Response of Two Durum Wheat Cultivars to Irrigation Levels and Nitrogen Fertilizer Splitting

Teama, E.A.; A.H. Galal; E.A. Ali and Howida E. Abdelkader

Agronomy Dept., Fac. Agric., Assiut Univ., Egypt

Received on: 25/9/2016 **Accepted for publication on:** 28/9/2016

Abstract

This study was conducted at Agronomy Department Experimental Farm, Agriculture Faculty, Assiut University during 2012 / 2013 and 2013/2014 seasons to study the response of two durum wheat cultivars to irrigation levels and nitrogen fertilizer splitting. Three experiments each season were done. Each experiment was subjected to one irrigation level (100, 75 and 50 % of irrigation requirement). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) in split - plot arrangement with three replicates. Nitrogen fertilizer splitting (2 and 4 times) were arranged in main - plots and the wheat cultivars (Benisuef 1 and Agaseed 3) were assigned in sub-plots. The obtained results showed that studied irrigation levels, nitrogen fertilizer splitting and cultivars had a significant effect on all studied traits in the two growing seasons. Here too, the first and second order interactions had a significant influence on the most studied traits in both seasons. Moreover, the highest mean values of grain yield (29.07 ardab fed⁻¹) and NUE (58.13 kg grain/kg N) applied in both seasons were obtained from Beni-suef1 cultivar subjected to I₁ irrigation level and received nitrogen fertilizer at four splits.

Keywords: Irrigation levels, nitrogen fertilizer splitting, wheat cultivars, grain yield and NUE

Introduction

Wheat ranks first among winter cereal crops in Egypt. Cereal grains are the main source of calories and protein in the most developing countries including Egypt. Most wheat services as main staple food for the majority of the country population. Wheat straw is a main source of fodder for animal feed to support the rapidly developing animal production.

In Egypt, most of wheat area is cultivated by bread wheat (T. a. vulgaris L.) while the rest with durum wheat (T. durum). The cultivated area of wheat in Egypt during 2013 season was about 1418708 ha i.e.3377876 feddan with the total vield production of 9460200 ton (FAO, 2013). While the total consumption reached about 19600000 ton. So, increasing wheat production in order to reduce the gap between production and consumption are the strategic aim. High grain yield and good bread-making quality of wheat are opposite criteria and are influenced by the environmental conditions as well as by the irrigation, variety, N-fertilization, and the interactions between these factors (Rao et al., 1993, Johansson et al., 2004 and Erekul et al., 2012). Water stress is the most important limitation to wheat productivity in semi arid regions of the world. Therefore, the development of the wheat cultivars that use available water more efficiently and that are able to tolerate drought is a major goal for increasing productivity in drought prone environments. Water deficit or drought has profound effects on wheat production in Egypt and worldwide. Water stress was found to significantly reduced grain yield and some agronomic traits of all studied cultivars. Cultivars were found to be responding differently to water stress treatments. In general, drought sensitivity increases with increasing the intensity of water stress. Significant genotypic variations were observed among these durum wheat cultivars in water use efficiency (Al-Tabbal, 2011). Water deficit occurs when water potentials in the rhizosphere are sufficiently negative to reduce water availability sub-optimal levels for plant to growth and development. Grain yield was affected by both the magnitude of water deficit and stage of growth subjected to deficit. Increasing irrigation amounts up to 100% of soil water significantly increased grain vield (Awad et al., 2000). Moisture stress is known to reduce biomass, tillering ability, grains per spike and grain size at any stage when it occurs. So, the overall effect of moisture stress depends on intensity and length of stress (Bukhat, 2005). Concerning nitrogen fertilizer splitting, In order to obtain high wheat yield and reduce potential NO-3 -N for leaching or other adverse environmental impacts, it is important to develop N management strategies to increase the N recovery fraction (NRF: kg N uptake kg N applied⁻¹) of applied N. Earlier N fertilizer application increases the risk of N loss from the root zone by leaching and denitrification. Therefore, the NRF of wheat is maximized when fertilizer is applied shortly before the period of most rapid crop N uptake (Howard et al. 2002), which occurs between early stem elongation and anthesis, the stage at which the crop can accumulate up to 75% of total N in above-ground biomass (NAB) at maturity. However, in environments with low water availability, N applications after stem elongation have been reported to reduce NRF and increased N use efficiency (Zebarth et al. 2007). Also, El-Agrodi et al. (2011) found that application of N in four splits recorded higher N percentage in whole wheat plants, straw and grains over application of N with two splits in 120 kg N per ha doses. Here too, Velasco et al. (2012) stated that in four out of six experiments, average N in aboveground biomass (NAB), N recovery fraction (NRF), and grain protein content (GPC) for split N application were greater than for full N at Z24 (NAB, 176 and 157 kg N ha-¹; NRF, 66 and 51%; GPC, 100 and 92 g kg⁻¹, for split and full application, respectively). Ν As shown by Debaeke et al. (1996) and Feil (1997) that yield and quality are negatively correlated. The objectives of this study were to study the response of two durum wheat cultivars to irrigation levels and nitrogen fertilizer splitting.

Materials and Methods:

This study was carried out during the two successive seasons 2012/ 2013 and 2013/ 2014, in the Agronomy Department Experimental Farm, Agriculture Faculty, Assiut University to study the response of two durum wheat cultivars to irrigation levels and nitrogen fertilizer splitting. The mechanical and chemical analyses of the experimental sites of the soil are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. The average physical and
chemical properties of experi-
mental soil in two seasons:

Properties	2012/2013	2013/2014
Mechanical analysis:		
Sand	26.00	26.80
Slit	24.20	23.00
Clay	49.80	50.20
Soil type	Clay	Clay
Chemical analysis:		
pН	7.73	7.80
Organic matter %	1.74	1.62
Total N%	0.08	0.07

Three experiments each season were done. Each experiment was subjected to one irrigation level (100, 75 and 50 % of irrigation requirement i.e.I₁=4000, I₂=3000 and I₃=2000 m^3/fed^{-1} , respectively). These amounts of irrigation water were recorded from the counter. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) in split - plot arrangement with three replicates. Two treatments of Nitrogen fertilizer splitting (2 and 4 times) were assigned in main - plots and the wheat cultivars (Beni-suef 1 and Agaseed 3) were arranged in subplots. Each sub-plot area was 10.5 m². Wheat grains (*Triticum durum* L. c.v 'Beni-suef1 and Agaseed 3) were sown by hand on 1 and 2 December in the first and second seasons, respectively. The recommended dose of nitrogen fertilizer (75 kg fed ⁻¹ as a form of Urea, 46.5%N) divided into two equal doses and add before second and third irrigation in the first splitting treatment (2 time), while, splitting into four equal doses (4 times) was added before the second, third, fourth and fifth irrigation. The ordinary cultural practices for growing wheat were adopted as recommended, except the experimental treatments.

