(Original Article)



# Effect of Foliar Application of Gibberellic acid, Salicylic acid and Ammonium nitrate on Berry Quality of Ruby Seedless Grape Cultivar

# Aiman K.A. Mohamed; Mohamed M.A. EL-Akkad; Eman A.A. Abou-Zaid and Reham A.F. Badawi\*

Department of Pomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Assiut University, Egypt

\*Corresponding author email: reham.abdo69@yahoo.com DOI: 10.21608/ajas.2022.162189.1177 © *Faculty of Agriculture, Assiut University* 

### Abstract

Experiment was carried out throughout two successive seasons of 2020 and 2021 on Ruby Seedless grapevines grown at the Experimental Orchard of Assiut University, Faculty of Agriculture. The study was performed to evaluate the influence of Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>), Salicylic acid and Ammonium nitrate on berry quality of Ruby Seedless grape cultivar during 2020 and 2021 seasons. Data revealed that, the application of Salicylic acid (SA) and Ammonium nitrate increased TSS% while GA<sub>3</sub> reduced it compared with the control. All treatments decreased TA% except SA in the 1<sup>st</sup> season increased it. In 1<sup>st</sup> season although there was an increase in reducing sugars due to different treatments comparing with the control, the differences were not significant in most of the treatments. The significant effect was only found in the NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, while during 2<sup>nd</sup> season significantly increased it with an exception of (SA+GA<sub>3</sub>). SA gave the highest values for anthocyanins either in the 1<sup>st</sup> season (61.85 mg/100gm) or two seasons average all the treatments (43.44 mg/100gm).

*Keywords:* Ruby Seedless- Grape-Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>)- Ammonium Nitrate- Salicylic acid (SA)

## Introduction

Grape is considered as one of the most important fruit crops in the world. It is grown extensively in Europe and other regions all over the world. It occupies the third position after citrus and mango according to the cultivated area and the magnitude of fruit production. It is considered as an important source of antioxidants such as vitamins, phenols, flavonoids, anthocyanins, dietary glutathione and endogenous metabolites. Therefore, grapes are contributing significantly to the health benefits. (Anastasiadi *et al.*, 2010).

Most of grape cultivars grown in Egypt belong to the table grapes and all of them are european cultivars. Seedless table grapes are the most popular cultivars in the Egyptian vineyards for both exportation and local marketing. According to the Ministry of Agriculture Statistics 2020, the total area devoted for grapes attained 187358 feddans including 133811 feddans as fruitful vines producing about 1183968 tons with an average of 8.848 tons/feddan.

"Ruby Seedless" grape cultivar as well called "King Ruby" is a cross between "Emperor" and "Provano 75" (Olmo *et al.*, 1981). "Ruby Seedless" is a late maturing cultivar, intermediate berry size, oval berries, red to purple colour, very susceptible to powdery mildew and bunch rot, ripens in mid to late August (Harry *et al.*, 1991). The grape berries are consumed in fresh forms as a table fruit and in the processed form as wine, raisin and fresh juice.

The prevalent problems encounter the Ruby Seedless grape cultivar under Assiut conditions are cluster compactness, poor coloring and shot berries.

With a view to improve the grape quality and to increase the berry size, plant growth regulators are usually applied such as Gibberellic acid. Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) applied to the clusters before full bloom to elongate the main cluster stem in order to overcome the cluster compactness (Omer and El Morsey, 2000 and Omer and Girgis, 2005 and Mohamed *et al.*, 2019). But it has some hazards as decreasing fertility and delaying maturity (Jawanda *et al.*, 1974)

Salicylic acid (SA) is an endogenous plant growth regulator of phenolic nature. It plays some important roles in the regulation of plant growth development and enhances plant vigor under biotic and abiotic stresses (Hayat *et al.*, 2010). SA plays an essential role in controlling berry quality such as color, flavor, astringency and bitterness (Chamkha *et al.*, 2003), and enhance berry size (Marzouk and Kassem, 2011).

Nutrients play an important role in a life cycle of a plant. Nitrogen (N) is known to be essential nutrient for plant development because of its role in proteins, nucleic acids, and enzymes synthesis (Hassan *et al.*, 2010; Kandil *et al.*, 2010). It is used in form Ammonium Nitrate. or potassium nitrate as aground of fertilizers or on foliar application.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The current study was conducted during two successive seasons of 2020 and 2021 on Ruby Seedless grape cultivar grown at the Experimental Orchard of Faculty of Agriculture, Assiut University. The experiment was conducted on thirty years old Ruby Seedless grapevines. Vines were cultivated at 2 x 2 m apart. in a clay soil. The vines were trained according to the head training system was applied by leaving the total bud load of 60 buds/vine (16 fruiting spurs x 3 buds + 6 replacement spurs x 2 buds/vine). the total number of clusters/vines was fixed to  $40\pm5$  clusters.

