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Abstract. The nonlinear fractional nonlocal boundary value problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t)+\lambda g(t) f(t, u(t))=0, \quad t \in(0,1), \quad n-1<\alpha \leq n \\
& u(0)=0, \quad u^{(k)}(0)=0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-2, \quad u^{\prime \prime}(1)=\theta[u]
\end{aligned}
$$

is considered under some conditions concerning the principal characteristic value to the relevant linear operator, where $n-1<\alpha \leq n$ is a real number, $D_{0+}^{\alpha}$ is the standard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, and $\theta[u]=$ $\int_{0}^{1} u(s) d A(s)$ is given by Riemann-Stieltjes integral with a signed measure. The existence of positive solutions is obtained by means of the fixed point index theory in cones.

## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to study the existence of a positive solutions for the following boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t)+\lambda g(t) f(t, u(t))=0, \quad t \in(0,1), \quad n-1<\alpha \leq n \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the nonlocal BCs

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=0, \quad u^{(k)}(0)=0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-2, \quad u^{\prime \prime}(1)=\theta[u] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda>0$ is a parameter and $\theta[u]$ is given by a Riemann-Stieltjes integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta[u]=\int_{0}^{1} u(s) d A(s) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This type of BC includes, as particular cases, multi-point problems when $\theta[u]=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} u\left(\zeta_{i}\right)$, (see $\left.[1,15,18,29]\right)$, and a continuously distributed case when $\theta[u]=$ $\int_{0}^{1} \alpha(s) u(s) d s,($ see $[4])$.
The nonlocal BVPs have been studied extensively. The methods used therein mainly depend on the fixed-point theorems, degree theory, upper and lower solutions techniques, and monotone iteration. The existence results are available in

[^0]the literature $[2,3,5-10,12,16,21,26-28]$. Recently, Wang et al. [19] studied the nonlocal BVP
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t)+q(t) f(t, u(t))=0, \quad t \in(0,1), \quad n-1<\alpha \leq n \\
& u(0)=u^{\prime}(0)=\ldots=u^{(n-2)}(0)=0, \quad u(1)=\int_{0}^{1} u(s) d A(s)
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

where $\alpha \geq 2, D_{0+}^{\alpha}$ is the standard Riemann-Liouville derivative, $q(t)$ may be singular at $t=0$ and/or $t=1, f(t, u)$ may also have singularity at $u=0 . \int_{0}^{1} u(s) d A(s)$ denotes the Riemann- Stieltjes integral with a signed measure. It is worth mentioning that the idea using a Riemann-Stieltjes integral with a signed measure is due to Webb and Infante in $[23,24]$. The papers $[13,20-25]$ contain several new ideas, and give a unified approach to many BVPs.
In this paper, we obtain the results on the existence of one and two positive solution by utilizing the results of Webb and Lan [25] involving comparison with the principal characteristic value of a related linear problem to the fractional case. We then use the theory worked out by Webb and Infante in $[22,23]$ to study the general nonlocal BCs.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will present some definitions and lemmas that will be used in the proof of our main results.
Definition 2.1([14, 17]). The fractional integral of order $\alpha>0$ of a function $y:(0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ is given by

$$
I_{0+}^{\alpha} y(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\alpha-1} y(s) d s
$$

provided that the integral on the right-hand side converges.
Definition 2.2([14, 17]). The standard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $\alpha>0$ of a continuous function $y:(0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ is given by

$$
D_{0+}^{\alpha} y(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)}\left(\frac{d}{d t}\right)^{n} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} y(s) d s
$$

where $n=[\alpha]+1$, provided that the integral on the right-hand side converges. Definition 2.3([11]). Let $E$ be a real Banach space. A nonempty closed convex set $K \subset E$ is called cone of $E$ if it satisfies the following conditions

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { (1) } & x \in K, \sigma \geq 0 & \text { implies } \\
\text { (2) } & \sigma \in K \in K ; \\
\text { (2mplies } & x=0 .
\end{array}
$$

Definition 2.4 ([11]). An operator is called completely continuous if it is continuous and maps bounded sets into precompact sets.
Lemma 2.1([11, 30]). Suppose $T: K \rightarrow K$ is a completely continuous operator and has no fixed points on $\partial K_{\rho} \bigcap K$. Then the following are true:
(i) If $\|T u\| \leq\|u\|$ for all $u \in \partial K_{\rho} \bigcap K$, then $i\left(T, K_{\rho} \bigcap K, K\right)=1$, where $i$ is the fixed point index on $K$.
(ii) If $\|T u\| \geq\|u\|$ for all $u \in \partial K_{\rho} \bigcap K$, then $i\left(T, K_{\rho} \bigcap K, K\right)=0$.

