Journal of Plant Production

Journal homepage & Available online at: www.jpp.journals.ekb.eg

Evaluation of Genetic Variability of Bread Wheat Genotypes under Normal Irrigation and Water Stress Conditions Using Multivariate Analysis

Yossry E. Salama^{1*}and Kh. I. Saad²



¹ Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agricultural, Damanhour University, 22516 Egypt.
² Genetic Resources Research Department, Field Crops Research Institute, Agriculture Research Center, Giza 12619 Egypt.

ABSTRACT



The data collected were used to study the genetic diversity in 24 bread wheat genotypes originating from genotypes (22) from Bahteem Gene Bank, Genetic Resources Research Department, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt, and two check varieties (Sakha 94 and Giza 168). An experiment arranged on a randomized complete block design with three replications was performed in normal irrigation and drought stress conditions. Variance analysis indicated highly significant differences among the genotypes in all traits. Also, there is considerable variability among genotypes in all traits. The presence of genetic diversity among genotypes was recorded in our study. Percent decrease due to drought stress was a positive value for all traits, except chlorophyll content. Broad sense heritability values were very high under normal irrigation for days to heading, days to anthesis, days to maturity and grain yield per faddan. In both conditions, spike per m² had direct positive effects with 0.487 and 0.363 while days to heading showed more negative effects with (-0.408) and (-0.562) respectively. The cluster analysis divided the genotypes into five groups under different (normal or stress) conditions, genetic divergence has been found related to several genetic and non-genetic conditions like the extent of genotype x environment interaction and components of genetic variation. Accordingly, results give a good chance to achieve genotypic improvement of wheat through the hybridization among genotypes taken from different clusters .where, genotypes 14, 2, 11, 23, 17 and 20 had high yielding and divergent under water shortage stress conditions for yield improvement in bread wheat

Keywords: Wheat, genotypes, water deficit, genetic diversity.

INTRODUCTION

Triticum aestivum, commonly known as wheat, is one of the important cereal crops that depend on them for human food. Regarding global production, the world produces about 76,092,5831 tons during 2020 of a total harvested area of about 219,006,893 hectares with an average yield of 3,477 tons per hectare. The local production of Egypt is about 9,000,000 tons of the total cultivated area of 1,370,235 hectares (FAO., 2020).

Water deficit is one of the most important problems facing many countries of the world. Drought is major abiotic stress that adversely affects crop productivity and quality. It threatens the world Water deficit is the biggest environmental stress and causes severe damage to agricultural products in many countries around the world (Khan *et al.*, 2007).

Drought tolerance is a quantitative trait, and the development of high-yielding wheat cultivars is a major objective in breeding programs (Ehdaie and Waines, 1989), improve yield and its components under low water supply conditions is a very challenging task. The physiological approach can complement experimental optimization to improve the rate of performance improvement. Today's efforts are focused on improving the genotypes of crops in drought-prone areas. To achieve this goal, it is necessary to understand the mechanisms underlying drought tolerance, which can lead to the restoration of physiological function and hardening of plants under drought stress (Akbarian, *et al.*, 2011).

Plant breeding research is very important to produce new wheat and barley cultivars having a high degree of drought tolerance. In addition, to improve drought tolerance, plant breeders must improve grain yield combined with high tolerance to drought. The first step is to select the potential germplasm that contains genotypic differences for drought tolerance (Baenziger, 2016). Selection for drought tolerance must be tested in more than one year or/and location in the target environments because drought tolerance usually has low heritability. Furthermore, drought tolerance measurements are often affected by spatial variation, so the trials need multiple replications. The efficiency of phenotypic selection is also affected by GE interaction if the environments are different (which is expected due to year-to-year or site-to-site variation). High levels of G×E can lead to no progress in drought tolerance because the environments require selecting different types of drought tolerance. Therefore, the G×E interaction is considered a major complication in breeding programs (Ahmed Sallam., et al 2019).

Cluster analysis is a valuable biometrical tool aimed to quantify the degree of genetic divergence among tested genotypes based on their performance and their contributing characteristics. But it was found that the run of cluster analysis depending on (STI's) parameters is useful to differentiate Wheat genotypes for salt tolerance, (Saad *et al.*, 2014).

The main objectives of this research were to: 1-Evaluate the influence of water stress on grain yield and its components of wheat genotypes. 2- performance of these genotypes under water stress conditions. 3-Study of genetic diversity and heritability for genotypes under normal irrigation and water deficit water

MATERIALS AND METHODS

To study the genetic variability of some bread wheat genotypes (22) from Bahteem Gene Bank, Genetic Resources Research Department, Field Crop Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt, and two check varieties (Sakha 94 and Giza 168) were shown in Table 1, to evaluate under normal irrigation and water stress conditions exposed after 50% flowering. A split plot in a randomized complete blocks design with three replications was used. Irrigation treatments were randomly arranged in the main plots, while wheat genotypes occupied the sub-plots. Planting dates were on 19th November and 25th November During the 2018/2019 and 2019/2020 growing seasons, respectively. At Bahteem farm, Gene Bank, Genetic Resources Research Department, Field Crop Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt. Net plot size was 3.36 m² involving six rows, three meters long with 20 cm apart. All agriculture practices of wheat cultivation were done following standard recommendations. The studied characters were; days to heading, days to anthesis, days to maturity, plant height, spikes number per square mete, grains number per spike, 1000-grain weight, and grain yield. Total chlorophyll content (SPAD) which was measured using a chlorophyll meter (SPAD 502 Minolta Camera Co. Ltd; Japan) and canopy temperature depression was measured at three periods (at 5/2, 16/2 and 25/2).