Twenty-eight vines pruned as ahead training system were chosen for this study and all the vines received the same agriculture managements. The experiment consisted of seven treatments (7treatments× 4 replications) arranged in a randomized complete blocks design.

#### Treatments

- 1- Spraying with Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) at 10 ppm.
- 2- Spraying with salicylic acid at 3 mM.

- 3- Spraying with Ammonium Nitrate at 300 ppm.
- 4- Spraying with Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) at 10ppm + Spraying with salicylic acid at 3 mM.
- 5-Spraying with Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) at 10 ppm + Spraying with Ammonium Nitrate at 300 ppm.
- 6- Spraying with Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) at 10 ppm + Spraying with salicylic acid at 3 mM + Spraying with Ammonium Nitrate at 300 ppm.
- 7- Control spraying with (tap water).

A surfactant super film at 0.1% was added to the spraying solution to reduce the surface tension. The treatment consisted of four replicates. The vines were sprayed using a hand sprayer in the early morning. The clusters were sprayed with GA<sub>3</sub> when they reached a length of 8-10 cm. while the other spraying compounds (Ammonium nitrate - Salicylic acid) were sprayed once before it reaches the coloring stage in late June or early July.

The second spraying was executed, when the coloring reached 10% of the berries (veraison stage).

#### The estimated yield weight/vine and cluster weight.

At harvest, the crop was collected to estimate the total weight vine. All the cluster numbers on each vine were counted. The invalid clusters were excluded and only the sound clusters were weighted and then the average cluster weight was calculated. The calculated average cluster weight was multiplying in the total number of clusters/vine to estimate the total yield weight (kg/vine).

Two clusters were taken from each vine and transferred to the fruit department laboratory to determine the following characteristics.

Two clusters were taken from each vine and transferred to the fruit department laboratory to determine the following characteristics.

#### Total soluble solids percentage (TSS %)

Total soluble solids percentage (TSS%) was measured by using a hand refractometer.

#### Total acidity percentage (TA %)

Total acidity was determined by titrating 5 ml of diluted juice against 0.1 N NaOH solution and phenolphthalein as an indicator. TA was calculated as grams of tartaric acid (TA) per 100 ml of berry juice and TA % according to the following equation AOAC (2005)

Total acidity % =  $\frac{Ml(s)NaOH \times standard \text{ solution of }NaOH(N) \times 75}{1000 \times The volume of used juice} \times 100$ 

Where: Standard solution of NaOH (N) = 0.1 N, 75 = the equivalent weight of tartaric acid, The volume of used juice = 5 ml.

TSS/acid ratio was then calculated.

## **Total Anthocyanin (TAC)**

The anthocyanin pigment was prepared through the process described by Onayemi *et al.* (2006). Were 20 ml of ethanol 85%+ HCL1.5 M (by volume) solution were added to gram of berry skin samples. The sample were covered and kept in deep freeze overnight. The extracts were completed to 50 ml of the solvent and then measured at wavelength 530 nm by spectrophotometer (Unico 1200 - USA). Results are expressed as mg anthocyanin per 100 g of fresh samples. Total anthocyanin was then calculated according to the following equation (Lees and Francis, 1971)

```
Total Anthocyanin (TAC) mg/100g fruit skin= (A535*V*100) /(98.2*W)
```

Where: A535= absorbance of solutions was measured at a wavelength of 530 nm, V = total volume of extract in ml, W = weight of fresh sample in grams.

## **Reducing sugars percentage**

Reducing sugars percentage in the juice was measured according to Lane and Eynon procedure AOAC (1985).

## Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done using a randomized complete block design (RCBD) according to Mead et al., (1993) with four replications for each treatment and one vine per each. The analysis of variance (ANOVA). As well as the combined analysis of two seasons of study was done by SAS software program and the treatment means were compared by using the revised L.S.D. values at 5% level of the probability (Steel and Torrie, 1980).

## Result

## Total soluble solids percentage (TSS %)

The percentage of TSS as affected by GA<sub>3</sub>, SA and NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> is presented in Table 1. During the 1<sup>st</sup> season T3 (NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>) significantly exceeded the control. While the rest of treatments had not a significant effect. The increment percentage of T3was 6.02 % over the control. In 2<sup>nd</sup> season all the tested treatments were not significant although all the treatments were higher than the control. The most effective treatment in this respect was T6 which recorded the highest value (18.15). The increment percentage of T6 was 8.68 % over the control. The average of two seasons showed that, T3 and T6 gave the highest percentage of TSS compared with control. While the rest of treatments had not a significant influence. Such treatment T3 increased Total soluble solids (TSS %) by 5.59% over the control.

