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#### Abstract

In this paper, we obtain majorization results for certain class of multivalent functions defined by a differential operator .


## 1. Introduction

Let $A(p, j)$ be the class of functions which are analytic and p -valent in the unit $\operatorname{disc} U=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=p+j}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}(p, j \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2, \ldots\}) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $g(z) \in A(p, j)$, given by $g(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=p+j}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}$, the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f * g)(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=p+j}^{\infty} a_{k} b_{k} z^{k}=(g * f)(z) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $f(z) \in A(p, j)$, we have (see [6]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(q)}(z)=\delta(p, q) z^{p-q}+\sum_{k=p+j}^{\infty} \delta(k, q) a_{k} z^{k-q}\left(q \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} ; p>q\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\delta(x, y)=\frac{x!}{(x-y)!}= \begin{cases}1 & (y=0) \\ x(x-1) \ldots(x-y+1) & (y \neq 0)\end{cases}
$$

For $f(z) \in A(p, j)$, Aouf ([3] and [4]) defined the operator $D_{p}^{m} f^{(q)}(z)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{p}^{0} f^{(q)}(z) & =f^{(q)}(z) \\
D_{p}^{1} f^{(q)}(z) & =D_{p} f^{(q)}(z)=\frac{z}{(p-q)}\left(f^{(q)}(z)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{z}{(p-q)} f^{(1+q)}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$
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and (in general):

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{p}^{m} f^{(q)}(z) & =D_{p}\left(D_{p}^{(m-1)} f^{(q)}(z)\right) \\
& =\delta(p, q) z^{p-q}+\sum_{k=p+j}^{\infty} \delta(k, q)\left(\frac{k-q}{p-q}\right)^{m} a_{k} z^{k-q} \\
(p, j & \left.\in \mathbb{N} ; m, q \in \mathbb{N}_{0} ; p>q\right) .4 \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that, for $q=0, D_{p}^{m} f^{(0)}(z)=D_{p}^{m} f(z)$, where the operator $D_{p}^{m}$ was introduced and studied by Kamali and Orhan [9] and Aouf and Mostafa [5] which for $p=1$ reduces to the Salagean operator $D^{m}$ (see [15]).

From (4), one can easily verify that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(D_{p}^{m} f^{(q)}(z)\right)^{\prime}=(p-q) D_{p}^{m+1} f^{(q)}(z) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For two analytic functions $f, g \in A(p, j)$, we say that $f$ is subordinate to $g$, written $f(z) \prec g(z)$ if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, which (by definition) is analytic in $U$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ for all $z \in U$, such that $f(z)=$ $g(w(z)), z \in U$. Furthermore, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in $U$, then we have the following equivalence ( see [11]):

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0)=g(0) \text { and } f(U) \subset g(U)
$$

If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic functions in $U$, then $f(z)$ is majorized by $g(z)$ in $U$ and written

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z) \ll g(z) \quad(z \in U) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

if there exists a function $\phi(z)$, analytic in $U$, such that ( see [10]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\phi(z)| \leq 1 \text { and } f(z)=\phi(z) g(z) \quad(z \in U) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is noted that the notation of majorization is closely related to the concept of quasi-subordination between analytic functions.
Definition 1. For $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^{*}=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\},-1 \leq B<A \leq 1, p \in \mathbb{N}, m, q \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, p>q$ and $|\gamma(A-B)+B| \leq p-q$, a function $f(z) \in A(p, j)$ is said to be in the class $S_{p, j, q}(m, A, B, \gamma)$ of p-valently functions in $U$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{D_{p}^{m+1} f^{(q)}(z)}{D_{p}^{m} f^{(q)}(z)}-1\right) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \tag{it8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{p}^{m} f^{(q)}(z)$ is given by (4).
Specializing the parameters $m, n, p, q, A, B$ and $\gamma$, we have the following classes:
i) $S_{p, j, 0}(m, A, B, \gamma)=S_{p, j}(m, A, B, \gamma)=\left\{f \in A(p, j): 1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{D_{p}^{m+1} f(z)}{D_{p}^{m} f(z)}-1\right) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}\right\}$;
ii) $S_{p, j, q}(m, 1,-1, \gamma)=S_{p, j, q}(m, \gamma)=\left\{f \in A(p, j): \operatorname{Re}\left[1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{D_{p}^{m+1} f^{(q)}(z)}{D_{p}^{m} f^{(q)}(z)}-1\right)\right]>0\right\}$;
iii) $S_{p, j, 0}\left(m, 1,-1,\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{p}\right) \cos \lambda e^{-i \lambda}\right)=S_{p, j}^{\lambda}(m, \alpha)$
$=\left\{f \in A(p, j): \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \lambda} \frac{D_{p}^{m+1} f(z)}{D_{p}^{m} f(z)}\right)>\frac{\alpha}{p} \cos \lambda\right\} \quad\left(|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2} ; 0 \leq \alpha<p\right) ;$
iv) $S_{p, j, 0}\left(0,1,-1,\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{p}\right) \cos \lambda e^{-i \lambda}\right)=S_{p, j}^{\lambda}(\alpha)$