Table 1. Location, pedigree, code and number of tested twenty-four Egyptian bread wheat genotypes.

| Location | Pedigree | code | NO. |
|------------|--|---------|-----|
| Aswan | 5km E of Aneba along wadi kharit | 217 | 1 |
| Aswan | 6km SE of Kom Ombo on the main road to wadi kharit | 226 | 2 |
| Aswan | 13km SE of Kom Ombo on the road to wadi kharit | 229 | 3 |
| Aswan | 38 km N of kalabsha ,by the main road | 253 | 4 |
| Assiut | Beni feez, near sidfa | 353 | 5 |
| Assiut | 14km W of Assiut | 403 | 6 |
| Assiut | 25km N of Assiut | 405 | 7 |
| ASSIUT | Beni rafi | 265 | 8 |
| QENA | 4km W of Qena in the road to Dandara Temple | 293 | 9 |
| QENA | 17kmWof Qena by the Nile left bank main road | 322 | 10 |
| QENA | 14km E of Nag Hammadi | 333 | 11 |
| QENA | Nag hammadi | 343 | 12 |
| Fayoum | 13km W of El Minya , Nauara , near Gandir | 352 | 13 |
| Fayoum | Tamiya | 369 | 14 |
| New valley | El rashda, Daakhla | 383 | 15 |
| New valley | Budkhula ,Daakhla | 388 | 16 |
| Minia | 12km S of El _ Minia | 559 | 17 |
| Minia | 3 km S of Beni Mazar | 585 | 18 |
| Sohag | 21km N of Griga | 398 | 19 |
| Sohag | 19km N of sohag | 305 | 20 |
| Sohag | 25km N of sohag | 307 | 21 |
| Beni suef | 11KM S of Ihnasya El Madina | 512 | 22 |
| Egypt | OPATA/RAYON/3/JUP/BJY//URES | Sakha94 | 22 |
| Egypt | MIL/BUC//SERI | Giza168 | 24 |

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Highly significant differences were found among 24 wheat genotypes, during the two growing seasons 2017/2018 and 2017/2018 under both normal and water stress conditions. Regarding the effects of irrigation treatments (normal irrigation and drought stress treatment), analysis of variance show clearly that the application of these treatments high significantly enhanced the days of anthesis and kernel per spike and significantly the plant height, spike per M², 1000 Kw, grain yield and canopy temperature parameters. Also, data in table 2 shows that there is considerable variability among genotypes in all of the traits, recording the presence of genetic diversity among genotypes in our study. The interaction (G*C) was not significant for all the traits except plant height and grain yield per faddan. This can enhance the plant breeder by focusing on the characteristic of plant height, yield and its components under water stress conditions.

The percent decrease due to drought stress was a positive value for all traits except chlorophyll content (table 3). Thus these traits can be used as selection criteria.

Broad sense heritability values were very high under normal irrigation for days to heading, days to anthesis, days to maturity and grain yield per faddan. While., it was high for plant height, spike per M² and 1000-kernel weight. And it was low for kernel per spike, chlorophyll content and canopy temperature depression .on the other hand, estimating broad sense heritability under stress conditions shows low values for days to heading, days to anthesis, days to maturity, spike per M2 and 1000kernel weight. While it was high for other treats. (Table 3). Our results were similar to Tripathi et al. 2011., where, High heritability estimates for plant height and days to heading (Baranwal et al. 2012), thousand kernel weight (Ashraf et al. 2002) and spike length (Ali et al. 2008). Heritability is a part of the selection differential that can be exercised in efficiency to traits will be effective (Falconer and Mackay, 2005). Due to the higher appreciation of heritability, large selection benefits can be expected for the traits studied (Mehri et al., 2009). However, the selection should be made with great care, as inheritance is measured in the broadest possible sense. K²_g for every trait and the percent of the variation of traits were computed by Golabadi *et al.* (2005). (K_{g}^{2} = genetic variance of trait x in stress environment / genetic variance of trait x in non-stress environment)

| Table 2. Mean squares of com | ponents 24 bread wheat | genotypes under normal | l irrigation and dr | ought stress conditions. |
|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | B | |

| | - | | - | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | |
|------------|------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------------------------|--------|---------|----------|----------|--------|
| S.o v | d.f. | DH | DA | DM | PH | spike per M ² | KS | 1000-KW | GY Ton/F | CHIO-CON | CTD |
| Condition | 1 | 352.5 | 653.65 | 212.94 | 6168.79 | 87616.00 | 753.05 | 771.45 | 11.30 | 103.70 | 181.58 |
| Error | 4 | 49.40 | 53.86 | 44.73 | 6.37 | 437.70 | 76.68 | 21.50 | 0.12 | 18.09 | 5.33 |
| Genotypes | 23 | 134.99 | 162.59 | 86.42 | 61.95 | 8349.10 | 44.54 | 45.89 | 0.69 | 27.94 | 2.18 |
| Cond.*Geno | 23 | 2.61 | 3.90 | 3.83 | 18.81 | 951.20 | 7.77 | 5.29 | 0.16 | 7.39 | 0.67 |
| Error | 92 | 2.23 | 2.99 | 4.56 | 8.81 | 692.50 | 9.04 | 5.10 | 0.04 | 5.93 | 0.67 |