# Total acidity percentage

The presented date found in Table 1 showing the effect of GA<sub>3</sub>, SA and NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> on Total acidity of Ruby Seedless grape cultivar. During 1<sup>st</sup> season, the total acidity percentage significantly decreased due to applications of T1, T3, T4 and T5. The rest of treatments did not have a significant influence. During 2<sup>nd</sup> season of study, most of the treatments significantly decreased the Total acidity

with an exception of T1 and T6. Two seasons average data showed that all treatments decreased the acidity compared with the control.

| Treatment - | Total soluble solids% |       |           | Total acidity% |      |         | TSS/TA ratio |       |         |
|-------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------|----------------|------|---------|--------------|-------|---------|
|             | 2020                  | 2021  | Mean      | 2020           | 2021 | Mean    | 2020         | 2021  | Mean    |
| T1          | 18.20                 | 16.75 | 17.48 c   | 0.32           | 0.37 | 0.35 b  | 56.79        | 46.21 | 51.50 c |
| T2          | 19.65                 | 17.00 | 18.33 ab  | 0.38           | 0.32 | 0.35 b  | 52.28        | 53.96 | 53.12 c |
| Т3          | 20.60                 | 17.55 | 19.08 a   | 0.31           | 0.31 | 0.31 c  | 67.75        | 56.61 | 62.18 a |
| T4          | 19.30                 | 17.30 | 18.30 abc | 0.29           | 0.30 | 0.30 c  | 66.26        | 58.62 | 62.44 a |
| T5          | 19.40                 | 16.90 | 18.15 bc  | 0.30           | 0.33 | 0.32 c  | 64.28        | 52.02 | 58.15 b |
| <b>T6</b>   | 20.00                 | 18.15 | 19.08 a   | 0.34           | 0.37 | 0.36 ab | 58.95        | 48.77 | 53.86 c |
| <b>T7</b>   | 19.43                 | 16.70 | 18.07 bc  | 0.37           | 0.39 | 0.38 a  | 53.00        | 42.83 | 47.92 d |
| L.S.D 0.5   | 1.13                  | NS    | -         | 0.04           | 0.03 | -       | 4.20         | 3.62  | -       |

Table 1. Effect of Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>), Salicylic acid (SA) and Ammonium nitrate (NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>) on Total soluble solids (TSS%), Total acidity% and Total soluble solids/Acid ratio of Ruby Seedless grape cultivar during 2020 and 2021 seasons

T1= GA<sub>3</sub> (10 ppm), T2= SA (3 Mm), T3= NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (300 ppm), T4= SA (3Mm) + GA<sub>3</sub> (10 ppm), T5= NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (300 ppm) + GA<sub>3</sub> (10 ppm), T6= SA (3 Mm) + NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (300 ppm) + GA<sub>3</sub> (10 ppm), T7= Control.

#### Total soluble solids/acid ratio

Result in Table1 showed that influence of GA<sub>3</sub>, SA and NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> on - total soluble solids/acid ratio of Ruby Seedless grape cultivar. The presented date demonstrated that most the treatments significantly increased on the ratio of TSS and acidity in the 1<sup>st</sup> season of study with an exception of T1and T2. The highest value was T3 (67.75). The increment percentage of T3 was 27.83 % over the control. The ratio of TSS and acidity was significantly affected by all the treatments with an exception of T1 during 2<sup>nd</sup> season. The best treatments in this respect were T4 followed by T3 and then T2 (58.62, 56.61 and 53.96, respectively). Such treatments increased Total soluble solids/acid ratio by 36.87%, 32.17% and 25.99% over the control, respectively. The combined analysis over the two years of study revealed that all the treatments in this respect were T4 followed by T3 and then T3 exceeded the control respecting the Total soluble solids/acid ratio. The best treatments in this respect were T4 followed by T3 and the treatments in this respect were T4 followed by 73 and the section of the control respecting the Total soluble solids/acid ratio. The best treatments in this respect were T4 followed by T3 and the treatments in this respect were T4 followed by T3 and the treatments in this respect were T4 followed by T3 and the treatments in this respect were T4 followed by T3 and the treatments in this respect were T4 followed by T3 and then T5. The increment percentage of these treatments was 30.30%, 29.76% and 21.35% over the control, respectively.

#### **Reducing sugars percentage**

Result in Table 2 showed that effect of GA<sub>3</sub>, SA and NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> on - reducing sugars of Ruby Seedless grape cultivar. In 1<sup>st</sup> season Although there was an increase in reducing sugars due to difference treatments comparing with the control, the differences were not significant in most the treatments. the significant effect was only found in the T3 (NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>). The highest value was T3 (18.02). The increment percentage of this treatment was 19.10 over the control. During 2<sup>nd</sup> season all the treatments significantly increased with an exception of T4. The best treatments in this respect were T1 followed by T2 and then T6. The increment percentage of this tests was 18.45%, 18.03% and 15.28% over the control,

respectively. Two seasons average date demonstrated that, all the treatments was significantly increased it comparing the control the reducing sugars ranged between 14.12 to 15.70%, while the control gave the lowest percentages. Such treatments increased reducing sugars of T3, T1 and T2 15.78%, 12.75% and 11.65% over the control, respectively.

