CAUSAL LINKS BETWEEN ICT, HDI, AND ECONOMIC GROWTH: EVIDENCE FROM MENA

Ehab Eldesouki

Department Of Economics Al-Sadat academy for management sciences, Cairo, Egypt

Mai Yasser¹

Department Of Economics October University for Modern Sciences and Arts, Egypt, Email:: myasser@msa.edu.eg.

Abstract This paper examines the causal links between ICT, HDI, and economic growth in the MENA region's high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries from 2002 to 2019. These panel data will be studied by using several tests such as the unit root test, Pedroni cointegration test, Kao cointegration test, and ECM test. This link will put a great load on the governments to invest more in both human capital and ICT infrastructure. This policy should be applied in both the short and long run to enhance sustainable growth and development. Therefore 17 countries were chosen from MENA depending on six variables depending on the panel ECM test. This paper ends with the significance of ICT and economic growth in the MENA region in the both short run and long run. Also, this result applies to all countries in the region whether with high-income or middle-income, or low-income countries. Also, these results show some bidirectional or unidirectional between these variables that differ according to the income level of the country.

Keywords: HDI, ICT, economic growth, MENA

JEL Codes: J24, O33, O47, O55

¹ ORCID iD 0000-0002-2067-8113

Introduction:

Economic growth becomes one of the most attractive topics that most economists and researchers. They try to link it with the different changes around us especially after the technological invasion happens all over the world. The neoclassical school was the first the link economic growth with natural and human resources such as land, labour, and also capital as known in the endogenous growth model (Lucas, 1988). All countries depend on the exploitation of natural resources besides the use of human capital in applying economic growth. The relationship between economic growth and investment in human capital attracted the attention of many researchers (Diebolt & Hippe, 2022; Bawono, 2021; Vinod & Kaushik, 2007; Asteriou & Agiomirgianakis, 2001). These were considered old economic theories (Majeed & Ayub, 2018) but modern theories gave a huge contribution to the socio-economic factors of economic growth in the context of ICT and R&D.

Nowadays, ICT becomes one of the pillars of economic growth in all countries as ICT affects individuals, firms, and the overall economy which leads to a "production paradox" (Vu, et al, 2020). Also (WB, 2016) calls for the role of ICT in eliminating information barriers and especially in paving the way for inclusion and efficiency in applying knowledge economy.

In many works of literature, this relationship was obvious in developed countries as ICT and economic growth are positively significant (Draca, et al, 2009). Furthermore, (WB, ICT for Greater Development Impact, 2012) set some goals for applying ICT in any economy that alleviates poverty, and economic growth, and increases productivity. There are many reasons behind the difference in applying ICT in developed, and developing countries. The lack of human capabilities that ICT depends on in developing countries or R&D expenses needed (Keller, 2004). Also, using ICT efficiently will decrease transaction costs and increase knowledge creation (Pilat, 2004). Also, the relationship between ICT, HDI, and economic growth, (Kowal & Pękosz, 2017) found that there is a strong link between HDI, ICT, and GNI per capita in emerging and developing countries.

The selection of the MENA region can be justified due to many reasons as the variety of income levels, the huge size of human capital, and the recent developments in ICT. Therefore the objective of that paper is to study the causal links between ICT, HDI, and economic growth in the MENA region. Furthermore, the study will divide the MENA region into three main categories according to the world bank division according to income level: high, middle, and low-income countries.

Therefore this paper will be divided into four sections as the first will be a theoretical examining the literature review. This literature review covers the theoretical framework besides the empirical studies. the second part will introduce the methodology, while the third will present the results. Finally, it will end with a conclusion and references.

Literature review:

As there is a huge debate on the relationship between ICT, and economic growth, these studies will be divided into two main groups according to the type of countries; developed countries or developing countries, or according to regional divisions such as ASEAN or OPEC for example. This part will be divided into three main parts the first will review the literature on the relationship between ICT and economic growth. While the second part will deal with the literature tackling the relationship between HDI, ICT, and economic growth. Finally, the third part will deal with the literature dealing with that relationship in the MENA region.