Plant physio-morphological traits are very important for selection in a breeding program to improve drought tolerance due to their relation to the adaption for future climate scenarios (Bowne, 2012). The data in Table 4 shows that all traits have been affected by water deficit. Where, there was a decrease in the number of days to heading, days to anthesis and number of days to maturity for all genotypes. Genotypes 322 and 217 recorded the lowest days to heading (79.63 days), days to anthesis (83.33days) and the number of days to maturity (131.37 days), respectively., in the same conditions, genotype 293 heading and anthesis were the last with a mean (95.83 days) and (99.10) respectively. genotype 333 maturity was last with a mean (141.80 days). Under normal irrigation, genotype 217 heading was earliest with a mean of (83.13 days) days and days to anthesis with a mean (87.50 days). on the other side, in non-stress conditions, the maturity of genotype 322 was earliest with a mean of (134.57 days) while the maturity of genotype 403 was the last (148.13 days). Under normal irrigation, The tallest plant was determined in genotype 8 with means

(114.57 cm) and the shortest length in genotype 403 with means (103.10cm). The 24 genotypes recorded higher heights compared to the other genotype with an average of (101.93 cm) under stress conditions.

Table 3. Range, mean, percentage decrease, and heritability in broad-sense and K²_g under drought stress (out) compared with normal irrigation (with) conditions.

| Traits | Condition | MIN | MAX | Range | Mean | SEM | decrease% | h² _b % | K^{2}_{g} | |
|-----------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|-----------|-------------------|-------------|--|
| DU | out | 77.60 | 99.30 | 21.70 | 88.38 | 0.59 | 2 12 | 87.32 | 1.01 | |
| DH | with | 79.70 | 101.10 | 21.40 | 91.51 | 0.59 | 5.42 | 94.66 | 1.01 | |
| DA | out | 80.80 | 101.30 | 20.50 | 91.64 | 0.61 | 1 11 | 85.81 | 1 28 | |
| DA | with | 83.50 | 105.10 | 21.60 | 95.90 | 0.68 | 4.44 | 93.45 | 1.20 | |
| DM | out | 129.70 | 148.40 | 18.70 | 139.36 | 0.46 | 1 72 | 67.67 | 1.64 | |
| DIVI | with | 132.50 | 150.50 | 18.00 | 141.79 | 0.56 | 1.72 | 79.92 | 1.04 | |
| рц | out | 83.90 | 103.60 | 19.70 | 95.27 | 0.54 | 12.08 | 50.08 | 0.94 | |
| 111 | with | 99.60 | 116.10 | 16.50 | 108.36 | 0.48 | 12.00 | 59.94 | 0.74 | |
| SM ² | out | 242.00 | 419.00 | 177.00 | 321.22 | 4.94 | 13 31 | 62.31 | 1 36 | |
| 5141 | with | 269.00 | 486.00 | 217.00 | 370.56 | 5.50 | 15.51 | 68.20 | 1.50 | |
| KS | out | 44.90 | 63.60 | 18.70 | 53.36 | 0.41 | 7 89 | 40.62 | 1 33 | |
| NO 1 | with | 48.10 | 68.50 | 20.40 | 57.93 | 0.54 | 7.07 | 37.36 | 1.55 | |
| 1000-KW | out | 33.60 | 50.60 | 17.00 | 41.70 | 0.38 | 0 00 | 43.06 | 1 05 | |
| 1000-12 ** | with | 40.40 | 59.70 | 19.30 | 46.33 | 0.44 |).)) | 68.86 | 1.75 | |
| GV Ton/F | out | 1.49 | 3.15 | 1.66 | 2.28 | 0.04 | 10.72 | 64.36 | 2.00 | |
| | with | 1.77 | 3.73 | 1.96 | 2.84 | 0.05 | 19.72 | 84.40 | 2.00 | |
| CHIO CON | out | 41.80 | 58.60 | 16.80 | 49.86 | 0.40 | 2 5 2 | 50.32 | 0.45 | |
| CHIO-CON | with | 41.30 | 54.80 | 13.50 | 48.16 | 0.35 | -3.32 | 27.06 | 0.45 | |
| CTD | out | -7.50 | -3.30 | 4.20 | -5.32 | 0.11 | 20.60 | 26.71 | 1.12 | |
| CID | with | -10.20 | -5.50 | 4.70 | -7.57 | 0.13 | 29.09 | 27.94 | 1.12 | |

Data in the table (4) shows that sakha94 had the highest number of kernels per spike with 65.30 gm in nonstressed conditions. genotype 512 had the highest no. of kernel per spike with 57.33 gm in stress condition (Table 4).on the other site, sakha94 had the heaviest 1000-kernel weight 57.33 gm in non-stressed condition. Also, the heaviest 1000-kernel weight was recorded for the same genotype in normal irrigation conditions with a mean 48.00 gm. The highest grain yield was determined in genotype 369 with mean (3.52 tons) and (3.05 tons) in non-stress conditions and normal irrigation, respectively. In normal conditions, genotype 229 had the highest mean spike/m2 with mean (459.00 spikes) and giza168 had the lowest mean Spike/m2 of ((273.00 spikes)). In stress condition genotype 369 had a maximum mean spike/m2 of (380.3 spikes) and genotype 265 had a minimum mean spike/m2 of (259.33). We can say that the selection of genotypes with 1000-kernel weight, number of kernels per spike and grain yield from agronomic characteristics influences yield improvement under stress conditions, Similar to Badran, (2022) reported that the selection of drought tolerant genotypes leads to reconnaissance genotypes with high 1000 grain weight. The effect of drought stress on the yield and yield components generally causes a decrease in photosynthesis and growth. Where it was found to decrease in yield and yield components under stress conditions studied.