Lemma 2.2([11, 30]). Let $K$ be a cone in Banach space $E$. Suppose that $T: \bar{K}_{\rho} \rightarrow K$ is a completely continuous operator. If there exists $u_{0} \in K \backslash\{0\}$ such that $u-T u \neq \mu u_{0}$ for any $u \in \partial K_{r}$, and $\mu \geq 0, i\left(T, K_{\rho}, K\right)=0$.

Lemma 2.3([11, 30]). Let $K$ be a cone in Banach space E. Suppose that $T: \bar{K}_{\rho} \rightarrow K$ is a completely continuous operator. If $T u \neq \mu u$ for any $u \in \partial K_{r}$ and $\mu \geq 1$, then $i\left(T, K_{\rho}, K\right)=1$.
Lemma 2.4([14]). Assume that $u \in C(0,1) \cap L(0,1)$ with a fractional derivative of order $\alpha>0$ that belongs to $C(0,1) \cap L(0,1)$. Then

$$
I_{0+}^{\alpha} D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t)=u(t)+c_{1} t^{\alpha-1}+c_{2} t^{\alpha-2}+\ldots+c_{n} t^{\alpha-n}
$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $y(t) \in C[0,1]$ be a given function and $n-1<\alpha \leq n$, then $u(t)$ is a solution of BVP (1) - 2) if and only if $u(t)$ is a solution of the integral equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\gamma(t) \theta[u]+\int_{0}^{1} G_{0}(t, s) y(s) d s \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\gamma(t)=\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)}, \quad G_{0}(t, s)= \begin{cases}\frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-3}-(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1  \tag{5}\\ \frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-3}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Assume that $u(t)$ is a solution of BVP (1)-(2). Applying Lemma 2.4, (11) can be reduced to an equivalent integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\alpha-1} y(s) d s+c_{1} t^{\alpha-1}+c_{2} t^{\alpha-2}+\ldots+c_{n} t^{\alpha-n} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2), we obtain
$c_{n}=\ldots=c_{2}=0$, and $c_{1}=\frac{\theta[u]}{(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\alpha-3} y(s) d s$.
Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t) & =\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2)} \theta[u]+\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1}(1-s)^{\alpha-3} y(s) d s-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\alpha-1} y(s) d s \\
& =\gamma(t) \theta[u]+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-3}-(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} y(s) d s+\int_{t}^{1} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-3}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} y(s) d s \\
& =\gamma(t) \theta[u]+\int_{0}^{1} G_{0}(t, s) y(s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, if $u(t)$ is a solution of the integral equation (4), using the relation $D^{\alpha} t^{\alpha-m}=0$, where $m=1,2, \ldots, n$, where $n$ is the smallest integer greater than or equal to $\alpha$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) & =D_{0+}^{\alpha} t^{\alpha-1}\left(\frac{\theta[u]}{\alpha-1}\right)+D_{0+}^{\alpha} t^{\alpha-1}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-3}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} y(s) d s\right) \\
& -D_{0+}^{\alpha}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} y(s) d s\right) \\
& =-D_{0+}^{\alpha} I^{\alpha} y(t)=-y(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

A simple computation showed $u(0)=0, \quad u^{(k)}(0)=0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-2, \quad u^{\prime \prime}(1)=$ $\theta[u]$.
Remark 2.1. $G_{0}(t, s)$ is the Green's function for the local BVP

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t)+\lambda g(t) f(t, u(t))=0, \quad t \in(0,1), n-1<\alpha \leq n, \\
& u(0)=0, u^{(k)}(0)=0,1 \leq k \leq n-2, u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0 \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.6. $G_{0}(t, s)$ has the following properties
(i) $G_{0}(t, s) \geq 0$ is continuous for all $t, s \in[0,1]$;
(ii) $c_{0}(t) \Phi_{0}(s) \leq G_{0}(t, s) \leq \Phi_{0}(s), \quad \forall t, s \in[0,1]$,
where

$$
\Phi_{0}(s)=G_{0}(1, s)=\frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-3}-(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, c_{0}(t)=t^{\alpha-1} .
$$

Proof. It is obvious that $G_{0}(t, s)$ is nonnegative and continuous.
(i) For $s \leq t$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial G_{0}(t, s)}{\partial t} & =\frac{(\alpha-1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left[t^{\alpha-2}(1-s)^{\alpha-3}-(t-s)^{\alpha-2}\right] \\
& \geq \frac{(\alpha-1) t^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left[(1-s)^{\alpha-3}-(t-s)^{\alpha-2}\right] \\
& \geq \frac{(\alpha-1) t^{\alpha-2} s(1-s)^{\alpha-3}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\frac{G_{0}(t, s)}{\Phi_{0}(s)}=\frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-3}-(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{(1-s)^{\alpha-3}-(1-s)^{\alpha-1}} \geq t^{\alpha-1}
$$

For $s \geq t$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial G_{0}(t, s)}{\partial t}=\frac{(\alpha-1) t^{\alpha-2}(1-s)^{\alpha-3}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \geq 0
$$

and

$$
\frac{G_{0}(t, s)}{\Phi_{0}(s)}=\frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-3}}{(1-s)^{\alpha-3}-(1-s)^{\alpha-1}} \geq t^{\alpha-1}
$$

