MNJ
Menoufia Nursing Journal
Faculty of Nuring
Menoufia University

Effect of Nursing Intervention on Controlling Interdialytic Weight and Vascular Access Complications among Patients Undergoing Hemodialysis

Randa Fathy Abdel Monem¹, Wafaa H. Abdullah², Hanan R. Atallah³, Warda Mohamed Henedy⁴

¹Assist. Lecturer. Medical Surgical Nursing, ²Prof. Medical Surgical Nursing, ³Prof. Medical Surgical Nursing, ⁴Assist. Prof. Medical Surgical Nursing ^{1,2,3,4} Faculty of Nursing, Menoufia University, Egypt

Abstract: Background: Chronic kidney disease has become a major chronic health problem worldwide and the need for development of educational interventions which help patients to better manage their conditions is evident internationally. It has been recognized that poor adherence can be a serious risk to the health and wellbeing of patients. Adherence to fluid restrictions, dietary and medication guidelines as well as attendance at prescribed hemodialysis sessions are essential for adequate management of chronic kidney disease. Purpose to examine the effect of nursing intervention on controlling interdialytic weight and vascular access complications among patients undergoing hemodialysis. Setting: Hemodialysis unit in Menoufia University and Shebin El-Kom Teaching Hospitals. Sampling: A consecutive sample of 100 adult patients on hemodialysis were selected and divided alternatively into two equal groups: 50 patients for each group (study - control). Instruments: two instruments were used for data collection: Structured interview questionnaire, and biophysiological measurement instrument. Results: There was significant improvement among study group than control group one month and three months post intervention regarding total knowledge score, interdialytic weight and vascular access complications. Conclusions: Nursing intervention is proven to be effective in controlling interdialytic weight and vascular access complications. Recommendations: Supervised nursing intervention should be carried out for all hemodialysis patients and colored booklet should be available and distributed for all patients.

Key words: Hemodialysis, Interdialytic weight, Nursing, Vascular access complications

Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is an irreversible progressive condition with high morbidity and mortality by means of an increase in incidence and prevalence, poor outcomes along with high cost. Lifelong treatment and lifestyle modifications are difficult to adapt, which significantly impairs treatment adherence and quality of life (James et al., 2021).

The worldwide prevalence of kidney disease exceeds 850 million with 843.6 million accounted for by chronic kidney disease (Jager et al., 2019). The prevalence of end stage renal disease in Egypt raised to 483 patients per million according to 9th Annual Report of The Egyptian Renal Registry provided by Egyptian Society of Nephrology and Transplantation (El-Ballat et al., 2019).

Hemodialysis is a major healthcare intervention for patients with renal failure and end-stage renal disease. An hemodialysis efficient treatment requires at least three sessions per week, with each session running for three to four hours and effective adherence to the diet, fluid, and following medical instructions. Adherence to the treatment regimen has proven to be difficult in patients undergoing Hemodialysis worldwide (Macdougall et al., 2019).

Compliance to the therapeutic regimen is important for achieving optimal, effective, and successful hemodialysis outcomes. compliance is a crucial factor that helps patients achieve good therapeutic results (Naalweh et al., 2017). However, failure to adhere to the hemodialysis regimen can lead to occasionally serious and such as hypertension, conditions. muscle cramps, arteriovenous fistula (AVF) blockage, dyspnea associated with pulmonary edema, or heart attack due to hyperkalemia, and can lead to poor quality of life, decreased life increased expectancy, morbidity, mortality, and a higher cost and burden on the health care system (Suganthi et al., 2019).

The process of adherence to treatment in hemodialysis method includes diet, fluid intake, drug management, participation in dialysis sessions and completion of sessions (Ok & Kutlu, 2019).

Excessive fluid intake leads shortness of breath. headache. abdominal distension, edema, hypertension and heart failure (Wong et al., 2017). It also causes disorders in cognitive functions, an increase in hospitalization and mortality (Naalweh et al., 2017).

Non adherence to each dietary component causes different problems in patients. The lack of compliance with sodium intake causes excessive fluid intake and associated increase in total body fluid and interdialytic weight; incompatibility in phosphorus intake causes disorders in mineral metabolism and cardiovascular diseases; incompatibility in potassium intake causes arrhythmias and increase in mortality; and incompatibility in protein intake causes complications and increase in mortality due to increase in urea (Ko et al., 2017).

Medication and dietary non-adherence in hemodialysis patients could lead to negative consequences serious including poor health outcomes and increased morbidity and mortality et al., 2017). (Milazi Nursing intervention is beneficial for raising compliance, providing dialysis evidence to strengthen nursing care for stage renal disease patients administered with dialysis in daily clinical practice (Wang et al., 2018). intervention application of behavioral, educational, cognitive and dietary techniques that has proven to have positive effects on the emotional and physical health of patients with end stage renal disease (Wang et al., 2017).

