

## Combining ability for some inbred lines in half-diallel crosses of maize under two different locations conditions

Gamea H.A.A., Darwich M.M.B. \*, Aboyousef H.A.

*Maize Research Department, Field Crops Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt*

### Abstract

A half diallyl cross among eight new yellow maize inbred lines, *i.e.* Gm. 142, Gm. 224, Gm. 233, Gm. 152, Gm. 297, Gm. 330, Gm. 201, and Gm. 303, was made in 2015 summer season. Twenty eight  $F_1$  crosses along with two yellow commercial check hybrids, SC162 and SC168 were evaluated in randomized complete block design with four replications at two locations (Gemmeiza, Gharbia and Sids, Bani Sweif, Egypt) in 2016 summer season to study the combining ability to identify the most superior parental inbred lines that produce superior hybrids and develop high yielding new yellow single crosses. Results indicated that mean squares of crosses exhibited significant or highly significant for all studied traits. Mean squares due to GCA and SCA were significant or highly significant for all studied traits at combined over the two locations, except GCA for ear diameter trait and SCA for ear length trait, which were non-significant. The ratio of GCA/SCA was more than unity for all studied traits at combined over the two locations, except days to 50% silking and ear diameter traits, indicating that additive gene was more important than non-additive gene action. The interaction between GCA and SCA and locations were highly significant for all studied traits, except GCA  $\times$  Loc. for ear diameter trait and SCA  $\times$  Loc. for ear length trait. The magnitude of the interaction was highest for GCA  $\times$  locations than the SCA  $\times$  locations for plant height, ear height, ear length and grain yield, indicates that additive genetic variance was influenced by environment and the additive component interacted more with the environment than the non-additive and vice versa for days to 50% silking and ear diameter. According to analysis of GCA effects, the best general combiners were  $P_3$  (Gm.233) for earliness;  $P_4$  (Gm.152),  $P_5$  (Gm.297),  $P_6$  (Gm.330) and  $P_7$  (Gm.201) for plant height (shortness);  $P_5$  (Gm.297),  $P_6$  (Gm.330) and  $P_7$  (Gm.201) towards lower ear position;  $P_4$  (Gm.152) and  $P_6$  (Gm.330) for ear length;  $P_1$  (Gm.142) for ear diameter; and  $P_1$  (Gm.142),  $P_5$  (Gm.297) and  $P_8$  (Gm.303) for grain yield. Based on mean performance and SCA effects analysis, there were seven crosses No. 1, 2, 5, 7, 9, 25 and 26 ( $P_1 \times P_2$ ,  $P_1 \times P_3$ ,  $P_1 \times P_6$ ,  $P_1 \times P_8$ ,  $P_2 \times P_4$ ,  $P_5 \times P_8$  and  $P_6 \times P_7$ ) were the best combinations where they recorded significant or highly significant positive SCA effects for grain yield.

**Keywords:** maize, half-diallel cross, combining ability, *Zea mays*.

\*Corresponding author: Darwich M.M.B.,  
E-mail address: [mo.mousa9041@gmail.com](mailto:mo.mousa9041@gmail.com)

## 1. Introduction

Maize has a remarkable place among cereals and it is used as human food, animal feeding and industry (Keskin *et al.*, 2005). Predictors of single-cross hybrid value or heterosis between parental inbred lines could therefore increase the efficiency of hybrid breeding programs (Betran *et al.*, 2003). Plant breeders and geneticists often use diallel mating designs to obtain genetic information about a trait of interest from a fixed or randomly chosen set of parental lines (Murray *et al.*, 2003). The diallel analysis is an important method to know gene actions and it is frequently used by crop breeders to choose the parents with a high general combining ability (GCA) and hybrids with high specific combining ability (SCA) effects (Yingzhong, 1999). Combining ability analyses are widely used in maize breeding programs to determine GCA and SCA information from maize populations for genetic diversity evaluation, inbred line selection, heterotic pattern classification, heterosis estimation, and hybrid development (Barata and Carena, 2006; Fan *et al.*, 2002; Kauffman *et al.*, 1982; Melani and Carena, 2005; Sughroue and Hallauer, 1997). Diallel mating models developed by Griffing (1956) and Gardner and Eberhart (1966), are the major models used in combining ability analyses. Large genotype  $\times$  environment effects tend to be viewed as problematic in breeding because the lack of a predictable response hinders progress from selection (Dudley and Moll, 1969), influence the environment and interaction between genotype and environment (Novoselovic *et al.*, 2004). Found that mean squares for general combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) were

highly significant for most studied traits of maize under both normal and drought stress conditions, Abdel-Moneam and Ibraheem (2015a,b) indicated that mean squares of crosses were highly significant for all studied traits under both low and high N fertilization rates, indicating significant genotypic differences among the studied crosses and suggest that almost all variables exhibited some degree of heterosis. Breeders still contend, however, that dominance effects caused by genes with over dominant gene action are also important (Horner *et al.*, 1989). Most of the literature about maize, the most extensively studied plant species, suggests that additive effects of genes with partial to complete dominance are more important than dominance effects in determining grain yield (Lamkey and Lee, 1993). The objectives of this study were evaluation of eight parental inbred lines and their crosses through half-diallel, estimate of (GCA) and (SCA), selection the best crosses for grain yield, earlier and shortness, lower ear placement, determine the best allot for these crosses and identify type of gene action controlling the inheritance for studied traits.

