EFFECT OF USING NIGELLA SEEDS, CHAMOMILE FLOWERS, THYME FLOWERS AND HARMALA SEEDS AS FEED ADDITIVES ON PERFORMANCE OF BROILER

ABAZA I. M. K.¹, M.A. ASAR², G.E. ELSHAARRAWI², AND M.F. HASSAN²

1Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture, Dokki, Egypt

2 Faculty of Agriculture, Alexandria University

(Manuscript received January 2002)

Abstract

The present study was carried out in Poultry Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Alexandria University to evaluate the effect of adding some dried medicinal plants (M.P) as Nigella seeds (N.S), Chamomile flowers (CH.F), Thyme flowers (TH.F) and Harmala seeds (HS) at levels of 0.25, 0.25, 0.50, 0.25%, respectively, individually or in combination versus using Zinc Bacitraction (Zn B) or virginimicyin (VIR) at levels (20 mg/kg of diet) to broiler chicks diet on growth performance,nutrient digestibility values, blood serum constituents and economical efficiency. Two hundred and forty hubbard broiler chicks at three weeks of age were used in this study. Chicks were weighed and randomly distributed into sixteen treatments, with three replicates (5 chicks of each). The experiment was terminated when birds were 7 weeks old. Weight gain, feed intake, feed conversion and some blood serum constituents were measured. At the end of the experiment, digestibility values and carcass characteristics were measured. Results showed that:

The Using of N.S., TH.F, HS and CH.F at 0.25, 0.5, 0.25, 0.25%, dietary levels, respectively, individually or in combination, improved the performance compared to control and antibiotics (Zn B or VIR) supplemented groups.

The use of 0.25% CH.F plus 0.25% N.S. improved body weight, body weight gain, feed conversion, carcass traits and proved to be more economical than the other treatments or control.

INTRODUCTION

Growth promoters (chemical products, antibiotics, probiotics, enzymes. etc) play an active role in the experimental and commercial production of large and small animals as well as poultry. Supplementation of several growth promoters from different sources to poultry feed is nowadays common and widely used, in order to improve the utilization of nutrients (Boulos *et al.*, 1992). Although, good results were obtained with

these substances, their use might had unfavourable effect (Public health hazards and or environmental pollution). In other side, it may also result in production of residual problems in the tissues of birds and animals. However, recently many countries tended to prevent application of antibiotics because of their side effects on both bird and man kind. It is indispensable to minimize these components, and deal with replacers without any adverse effect on production. So it is important to use natural growth promoter (Abdel-Malak et al., 1995). Recently, growth promoters from herbal sources (medicinal plants) are used very limited, because, there is little information about these sources and their active ingredints. Sabra and Mehta (1990) applying livol, as herbal growth promoter in the diet of broilers observed pronounced improvements in their body weight gain, mortality rate and feed conversion.

The present study was conducted to determine the effect of some dried medical plants as Nigella seeds (N.S.) (Nigella Sativa L.), German Chamomile flower heads (CH.F) (Matricaria Chomomila L.), Thyme flowers (TH.F) (Thymus Vulgaris) and Harmala seeds (H.S.) (Peganum Harmala) as feed supplements versus, some antibiotics as Zinc Bacitracin (ZnB) and Virginiamycin (VIR) as growth promoters on the performance of broiler chicks.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out at the Poultry Research Center, Faculty of Agriculture, Alexandria University. The study involved one experiment designed to study the effect of adding (N.S, CH.F, TH.F, H.S) at levels (0.25,0.25,0.5,0.25%) purchased from Alex. local markets, individually or in combination as shown in Table 2 versus using either ZnB or VIR at level 20 mg/kg of diet for each as a growth promoter in broiler diets. Two hundred and forty hubbard broiler chicks at three weeks of age were wingbanded, weighed and randomly distributed into sixteen treatments with three replicates (5 chicks of each).

The composition of the basal diet used in the pre- experimental period (1-21 days of age) and as a control diet through the experiments (3-7 weeks of age) is shown in Table 1. The basal diet contained 10 kg of sand/ ton (1%) to be replaced with the test materials in the experimental diets shown in Table 2. All chicks had full access to feed and water. The environmental temperature was about 32 °C during the first week using gas heater, and gradually decreased to about 24± 2 °C at the fourth week of age. Artificial lighting was maintained continuasly during night without interruption. The experiment extended for seven weeks. Live body weight and feed intake were

recorded, while, body whight gain and feed conversion were calculated from 3-7 weeks of age. The proximate analysis of the tested materials are shown in Table 7. The digestibility values of nutrients of the experimental dites were determined at 7 weeks of age using three birds from each treatment. Samples of diets and excreta were analyzed for their content of nitrogen, ash, fiber, fat and nitrogen free extract (calculated by difference) according to A.O.A.C., 1990. Digestibility values of nutrients of the experimental diets were estimated on dry matter by the following formula:

(Dry weight of diet intake)x (% nutrient in diet)_(dry % Digestibility of nutrient of diet= weight of feces voided) x (% nutrient in feces) x 100

Dry weight of diet intake x % nutreint in diet.