Purpose:

The purpose of the current study was to determine the effect of nursing intervention on controlling interdialytic weight and vascular access complications among patients undergoing hemodialysis.

Research Hypotheses

The following research hypotheses were formulated to achieve the purpose of the study: -

• Patients in the study group who follow the nursing intervention are expected to exhibit more control of the interdialytic weight than patients who don't (control group).

 Patients in the study group who follow the nursing intervention are expected to have fewer vascular access complications than patients who don't (control group).

Method

Research design:

A quasi-experimental research design was utilized to achieve the purpose of this study.

Setting:

The study was conducted at the hemodialysis unit in Menoufia University and Shebin El-Kom Teaching Hospitals.

Sampling:

A consecutive sample of 100 hemodialysis patients were assigned randomly and alternatively into two equal groups, 50 patients for each group:

- Study group (I): Exposed to the nursing intervention along with routine hospital care.
- Control group (II): Exposed only to routine hospital care.

Inclusion criteria:

The study subjects were selected according to the following criteria:

- Adult patients (18 65 years old).
- Chronic cases undergoing hemodialysis for at least 2 months for 3times / week regularly.
- Have not received any educational intervention regarding his/ her illness and its care.

Exclusion criteria:

• Patients who are critically ill and unable to communicate

Sampling technique:

 The participants of the study were chosen from hemodialysis unit in Menoufia University and Shebin El-Kom teaching hospitals. The sample size was determined based on the

- following equation: $n = (z2 \times p \times q)/D2$.
- Z= the value from the standard normal distribution reflecting the confidence level that will be used 1.96 for confidence 95%.
- P = proportion.

q = 1- proportion.

D2 = margin of error.

Instruments of the study:

Based on the review of relevant literature (Wang et al., 2018), two instruments were used by the researcher for data collection, these instruments were:

Instrument I: Patients knowledge structured interview questionnaire:

It was developed by the researcher to assess social, and patient's knowledge regarding hemodialysis and therapeutic regimen. It contained three parts:

- 1. Part one: Patient's Social characteristics: It contained nine questions related to patient's age, gender, education, occupation, marital status, monthly income, family member's number, and residence.
- 2. Part two: Medical data: **I**t contained seven questions about intake of stimulant drinks, smoking, duration of dialysis treatment, duration dialysis sessions, hemodialysis complain during complain sessions, of other diseases, and family history of chronic renal failure.
- 3. <u>Part three:</u> Patient's knowledge: It included 22 questions divided into three sections as follows:
- Section one: Patient's knowledge about renal failure:

It was contained 4 questions related to patient's knowledge about definition, causes, clinical manifestations, methods of treatment of renal failure.

- Section two: Patient's knowledge about hemodialysis:
 - It included 5 questions related to patient's knowledge about definition, indications, and contraindications, complications of hemodialysis and care of hemodialysis vascular access.
- Section three: Patient's knowledge about hemodialysis therapeutic regimen:

It involved 13 questions related to patient's knowledge about medications for hemodialysis, medications at the end of hemodialysis sessions, diet for hemodialysis, diet rich in protein, potassium and sodium, allowed amount fluid, forbidden of drinks hemodialysis, complications drinking a lot of fluid, periodic investigations for hemodialysis, definition of compliance to therapeutic regimen, and complications of noncompliance to therapeutic regimen.

Scoring system:

Each question was given two marks if the subject reported completely correct answer, one mark if he /she reported incompletely correct answer and zero if the answer was incorrect or I don't know. All questions were summed to give a score ranged from zero to fortyfour. The greater the score the better the knowledge.

Instrument II: Biophysiological measurement tool:

It was constructed by the researcher to assess patient's interdialytic weight gain and vascular access complications. It comprised of two parts as the following:

- Part one: Estimation of interdialytic weight gain: It comprised of assessment of pre-dialysis weight, post dialysis weight and interdialytic weight gain.
- Part two: vascular access complications assessment: It was comprised of

assessment of complications such as bleeding, edema, inflammation, failure, and blood clotting.

Reliability:

The study instruments were tested using a test- retest method to ascertain consistency. The period between both tests was two weeks. The results were 0.97 for first instrument and 0.91 for the second one.

Pilot study:

A pilot study was conducted prior to data collection on 10% of the study sample (ten patients) to test the feasibility, clarity and applicability of the instruments then necessary modifications were done. These patients were excluded from the study sample.