At harvest five guarded main stem for each sub-plot were taken and plant height (cm), spike length (cm) and kernels weight spike⁻¹ were determined. 1000-kernel weight, number of spikes m⁻², grain and straw yields were determined in plot basis then transferred to ardab and ton fad⁻¹, respectively.

Nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) was calculated as NUE= Grain yield/ Nitrogen applied (Ali, 2010).

All data collected from each experiment under irrigation level were analyzed with analysis of variance (ANOVA) Procedures using the SAS Statistical Software Package (v.9.2, 2008). Also, combined analysis was carried out for irrigation levels to reveal the effect of this variable and the interaction involved. Means were compared by LSD at 5% level of significant (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

Results and Discussion

1-Vegetative traits:

Plant height (cm): Data presented in Table 2 reveal that irrigation levels, nitrogen fertilizer splitting, cultivars and their interaction involved had a significant influence

 $(p \le 0.05)$ on wheat plant height in the two growing seasons except the first order interaction between nitrogen fertilizer splitting and cultivars. Thus, plant height was decreased linearly by shortage in amount of water applied to wheat plants and the tallest plants were registered from I₁ treatment (80.11 and 84.08 cm) while, the shortest plants were obtained from I₃ treatment (73.48 and 79.03 cm) in the first and second seasons, respectively. This can be explained by the role of water in the cell division and elongation as well as the other physiological process such as photosynthesis, respiration and translocation. Depressed water potential suppresses cell division, organ growth, net photosynthesis, protein synthesis, and alters hormonal balances of major plant tissues (Moharram and Habib, 2011). Moreover, the splitting nitrogen fertilizer to four times increase plant height as compared to the other splitting treatment (two times) and the amount of increments were reached about 8.41 and 7.73 % in the first and second seasons, respectively. The increase in plant height by increasing N application number might be attributed to saving N in proper time and maximizing the N utilization through minimizing losses of the applied N. Similar results were reported by El-Agrodi et al.(2011). Furthermore, Beni-suef cultivar surpassed 1 Agaseed 3 one and produced the highest mean values in this respect (77.94 and 82.44 cm) in the first and second seasons, respectively. The differences between cultivars are mainly due to the interaction between their genetic makeup during growth periods and to the environmental factors prevailing during their development. These results are in agreement with that obtained by Al-Tabbal (2011). Regarding to second order interaction, data exhibited in this respect reveal that cultivated Beni-suef 1 cultivar subjected to I₁ irrigation level and received nitrogen fertilizer at four equal doses gained the highest mean values of plant height (87.30 and 87. 70 cm) in the first and second seasons, respectively.

	Seasons	20	12/2013			2013/2014			
Irrigation levels(I)	Cultivars(C) Splitting(S)	Beni- suef 1	Agasee	ed3	Mean	Beni- suef 1	Agas	eed3	Mean
I ₁	S ₁	76.33	75.40)	75.87	81.80	80.	.50	81.15
	S_2	87.30	81.40)	84.35	87.70	86.	.33	87.02
	Mean	81.82	78.40)	80.11	84.75	83.	.42	84.08
I ₂	S ₁	74.53	74.07	7	74.30	80.00	80.	.00	80.00
	S_2	79.80	78.07	7	78.94	85.03	85.	.00	85.02
	Mean	77.17	76.07	7	76.62	82.52	82.	.50	82.51
I ₃	S ₁	72.33	67.53	3	69.93	75.83	73.33		74.58
	S ₂ 77.33		76.73	3	77.03	84.27 82		.67	83.47
	Mean		72.13	3	73.48	80.05	78.00		79.03
Ge	neral mean	77.94	75.53	3		82.44	81.	.31	
C×S	S ₁	74.40	72.33	3	73.37	79.21	77.	.94	78.58
	S_2	81.48	78.73	3	80.11	85.67	84.	.67	85.17
F te	est and LSD	F test		LS	SD	F test		L	SD
	Ι	*		0.	28	*		1	.26
	S	*				*			
	С	*				*			
	I×S	*		0.	83	*		1	.10
	I×C	*		0.	24	*		0	.68
	S×C	NS				N.S			
	I×S×C	*		0.	35	*		0	.96

 Table 2. Effect of irrigation levels, Nitrogen splitting, cultivars and their interactions on plant height (cm)

Where's: I_1 , I_2 and I_3 mean 100, 75 and 50% of irrigation requirement, respectively S_1 and S_2 mean two and four nitrogen fertilizer splitting, respectively

*and NS mean significant and non-significant at 5% level of probability

Spike length (cm): Exhibited data in Table 3 reveal that irrigation levels, nitrogen fertilizer splitting, cultivars and their interaction involved had a significant influence ($p\leq$ 0.05) on wheat spike length in the two growing seasons. Therefore, decreasing amount of irrigation water applied to wheat plants from I_1 to I_2 or I_3 decreased spike length from 9.00 to 7.95 or 7.37 cm in the first season, being 8.42 to 7.93 or 7.47 cm in the second season in the same order. This is to be expected since the same trend was found with regard to plant height and consequently spike length. Moreover, the splitting nitrogen fertilizer to four times increase spike length as compared to the splitting nitrogen to two equal doses and gained 9.86 and 8.61 cm spike length in the first and

second seasons, respectively. The increase in spike length by increasing N application number might be attributed to saving N in proper time and maximizing the N utilization through minimizing losses of the applied N. the similar results were reported by El-Agrodi et al.(2011). Furthermore, Beni-suef cultivar surpassed 1 Agaseed 3 one and produced the highest mean values of spike length (8.40 and 8.11 cm) in the first and second seasons, respectively. The differences between cultivars are mainly due to the interaction between their genetic makeup during growth periods and to the environmental factors prevailing during their development. These results are in agreement with that obtained by Al-Tabbal (2011). Concerning second order interaction data presented here state that cultivated Beni-suef 1 cultivar subjected to I_1 irrigation level and received nitrogen fertilizer at four equal doses

gained the highest mean values of spike length (11.27 and 9.33 cm) in the first and second seasons, respectively.

