut. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, **10**, 607–617.
- Richardson, S.D., Plewa, M.J., Wagner, E.D., Schoeny, R., DeMarini, D.M. (2007) Occurrence, genotoxicity, and carcinogenicity of regulated and emerging disinfection by-products in drinking water: a review and roadmap for research. *Mutation Research/Reviews in Mutation Research*, **636**, 178–242.
- Riera-Montes, M., O’Ryan, M., Verstraeten, T. (2018) Norovirus and rotavirus disease severity in children: systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal*, **37**, 501–505.
- Salonius, P.O., Robinson, J.B., Chase, F.E. (1967) A comparison of autoclaved and gamma-irradiated soils as media for microbial colonization experiments. *Plant and Soil*, **27**, 239–248.
- Sandkovsky, U., Vargas, L., Florescu, D.F. (2014) Adenovirus: current epidemiology and emerging approaches to prevention and treatment. *Current Infectious Disease Reports*, **16**, 1–8.
- Schijven, J.F., Hassanizadeh, S.M. (2000) Removal of viruses by soil passage: overview of modeling, processes, and parameters. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, **30**, 49–127.
- Shah, P.C., McCamish, J. (1972) Relative chlorine resistance of poliovirus I and coliphages f2 and T2 in water. *Applied Microbiology*, **24**, 658–659.
- Sharp, D.G., Young, D.C., Floyd, R., Johnson, J.D. (1980) Effect of ionic environment on the inactivation of poliovirus in water by chlorine. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **39**, 530–534.
- Shirasaki, N., Matsushita, T., Matsui, Y., Marubayashi, T., Murai, K. (2016) Investigation of enteric adenovirus and poliovirus removal by coagulation processes and suitability of bacteriophages MS2 and φX174 as surrogates for those viruses. *Science of the Total Environment*, **563**, 29–39.
- Strasser, J. (2017) Survival and sodium hypochlorite disinfection of potential Ebola virus surrogates MS2, PhiX-174, and Phi6 in a conservative matrix of raw hospital sewage and human fecal waste. *Master Thesis*, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, The United States of America. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.17615/td9b-zv32>.
- Sun, L., Young, L.N., Zhang, X., Boudko, S.P., Fokine,

- A., Zbornik, E., Roznowski, A.P., Molineux, I.J., Rossmann, M.G., Fane, B.A. (2014) Icosahedral bacteriophage  $\Phi$ X174 forms a tail for DNA transport during infection. *Nature*, **505**, 432–435.
- Sun, Y., Roznowski, A.P., Tokuda, J.M., Klose, T., Mauney, A., Pollack, L., Fane, B.A., Rossmann, M.G. (2017) Structural changes of tailless bacteriophage  $\Phi$ X174 during penetration of bacterial cell walls. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **114**, 13708–13713.
- Szálkai, K. (2019) Water-borne diseases. In: “*The Palgrave Encyclopedia of Global Security Studies*” (Vol. 1, Issue 7). Springer International Publishing, Springer Nature Switzerland AG.
- Tallon, P., Magajna, B., Lofranco, C., Leung, K.T. (2005) Microbial indicators of faecal contamination in water: a current perspective. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, **166**, 139–166.
- Thurston-Enriquez, J.A., Haas, C.N., Jacangelo, J., Gerba, C.P. (2005) Inactivation of enteric adenovirus and feline calicivirus by chlorine dioxide. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **71**, 3100–3105.
- Toribio-Avedillo, D., Blanch, A. R., Muniesa, M., Rodríguez-Rubio, L. (2021) Bacteriophages as Fecal Pollution Indicators. *Viruses*, **13**, 1089.
- Tree, J.A., Adams, M.R., Lees, D.N. (2003) Chlorination of indicator bacteria and viruses in primary sewage effluent. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **69**, 2038–2043.
- Tree, J.A., Adams, M.R., Lees, D.N. (2005) Disinfection of feline calicivirus (a surrogate for Norovirus) in wastewaters. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **98**, 155–162.
- Verani, M., Federigi, I., Donzelli, G., Cioni, L., Carducci, A. (2019) Human adenoviruses as waterborne index pathogens and their use for Quantitative Microbial Risk Assessment. *Science of the Total Environment*, **651**, 1469–1475.
- WHO (2011) “*Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality*”. World Health Organization, **216**, pp. 303–304.
- WHO (2015) “*Progress on Sanitation and Drinking Water: 2015 Update and MDG Assessment*”. World Health Organization.
- Wilhelmi, I., Roman, E., Sanchez-Fauquier, A. (2003) Viruses causing gastroenteritis. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, **9**, 247–262.
- Willson, V.A. (1935) Determination of available chlorine in hypochlorite solutions by direct titration with sodium thiosulfate. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Analytical Edition*, **7**, 44–45.
- Wu, J., Long, S.C., Das, D., Dorner, S.M. (2011) Are microbial indicators and pathogens correlated? A statistical analysis of 40 years of research. *Journal of Water and Health*, **9**, 265–278.
- Zhang, D., Ling, H., Huang, X., Li, J., Li, W., Yi, C., Zhang, et al. (2020) Potential spreading risks and disinfection challenges of medical wastewater by the presence of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) viral RNA in septic tanks of Fangcang Hospital. *Science of the Total Environment*, **741**, 140445.