Plants grown under drought conditions have a lower stomatal conductance to conserve water. Consequently, CO2 fixation is reduced and the photosynthetic rate decreases, resulting in less assimilate production for the growth and yield of plants. Diffusive resistance of the stomata to CO^2 entry probably is the main factor limiting photosynthesis under drought (Boyer. 1970). Also, severe drought stress inhibits the photosynthesis of plants by causing changes in chlorophyll content, affecting chlorophyll components and damaging the photosynthetic apparatus (Iturbe Ormaetxe *et*

al., 1998). Ommen *et al.* (1999) reported that leaf chlorophyll content decreases as a result of drought stress. In our study, chlorophyll content was affected by water deficit. genotype 585 was the most affected by water stress where recoded 45.80 while genotype 403 was the lowest affected by water stress where recorded 57.20.

Path analysis

Determination of associations between different traits especially grain yield and its components and also the determination of cause and effect relations between them allow breeders to select the most suitable lead to higher yield. In these types of studies to identify direct and indirect effects on components and entered traits into regression model path analysis by the method of (Dewey Wolve, 1959) was applied. The results of the path analysis to the normal and stress conditions are shown in Table 5. In both conditions spike per M² direct positive effects with 0.487and 0.363 while days to heading showed more negative effects with (-0.408) and (-0.562) respectively. While spike per M² was the highest indirect positive effect on the yield in both conditions. Days to heading and canopy temperature was the highest indirect negative effect.

Cluster analysis

Cluster analysis was carried out on genotypes using the average grain yield traits to classify the measured genotypes for drought stress tolerance. Genotypes were clustered into hierarchical dendrograms based on Euclidean distance procedure using the un-weighted pair group method as described by Michael Eisen *et al.* (1998). Statistical analyses were performed using Minitab-16. Most studied traits revealed significant variation in respect of the genotypes under this investigation. There were observed differences exist at the genotypic level under normal and drought stress conditions. Euclidean cluster analysis of 24 bread wheat genotypes resulting concerning normal and drought stress conditions are presented in Tables 6 and 7. Cluster analysis method divided the

Salama, Y. E. and Kh. I. Saad

genotypes into five groups under different (normal or stress) conditions (Figures 1 and 2). In normal conditions, the cluster pattern revealed that cluster-1 concluding of 6 genotypes recorded the largest cluster average of grain yield (3.02 ton/fed) which was followed by cluster-2 (10 genotypes) with average (2.89 ton/fed) grain yield. Meanwhile, cluster 4 which contained only genotype no. 24 was the smallest cluster average (2.05 ton/fed).

Under water shortage stress conditions among the five clusters, cluster-1 had the largest cluster average (3.05 ton/fed) containing only genotype no. 14 followed by

cluster-3 consisting of (5 genotypes) scoring an average (2.43 ton/fed). On the other side, cluster-4 which consisted of (3 genotypes) was the smallest cluster average (1.95 ton/fed). The grouping pattern indicated little association of genetic divergence with yield traits of genotypes, suggesting that clustering and represents genetic diversity responding to stress conditions. These findings indicate that the resulting grouping containing favorable traits leads to discriminate clustering that may be developed mechanism directional selection pressure, especially under stress conditions.

Table 4. Mean-performance of yield components each genotype in normal irrigation (with) and drought stress (out)