 Table 3. Effect of irrigation levels, Nitrogen splitting, cultivars and their interactions on spike length (cm)

	Seasons	2012/2013				2013/2014			
Irrigation levels(I)	Cultivars(C) Splitting(S)	Beni- suef 1	Aga	seed3	Mean	Beni- suef 1	Agaseed3	Mean	
I ₁	S ₁	7.80	6.	80	7.30	7.73	7.57	7.65	
	S ₂	11.27	10	.13	10.70	9.33	9.03	9.18	
Mean		9.53	8.47		9.00	8.53	8.30	8.42	
I ₂	S ₁	6.33	6.	07	6.20	7.37	7.30	7.33	
	S ₂	9.80	9.	60	9.70	8.70	8.33	8.52	
Mean		8.07	7.	83	7.95	8.03	7.82	7.93	
I ₃	S ₁	5.73	5.	40	5.57	7.17	6.43	6.80	
	S ₂	9.47	8.	87	9.17	8.27	8.00	8.13	
Mean		7.60	7.13		7.37	7.72	7.22	7.47	
Gei	neral mean	8.40	7.81			8.11	7.78		
C×S	S ₁	6.62	6.	11	6.36	7.42	7.10	7.26	
	S ₂	10.18	9.	53	9.86	8.77	8.46	8.61	
F te	st and LSD	F test		L	SD	F test	LS	D	
	Ι	*		0	.12	*	0.1	1	
	S	*				*			
	С	*		-		*			
	I×S	*		0	.14	*	0.1	4	
	I×C	*	*		.11	*	0.1	1	
	S×C	*		0	.14	*	0.0	4	
	I×S×C	*		0	.12	*	0.1	1	

2- Yield components traits:

Number of spikes m⁻²: Data illustrated in Table 4 focus that the irrigation levels studied had a significant effect ($p \le 0.05$) on the number of spikes m⁻² in both seasons. Thus, number of spikes m⁻² was decreased significantly with decreasing amount of water applied to wheat plants. Decreasing irrigation water amount from I₁ to I₂ or I₃ resulting in the decrease in number of spikes m⁻² reached about 14.35 and 27.69 %, respectively in the first season, being, 5.57 and 11.96 % in the second season in the same order . This may be due to the effect of water stress on the tillering numbers which were decreased in order to irrigation water decreased. Moisture stress is known to reduce tillering ability when it occurs at any stage. So, the overall effect of moisture stress depends on intensity and length of stress (Bukhat, 2005). Furthermore, the data also, reveal that the splitting nitrogen fertilizer had a significant influence ($p \le 0.05$) in this respect in both seasons. Splitting nitrogen fertilizer into four equal doses produced the high-

est mean values of spikes number $m^{-2}(490.5 \text{ and } 403.8)$ in the first and second seasons, respectively. The beneficial effect of N splitting may be related to reduction of N losses and enhancement tillering in wheat. Moreover, the presented data state that wheat cultivars varied significantly in this respect in the two growing seasons. Thus, Beni-suef 1 cultivar surpassed Agaseed 3 one and produced the highest mean values of spikes number $m^{-2}(416.9 \text{ and }$ 369.7) in the first and second seasons, respectively. The differences between cultivars are mainly due to the interaction between their genetic makeup during growth periods and to the environmental factors prevailing during their development. These results are in agreement with that obtained by Al-Tabbal (2011). Furthermore, the all first order interactions involved had a significant effect on spikes number m^{-2} in both seasons. Also, the second order interaction among irrigation, splitting and cultivars had a significant effect on number of spikes m⁻² in the two growing seasons. Thus, the highest mean values of spike number m⁻² (588.0 and 439.0) in the first and second seasons, respectively, were obtained from Beni-suef 1 cultivar subjected to I_1 irrigation level and received nitrogen fertilizer at four equal doses

Table 4. Effect of irrigation levels, Nitrogen splitting, cultivars and their interactions on number of spikes m⁻²

	Seasons	20	012/2013		20	13/2014	
Irrigation	Cultivars(C)	Beni- suef	Agase	ed Mean	Beni- suef	Agaseed	Mean
	spinning(s)	282.2	353 7	368.5	346.0	336.0	3/1.0
11	S ₁ S ₂	588.0	528.3	558.2	439.0	424.3	431.7
Mean		485.7	441.0	463.3	392.5	380.2	386.3
I ₂	S ₁	321.7	300.0) 310.8	325.3	320.0	322.7
-	S ₂	504.0 461		482.7	414.0	400.0	407.0
	Mean	412.8	380.7	396.8	369.7	360.0	364.8
I ₃	S ₁	264.3	214.3	3 239.3	310.7	304.0	307.3
	S ₂	440.3	421.0	430.7	383.0	362.7	372.8
Mean		352.3	317.7	335.0	346.8	333.3	340.1
Ger	ieral mean	416.9	379.8	3	369.7	357.8	
C×S	S ₁	323.1	289.3	306.2	327.3	320.0	323.7
	S ₂	510.8	470.2	2 490.5	412.0	395.7	403.8
F te	st and LSD	F test		LSD	F test	L	SD
	Ι	*		9.90	*	5.	54
	S	*			*		
	С	*			*	-	
	I×S	*		7.62	*	2.	26
	I×C	*		9.79	*	2.	58
	S×C	*		7.99	*	2.	10
	I×S×C	*		13.84	*	3.	66