| Treatment | Re    | ducing sug | ars%      | Anthocyanins(mg/100gm) |       |         |  |
|-----------|-------|------------|-----------|------------------------|-------|---------|--|
|           | 2020  | 2021       | Mean      | 2020                   | 2021  | Mean    |  |
| T1        | 16.39 | 14.19      | 15.29 ab  | 24.34                  | 23.80 | 24.07 f |  |
| T2        | 16.13 | 14.14      | 15.14 ab  | 61.85                  | 24.80 | 43.33 a |  |
| T3        | 18.02 | 13.38      | 15.70 a   | 43.36                  | 24.37 | 33.87 b |  |
| T4        | 16.02 | 12.21      | 14.12 cd  | 36.10                  | 27.43 | 31.77 d |  |
| T5        | 15.94 | 13.37      | 14.66 bc  | 38.39                  | 26.79 | 32.59 c |  |
| <b>T6</b> | 16.13 | 13.81      | 14.97 abc | 32.88                  | 21.92 | 27.40 e |  |
| Τ7        | 15.13 | 11.98      | 13.56 d   | 24.99                  | 23.91 | 24.45 f |  |
| L.S.D 0.5 | 1.38  | 1.26       | -         | 0.92                   | 1.36  | -       |  |

Table 2. Effect of Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>), Salicylic acid (SA) and Ammonium nitrate (NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>) on Anthocyanins (AN) (mg/100gm) and Reducing sugars (RS)% of Ruby Seedless grape cultivar during 2020 and 2021 season

T1= GA<sub>3</sub> (10 ppm), T2= SA (3 Mm), T3= NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (300 ppm), T4= SA (3Mm) + GA<sub>3</sub> (10 ppm), T5= NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (300 ppm) + GA<sub>3</sub> (10 ppm), T6= SA (3 Mm) + NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (300 ppm) + GA<sub>3</sub> (10 ppm), T7= Control.

#### Total anthocyanins content of Ruby Seedless grapes

The percentage of (TAN content) as affected by GA<sub>3</sub>, SA and NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> is presented in Table2. During the 1st season all the treatments significantly exceeded the control except T1 (GA<sub>3</sub>). The best treatment in the respect was T2 which recorded the highest value (61.85 mg/ 100 g). The second treatments were T3 followed by T5. The increment percentage of T2 was 147.50 % over the control. During 2nd season some the treatments were non-significant and some significantly increased compared to the control. The best treatment in the respect was T4 (SA+GA<sub>3</sub>) which recorded the highest anthocyanins content (27.43 mg/ 100 g) followed by T5. The increment percentage of this treatments was 14.72% and 12.05% over the control, respectively. Two seasons average date demonstrated that, most the treatments was significantly increased it comparing with the control. The highest value was T2 (SA) followed by T3. Such treatments increased total anthocyanins content by 77.22% and 38.53% over the control, respectively.

#### Discussion

The application of GA<sub>3</sub> combined with SA and NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> led to a significant increase in the TSS and TSS/TA in the juice comparing with the control. Our results showed also that the application of GA<sub>3</sub> alone to grape had not any significant effect on TSS. This may be due to the delaying effect of GA<sub>3</sub> on fruit maturity (Zahedi *et al.*, 2013). Our results concerning the effect of GA<sub>3</sub> on TSS have been confirmed by many workers (Kaplan, 2017; Khalil, 2020 and Ibrahim *et al.*, 2021).

These results came on line with the other papers reported by Alrashdi *et al.*, 2017 and Ali *et al.*, 2014), where they found that SA had not a significant effect on TSS. On the other side, (Ahmed *et al.*, 2018 and Bassiony and Mahmoud, 2019) they found that SA had a significant effect on TSS.

NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> increased slightly on TSS of the grape cultivar. These results accordant with those reported by Huang et al., 2008 and Swathi *et al.*, 2019. While others revealed that NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> had no effects on TSS. For instance, (Chater and Garner, 2019 and Aly *et al.*, 2020).

Concerning acidity GA<sub>3</sub> alone or combined with SA or NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> decreased the total acidity. Our results concerning the effect of GA<sub>3</sub> and other additions on acidity have been confirmed by workers Eleonora *et al.*, 2018 and Radwan *et al.*, 2019), while they found that GA<sub>3</sub> application of grape cultivars increased TA% (Ibrahim *et al.*, 2021). On the other side, during our study GA<sub>3</sub> combined with SA and NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>had not a significant effect on TA% compared with the control. The application of NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> individually led to a significant decrease of TA% in both seasons. Our results concerning the effect of Ammonium nitrate on TA% have been confirmed by workers (Wahab *et al.*, 2016 and Beerappa *et al.*, 2019). While others revealed that NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> significant increased the TSS/acid ratio. For instance, Bassiony and Mahmoud, 2019; Aly *et al.*, 2020.