At the end of the experimental period, three birds from each dietary treatment were randomly chosen, weighed then slaughtered. Carcasses were eviscerated and their liver, heart, pancreas, gizzard, Bursa of Fabricius. and abdominal fat were weighed separately. Intestinal and cecum lengths were also estimated. All slaughter traits studied were proportionated to one kg. live body weight. Blood samples were collected from slaughtered birds in glass tubes and left at room temperature for one hour, then, kept over night in refrigerator at + 8 °C to separate serum. Serum total protein were measured by the Biuret method as described by Armstrong and Carr (1964). Albumin concentration was determined according to Doumas *et al.* (1977). Globulin concentration was calculated as the difference between total protein and albumin. Serum total cholesterol was determined according to the method of Watson (1960).

Economic evaluation for all the experimental diets was made. Economical efficiency is defined as the net revenue per unit feed cost calculated from input output analysis as described by Hassan *et al.* (1996). The statistical analysis of the experimental data was computed using analysis of variance procedure described in the SAS (1988), one fixed mathematical. The significant differences among treatments were determined by Duncan multiple rang test (Duncan, 1955).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This experiment was designed to study the effect of adding the four medicinal plants (MP) at the corresponding best levels obtained from the study carried out by Abaza (2001), individually or in combination, for their synergetic effect, versus either Zinc Bacitracin or Virginiamycin as a growth promoter in broiler dites.

Growth performance

The data of broiler body weight and gain through the experemental period (3-7) weeks presented in Table 3 indicated highly significant (p < 0.01) differences between treatments. The synergetic effect of combination between 2.5 kg CH.F and 2.5 kg N.S. per ton (treat. 10) in broiler diet was superior in body weight and gain than control and other treatments. Also, there were insignificant differences in body weight and gain among treatments (10,11,9,5,6,4,7,12,15). The broiler chicks fed 2.5 kg CH.F + 5 kg TH.f + 2.5 kg H.S (treat. 14) had the lowest significant (p < 0.01) differences in body weight and gain, while, those in treatment 14 were statistically equal to the body weight and gain for all other treatments except the chicks reared in treatments (10,11 and 9).

The data of feed intake during the experimental period (3-7) weeks in Table 3 indicated significant (p < 0.05) differences among treatments. The highest feed intake was recorded with the broiler fed 2.5 kg CH.F +2.5 kg N.S. / ton (treat 10), without significant differences with broiler chicks in treatments 16, 15, 13, 12, 11, 9, 6, 5, 3, 2 and control (treat. 1). The data of feed conversion indicated highly significant (p < 0.01) differences between all treatments. The chicks fed 2.5 kg CH.F +2.5 kg N.S. / ton (treat 10) and 5.0 kg TH.F + 2.5 kg N.S. / ton (treat. 11) had the best feed conversion than all treatments without significant differences with chicks fed 2.5 kg CH.F +2.5 kg H.S. (treat. 9) and 5.0 kg TH.F (treat. 5). The combination between two of medicinal plants in treatments 10, 11 and 9 had the best synergetic effects and improved feed conversion compared with the other treatments, except treatment 5. These improvements may be due to the synergetic effect of the chemical constituents (Nigellone, Matricarin, Thymol and Harmalina) presente in M.P. These chemical constituents had antimicrobial, antifungal, antioxidant and anti- inflammatory effects (Kotb, 1979). These results are in agreement with the results of Abdel- Malak et al.(1995). They showed that overall body weight gains of broiler chicks were improved by increasing Bio- tonic herbal mixture supplementation up to 1% in broiler diets.

Osman and El- Barody (1999) found that the addition of N.S at levels (0.8 and 1.0 %) in broiler diets had insignificant effect on average body weight at all age intervals, but reduced feed intake and improved feed conversion at 4 and 6 weeks of age. Vogt et al. (1989) found that dried thyme at level 100 mg / kg in broiler diets improved the body weight gain. On the other hand Allen (1992) found that the final body weight and body weight gain were insignificantly affected by the incorporation of VIR at levels 5, 10 and 20 mg / kg of broiler diets.

Digestibility values

Adding of Zn B or VIR individually and M.P. either individually or in combination shown in Table 4 had highly significant (p < 0.01) effects on the percentage of nutrient digestibility values among all treatments and control group. The best digestibility values of DM, OM, C.P., C.F and NFE were recorded by the chicks fed 2.5 kg CH.F + 5 kg TH.F + 2.5 kg H.S. / ton (treat. 14), while, the best values of E.E and C.F digestibility were recorded by the chicks fed 2.5 kg N.S. (treat. 7) and 5 kg TH.F + 2.5 kg N.S. (treat. 12), respectively. Ghazalah and Faten (1996) reported that the groups fed Thymol or Nigella seed oil increased their NFE digestibility values.