## 2. Materials and methods

The following eight new yellow parental inbred lines were studied: *i.e.* Gm. 142, Gm. 224, Gm. 233, Gm. 152, Gm. 297, Gm. 330, Gm. 201, and Gm. 303. These lines were differed considerably in expression of various agronomy traits (Table 1). These inbred lines were crossed at Gemmeiza in a half-diallel to give 28 crosses (excluding reciprocal crosses) in the summer of 2015 at Agricultural Research Centre, Egypt. The 28 F<sub>1</sub>

hybrids and two check hybrids (single cross 162 and single cross 168) were evaluated at two locations (Gemmeiza and Sids) on randomized complete block design (RCBD) with four replications during 2016 summer season. Kernels were hand-sewn at 2 to 3 grains per hill then thinned at one plant per hill after emergence. Each replication contained 30 plots and each plot consisted of one ridge with 6 m a long and spacing of 25 cm between plants within ridge and 80cm between ridges. In Experiments for each location were recorded on the following characters on plot basis:

- Days to 50% silking: (number of days to 50% silking).
- Plant height (cm): was measured from the soil surface to the base of the flag leaf.
- Ear height (cm): was measured from the soil surface to ear node.
- Ear length (cm): average length of five husked ears/plot at harvesting.
- Ear diameter (cm): average diameter at the middle of five-husked ears/plot measured at harvesting by a Vernier Caliper.
- Grain yield (ard/fed) (ardab (ard.)= 140kg, feddan (fed) = 1.037 acres), which was adjusted to 15.5 % moisture content (estimated in kg/plot and converted to ard/fed).

### 2.1 Statistical analysis procedure

Analysis of variance for mean of performance according to the method outlined by Snedecor and Cochran (1977) was used for each location and then

combined over the two locations. The L.S.D. test at 5% according to (Steel and Torrie, 1980) was used for comparison the mean of performance of the different crosses. General combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) effects were estimated according to Griffings (1956) Method 4 Model 1. In addition, the mathematical model for a single inbred cross were tested for normality by statistical software. Then, data were analyzed using AGR 21 statically software (2001).

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Analysis of variance

The analysis of variance for the studied traits (days to 50% silking, plant height, ear height, ear length, ear diameter and grain yield) of 28 F<sub>1</sub> single crosses combined over two locations (Gemmeiza, Gharbia and Sids, Bani Sweif, Egypt) are presented in Table (2). Mean squares of crosses exhibited significant or highly significant for all studied traits at combined over the two locations, indicating that the crosses performance differed from location to another. These results agree with those obtained by Nawar and El-Hosary (1985), Venugopul *et al.* (2002), Barakat and Ibrahim (2006), Ibrahim *et al.* (2007) and Sultan *et al.* (2016). Partition sum of squares due to crosses into its components showed that mean squares due to GCA and SCA were significant or highly significant for all studied traits at combined over the two locations, except GCA for ear diameter trait and SCA for ear length trait,

which were non-significant. These results indicated that both additive and non-additive types of gene effects were involved in the inheritance of these traits.

Table (1): The name and Origin of the studied eight yellow inbred lines.

No. of parent	Name	Origin
P <sub>1</sub>	Gm. 142	Comp#45
P <sub>2</sub>	Gm. 224	Comp#45
P <sub>3</sub>	Gm. 233	SK21
P <sub>4</sub>	Gm. 152	SK21
P <sub>5</sub>	Gm. 297	Gm. Y. Pop.
P <sub>6</sub>	Gm. 330	Gm. Y. Pop.
P <sub>7</sub>	Gm. 201	Pool-(18-627) M
P <sub>8</sub>	Gm. 303	Pool-(18-627) M

The ratio of GCA/SCA was more than unity for all studied traits at combined over the two locations, except days to 50% silking and ear diameter traits at the combined data over the two studied locations. These results indicating that the additive genetic effects were more important and played the major role in the inheritance of these studied traits (plant height, ear height, ear length and grain yield), indicating that additive gene was more important than non-additive gene action. These results agree with the finding of Soliman *et al.* (2001) and Sultan *et al.* (2016). But, this ratio of GCA/SCA for the two exceptions traits i.e. days to 50% silking and ear diameter was less than unity at the combined data over the two studied locations, indicating that the non-additive genetic effects were more important and played the major role in the inheritance of these two traits. The interaction between GCA and SCA and locations (Table 2) were highly significant for all studied traits, except GCA X Loc. for ear diameter trait and SCA X Loc. for ear length trait. The

magnitude of the interaction was highest for GCA × locations than the SCA × locations for plant height, ear height, ear length and grain yield. This indicates that additive genetic variance was influenced by environment and the additive component interacted more with the environment than the non-additive and vice versa for days to 50% silking and ear diameter. This conclusion supports the findings by, Soliman *et al.* (2001) and Abdel-Moneam *et al.* (2014a,b,c).