Ethical Considerations:

An agreement from the Faculty of Nursing Ethical ethical commitment was obtained from ethical and research committee of the Faculty of Nursing, Menoufia University. A verbal and written agreement to participate in this study was obtained from subjects who the inclusion criteria explanation of the purpose of study. Each participant was reassured that any obtained information would confidential and would only be used for the study purpose. The researcher emphasized that participation in the study was entirely voluntary and anonymity of the patients were assured through coding data. Participants were also informed that they can withdraw from the study at any time without penalty and refusal to participate wouldn't affect their care. Moreover, they were assured that the nature of the questionnaire didn't cause any physical or emotional harm to them.

Procedure:

An official letter was submitted from the Dean of the Faculty of Nursing to the directors of Menoufia University

hospital and Shebin El Kom Teaching hospital explaining the purpose of the study and methods of data collection. Then, a written approval to conduct the study was obtained.

Data collection extended over a period of 8 months from November 2020 to June 2021. Patients who agreed to participate in the study and fulfilled the inclusion criteria were assigned randomly into two equal groups (study group (I) and control group (II)).

Each patient was individually assessed for social characteristics, medical data and knowledge about renal failure, hemodialysis, and therapeutic regimen, pre-dialysis weight, post dialysis instrument weight (using Interdialytic weight gain using part one the second instrument complications of hemodialysis vascular access. The researcher started to assess the control group at first. assessment phase lasted between 20-30 minutes

Accordingly, a colored booklet supported with illustrative pictures was prepared. It included information about renal failure (Definition, types, causes, clinical manifestations, and treatment), hemodialysis (definition, indications and complications), vascular access (definition, types, and care of vascular access), therapeutic regimen (dietary fluid restriction. restrictions. medication adherence, importance of adherence to therapeutic regimen, and complication of non-adherence).

In the study group, patients received health education as well as routine hospital care. The researcher distributed the prepared booklet between each patient in group 1 (study group) before starting session I. In each session lectures and discussions were used. Each teaching session was started by a brief summary about information provided at prior sessions. . At the end of each teaching session a follow-up session was conducted to emphasize on the received information through asking questions and answering any question.

First session included information about renal failure definition, types, causes, clinical manifestations, stages and treatment were illustrated. At the end of this session the researcher allowed patients to ask questions and provided them with the answers. the second session, During researcher provided education about hemodialysis definition, indications, contraindications and complications. Also, patients received information regarding periodical investigations for hemodialysis patients

In the third session, the researcher provided education about vascular access (definition, types, complications, and care of hemodialysis vascular access and medications commonly prescribed for hemodialysis patients. The fourth session included dietary restriction of sodium, potassium, phosphorus and fatand allowed amount of fluids per day importance of adherence to therapeutic regimen and complications of non-adherence).

Posttest was carried out1 month after the last teaching session. and 3 months after intervention in order to determine the effectiveness of nursing intervention using instrument I part three and instrument II.

Statistical analysis

The collected data were organized, tabulated and statistically analyzed using SPSS software (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 28, SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA). For quantitative data, the range, mean and standard deviation were calculated. For qualitative data, frequency and percentage were used Chi-square test (χ 2) was used for comparison between groups. T test was

used for comparison between means Z value of Mann-Whitney test and Kruskal-Wallis (χ 2). Correlation between variables was evaluated using Pearson's correlation coefficient (r).

Results:

<u>Table 1</u> shows Distribution of both study and control groups according to their social characteristics. This table shows that there were no statistical significant differences between both groups regarding all social characteristics.

<u>Table 2</u> shows distribution of patients in the study and control groups according to their medical data. This table reveals that there were no statistically significant differences between both study and control groups regarding almost all medical data.

Table 3 displays distribution patients in the study and control groups according to their level of knowledge on pre and post intervention evident that about two thirds of both (66.0%)had poor groups knowledge score pre intervention that was decreased to 2.0 % three months post intervention among study group compared to 62.0% among control group. Therefore, there were very highly statistical significant difference between patients in the study group

than control group one month and three months post intervention (P=0.000*).

Table 4 illustrates mean and standard deviation of pre-dialysis weight, post dialysis weight and interdialytic weight gain among study and control groups pre and post intervention. This table shows that patients in the study group had more weight control than patients in the control group. Therefore, there were very highly statistical significant differences in controlling of weights of patients in the study and control groups on pre-dialysis, one month post intervention and three months post intervention.

Table 5 clarifies the distribution of patients in the study and control groups according to the presence of vascular access complications pre intervention, 1 month post intervention and 3 months post intervention. This table three shows that after months. intervention. vascular access complications had been reduced among study group to 0%, 0%, 4%, 0%, and 0% respectively compared to 48%, 100%, 30%, and 8% respectively in the control group. There was a statistical significant reduction of vascular access complications among patients in the study group compared to control group.