Carcass characteristics

The results of carcass indicated insignificant differences among treatments for carcass, abdominal fat, blood, heart, liver, spleen, pancreas, gizzard, bursa of fabricius weights and total intestinal length with overall means equal 717.69, 10.92, 19.95, 4.36, 26.98, 1.79, 2.47, 21.56, 1.42 g / kg body weight, and 88.16 cm / kg body weight, respectively. Abdel- Malak et al. (1995) showed that Bio- tonic had no significant effect on dressing and total edible parts. However, highly significant (p < 0.01) differences were found among treatments for ceccum length (ranged between 8.03 and 14.43 cm / kg body weight). The lowest ceccum length was recorded with control chicks (treatment 1), while, the highest length was recorded with the chicks in treatment 15 (fed 2.5 kg CH.F + 5.0 kg TH.F + 2.5 kg N.S. / ton of diet), followed by chicks in treatmeant 12 (fed 5.0 kg TH.F + 2.5 kg N.S. / ton of diet) and chicks in treatment 10 (fed 2.5 kg CH.F + 2.5 kg N.S. / ton of diet). Generally, using M.P at all addition levels (either individually or in combination), showed that the length of ceccum (cm / kg BW) in all treatments was longer than control treatment and the length of ceccum for chicks fed Zn B or VIR (treat. 2 and 3). These results may be due to that M.P. had beneficial effect on increasing the count of beneficial microorganism in ceccum of broiler chicks, which was reflected on increase of ceccum length. Several reports indicated that M.P. had antimicrobial and antifungal activity (Kotb, 1979).

Blood Constituents

The values of total proteins, albumin, globulin (g / 100 ml) and total cholesterol (mg / 100 ml) in blood serum of broiler chicks at the end of the experiment are presented in Table 5.

The broiler chicks fed on the experimental diets showed highly significant (p <

0.01) differences among treatments for the estimated serum constituents. Serum total protein for the chicks fed 2.5 kg H.S / ton of diet (treat. 6), 1 kg ZnB (equal 20 g active principle) /ton diet (treat. 2), control diet (treat. 1), and 5.0 kg TH.F /ton diet (treat. 12), did not statistically differ being 4.69, 4.61, 4.44 and 4.27 g / 100 ml serum, respectively. The lowest value of serum total proteins was recorded by chicks fed 5.0 kg TH.F + 2.5 H.S. + 2.5 kg N.S. (treatment 16) The highest values of serum albumin were recorded for the chicks fed control diet (treat.1), without significant differences among treatments (9,10,6,12,16,13 and 2). The lowest value was recorded with the chicks fed diet included 5.0 kg TH.F / ton of diet (treat. 5).

The highest values of serum globulin were recorded for the chicks fed 2.5 kg H.S. / ton diet (treat. 6), without significant differences among treatments (2,12 and 1). The lowest value was recorded with treatment 16. The highest value of serum total cholesterol (mg / 100 ml) was recorded for the chicks fed control diet (treat. 1). The value of total cholesterol for the chicks fed control diet (treat. 1), was statistically insignificant as compared to with treatments 5,3,14,16 and 10. The lowest values were recorded by treatments 8 and 9. These results agreed with Meluzzi *et al.* (1992). They reported that the serum total protein, albumin and total cholesterol for broiler chicks varied between 2.58 to 5.22 g / 100 ml, 1.17 to 2.74 g / 100 ml and 87.0 to 192 mg / 100 ml, respectively.

Economical efficiency

The results of economical efficiency (E.E.) and relative economical efficiency (R.E.E.) estimated for the experimental diets used during the experiment are shown in Table 6.According to the input – output analysis, the best (R.E.E.) were recorded by the chicks fed 2.5 kg CH.F + 2.5 kg N.S / ton of diet (treat. 10), followed by chicks which were fed 2.5 kg CH.F / ton of diet alone (treat. 4), chicks fed 2.5 kg CH.F + 2.5 kg H.S / ton of diet (treat. 9) and chicks fed 5.0 kg TH.F + 2.5 kg H.S. / ton of diet (treat. 11), respectively. These results indicated that the diets containing M.P. individually and the combination between two types of M.P. were more economical than the other experimental diets including either ZnB or VIR (treat. 2 and 3) and the experimental diets including a mixture of three medicinal plants (treat. 14, 15, and 16).

Table 1. Composition and calculated chemical analysis of the basal diet.