| | ton | unuons | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-------------|----------------|--------|---------|--------|------------------|----------------|---------|----------|----------------|-------|
| Entry | | DH | DA | DM | PH | SM2 | KS | 1000-KW | GY Ton/F | CHIO-CON | CTD |
| 217 | Out | 80.50 | 83.77 | 131.37 | 97.03 | 279.67 | 51.93 | 46.27 | 1.86 | 52.67 | -4.93 |
| 217 | With | 83.13 | 87.50 | 134.67 | 114.00 | 320.00 | 60.03 | 50.50 | 2.53 | 48.63 | -7.17 |
| 226 | Out | 82.53 | 83.73 | 135.63 | 94.13 | 309.00 | 54.40 | 41.37 | 2.52 | 51.97 | -4.97 |
| 226 | With | 86.93 | 90.50 | 139.80 | 106.10 | 334.33 | 58.73 | 46.13 | 3.34 | 47.60 | -8.07 |
| 220 | Out | 88.57 | 91.43 | 136.67 | 98.43 | 342.67 | 53.67 | 40.50 | 2.29 | 46.40 | -5.43 |
| 229 | With | 92.30 | 94.73 | 139.43 | 106.07 | 459.00 | 57.50 | 44.63 | 2.72 | 44.90 | -7.67 |
| | Out | 89.83 | 93.47 | 139.13 | 96.40 | 269.33 | 53.47 | 40.83 | 2.36 | 46.37 | -5.77 |
| 253 | With | 93.80 | 96.23 | 140 77 | 110.90 | 387.00 | 57.80 | 44 10 | 3.06 | 47 97 | -7.73 |
| | Out | 83.07 | 86.10 | 135.90 | 97 53 | 338.00 | 51.00 | 42.70 | 2.24 | 50.50 | -5.13 |
| 353 | With | 85.80 | 89.40 | 135.53 | 111.80 | 377.00 | 53 30 | 47.13 | 2.21 | 48 73 | -6.67 |
| | Out | 05.00 | 00.33 | 144.03 | 88.60 | 3/0.67 | 53.30 | 39.70 | 1.09 | 48.07 | -5.07 |
| 403 | With | 00.37 | 102.87 | 1/18/13 | 103 10 | 380.00 | 57.45 | 46.90 | 2.83 | 46.60 | -8.00 |
| | | 94.17 | 87.10 | 135 37 | 03.40 | 284.67 | 55.20 | 38 30 | 2.03 | 40.00 57.20 | 5 27 |
| 405 | With | 04.17 | 01.27 | 127.07 | 105.00 | 204.07 | 55.20 | 42.22 | 2.52 | 52.42 | -5.21 |
| | W IUI | 80.80 80.70 | 91.27 | 137.07 | 105.90 | 552.00 250.22 | 51.50 | 42.55 | 2.63 | 33.43 49.72 | -0.00 |
| 265 | Uut Wish | 09.70 02.50 | 95.15 | 141.47 | 97.40 | 239.33 | 50.57 | 39.30 | 1.92 | 46.75 | -4.75 |
| | with | 93.50 | 102.40 | 142.50 | 114.57 | 335.00 | 59.57 | 48.80 | 3.28 | 51.30 | -/.8/ |
| 293 | Out | 95.83 | 99.10 | 142.63 | 99.47 | 279.33 | 53.13 | 46.17 | 2.01 | 51.27 | -6.13 |
| | With | 97.00 | 102.77 | 146.13 | 110.70 | 316.67 | 60.37 | 49.80 | 2.35 | 48.27 | -7.63 |
| 322 | Out | 79.63 | 83.33 | 134.77 | 91.47 | 283.00 | 54.67 | 42.77 | 2.17 | 50.80 | -4.37 |
| | With | 81.57 | 86.00 | 134.57 | 107.03 | 319.67 | 61.80 | 46.43 | 1.96 | 48.70 | -6.00 |
| 333 | Out | 90.67 | 97.43 | 141.80 | 92.03 | 317.00 | 55.20 | 40.43 | 2.12 | 54.10 | -5.63 |
| 555 | With | 93.83 | 103.07 | 144.43 | 101.87 | 381.67 | 56.40 | 44.83 | 2.49 | 49.93 | -7.07 |
| 343 | Out | 93.37 | 96.80 | 139.63 | 100.20 | 347.00 | 54.20 | 40.73 | 2.36 | 46.80 | -6.23 |
| 545 | With | 94.43 | 100.70 | 140.83 | 110.33 | 410.33 | 56.70 | 44.77 | 3.10 | 45.67 | -7.93 |
| 252 | Out | 92.47 | 94.40 | 144.60 | 89.87 | 368.67 | 51.13 | 39.63 | 1.98 | 48.70 | -5.77 |
| 332 | With | 96.77 | 99.43 | 146.53 | 103.97 | 395.33 | 54.03 | 42.63 | 2.70 | 47.47 | -8.50 |
| 260 | Out | 83.20 | 85.70 | 136.37 | 93.47 | 380.33 | 49.93 | 41.57 | 3.05 | 51.77 | -4.80 |
| 309 | With | 86.67 | 90.83 | 137.57 | 110.67 | 419.33 | 54.00 | 43.13 | 3.52 | 48.00 | -7.33 |
| 202 | Out | 88.10 | 90.43 | 138.37 | 88.97 | 337.00 | 56.07 | 38.47 | 2.26 | 50.00 | -6.30 |
| 383 | With | 90.13 | 92.77 | 139.80 | 104.53 | 367.67 | 56.83 | 44.47 | 3.35 | 48.63 | -7.77 |
| 200 | Out | 89.57 | 93.97 | 142.13 | 101.20 | 346.67 | 52.97 | 42.97 | 2.32 | 46.40 | -5.97 |
| 388 | With | 94.17 | 98.47 | 144.77 | 108.47 | 390.33 | 57.53 | 47.10 | 2.26 | 49.77 | -7.30 |
| | Out | 93.13 | 95.73 | 141.83 | 91.20 | 328.33 | 55.20 | 40.07 | 2.40 | 47.50 | -5.30 |
| 559 | With | 96.67 | 101.70 | 145.80 | 107.60 | 369.33 | 55.73 | 42.60 | 2.88 | 44.83 | -8.57 |
| | Out | 88.83 | 91.37 | 137.60 | 98.83 | 355.67 | 50.87 | 40.40 | 2.35 | 45.80 | -5.77 |
| 585 | With | 90.87 | 93.17 | 139.43 | 107.90 | 398.00 | 54.93 | 44.93 | 2.54 | 44.33 | -8.03 |
| | Out | 81 47 | 86 37 | 138.73 | 94.03 | 361.00 | 53.00 | 40.43 | 2.80 | 50.07 | -4.03 |
| 398 | With | 86 77 | 90.17 | 138.73 | 108.60 | 415.00 | 58.87 | 43 77 | 3 39 | 47 33 | -7.20 |
| | Out | 91.13 | 95.83 | 142.00 | 94.00 | 325.00 | 55.10 | 40.40 | 236 | 49.47 | -6.00 |
| 305 | With | 96.17 | 101.80 | 145.03 | 104 57 | 364.67 | 61.90 | 46.87 | 2.50 | 18.13 | -0.00 |
| | Out | 00.17 | 03.17 | 143.03 | 03 70 | 368.67 | 48.07 | 41.10 | 2.0) | 50.33 | -0.00 |
| 307 | With | 02.20 | 93.17 | 144.00 | 100 50 | 419 22 | 40.07 52.00 | 41.10 | 2.24 | 19.55 | -5.85 |
| | Out | 92.20 | 99.30 | 147.07 | 109.30 | 410.33 | 53.90 | 40.57 | 3.03 | 40.05 | -0.70 |
| 512 | Uut With | 91.07 | 95.15 | 139.93 | 97.30 | 297.55 | 57.55 | 40.25 | 2.54 | 31.17 | -3.30 |
| | with | 90.70 | 101.55 | 144.70 | 113.33 | 302.00 | 48.00 | 50.95 | 2.80 | 40.50 | -8.23 |
| Sakha94 | | 89.13 | 91.70 | 141.70 | 95.77 | 318.67 | 48.90 | 48.00 | 2.12 | 49.13 | -5.00 |
| | With | 90.83 | 93.17 | 147.80 | 112.33 | 358.67 | 54.13 | 56.10 | 3.52 | 51.57 | -8.03 |
| Giza168 | Out | 87.20 | 88.77 | 138.43 | 101.93 | 263.33 | 60.13 | 42.33 | 1.56 | 50.43 | -4.87 |
| | With | 89.80 | 91.80 | 141.17 | 106.70 | 273.00 | 62.83 | 44.70 | 2.05 | 48.57 | -6.70 |