ICT and economic growth:

The relationship between ICT and economic growth was studied firstly in both developed and developing countries many works of literature come with different results. (Qiang, 2009) examined 120 developed and developing countries and found that the impact of ICT is higher in highincome countries than the middle and low-income countries. While (Madden & Savage, 2000) studied 43 developed and developing countries and concluded with a positive relationship between ICT, human capital, and economic growth.

As the fruits of ICT were sought in most of the developed countries in the mid of 1990s, ICT has a positively significant relationship with economic growth in advanced economies with an only acceleration of labour productivity in the USA (Jalava & Pohjola, 2002). But in comparing this relationship between the USA and Europe, the impact of ICT is greater in the USA than in Europe due to the adaptation of liberalization in the USA faster than in Europe (Inklaar, et al, 2007). (Aschauer, 1989) Studied the relationship between ICT and economic growth in the USA depending on Cobb Douglas function that concluded with a positive relationship between them. Therefore (Saidi & Shebli, 2018) found that there is a bidirectional short-run relationship between ICT and R&D, and a long-run relationship between education and ICT, as both education and R&D, are drivers of economic growth in high-income countries.

Other literature contradicts that assumption of a positive relationship in developed countries and found a negative relationship as (O'Mahony & Vecchi, 2005) reached a negative relationship between ICT and economic growth in the UK due to a lack of the needed skills. Japan has no relationship between ICT and economic growth in japan but it resulted in reducing energy consumption (Ishida, 2015).

Regarding the developing countries, (Hawash & Lang, 2010) concluded that this relationship was significant and leads to an increase in productivity in 33 developing countries. The empirical relationship between HDI, ICT, and economic growth was studied in many regions (Zhang & Danish, 2019) studied this relation in developing Asian countries and concluded that only high-income and middle-income countries in Asia have a positive relationship while no relation for low-income countries. When trying to link the landline and mobile lines to the relationship between ICT and economic growth, (Lee, et al, 2009) this positive relationship happens in Sub-Saharan countries (SSA) when mobile lines were introduced instead of landlines.

In linking R&D with ICT and economic growth, (Sağlam, 2018) concluded that there is a bidirectional relationship between ICT and economic growth in 34 countries of OECD with no effect on the R&D expenses or employment. Other literature linked ICT with economic growth in the context of landline and mobile lines in developing countries to reduce the digital divide and enhance economic growth (Waverman et al, 2005; Sridhar & Sridhar, 2008). (Aschauer, 1989) Studied the relationship between ICT and economic growth in the USA depending on Cobb Douglas function that concluded with positive relationships between them. (Nasab & Aghaei, 2009) found that there is a positive significant relationship among OPEC countries.

HDI and economic growth

GDP per capita is considered an important indicator in measuring economic growth. When it comes to economic development, HDI is the most reliable in most literature. The human development index (HDI) is a composite indicator. It is used to measure the quality of life for humans in the sense of education, health, and standard of living. Most of the literature concluded that there is a positive relation between HDI and economic growth as (Elistia & Syahzuni, 2018) studied the impact of HDI on economic growth and found that there is a positive impact of HDI on economic growth in 10 ASEAN countries. Also, (Meyer, et al, 2017) examined this relationship in South Africa and found that there is a shortrun and long-run significant relationship between it, especially in alleviating poverty. However (Abraham & Ahmed, 2011) studied how HDI can affect economic growth in Nigeria. They concluded that human development affects economic growth only in the long,-run not in the short run. That is due to the policies aiming at accelerating the alleviation of poverty. moreover (Girma, et al, 2005) in their study investigated the economic growth and development in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) from 1970 to 1997 and found that there is a positive relationship between aid on growth and development. Finally

ICT, HDI, and economic growth in MENA

Concerning the studies on the MENA region, few studies linked the three variables – GDP per capita, HDI, and ICT- together. The studies were divided into 2 main topics; the relationship between ICT and economic growth, or between HDI and economic growth in the MENA region. (Lechman, 2022) studied these relationships in MENA aa s whole regardless of the differences according to income levels. The positive relationship between these variables was studied only by (Nour, 2002) but with application to only 7 Arab countries in the Gulf region. ICT was used as a substitute for corruption in enhancing economic growth in MENA (Mim & Jeguirim, 2021). Other literature examined the relationship between financial development, ICT, and economic growth in the MENA region and reached a result of a negative relationship between financial development and economic growth, and a positive relationship between ICT and economic growth (Sassi & Goaied, 2013).

