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#### Abstract

In this paper we obtain certain sufficient conditions for multivalent functions defined by using an extended fractional differential operator.


## 1. Introduction

Let $A(p)$ denote the class of functions $f$ of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \quad(p \in N=\{1,2, \ldots\}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic and p-valent in the open unit disc $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$. Let also that $A=A(1)$. Recently, several authors ( [1], [3] and [4]) obtained suffecient conditions associated with starlikeness in terms of the expression

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha \frac{z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)}
$$

In fact, Ravichandran [8] obtained the following results:
Theorem A [8, Theorem 3]. Let $q$ be convex univalent function and $0<\alpha \leq 1$,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}+2 q(z)+\left(1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right\}>0
$$

If $f \in A$ satisfies

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha \frac{z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec(1-\alpha) q(z)+\alpha q^{2}(z)+\alpha z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

then

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
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Theorem B [8, Theorem 4 ]. Let $q$ be analytic in $U, q(0)=1$ and $h(z)=$ $z q^{\prime}(z) / q(z)$ be starlike univalent in $U$. If $f \in A$ satisfies

$$
\frac{(z f(z))^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}-2 \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} \prec h(z)
$$

then

$$
\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{\{f(z)\}^{2}} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
For two functions $f$ given by (1) and $g(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}$, the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f$ and $g$ is given by

$$
(f * g)(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} b_{k} a_{k} z^{k}=(g * f)(z)
$$

Various operators of the fractional calculus (that is, fractional derivative and fractional integral ) has been studied, we find it convenient to restrict ourselves to the following definitions used recently by Owa [5] and Owa and Srivastava [6].

Definition 1. The fractional integral of order $\lambda$ is defined for the function $f(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{z}^{-\lambda} f(z)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^{1-\lambda}} d t \quad(\lambda>0) \tag{it2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(z)$ is analytic in a simply connected region containing the origin and multiplicity of $(z-t)^{\lambda-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log (z-t)$ to be real when $z-t>0$.

Definition 2. The fractional derivative of order $\lambda$ is defined for the function $f(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{z}^{\lambda} f(z)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{d z} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^{\lambda}} d t \tag{it3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq \lambda<1, f(z)$ is analytic in a simply connected region containing the origin and multiplicity of $(z-t)^{-\lambda}$ is removed as in Definition 1.

Definition 3. Under the hypotheses of Definition 2, the fractional derivative of order $n+\lambda$ is defined for a function $f(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{z}^{n+\lambda} f(z)=\frac{d^{n}}{d z^{n}} D_{z}^{\lambda} f(z) \quad\left(0 \leq \lambda<1 ; n \in N_{0}=N \cup\{0\}\right) \tag{it4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For functions $f(z)$ in the form (1), Patel and Mishra [7] defined the extended fractional differintegral operator $\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)}: A(p) \rightarrow A(p)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z) & =\frac{\Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1)} z^{\lambda} D_{z}^{\lambda} f(z) \\
& =z^{p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(p+1-\lambda)}{\Gamma(p+1) \Gamma(k+1-\lambda)} a_{k} z^{k} \\
& =z^{p}{ }_{2} F_{1}(1, p+1 ; p+1-\lambda ; z) * f(z) \quad(z \in U ;-\infty<\lambda<p+1) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }_{2} F_{1}$ is the Gaussian hypergeometric function defined by:

$$
{ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b ; c ; z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k}(b)_{k}}{(c)_{k}(1)_{k}} z^{k} \quad\left(a, b, c \in C ; c \notin Z_{0}=\{0,-1,-2, \ldots\}\right),
$$

and $(d)_{k}$ is the Pochhammer symbol given in terms of the Gamma function by:

$$
(d)_{k}= \begin{cases}1 & (k=0 ; d \in C \backslash\{0\}) \\ d(d+1) \ldots(d+k-1) & (k \in N ; d \in C)\end{cases}
$$

We note that ${ }_{2} F_{1}$ represents an analytic function in $U$ ( see for details $[11, \mathrm{Ch}$. 14]).
It is easily seen from (5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=(p-\lambda) \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda+1), p} f(z)+\lambda \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z) \quad(z \in U ;-\infty \leq \lambda<p) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also note that:

$$
\Omega_{z}^{(0, p)} f(z)=f(z) \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega_{z}^{(1, p)} f(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{p}
$$

The fractional differential operator $\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)$ with $0 \leq \lambda<1$ was investigated by Srivastava and Aouf [ 10 ].

In this paper, we present extension of the results of Ravichandran [8] for functions defined through the extended fractional differential operator $\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)$.

