Journal of Fractional Calculus and Applications

Vol. 14(1) Jan. 2023, pp. 45-54.

ISSN: 2090-5858.

http://math-frac.org/Journals/JFCA/

# CERTAIN NEW CLASSES OF ANALYTIC AND UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH SĂLĂGEAN OPERATOR WITH VARYING ARGUMENTS

M. I. ELGMMAL, H. E. DARWISH

ABSTRACT. We introduce certain two new classes of analytic and univalent functions associated with  $S\Bar{a}l\Bar{a}gean$  operator with varying arguments. Moreover, we obtain coefficient estimates, distortion theorems and extreme points for these classes.

# 1. Introduction, Definitions and Preliminaries

Suppose  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the class of functions f(z) of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \tag{1.1}$$

which is analytic and univalent in  $\Delta$  where  $\Delta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** [15] We define a differential operator that is called *Sălăgean* operator as follows

$$D^0 f(z) = f(z), \tag{1.2}$$

$$D^{1} f(z) = z f'(z), \tag{1.3}$$

$$D^{n} f(z) = D(D^{n-1} f(z)). (1.4)$$

Then from (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) we get

$$D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k)^n a_k z^k.$$

We refer the interested readers to Sekine [16], Aouf et al. ([3] and [4]), Hossen et al. [8] and Aouf [1] for more applications of the operator  $D^{n+m}$ .

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Analytic functions, Univalent functions ,  $S\"{a}l\~{a}gean$  operator, Uniformly starlike functions, Uniformly convex functions .

Submitted June 5, 2022. Revised June 23, 2022.

Let  $q - MN(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$  denote the subclass of  $\mathfrak{A}$  consisting of functions f(z) of the form (1.1) and satisfy the following inequality

$$Re\left\{\frac{D^{n+m}f(z)}{D^{n}f(z)} - \sigma\right\} > q \left|\frac{D^{n+m}f(z)}{D^{n}f(z)} - \delta\right|,\tag{1.5}$$

where  $(0 \le \sigma < \delta \le 1; q(1 - \delta) < (1 - \sigma); z \in \Delta), n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N}.$  We note that Darwish [6] studied the subclass  $q - MN(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$  with  $-1 \le \sigma < 1$ ,  $q \ge 0$  and  $\delta = 1$ .

We also let  $q - XZW(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$  denote the subclass of  $\mathfrak{A}$  consisting of functions f(z) of the form (1.1) and satisfy the following inequality

$$Re\left\{\frac{D^{n+m+1}f(z)}{D^{n+1}f(z)} - \sigma\right\} > q\left|\frac{D^{n+m+1}f(z)}{D^{n+1}f(z)} - \delta\right|,$$
 (1.6)

where  $(0 \le \sigma < \delta \le 1; q(1 - \delta) < (1 - \sigma); z \in \Delta)$ .

With n=0 and m=1 the class  $q-MN(0,1,\sigma,\delta)$  denote the class of q uniformly starlike functions of order  $\sigma$  and type  $\delta$  and the class  $q-XZW(0,1,\sigma,\delta)$  denote the class of q-uniformly starlike functions of order  $\sigma$  and type  $\delta$ .

By giving values for  $n, m, \sigma$  and  $\delta$ , we obtain the following subclasses studied by various authors:

(i) 
$$q - MN(0, 1, \sigma, 1) = MD(q, \sigma)$$
 and  $q - XZW(0, 1, \sigma, 1) = RD(q, \sigma)$  (see [15, 17]),

(ii) 
$$1 - MN(0, 1, \sigma, 1) = M_i(\sigma)$$
 and  $1 - XZW(0, 1, \sigma, 1) = XZW(\sigma)$  (see [13]),

$$(iii)q - MN(0, 1, 0, 1) = q - MN \text{ and } q - XZW(0, 1, 0, 1) = q - XZW \text{ (see [9, 10])},$$

$$(iv)1 - MN(0,1,0,1) = M_i$$
 and  $1 - XZW(0,1,0,1) = XZW$  (see [13, 7, 14]).