The previous presentation of literature presented the following two gaps: the first is the small amount of literature dealing with the MENA region in the context of HDI, ICT, and economic growth. The second gap is the lack of studies that deal with different income levels in the MENA region.

Methodology

Data

The data used in the paper were extracted from two main sources; World Bank and UNDP as shown in table 1. Regarding HDI, UNDP was regarded as the only source for it, while all other data were from World Bank. All data were on an annual basis from 2002 to 2019 for 17 countries only from MENA due to a lack of information for other countries. These variables were used in logarithms forms to decrease heterogeneity.

| Variable | Symbol | Units | Source |
|--|--------|------------|------------|
| GDP per capita growth rate | GDP | Percentage | World Bank |
| Fixed broadband per 100 | FBRD | Percentage | World Bank |
| percentage of individuals using the internet | INT | Percentage | World Bank |
| Human development index (HDI) | HDI | Decimal | UNDP |

Table 1: variables used (2002-2019)

In choosing the countries in the MENA region, the author was willing to apply the study to all countries of MENA but some problems hindered that. One of these problems is the lack of data available in some countries, while the second problem is the delay in applying ICT in many economies. Therefore the result is those 17 countries that overcome these problems. According to World Bank classifications, these countries were categorized into three main categories; high income, middle income, and low income. That paper uses 7 countries as high-income countries that were Saudi Arabia, United Arab Emirates, Qatar, Kuwait, Israel, Kuwait, Bahrain, and Cyprus. Also, Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Jordan, and Kuwait are considered middleincome countries compared to Yemen, Lebanon, Algeria, Morocco, and Tunisia which are regarded as low-income countries.

Proposed Model

As the main aim of the paper is to test the relationship between HDI, ICT and economic growth in the MENA region, the author divided this region into three categories that reflect the differences between these countries in the aspect of income and infrastructure needed to apply digitalization.

Therefore the model proposed will be as follows;

GDP = f(HDI, FBRD, INTRT)equation 1 Then to express the function –expressed in equation 1- in the econometric model, the equation will be as follows:

 $ln \ ln \ GDP = \propto +\beta 1 ln INTRT + \beta 2 ln FBRD + \beta 3 ln HDI + \varepsilon$ Equation 2 Where GDP indicates GDP per capita, INTRT indicates the access to the internet, FBRD indicates fixed broadband per 100 habitants, and HDI indicates the human development index. $\beta 1$, $\beta 2$, and $\beta 3$ are the slope of parameters and α is the fixed effect of the parameters.

The unit root test adopted was Im, Pearsan, and Shim (IPS) as it helps in studying the time series and individual effects, and common time effects (Maddala & Wu, 1999). To measure the relationship between the variables, the author used Pedroni cointegration and Kao cointegration tests to test the relationships in the long run and the short run. Also, a substitution between the variables has occurred to test the different effects of them whether they were bilateral or unilateral direction relationships.

Also in adopting the error correction model, the basic structure of the equation used in it is shown as follows in equation 3:

 $\Delta y_t = \propto + \Delta a x_{t-1} + E C_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$ equation 3

Where EC denotes the error correction and is used to measure the speed of various variables. Δy_t is the change in GDP per capita growth, while x denotes the variables in the model and t is the change in time.