tava et al. [16] with $j=1$ );
v) $S_{p, j, 0}\left(1,1,-1,\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{p}\right) \cos \lambda e^{-i \lambda}\right)=C_{p, j}^{\lambda}(\alpha)$

$$
=\left\{f \in A(p, j): \operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i \lambda}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right\}>\frac{\alpha}{p} \cos \lambda\left(|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2} ; 0 \leq \alpha<p\right)\right\}(
$$

see Srivastava et al. [16] with $j=1$ );
vi) $S_{p, 0}(m, 1,-1, \gamma)=S_{m}(p, \gamma)$ ( see Akbulut et al. [2]);
vii) $S_{1,1,0}(0,1,-1, \gamma)=S(\gamma) \quad$ ( see Nasr and Aouf [12]);
viii) $S_{1,1,0}(1,1,-1, \gamma)=S(\gamma) \quad$ ( see Nasr and Aouf [12]) and Wiatrowski [17];
ix) $S_{1,1,0}(0,1,-1,1-\alpha)=S^{*}(\alpha)(0 \leq \alpha<1)$ (see Robertson [14]).

Majorization problems for the class $S^{*}=S^{*}(0)$ had been investigated by MacGregor [10], recently Altintas et al. [1] investigated a majorization problem for the class $S(\gamma)$. Very recently Goyal and Goswami [8] generalized these results for the fractional operator (see also Goswami and Aouf [7]). In this peper we investigated a majorization problem for the class $S_{p, j, q}(m, A, B, \gamma)$ and its special subclasses.

## 2. Main Results

Unless otherw $c$ ise mentioned, we assume that $\gamma \in C^{*},-1 \leq B<A \leq 1, p \in N$, $m, q \in N_{0}$ and $p>q$.
Theorem 1. Let the funtion $f(z) \in A(p, j)$ and $g(z) \in S_{p, j, q}(m, A, B, \gamma)$. If $D_{p}^{m} f^{(q)}(z)$ is majorized by $D_{p}^{m} g^{(q)}(z)$ in $U$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{p}^{m+1} f^{(q)}(z)\right| \leq\left|D_{p}^{m+1} g^{(q)}(z)\right| \quad\left(|z| \leq r_{0}\right) \tag{it9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{0}=r_{0}(p, q, \gamma, A, B)$ is the smallest root of the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\gamma(A-B)+B|(p-q) r^{3}-[p-q+2|B|] r^{2}-[2+(p-q)|\gamma(A-B)+B|] r+p-q=0 \tag{it10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $g(z) \in S_{p, j, q}(m, A, B, \gamma)$, then it follows from (8) that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(\frac{D_{p}^{m+1} g^{(q)}(z)}{D_{p}^{m} g^{(q)}(z)}-1\right)=\frac{1+A w(z)}{1+B w(z)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(z)=c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\ldots \in P, P$ denotes the well known class of bounded analytic functions in $U$ which satisfy $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)| \leq 1$.

From (11) we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D_{p}^{m+1} g^{(q)}(z)}{D_{p}^{m} g^{(q)}(z)}=\frac{1+[\gamma(A-B)+B] w(z)}{(1+B w(z))} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{p}^{m} g^{(q)}(z)\right| \leq \frac{(1+|B||z|)}{1-|\gamma(A-B)+B||z|}\left|D_{p}^{m+1} g^{(q)}(z)\right| \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, $D_{p}^{m} f^{(q)}(z)$ is majorized by $D_{p}^{m} g^{(q)}(z)$ in $U$, then we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{p}^{m} f^{(q)}(z)=\phi(z) D_{p}^{m} g^{(q)}(z) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating (14) with respect to $z$ and then multiplying $z$, we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(D_{p}^{m} f^{(q)}(z)\right)^{\prime}=z \phi^{\prime}(z) D_{p}^{m} g^{(q)}(z)+\phi(z) z\left(D_{p}^{m} g^{(q)}(z)\right)^{\prime} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that the Schwarz function $\phi(z)$ satisfies ( see [13]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1-|\phi(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using $(5),(13)$ and (16) in (15), we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{p}^{m+1} f^{(q)}(z)\right| \leq\left\{|\phi(z)|+\frac{|z|\left(1-|\phi(z)|^{2}\right)}{(p-q)\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)} \frac{(1+|B||z|)}{[1-|\gamma(A-B)+B||z|]}\right\}\left|D_{p}^{m+1} g^{(q)}(z)\right| . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $|z|=r$ and $|\phi(z)|=\rho(0 \leq \rho \leq 1)$, (17) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{p}^{m+1} f^{(q)}(z)\right| \leq \frac{\Psi(\rho)}{(p-q)\left(1-r^{2}\right)[p-q-|\gamma(A-B)+B| r]}\left|D_{p}^{m+1} g^{(q)}(z)\right| \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Psi(\rho)=\rho(p-q)\left(1-r^{2}\right)[1-|\gamma(A-B)+B| r]+r\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)(1+|B| r)
$$