Ingredients	5240997	%
Yellow corn		51.3
Soybean meal (44%)		41.3
Soybean oil	1200	2.0
Bone meal	Tille 1	2.6
Limestone	- 61	0.8
Salt (NaCl)		0.4
DL methionine	177	0.1
Vit. & Min. Mix. **		0.4
Anticoccidiosis		0.1
Sand		1.0
Total		100
Calculated chemical analysis*		
Crude protein %		21.48
ME kcal/ kg		2833
C/P ratio		132
Crude fat %		4.37
Crude fiber %		3.50
Calcium %	- 1	1.03
Phosphorus (available) %	I	0.51
Arginine % of protein %	1	6.97
Lysine of % protein %	ĺ	5.75
Methionine % of protein %		2.03
Cystine % of protein %	1	1.63
Linoleic acid %		2.14

^{*}According to Scott, et al. (1976).

^{**} Each 1000 gm of vitamin and minerals mixture contain: 80000000 IU vit.A, 160000 IU vit.D3, 3000 mg vit.E, 1500 mg vit.K3, 750 mg vit.B1, 2250 mg vit.B2, 750 mg vit.B6, 5000 mg vit.B12, 500 mg D.Calcium pantothenate, 60000 mg Choline choloride, 100 mg Folic acid, 5 mg Biotin, 10000 mg Mn, 240 mg I, 60 mg Co, 10000 mg Zn, 1000 mg Cu, 6500 mg Iron, 40 mg Se, 5000 mg Ethoxyquiue, 1000 g Carrier.

Table 2. Formulation of diets used in the experiment from 3-7 weeks.

Tr.	Basal diet	Sand	Sources of MP additives	Total
No.	(kg)	(kg)	(kg)	(kg)
1	990	10	Control diet	1000
2	990	9	1 kg ZnB*	1000
3	990	9	1 kg VIR**	1000
4	990	7.5	2.5 CH.F	1000
5	990	5	5 TH.F	1000
6	990	7.5	2.5 HS	1000
7	990	7.5	2.5 N.S	1000
8	990	2.5	2.5 CH.F + 5 TH.F	1000
9	990	5	2.5 CH.F + 2.5 HS	1000
10	990	5	2.5 CH.F + 2.5 N.S	1000
11	990	2.5	5 TH.F + 2.5 HS	1000
12	990	2.5	5 TH.F + 2.5 N.S	1000
13	990	5	2.5 HS + 2.5 N.S	1000
14	990	i.	2.5 CH.F + 5 TH.F + 2.5 HS	1000
15	990		2.5 CH.F + 5 TH.F + 2.5 N.S	1000
16	990	-	5 TH.F + 2.5 HS + 2.5 N.S	1000

^{*} Each 1 kg ZnB produced by Fizer company contains 20 g active principle.

^{**} Each 1 kg VIR produced by Amon company contains 20 g active principle

Table 3. Effect of different experimental treatments on growth performance of broiler chicks from 3-7 weeks.

Tr. No.	B.W. at 3 weeks g	B.W. at 7 weeks g	B.W.G", g (3-7) weeks	F.I g/ bird period	F.C*** g feed / g gain
1	530.00 ± 13.70	1772.67 ± 63.16 ^{cd}	1242.67 ± 64.51 ^{cd}	2923.33 ± 8.19^{80}	2.32 ±0.03 4
2	529.33 ± 14.78	1788.80 ± 40.71	1259.47 ± 40.85 ^{cd}	2914.33 ± 27.71 ³⁰	2.31 ± 0.04 °
3	528.00 ± 14.37	1777.33 ± 55.80 ^{ou}	1249.33 ± 60.49 ^{cd}	$2901.67 \pm 1.67^{\text{abc}}$	2.32 ± 0.03 °
0.4	530.67 ± 14.20	1854.67 ± 31.20 about	1324.00 ± 24.56 area	2836.33 ± 29.16 ^{bed}	2.14 ± 0.02 d
5	530.00 ± 14.56	1878.33 ± 44.16 and	1348.33 ± 36.61 ^{arc}	2873.33 ± 41.38 abod	2.13 ± 0.02^{19}
9	530.00 ± 14.56	1862.00 ± 28.38 about	1332.00 ± 25.08 about	2895.00 ± 6.03 area	2.17 ± 0.01 def
7	529.00 ± 14.75	1849,33 ± 41,39 abcd	1320.33 ± 39.14 abou	2846.61 ± 29.06 ^{bed}	2.16 ± 0.01 ^{ef}
8	528.00 ± 14.99	1821.00 ± 37.49 °cu	1293.00 ± 31.29 bed	2814.00 ± 8.72 ^{ca}	2.18 ± 0.01 def
6	523.60 ± 14.12	1885.27 ± 33.03 abs	1361.67 ± 31.54 and	2886.67 ± 17.64 abca	2.13 ± 0.02 fg
10	529.00 ± 16.21	1960.00 ± 29.52 8	1431.00 ± 32.00^{a}	2963.33 ± 18.56	2.07 ± 0.00 %
11	528.00 ± 15.48	1931.67 ± 40.12 ab	1403.67 ± 32.76^{20}	2912.33 ± 18.59 ^{ab}	2.07 ± 0.00 °
12	528.00 ± 12.94	1836.33 ± 37.69 about	1308.33 ± 29.03 abou	2879.00 ± 17.16 abca	2.20 ± 0.01 cde
13	528.00 ± 13.59	1822.67 ± 35.09 bod	1294.67 ± 30.83	2874.00 ± 29.87	2.22 ± 0.01 bed
14	528.67 ± 12.36	1735.00 ± 28.19 ^d	1206.33 ± 30.33 °	2806.00 ± 9.17 a	2.33 ± 0.01 a
15	529.00 ±11.36	1829.67 ± 38.73 abou	1300.67 ± 38.98 ⁸⁶⁰	2926.67 ± 26.67 ³⁰	2.25 ± 0.01 be
16	530.00 ± 10.11	1797.00 ± 42.22 ^{6cd}	1267.00 ± 43.47 ^{cd}	2872.67 ± 70.15 abou	2.27 ± 0.03 ab
Overall mean	528.70 ± 3.38	1837.61 ± 10.41	1308.90 ± 10.04	2882.83 + 8.27	2.20 ± .01
Significance	N.S	Sign. at P >0.01	Sign. at P >0.01	Sign. at P > 0.05	Sign. at P > 0.01

