

Novel Research in Microbiology Journal (2023), 7(2): 1873-1896 *Review Article* 

# Different formulation approaches to improve the survivability of probiotics in the digestive tract

Radhika Baheti; Jayashri Mahore<sup>\*</sup>; Vinita Patole

Department of Pharmaceutics, Dr. D. Y. Patil Institute of Pharmaceutical Science and Research, Pimpri, Pune 441018, Maharashtra, India

\*Corresponding author E-mail: jayashri\_mahore@rediffmail.com

Received: 16 February, 2023; Accepted: 21 March, 2023; Published online: 22 March, 2023

### Abstract



#### **Copyright policy**

NRMJ allows the author(s) to hold the copyright, and to retain publishing rights without any restrictions. This work is licensed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.

org/licenses/by/4.0/)

This review aimed to present the various approaches that have been used to protect the probiotics from the toxic effects of the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) during oral delivery. A microbiota is a collection of trillions of microorganisms that live within the human body and form an ecosystem that is complex, adaptive, and unique to each organ. The probiotics have varied applications and exhibit side effects; as a result, they have been employed as food supplements with both therapeutic and prophylactic effects on disorders of the gastric and nongastric regions. The significant contribution of probiotics to the maintenance of health has expanded the scope of their use in advanced research areas. A significant number of microorganisms will survive and thrive in the gastrointestinal tract (GIT); even after being exposed to several toxic substances such as bile salts and acids. Prevention of probiotics from the harmful effects of gastric acid and other enzymatic barriers, in addition to their delivery to the intestinal region, has been a great challenge. The new approaches designed for probiotic therapies work on stabilizing the probiotics through several mechanisms; leading to a significant number of probiotics that are available after passing through the various GI barriers, in addition to showing the desired therapeutic and/ or prophylactic effects. A brief critique of the health effects of probiotics, issues associated with their delivery, and the various formulation strategies employed to improve the probiotic transport are provided in the current review.

Keywords: Probiotics, Microencapsulation, *Bifidobacteria*, Health, Survival, *Lactobacillus* spp.

#### 1. Introduction

The human microbiota is formed of trillions of microorganisms living in the human body, which

create ecosystems that are complex, adaptive in nature, and are specific to each organ. The human body as

being the host faces high impact by these ecosystems continuously. The microbiome genetic material is formed by five components, including bacteria (bacteriome), archaea (archeome), fungi (mycobiome), viruses (virome), and parasites (parasitome), which influence digestion, development of immunity, and production of vitamins (Spacova et al., 2020). Basically, microbiome is alive microbes that can be used to develop into various types of products such as foods, drugs, and dietary supplements (Song et al., 2012). A previous study carries the presumption that enough numbers of microorganisms will remain useful and survives during their transport through the gastrointestinal tract (GIT); even after being put up against the various toxins such as bile salts and the acids that exist in the GIT. To obtain optimum clinical efficacy, the number of required viable microorganisms is contemplated to be  $10^6$  cfu $\$ ml in the small intestine and  $10^8$  cfu\ ml in the colon. A significant difference (i.e., in log units) in the microbe's survival over vulnerability that is caused by the bile salts and gastric juices; largely banks on the formulation, freeze-drying, and product's storage. According to the accepted definition of probiotics, the benefits are not solely transmitted by microorganisms; rather, a variety of mechanisms are recognized other than influencing the colonizing microbiota, which opens up a bigger diversity of the probiotic prospects and advances the research in this area (Sanders et al., 2019)

**Probiotics** have intricate, heterogeneous, recurrently compounds, and strain specific process of actions. Though many roles have been classified; however, there is still a need for in depth knowledge; specifically pertaining the area of structure-function clarifications of their long-term effects and implications on health. Using the molecular effectors found on the cell structure or that are formed as metabolic by-products; the probiotics commune with the host and the microbiome. Metabolites from the probiotics can induce the microbiota via interactions with the other microorganisms; modifications of the gastrointestinal microenvironment such as lowering