takes its maximum value at $\rho=1$ with $r=r_{0}(p, q, \gamma, A, B)$ given by (10). Furthermore, if $0 \leq \sigma \leq r_{0}(p, q, \gamma, A, B)$, the the function $\Phi(\rho)$ defined by

$$
\Phi(\rho)=\rho(p-q)\left(1-\sigma^{2}\right)[1-|\gamma(A-B)+B| \sigma]+\sigma\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)(1+|B| \sigma)
$$

is an increasing function on $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\rho) & \leq \Phi(1)=(p-q)\left(1-\sigma^{2}\right)[1-|\gamma(A-B)+B| \sigma] \\
0 & \leq \rho \leq 1 ; 0 \leq \sigma \leq r_{0}(p, q, \gamma, A, B)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, setting $\rho=1$ in (18), we conclude that (9) holds true for $|z| \leq r_{0}(p, q, \gamma, A, B)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Putting $q=0$ in Theorem 1, we have the following corollary:
Corollary 1. Let the function $f(z) \in A(p, j)$ and $g(z) \in S_{p, j}(m, A, B, \gamma)$. If $D_{p}^{m} f(z)$ is majorized by $D_{p}^{m} g(z)$ in $U$, then

$$
\left|D_{p}^{m+1} f(z)\right| \leq\left|D_{p}^{m+1} g(z)\right| \quad\left(|z| \leq r_{1}\right)
$$

where $r_{1}=r_{1}(p, \gamma, A, B)$ is the smallest root of the equation:

$$
|\gamma(A-B)+B| p r^{3}-(p+2|B|) r^{2}-[2+p|\gamma(A-B)+B|] r+p=0
$$

Putting $A=1$ and $B=-1$, in Theorem 1, (10) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
|2 \gamma-1|(p-q) r^{3}-(2+p-q) r^{2}-[2+|2 \gamma-1|(p-q)] r+p-q=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has $r=-1$ one of its roots and the other two roots are given by

$$
|2 \gamma-1|(p-q) r^{2}-[|2 \gamma-1|(p-q)+2+p-q] r+p-q=0
$$

We may find the smallest postive root of (19).Hence, we have the following corollary: Corollary 2. Let the function $f(z) \in A(p, j)$ and $g(z) \in S_{p, j, q}(m, \gamma)$. If $D_{p}^{m} f^{(q)}(z)$ is majorized by $D_{p}^{m} g^{(q)}(z)$ in $U$, then

$$
\left|D_{p}^{m+1} f^{(q)}(z)\right| \leq\left|D_{p}^{m+1} g^{(q)}(z)\right| \quad\left(|z| \leq r_{2}\right)
$$

where $r_{2}=r_{2}(p, q, \gamma)$ is given by

$$
r_{2}=\frac{\eta-\left\{\eta^{2}-4(p-q)^{2}|2 \gamma-1|\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2(p-q)|2 \gamma-1|}
$$

where $\eta=(p-q)|2 \gamma-1|+2+p-q$.
Putting $\gamma=\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{p}\right) \cos \lambda e^{-i \lambda}\left(|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \leq \alpha<p\right)$ and $q=0$ in Corollary 2, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 3. Let the function $f(z) \in A(p, j)$ and $g(z) \in S_{p, j}^{\lambda}(m, \alpha)\left(|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. If $D_{p}^{m} f(z)$ is majorized by $D_{p}^{m} g(z)$ in $U$, then

$$
\left|D_{p}^{m+1} f(z)\right| \leq\left|D_{p}^{m+1} g(z)\right| \quad\left(|z| \leq r_{3}\right)
$$

where $r_{3}=r_{3}(p, \lambda, \alpha)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{3}=\frac{\delta-\left\{\delta^{2}-4 p^{2}\left|2\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{p}\right) \cos \lambda e^{-i \lambda}-1\right|\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2 p\left|2\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{p}\right) \cos \lambda e^{-i \lambda}-1\right|} \tag{it20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta=p\left|2\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{p}\right) \cos \lambda e^{-i \lambda}-1\right|+2+p$.
Putting $m=0$ in Corollary 3, we have the following corollary:
Corollary 4. Let the function $f(z) \in A(p, j)$ and $g(z) \in S_{p, j}^{\lambda}(\alpha)\left(|\lambda|<\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. If $f(z)$ is majorized by $g(z)$ in $U$, then

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| \quad\left(|z| \leq r_{3}\right)
$$

where $r_{3}=r_{3}(p, \lambda, \alpha)$ is given by (20).
Remark. Specializing the parameters $m, q, A, B$ and $\gamma$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the majorization results for the corresponding classes defined in the introduction.
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