Means within column with the same litter are not significantly different. (P < 0.01)

^{*} Body weight

^{**} Body weight gain.

^{***} Feed intake.

^{****} Feed conversion

Table 4. Effect of different experimental treatments on digestibility values of different nutrients by broiler chicks (%).

Treat.	DM.	OM.	C.P.	EE	C.F.	NFE,
I	65.58 ± 0.92 ^{cd}	68.87 ± 0.81 ^{et}	85.59 ± 0.61 be	82.12 ± 1.39 cde	30.60 ± 0.93 cd	78.25 ± 1.55 de
2	po 69.0 + 09.99	70.51 ± 1.36 et	85.22 ± 0.39 ^{cd}	77.85 ± 1.02 gh	28.77 ± 0.15 ^d	79.75 ± 0.06 bcde
3	$66.17 + 0.70^{\text{cd}}$	68.12 + 2.71	85.54 ± 0.75 °d	76.27 ± 0.07 h	29.55 ± 0.56 ^{cd}	81.32 ± 0.25 bc
4	67.51 ± 0.55°	72.00 ± 0.31 ^{cde}	84.47 ± 0.27 °d	79.03 ± 0.03 fg	32.85 ± 0.80 bcd	79.79 ± 0.97 bede
5	67.98 + 0.28°	71.60 + 0.29 det	85.62 ± 0.37 ^{cd}	79.05 ± 0.18 fg	32.86 ± 0.22 bed	79.12 ± 0.53 cde
9	67.50 ± 0.98°	71.50 ± 1.50 det	87.35 ± 0.02 ab	80.20 ± 0.27 ef	33.28 ± 2.39 bcd	80.31 ± 0.93 bcde
7	71.66 ± 0.29 b	75.81 ± 0.97 ^{ab}	85.12 ± 0.27 ^{cd}	87.73 ± 0.52 a	35.54 ± 1.44 ^b	82.14 ± 0.04 ^b
8	66.93 ± 0.62 ^{ed}	71.19 ± 1.13 del	84.05 ± 0.48 ^d	85.28 ± 0.63 b	33.95 ± 0.16^{bc}	77.73 ± 0.30^{-0}
6	67.95 ± 0.62°	72.46 ± 0.71 tcde	85.38 ± 0.07 ^{cd}	82.25 ± 0.13 cde	32.09 ± 0.35 bcd	80.16 ± 0.68 bode
10	64.84 ± 0.49 ^d	69.76 ± 0.08 et	84.07 ± 0.46 ^d	83.99 ± 0.71 bc	31.80 ± 0.52 bcd	77.37 ± 1.22 °
=	71.72 + 0.78 ^b	75.61 ± 1.66 abc	88.06 ± 0.15 a	81.09 ± 0.40 def	42.99 ± 1.00 a	81.95 ± 0.95 bc
12	71.90 ± 0.78 ^b	75.39 ± 1.12 abc	87.36 ± 0.38 ab	78.99 ± 0.72 fg	46.01 ± 1.81 8	81.47 ± 1.18 bc
13	70.80 ± 0.86 b	74.60 ± 0.86 abcd	88.04 ± 0.80 a	83.11 ± 0.02 bcd	29.91 ± 0.61 ^{cd}	81.32 ± 0.98 bc
14	74.91 ± 0.68 ª	77.93 ± 0.55 a	88.56 ± 0.61 *	80.34 ± 1.20 °f	42.23 ± 2.81 ^a	85.35 ± 0.53
15	66.48 ± 0.14 cd	71.44 ± 0.37 det	85.89 ± 0.48 bc	78.78 ± 0.62 fg	32.23 ± 0.7 bcd	80.46 ± 0.02
16	65.16 ± 0.27 ^d	68.75 ± 0.13 ^{et}	84.60 ± 0.38 ^{cd}	77.13 ± 0.90 gh	31.97 ± 2.91 bed	77.44 ± 1.36 °
Overall mean	68.37 + 0.52	72.22 + 0.54	85.96 + 0.27	80.83 + 0.55	34.16 + 0.92	80.25 + 0.39