1000- Kernel weight (g): Exhibited data in Table 5 focus that irrigation levels, nitrogen fertilizer splitting, cultivars and their interactions involved here had a significant effect $(p \le 0.05)$ on 1000 kernel weight in the two growing seasons except the effect of first order interactions of irrigation × cultivars in the second season and nitrogen fertilizer splitting \times cultivars in the first season. Significant differences in 1000-grain weight were noted among various water stress treatments. I₁ had significantly higher 1000-grain weight than all other water stress treatments in both seasons. Similar effects of water stress on 1000-grain weight were also reported by Qadir et al. (1999), Dencic et al. (2000) and Akram (2011). Furthermore, the highest mean values of 1000- kernel weight (56.79 and 57.01 g) in the first and second seasons, respectively, were obtained when nitrogen fertilizer was divided into four equal doses. The increase in 1000 kernel weight by increasing N application number might be attributed to saving N in proper time and maximizing the N utilization through minimizing losses of the applied N which led to high photosynthesis rates, increased the amount of carbohydrate accumulation in the kernels and consequently increased kernel weight. The obtained results are in a good line with those obtained by Hirzel et al.(210), El-Agrodi et al. (2011) and Rahman et al. (2011). Concerning the studied cultivars effect. data show that Beni-suef 1 cultivar surpassed Agaseed 3 one in this respect in both seasons. The differences between cultivars are mainly due to the interaction between their genetic makeup during growth periods and to the environmental factors prevailing during their development. These results are in agreement with that obtained by Al-Tabbal (2011) and Moharram and Habib (2011). Also, the data show that the highest mean values of 1000 kernel weight (58.78 and 59.47 g) in the first and second seasons, respectively, were obtained from high irrigation level (I_1) with Beni-suef 1 cultivar subjected to four splits of nitrogen fertilizer.

Seasor	18	2012/2013				2013/2014			
Irrigation levels (I)	Cultivars(C) Splitting(S)	Beni- suef 1	Agas 3	seed	Mean	Beni- suef 1	Agaseed 3	Mean	
I ₁	S ₁	51.45	50.	82	51.13	52.17	51.00	51.58	
	S ₂	58.78	58.	38	58.58	59.47	58.30	58.88	
Mear	1	55.12	54.	60	54.86	55.82	54.65	55.23	
I ₂	S ₁	49.59	48.	25	48.92	49.88	48.30	49.09	
	S ₂	57.28	56.	40	56.84	57.47	56.89	57.18	
Mean		53.44	52.	33	52.88	53.68	52.60	53.14	
I ₃	S ₁	46.60	44.	87	45.74	46.90	45.17	46.03	
	S ₂	56.04	53.	87	54.96	56.17	53.77	54.97	
Mean		51.32	49.	37	50.35	51.53	49.47	50.50	
General r	nean	53.29	52.	10		53.68	52.24		
C×S	S ₁	49.21	47.	98	48.60	49.65	48.16	48.90	
	S ₂	57.37	56.	22	56.79	57.70	56.32	57.01	
F test and	LSD	F test		Ι	LSD	F test	L	SD	
I		*		(0.20	*	0.	.13	
S		*				*	-		
С		*				*			
I×S		*		().13	*	0.	.11	
I×C		*		().16	NS			
S×C		NS				*	0.	0.13	
I×S×(*		0	0.23	*	0.	0.20	

 Table 5. Effect of irrigation levels, Nitrogen splitting, cultivars and their interactions on 1000 kernel weight (g).

Kernels weight spike⁻¹(g), Data presented in Table 6 reveal that involved irrigation levels, nitrogen fertilizer splitting, cultivars and their interaction involved had a significant effect ($p \le 0.05$) on kernels weight spike⁻¹ trait in the two growing seasons. Thus, weight of kernels spike⁻¹ was decreased linearly by shortage in amount of water applied to wheat plants and the heaviest kernels spike were registered from I_1 treatment (3.35 and 3.65 g) in the first and second seasons, respectively, while, the kernels spike⁻¹ were obthinnest tained from I_3 treatment (2.82 and 3.16 g) in the first and second seasons, respectively. This is to be logic since the same trend was obtained with regard 1000 kernels weight and consequently weight of kernels spike⁻¹. Similar effects of water stress on 1000-grain weight were also reported by Qadir et al. (1999), Dencic et al. (2000) and Akram (2011). Moreover, the splitting nitrogen fertilizer to four splits increased weight of kernels spike⁻¹ as compared to the other splitting treatment (two splits) and the amount of increments were reached about 21.03 and 26.49 % in the first and second seasons, respectively. This is to be expected since the same split treatment produced the highest mean values with regard to 1000 kernels weight trait as mentioned before and consequently produced the highest mean values of kernel weight spike⁻¹. The similar results were reported by

El-Agrodi et al. (2011). Furthermore, Beni-suef cultivar surpassed 1 Agaseed 3 one and produced the highest mean values in this respect (3.17 and 3.51 g) in the first and second seasons, respectively. This is logic since the same cultivar recorded the highest mean values with regard to 1000 kernels weight trait as mentioned before and consequently produced the highest mean values of kernels weight spike⁻¹ trait. These results are in agreement with that obtained by Al-Tabbal (2011). Concerning the second order interaction, data illustrated in this respect focus that cultivated Beni-suef 1 cultivar subjected to I_1 irrigation level and received nitrogen fertilizer at four equal doses gained the highest mean values of kernels weight spike⁻¹ (3.79 and 4.26g) in the first and second seasons, respectively. This is to be expected since the same interaction was significant with regard to 1000 kernel weight.