Thus, (i) holds.
Defining $\mathrm{G}_{A}(s)=\int_{0}^{1} G_{0}(t, s) d A(t)$, it is shown in [21] that the Green's function for nonlocal BVP (1)-(2) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t, s)=\frac{\gamma(t)}{[1-\theta[\gamma]]} \mathrm{G}_{A}(s)+G_{0}(t, s) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By similar arguments to [23], we obtain the following Lemma.
Lemma 2.7. If $G_{0}$ satisfies (i), (ii), then $G$ satisfies (i), (ii) for a function $\Phi$, with the same interval $[a, b]$ and the same constant $c$, where

$$
\Phi(s)=\Phi_{0}(s)+\frac{\|\gamma\|}{[1-\theta[\gamma]]} \mathrm{G}_{A}(s)
$$

$\Phi_{0}(s)$ defined in Lemma 2.6, and $c=\min \left\{c_{0}(t), \quad t \in[a, b]\right\}$
Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(t, s) & =\frac{\gamma(t)}{[1-\theta[\gamma]]} \mathrm{G}_{A}(s)+G_{0}(t, s) \\
& \leq \frac{\|\gamma\|]}{[1-\theta[\gamma]]} \mathrm{G}_{A}(s)+\Phi_{0}(s)=: \Phi(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $t \in[a, b]$

$$
G(t, s) \geq \frac{c\|\gamma\|}{[1-\theta[\gamma]]} \mathrm{G}_{A}(s)+c \Phi_{0}(s)=c \Phi(s)
$$

Note that $g \Phi \in L^{\infty}$ because $A$ has finite variation and $\mathrm{G}_{A}(s) \leq \Phi(s) \operatorname{var}(A)$.
Thus, the Green's function $G(t, s)$ satisfies (i), (ii) for a function $\Phi$ and the constant $c$. Throughout the paper we assume that:
(iii) A is a function of bounded variation, and $\mathrm{G}_{A}(s)=\int_{0}^{1} G_{0}(t, s) d A(t)$ satisfies $\mathrm{G}_{A}(s) \geq 0$ for a. e. $s \in[0,1]$. Note that $\mathrm{G}_{A}(s)$ exists for a. e. $s \in[0,1]$ by (i).
(iv) The functions $g$, $\Phi$ satisfy $g \geq 0$ almost everywhere, $g \Phi \in L^{1}[0,1]$, and

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \Phi(s) g(s) d s>0
$$

(v) $f:[0,1] \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfies Caratheodory conditions, that is, $f(\cdot, u)$ is measurable for each fixed $u \in[0, \infty)$ and $f(t, \cdot)$ is continuous for almost every
$t \in[0,1]$, and for each $r>0$, there exists $\phi_{r} \in L^{\infty}[0,1]$ such that $0 \leq f(t, u) \leq \phi_{r}$ for all $u \in[0, r]$ and almost all $t \in[0,1]$.
(vi) $\gamma \in C[0,1], \quad \gamma(t) \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \theta[\gamma]<1$.

## 3. Main Result

Set $E=C[0,1]$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|u\|=\sup _{t \in[0,1]}|u(t)|$. Let $P=$ $\{u \in E: u \geq 0\}$ denote the standard cone of non-negative functions. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\left\{u \in P, \min _{a \leq t \leq b} u(t) \geq c\|u\|\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[a, b]$ is some subset of $[0,1]$ and $c=\min \left\{c_{0}(t): t \in[a, b]\right\}$.
Note that $\gamma \in K$ so $K \neq\{0\}$. For any $0<r<R<+\infty$, let $K_{r}=\{u \in K:\|u\|<r\}$, $\partial K_{r}=\{u \in K:\|u\|=r\}, \bar{K}_{r}=\{u \in K:\|u\| \leq r\}, \bar{K}_{R} \backslash K_{r}=\{u \in K: r \leq\|u\| \leq R\}$ and $V_{r}=\left\{u \in K: \min _{t \in[a, b]} u(t)<r\right\}$ and $V_{r}$ is bounded for $K$. Recall that a cone $K$ in Banach space $E$ is said to be reproducing if $E=K-K$, and is a total cone if $E=\overline{K-K}$. Define a nonlinear operator $T: P \rightarrow K$ and a linear operator $L: P \rightarrow K$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T u(t)=\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) g(s) f(s, u(s)) d s \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u(t):=\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) g(s) u(s) d s \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.1([24]). Under the hypotheses (i) -(vi) the maps $T: P \rightarrow E$ defined in $\sqrt{10}$ ) is compact.
Theorem 3.1. Under the hypotheses (i) -(vi) the map $T: P \rightarrow K$.
Proof.
For $u \in P$ and $t \in[0,1]$ we have :

$$
T u(t) \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \Phi(s) g(s) f(s, u(s)) d s
$$