Generally, genetic divergence has been found related to several genetic and non-genetic conditions like extent of genotype x environment interaction and components of genetic variation. Therefore, selected parents for hybridization to get more heterotic and desirable segregates based on genetic diversity. Therefore, a hybridization program may be initiated involving the genotypes belonging to diverse cluster-1 and cluster-2 under different conditions with high average values for grain yield traits.

J. of Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., Vol. 13 (11), November, 2022

From obvious results, mean performance of the genotypes 5, 15, 17, 20, 22 and 23 within the clusters recorded high yielding and divergent under condition

normal. Meanwhile, genotypes 14, 2, 11, 23, 17 and 20 had high yielding and divergent under water shortage stress conditions for yield improvement in bread wheat.

| Table 5. The direct and indirect contribution of v | arious traits to yield in bread | wheat genotypes. |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------|
|--|---------------------------------|------------------|

| Troit | | Direct | | | | Indire | | | | Total | | |
|-------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|----------|--------|--------|
| man | | effect | DH | DA | DM | PH | SM2 | KS | 1000-KW | Chlo-con | CTD | effect |
| חח | out | -0.408 | | 0.260 | -0.147 | 0.003 | 0.065 | -0.002 | -0.010 | -0.025 | 0.021 | -0.244 |
| DH | with | -0.562 | | 0.169 | 0.099 | -0.021 | 0.069 | 0.004 | 0.000 | -0.029 | 0.300 | 0.030 |
| DA | out | 0.277 | -0.382 | | -0.149 | 0.002 | 0.033 | -0.002 | -0.009 | -0.024 | 0.019 | -0.234 |
| DA | with | 0.184 | -0.517 | | 0.096 | -0.017 | 0.046 | 0.003 | 0.001 | -0.013 | 0.243 | 0.025 |
| DM | out | -0.197 | -0.305 | 0.210 | | 0.009 | 0.126 | 0.006 | -0.007 | -0.021 | 0.009 | -0.169 |
| DM | with | 0.128 | -0.432 | 0.137 | | -0.023 | 0.022 | 0.021 | 0.004 | -0.004 | 0.201 | 0.054 |
| DLI | out | -0.050 | 0.026 | -0.012 | 0.037 | | -0.127 | -0.007 | 0.022 | -0.015 | 0.004 | -0.123 |
| гп | with | 0.129 | 0.093 | -0.024 | -0.023 | | -0.014 | -0.012 | 0.014 | 0.011 | 0.044 | 0.220 |
| SMO | out | 0.487 | -0.054 | 0.019 | -0.051 | 0.013 | | 0.024 | -0.014 | -0.018 | 0.002 | 0.408 |
| 51112 | with | 0.363 | -0.107 | 0.023 | 0.008 | -0.005 | | 0.034 | -0.006 | -0.045 | 0.066 | 0.331 |
| VS | out | -0.079 | -0.013 | 0.005 | 0.015 | -0.005 | -0.149 | | -0.003 | 0.008 | 0.009 | -0.209 |
| КS | with | -0.115 | 0.018 | -0.005 | -0.023 | 0.013 | -0.106 | | 0.006 | -0.007 | 0.077 | -0.141 |
| VW | out | 0.060 | 0.066 | -0.043 | 0.022 | -0.018 | -0.117 | 0.003 | | 0.008 | -0.003 | -0.022 |
| ΓW | with | 0.031 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.019 | 0.061 | -0.068 | -0.023 | | 0.024 | 0.020 | 0.069 |
| CUIO | out | 0.095 | 0.109 | -0.070 | 0.044 | 0.008 | -0.092 | -0.007 | 0.005 | | -0.005 | 0.088 |
| CHIO- | with | 0.154 | 0.104 | -0.016 | -0.004 | 0.009 | -0.107 | 0.005 | 0.005 | | -0.113 | 0.038 |
| CTD | out | -0.048 | 0.173 | -0.111 | 0.037 | 0.004 | -0.025 | 0.015 | 0.004 | 0.009 | | 0.059 |
| CID- | with | -0.523 | 0.323 | -0.085 | -0.049 | -0.011 | -0.046 | 0.017 | -0.001 | 0.033 | | -0.343 |

DIRECT = Out (27.562), With (30.92). INDIRECT = Out (36.492), With (44.67). RI % = OUT 64.053, With (75.59). RES % = Out (35.947), with(24.42).