Table (1): Distribution of both study and control groups according to their social characteristics

Social			1			
characteristics	Study gr	Studied patie oup (n=50)		roup (n=50)		P-value
	No	%	No	%	AZ	1 -value
• Age				1 20		
20 < 30	4	8.0	1	2.0		
30<40	8	16.0	3	6.0		
40<50	5	10.0	13	26.0	0.105	0.5.6
50<60	16	32.0	11	22.0	9.195	.056
60+	17	34.0	22	44.0		
Min –Max	23	3-75	23	-62		
Mean ±SD	52.32	±10.92	52.64	±9.25		
• Gender						
Male	25	50.0	27	54.0	160	.689
Female	25	50.0	23	46.0	9.1951602.8212087065431.001	.009
Level of education						
Illiterate	26	52.0	32	64.0		
basic	2	4.0	3	6.0	9.195 160 2.821 208 706 543	420
secondary	21	42.0	15	30.0	2.821	.420
high or post graduate	1	2.0	0	0.0%		
• Occupation		•	-1	- 1		
Worker	10	20.0	10	20.0		
house wife	25	50.0	23	46.0	.208	.901
don't work or retired	15	30.0	17	34.0		
Marital status						
Single	3	6.0	3	6.0		
Married	46	92.0	47	94.0	1.011	.603
Widow	1	2.0	0	0.0%		
• Income						
Sufficient	9	18.0	6	12.0	706	.401
Insufficient	41	82.0	44	88.0	.700	.401
• Residence						
Rural	47	94.0	45	90.0	543	.461
Urban	3	6.0	5	10.0	.160 .2.821 .208 .1.011 .706	.101
• Family members number					_	
less than 3 members	5	10.0	4	8.0		
from 3 to 5 members	22	44.0	18	36.0	1.001	0.606
five members or more	23	46.0	28	56.0		

^{**}more than one answer selected

Table (2): Distribution of patients in the study and control groups according to their medical data

Medical data	Study g	Studied patie group (n=50)	Control gr	oup(n=50)	X2	p-value
	No	%	No	%		
Stimulant intake (coffee or tea)			1			
Yes	42	84.0	47	94.0	2.554	.110
No	8	16.0	3	6.0	2.334	.110
Number of stimulant intake]	N=42	N=	47		
one time	5	11.9	2	4.3		
	17	40.5	32	68.1	7.10	0.029*
two times	20	47.6	13	27.7	7.10	0.027
three times or more	20	47.0	13	27.7		
Smoking		1.0		10.0	4	
Yes	2	4.0	5	10.0	1.382	.240
No	48	96.0	45	90.0	1	
Number of cigarettes		N=2	N=		7.00	0.008*
less than one packet	2	100.0	5	100.0	7.00	0.000
Starting of dialysis						
from 6 months to 1 year	1	2.0	1	2.0		
from 1 to 2 years	4	8.0	1	2.0	1	
from 2 to 5 years	2	4.0	0	0.0	4.075	.254
•	43	86.0	48	96.0	-	
from 3 years or more Complaint during dialysis session					+	
Yes	37	74.0	40	80.0	+	
No No	13	26.0	10	20.0	0.508	0.476
**Type of complaint during dialysis		•			0.300	0.470
session	I	N=37	N=	40		
Headache	0	0.0	1	2.5	1	
nausea or vomiting	5	13.5	0	0.0	40.10	000
Hypotension	21	56.8	4	10.0	40.18	.000
muscle cramps	20	54.1	12	30.0	1	
muscle cramps and hypotension	5	13.5	33	82.5	1	
Complaint of other diseases		•	•	•		
Yes	36	72.0	43	86.0		
No	14	28.0	7	14.0	2.954	.086
**Type of disease]	N=36	N=	43		
Hypertension	28	77.8	28	65.1		
Diabetes	12	33.3	13	30.2		
heart disease	2	5.6	2	4.7	-	0=-
	3	i	16		8.455	.076
hypertension and diabetes	1	8.3	0	37.2	-	
hypertension and heart disease Family history	-	2.8	ļ ,	0.0		
·	5	10.0	3	6.0	1	
Yes	45	90.0	47	94.0	.543	.461
No					_	
Relative relation		N=5	N=			
first degree	5	100.0	3	100.0		

^{*}Statistically significant (P<0.05)

Table (3): Distribution of patients in the study and control groups according to their level of knowledge on pre and post intervention

Level of total knowledge			Pre vention		nth post vention		onths post ervention	X²/ p-value Preintervention	X ² / p-value 1 month post intervention	X ² / p-value 3 months post intervention
		No	%	No	%	No	%			
Study	Good	2	4.0	22	44.0	37	74.0			
group Average	15	30.0	24	48.0	12	24.0				
(n=50)	Poor	33	66.0	4	8.0	1	2.0	0.366/	42.14/	63.43/
Control	Good	1	2.0	1	2.0	1	2.0	0.833	0.000*	0.000*
group	Average	16	32.0	17	34.0	18	36.0			
(n=50)	Poor	33	66.0	32	64.0	31	62.0			