Results

The descriptive data show that the high-income countries scored the maximum values for HDI, GDP, FBRD, and INT. then the middle-income countries come in second place and low-income countries are last. The mean for the GDP per capita in middleincome countries exceeds those in the other two groups.

| Variable | High in | come | | | Middle income | | | | | Low income | | | | |
|-------------|---------|----------|------------|--------|---------------|----------|---------------|-------|-------|------------|----------|------|--|--|
| | Mean | Std, dev | Min | Max | Mean | Std, dev | Min | Max | mean | Std, dev | Min | max | | |
| LogGDP | 0.235 | 4.29 | - 15.15 | 15.988 | 2.096 | 3.32 | - 6.0 2 | 9.5 | 1.2 | 4.87 | -24 | 9.13 | | |
| LogFBR D | 11.98 | 10.4 | 0.035 | 36.77 | 4.52 | 4.64 | 9.4 7 | 17.05 | 3.26 | 3.31 | 0 | 18 | | |
| LogHDI | 0.835 | 0.038 | 0.74 | 0.919 | 0.714 | 0.051 | 0.6 2 | 0.82 | 0.97 | -0.65 | 0.5 2 | 0.52 | | |
| LogINT | 59.48 | 27.8 | 6.384 | 99.70 | 30.75 | 21.34 | 0.9 3 | 77.77 | 31.13 | 0.4 | 1.5 9 | 1.59 | | |

Table 2: descriptive data results

Source: authors` calculations

Unit root

To test the heterogeneity of the data, IM, Pearson, and shin (IPS) will be reliable. This will be applied to the three income groups of the MENA region at the level and first difference. The results computed in table 4 show that there are mixed results at different levels whether I (0) or I (1). The MENA countries are stationary at all levels for GDP only, while there were stationary at the first level.

| | Tat | ole 3: un | it root | results | 5 | | | | | | | |
|-----|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| | | Н | igh incon | ne | | | Mide | dle incom | | Low | income | |
| | Leve | | 1 st difference | | Le vel | | 1 st difference | | lev el | | 1 st difference | |
| | No | Tren | No | tre | No | Tr | No | Tr | No | tre | No | Tr |
| | trend | | trend | nd | trend | end | trend | end | trend | pu | trend | end |
| DP | 3.76991* ** | 3.66568* ** | 6.279 17*** | 4.339 26*** | 2.009 81** | 2.049 31** | 4.942 55*** | 3.656 15*** | 1.90 66** | 2.56 6*** | 7.795 9*** | 6.160 9*** |
| BRI | D 1.07048 | 1.35854* | 2.167 15** | 0.867 07 | .3972 9 | .1827 4 | 0.112 25 | .4350 3 | .663 7 | .044 6 | 1.475 * | 1.465 7* |
| DI | .95240 | .25105 | 4.051 22*** | 2.980 27*** | 0.590 13 | 0.445 72 | 2.552 4*** | 1.792 59** | 2.18 5** | 0.79 66 | 1.367 0* | 0.472 67 |
| NT | .08100 | .32780 | 3.931 90*** | 3.927 68*** | .1331 9 | .4171 2 | 1.221 21 | 2.346 7*** | .963 4 | .342 5 | 0.688 4 | .0461 |

Note: the unit root test is recognized at *** 1%, **5%, and * 10% Source: author`s calculations

These results can be accepted to support that the data for the three categories of countries are stationary and there will be no 2^{nd} difference for the unit root test (Khalil, et al., 2016). So it shows the existence of a long-run relationship between the variables used in the model that leads to the application of Pedroni and Kao cointegration tests.

Cointegration

To reach the links between ICT and economic growth, various cointegration tests were conducted. The author depends on only these two tests of cointegration because Johansen's test is not consistent with panel data.