Means within column with the same litter are not significantly different.

Table 5. Effect of different experimental tratments on some chemical blood serum parameters of broiler chicks at 7 weeks of age.

Tr. No.	g/ 100 ml g/ 100 ml g/ 100 ml		Total Cholestero mg/ 100 ml	
1	4.44 ± 0.05 abc	1.58 ± 0.00 °	2.86 ± 0.05 ^{abc}	196.67 ± 1.93 °
2	4.61 ± 0.05 ab	1.29 ± 0.17 abed	3.32 ± 0.22 ab	146.70 ± 0.00 efg
3	3.75 ± 0.05 def	1.00 ± 0.05 def	2.75 ± 0.00 bcde	186.67 ± 3.84 ab
4	$3.66 \pm 0.40^{\text{cdcf}}$	1.21 ± 0.12 bcde	2.44 ± 0.27 cdef	136.67 ± 1.93 ^g
5	3.13 ± 0.50 ef	0.83 ± 0.00 f	2.30 ± 0.50 ^{cdef}	190.00 ± 5.77 ab
6	4.96 ± 0.05 °	1.83 ± 0.12 abc	3.58 ± 0.07 °	156.67 ± 13.48 def
7	3.39 ± 0.15 ef	0.92 ± 0.14 ^{ef}	2.47 ± 0.01 cdef	160.00 ± 0.00 ^{cde}
8	3.48 ± 0.00 def	1.04 ± 0.02 cdef	2.44 ± 0.02 ^{cdef}	93.30 ± 11.55 h
9	3.66 ± 0.10 edef	1.42 ± 0.24 ab	2.24 ± 0.34 cdef	83.37 ± 1.93 h
10	3.83 ± 0.20 bede	1.42 ± 0.05 ab	2.41 ± 0.15 ^{cdef}	180.00 ± 7.86 ab
11	2.87 ± 0.25 ^{fg}	1.00 ± 0.05 def	1.87 ± 0.20 ^{ef}	130.00 ± 1.91 ^g
12	4.27 ± 0.25 abcd	1.34 ± 0.05 abed	2.93 ± 0.20 abc	176.67 ± 1.93 bc
13	3.31 ± 0.30 ef	1.29 ± 0.02 abcd	2.02 ± 0.28 def	173.37 ± 7.71 bed
14	3.48 ± 0.60 def	1.17 ± 0.14 bodef	2.81 ± 0.46 bcd	183.37 ± 1.93 ab
15	2.85 ± 0.06 ^{fg}	1.13 ± 0.07 bodef	1.73 ± 0.14 f	140.00 ± 3.87 fg
16	2.26 ± 0.00 ^g	1.32 ± 0.04 abcd	0.95 ± 0.04 g	183.30 ± 5.77 ab
Overallmean	3.61 ± 0.11	1.21 ± 0.04	2.43 ± 0.10	157.30 ± 4.93
Significant	Sign. at P < .01	Sign. at P < .01	Sign. at P < .01	Sign. at P < .01

Means within column with the same litter are not significantly different. (P < 0.01)

Table 6. Economical efficiency (E.E.) and relative economical efficiency (R.E.E.) estimated for the different experimental diets.