Table 6. Effect of irrigation levels, Nitrogen splitting, cultivars and their interactions on kernels weight spike⁻¹(g)

Seaso	ons	2	2012/2	2013		2	013/2014		
Irrigation levels(I)	Cultivars(C) Splitting(S)	Beni- suef 1	Agas	seed3	Mean	Beni- suef 1	Agaseed3	Mean	
I ₁	S ₁	3.03	2.	92	2.98	3.28	3.16	3.22	
	S ₂	3.79	3.	67	3.73	4.26	3.90	4.08	
Mea	n	3.41	3.29		3.35	3.77	3.53	3.65	
I ₂	S ₁	2.88	2.	76	2.82	3.10	3.03	3.07	
	S ₂	3.52	3.	38	3.45	3.85	3.77	3.81	
Mean		3.20	3.	07	3.14	3.48	3.40	3.44	
I ₃	S ₁	2.54	2.	29	2.42	2.95	2.58	2.77	
	S ₂	3.26	3.	18	3.22	3.62	3.49	3.56	
Mean		2.90	2.	74	2.82	3.29	3.03	3.16	
General	mean	3.17	3.03			3.51	3.32		
C×S	S ₁	2.82	2.	66	2.74	3.11	2.92	3.02	
	S ₂	3.53	3.	41	3.47	3.91	3.72	3.82	
F test an	d LSD	F test		L	SD	F test	LS	SD	
Ι		*		0.	031	*	0.0	17	
S		*			**	*		-	
С		*		-		*		-	
I×S	5	*		0.	055	*	0.0	18	
I×(*		0.	039	*	0.0	14	
S×(2	*		0.	033	*	0.0	0.012	
I×S×	C	*		0.	055	*	0.0	20	

Biological yield (ton fed.⁻¹)

Data illustrated in Table 7 focus that the studied irrigation levels had a significant effect ($p \le 0.05$) on the biological yield in both seasons. Thus, biological yield was decreased significantly with decreasing amount of water applied to wheat plants. Decreasing irrigation water amount from I_1 to I_2 or I_3 resulting in the decrease in biological yield reached about 8.49 and 14.15 %, respectively in the first season, being, 5.51 and 11.51 % in the second season in the same order. This is to be expected since the same trend was obtained with regard to plant height, number of spikes m⁻² and weight of kernels spike⁻¹as mentioned previous. These findings were in a good line with those obtained by Oadir et al. (1999), Dencic et al. (2000) and Akram (2011). Furthermore, the data also, reveal that splitting nitrogen fertilizer had a significant influence $(p \le 0.05)$ on the biological yield in both seasons. Splitting nitrogen fertilizer into four equal doses produced the highest mean values of biological yield (10.34 and 10.17 t fed⁻¹) in the first and second seasons, respectively. This is logic since the same trend was obtained with regard to plant height, number of spikes m⁻² and weight of kernels spike⁻¹as mentioned previous. Moreover, the presented data state that wheat cultivars varied significantly in this respect in the two growing seasons. Thus, Beni-suef 1 cultivar surpassed Agaseed 3 one and produced the highest mean values of biological yield $(9.67 \text{ and } 9.58 \text{ t fed}^{-1})$ in the first and second seasons, respectively. The differences between cultivars are mainly due to the interaction between their genetic makeup during growth periods and to the environmental factors prevailing during their development. These results are in agreement with that obtained by Al-Tabbal (2011). Furthermore, the all first order interactions involved in this respect had a significant effect on biological yield in both seasons. Here too, the second order interaction among irrigation, splitting and cultivars had a significant affect on biological yield in the two growing seasons. Thus, the highest mean values of biological yield (11.60 and 11.15 t fed⁻¹) in the first and second seasons, respectively, were obtained from Beni-suef 1 cultivar subjected to I₁ irrigation level and received nitrogen fertilizer at four splits.

Sea	sons	2	2012/2013		2013/2014			
Irrigation levels(I)	Cultivars(C) Splitting(S)	Beni- suef 1	Agaseed3	Mean	Beni- suef 1	Agaseed3	Mean	
I ₁	S ₁	9.34	9.07	9.21	9.17	9.04	9.11	
	S ₂	11.60	10.99	11.30	11.15	10.60	10.87	
Μ	ean	10.47	10.03	10.25	10.16	9.82	9.99	
I ₂	S ₁	8.73	8.51	8.62	8.85	8.68	8.77	
	S ₂	10.36	9.91	10.13	10.24	10.00	10.12	
Mean		9.54	9.21	9.38	9.55	9.34	9.44	
I ₃	S ₁	8.19	7.85	8.02	8.35	7.97	8.16	
	S ₂	9.80	9.37	9.59	9.69	9.33	9.51	
Mean		9.00	8.61	8.80	9.02	8.65	8.84	
Genera	al mean	9.67	9.29		9.58	9.27		
C×S	S ₁	8.75	8.48	8.62	8.79	8.56	8.68	
	S ₂	10.59	10.09	10.34	10.36	9.98	10.17	
F test a	nd LSD	F test		LSD	D F test		SD	
	Ι	*	().142	*			
	S	*			*	0.0	079	
	С	*			*	*	<*	
I	×S	*	().122	*	*	< *	
I	×C	*	().123	*	0.0	073	
S	×C	*	(0.105	*	0.0	058	
I×S	S×C	*	().175	*	0.0	067	

Table 7. Effect of irrigation levels, Nitrogen splitting, cultivars and their interactions on biological yield (ton fed⁻¹)

Table 7. Effect of irrigation levels, Nitrogen splitting, cultivars and their interactions on biological yield (ton fed⁻¹)

Se	asons	2	2012/2013	013		2013/2014		
Irrigation levels(I)	Cultivars(C) Splitting(S)	Beni- suef 1	Agaseed3	Mean	Beni- suef 1	Agaseed3	Mean	
I ₁	S ₁	9.34	9.07	9.21	9.17	9.04	9.11	
	S ₂	11.60	10.99	11.30	11.15	10.60	10.87	
Ν	lean	10.47	10.03	10.25	10.16	9.82	9.99	
I ₂	\mathbf{S}_1	8.73	8.51	8.62	8.85	8.68	8.77	
	S ₂	10.36	9.91	10.13	10.24	10.00	10.12	
Ν	lean	9.54	9.21	9.38	9.55	9.34	9.44	
I ₃	S ₁	8.19	7.85	8.02	8.35	7.97	8.16	
	S ₂	9.80	9.37	9.59	9.69	9.33	9.51	
Mean		9.00	8.61	8.80	9.02	8.65	8.84	
Gene	ral mean	9.67	9.29		9.58	9.27		
C×S	S ₁	8.75	8.48	8.62	8.79	8.56	8.68	
	S_2	10.59	10.09	10.34	10.36	9.98	10.17	
F test	and LSD	F test	;	LSD	F test	L	SD	
	Ι	*	().142	*			
	S	*			*	0.0	079	
	С	*			*	×	<*	
I×S		*	().122	*	×	**	
	I×C	*	().123	*	0.0	073	
	S×C	*	(0.105	*	0.0	058	
I>	<s×c< th=""><th>*</th><th>(</th><th>).175</th><th>*</th><th>0.0</th><th>067</th></s×c<>	*	().175	*	0.0	067	