Table 4: Pedroni cointegration test

| | All | High income | Middle income | Low income |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic |
| Panel v statistic | -0.574403 | 1.104274 | 0.557631 | -1.622417 |
| Panel rho statistics | 0.772563 | -0.383917 | -0.454357 | 1.295816 |
| Panel PP statistic | -4.734090*** | -3.399717*** | -5.526187*** | -0.283977 |
| Panel ADF statistic | -4.19545*** | -2.236699** | -2.444526*** | - 3.12836*** |
| Panel v statistic (weighted) | -0.476514 | -0.861538 | -0.302372 | -1.313523 |
| Panel rho statistics (weighted) | 0.288732 | -0.102039 | -0.303009 | 0.034916 |
| Panel PP statistic (weighted) | -5.749334*** | -5.381726*** | -4.043434*** | - 3.77915*** |
| Panel ADF statistic (weighted) | -4.419008*** | -4.025947*** | -2.367327*** | - 3.63870*** |
| Group rho statistic | 0.695451 | 1.044631 | 0.681964 | 0.187582 |
| Group PP statistic | -12.67325*** | -8.903046*** | -8.888698*** | -6.4396*** |
| Group ADF statistic | -4.1199*** | -4.598847*** | -3.913582*** | -3.2448*** |

Source: author's calculations

The findings began with the Pedroni panel and then Kao tests were used to test the long-run relationships. Table 4 shows the significance of all variables whether for the regions of MENA as a whole or each category of the case studies at 1% with exception of rho and v statistics. This ends with a strong rejection of the null hypothesis of no cointegration between ICT and GDP at 1% for all variables. Therefore we can conclude that ICT and economic growth are correlated and integrated in the long run.

Kao test found that all variables are correlated at all levels at 1% which also found that there is a strong long-run relationship between these variables. The probability of these categories is 0.0000 except for the middle-income countries which were 0.0125 which is considered also as 5% as shown in table 5.

| | All | High income | Middle income | Low income | | |
|-----|------------|----------------|------------------|---------------|--|--|
| | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic | Statistic | | |
| ADF | -3.2031*** | -3.480327*** | -2.242199** | -4.034246*** | | |
| | | C (1 | × 1 1 / | | | |

Table 5: Kao cointegration test

Source: author's calculations

Then the short-run and long-run results are explained in table 6 as tables 4 and 5 showed that the variables are integrated in the first order. Then a granger causality test of the error correction model was conducted. The results show that there is a huge difference in the relationship between ICT and GDP in the countries of the MENA region, especially when dividing these countries according to income levels- whether high or middle, or low. The high-income countries have a significant relationship between ICT and GDP with regard to all variables except HDI. But in the short run, FBRD is bidirectional significant only with INTRT. Also, there is a unidirectional significant relationship between HDI and FBROD from one side, and FROD and HDI. Finally, although these countries have some different variables between them as HDI and INTRT, all MENA countries have significant relationships between GDP and other variables.

Table 6: ECM results

| | High income | | | | | Middle Income | | | | | Low income | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| Depende nt variable | D(GDP) | D(INTRT) | D(FBRD) | D(HDI) | ECM | D(GDP) | D(INTRT) | D(FBRD) | D(HDI) | ECM | | D(GDP) | D(INTRT) | D(FBRD) | D(HDI) | ECM |
| D(GDP) | - | 0.046225 | 0.046255 | -0.02397 | - 0.297703* ** | - | -0.095001 | 0.028452 | 74.02309** | - 0.851133*** | | - | 0.023796 | 0.076383 | -99.07051** | - 0.675749*** |
| D(INTR T) | 0.265361 | - | 0.977379*** | 100.5168 | 0.418759* ** | -0.100812 | - | 2.781707*** | 108.5773 | 0.025149 | 0.108527 | - | - | 1.476152*** | * 82.42333* | -0.011351 |
| D(FBRD) | -0.044244 | 0.133236*** | - | 100.7745* ** | 0.023328 | -0.001127 | 0.078948* ** | - | 35.01993*** | 0.008935 | | 0.004221 | 0.121438*** | - | -6.098936 | 0.055214 |
| D(HDI) | -0.000200 | -0.00000955 | 0.0003733*** | - | 0.319273* ** | 0.000360 | 0.000278 | 0.003976*** | - | -0.025069 | | 0.000635 | 0.000635** | -0.000570 | - | -0.027378 |

Source: author's calculations

Discussion

The results of the study show the significance of the relationships between ICT and GDP in the MENA region that can be applied by investing more in telecommunications infrastructure. This was clear in the results of high-income countries in the region that the ICT indicators were significant with GDP in the long run compared with low and middle-income countries with low-quality of ICT infrastructure.