Also, we notice that

$$\begin{split} &(i) \quad 1 - MN(n, m, \sigma, \delta) \\ &= \left\{ f(z) \in \mathfrak{A} : Re \left\{ \frac{D^{n+m} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - \sigma \right\} > \left| \frac{D^{n+m} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - \delta \right| \right\}, \\ &(ii) \quad 1 - XZW(n, m, \sigma, \delta) \\ &= \left\{ f(z) \in \mathfrak{A} : Re \left\{ \frac{D^{n+m+1} f(z)}{D^{n+1} f(z)} - \sigma \right\} > \left| \frac{D^{n+m+1} f(z)}{D^{n+1} f(z)} - \delta \right| \right\}, \end{split}$$

which are uniformly starlike functions of order  $\sigma$  and type  $\delta$  with n=0 and m=1 and uniformly convex functions of order  $\sigma$  and type  $\delta$  with n=1 and m=1 respectively.

**Definition 1.2.** (see [18]) A function f(z) of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class  $U(\theta_k)$  for all  $k \geq 2$ . Moreover if there exist a real number s i.e  $\theta_k + (k-1)s \equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi}$ , then f(z) is said to be in the class  $U(\theta_k, s)$ . Suppose U denote the union of  $U(\theta_k, s)$  with all possible sequences  $\{\theta_k\}$  and all possible real numbers s.

Let  $q - UMN(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$  be the subclass of U consisting of functions  $f(z) \in q - MN(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$ . Also, we let  $q - UXZW(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$  be the subclass of U consisting of functions  $f(z) \in q - XZW(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$ .

In this paper we obtain coefficient estimates for the classes  $q - MN(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$ ,  $q - XZW(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$ ,  $q - UMN(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$  and  $q - UXZW(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$ , we also obtain distortion theorems and extreme points for the classes  $q - UMN(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$  and  $q - UXZW(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$  (cf. [2, 11, 12]).

#### 2. Coefficient Estimates

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{A}$  which is defined by (1.1). Then f(z) be in the class  $q - MN(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$  if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n \Big[ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \Big] |a_k| \le 1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta).$$

*Proof.* To prove  $f(z) \in q - MN(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$ , then we need to obtain the condition (1.5) which can be written as

$$Re\left\{ (1+qe^{i\theta})\frac{D^{n+m}f(z)}{D^nf(z)} - q\delta e^{i\theta} \right\} > \sigma$$

or

$$Re\left\{\frac{A(z)}{B(z)}\right\} > \sigma,$$
 (2.1)

where  $A(z) = (1 + qe^{i\theta})D^{n+m}f(z) - q\delta e^{i\theta}D^nf(z)$  and  $B(z) = D^nf(z)$ , then the inequality (2.1) is equivalent to

$$|A(z) + (1 - \sigma)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \sigma)B(z)| \ge 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|A(z) + (1 - \sigma)B(z)| &= \left| [2 - \sigma + qe^{i\theta}(1 - \delta)]z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n \left[ k^m + qe^{i\theta}(k^m - \delta) + 1 - \sigma \right] a_k z^k \right| \\
&\geq [2 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)]|z| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n \left\{ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m + 1 - \sigma \right\} |a_k||z|^k \\
&\geq [2 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)] - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n \left\{ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m + 1 - \sigma \right\} |a_k|, \\
(2.2)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|A(z) - (1+\sigma)B(z)| &= \left| [qe^{i\theta}(1-\delta) - \sigma]z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n \left[ k^m + qe^{i\theta}(k^m - \delta) - 1 - \sigma \right] a_k z^k \right| \\
&\leq [\sigma + q(1-\delta)]|z| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n \left\{ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma - 1 \right\} |a_k||z|^k \\
&\leq [\sigma + q(1-\delta)] + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n \left\{ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma - 1 \right\} |a_k|, \\
\end{aligned} (2.3)$$

thus we obtain from (2.2) and (2.3) the inequality

$$|A(z) + (1 - \sigma)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \sigma)B(z)| \ge 2[1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)] - 2\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n} \{q(k^{m} - \delta) + k^{m} - \sigma\} |a_{k}|.$$

Then

$$|A(z) + (1 - \sigma)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \sigma)B(z)| \ge 0$$
 if

$$2[1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)] - 2\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n \Big\{ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \Big\} |a_k| \ge 0$$

or

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n \Big\{ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \Big\} |a_k| \le 1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta).$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{A}$  which is defined by (1.1). Then f(z) be in the class  $q - XZW(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$  if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} \Big[ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \Big] |a_k| \le 1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta).$$

*Proof.* The proof comes directly from Theorem 2.1.

Since we have  $f(z) \in q - XZW(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$  if and only if  $Df(z) \in q - MN(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$  from (1.5) and (1.6).