Under the conditions of the current study, it could be found that GA<sub>3</sub> alone or combined with NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> and/or combined with SA and NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> slightly increased the reducing sugars%. Where the results of the current study came on line with those reported by Marzouka and Kassem, 2011 and Radwan *et al.*, 2019. On the other hand, Abada *et al.* (2015), Ibrahim *et al.*, (2021) and El-Akkad *et al.*, (2021) found that GA<sub>3</sub> decreased reducing sugars%.

The present study raveled that SA increased the concentration of reducing sugars. Reducing sugars was not significantly affected in  $1^{st}$  year and increased in the following year. The positive effects of SA application on reducing sugars have been noticed by Ahmed *et al.*, 2018; Nanthakumar *et al.*, 2021.

The application of  $NH_4NO_3$  led to a significant increase in reducing sugars. Our results also came on line with that reported by, Solhjooa *et at.*, 2017 and Beerappa *et al.*, 2019. On the other hand, (Pathania *et al.*, 2018) found that  $NH_4NO_3$  decreased reducing sugars.

Gouda, 2016 and Khalil, 2020 demonstrated that GA<sub>3</sub> application decreased berry color and decrease anthocyanin contents. The reduce of anthocyanin content by GA<sub>3</sub> could be the result of their effect on phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) activity, which is regarded as the primary enzyme in the synthesis of anthocyanin (Lee *et al.*, 1996). The abovementioned studies came on line with the results of the current study. Salicylic acid is one of the phenolic compounds that are important secondary metabolites in grape berries and play an essential role in determining grape berry quality as well as berries characteristics such as colour, astringency, flavour, and bitterness (Chamkha *et al.*, 2003). Under the conditions

of the current study, it could be found that SA alone significantly increased the anthocyanins in grape skin in the 1<sup>st</sup> season, while in 2<sup>nd</sup> led to slight increase compared with the control. The positive effects of SA application on grape quality have been noticed by Bassiony and Mahmoud, 2019 and Nanthakumar et al., 2021. Probably due to the effect of SA on the expression and activity of PAL and other enzymes attached to the biosynthesis of these metabolites. After veraison, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase activity mostly focuses on the production of anthocyanin specifically in red cultivars than other phenolics. Thus, enhancement in phenylalanine ammonia-lyase activity causes increase in Anthocyanin. Consequently, berries have better appearance and nutritional values. Champa et al., 2015 and Chen, 2006. Evaluation of the M3G content, one of the essential anthocyanins in the grape berries extract, also demonstrated increased production when SA concentration was raised. This may also suggest that probably has a specific effect on the genes that control the production of this anthocyanin compound in grape berries, and the output of anthocyanins in large amounts does not solely depend on the activity of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase. Enhancement in PAL activity after SA treatments in grape berries was previously reported by Asghari and Aghdam, 2010 and Champa et al., 2015. As reported positive influence of exogenous SA treatment on flavonoid, phenolic and anthocyanin enhancement. Dokhanieh et al., 2013 and Ahn et al., 2014 In grapes, positive effects of SA application on the production of phenylpropanoids, flavonols, and anthocyanins have been reported together with enhancement of gene expression related to the synthesis of flavonoids. Dokhanieh et al., 2013 and Giménez et al., 2017. It was also found that ammonium nitrate had the same effects of salicylic on the anthocyanin content in both seasons. But to a lesser degree. These results were in agreement with Solhjooa et at., 2017 and Safa et al., 2020. While Szot and Wieniarska, 2012. Revealed that NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> had no effects on anthocyanin content.

## Conclusion

The present study confirmed that the use Salicylic acid and Ammonium nitrate alone or combined with GA<sub>3</sub> could enhance fruit quality. For this reason, it could be concluded that a foliar application with Salicylic acid at 3 Mm, Ammonium nitrate at 300 ppm alone or combined with GA<sub>3</sub> at 10 ppm before cluster reaches the coloring stage and when the coloring reached 10% of the berries for improving the chemical properties of grapes.

### References

- Abada, M.A.M., Uwakiem, M.K., and Belal, B.E.A. (2015). Effect of spraying gibberellic acid and sitofex on improving yield and fruit quality of Early Sweet grapes grown at Minia region, Egypt. Alex. J. Agric. Res, 60(3): 111-117.
- Ahmed, F.F., Gaser, A.S.A., and Hassan, M.M.A. (2018). Effect of spraying salicylic acid, some crop seed sprouts and turmeric extract on shot berries, yield and berries quality of Superior grapevines. New York Science Journal, 11(1): 60-68.