Hence,

$$
\|T u\| \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \Phi(s) g(s) f(s, u(s)) d s
$$

Also , for $t \in[a, b]$, we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T u(t) \geq c \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \Phi(s) g(s) f(s, u(s)) d s \\
& \quad \geq c\|T u\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar to the proofs of Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.1, $L u(t)$ is compact and maps $P$ into K. We shall use the Krein-Rutman theorem. We recall that $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $L$ with corresponding eigenfunction $\phi$ if $\phi \neq 0$ and $\lambda \phi=L \phi$. The reciprocals of eigenvalues are called characteristic values of $L$. The radius of the spectrum of $L$, denoted $r(L)$, is given by the well-known spectral radius formula $r(L)=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|L^{n}\right\|^{1 / n}$.

Theorem 3.2. [25] Let $K$ be a total cone in a real Banach space $E$ and let $\hat{L}: E \rightarrow E$ be a compact linear operator with $\hat{L}(K) \subseteq K$. If $r(\hat{L})>0$ then there is $\phi_{1} \in K \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\hat{L} \phi_{1}=r(\hat{L}) \phi_{1}$.
Thus $\lambda_{1}:=r(\hat{L})$ is an eigenvalue of $\hat{L}$, the largest possible real eigenvalue and $\mu_{1}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}$ is the smallest positive characteristic value.
Lemma 3.2. [25]
Assume that (i) -(iv) hold and let $L$ be as defined in 11). Then $r(L)>0$.
Theorem 3.3.
When (i) -(iv) hold, $r(L)$ is an eigenvalue of $L$ with eigenfunction $\phi_{1}$ in $K$.
Proof. $r(L)$ is an eigenvalue of $L$ with eigenfunction in $P$, by Theorem 3.2. As $L$ maps $P$ into $K$, the eigenfunction belongs to $K$.
Theorem $3.4\left([\mathbf{2 5 ]})\right.$. Let $\mu_{1}=1 / r(L)$ and $\phi_{1}(t)$ be a corresponding eigenfunction in $P$ of norm 1. Then $m \leq \mu_{1} \leq M$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\left(\sup _{t \in[0,1]} \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) g(s) d s\right)^{-1}, \quad M=\left(\inf _{t \in[a, b]} \int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) g(s) d s\right)^{-1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $g(t)>0$ for $t \in[0,1]$ and $G(t, s)>0$ for $t, s \in[0,1]$, the first inequality is strict unless $\phi_{1}(t)$ is constant for $t \in[0,1]$. If $g(t) \phi(t)>0$ for $t \in[a, b]$, the second inequality is strict unless $\phi_{1}(t)$ is constant for $t \in[a, b]$.
For the local BVP 7 if $g(t) \equiv 1$ :
We now compute the constant $m$ and the optimal value of $M(a, b)$, that is, we determine $a, b$ so that $M(a, b)$ is minimal.
For $s \leq t$, we have by direct integration

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t} G_{0}(t, s) d s & =\int_{0}^{t}\left[\frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-3}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\right] d s \\
& =-\frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-t)^{\alpha-2}}{(\alpha-2) \Gamma(\alpha)}+\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(\alpha-2) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{t^{\alpha}}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $s \geq t$,

$$
\int_{t}^{1} G_{0}(t, s) d s=\int_{t}^{1} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-3}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} d s=\frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-t)^{\alpha-2}}{(\alpha-2) \Gamma(\alpha)}
$$

Then we have :

$$
\int_{0}^{1} G_{0}(t, s) d s=\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{(\alpha-2) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{t^{\alpha}}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)}
$$

And the maximum of this expression occurs when $t=1$, hence

$$
\sup _{t \in[0,1]} \int_{0}^{1} G_{0}(t, s) d s=\frac{1}{(\alpha-2) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{1}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)}=\frac{2}{(\alpha-2) \Gamma(\alpha+1)}
$$

Then $m=\frac{(\alpha-2) \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2}$.
For $a<b$, we have by direct integration

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{t} G_{0}(t, s) d s=-\frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-t)^{\alpha-2}}{(\alpha-2))^{(\alpha)}}+\frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-a)^{\alpha-2}}{(\alpha-2) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha}}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \\
& \int_{t}^{b} G_{0}(t, s) d s=-\frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-b)^{\alpha-2}}{(\alpha-2) \Gamma(\alpha)}+\frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-t)^{\alpha-2}}{(\alpha-2) \Gamma(\alpha)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{b} G_{0}(t, s) d s \\
&=\frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-a)^{\alpha-2}}{(\alpha-2) \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{(t-a)^{\alpha}}{\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)}-\frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-b)^{\alpha-2}}{(\alpha-2) \Gamma(\alpha)} \\
&=\frac{1}{(\alpha-2) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[t^{\alpha-1}\left((1-a)^{\alpha-1}-(1-b)^{\alpha-1}\right)-\frac{(\alpha-2)}{\alpha}(t-a)^{\alpha}\right] \\
&=R(t, a, b),
\end{aligned} \\
& \frac{\partial R(t, a, b)}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{(\alpha-2) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left[(\alpha-1) t^{\alpha-2}\left((1-a)^{\alpha-2}-(1-b)^{\alpha-2}\right)-(\alpha-2)(t-a)^{\alpha-1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The sign of derivative $\frac{\partial R}{\partial t}$ shows that this is an increasing function of $t$ so the minimum occurs at $t=a$. Let