Putting n = 0, m = 1 and  $\delta = 1$  in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we obtained the results which is found by Shams et al. [17, Theorems 2.1, 2.2, resp].

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{A}$  which is defined by (1.1) be in the class q- $UMN(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n \Big[ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \Big] |a_k| < 1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta).$$
 (2.4)

*Proof.* With the aid of Theorem 2.1, we only need to prove that if  $f(z) \in q - UMN(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$ , then f(z) satisfies (2.4).

Suppose  $f(z) \in q-UMN(n,m,\sigma,\delta)$ , then we have from (1.5) Since we have  $f(z) \in q-XZW(n,m,\sigma,\delta)$  if and only if  $Df(z) \in q-MN(n,m,\sigma,\delta)$  from (1.5) and (1.6).

$$Re\left\{\frac{D^{n+m}f(z)}{D^nf(z)} - \sigma\right\} > q\left|\frac{D^{n+m}f(z)}{D^nf(z)} - \delta\right|,$$

then we obtain

$$Re\left\{\frac{(1-\sigma) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n} [k^{m} - \sigma] a_{k} z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n} a_{k} z^{k-1}}\right\}$$

$$> q \left| \frac{(1-\delta) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n} [k^{m} - \delta] a_{k} z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n} a_{k} z^{k-1}} \right|.$$
(2.5)

Since  $Re\{z\} \leq |z|$  and  $f(z) \in U$ , f(z) is in the class  $U(\theta_k, s)$  for some sequences  $\theta_k$  and a real number s i.e

$$\theta_k + (k-1)s \equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi}.$$

Setting  $z = re^{is}$ , then (2.5) can be written as

$$\left\{ \frac{(1-\sigma) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n [k^m - \sigma] |a_k| r^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n |a_k| r^{k-1}} \right\}$$

$$> q \left\{ \frac{(1-\delta) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n [k^m - \delta] |a_k| r^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n |a_k| r^{k-1}} \right\}.$$

Suppose  $r \to 1$ , then we obtain

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n \Big[ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \Big] |a_k| < 1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta).$$

Thus the proof of Theorem 2.3 is complete.

Corollary 2.1. If  $f(z) \in q - UMN(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$ , then

$$|a_k| \le \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{k^n \left[ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \right]} \quad (k \ge 2).$$

The equality is obtained for the function

$$f(z) = z + \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{k^n \left[ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \right]} e^{i\theta_k} z^k \quad (k \ge 2, \ z \in \triangle).$$

Using the same method of Theorem 2.3, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{A}$  which is defined by (1.1) be in the class q- $UXZW(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} \Big[ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \Big] |a_k| < 1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta).$$

Corollary 2.2. If  $f(z) \in q - UXZW(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$ , then

$$|a_k| \le \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{k^{n+1} \left[ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \right]} \quad (k \ge 2).$$
 (2.6)

The equality is obtained for the function

$$f(z) = z + \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{k^{n+1} \left[ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \right]} e^{i\theta_k} z^k \quad (k \ge 2, \ z \in \triangle)$$

is sharp for the inequality (2.6).

#### 3. Distortion Theorems

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{A}$  which is defined by (1.1) is in the class q- $UMN(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$ , then

$$r - \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{2^n [q(2^m - \delta) + 2^m - \sigma]} r^2 \le |f(z)| \le r + \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{2^n [q(2^m - \delta) + 2^m - \sigma]} r^2$$

with |z| = r (0 < r < 1). The result is sharp.

*Proof.* We use the technique used by Silverman [18]. With the aid of Theorem 2.3, Since

$$\psi(k) = k^n \left[ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \right]$$

is an increasing function of k ( $k \ge 2$ ), thus we have

$$\psi(2) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| \le \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi(k) |a_k| \le 1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta),$$

that is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| \le \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{\psi(2)}.$$

Then we obtain

$$|f(z)| = |z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k| \le r + r^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| \quad (0 < |z| = r < 1)$$
  
$$\le r + \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{2^n [q(2^m - \delta) + 2^m - \sigma]} r^2.$$

We also obtain

$$|f(z)| = |z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k| \ge r - r^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k|$$
$$\ge r - \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{2^n [q(2^m - \delta) + 2^m - \sigma]} r^2.$$

The proof is complete.