^{*}Statistically significant (P<0.05)

Table (4): Mean and standard deviation of pre-dialysis weight, post dialysis weight and interdialytic weight gain among study and control groups pre and post intervention

weight	Studied	P	re interventi	on	1 mon	th post inter	vention	3 months post intervention			
	hemodialysis patients (n=100)	Mean	±SD	T p-value	Mean	±SD	T p-value	Mean	±SD	T p-value	
Pre-dialysis	Study group	75.07	16.74	.091	71.35	16.66	3.362	71.31	16.50	3.812	
weight	Control group	74.75	18.23	.927	74.87	18.15	.001*	75.13	18.17	.001*	
Post dialysis	Study group	71.46	16.68	.052	69.95	16.54	3.092	69.91	16.53	3.119	
weight	Control group	71.28	18.03	.959	71.27	18.05	.002*	71.32	17.95	.001*	
Interdialytic	Study group	4.23	.809	.340	2.42	.477	11.093	1.44	.585	21.854	
weight gain	Control group	4.17	.950	.735	3.77	.715	.000**	Mean ± 71.31 16 75.13 18 69.91 16 71.32 17 1.44 .5	.608	.000**	

Independent t test was used * Statistically significance p<0.05 ** highly statistically significance p<0.001

Table (5): Distribution of patients in the study and control group according to the presence of vascular access complications pre intervention, 1 month post intervention and 3 months post intervention

Vascular access	Studied hemodialysis		Pre interv	ention	1 n	onth post int	ervention	3 months post intervention		
complications	patients (n=100)	No	%	X2 p-value	No	%	X2 p-value	No	%	X2 p-value
Bleeding	Study group	3	6.0	0.211	0	0.0	2.041	0	0.0	1.010
Diccumg	Control group	2	4.0	0.646	2	4.0	0.153	1	2.0	0.315
Edema	Study group	29	58.0	2.154	0	0.0	36.98	0	0.0	31.579
Eucina	Control group	36	72.0	0.142	27	54.0	0.000**	24	48.0	0.000**
Inflammation	Study group	47	94.0	3.097	17	34.0	49.25	2	4.0	92.308
imammation	Control group	50	100.0	0.079	50	100.0	0.000**	50	50 100.0	0.000**
Failure	Study group	14	28.0	0.190	0	0.0	17.647	0	0.0	17.647
ranuic	Control group	16	32.0	0.663	15	30.0	0.000**	15	30.0	0.000**
Blood clots	Study group	6	12.0	0.102	0	0.0	5.263	0	0.0	4.167
Dioou Ciots	Control group	5	10.0	0.749	4	8.0	0.022*	4	8.0	0.041*

^{**}more than answer selected.

Discussion:

Hemodialysis is a major healthcare intervention for patients with renal failure and end-stage renal disease. An hemodialysis treatment efficient requires at least three sessions per week, with each session running for three to four hours and effective adherence to the diet, fluid, and following medical instructions. Nonadherence to dialysis could concerning because it can result in life threatening consequences. Failure of adherence in hemodialysis patients can increase morbidity, mortality, cost, and burden on the healthcare system (Alhawery et al., 2019). Educational and self-management interventions are frequently used to improve adherence to fluid intake, diet, and drug management in hemodialysis patients (Tao et al., 2020).

The current study showed that patients in the study group had a higher level of knowledge than patients in the control group one month and three months post intervention. These results are in line with Mohammed et al., (2017). Besides, it was similar to Abdel-Gawad et al., (2020) who mentioned that the mean total knowledge score is highly improved in study group on the 3rd and the last week post intervention than in control group. Also, it was in the same line with Vulpio et al., (2021) who revealed that patients' nutritional knowledge significantly improved one year after they received collective teaching and individual counseling.

Moreover, patients in the study group had higher level of knowledge on pre intervention than one and three months after intervention. These results were consistent with Alikari et al., (2018) who studied "the impact of education on knowledge, adherence and quality of life among patients on hemodialysis" and stated that prior to the intervention, both groups had

similar levels of knowledge. After the intervention, the increase in knowledge of patients in the intervention group was significantly higher than patients in the control group. Besides, El Kareem Fadlalmola (2020) reported that there improvement was in patient's knowledge from the pre to posttest after the implementation of the program educational about hemodialysis.

From the researcher's point of view, the low knowledge score before any intervention demonstrates that patients were in need for education while increased knowledge level after education demonstrates the effect of developed intervention.