- Ahn, S.Y., Kim, S.A., Cho, K.S., and un, H.K.Y. (2014). Expression of genes related to flavonoid and stilbene synthesis as affected by signaling chemicals and Botrytis cinerea in grapevines. Biologia plantarum, 58(4): 758-767.
- Ali, I., Abbasi, N.A., and Hafiz, I.A. (2014). Physiological response and quality attributes of peach fruit cv. Florida king as affected by different treatments of calcium chloride, putrescine and salicylic acid. Pak. J. Agri. Sci, 51(1): 33-39.
- Alrashdi, A.M.A., Al-Qurashi, A.D., Awad, M.A., Mohamed, S. A., and Al-rashdi, A. A. (2017). Quality, antioxidant compounds, antioxidant capacity and enzymes activity of 'El-Bayadi'table grapes at harvest as affected by preharvest salicylic acid and gibberellic acid spray. Scientia Horticulturae, 220, 243-249.
- Aly, M.A., Harhash, M.M., Bassiony, S.S., and Felifal, M.M.S. (2020). Effect of foliar spray of sitofex, moringa leaves extract and some nutrients on productivity and fruit quality of "Thompson Seedless" grapevine. Journal of the Advances in Agricultural Researches, 25(1): 112-129.
- Anastasiadi, M., Pratsinis, H., Kletsas, D., A.L., and Skaltsounis, Haroutounian, S.A. (2010). Bioactive non-colored polyphenols content of grapes, wines and vilification by-products: Evaluation of the antioxidant activities of their extracts. Food Res. Int., 43:805-813.
- AOAC (1985). Official Methods of Analysis.14th Ed. Published by A.O.A.C. Washington, D.C., U.S.A.
- AOAC (2005). Official method of analysis of AOAC International. 18th e.d, Suite 500 481, North frederick avenue, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20877–2417. U.S.A.
- Asghari, M., and Aghdam, M.S. (2010). Impact of salicylic acid on post-harvest physiology of horticultural crops. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 21(10): 502-509.
- Bassiony, S.S., and Mahmoud, M.A. (2019). Impact of magnetic iron applications and foliar spray of some antioxidants on growth, and productivity of "Flame seedless" grapevines under salt affected soil. Annals of Agricultural Science, Moshtohor, 57(1): 113-128.
- Beerappa., Patil, S.N., Hipparagi, K., Patil, D.R., Suma R., and Biradar, I.B. (2019). Effect of foliar application of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) and nutrients on yield and quality of pomegranate (*Punica granatum L.*) cv. Bhagwa. International Journal of Chemical Studies, 7(4): 2579-2584.
- Chamkha M., Cathala, B., Cheynier, V., and Douillard, R. (2003). Phenolic composition of champagnes from Chardonnay and Pinot Noir vintages. J Agric Food Chem; 51:3179–84.
- Champa, W.A.H., Gill, M.I.S., Mahajan, B.V.C., and Arora, N.K. (2015). Preharvest salicylic acid treatments to improve quality and postharvest life of table grapes (*Vitis vinifera L.*) cv. Flame Seedless. Journal of food science and technology, 52(6): 3607-3616.
- Chater, J.M., and Garner, L.C. (2019). Foliar nutrient applications to Wonderful'pomegranate (*Punica granatum L.*). I. Effects on fruit mineral nutrient concentrations and internal quality. Scientia Horticulturae, 244, 421-427.

- Chen, J.Y., Wen, P.F., Kong, W.F., Pan, Q.H., Zhan, Li, J.C., J. M., and Huang, W.D. (2006). Effect of salicylic acid on phenylpropanoids and phenylalanine ammonialyase in harvested grape berries. Postharvest Biology and Technology, 40(1): 64-72.
- Dokhanieh, A.Y., Aghdam, M.S., Fard, J.R., and Hassanpour, H. (2013). Postharvest salicylic acid treatment enhances antioxidant potential of cornelian cherry fruit. Scientia Horticulturae, 154, 31-36.
- El-Akad, M.M., Rizkalla, M.K., and Ibrahim, R.A. (2021). Effect of gibberellic acid and jasmine oil on yield and fruit quality of king Ruby Seedless grape cultivar. SVU-International Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 3(1): 85-95.
- Eleonora, N., Alina, D., Dobrei, A., Camen, D., and Matti, G.B. (2018). Cane girdling and gibberellic acid effects on yield and fruit quality of (*Vitis vinifera L.*) cv. Victoria and Italia. In The 1st International Conference on Life Sciences, Timişoara (Vol. 22, No. 1, pp. 105-110).
- Giménez, M.J., Serrano, M., Valverde, J.M., Martínez-Romero, D., Castillo, S., Valeroand, D., and Guillen, F. (2017). Preharvest salicylic acid and acetylsalicylic acid treatments preserve quality and enhance antioxidant systems during postharvest storage of sweet cherry cultivars. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 97(4): 1220-1228.
- Gouda, F.Z.M. (2016). Effect of GA3 and lemongrass oil spraying on fruiting of Ruby Seedless grapevines. J. Agric. Sci, 47(6-1): 173-180.
- Harry, A., Fred, J., and Elam, P. (1991). Growing and quality of table grapes in the home garden. University of California pp. 1-30
- Hassan, H.S.A., Saleh, M.M.S., and Abd El-Kader, A.A. (2010). Growth and leaf mineral content of some fruit species seedlings as affected by a slow release nitrogen fertilizer. Research Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences 6 (4):417–423.
- Hayat Q., Hayat, S., Irfan, M. and Ahmad, A. (2010). Effect of exogenous salicylic acid under changing environment: a review. Environ Exp Bot; 68:14–25.
- Huang, R.H., Liu, J.H., Lu, Y.M., and Xia, R.X. (2008). Effect of salicylic acid on the antioxidant system in the pulp of 'Cara cara'navel orange (*Citrus sinensis L*. Osbeck) at different storage temperatures. Postharvest biology and technology, 47(2): 168-175.
- Ibrahim, R.E., El-Akad, M.M., and Rizkalla, M.K. (2021). Effect of spraying gibberellic acid and caffeic acid on yield and fruit quality of White Banaty (Thompson Seedless) grape cultivar. SVU-International Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 3(4):132-140.
- Jawanda, J.S., Singh, R., and Chohan, G.S. (1974). The effect of GA on the grape cultivar Thompson seedless (V. vinifera L.) J. Rec., Punhab Agricult. Univ., 9: 557-563.
- Kandil, E.A., Fawzi, M.I.F., and Shahin, M.F.M. (2010). The effect of some slow release nitrogen fertilizers on growth, nutrient status and fruiting of "Mit Ghamr" peach trees. Journal of American Science 6 (12):195–201.