## 2. Definitions and Preliminaries

In the present paper, we shall need the following Lemmas:
Lemma 1 [2]. Let $q$ be univalent in the unit disc $U$. Let $\varphi$ be analytic in a domain containing $q(U)$. If $z q^{\prime}(z) / \varphi(q(z))$ is starlike, then

$$
z \psi^{\prime}(z) \varphi(\psi(z)) \prec z q^{\prime}(z) \varphi(q(z)) \quad(z \in U)
$$

then $\psi(z) \prec q(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.
Lemma 2 [9]. If $p$ and $q$ are analytic in $U, q$ is convex univalent, $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ are complex and $\gamma \neq 0$. Further assume that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}+\frac{2 \beta}{\gamma} q(z)+\left(1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right\}>0
$$

If $p(z)=1+c z+c_{2} z^{2}+\ldots$ is analytic in $U$ and satisfies

$$
\alpha p(z)+\beta p^{2}(z)+\gamma z p^{\prime}(z) \prec \alpha q(z)+\beta q^{2}(z)+\gamma z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$ and $q$ is the best dominant.

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 1. Let $q$ be convex univalent, $\alpha \neq 0 ; 0 \leq \lambda<p-1 ; p>1$. Further assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{(p-\lambda)(1-\alpha)-1}{\alpha}+2(p-\lambda) q(z)+\left(1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right\}>0 \tag{it7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda+1, p)} f(z)}{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)}\left\{1-\alpha+\frac{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda+2, p)} f(z)}{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda+1, p)} f(z)}\right\} \\
\prec\left[\frac{(p-\lambda)(1-\alpha)-1}{p-\lambda-1}\right] q(z)+\frac{\alpha(p-\lambda)}{p-\lambda-1} q^{2}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p-\lambda-1} z q^{\prime}(z), \tag{it8}
\end{gather*}
$$

then

$$
\frac{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda+1, p)} f(z)}{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Define a function $\Phi$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(z)=\frac{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda+1, p)} f(z)}{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)} \quad(z \in U) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the function $\Phi$ is analytic in $U$ and $\Phi(0)=1$. Therefore, differentiating (9) logarithmically with respect to $z$ and using the identity (6) in the resulting equation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda+2, p)} f(z)}{\Omega_{z}^{\lambda+1, p} f(z)}=\frac{1}{p-1-\lambda}\left\{\frac{z \Phi^{\prime}(z)}{\Phi(z)}+(p-\lambda) \Phi(z)-1\right\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore from (10), we have
$\frac{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda+1, p)} f(z)}{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)}\left\{1-\alpha+\frac{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda+2, p)} f(z)}{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda+1, p)} f(z)}\right\}=\left[\frac{(p-\lambda)(1-\alpha)-1}{p-\lambda-1}\right] \Phi(z)+\frac{\alpha(p-\lambda)}{p-\lambda-1} \Phi^{2}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p-\lambda-1} z \Phi^{\prime}(z)$.
Using (11) in (8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{(p-\lambda)(1-\alpha)-1}{p-\lambda-1}\right] \Phi(z)+\frac{\alpha(p-\lambda)}{p-\lambda-1} \Phi^{2}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p-\lambda-1} z \Phi^{\prime}(z)} \\
& \prec\left[\frac{(p-\lambda)(1-\alpha)-1}{p-\lambda-1}\right] q(z)+\frac{\alpha(p-\lambda)}{p-\lambda-1} q^{2}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{p-\lambda-1} z q^{\prime}(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the result now follows by using Lemma 2.
Theorem 2. Let $q$ be univalent in $U, q(0)=1$. Let $\frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}$ be starlike univalent in $U ; 0 \leq \lambda<p-1, p>1$. If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p-1-\lambda) \frac{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda+2, p)} f(z)}{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda+1, p)} f(z)}-\alpha(p-\lambda) \frac{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda+1, p)} f(z)}{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)} \prec \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+(1-\alpha)(1-\lambda)-1 \tag{it12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\frac{z^{\alpha-1} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda+1, p)} f(z)}{\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)\right)^{\alpha}} \prec q(z)
$$

and $q$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Define a function $\phi$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=\frac{z^{\alpha-1} \Omega_{z}^{(\lambda+1, p)} f(z)}{\left(\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)\right)^{\alpha}} \quad(z \in U) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a simple computation from (13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p-1-\lambda) \frac{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda+2, p)} f(z)}{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda+1, p)} f(z)}-\alpha(p-\lambda) \frac{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda+1, p)} f(z)}{\Omega_{z}^{(\lambda, p)} f(z)}=\frac{z \phi^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}+(1-\alpha)(1-\lambda)-1 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (14) in (12), we have

$$
\frac{z \phi^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)} \prec \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}
$$

and the result follows by an application of Lemma 1.
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