Table 6. Distribution of 24 bread wheat genotypes into five clusters based on similarity analysis under normal conditions and cluster average of grain yield

| conditions and cluster average of grain yield. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------|---|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Cluster number | No. of Genotype s | Similarity | Genotype s Number | Percentage % | Cluster mean | | | | | | |
| Cluster1 | 6 | 85.36 | 5, 15, 17, 20, 22 and 23 | 25.00 | 3.02 | | | | | | |
| Cluster2 | 10 | 66.07 | 4, 16, 18, 6, 13, 11, 12, 21, 14 and 19 | 41.67 | 2.89 | | | | | | |
| Cluster3 | 1 | 45.31 | 3 | 4.17 | 2.72 | | | | | | |
| Cluster4 | 1 | 65.73 | 24 | 4.17 | 2.05 | | | | | | |
| Cluster5 | 6 | 84.92 | 1, 10, 2, 7, 8 and 9 | 25.00 | 2.72 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 7. Distribution of 24 bread wheat genotypes into five clusters based on similarity analysis under stress conditions and cluster average of grain yield.

| Cluster number | No. of Genotype s | Similarity | Genotype s Number | Percentage % | Cluster mean | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------|------------|--|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Cluster1 | 1 | 63.27 | 14 | 4.17 | 3.05 | | | | | |
| Cluster2 | 10 | 71.03 | 3, 12, 16, 5, 15, 6, 13, 21, 18 and 19 | 41.67 | 2.28 | | | | | |
| Cluster3 | 5 | 78.29 | 2, 11, 23, 17 and 20 | 20.83 | 2.43 | | | | | |
| Cluster4 | 3 | 89.04 | 4, 8 and 24 | 12.50 | 1.95 | | | | | |
| Cluster5 | 5 | 67.84 | 1, 10, 7, 9 and 22 | 20.83 | 2.18 | | | | | |



Fig. 1. Dendrogram showing the genetic relationship of 24 bread wheat genotypes, clustering based on similarity analysis under normal conditions.



Fig. 2. Dendrogram showing the genetic relationship of 24 bread wheat genotypes, clustering based on similarity analysis under stress conditions.

REFERENCES

- Sallam, A., Alqudah, A. M., Mona, F. A., Dawood, P., Baenziger, S. & Börner, A. 2019. Drought Stress Tolerance in Wheat and Barley: Advances in Physiology, Breeding and Genetics Research. *Int J Mol Sci.*, 20(13): 3137.
- Akbarian, A, Arzani, A, Salehi, M & Salehi, M. 2011. Evaluation of triticale genotypes for terminal drought tolerance using physiological traits. *Indian Journal of AgriculturalSciences*,81(12):1110-1115.
- Ali, Y., Atta, B. M., Akhter, J., Monneveux, P. & Lateef, Z. 2008. Genetic variability, association and diversity studies in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) germplasm. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 40 (5): 2087-2097.
- Ashraf, M., Ghafoor, A., Khan, N. A. & Yousaf, M. 2002. Path coefficient in wheat under rainfed conditions. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research*, 17 (1): 1-6.
- Badran, A.E. 2022. Assessment of Variation and Stability Parameters of Five Quinoa Genotypes under Drought Stress Conditions. *Egyptian Journal of Botany*, 62(1): 21-30.
- Baenziger, P.S. 2016. Wheat Breeding and Genetics. Ref. *Modul. Food Sci.*
- Baranwal, D. K., Mishra, V. K., Vishwakarma, M. K., Yadav, P. S. & Arun, B. 2012. Studies on genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for yield and yield contributing traits in wheat (*T. aestivum* L. em Thell.). *Plant Archives*, 12 (1): 99-104.
- Bowne J.B., Erwin, T.A., Juttner, J., Schnurbusch, T., Langridge, P., Bacic, A., Roessner, U., Alia, Saradhi, P. & Mohanty. 2012. Drought responses of leaf tissues from wheat cultivars of differing drought tolerance at the metabolite level. *Mol. Plant.* 5:418–429.
- Boyer, J.S .1970. Leaf enlargement and metabolic rates in corn, soybean, and sunflower at various leaf water potentials. *Plant Physiology*, 46 (2: 233–235
- Dewey, D.R. & Iu, K.H. 1959. A correlation and pathcoefficient analysis of crested wheat grass seed production. Agron. J., 51: 515-519.
- Ehdaie, B, Waines, J.G. 1989. Genetic variation, heritability and path analysis in landraces of bread wheat from South Western Iran. *Euphytica*, *41*: 183-190.