### 3.2 Mean performance

Mean performance of 28 F<sub>1</sub> crosses and two check hybrids for days to 50% silking, plant height, ear height, ear length, ear diameter and grain yield at combined data over two locations (Gemmaiza and Sids) during 2016 season, are presented in Table (3). For days to 50% silking, 9 crosses out of the 28 studied crosses were significantly earlier than the both checks SC 168 and SC 162, with range from 60.13 days for cross No. 8 (P<sub>2</sub> x P<sub>3</sub>) to 62.50 days for

cross No. 27(P<sub>6</sub> x P<sub>8</sub>), compared with 65 days for the both studied checks at combined data over two locations, as shown in Table 3. For plant height (cm), means of studied crosses ranged between 190.0 cm for cross No. 21 (P<sub>4</sub>x P<sub>7</sub>) to 257.5 cm for cross No. 7 (P<sub>1</sub> x P<sub>8</sub>) at the combined data over both locations. Also, 19 crosses out of 28 crosses were significantly shorter than the tallest check SC 162 (246.0 cm) at the combined data over two locations, as presented in Table (3). With respect to ear height (cm), means of studied crosses for this trait ranged between 102.5 cm for crosses No. 21 (P<sub>4</sub>x P<sub>7</sub>) and 23 (P<sub>5</sub> x P<sub>6</sub>) to 149.38 cm for cross No. 7 (P<sub>1</sub> x P<sub>8</sub>) at the combined data over both locations. Also, there were 9 crosses out of the 28 studied crosses exhibited significantly lower position in ear height than the lowest check SC. 168 in ear height at the combined data over two locations, as illustrated in Table (3). Considering of ear length (cm) for

the studied 28 crosses and the two checks SC 168 and SC 162 are presented in Table (3). The differences between crosses in ear length were highly significant and ranged from 18.48 cm for cross No. 6 (P<sub>1</sub> x P<sub>7</sub>) to 21.55 cm for cross No. 26 (P<sub>6</sub> x P<sub>7</sub>). Also, there were 12 crosses, out of the studied 28 crosses, surpassed the highest check SC. 162 (20.05 cm) in ear length. Whereas, most of studied crosses (25 crosses out of 28 crosses) surpassed the lowest check SC. 168 (19.23 cm) in ear length, as combined data over the two studied locations. With respect to ear diameter (cm), the differences between ear diameters for all studied crosses were significant. Ear diameter ranged from 3.95 cm for cross No. 18 (P<sub>3</sub> x P<sub>8</sub>) to 4.43 cm for cross No. 1 (P<sub>1</sub> x P<sub>2</sub>). There were six crosses, out of 28 crosses, recorded values of ear diameter higher than the highest check variety SC. 162 (4.25 cm).

Table (2): Analysis of variance for crosses, general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining abilities for days to 50% silking, plant height, ear height, ear length, ear diameter and grain yield of 28 crosses combined over two locations (Gemmaiza and Sides), during 2016 season.

S. O. V	d. f.	Days to 50 % silking	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Ear length (cm)	Ear diameter (cm)	Grain yield (ard/fad)
Loc.	1	3560.04**	18852.79**	9516.07**	351.50**	27.16**	1160.52**
Rep/loc.	6	593.34	3142.13	1586.01	58.58	4.53	193.42
Crosses	27	15.982**	1618.30**	838.36**	4.44**	0.07*	98.50**
GCA	7	0.658**	496.11**	204.13**	1.05**	0.005	15.07**
SCA	20	2.467**	99.45**	70.03**	0.38	0.01*	11.35**
Cr x L	27	3.346**	151.87**	177.65**	3.45**	0.12**	38.36**
GCA x Loc.	7	0.924**	520.99**	240.07**	2.61**	0.02	35.61**
SCAx Loc.	20	3.503**	142.00**	117.41**	1.00	0.05**	17.10**
Error term	162	0.668	43.847	30.374	0.787	0.017	2.868
GCA/SCA		0.27	4.99	2.91	2.76	0.50	1.33
GCA x loc. / SCA x loc.		0.26	3.67	2.04	2.61	0.40	2.08

\*, \*\* significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively.

Table (3): Mean performance of 28 F<sub>1</sub> crosses and two check hybrids for days to 50% silking, plant height, ear height, ear length, ear diameter and grain yield at combined data over two locations (Gemmaiza and Sids), during 2016 season.