The findings show a bidirectional relationship between the use of the internet and fixed broadband per 100 in high-income countries which affects economic growth in the long run (Czernich, et al, 2011; Farhadi, et al, 2012; Castaldo, et al, 2015).

One more important result that can be explained is the bidirectional relationship between HDI and GDP per capita in low-income countries as more investment in human capital as education and health will result in more human development that will conclude with more economic growth. Also, the investment in human capital will enhance the use of ICT which affects positively the economic growth in the MENA region. This implies that the investment in human capital and research and development "R&D" should be increased as the MENA region is one of the world`s highly invested in energy (Saidi, et al, 2018).

Finally, To enhance economic growth, economic development should be presented in the aspects of technology and how to use it in different fields besides education and health.

Conclusion

From the empirical analysis, there is cointegration between ICT, HDI, and economic growth in the MENA region regardless of the income level of the countries. The study ignored the use of fixed line subscribers, and mobile lines subscribers that were used in many other studies because those are available for most citizens in MENA. Therefore the core variable that the author assumed to be significant is the use of the internet and fixed broadband.

For further study, the availability of more data on the lowincome countries in the MENA region will be beneficial in any other study related to ICT, and economic growth as the lack of transparency of data acts as a huge obstacle in the study. Regarding the policy implications, the government should give more attention to investments in the ICT infrastructure besides the techniques of ICT know-how.

References:

- Abraham, T. W., & Ahmed, U. (2011). Economic Growth and Human Development Index in Nigeria: An Error Correction Model Approach. International Journal of Administration and Development Studies, 239 – 254, .
- Aschauer, D. A. (1989). Is public expenditure productive? Journal of Monetary Economics, 177-200, https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3932(89)90047-0.
- Asteriou, D., & Agiomirgianakis, G. (2001). Human capital and economic growth: Time series evidence from Greece. Journal of Policy Modelling, 481-489, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0161-8938(01)00054-0.
- Bawono, S. (2021). Human Capital, Technology, and Economic Growth: A Case Study of Indonesia. Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, doi:10.13106/jafeb.2021.vol8.no5.0029.
- Bernard, A., Redding, S., & Schott, P. (2007). Comparative Advantage and Heterogeneous Firms. Review of Economic Studies, 31-66, doi: 0034-6527/07/00020031\$02.00.
- Castaldo, A., Fiorini, A., & Maggi, B. (2015). fixed broadband connections and economic growth: a dynamic OECD panel analysis. Public Finance Research papers, retrieved from https://ideas.repec.org/p/gfe/pfrp00/00017.html on 27th of july 2022 at 10 pm.
- Czernich, N., Falck, O., Kretschmer, T., & Woessman, L. (2011). Broadband Infrastructure and Economic Growth. The economic Journal, 505-532, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0297.2011.02420.x.
- Diebolt, c., & Hippe, R. (2022). the long run impact of human capital on innovation and economic growth n Europe. Human capital and regional development in Europe, 85-115, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-90858-4_5.
- Draca, M., Sadun, R., & Reenen, J. (2009). Productivity and ICTs: A review of the evidence. The Oxford handbook of communications and information technology, DOI: 10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199548798.003.0005.
- Elistia, & Syahzuni, B. (2018). The Correlation of Human Development index towards Economic Growth in 10 ASEAN member countries. Journal of Humanities and social sciences, 40-46, DOI: 10.33751/jhss.v2i2.949.