Hypothesis I: Patients of study group who apply the nursing intervention exhibit control of the interdialytic weight than patients who don't (control group).

The present study revealed that there significant improvement interdialytic weight gain between patients in the study group than patients in the control group one month and three months post intervention. These results were in congruence with Matetiu et al., (2017) reported that the mean IDWG in the hemodialysis patients was significantly reduced at different time points from baseline to the 4th week, 10th week and 16th week after the patient education.

In the sameline, Shaker et al., (2018) who studied effect of hemodialysis patients' knowledge related to types of food rich fluid on interdialytic weight gain among hemodialysis patients concluded that improving knowledge of patients undergoing hemodialysis about types of food rich in fluids increases adherence to fluid intake which has a direct effect on decreasing interdialytic weight gain. From the

researcher's point of view decreased interdialytic weight gain post intervention may be related to increased compliance to fluid restriction.

Hypothesis II: Patients of study group who apply the nursing intervention exhibit control of vascular access complications than patients who don't (control group).

The present study showed that there was a statistical significant reduction in vascular access complications among patients in the study group compared to patients in the control group as regards to edema, inflammation, failure, and blood clots after one month and three months post intervention. These results were in congruence with Sobh et al., (2019) who studied effect of nursing intervention on prevention of vascular complications for patients access undergoing regular hemodialysis and stated that complications were greatly increased in the 2nd month among patients in the control group as compared with patients in the study difference group and the statistically significant could indicate the effectiveness of this nursing intervention to decrease these complications.

Also, Yang et al., (2019) stated that improving the level of arteriovenous fistula (AVF) self-care behavior by people receiving hemodialysis is an effective way to reduce the occurrence of complications and mortality in a research study entitled "Self-care behavior of hemodialysis patients with arteriovenous fistula in China: A multicenter, cross-sectional study".

In the same line, Zha et al., (2021) concluded that the implementation of multidisciplinary collaborative nursing intervention procedure can significantly promote maturation of arteriovenous fistula, effectively increase blood flow of arteriovenous

fistula, promote the growth of vessel diameter and reduce the occurrence of complications in dialysis patients.

From the researcher's point of view decreased incidence of vascular access complications post intervention may be related to increased compliance to care of vascular access.

I- Conclusions:

Patients in the study group who followed the nursing intervention exhibited more control of the interdialytic weight than patients who don't (control group). Patients in the study group who followed the nursing intervention had fewer vascular access complications than patients who did't (control group).

Π- Recommendations:

Based on the findings of the present study, the following recommendations are derived and suggested:

- Supervised health teaching regarding treatment regimen should be given for hemodialysis patients to improve their compliance behavior.
- A colored illustrative booklet should be and distributed available hemodialysis patients. It should include knowledge about disease. hemodialysis, therapeutic regimen medication, (diet, attendance hemodialysis sessions and care of access). importance compliance to therapeutic regimen and consequences of non-compliance.

References:

Abdel-Gawad, D., Fareed, M., Abd El Bary, N., & Attalla H. (2020). Effect of nursing intervention on quality of life among patients receiving head and neck radiation therapy. Published Doctorate Thesis. Faculty of Nursing. Menoufia University; 74-83.

- Ahmed, S.A., & Hussein, M.J. (2020). Effectiveness of an educational program on patients' knowledge concerning care of vascular access of hemodialysis in Al-Muthana Teaching hospitals. Iraq Journal of Nursing Specialties.; 33(1): 33-43.
- Al- Baghdadi, D., & Rajha, A. (2018). Quality of life for hemodialysis patients with chronic renal failure. Research J Pharm and Tech; 11(6): 2398-403.
- Alhawery, A., Aljaroudi, A., Almatar, Z., Alqudaimi, A. A., & Al Sayyari, A. A. (2019). Non-adherence to dialysis among Saudi patients Its prevalence, causes, and consequences. Saudi Journal of Kidney Diseases and Transplantation, 30(6), 1215–21.
- Alikari, V., Tsironi, M., Matziou, V., Tzavella, F., Stathoulis, J., Babatsikou, F., Fradelos, E., & Zyga, S. (2018). The impact of education on knowledge, adherence and quality of life among patients on hemodialysis. Quality of Life Research.;28(5): 145-60.
- Al-Jabi, S., Sous, A., Jorf, F., Taqatqa, M., Allan, M., Sawalha, L., Lubadeh, E., Sweileh, W., & Zyoud, S. (2021). Depression among end-stage renal disease patients undergoing hemodialysis: A cross sectional study from Palestine. Renal Replacement Therapy.; 7(1): 12.
- Arad, M., Goli, R., Parizad, N., Vahabzadeh, D., & Baghaei, R. (2021). Do the patient education program andnurse-led telephone follow-up improvetreatment adherence in hemodialysispatients? A randomized controlled trial. BMC Nephrology.; 22(1): 119.