Crosses	Days to 50 % silking	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Ear length (cm)	Ear diameter (cm)	Grain yield (ard/fad)
P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>2</sub>	63.00	233.75	123.13	19.40	4.43	30.03
P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>3</sub>	63.63	229.38	130.00	19.98	4.25	30.53
P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>4</sub>	63.25	246.25	135.00	20.50	4.20	19.78
P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>5</sub>	62.88	238.13	131.88	19.43	4.23	25.30
P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>6</sub>	62.25	226.88	123.13	19.73	4.33	29.41
P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>7</sub>	65.25	236.25	128.75	18.48	4.20	23.22
P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>8</sub>	64.38	257.50	149.38	21.03	4.23	33.93
P <sub>2</sub> x P <sub>3</sub>	60.13	215.00	119.38	19.53	4.05	22.03
P <sub>2</sub> x P <sub>4</sub>	61.63	221.88	121.88	20.50	4.10	28.32
P <sub>2</sub> x P <sub>5</sub>	62.13	206.88	116.25	19.00	4.15	26.69
P <sub>2</sub> x P <sub>6</sub>	65.88	226.25	123.13	19.40	4.28	22.54
P <sub>2</sub> x P <sub>7</sub>	62.75	220.00	122.50	19.38	4.23	23.59
P <sub>2</sub> x P <sub>8</sub>	64.63	224.38	120.63	19.48	4.25	21.26
P <sub>3</sub> x P <sub>4</sub>	65.00	228.75	120.63	19.98	4.40	21.28
P <sub>3</sub> x P <sub>5</sub>	64.00	229.38	125.63	19.63	4.23	25.96
P <sub>3</sub> x P <sub>6</sub>	63.63	230.00	131.25	20.63	4.10	24.05
P <sub>3</sub> x P <sub>7</sub>	60.88	210.63	110.00	19.88	4.25	23.19
P <sub>3</sub> x P <sub>8</sub>	61.63	216.25	106.25	19.95	3.95	20.49
P <sub>4</sub> x P <sub>5</sub>	60.38	206.88	115.63	21.00	4.23	24.39
P <sub>4</sub> x P <sub>6</sub>	63.63	215.00	113.75	20.30	4.23	23.36
P <sub>4</sub> x P <sub>7</sub>	63.63	190.00	102.50	20.68	4.20	22.72
P <sub>4</sub> x P <sub>8</sub>	63.13	216.88	118.13	20.73	4.23	26.96
P <sub>5</sub> x P <sub>6</sub>	64.00	200.63	102.50	21.20	4.13	25.28
P <sub>5</sub> x P <sub>7</sub>	63.25	209.38	120.00	19.05	4.18	25.49
P <sub>5</sub> x P <sub>8</sub>	63.00	220.00	113.75	20.28	4.28	31.19
P <sub>6</sub> x P <sub>7</sub>	61.25	212.50	113.75	21.55	4.25	27.30
P <sub>6</sub> x P <sub>8</sub>	62.50	213.13	116.25	20.73	4.28	28.36
P <sub>7</sub> x P <sub>8</sub>	63.50	207.50	107.50	19.40	4.20	24.58
Checks						
SC 162	65.00	246.00	135.13	20.05	4.25	27.72
SC 168	65.00	239.25	131.26	19.23	4.15	26.61
L.S.D. (0.05)	2.29	18.54	15.43	2.48	0.37	4.74

Meanwhile, most of studied crosses (22 crosses out of 28 crosses) surpassed the lowest check SC. 168 (4.15 cm) in ear diameter, as combined data over the two studied locations, as shown in Table (3). Sultan *et al.* (2012) came to similar results. For grain yield (ard/fad), the result in Table (3) revealed that the differences between crosses in this trait were highly significant and ranged from 19.78 ard/fed for cross No. 3 (P<sub>1</sub>x P<sub>4</sub>) to 33.93 ard/fed for cross No. 7 (P<sub>1</sub> x P<sub>8</sub>). In addition, there were seven crosses out of the studied 28 crosses were surpassed in

grain yield/fed over the highest check cultivar SC 162 (27.72 ard/fed). However, there were ten crosses out of the studied crosses were surpassed in grain yield/fed over the lowest check cultivar SC 168 (26.68 ard/fed), as combined data over the two studied locations. Abdel-Moneam and Ibraheem (2015a,b) reported similar results.

### 3.3 General combining ability effects (g<sup>i</sup>)

High positive of general combining

ability effects would be useful in most traits, while for days to 50 % silk, plant height and ear height, high negative values would be useful from plant breeder point of view. General combining ability effects would be estimated, wherever the significant of GCA mean square for the trait in view. Estimation of ( $g^i$ ) for six traits as the combined data over two locations (Gemmaiza and Sids) are presented in Table (4). For days to 50% silking, the parental inbred line P<sub>3</sub> (Gm. 233), exhibited negative and significant ( $g^i$ ) towards earliness, therefore, this inbred line is considered the best general combiners for earliness. However, the parental inbred line P<sub>1</sub> (Gm. 142), exhibited positive and highly significant ( $g^i$ ) towards lateness, as the combined data over two locations. With respect to plant height, results in Table (4) showed that four parental inbred lines P<sub>4</sub> (Gm. 152), P<sub>5</sub> (Gm. 297), P<sub>6</sub> (Gm. 330) and P<sub>7</sub> (Gm. 201) exhibited negative and highly significant ( $g^i$ ) towards plant shortness. This means that these four lines could be considered as the best general combiners for plant height trait (shortness). On the