## تثبيط الكلور للعائيات البكتيرية PhiX174 في عينات مياه الصرف الصحي المعالجة ومياه الشرب

محمد كمال راشد<sup>(1)</sup>، وليد مرسي السنوسي<sup>(1)</sup>، السيد طارق عبد السلام<sup>(2)</sup>، مها الخازندار<sup>(2)</sup>  
<sup>(1)</sup>معمل فيروسات البيئة- قسم بحوث تلوث المياه- معهد بحوث البيئة والتغيرات المناخية- المركز القومي للبحوث- الدقي- الجيزة- مصر، <sup>(2)</sup>قسم النبات والأحياء الدقيقة- كلية العلوم- جامعة القاهرة- الجيزة- مصر.

تعتبر الفيروسات والبكتيريا والطفيليات من أهم مسببات الأمراض المنقولة عن طريق المياه. حيث تعتبر الفيروسات المعوية من أهم العوامل المسببة لتفشي الأمراض. ويمكن استخدامها كمؤشرات فيروسية معوية مثل فيروسات الأدينو والروتا والنورو وبعض العائيات مثل العائيات البكتيرية PhiX174. يتم اختيار المؤشر الفيروسي وفقاً لبقاء الفيروسات في البيئة، ومقاومة الفيروسات لعمليات المعالجة سواء في محطات الصرف الصحي أو محطات مياه الشرب. حيث يعد الكلور من أكثر أنواع المطهرات المستخدمة في محطات المعالجة للقضاء على مسببات الأمراض. والهدف من دراستنا هو إظهار أثر تثبيط الكلور على بعض العائيات مثل PhiX174 في عينات معقمة وغير معقمة من مياه الصرف الصحي المعالجة ومياه الشرب باستخدام جرعات مختلفة من الكلور لإظهار مدى مقاومة هذه العائيات للكلور ومدى جديتها لاستخدامها كمؤشر فيروسي للفيروسات المعوية. وقد أظهرت نتائج الدراسة إلى مقاومة قوية لهذه العائيات PhiX174 لجرعات مختلفة من الكلور في عينات المياه ومياه الصرف الصحي المعالجة وخاصاً في عينات المياه المعقمة عن عينات المياه الغير معقمة (عينات الصرف الصحي المعالجة) وذلك لتأثير عملية التعقيم على تقليل الحمل العضوي والميكروبيولوجي في هذه العينات. ولوحظ أيضاً عدم وجود اختلاف كبير في معدلات التثبيط بين عينات المياه المعقمة وغير المعقمة لمياه الشرب نتيجة عمليات المعالجة في المحطات. وقد اعتمدت هذه المقاومة على: جرعة الكلور المستخدمة وفترة التلامس بين الكلور وهذه العائيات؛ فكلما زادت جرعة الكلور المضافة زاد معدل التثبيط؛ وكلما زادت فترة التلامس زادت معدلات التثبيط أيضاً ولكن هناك عدة عوامل تتحكم في استخدام هذه الجرعات داخل المحطات مثل عدم تكوين مركبات التراي هالوميثان المسرطنة والمسببة للعديد من الأمراض للإنسان بجانب النظرة الاقتصادية لاستخدام هذه الجرعات من الكلور. وقد خلصت هذه الورقة البحثية إلى تقارب كبير بين مقاومة هذه العائيات PhiX174 وفيروسات الأدينو التي رشحت من قبل كثير من العلماء لاستخدامها كمؤشرات فيروسية للدلالة على التلوث الفيروسي البرازي.