	1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8
	Average	T. revenue/ chick	T.F.C/ chick	Kg F. cost	T.F. cost	Net Rev. / chick	E.E.	R.E.E.
Tr. No.	B.W.G.	L.E.	Kg.	LE.	L.E.	LE.		
	1242.7	5.592	2.923	0.800	2.338	3.254	1.392	100.0
2	1259.5	5.668	2.914	0.814	2.372	3.296	1.390	6.66
3	1249.3	5.622	2.902	0.820	2.380	3.242	1.362	97.8
4	1324.0	5.958	2.836	0.805	2.283	3.675	1.610	115.7
5	1348.3	6.067	2.873	0.830	2.385	3.682	1.544	110.9
9	1332.0	5.994	2.895	0.815	2.359	3.635	1.541	110.7
7	1320.3	5.941	2.847	0.820	2.335	3.606	1.544	110.9
~	1293.0	5.819	2.814	0.835	2.350	3.469	1.476	106.0
6	1361.7	6.128	2.887	0.820	2.367	3.761	1.589	114.2
10	1431.0	6.440	2.963	0.825	2.444	3.996	1.635	117.5
11	1403.7	6.317	2.912	0.845	2.461	3.856	1.567	112.6
12	1308.3	5.887	2.879	0.850	2.447	3.440	1.406	101.0
13	1294.7	5.826	2.874	0.835	2.400	3.426	1.428	102.6
14	1206.3	5.428	2.806	0.850	2.385	3.043	1.276	91.7
15	1300.7	5.853	2.927	0.855	2.503	3.450	1.338	96.1
16	1267.0	5.702	2.873	0.865	2.485	3.217	1.295	93.0

Price of the ingredients and test materials used in the experimental diets L.E/kg yellow corn 0.525, Soyben meal 0.960, DL methionine 18, Bone meal 0.750, limestone 0.020, Salt 0.020, premix 6, Anti-coccidiosis 19, ZnB 14, VIR 20, oil 2,N.S 8,CH.f.2, TH.f.6, HS 6.

Table 7. Proximate analysis of medicinal plants (MP) used in the experimental diets.

MP -ud noinbinetion be-	Moisture %	C.P. %	E.E %	C.F. %	Ash. %	N.F.E. %
Nigella seeds (N.S)	6.54	24.46	28.38	11.44	5.69	23.49
Thyme flowers (TH.F)	11.53	13.25	3.63	16.65	16.41	38.53
Harmala seeds (H.S.)	9.96	11.21	1.53 -	16.47	9.78	51.05
Chamomile flower heads (CH.F.)	7.63	19.80	7.36	8.34	9.46	47.41

The data determined as air dried basis

And Malick 14.7 M.S. Abdurolands, G.M. El-Gendi and Emily F. Negurb 1998, Elford of leading different e-sole or methal head adiabate on booties performance in miss
fort on some methabetic functions. I gigat Pouli. Soil, 15:111-139.

Alleri, F.C. 1998, Effect of engalement on serum combined fewrits and lengt sequenced between event-base in strains shows. Avian Em. Soily 2:28 897.

A.D. A. C. 1998. Associations of editions Analytical Obomists Official Mathibids of Analysis 5:18 ED. Portread and A.C.A.C. Washington D.C. U.S.A.

Americany, W.E. C. No. Length 1999. Physiological enginestry behavioral enginesis for and
Ed. Butters publiquising the Managar etc. Physiological enginesity behavioral enginesity for the floor and
Ed. Butters publiquising the Managar etc. P.M. Abdalfa and M.A. Harticha, 1992. Effect of
Block M.C. Challer, M.F. 1995, Property of Stores (P.M. Abdalfa and M.A. Harticha, 1992. Effect of
the brogonic embryone Associates and approximation Egypt Fronti Sci., 1998.

Block M.C. Challer, Associates and Aller Allertica and Research

Strezaldt, A.A. and A.A. Fater lumber 1995. The possibility of using some Edipte and anomals also in the qualities of Marcon ducks. Egypt. Poult., Ser., 16(11): 365-

In conclusion results indicated that, the combination between two of the medicinal plants had the best values of body weight, weight gain, feed conversion, the economical efficiency and the relative ecnomical efficiency than using M.P, individually, in combination of three of M.P. or using antibiotics (ZnB or VIR). The combination between 2.5 kg CH.F + 2.5 kg N.S. / ton of diet (treat. 10), using 2.5 kg CH.F / ton of diet (treat. 4) and the combination between 2.5 kg CH.F + 2.5 kg H.S. / ton of diet (treat. 9) had the best economical results compared to either control group or the other treatment groups.

REFERENCES

- Abaza, I.M.K. 2001. The use of some medicinal plants as feed additives in broiler diets. Ph. Thesis, Ph. D. Fac. of Agric., Alexandria University
- Abdel-Malak N.Y., M.S. Abdel-Malak , G.M. EL-Gendi and Emily. F. Naguib. 1995. Effect of feeding different levels of herbal feed additive on broiler performance in relation to some metabolic functions. Egypt. Poult. Sci., 15:111-139.
- Allen, P.C. 1992. Effect of virginiamycin on serum carotenoid levels and long, segmented, Filamentous organisms in broiler chicks., Avian Dis., 36(4): 852-857.
- A.O.A.C. 1990. Association of official Analytical Chemists Official Methods of Analysis 15th ED. Published the A.O.A.C. Washington D.C. U.S.A.
- Armstrong, W.D., C.W. Carr. 1964. Physiological chemistry laboratory direction. 3rd Ed. Burses publighing Co. Minneapolis, Minnestoa, U.S.A.
- Boulos, N.Z., Shalash, M.A El-Skeikh, H.M. Abdella and N.A. Hattaba. 1992. Effect of the biogenic enhancer Ascogenn on laying hen performance. Egypt. Poult. Sci., 12 (II): 679.695.
- Doumas, B.T., W.A. Watson and H.G. Biggs. 1977. Albumin standards and the measurement of serum albumin with bromocresol green. Clin. Chem. Acta, 31:87-96.
- 8. Duncan, D.B. 1955. Multiple range and F. Test-Biometrics, 11:1-42.
- Ghazalah, A.A and A.A. Faten Ibrahim. 1996. The possibility of using some Edible and aromatic oils in the nutrition of Muscovi ducks. Egypt. Poult. Sci., 16(11): 305-328.