other side, inbred line P<sub>1</sub> (Gm 142) showed positive and highly significant ( $g^i$ ) towards plant tallness, as the combined data over two locations. Regarding to ear height, results in Table 4 showed that parental inbred lines P<sub>5</sub> (Gm. 297), P<sub>6</sub> (Gm. 330) and P<sub>7</sub> (Gm. 201) exhibited negative and highly significant ( $g^i$ ) towards lower ear position. This means that these three lines can be considered as the best general combiners for ear height trait (lower ear position). On the other hand, inbred line P<sub>1</sub> (Gm. 142) showed positive and highly significant ( $g^i$ ) towards higher ear position on the plant, as the combined data over two locations. With respect to ear length, results in Table (4) showed that two parental inbred lines c as the combined data over two locations. This means that these two lines could be considered as the best general combiners for this trait. For ear diameter, results in Table 4 showed that the parental inbred line P<sub>1</sub> (Gm. 142) exhibited positive and significant ( $g^i$ ) towards increasing ear diameter, as the combined data over two locations.

Table (4): Estimates of GCA effects ( $g^i$ ) for the eight inbred lines of maize for days to 50% silking, plant height, ear height, ear length, ear diameter and grain yield at combined data over two locations (Gemmaiza and Sids), during 2016 season.

Parents	Days to 50 % silking	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Ear length (cm)	Ear diameter (cm)	Grain yield (ard/fad)
P1 (Gm. 142)	0.56**	20.13**	13.44**	-0.29	0.06*	2.40**
P2 (Gm. 224)	-0.19	0.13	1.04	-0.59**	0.00	-0.56
P3 (Gm. 233)	-0.40*	2.01	0.42	-0.12	-0.05	-1.71**
P4 (Gm. 152)	-0.11	-3.62**	-2.19	0.57**	0.01	-1.83**
P5 (Gm. 297)	-0.28	-6.02**	-2.50*	-0.11	-0.02	1.08*
P6 (Gm. 330)	0.31	-3.83**	-2.81*	0.55**	0.01	0.42
P7 (Gm. 201)	-0.13	-10.18**	-5.94**	-0.27	0.00	-1.29**
P8 (Gm. 303)	0.24	1.38	-1.46	0.26	-0.02	1.49**
LSD gi	0.05 0.34	2.63	2.35	0.36	0.06	0.86
	0.01 0.44	3.42	3.05	0.47	0.07	1.12
LSD (gi -gj)	0.05 0.51	3.98	3.56	0.55	0.09	1.30
	0.01 0.67	5.16	4.62	0.71	0.11	1.69

\*, \*\* significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively.

This means that this line (Gm. 142) could be considered as the best general combiner for this trait. For grain yield/fad, results in Table (4) showed that three parental inbred lines namely: P<sub>1</sub> (Gm. 142), P<sub>5</sub> (Gm. 297) and P<sub>8</sub> (Gm. 303) showed significant or highly significant positive (g<sup>^i</sup>), as the combined

data over two locations, indicating that these inbred lines could be considered as the best combiners for increasing grain yield. Similar results were reported by Soliman and Osman (2006), Sultan et al. (2012 and 2013), Attia et al. (2013 and 2015) and Abdel-Moneam et al. (2014a, b, c).

Table (5): Estimates of SCA effects (s<sup>^ij</sup>) for the 28 F<sub>1</sub> crosses of maize for days to 50% silking, plant height, ear height, ear length, ear diameter and grain yield at combined data over two locations (Gemmaiza and Sids), during 2016 season.