- Kapłan, M., Najda, A., Baryła, P., and Klimek, K. (2017). Effect of gibberellic acid concentration and number of treatments on yield components of "Einset Seedless" grapevine cultivar. Horticultural Science, 44(4): 195-200.
- Khalil, H.A. (2020). Improved yield, fruit quality, and shelf life in 'Flame Seedless' grapevine with pre-harvest foliar applications of forchlorfenuron, gibberellic acid, and abscisic acid. Journal of Horticultural Research, 28(1): 77-86.
- Lee S.M., Han, D.H., Lee, C.H., Kim, S.B. (1996). Effects of ABA and kinetin treatments on the coloration and quality of 'Campbell Early' and 'Black Olym-pia' grapes. Journal of the Korean Society for Horticultural Science 37: 263–268.
- Lees, D.H. and Francis, F.J. (1971). Quantitative methods for anthocyanins. VI. Flavonols and anthocyanins in cranberries. J. Food Sci., 36: 1056-1060.
- Marzouk, H.A., and Kassem, H.A. (2011). Improving yield, quality, and shelf life of Thompson seedless grapevine by preharvest foliar applications. Sci Hortic; 130:425–30.
- Mead, R., Currnow, R.N., and Harted, A.M. (1993). Statistical Methods in Agricultural and Experimental Biology. Second Ed. Chapman & Hall. London, pp. 10-44.
- Mohamed, A.K.A., El-Salhy, A.M., Mostafa, R.A.A., El-Mahdy, M.T. and Hussein, A.S. (2019). Effect of exogenous abscisic acid (ABA), gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) and cluster thinning on yield of some grape cultivars. Journal of Plant Production, 10(2): 101-105.
- Nanthakumar, S., Manju, V., and Kumar, V.A. (2021). Influence of plant growth regulators to improve the colour and sugar content of grapes (*Vitis vinifera L.*). cv. Red Globe. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change, 11(11): 216-221
- Olmo, H.B., Hivni, C.S., Antonacci, D., Pedone, L., Sirotti L., and Zanzi, G. (1981). Estratte allo Rivista di Vitieoltura. Ed. Enotogia di Conegliano. Anno XXXXIV – N. B. Agosto: 315 – 325.
- Omar, A.H., and El-Morsy, F.M. (2000). Improving quality and marketing of Ruby Seedless Table grapes. J. Agricultural Science. 25(7): 44254438.
- Omar, A.H., and Girgis, V.H. (2005). Some treatments affecting fruit quality of Crimson Seedless grapevines. J. Agricultural Science. 30(8): 4665-4676.
- Onayemi, O.O., Neto, C.C., and Heuvel, J.E.V. (2006). The effect of partial defoliation on vine carbohydrate concentration and flavonoid production in cranberries. HortScience, 41(3): 607-611.
- Pathania, S., Kumar, A., and Arora, P.K. (2018). Response of different pomegranate cultivars to foliar application of potassium nitrate in arid irrigated region of Punjab. Journal of Plant Nutrition, 41(20): 2572-2580.
- Radwan, E.M.A., Khodair, O.A., and Silem, A.A.E.M. (2019). Effect of some compounds spraying on fruiting of Superior Seedless grapevines under Assiut conditions. Journal of Plant Production, 10(1):59-64.
- Safa, A., Hakimi, L., Pypker, T.G., and Khosropour, E. (2020). The effect of ZnSO4 and KNO3 on quantitative and qualitative properties of *Punica granatum L*. Journal of Plant Nutrition, 43(9): 1286-1292.