- Hassan, I., A.G. Abdallah, and S. Abo El-Wafa. 1996. Utilization of decorticated local cottonseed meal in broiler diets. Egypt. Poult. Sci., (16):31-49.
- Kotb, T.F. 1979. Medicinal plants, Faculty of pharmacy Al-fateh University-Tripolilibya. pp. 790.
- Meluzzi, A, G. Primiceri, R. Giordani and G. Fabris. 1992. Determination of blood constitutents, reference values in broiler. Poult. Sci., 71: 337-345.
- Osman , A.M.A. and M.A.A. El-barody. 1999. Growth performance and immune response of broiler chicks as affected by diet density and Nigella sativa seeds Supplementation . Egypt. poult. Sci., 19(111): 619-634.
- 14. Sabra, K.L, and R.K. Mehta. 1990. A Comparative study on additive of livol (Herbal growth promoter and some chemical growth promoters in the diets of broiler chickens. Ind. J. Anim. Prod. Mange., 6: 115-118.
- SAS Institute Inc. 1988. SAS procedures Giude For Personal Computers, Version 6.03 edition SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
- Scott,M.L.,M.C. Nesheim , and R.Y.Young. 1976. Nutrition of chicken. 2nd Ed . M.L. Scott and Associates, Ithaca New York. U.S.A
- Vogt, H., S. Harnisch, H.W. Rouch and G. Hell. 1989. Dried natural spices in broiler rations. Archiv fur Geftugelkunde, 53(4):144-150.
- Watson,D. 1960.A simple method for the determination of serum cholesterol. Clin. Chem.Acta., 5:637.

تأثير إضافة مسحوق حبة البركة والشيح والزعتر والحرمل على أداء بداري التسمين

إبراهيم محمد كمال أباظة ١ محمد أحمد عصر ٢ جمال الدين الشعراوي ٢ محمد فرغلي حسن ٢

۱ معهد بحوث الإنتاج الحيواني – مركز البحوث الزراعية – وزارة الزراعة الدقى– جيزة ٢ كلية الزراعة – جامعة الإسكندرية

تم تقسيم . ٢٤ كتكوت هبرد عمر ٣ أسابيع إلى ١٦ مجموعة كل مجموعة تضم مكررات وذلك لدراسة تأثير إضافة مسحوق حبة البركة والشيح والزعتس والحرمل بمستويات (٢,٥ ، ٢,٥ ، ٢,٥ كجم / طن علف على التوالى إما بصورة فردية أو في صورة خلطات منها مقارنة بإستخدام باستراسين أو الفرجينيات بمستويات ٢٠جم / طن علف كمنشطات للنمو في أعلاف كتاكيت اللحم علياً اء النمو ، معدلات الهضم ، مكونات الدم كذلك الكفاءة الإقتصادية.

ويمكن إيجاز أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها في النقاط الأتية :

* إستخدام الخليط الثنائي من مسحوق حبة البركة والشيح بنسبة ٢٥, . // لكل منهما حسن في وزن الجسم و الزيادة في وزن الجسم والكفاءة الغذائية وكانت أفضل إقتصاديا من المعاملات الأخرى وكذلك الكنترول .

مكن التوصية بالآتى: -

- * إمكانية استخدام عدد من النباتات الطبية كمنشطات ومحفزات للنمو.
- * إستخدام النباتات الطبية مثل مسحوق حبة البركة وززهار الزعتر وبذور الحرمل والبراعم الزهرية للشيع بصورة فردية أو في صورة خلطات يكون له تأثير أفضل كمنشط للنمو من إستخدام المضادات الحيوية مثل الزنك باستراسين أو الفجيناميسين .
- * استخدم خليط من ٢,٥ كجم من أزهار الشيح مع ٢,٥ كجم من مسحوق حبة البركة /طن علف أعطى أفضل تأثير تعاونى وتكاملى محفز للنمو و كذلك أفضل كفاءة إقتصادية عن الأعلاف الأخرى.