- Farhadi, M., Ismail, R., & Fooladi, M. (2012). Information and Communication Technology Use and Economic Growth. PLOS, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0048903.
- Girma, S., Gomanee, K., & Morrissey, O. (2005). Aid and Growth in Sub-Saharan Africa: Accounting for Transmission Mechanisms. Journal of International Development 17(8, 1055-1075, DOI: 10.1002/jid.1259.
- Hawash, R., & Lang, G. (2010). The Impact of Information Technology on Productivity in Developing Countries. the German univerity in Cairo, retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/46437836_The_Impact _of_Information_Technology_on_Productivity_in_Developing_ Countries on 26thof july 2022.
- Inklaar, R., Timmer, M., & Ark, B. (2007). Market services productivity across Europe and the US. economic policy, 139–194, doi:10.1111/j.1468-0327.2007.00194.x.
- Ishida, H. (2015). the effect of ICT developemnt on economic growth and energy consumption in Japan. Telematics informatics, 79-88, DOI:10.1016/j.tele.2014.04.003.
- Jalava, J., & Pohjola, M. (2002). Economic growth in the New Economy: evidence from advanced economies. Information Economics and Policy 14, 189-210, doi:10.1016/S0167-6245.
- Keller, W. (2004). International Technology Diffusion. Journal of Economic Literature, DOI: 10.1257/0022051042177685.
- Khalil, U., Alamgir, Khan, Z., Khan, D. M., Ali, A., Khan, S. A., & Alamgir. (2016). Unit Root Testing and Estimation in Nonlinear ESTAR Models with Normal and Non-Normal Errors. PLOS one, DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0166990.
- Kowal, J., & Pękosz, G. (2017). ICT for Global Competitiveness and Economic Growth in Emerging Economies: Economic,Cultural, and Social Innovations for Human Capital in Transition Economies. Information Systems Management 34(3), 304-307, DOI: 10.1080/10580530.2017.1366215.
- Lechman, E. (2022). Digital Gaps and Economic Inequalities in MENA Countries: An Empirical Investigation. In B. Ali, Perspectives on Development in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) Region (pp. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-92133-0_2).
- Lee, S. H., Levendis , J., & Gutierrez, L. (2009). Telecommunications and Economic Growth: An Empirical Analysis of Sub-Saharan Africa . SSRN enginerring journal, doi:10.2139/ssrn.1567703.
- Lucas, R. (1988). On the mechanics of economic development. Journal of Monetary economics, 3-42, retrieved on 25th of july from

https://www.academia.edu/35250860/ON_THE_MECHANICS_ OF_ECONOMIC_DEVELOPMENT#:~:text=This%20is%20wh at%20I%20mean%20by%20%EE%80%80the%27%20mechanic s%27,the%20actual%20world%20that%20I%20have%20just%2 0described.

- Maddala, G. S., & Wu, S. (1999). A Comparative Study of Unit Root Tests with Panel Data and a New Simple Test. OXFORD BULLETIN OF ECONOMICS AND STATISTICS, 631-652, https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0084.0610s1631.
- Madden, G., & Savage, S. (2000). Telecommunications and Economic growth. Journal of Social economics, 893-906, doi/10.1108/03068290010336397.
- Mahiyden, J. M., Ismail, N., & Hook, L. (2012). A Pooled Mean Group Estimation on ICT Infrastructure and Economic Growth in ASEAN-5 Countries. International Journal of Economics and Management, 6(2),, 36-378, retrieved from http://www.ijem.upm.edu.my/vol6no2/bab08.pdf on 25th og july 2022.
- Majeed, M. T., & Ayub, T. (2018). Information and Communication Technology (ICT) and Economic Growth Nexus: A Comparative. Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Sciences, 443-476, retrieved from https://www.econstor.eu/handle/10419/188352 on 25th of july 2022.
- Meyer, D. F., Masehla, T., & Kot, S. (2017). The Relationship Between Economic growth and Economic Development: a regional assessment in South Africa. J. Advanced Res. L. & Econ., doi: 10.14505/jarle.v8.4(26).38.
- Mim, S. B., & Jeguirim, M. (2021). ICT and Growth in MENA Countries: What Are the Involved Transmission Channels. Economic development in MENA region, doi:10.1007/978-3-030-66380-3.
- Nasab, E. H., & Aghaei, M. (2009). The Effect of ICT on Economic Growth: Further Evidence . International Bulletin of Business Administration (5), 46-56, retrived from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/237227348_The_Effect _of_ICT_on_Economic_Growth_Further_Evidence.
- Nour, S. S. (2002). The impact of ICT on economic development in the Arab world a comprative study of Egypt and the Gulf countries. Economic research forum (ERF), Retrived from https://erf.org.eg/publications/the-impact-of-ict-on-economic-development-in-the-arab-world-a-comparative-study-of-egypt-and-the-gulf-countries/ on 27th of july 2022 at 11 pm.