Crosses	Days to 50 % silking	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Ear length (cm)	Ear diameter (cm)	Grain yield (ard/fad)	
P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>2</sub>	-0.41	-7.56 <sup>*</sup>	-11.44 <sup>**</sup>	0.25	0.16 <sup>*</sup>	2.78 <sup>**</sup>	
P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>3</sub>	0.43	-13.81 <sup>**</sup>	-3.94	0.34	0.02	4.44 <sup>**</sup>	
P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>4</sub>	-0.24	8.69 <sup>**</sup>	3.66	0.18	-0.09	-6.19 <sup>**</sup>	
P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>5</sub>	-0.45	2.96	0.85	-0.21	-0.03	-3.58 <sup>**</sup>	
P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>6</sub>	-1.66 <sup>**</sup>	-10.48 <sup>**</sup>	-7.59 <sup>**</sup>	-0.57	0.04	1.20 <sup>*</sup>	
P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>7</sub>	1.78 <sup>**</sup>	5.25	1.16	-1.00 <sup>**</sup>	-0.07	-3.30 <sup>**</sup>	
P <sub>1</sub> x P <sub>8</sub>	0.53	14.94 <sup>**</sup>	17.31 <sup>**</sup>	1.02 <sup>**</sup>	-0.03	4.63 <sup>**</sup>	
P <sub>2</sub> x P <sub>3</sub>	-2.32 <sup>**</sup>	-8.18 <sup>**</sup>	-2.17	0.20	-0.11	-1.10	
P <sub>2</sub> x P <sub>4</sub>	-1.11 <sup>**</sup>	4.32	2.93	0.49	-0.12	5.31 <sup>**</sup>	
P <sub>2</sub> x P <sub>5</sub>	-0.45	-8.29 <sup>**</sup>	-2.38	-0.33	-0.04	0.76	
P <sub>2</sub> x P <sub>6</sub>	2.72 <sup>**</sup>	8.90 <sup>**</sup>	4.81	-0.59	0.05	-2.72 <sup>**</sup>	
P <sub>2</sub> x P <sub>7</sub>	0.03	9.00 <sup>**</sup>	7.31 <sup>**</sup>	0.21	0.01	0.04	
P <sub>2</sub> x P <sub>8</sub>	1.53 <sup>**</sup>	1.82	0.95	-0.22	0.06	-5.07 <sup>**</sup>	
P <sub>3</sub> x P <sub>4</sub>	2.47 <sup>**</sup>	9.32	2.31	-0.52	0.22 <sup>**</sup>	-0.57	
P <sub>3</sub> x P <sub>5</sub>	1.64 <sup>**</sup>	12.34 <sup>**</sup>	7.62 <sup>**</sup>	-0.18	0.07	1.19	
P <sub>3</sub> x P <sub>6</sub>	0.68	10.77 <sup>**</sup>	13.56 <sup>**</sup>	0.16	-0.08	-0.05	
P <sub>3</sub> x P <sub>7</sub>	-1.64 <sup>**</sup>	-2.25	-4.57	0.23	0.08	0.79	
P <sub>3</sub> x P <sub>8</sub>	-1.26 <sup>**</sup>	-8.18 <sup>**</sup>	-12.8 <sup>**</sup>	-0.23	-0.20 <sup>**</sup>	-4.70 <sup>**</sup>	
P <sub>4</sub> x P <sub>5</sub>	-2.28 <sup>**</sup>	-4.54	0.22	0.51	0.01	-0.26	
P <sub>4</sub> x P <sub>6</sub>	0.39	1.40	-1.34	-0.85 <sup>*</sup>	-0.01	-0.63	
P <sub>4</sub> x P <sub>7</sub>	0.82 <sup>*</sup>	-17.25 <sup>**</sup>	-9.46 <sup>**</sup>	0.34	-0.03	0.44	
P <sub>4</sub> x P <sub>8</sub>	-0.05	-1.93	1.68	-0.14	0.01	1.90	
P <sub>5</sub> x P <sub>6</sub>	0.93 <sup>*</sup>	-10.58 <sup>**</sup>	-12.28 <sup>**</sup>	0.73	-0.09	-1.62	
P <sub>5</sub> x P <sub>7</sub>	0.62	4.52	8.35 <sup>**</sup>	-0.60	-0.02	0.29	
P <sub>5</sub> x P <sub>8</sub>	-0.01	3.59	-2.38	0.09	0.09	3.21 <sup>**</sup>	
P <sub>6</sub> x P <sub>7</sub>	-1.97 <sup>**</sup>	5.46	2.41	1.24 <sup>**</sup>	0.02	2.77 <sup>**</sup>	
P <sub>6</sub> x P <sub>8</sub>	-1.09 <sup>**</sup>	-5.48	0.43	-0.12	0.06	1.05	
P <sub>7</sub> x P <sub>8</sub>	0.35	-4.75	-5.19	-0.40	0.00	-1.02	
LSD	Sij	0.05	0.75	5.82	5.21	0.80	1.91
		0.01	0.98	7.56	6.76	1.04	2.48
	Sij- SKI	0.05	1.15	8.89	7.95	1.23	2.91
		0.01	1.49	11.54	10.32	1.59	3.78

<sup>\*</sup>, <sup>\*\*</sup> significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively.

### 3.4 Specific combining ability effects (s<sup>^ij</sup>)

Estimation of SCA effects (s<sup>^ij</sup>) for the

six studied traits as the combined data over the two locations (Gemmaiza and Sids) are presented in Table (5). Regarding days to 50% silking, there