- Falconer, D.S. & Mackay, T.F. 2005. Introduction to quantitative genetics (Pearson Prentice Hall, editor). Fourth. *Genetics*, 167(4):1529-1536.
- FAO (2020). GIEWS Country Brief 2021. Glogbal Watch GIEWS, 700(May 2020), 2.
- Golabadi, M, Arzani, A, Maibody & S.M., M. 2005. Evaluation of variation among durum wheat F3 families for grain yield and its components under normal and water-stress field conditions. Czech J. Genet. *Plant Breed*, 41: 263-267.
- Iturbe Ormaetxe, I, Escuredo, P.R., Arrese-Igor, C., Becana, M. 1998. Oxidative damage in pea plants exposed to water deficit or paraquat. Plant Physiol. 116: 173– 181.
- Khan, H. R.; W. Link; T. J. Hocking and F. L. Stoddard. 2007.Evaluation of physiological traits for improving drought tolerance in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Plant Soil*, 292: 205–217.
- Mehri N, Fotovat R, Saba J, Jabbari F. 2009. Variation in stomatal dimension and densities in tolerant and susceptible wheat cultivars under drought stress. J. Food Agric. Environ., 7: 167-170.
- Michael, Eisen, B., Paul, Spellman, & David Botstein. 1998.Cluster analysis and display of genome-wide expression patterns. *Pans*, 8,95 (25) 14863-14868.
- Ommen, O.E, Donnelly, A., Vanhoutvin, S., van Oijen, M. & Manderscheid, R. 1999. Chlorophyll content of spring wheat flag leaves grown under elevated CO₂ concentrations and other environmental stresses within the ESPACE-wheat project. *Eur. J. Agron.* 10: 197-203.
- Saad, F.F., Abd El-Mohsen,A.A., Abd El-Shafi, M.A., & Al-Soudan, I.H. 2014. Effective selection criteria for evaluating some barley crosses for water stress tolerance, Adv. Agric. Biol., 1(3): 112-123.
- Tripathi, S. N, Marker, S, Pandev, P., Jaiswal, K. K. & Tiwari, D. K. 2011. Relationship between some morphological and physiological traits with grain yield in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. em. Thell.). *Trends in Applied Science Research.* 6 (9): 1037-1045.

تقييم التنوع الوراثي لبعض التراكيب الوراثية لقمح الخبز تحت ظروف الري العادي الإجهاد المائي باستخدام تحليل متعدد المتغيرات

يسرى السيد سلامة 1* وخميس ابراهيم سعد2

قسم المحاصيل ، كلية الزراعة ، جامعه دمنهور مصر
معهد البحوث الزراعية ، قسم بحوث الوراثة, مركز البحوث الزراعية ، جيزة, مصر

الملخص

تم اجراء البحث لتقييم التنوع الوراثى لـ 24 تركيب وراثى للقمح ، 22 من التراكيب الوراثية تم الحصول عليها من مركز البحوث الزراعية، الجيزة، مصر، وصنفان تجاريان هما؛ سخا 94 و جيزة 168. صممت التجربة فى قطاعات منشقة كاملة العشوائية فى ثلاث مكررات. سجل تحليل التباين فروقا عاليه معنوية لجميع الصفات بين التراكيب الوراثية تحت ظروف الاجهاد الماتى . وكان هناك تنوع وراثى بين التراكيب الوراثية لجميع الصفات. واظهرت النتائج نسبة انخفاض فى قيمة الصفات لجميع الصفات بين التراكيب الوراثية تحت عدا صفة محتوى الكلوروفيل. كما سجلت درجة التوريث بعناها الضيق قيما عالية لصفات عدد الإيلم حتى طرد السنابل و عد الايام حتى النصاح الفسيولوجى ومحصول الغدان . ايضا كان التأثير المباشر ايجابيا لصفة عدد السنابل لكل متر مربع فى كلا المعلمية تعنيات عدد الإيلم حتى طرد السنابل و عد الايام حتى النصح الفسيولوجى ومحصول الغدان . ايضا كان التأثير المباشر ايجابيا لصفة عدد السنابل لكل متر مربع فى كلا المعاملتين حيث كانت النتائج كالتالى . 2000 و على التوالى التال المالى ما السنابل بقيم 2000 و 2000 على التوالى . قدمت طريعة الحاملتين حيث كانت النتائج كالتالى 2001 و 2001 على التوالي و السنابل بقيم و 2000 و وراثي من مربع فى كلا المعاملتين حيث كانت النتائج كالتالى 2004 و على العوالي و عبينا كان السنابل بقيم و 2000 و وراثية مثل مدى تعام طريقة التحليل العقودي الأنماط الجينية إلى خمس مجمو عات تحت ظروف مختلفة (رى أو اجهاد) ، وقد وجد أن الاختلاف الجيني لم علاقة بحالات وراثية و غير وراثية مثل مدى تفاعل التراكيب الوراثية مع البيئة و كذلك مكونات التباين الجيني. تحت ظروف الإجهاد المالى بين المجمو عات الخمس ، كان المجمو ع 1 أكبر متوسط فى التحليل العقودى (3.05 مل / فدان). هذه النتائج تعطي فرصة جيدة لتحقيق التحسين الوراثي القمح من خلال الته عالين الوراثية عالية الإنتاجية العالي المعام علي فرال طروف الاجهاد مثل مدى قداع ماتر اكن). هذه النتائج تعطي فرصة جيدة التحقيق التحسين الوراثي للقمح من خلال التهجين بين التراكيب الوراثية مع الينية و طروف الاجهاد مثل ما و و 11 و 23 و 17 و 20.