$$
R(a, b)=\frac{a^{\alpha-1}}{(\alpha-2) \Gamma(\alpha)}\left((1-a)^{\alpha-2}-(1-b)^{\alpha-2}\right) .
$$

The minimal value of $M(a, b)$ corresponds to the maximal value of $R(a, b)$.

$$
\frac{\partial R(a, b)}{\partial b}=\frac{a^{\alpha-1}(1-b)^{\alpha-3}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}>0
$$

The quantity $R(a, b)$ is an increasing function of $b$ so its maximum is when $b=1$.
Let

$$
R(a)=\frac{a^{\alpha-1}(1-a)^{\alpha-2}}{(\alpha-2) \Gamma(\alpha)}
$$

Then the maximal of $R(a)$ occurs when $a=\frac{\alpha-1}{2 \alpha-3}$

$$
\min _{t \in[a, b]} \int_{a}^{b} G_{0}(t, s) d s=R\left(\frac{\alpha-1}{2 \alpha-3}, 1\right)=\frac{(\alpha-1)^{\alpha-1}}{(2 \alpha-3) \Gamma(\alpha)}
$$

Hence the minimal value of $M(a, b)$ is :

$$
M\left(\frac{\alpha-1}{2 \alpha-3}, 1\right)=\frac{(2 \alpha-3) \Gamma(\alpha)}{(\alpha-1)^{\alpha-1}}
$$

## 4. The existence of at least one positive solution

For convenience, we introduce the following notations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{f}(u):=\sup _{t \in[0,1]} f(t, u), \quad \underline{f}(u):=\inf _{t \in[0,1]} f(t, u) ; \\
& f^{0}:=\limsup _{u \rightarrow 0^{+}} \bar{f}(u) / u, \quad f_{0}:=\liminf _{u \rightarrow 0^{+}} \underline{f}(u) / u ; \\
& f^{\infty}:=\limsup _{u \rightarrow \infty} \bar{f}(u) / u, \quad f_{\infty}:=\liminf _{u \rightarrow \infty} \underline{f}(u) / u, \\
& f^{0, r}:=\sup _{\{0 \leq t \leq 1,0 \leq u \leq r\}} f(t, u) / r, f_{r, r / c}:=\inf _{\{a \leq t \leq b, r \leq u \leq r / c\}} f(t, u) / r .
\end{aligned}
$$

Under the hypotheses (i)-(iv) let $\tilde{L}$ be defined by :

$$
\tilde{L} u(t)=\int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) g(s) u(s) d s
$$

Then $\tilde{L}$ is a compact linear operator and $\tilde{L}(P) \subseteq K$.
Hence $r(\tilde{L})$ is an eigenvalue of $\tilde{L}$ with an eigenfunction $\tilde{\phi}_{1}$ in $K$. Let $\tilde{\mu}_{1}:=\frac{1}{r(\tilde{L})}$.
Note that $\tilde{\mu}_{1} \geq \mu_{1}$, hence the condition in the following theorem is more stringent than if could user $(L)$.
Theorem 4.1. Assume that
(A1) $0 \leq \lambda f^{0}<\mu_{1}$,
(A2) $\tilde{\mu}_{1}<\lambda f_{\infty} \leq \infty$.
Then (1)-(2) has at least one positive solution.
Proof. (A1) Let $\varepsilon>0$ be such that $f^{0} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right)$. Then there exists $\rho_{0}>0$ such that $f(t, u) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right) u$ for all $u \in\left[0, \rho_{0}\right]$ and almost all $t \in[0,1]$. Let $\rho \in\left(0, \rho_{0}\right]$, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T u \neq \beta u \text { for } u \in \partial K_{\rho} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta \geq 1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies the result. In fact, if (13) doesn't hold, then there exist $u \in \partial K_{\rho}$ and $\beta \geq 1$ such that $T u=\beta u$. This implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta u(t)=\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) g(s) f(s, u(s)) d s \\
& \quad \leq\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right) \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) g(s) u(s) d s=\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right) L u(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have shown $u(t) \leq\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right) L u(t)$. This gives

$$
u(t) \leq\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right) L\left[\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right) L u(t)\right]=\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right)^{2} L^{2} u(t)
$$