- Aslam, A., Shah, S., Abbas, G., Rehman, A.u., Malhi, T.H., Alotaibi, N.H., Alzarea, A.I., Rasool, M.F., Khurram, H., Noureen, S., Saeed., & Bokhari, M.T. (2022). Assessment of health-related quality of life in hypertensive hemodialysis patients. PeerJ.;10(1): 12690.
- Caetano C, Valento A, Oliveria T & Garagarza C. (2018). Coffee consumption in hemodialysis patients: how many?. European journal of Clinical Nutrition.; 73(5): 119-33.
- Cho, M., & Kim, H. (2021). Factors Influencing Self-Care Behavior and Treatment Adherence in Hemodialysis Patients. Int J Environ Res Public Health.; 18(24):12934.
- Chou, J.A., Kalantar-Zadeh, K., & Mathew, A.T. (2017). A brief review of interdialytic hypotension with a focus on survival. Semin Dial.;30(1): 473-80.
- Denic, A., Glassock, R., & Rule, A. (2022). The kidney in normal aging: A comparison with chronic kidney disease. CJASN.; 17(1): 137-9.
- El Kareem, E., & Fadlalmola, H. (2020). Impact of an educational knowledge program on and quality of life among hemodialysis patients in Khartoum state. International Journal of Africa Nursing Science.;12(1): 205-9.
- El-Ballat, M.A., El-Sayed, M.A., & Emam, H.K. (2019). Epidemiology of end stage renal disease patients on regular hemodialysis in El-Beheira governorate, Egypt. Egypt J Hosp Med.;76(3):3618–25.
- Elshinnawy, H., Fayez, M., Farrag, D., & Abd Elgawad, M. (2021). The

- effect of interdialytic exercise on inflammatory markers in hemodialysis patients. Egyptian Rheumatology and Rehabilitation.;48(1): 36.
- Fotaraki, Z., Gerogianni, G., Vasilopoulos, G., Polikandrioti, M., Giannakopoulou, N., & Alikri, V. (2022). Depression, adherence and functionality in patients undergoing hemodialysis. Cureus.; 14(2): 872-80.
- Gurgel do Amaral, M.S., Reijneveld, S.A., Geboers, B., Navis, G.J., & Winter, A.F. (2021). Low health literacy is associated with the onset of CKD during the life course. J Am Soc Nephrol.; 32(1):1436–43.
- Jager, K.J., Kovesdy, C., Langham, R., Rosenberg, M., Jha, V., & Zoccali, C. (2019). A single number for advocacy and communication—worldwide more than 850 million individuals have kidney diseases. Nephrol Dial Transplant.;34(11):1803–5.
- James, M., Roy, A., Antony, E., & George, S. (2021). Impact of patient counseling on treatment adherence behavior and quality of life in maintenance hemodialysis patients. Saudi Journal of Kidney Disease and Transplantation.; 32(5): 1382-7.
- Kim, H., & Cho, M. (2021). Factors influencing self-care behavior and treatment adherence in hemodialysis patients. Int J Environ Res Public Health.; 18(1): 12934.
- Ko, G.J., Obi, Y., Tortoricci, A.R., & Kalantar-Zadeh, K. (2017). Dietary protein intake and chronic kidney disease. Current opinion in clinical nutrition and metabolic care.;20(1):77.

- Lok, C.E. (2020). KDOQI Clinical practice guideline for vascular access: 2019 update. Am J Kidney Dis.;75(4):1–164.
- Macdougall, I.C., White, C., Anker, S.D., Bhandari, S., Farrington, K., Kalra, P.A., & Ford, I. (2019). Intravenous iron in patients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis. New Engl J Med.; 380 (5):447–58.
- Manoj Panchiri, S. G., Joshi., Dipali Dumbre. (2017). Reduction of muscle cramps among patients undergoing hemodialysis: the effectiveness of interdialytic stretching exercises. Int J Nurs Edu.;9(4):64-9.
- Matetiu, U., Veetil, R., & Kamath, J. (2017). Impact of patient education on interdialytic weight gain and blood pressure in patients undergoing hemodialysis. Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Education and Research.; 51(4): 653-60.
- Milazi, M., Bonner, A., & Douglas, C. (2017). Effectiveness of educational or behavioral interventions on adherence to phosphate control in adults receiving hemodialysis: a systematic review. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep. ;15(4):971–1010.
- Mohammed, H., Fareed, M., & Fatalbab, G. (2017). Effect of exercises on fatigue and activities of daily living among patients undergoing hemodialysis. Published Master Thesis. Faculty of Nursing, Menoufia University; 59-108.
- Naalweh, K., Barakat, M., Sweileh, M., Al-Jabi, S., Sweileh, W., & Zyoud, S. (2017). Treatment adherence and perception in patients on maintenance hemodialysis: a cross- sectional