We also notice that The result is sharp with

$$f(z) = z + \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{2^n \left[ q(2^m - \delta) + 2^m - \sigma \right]} e^{i\theta_2} z^2, \ (z = re^{i\theta} \ and \ 0 < r < 1).$$
 (3.1)

**Corollary 3.1.** By Theorem 3.1, we notice that f(z) lies in a disk with center at the origin and radius  $r_1$  given by

$$r_1 = 1 + \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{2^n \left[ q(2^m - \delta) + 2^m - \sigma \right]}.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** If  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{A}$  which is defined by (1.1) is in the class q- $UMN(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$ , then

$$1 - \frac{[1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)]}{2^{n-1}[q(2^m - \delta) + 2^m - \sigma]}r \le |f'(z)| \le 1 + \frac{[1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)]}{2^{n-1}[q(2^m - \delta) + 2^m - \sigma]}r$$

with |z| = r (0 < r < 1). The result is sharp.

*Proof.* Similarly since

$$\frac{\psi(k)}{k} = k^{n-1} \left[ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \right]$$

is an increasing function of k ( $k \ge 2$ ), thus with the aid of Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\frac{\psi(2)}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k |a_k| \le \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi(k) |a_k| \le 1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta),$$

that is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k|a_k| \le \frac{2[1-\sigma-q(1-\delta)]}{\psi(2)}.$$

Then we obtain

$$|f'(z)| = |1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1}| \le 1 + r \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k |a_k| \quad (0 < |z| = r < 1)$$

$$\le 1 + \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{2^{n-1} [q(2^m - \delta) + 2^m - \sigma]} r.$$

We also obtain

$$|f'(z)| = |1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1}| \ge 1 - r \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k |a_k|$$
$$\ge 1 - \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{2^{n-1} [q(2^m - \delta) + 2^m - \sigma]} r.$$

The proof is complete.

We also notice that The result is sharp with the function f(z) given by (3.1).  $\square$ 

**Corollary 3.2.** By Theorem 3.2, we notice that f'(z) lies in a disk with center at the origin and radius  $r_2$  given by

$$r_2 = 1 + \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{2^{n-1} \left[ q(2^m - \delta) + 2^m - \sigma \right]}.$$

Using the same method of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we obtain the following theorems for the class  $q - UXZW(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** If  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{A}$  which is defined by (1.1) is in the class q– $UXZW(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$ , then

$$r - \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{2^{n+1}[q(2^m - \delta) + 2^m - \sigma]}r^2 \le |f(z)| \le r + \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{2^{n+1}[q(2^m - \delta) + 2^m - \sigma]}r^2$$
(3.2)

with |z| = r (0 < r < 1). The result is sharp with

$$f(z) = z + \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{2^{n+1} \left[ q(2^m - \delta) + 2^m - \sigma \right]} e^{i\theta_2} z^2, \quad (z = re^{i\theta} \text{ and } 0 < r < 1).$$
 (3.3)

**Theorem 3.4.** If  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{A}$  which is defined by (1.1) is in the class  $q-UXZW(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$ , then

$$1 - \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{2^{n} [q(2^{m} - \delta) + 2^{m} - \sigma]} r$$

$$\leq |f'(z)|$$

$$\leq 1 + \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{2^{n} [q(2^{m} - \delta) + 2^{m} - \sigma]} r$$

with |z| = r (0 < r < 1). The result is sharp with f(z) given by (3.3).

## 4. Extreme Points

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{A}$  which is defined by (1.1) be in the class q- $UMN(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$ , with arg  $a_k = \theta_k$  i.e  $\theta_k + (k-1)s \equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi}$ . Suppose

$$f_1(z) = z$$

$$f_k(z) = z + \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{k^n \left[ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \right]} e^{i\theta_k} z^k \quad (k \ge 2, \ z \in \triangle).$$

Then  $f(z) \in q - UMN(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$  if and only if f(z) can be obtained in the form  $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k f_k(z)$  s.t  $\lambda_k \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k = 1$ .

*Proof.* We let  $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k f_k(z)$  with  $\lambda_k \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k = 1$ , then we have

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k f_k(z)$$

$$= \lambda_1 f_1(z) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k f_k(z)$$

$$= \left(1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k\right) z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k \left\{z + \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{k^n \left[q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma\right]} e^{i\theta_k} z^k\right\}$$

$$= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{k^n \left[q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma\right]} \lambda_k e^{i\theta_k} z^k.$$

So we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n \Big[ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \Big] \Bigg| \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{k^n \Big[ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \Big]} \lambda_k e^{i\theta_k} \Bigg| \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n \Big[ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \Big] \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{k^n \Big[ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \Big]} \lambda_k \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)] \lambda_k \\ &\leq 1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta). \end{split}$$

Then  $f(z) \in q - UMN(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$  by Theorem 2.3.