- O'Mahony, M., & Vecchi, M. (2005). Quantifying the Impact of ICT Capital on Output Growth: A Heterogeneous Dynamic Panel Approach. Economica, 615-633, retrieved from http://www.jstor.org/stable/3548947 on 25th of july 2022 at 10 pm.
- Pilat, D. (2004). The ICT Productivity Paradox: Insights from Micro Data. OECD economic papers, 37-65, retrieved on 24th of july at 12 pm from https://www.oecd.org/economy/growth/35028181.pdf .
- Qiang, W. (2009). Telecommunications and Economic Growth. Washington: Banque mondiale, doi/10.1108/03068290010336397.
- Sağlam, B. B. (2018). ICT Diffusion, R&D Intensity, and Economic Growth: a Dynamic Panel Data Approach. Journal Of Knowledge economy, 636-648, DOI 10.1007/s13132-016-0353-0.
- Saidi, K., & Shebli, M. (2018). The Effect of Education, R&D and ICT on Economic Growth in High Income Countries. Economic Bulletin, 810-825, retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/324597093_The_Effect _of_Education_RD_and_ICT_on_Economic_Growth_in_High_I ncome_Countries/citations on 25th of july 2022.
- Saidi, K., Mbarek, M., & Amamri, M. (2018). Causal Dynamics between Energy Consumption, ICT, FDI, and Economic Growth: Case Study of 13 MENA countries. Journal of Knowledge Economy, 328-338, DOI 10.1007/s13132-015-0337-5.
- Sassi, S., & Goaied, M. (2013). Financial development, ICT diffusion and economic growth: Lessons from MENA region. Telecommunications Policy, 252-261, doi:10.1016/j.telpol.2012.12.004.
- Sridhar, K. S., & Sridhar, V. (2008). Telecommunications Infrastructure and Economic Growth: Evidence from Developing Countries. Applied Econometrics and International Development, retrieved from https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1250082on

12500820n 25th of July 2022 at 9 pm.

- Vinod, H. D., & Kaushik, S. (2007). Human Capital and Economic Growth: Evidence from Developing Countries. The American Economist, 29-39, https://doi.org/10.1177/056943450705100103.
- Vu, K., Hanafizadeh, P., & Bohlin, E. (2020). ICT as a driver of economic growth: A survey of the literature and directions for future research. Telecommunications policy (44), doi:10.1016/j.telpol.2020.101922.

- Waverman, L., Meschi, M., & Fuss, M. (2005). The Impact of Telecoms on Economic Growth in Developing Countries. The Vodafone Policy Paper Series, retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265758950_The_Impa ct_of_Telecoms_on_Economic_Growth_in_Developing_Countri es on 25th of July 2022 at 8 pm.
- WB. (2012). ICT for Greater Development Impact. World Bank, retrieved from: http://siteresources.worldbank.org/EXTINFORMATIONAND COMMUNICATIONANDTECHNOLOGIES/Resources/WBG_ ICT_Strategy-2012.pdf on 24th of July 2022.
- WB. (2016). World development indicators. retrieved from available: http://data.worldbank.org/products/wdi on 24th of july 2022 at 4 pm: World Bank.
- Zhang, J., & Danish. (2019). The dynamic linkage between information and communication technology, human development index, and economic growth: evidence from Asian economies. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 26982–26990, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-05926-0.