- Solhjooa, S., Gharaghani, A. and Fallahi, E. (2017). Calcium and potassium foliar sprays affect fruit skin color, quality attributes, and mineral nutrient concentrations of 'Red Delicious' apples. International Journal of Fruit Science, 17(4): 358-373.
- Swathi, A. S., Jegadeeswari, D., Chitdeshwari, T., and Kavitha, C. (2019). Effect of foliar nutrition of calcium and boron on the yield and quality attributes of grape. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 8(3): 3625-3629.
- Steel, R.G. and Torrie, J. (1980). Principles and procedures of statistics, A biological approach. 2nd Ed., Mc. Graw-Hill Book Co. Inc., New York, USA.
- Szot, I., and Wieniarska, J. (2012). Effect of foliar applications of Goëmar BM 86 and soil applied calcium nitrate on yield and berry quality of two blue honeysuckle cultivars. Acta Scientiarum Polonorum-Hortorum Cultus, 11(1): 133-144.
- Wahab, M., Ullah, Z., Usman, M., Nayab, S., Ullah, M., Sajid, M., Sohail, K. (2016). Effect of three Ca-sources applications on fruit quality attributes of three peach cultivars in Pakistan. Pure and Applied Biology (PAB), 5(3): 464-470.
- Zahedi, M., Mortazavi, S., Moallemi, N., and Abdossi, V. (2013). Effect of pre-harvest application of gibberellic acid and ethephon on the quality of table grape. J. Ornam. Hortic. Plants, 3(2):125–131.

تأثير الرش الورقي بحامض الجبريليك، حامض السـاليسـيليك ونترات الأمونيوم على جودة الحبات في صنف العنب الروبى سيدلس

أيمن كمال أحمد محمد، محمد مجدي عباس العقاد، ايمان عبد الحكيم عبد الله أبو زيد، ريهام عبده فراج بدوي \*

قسم الفاكهة، كلية الزراعة، جامعة أسيوط، مصر

## الملخص

أجريت هذه الدراسة بمزرعة كلية الزراعة البحثية جامعة أسيوط خلال موسمي2020، 2021 على كرمات العنب الروبي اللا بذري بغرض دراسة تأثير رش حمض الجبريليك، حامض الساليسيليك ونترات الأمونيوم على جودة الحبات. حيث تم الرش بحامض الجبريليك عند تركيز (10جزء في المليون) عند وصول طول العنقود من 8-10 سم. ورش حامض الساليسيليك ونترات الأمونيوم بتركيز (3ملليمول و300جزء في المليون) على التوالي مرتين. المرة الأولي قبل بداية التلوين مباشرة والمرة الاخري عند وصول نسبة التلوين 10-15% من العناقيد.

# وقد أظهرت النتائج ما يلي

أدت جميع المعاملات إلى زيادة في نسبة المواد الصبلة الذائبة الكلية مقارنة بالكنترول والرش بالجبريلين منفردا وكانت أفضبل المعاملات هي الرش بنترات الامونيوم منفردا والرش بحمض السلسليك ونترات الامونيوم والجبريلين معا.

أدت جميع المعاملات إلى نقص معنوي في الحموضة الكلية لعصير الحبات باستثناء حامض الساليسيليك زاد من نسبة الحموضة في الموسم الأول. أدت جميع المعاملات الي زيادة النسبة بين المواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية والحموضة متان معار معارنة بالكنترول وكانت أفضل المعاملات هي الرش بحمض الساليسيليك والجبريلين معا.

في الموسم الأول على الرغم من وجود زيادة في نسبة السكريات المختزلة في مختلف المعاملات مقارنة بالتحكم الا انه لا يوجد اختلافات معنوية في معظمها. حيث وجد التأثير معنوي فقط عند الرش بنترات الأمونيوم، بينما خلال الموسم الثاني أو متوسط موسمين، كانت جميع المعاملات معنوية باستثناء المعاملة المركبة (حمض الجبريليك + حامض الساليسيليك).

أوضحت جميع المعاملات زيادة معنويه في محتوى قشر الحبات من صبغة الانثوسيانين مقارنة بالكنترول والرش بالجبريلين منفردا حيث أدى الرش بالجبريلين منفردا إلى رداءة تلوين حبات العنب ولم يكن هناك فرق معنوى بين الجبريلين والكنترول. حيث أعطي حامض الساليسيليك أعلى قيم للأنثوسيانين في الموسم الأول بمقدار (61.85 مجم / 100 جرام) وزاد متوسط الموسمين بمقدار (43.33 مجم / 100 جرام).

من نتائج التجربة يمكن التوصية بأهمية الرش بنترات الامونيوم وحمض الساليسيليك منفردا أو مختلطة مع الجبريلين للحصول على خصائص عناقيد وحبات جيده للعنب الروبي سيدلس وتحسين التلوين والتغلب على مشكلة رداءة تلوين الحبات عند الرش بالجبريلين منفردا.