- study in Palestine. BMC Nephrol.; 18(1):178.
- Ok, E., & Kutlu, F.Y. (2019).
 Hopelessness, Anxiety,
 Depression and Treatment
 Adherence in Chronic
 Hemodialysis Patients.
 International Journal of Caring
 Sciences.;12(1):423-9.
- Ramezani, T., Shaifirad, G., Rajati, F., Rajati, M., & Mohebi, S. (2019). Effect of educational intervention on promoting self care in hemodialysis patients: Applying the self- efficacy-theory. J Edu Health Promot.; 8(1):65.
- Schmidli, J., Widmer, M., Basile, C., de Donato, G., Gallieni, M., C., Haage, Gibbons. P., Hedin, Hamilton, G., U., Kamper, L., Lazarides, M. K., Lindsey, В., Mestres, G., Pegoraro, M., Roy, J., Setacci, C., Shemesh, D., Tordoir, J. H. M. & van Loon, M. (2018). Editor's choice - vascular access: 2018 clinical practice guidelines of the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS). European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery.;55(6), 757–818.
- Shaker, T., Hassanen, A., & Badran, H. (2018). Effect of hemodialysis patients' knowledge related to types of food rich fluid on interdialytic weight gain among hemodialysis patients. MNJ. 2(1): 130-9.
- Sobh, H., Shereif, W., Mohamed, H., & Salama, M. (2019). Effect of nursing intervention on prevention of vascular access complications for patients undergoing regular hemodialysis. International Journal of Novel Research in Health Care and Nursing.; 6(3): 440-53.

- Suganthi, S., Porkodi, A., & Geetha, P. (2019). Assess the illness perception and treatment adherence among patient with end stage renal disease. Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res.; 25(1): 12–7.
- Tao, W.W., Tao, X.M., Wang, Y., & Bi, S.H. (2020). Psycho-social and educational interventions for enhancing adherence to dialysis in adults with end-stage renal disease: a meta-analysis. J Clin Nurs.;29(15):2834-48.
- Vulpio, C., Bossola, M., Di stasio, E., Carlomagno, G., Basso, L., Castorina, M., Miggiano, G., Brys, A. (2021). The effect of dietician education program on nutritional knowledge, dietary intake and phosphate control in patients with renal failure. International Journal of Nutrition Sciences.; 6(1), 22-31.
- Wang, J., Yue, P., Huang, J., Ling, Y., Jia, L., Xiong, Y., & Sun F. (2017). Nursing intervention on the compliance of hemodialysis patients with end-stage renal disease: A meta-analysis. Blood Purif.;45(3):102-9.
- Wang, J., Yue, P., Huang, J., Xie, X., Ling, Y., Jia, L., Xiong, Y.& Sun, F. (2018). Nursing intervention on the compliance of hemodialysis patients with end-stage renal disease: A metaanalysis. Blood Purif.; 45 (1):102-9.
- Wong, M., Ghebleh, P., & Phillips, S. (2017). Tips for Dialysis Patients with Fluid Restrictions. Journal of Renal Nutrition.;27(1):35-8.
- Yamamoto, K., & Okumiya, A. (2018). Factors that promote autonomous and controlled motivation in self-management behavior of hemodialysis patients. J Health Sci.; 6(1):393–404.

- Yang, M., Zhao, H., Ding, X., Zhu, G., Yang, Z., Ding, L., Yang, X., Zhao, Y., Chen, L., Yang, R., Fang, J., & Sousa, C. (2019). Self-care behavior hemodialysis patients with arteriovenous fistula in China: A multicenter, cross-sectional study. Ther Apher Dial.; 23(2):167-72.
- Yin, J., Yin, J., Lian, R., & Zheng, J. (2021). Implementation and effectiveness of an intensive education program on phosphate control among hemodialysis patients: a non-randomized single-arm, single-center trial. BMC Nephrology.22(1):243.
- Yu-Hui Wu., Yu-Juei Hsu., & Wen-Chii Tzeng. (2022). Physical activity and health related quality of life of patients on hemodialysis with comorbidities: A cross sectional study. Int J Environ Res Public Health.; 19(1):811.
- Zha, D., Yang, X., Yang, Y., Zhang, H., Jin, Y., Li, N., & Zhou, Y. (2021). The impact of multidisciplinary collaborative nursing intervention on arteriovenous fistula in patients undergoing hemodialysis. Clinical Nursing Research.; 1(1): 1-6.