and iterating $u(t) \leq\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right)^{n} L^{n} u(t)$ for $n \in N$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|u\| \leq\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right)^{n}\left\|L^{n}\right\|\|u\| \\
& 1 \leq\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right)^{n}\left\|L^{n}\right\|,
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have

$$
1 \leq\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right) \lim _{n \rightarrow 0}\left\|L^{n}\right\|^{1 / n}=\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right) \frac{1}{\mu_{1}}<1
$$

a contradiction. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{k}\left(T, K_{\rho}\right)=1, \text { for each } \rho \in\left(0, \rho_{0}\right] . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(A2) Let $\rho_{1}>0, \rho_{1}>\rho$ be chosen so that $f(t, u)>\frac{\tilde{\mu}_{1}}{\lambda} u$ for all $u \geq c \rho_{1}, c$ as in (ii) and almost all $t \in[0,1]$.
We claim that $u \neq T u+\beta \tilde{\phi}_{1}$ for all $\beta>0$ and $u \in \partial K_{\rho^{*}}$ when $\rho^{*}>\rho_{1}$. Note that for $u \in K$ with $\|u\|=\rho^{*} \geq \rho_{1}$.
We have $u(t) \geq c \rho_{1}$ for all $t \in[a, b]$.
Now, if our claim is false, then we have

$$
u(t)=\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) g(s) f(s, u(s)) d s+\beta \tilde{\phi}_{1}(t)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t) & \geq \tilde{\mu}_{1} \int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) g(s) u(s) d s+\beta \tilde{\phi}_{1}(t)  \tag{15}\\
& =\tilde{\mu}_{1} \tilde{L} u(t)+\beta \tilde{\phi}_{1}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

From (15) we firstly deduce that $u(t) \geq \beta \tilde{\phi}_{1}(t)$ on $[a, b]$. Then we have

$$
\tilde{\mu}_{1} \tilde{L} u(t) \geq \tilde{\mu}_{1} \tilde{L}\left(\beta \tilde{\phi}_{1}(t)\right)=\beta \tilde{\phi}_{1}(t)
$$

Inserting this into we obtain $u(t) \geq 2 \beta \tilde{\phi}_{1}(t)$ for $t \in[a, b]$. Repeating this process gives $u(t) \geq n \beta \tilde{\phi}_{1}(t)$ for $t \in[a, b], n \in N$. Since $\tilde{\phi}_{1}(t)$ is strictly positive on $[a, b]$ this is a contradiction, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{K}\left(T, K_{\rho^{*}}\right)=0, \text { for } u \in \partial K_{\rho^{*}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (14) and (16), one has

$$
\overline{i_{K}}\left(T, K_{\rho^{*}} \backslash \bar{K}_{\rho}\right)=i_{K}\left(T, K_{\rho^{*}}\right)-i_{K}\left(T, K_{\rho}\right)=-1
$$

Therefore, $T$ has at least one fixed point $u_{0} \in K_{\rho^{*}} \backslash \bar{K}_{\rho}$, and $u_{0}$ is a positive solution of BVP (1)-(2).
Theorem 4.2. Assume that
(A3) $\mu_{1}<\lambda f_{0} \leq \infty$,
(A4) $0 \leq \lambda f^{\infty}<\mu_{1}$.
Then (17)-(2) has at least one positive solution.
Proof. (A3) Let $\varepsilon>0$ satisfy $f_{0}>\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\mu_{1}+\varepsilon\right)$. Then there exists $R_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u) \geq \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\mu_{1}+\varepsilon\right) u \text { forallt } \in[0,1], u \in\left[0, R_{1}\right] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $u \in \partial K_{R_{1}}$ we have by 17 that

$$
\begin{align*}
T u(t) & =\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) g(s) f(s, u(s)) d s \\
& \geq\left(\mu_{1}+\varepsilon\right) \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) g(s) u(s) d s  \tag{18}\\
& \geq \mu_{1} L u(t), \quad \forall t \in[0,1] .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\tilde{u}_{1}$ be the positive eigenfunction of $L$ corresponding to $\mu_{1}$, that $\tilde{u}_{1}=\mu_{1} L \tilde{u}_{1}$. We may suppose that $T$ has no fixed point on $\partial K_{R_{1}}$, otherwise, the proof is finished. In the following we will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u-T u \neq \beta \tilde{u}_{1} \text { for all } u \in \partial K_{R_{1}}, \beta \geq 0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If 19 is not true, then there is $\tilde{u}_{0} \in \partial K_{R_{1}}$ and $\beta_{0} \geq 0$ such that $\tilde{u}_{0}-T \tilde{u}_{0}=\beta_{0} \tilde{u}_{1}$. It is clear that $\beta_{0}>0$ and $\tilde{u}_{0}=T \tilde{u}_{0}+\beta_{0} \tilde{u}_{1} \geq \beta_{0} \tilde{u}_{1}$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{*}=\sup \left\{\beta: \quad \tilde{u}_{0} \geq \beta \tilde{u}_{1}\right\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $\beta^{*} \geq \beta_{0}>0$. It follows from $L(P) \subset P$ that

$$
\mu_{1} L \tilde{u}_{0} \geq \mu_{1} L \beta^{*} \tilde{u}_{1}=\beta^{*} \mu_{1} L \tilde{u}_{1}=\beta^{*} \tilde{u}_{1}
$$