Conversely suppose  $f(z) \in q - UMN(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$  and define

$$\lambda_k = \frac{k^n \Big[ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \Big]}{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)} |a_k|, \quad (k \ge 2)$$

where  $\lambda_1 = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k f_k(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k = f(z).$$

The proof is complete.

By using the same technique of Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $f(z) \in \mathfrak{A}$  which is defined by (1.1) be in the class q– $UXZW(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$ , where arg  $a_k = \theta_k$  i.e  $\theta_k + (k-1)s \equiv \pi \pmod{2\pi}$ . Suppose

$$f_1(z) = z$$

$$f_k(z) = z + \frac{1 - \sigma - q(1 - \delta)}{k^{n+1} \left[ q(k^m - \delta) + k^m - \sigma \right]} e^{i\theta_k} z^k \quad (k \ge 2, z \in \triangle).$$

Then  $f(z) \in q - UXZW(n, m, \sigma, \delta)$  if and only if f(z) can be obtained in the form  $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k f_k(z)$  s.t  $\lambda_k \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k = 1$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the referee for his valuable comments.

## References

- M. K. Aouf, Neighborhoods of certain classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2006 (2006).
- [2] R. M. El-Ashwah, M. K. Aouf, A. A. M. Hassan and A. H. Hassan, Certain new classes of analytic functions with varying arguments, J. Complex Anal., (2013)
- [3] M. K. Aouf, H. E. Darwish and A. A. Attiya, Generalization of certain subclasses of analytic functions with negative coefficients, Stud. Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math 45(1) (2000), 11-22.
- [4] M. K. Auof, H. M. Hossen and A. Y. Lashin, On certain families of analytic functions with negative coefficients, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 31(8) (2000), 999–1015.
- [5] R. Bharati, R. Parvatham and A. Swaminathan, On subclasses of uniformly convex functions and corresponding class of starlike functions, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics 28(1) (1997), 17–32.
- [6] H. E. Darwish, On a subclass of uniformly convex functions with fixed second coefficient, Demonstr. Math. 41(4) (2008), 791–804.
- [7] A.W. Goodman, On uniformly convex functions, Annales Polonici Mathematici 56(1) (1991), 87–92.
- [8] H. M. Hossen, G. S. Salagean and M. K. Auof, Notes on certain classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients, Math. (Cluj) 39(62) (1997), 165–179.
- [9] S. Kanas and A. Wiśniowska, Conic domains and q-uniform convexity, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 105(1-2) (1999), 327–336.
- [10] S. Kanas and A. Wiśniowska, Conic domains and starlike functions, Romanian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 45(4) (2000), 647–657.
- [11] S. Owa, M. K. Aouf and Hanaa M. Zayed, On certain subclasses of multivalent functions with varying arguments of coefficients, Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matemática, 40 (2022), 1–14.
- [12] Páll Szabó Ágnes Orsolya and O. Engel, Certain class of analytic functions with varying arguments defined by Salagean derivative, Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Theory and Applications of Mathematics and Informatics ICTAMI, (2015), 113–120.

- [13] F. Ronning, Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of stalike functions, Proceedings of the American Mathematical society 118(1) (1993), 189–196.
- [14] F. Ronning, On starlike functions associated with parabolic regions, Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A 45(14) (1991), 117–122.
- [15] G. S. Sălăgean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Complex Analysis-Fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar, Part 1, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1983, 362–372.
- [16] T. Sekine, Generalization of certain classes of analytic functions, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 10(4) (1987), 725–732.
- [17] S. Shams, S. R. Kulkarni and J. M. Jahangiri, Classes of uniformly starlike and convex functions, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematics Sciences 53-56 (2004), 2959– 2961.
- [18] H. Silverman, Univalent functions with varying arguments, Houston Journal of Mathematics 7(2) (1981), 283-287.

#### M. I. Elgmmal

FACULTY OF SCIENCE, BENHA UNIVERSITY, BENHA, EGYPT

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: maha.elgamal@fsc.bu.edu.eg}$ 

## H. E. Darwish

Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: darwish333@yahoo.com}$