were eight crosses; No. 5, 8, 9, 17, 18, 19, 26 and 27 at combined data over both locations exhibited desirable ( $s^{ij}$ ) towards earliness, where they recorded highly significant and negative SCA effects for this trait. With respect to ear height, result in Table 5 cleared that, out of the studied 28 crosses, there were five crosses ( $P_1 \times P_2$ ,  $P_1 \times P_6$ ,  $P_3 \times P_8$ ,  $P_4 \times P_7$  and  $P_5 \times P_6$ ) at combined data over both locations, exhibited desirable ( $s^{ij}$ ) towards lower ear position, where they showed highly significant and negative SCA effects for this trait. With respect to ear length, results in Table (5) showed that, out of the studied 28 crosses, there were two crosses No. 7 and 26 ( $P_1 \times P_8$  and  $P_6 \times P_7$ ) at combined data over both locations, exhibited desirable ( $s^{ij}$ ) towards increasing ear length, where they showed highly significant and positive SCA effects for this trait. Regarding to ear diameter, results in Table 5 showed that, out of the studied 28 crosses, there were two crosses No. 1 and 14 ( $P_1 \times P_2$  and  $P_3 \times P_4$ ) at combined data over both locations, exhibited desirable ( $s^{ij}$ ) towards increasing ear diameter, where they showed significant or highly significant and positive SCA effects for this trait. For grain yield, result in Table 8 showed that, out of the studied 28 crosses, there were seven crosses No. 1, 2, 5, 7, 9, 25 and 26 ( $P_1 \times P_2$ ,  $P_1 \times P_3$ ,  $P_1 \times P_6$ ,  $P_1 \times P_8$ ,  $P_2 \times P_4$ ,  $P_5 \times P_8$  and  $P_6 \times P_7$ ) at combined data over both locations, exhibited desirable ( $s^{ij}$ ) towards high grain yield, where they recorded significant or highly significant positive SCA effects for this trait. Similar results were reported by other authors such as, Sultan *et al.* (2012 and 2013), Attia *et al.*

(2013 and 2015) and Abdel-Moneam *et al.* (2014a, b, c).

## References

- Abdel-Moneam, M. A. and Ibraheem, F. (2015a), "Heterosis of new maize hybrids in yield, yield components, physiological traits and some genetic parameters under low and high nitrogen conditions", *Journal of Crop Science*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 131–141.
- Abdel-Moneam, M. A. and Ibraheem, F. (2015b), "Comparative superiority estimates of morpho-physiological traits in new maize crosses over the best commercial hybrids under deficient and sufficient soil N", *Mansoura Journal Plant Production*, Vol. 6, No. 5, pp. 823–838.
- Abdel-Moneam, M. A., Sultan, M. S., Sadek, S. E. and Shalof, M. S. (2014a), "Estimation of heterosis and genetic parameters for some flowering and vegetative traits in maize using the diallel cross method", *Journal of Advances in Natural Sciences*, Vol. 2 No. 2, pp. 157–166.
- Abdel-Moneam, M. A., Sultan, M. S., Sadek, S. E. and Shalof, M. S. (2014b), "Estimation of heterosis and genetic parameters for yield and yield components in maize using the diallel cross method", *Asian Journal of Crop Science*, Vol. 6 No. 2, pp.

101–111.

- Abdel-Moneam, M. A., Sultan, M. S., Salama, S. M. G. and El-Oraby, A. M. (2014c), "Evaluation of combining ability and heterosis for yield and its components traits of five maize inbreds under normal and stress nitrogen fertilization", *Asian Journal of Crop Science*, Vol. 6 No. 2, pp. 142–149.
- Attia, A. N. E., Badawi, M. A., Salama, A. M., Abdel-Moneam, M. A. and Leilah, A. A. A. (2013), "Combining ability and heterosis for maize grain yield and some agronomic character", *Mansoura Journal Plant Production*, Vol. 4 No. 4, pp. 551–560.
- Attia, A. N., Sultan, M. S., Badawi, M. A., Abdel-Moneam, M. A. and Al-Rawi, A. R. M. (2015), "Estimation of combining ability and heterosis for some maize inbred lines and its single crosses", *Mansoura Journal Plant Production*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 83–99.
- Barakat, A. A. and Ibrahim, M. H. A. (2006), "Heterosis and combining ability in yellow maize", *Mansoura Journal Agriculture Science*, Vol. 31 No. 8, pp. 4849–4860.
- Barata, C. and Carena, M. (2006), "Classification of North Dakota maize inbred lines into heterotic groups based on molecular and testcross data", *Euphytica*, Vol. 151, pp. 339–349.
- Betran, F. J., Ribaut, J. M., Beck, D. and Gonzalez de Leon, D. (2003), "Genetic diversity, specific combining ability, and heterosis in tropical maize under stress and non-stress environments", *Crop Science*, Vol. 43, pp. 797–806.
- Dudley, J. W. and Moll, R. H. (1969), "Interpretation and use of estimates of heritability and genetic variances in plant breeding", *Crop Science*, Vol. 9, pp. 257–262.
- Fan, X. M., Tan, J., Yang, J. Y., Liu, F., Huang, B. H. and Huang, Y. X. (2002), "Study on combining ability for yield and genetic relationship between exotic tropical, subtropical maize inbreds and domestic temperate maize inbreds", *Scientia Agriculturae Sinica*, Vol. 35, pp. 743–749.
- Gardner, C. O. and Eberhart, S. A. (1966), "Analysis and interpretation of the variety cross diallel and related populations", *Biometrics*, Vol. 22, pp. 439–452.
- Griffing, B. (1956), "Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems", *Australian Journal of Biological Sciences*, Vol. 9, pp. 463–493.
- Horner, E. S., Magloire, E. and Morera, J.A. (1989), "Comparison of selection for S2 progenies test cross performance for population improvement in maize", *Crop Science*, Vol. 29, pp. 868–874.