Using this and 18), we have

$$
\tilde{u}_{0}=T \tilde{u}_{0}+\beta_{0} \tilde{u}_{1} \geq \mu_{1} L \tilde{u}_{0}+\beta_{0} \tilde{u}_{1} \geq \beta^{*} \tilde{u}_{1}+\beta_{0} \tilde{u}_{1}
$$

which contradicts (24). Thus, 19 holds.
By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{K}\left(T, K_{R_{1}}\right)=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, Let $\varepsilon>0$ satisfy $f^{\infty}<\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right)$. Then there exists $R_{2}>R_{1}$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right) u . \quad \forall t \in[0,1], u \geq R_{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (v) there exists an $L^{\infty}$ function $\varphi_{1}$ such that $f(t, u) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \varphi_{1}(t)$ for all $u \in\left[0, R_{2}\right]$ and $t \in[0,1]$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\left[\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right) u+\varphi_{1}(t)\right] \text { for all } u \in R^{+}, t \in[0,1] \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $1 / \mu_{1}$ is the radius of the spectrum of $L,\left(I /\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right)-L\right)^{-1}$ exists. Let:
$C=\int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{1}(s) \Phi(s) g(s) d s$ and $R_{0}=\left(I /\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right)-L\right)^{-1}\left(c /\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right)\right)$. We prove that for each $R>R_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T u \neq \beta u \text { for all } u \in \partial K_{R} \text { and } \beta \geq 1 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, if not, there exist $u \in \partial K_{R}$ and $\beta \geq 1$ such that $T u=\beta u$.

This together with 23, implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t) & \leq \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) g(s)\left(\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right) u(s)+\varphi_{1}(s)\right) d s \\
& =\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right) \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) g(s) u(s) d s+\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) g(s) \varphi_{1}(s) d s \\
& =\left(\mu_{1}-\varepsilon\right) L u(t)+C
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies
$\left(\frac{I}{\mu_{1}-\varepsilon}-L\right) u(t) \leq \frac{C}{\mu_{1}-\varepsilon}$ and $u(t) \leq\left(\frac{I}{\mu_{1}-\varepsilon}-L\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{C}{\mu_{1}-\varepsilon}\right)=R_{0}$.
Therefore, we have $\|u\| \leq R_{0}<R$, a contradiction. Take $R>R_{2}$, it follows from (24) and properties of index that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{K}\left(T, K_{R}\right)=1, \quad \forall R>R_{0} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (21) and 25 combined imply

$$
i_{K}\left(T, K_{R} \backslash \bar{K}_{R_{1}}\right)=i_{K}\left(T, K_{R}\right)-i_{K}\left(T, \bar{K}_{R_{1}}\right)=1
$$

Therefore, $T$ has at least one fixed point $u_{0} \in K_{R} / \bar{K}_{R_{1}}$, and $u_{0}$ is a positive solution of BVP (1)- (22).

## 5. The existence of two positive solution

Theorem 5.1. Suppose that (A2), (A3) and
(A5) $\lambda f^{0, \rho^{\prime}} \leq m$ for some $\rho^{\prime}>0$.
Then (1)-(2) has at least two positive solutions.
Proof. By (A5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T u(t) & =\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) g(s) f(s, u(s)) d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) g(s) \rho^{\prime} m d s
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\|T u\| \leq \rho^{\prime}=\|u\|$, for all $u \in \partial V_{\rho^{\prime}}$. Now Lemma 2.1, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{k}\left(T, V_{\rho^{\prime}}\right)=1 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, in view of (A2), we may take $\rho^{*}>\rho^{\prime}$ so that 16 holds (see the proof of Theorem 4.1). From (A3), We may take $R_{1} \in\left(0, \rho^{\prime}\right)$ so that (21) holds (see the proof Theorem 4.2).
Combining (26), (16) and (21), we arrive at

$$
i_{k}\left(T, K_{\rho^{*}} \backslash \bar{V}_{\rho^{\prime}}\right)=0-1=-1
$$