Grain yield (ardab fed.⁻¹)

Presented data in Table 8 focus that the studied irrigation levels had a significant effect ($p \le 0.05$) on the grain yield in both seasons. Thus, grain yield was decreased significantly with decreasing amount of water applied to wheat plants. Decreasing irrigation water amount from I_1 to I_2 or I_3 resulting in the decrease in grain yield reached about 11.19 and 17.74 %, respectively in the first season, being, 7.71 and 15.14 % in the second season in the same order. This is to be expected since the same trend was obtained with regard to 1000 kernels weight, weight of kernels spike⁻¹ and biological yield as mentioned previous. These findings were in a good line with those obtained by Qadir et al. (1999), Dencic et al. (2000) and Akram (2011). Furthermore, the data also, reveal that splitting nitrogen fertilizer had a significant influence ($p \le 0.05$) on the grain yield in both seasons. Splitting nitrogen fertilizer into four equal doses produced the highest mean values of grain yield (25.45 and 25.27ardab fed⁻¹) in the first and second seasons, respectively. This is logic since the same trend was obtained with regard to 1000 kernels weight, weight of

kernels spike⁻¹ and biological yield as mentioned previous. Moreover, the presented data state that wheat cultivars varied significantly in this respect in the two growing seasons. Thus, Beni-suef 1 cultivar surpassed Agaseed 3 one and produced the highest mean values of grain yield $(23.82 \text{ and } 23.70 \text{ ardab } \text{fed}^{-1})$ in the first and second seasons, respectively. This is logic since the same trend was observed with regard to weight of kernels spike⁻¹. The differences between cultivars are mainly due to the interaction between their genetic makeup during growth periods and to the environmental factors prevailing during their development. These results are in agreement with that obtained by Al-Tabbal (2011). Furthermore, the all first order interactions in this respect had a significant effect on grain yield in both seasons. Also, the second order interaction among irrigation, splitting and cultivars had a significant effect on grain yield in the two growing seasons. Thus, the highest mean value of grain yield (29.07 ardab fed⁻¹) in both seasons were obtained from Beni-suef 1 cultivar subjected to I₁ irrigation level and received nitrogen fertilizer at four splits

Se	easons	2	2012/2013		2	2013/2014			
Irrigation levels(I)	Cultivars(C) Splitting(S)	Beni- suef 1	Agaseed3	Mean	Beni- suef 1	Agaseed3	Mean		
T	S ₁	23.79	22.92	23.36	22.58	22.13	22.36		
11	S ₂	29.07	26.83	27.95	29.07	26.40	27.73		
Mean		26.43	24.88	25.65	25.82	24.27	25.04		
т	S ₁	21.11	20.29	20.70	21.69	21.07	21.38		
12	S ₂	25.44	24.29	24.87	25.51	24.18	24.84		
Mean		23.28	22.29	22.78	23.60	22.62	23.11		
I_3	S ₁	19.27	18.08	18.68	19.82	18.67	19.25		
	S ₂	24.23	22.82	23.52	23.56	22.93	23.25		
Mean		21.75	20.45	21.10	21.69	20.80	21.25		
Gene	ral mean	23.82	22.54		23.70	22.56			
C×S	S ₁	21.39	20.43	20.91	21.36	20.62	20.99		
C^5	S ₂	26.25	24.65	25.45	26.04	24.50	25.27		
F test	and LSD	F test	;]	LSD	F test	L	SD		
	Ι	*	0	.303	*	0.4	418		
	S	*			*	-			
	С	*			*	-			
	I×S	*	0	.362	*	0.4	444		
-	I×C	*	0	.410	*	0.4	0.418		
	S×C	*	0	.354	*	0.	668		
I>	×S×C	*	0	.577	*	0.	584		

Table 8. Effect of irrigation levels, Nitrogen splitting, cultivars and their interactions on grain yield (ardab fed⁻¹)

Straw yield (ton fed.⁻¹)

Exhibited data in Table 9 focus that the studied irrigation levels had a significant effect (p < 0.05) on the straw yield in both seasons. Thus, straw yield was decreased significantly with decreasing amount of water applied to wheat plants. Decreasing irrigation water amount from I_1 to I_2 or I_3 resulting in the decrease in straw yield reached about 6.88 and 11.88 %, respectively in the first season, being, 4.01 and 9.31 % in the second season in the same order. This is to be expected since the same trend was obtained with regard to biological yield as mentioned previous. These findings were in a good line with those obtained by Oadir et al. (1999), Dencic et al. (2000) and Akram (2011). Furthermore, the data also, reveal that splitting nitrogen fertilizer had a significant influence $(p \le 0.05)$ on the straw yield in both seasons. Splitting nitrogen fertilizer into four equal doses produced the highest mean values of straw yield $(6.52 \text{ and } 6.38 \text{ t fed}^{-1})$ in the first and second seasons, respectively. This is logic since the same trend was obtained with regard to biological yield as mentioned previous. Moreover, the presented data state that wheat cultivars varied significantly in this respect in the two growing seasons. Thus, Beni-suef 1 surpassed Agaseed 3 one and produced the highest mean values of straw yield (6.10 and 6.02 t fed⁻¹) in the first and second seasons, respectively. This is logic since the same trend was observed with regard to biological yield as mentioned before. The differences between cultivars are mainly due to the interaction between their genetic makeup during growth periods and to the environmental factors prevailing during their development. These results are in agreement with that obtained by Al-Tabbal (2011). Furthermore, the all first order interactions in this respect had a significant effect on straw yield in both seasons. Also, the sec-

ond order interaction among irrigation, splitting and cultivars had a significant effect on straw yield in the two growing seasons. Thus, the highest mean value of straw yield (7.24 and 7.10 t fed⁻¹) in the first and second seasons, respectively, were obtained from Beni-suef 1 cultivar subjected to I_1 irrigation level and received nitrogen fertilizer at four splits.