- Ibrahim, M. H. A., Osman, M. M. A. and El-Ghonemy, M. A. (2007), "Combining ability of new yellow maize inbred lines under two different locations", *Minufiya Journal of Agricultural Research*, Vol. 32, No. 1, pp. 185–201.
- Kauffman, K. D., Crum, C. W. and Lindsey, M. F. (1982), "Exotic germplasm in a corn breeding program", *Illinois Corn Breeders' School*, Vol. 18, pp. 6–39.
- Keskin, B., Yilmaz, I. H. and Arvas, O. (2005), "Determination of some yield characters of grain corn in eastern Anatolia region of Turkey", *Journal of Agronomy*, Vol. 4 No. 1, pp. 14–17.
- Lamkey, K. R. and Lee, M. (1993), *Quantitative genetics, molecular markers and plant improvement*, Agronomy Conference Proceedings and Presentations, pp. 104–115.
- Mahgoub, C. M. A., El-Sherbieny, H. Y. S., Mostafa, M. A. N. and Abd El-Aziz, A. (1996), "Combining ability between newly developed yellow inbred lines of maize", *Mansoura Journal of Agricultural Science*, Vol. 21 No. 5, pp. 1619–1627.
- Matzinger, D. F. (1953), "Comparison of three types of testers for the evaluation of inbred lines of corn", *Journal of Agronomy*, Vol. 45, pp. 493–495.
- Melani, M. D. and Carena, M. J. (2005), "Alternative maize heterotic pattern for the Northern Corn Belt", *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, Vol. 45, pp. 2186–2194.
- Murray, L. W., Ray, I. M., Dong, H. and Segovia-Lerma, A. (2003), "Clarification and reevaluation of population-based diallel analyses", *Crop Science*, Vol. 43, pp. 1930–1937.
- Nawar, A. A. and El-Hosary, A. A. (1985), "A comparison between two experimental diallel crosses designs", *Minufiya Journal of Agricultural Research*, Vol. 10 No. 4, pp. 2029–2039.
- Novoselovic, D., Baric, M., Drezner, G., Gunjaca, J. and Lalic, A. (2004), "Quantitative inheritance of some wheat plant traits", *Genetics and Molecular Biology*, Vol. 27 No. 1, pp. 92–98.
- Snedecor, G. W. and Cochran, W. G. (1977), *Statistical methods applied to experiments in agriculture and biology*, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition, Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, USA.
- Soliman, M. S. M and Osman, M. M. A. (2006), "Type of gene action for grain yield using test cross analysis in new developed maize", *Mansoura Journal of Agricultural Science*, Vol. 31 No. 5, pp. 2615–2630.
- Soliman, M. S. M., Mahmoud, A. A., Cabr, A. A. I. and Soliman, F. H. S.

- (2001), "Utilization of narrow base testers for evaluating combining ability of newly developed yellow maize inbred lines (*Zea mays* L.)", *Egyptian Journal of Plant Breeding*, Vol. 5, pp. 61–76.
- Steel, R. G. and Torrie, J. H. (1980), *Principle and procedures of Statistics: A biometrical approach*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York., USA.
- Sughroue, J. R. and Hallauer, A. R. (1997), "Analysis of the diallel mating design for maize inbred line", *Crop Science*, Vol. 37, pp. 400–405.
- Sultan, M. S., Abdel-Moneam, M. A., Khalil, A. M. and El-Awady Hend, E. (2016), "Combining ability of some maize inbred lines and its crosses under non-stressed and water stressed conditions", *Mansoura Journal of Plant Production*, Vol. 7 No. 12, pp. 1431–1436.
- Sultan, M. S., Abdel-Moneam, M. A., Salama, S. M. G. and El-Oraby, A. M. (2013), "Combining ability and heterosis for some flowering and vegetative traits of five maize inbreds under two nitrogen levels fertilization", *Mansoura Journal of Plant Production*, Vol. 4 No. 3, pp. 485–495.
- Sultan, M. S., Sadek, S. E., Abdel-Moneam, M. A. and Shalof, M. S. (2012), "Combining ability for yield and other agronomic traits in diallel crosses of six new yellow maize inbred lines", *Mansoura Journal of Plant Production*, Vol. 3 No. 6, pp. 1089–1099.
- Venugopal, M., Ansari, N. A. and Murthy, K. G. K. (2002), "Heterosis for yield and its component characters in maize (*Zea mays* L.)", *Research on Crops*, Vol. 3 No. 1, pp. 72–74.
- Yingzhong, Z. (1999), "Combining ability analysis of agronomic characters in sesame", *Sesame and Safflower Newsletter*, No.14, pp. 2–5.