and

$$
i_{k}\left(T, V_{\rho^{\prime}} \backslash \bar{K}_{R_{1}}\right)=1-0=1
$$

Consequently, $T$ has at least two fixed points, with one on $K_{\rho^{*}} \backslash \bar{V}_{\rho^{\prime}}$ and the other on $V_{\rho^{\prime}} \backslash \bar{K}_{R_{1}}$. Therefore, (1)-(2) has at least two positive solutions.
Theorem 5.2. Suppose that (A1),(A4) and (A6) $\lambda f_{\rho^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime} / c} \geq M$ for some $\rho^{\prime}>0$.
Then (1)-(2) has at least two positive solutions.
Proof. By (A6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T u(t) & =\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) g(s) f(s, u(s)) d s \\
& \geq \lambda \int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) g(s) f(s, u(s)) d s \\
& \geq \int_{a}^{b} G(t, s) g(s) M \rho^{\prime} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\|T u\| \geq \rho^{\prime}=\|u\|$, for all $u \in \partial V_{\rho^{\prime}}$, and by Lemma 2.1, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{k}\left(T, V_{\rho^{\prime}}\right)=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, in view of (A1), We may take $\rho \in\left(0, \rho^{\prime}\right)$ so that 14 holds (see the proof Theorem 4.1). In addition, from (A4), we may take $R>\rho^{\prime}$ so that 25 holds (see the proof of Theorem 4.2).
Combining (27), (14) and 25, we arrive at

$$
i_{k}\left(T, K_{R} \backslash \bar{V}_{\rho^{\prime}}\right)=1-0=1
$$

and

$$
i_{k}\left(T, V_{\rho^{\prime}} \backslash \bar{K}_{\rho}\right)=0-1=-1
$$

Hence, $T$ has at least two fixed points, with one on $V_{\rho^{\prime}} \backslash \bar{K}_{\rho}$ and the other on $K_{R} \backslash \bar{V}_{\rho^{\prime}}$. Therefore, (1)-(2) has at least two positive solutions.

## 6. Nonexistence results

We now give a nonexistence result which shows that the above result on existence of one solution is sharp.
Definition 6.1. We say that a bounded linear operator $L$ is $u_{0}$ - positiveon the cone $P$, if there exists $u_{0} \in P \backslash\{0\}$, such that for every $u \in P \backslash\{0\}$ there are positive constants $k_{1}(u), k_{2}(u)$ such that $k_{1}(u) u_{0}(t) \leq L u(t) \leq k_{2}(u) u_{0}(t)$, for every $t \in[0,1]$.
Theorem 6.1([7,19]). Suppose that $L$ is $u_{0}$ - positivefor some $u_{0} \in P \backslash\{0\}$. Let $\mu_{1}=1 / r(L)$ be the principal characteristic value of $L$. Suppose that one of the following conditions hold.
(i) $f(t, u)<\mu_{1} u$, for all $u>0$ and almost all $t \in[0,1]$.
(ii) $f(t, u)>\mu_{1} u$, for all $u>0$ and almost all $t \in[0,1]$.

If (i) holds, then 0 is the unique fixed point of $T$ in $P$. If (ii) holds, then 0 is the only possible fixed point of $T$ in $P$.
Theorem 6.2. If $g$ and $g \mathrm{G}_{A}(s)$ are integrable functions, then $G(t, s) \leq W(s) c_{0}(t)$ for a function $W$ with $W g \in L^{1}(0,1)$,so $L_{0}$ is $c_{0}$ - positiveon $P$.
Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(t, s) & =\frac{\gamma(t) \mathrm{G}_{A}(s)}{1-\theta[\gamma]}-\frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} H(t-s)+\frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-3}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} H(1-s) \\
& \leq c_{0}(t)\left[\frac{\mathrm{G}_{A}(s)}{11-\theta[\gamma]}+\frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-3}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} H(1-s)\right] \\
& =c_{0}(t) W(s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We illustrate the applicability of these results with some examples.
Example 6.1. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& D^{(6.5)} u(t)+\lambda(5 t+3)\left(\frac{6 u^{2}+u}{u+1}\right)(3+\sin u)=0, t \in(0,1)  \tag{28}\\
& u(0)=0, \quad u^{(k)}(0)=0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq 5, \quad u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have $g(t)=5 t+3, \quad f(u)=(3+\sin u) \frac{6 u^{2}+u}{u+1}$ and $6<\alpha \leq 7$.
It is readily shown that $f^{0}=f_{0}=3, \quad f^{\infty}=24, \quad f_{\infty}=12$. Also, $3 u \leq f(u) \leq$ $24 u$ for $u \geq 0$. By calculation, we find $m=945.1744$, the smallest $M$ calculated is $M(a, b) \approx M(0.5661,1) \approx 203765.1892$. We find $\mu_{1} \approx 107683$. Hence, by Theorem 4.1, there is at least one positive solution if $3 \lambda<\mu_{1}$ and $12 \lambda>\mu_{1}$; that is, there is a positive solution if $\lambda \in(8973.5833,35894.3333)$. By Theorem 6.1, there does not exist a positive solution if either $3 \lambda>\mu_{1}$ or $24 \lambda<\mu_{1}$; that is, if $\lambda<4486.7917$ or $\lambda>35894.3333$ no positive solution exists. For $g(t) \equiv 1$ the corresponding constants are

$$
m=4210.3222, \quad M=1261771.943, \quad \mu_{1} \approx 105890
$$
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