Table 9. Effect of irrigation levels, Nitrogen splitting, cultivars and their interactions on straw yield (ton fed⁻¹)

Seas	ons	2012/2013				2013/2014			
Irrigation levels(I)	Cultivars(C) Splitting(S)	Beni- suef 1	Aga	aseed 3	Mean	Beni- suef 1	Agaseed 3	Mean	
T	S ₁	5.76	5.	.64	5.70	5.79	5.72	5.75	
11	S ₂	7.24	6.	.96	7.10	6.79	6.64	6.71	
Mean		6.50	6.	.30	6.40	6.29	6.18	6.23	
т	S ₁	5.56	5.	.47	5.52	5.60	5.52	5.56	
12	S ₂	6.54	6.	.26	6.40	6.41	6.37	6.39	
Mean		6.05	5.	.87	5.96	6.01	5.95	5.98	
I ₃	S ₁	5.30	5.	.14	5.22	5.37	5.17	5.27	
	S ₂	6.16	5.	.95	6.06	6.16	5.89	6.03	
Mean		5.74	5.	.55	5.64	5.77	5.53	5.65	
General mean		6.10	5.	.90		6.02	5.89		
C×S	S ₁	5.54	5.	.42	5.48	5.59	5.47	5.53	
C^3	S ₂	6.65	6.	.39	6.52	6.45	6.30	6.38	
F test and LSD		F test]	LSD	F test	L	LSD	
Ι		*		0	.098	*	0.	253	
S		*				*			
С		*				*	-		
I×S		*		0	.087	*	0.	275	
I×C		*		0	.076	*	0.	158	
S×C		*		0	.062	*	0.	0.129	
I×S×C		*		0	.108	*		224	

Nitrogen use efficiency (NUE)

Data exhibited in Table 10 focus that the involved irrigation levels, nitrogen fertilizer splitting, cultivars and their interactions had a significant effect ($p \le 0.05$) on the NUE in both seasons. Thus, NUE was decreased significantly with decreasing amount of water applied to wheat plants. The highest mean values of NUE (51.31 and 30.09 kg grain/kg nitrogen applied) in the first and second seasons, respectively, were obtained from I_1 irrigation level while, the lowest mean values in this respect (42.20 and 42.49 kg grain/kg N applied) in the first and second seasons, respectively, were registered

from I_3 irrigation level. This is to be expected since the same trend was obtained with regard to grain yield as mentioned previous. Furthermore, splitting nitrogen fertilizer into four equal doses produced the highest mean values of NUE (50.90 and 50.55 kg grain/kg N applied) in the first and second seasons, respectively. This is logic since the same trend was obtained with regard to grain yield as mentioned previous. Similar obtained were found by Rahman et al., (2002) and Rahman et al. (2011). Moreover, Benisuef1 cultivar surpassed Agaseed 3 one and produced the highest mean values of NUE (47.64 and 47.41 kg grain/kg N applied in the first and second seasons, respectively). This is

logic since the same trend was observed with regard to grain vield. The differences between cultivars are mainly due to the interaction between their genetic makeup during growth periods and to the environmental factors prevailing during their development. These results are in agreement with that obtained by Rahman et al. (2002). Also, the second order interaction among irrigation, splitting and cultivars had a significant effect on NUE in the two growing season. Thus, the highest mean value of NUE (58.13 kg grain/kg N applied) in both seasons was obtained from Beni-suef 1 cultivar subjected to I_1 irrigation level and received nitrogen fertilizer at four splits.

Table 10. Effect of irrigation levels, Nitrogen splitting, cultivars and their interactions on nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) kg/kg

S	easons	20)12/2013	<u>, </u>	20	13/2014		
Irrigation levels(I)	Cultivars(C) Splitting(S)	Beni- suef 1	Agasee	d3 Mean	Beni- suef 1	Agaseed3	Mean	
I ₁	S ₁	47.59	45.83	46.71	45.15	44.27	44.71	
	S ₂	58.13	53.67	55.90	58.13	52.80	55.47	
Mean		52.86	49.75	5 51.31	51.64	48.54	50.09	
I ₂	S ₁	42.22	40.59	41.41	43.38	42.13	42.76	
	S_2	50.88	50.88 48.59		51.02	48.36	49.69	
Mean		46.55	44.59	45.57	47.20	45.25	46.22	
I ₃	S ₁	38.54	36.16	37.35	39.64	37.33	38.49	
	S ₂	48.46	45.64	47.05	47.11	45.87	46.49	
Mean		43.50	40.90	42.20	43.38	41.60	42.49	
General mean		47.64	45.08	3	47.41	45.13		
C×S	S ₁	42.79	40.86	41.82	42.72	41.25	41.99	
	S_2	52.49	49.30	50.90	52.09	49.01	50.55	
F test and LSI)	F test		LSD	F test	LSI)	
Ι		*		0.320	*	0.20	0	
S		*			*			
С		*			*			
I×S		*		0.919	*	0.31	4	
I×C		*		0.761	*	0.25	0.251	
S×C		*		0.642	*	0.33	6	
I×S×C		*		0.399	*	0.22	2	

References

- Akram M. (2011). Growth and yield components of wheat under water stress of different growth stages. Bangladesh J. Agri. Res. 36(3): 455-468.
- Ali, E.A. (2010). Grain yield and nitrogen use efficiency of pearl millet as affected by plant density, nitrogen rate and splitting in sandy soil. American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci., 7(3): 327-335.
- Al-Tabbal, J.A. (2011). Effect of water stress on the yield and yield component of durum wheat cultivars (*Triticum Turgidum* L. var. durum). International J., (3):98-114.
- Awad, A.M.; H. El-Zaher; M.A. Moustafa; M.A. Sayed and A.M. Osman (2000). Wheat production on sandy soils using different fertilization methods and irrigation regimes. J. Agric. Res. 45 (1): 35-61.
- Bukhat, N. M. (2005). Studies in yield and yield associated traits of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes under drought conditions. M.Sc Thesis Department of Agronomy. Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Pakistan.
- Debaeke, P.; T. Aussenac; J.L. Fabre; A. Hilaire; B. Pujol and L. Thuries (1996). Grain nitrogen content of winter bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) as related to crop management and to the previous crop. Eur. J. Agron. 5:273-286.
- Dencic, S.; R. Kastori; B. Kobiljski and B. Duggan (2000). Evaluation of grain yield and its components in wheat cultivars and land races under near optimal and drought conditions. Euphytica 1: 43-52.
- El-Agrodi, M. W.; A. M. EL-Ghamry and H. H. Ibrahim (2011). Effect of nitrogen fertilizer rates, timing and splitting application on wheat

plant grown on reclaimed soils. J. Soil Sci. and Agric. Eng., Mansoura Univ., Vol. 2 (9): 915 – 925.

- Erekul, O.; K.G. Peter and T. Gurbuzt (2012).Effect of supplemental irrigation on yield and bread making quality of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties under the Mediterranean climatical conditions. Turkish Journal of Field Crops, 2012, 17(1):78-86.
- FAO (2013). http://faostat.fao.org/site/ 567/DesktopDefault.aspx?.
- Feil, B. (1997). The inverse yieldprotein relationship in cereals: possibilities and limitations for genetically improving the grain protein yield. Trends Agron. 1:103–119.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez (1984). Statistical Procedures For Agriculture Research. A Wiley – Inter Science Publication, John wiley sons, Inc. New York, USA.
- Hirzel, J.; I. Matus and R. Madariaga (2010). Effect of split nitrogen applications on durum wheat cultivars in volcanic soil. Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research 70(4):590-595.
- Howard, D. D.; M. A. Newman; M. E. Essington and W. M. Percell (2002). Nitrogen fertilization of conservation-tilled wheat. II. Timing of nitrogen application of two nitrogen sources. J. Plant Nutr. 25: 1329_1339.
- Johansson, E.; M.L. Prieto-Linde and G. Svensson (2004). Influence of nitrogen application rate and timing on grain protein composition and gluten strength in Swedish wheat cultivars. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sc. 167:345-350.
- Moharram, J. and M. Habib (2011). Evaluation of 10 wheat cultivars under water stress at Moghan (Iran) condition. African Journal

of Biotechnology Vol. 10(53), pp. 10900-10905.

- Qadir, G.; M. Saeed and M. A. Cheema (1999). Effect of water stress on growth and yield performance of four wheat cultivars. Pak. J. Biological Sci. 1: 236-239.
- Rahman, M. A.; M. A. Sufian; M. Saifuzzaman and J. Chikushi (2002).
 Nitrogen management in ricewheat alternating cropping system and wheat genotype identification preferable to surface seeding condition. J. Fac. Agric. Kyushu Univ., 46: 295-301.
- Rahman, M.A.; M. A. Z. Sarker; M. F. Amin; A. H. S. Jahan and M. M.Akhter (2011). Yield response and nitrogen use efficiency of wheat under different doses and split application of nitrogen fertilizer. Bangladesh J. Agril. Res. 36(2): 231-240.
- Rao, A.C.S.; J.L. Smith; V.K. Jandhyala; R.I. Papendick; and

J.F. Parr (1993). Cultivar and climatic effects on the protein content of soft white winter wheat. Agron. J. 85:1023-1028.

- SAS institute (2008). The SAS System for Windows, release 9.2. Cary NC:SAS institute.
- Velasco, J.L.; H. S. Rozas; H. E. Echeverri and P.A. Barbieri (2012). Optimizing fertilizer nitrogen use efficiency by intensively managed spring wheat in humid regions: Effect of split application. Can. J. Plant Sci. 92: 847_856.
- Zebarth, B. J.; E. J. Botha and H. Rees (2007). Rate and time of fertilizer nitrogen application on yield, protein and apparent efficiency of fertilizer nitrogen use of spring wheat. Can. J. Plant Sci. 87: 709_718.

استجابة صنفين من قمح المكرونة لمستويات الري وتجزئة السماد الازوتي المهدي عبدالمطلب طعيمة ، انعام حلمي جلال، السعدي عبدالحميد علي وهويدا عزالدين عبد القادر قسم المحاصيل – كلية الزراعة – جامعة أسيوط

الملخص

اجريت هذه الدراسة بمزرعة قسم المحاصيل-كلية الزراعة - جامعة أسيوط خلال موسمي ٢٠١٣/٢٠١٢ و ٢٠١٤/٢٠١٢ لدراسة استجابة صنفين من قمح المكرونة لمستويات الري وتجزئة السماد الازوتي. تم عمل ثلاث تجارب منفصلة في كل موسم تعرضت كل واحدة منها لمستوي من مستويات الري (١٠٠، ٥٥ و ٥٠% من احتياج الرى) وصممت كل تجربة باستحدام القطاعات كاملة العشوائية بترتيب القطع المنشقة مرة واحدة بثلاث مكررات. حيث تم وضع تجزية السماد الازوتي (٢ و ٤ مرات) في القطع الرئيسية بينما تم وضع الاصناف (بني سويف ١ و اجاسيد ٣) في القطع المنشقة. وكانت اهم النتائج مايلي:

اثر كلا من مستويات الري وتجزئة السماد الازوتي والاصناف محل الدراسة معنويا علي كل الصفات المدروسة لكلا الموسمين. كما كان تاثير تفاعلات الدرجة الأولي وتفاعل الدرجة الثانية معنويا علي معظم الصفات محل الدراسة في موسمي الدراسة. وتم الحصول علي اعلي متوسط لقيم محصول الحبوب (٢٩,٠٧ اردب للفدان لموسمي الدراسة) وكفاءة استخدام الازوت (٨,١٣ كجم حبوب اكجم ازوت لكلا الموسمين) من زراعة الصنف بني سويف ١ تحت مستوي الري ١٠٠% من احتياج الري وتجزئة السماد الازوتي علي اربع دفعات.