the pH, competence for nutrients and binding sites, and inhibition of microbial growth by generating strain-specific antibacterial compounds like the bacteriocins (Cunningham et al., 2021). The microbiota-directed effects tend to induce the health benefits of probiotics under the diseased conditions such as vaginal and oral dysbiosis; where there are overgrowths of the pathogens. The intestinal mucosal epithelium; entero-endocrine, immunological and vagal afferent fibers in the host cells have receptors with which the probiotic effector molecules can directly interact. These interactions result in systemic effects via the host immunological, endocrine, and neurological system mediators, in addition to the local gut effects, including improvement of the gut barrier stability and inflammation (e.g., via Toll-like receptors). Additionally, the probiotics are capable of enzymatically metabolising the host substances such as bile salts and the consumed xenobiotics (Cunningham et al., 2021). The pili; lipoteichoic acids, exopolysaccharides, and the different surface-layer proteins are specialized probiotic surface-associated effector molecules; many of which are strain-specific to facilitate the delivery of strain-specific effects (Cunningham et al., 2021). Hence, the study of new probiotic taxa is possible. Novel species of bacteria have been isolated and characterized from the human microbiomes; with potential health advantages and also they have the potential to be created as nextgeneration probiotics (O'Toole et al., 2017).

Probiotics have varied applications and rare side effects; accordingly, they have been employed as food supplements with both therapeutic and prophylactic effects on the various disorders of the gastric and non-gastric regions (Wadher *et al.*, 2010). Inopportunely, when probiotics are administered orally, they face several thought-provoking conditions, when they are supposed to show their actions *in vivo*. Low pH of the gastric fluids, the digestive enzymes, and the bile salts in the intestinal regions can cause lethal effects to the probiotics leading to their restricted colonization (Luo *et al.*, 2022). According to Wilkinson, (2018), the term bacterial viability refers to the capability of the

bacterial cells to support and generate colony of cells under distinct environmental conditions. Few studies have stated that the functional properties of probiotics are the implication of viable cells (Terpou et al., 2019). The effectiveness of probiotics is not only dependent on the cell viability but it is also a dose and metabolic stability dependent (Rajam and Subramanian, 2022). Hence, it is imperative that the probiotics are protected from the harsh GI conditions so that they can travel further and the viable cells can possibly colonise the GI; thus imparting numerous beneficial health effects. The future will present diverse potential revolutionary detection methods, as our current comprehension of the human's microbiome functions and depths has grown (Veiga et al., 2020). The objectives of this review were to study the various reasons for poor survivability of the probiotics in the gastrointestinal regions, and investigate the main approaches used to enhance the viability of these probiotics after ingestion.

# 2. Gastrointestinal tract and the probiotic habitat

The gastrointestinal tract (GIT) is the storehouse of many probiotics that are termed as the gut microflora. Table (1) demonstrates the families or species of probiotics that are found throughout the different regions of the GIT (Donaldson *et al.*, 2015).

# **3.** Mechanisms by which probiotics benefit the GIT

The probiotics aid the human host health and are expected to be driven by a variety of processes. These mechanisms are driven directly by the interactions of probiotics with the resident microbiota in some situations, including the synthesis of antimicrobial compounds and cross-feeding on the other resident microorganisms. In other circumstances, such as direct engagement with the immune cells; the probiotics effects may be indirect via host cell interaction. In addition, the metabolites produced by the probiotics are able to interact with the brain-gut axis and they play an important role in the behavioral disorders (Plaza-Diaz *et al.*, 2019). Overall, the probiotics' therapeutic effects may be derived from the combined activity of the various pathways (Sanders *et al.*, 2019). Table (2) demonstrates the possible health effects of probiotics along with the proposed mechanisms of action.

# 4. Health benefits of the probiotics

The human health and microbiome have a broad connotation; as it's been stated that alterations in the diversity of microflora are linked to various acute and chronic health conditions (Cremon *et al.*, 2018). Most of the infectious diseases and other disorders, including food allergies, IBD, constipation and diarrhea, diabetes, colon cancer and obesity, can be efficiently treated through administration of the probiotics (Matouskova *et al.*, 2021).

A previous study reported by Khaneghah et al., (2020) that activation of the antimicrobial peptides, lactic acid stimulation, hydrogen peroxide, inhibition of bacterial adhesion, and accumulation of bacteriocinlike inhibitory substances (BLIS) are all interlinked to the antimicrobial effects of probiotics. Probiotics have their roles in the development of the immune cells through generation of metabolites that activate the proper functioning of the immune cells. They are engaged in maintaining the intestinal and bone health; generation of antioxidants and anti-hypertensive components, and promoting lactose digestion (Khaneghah et al., 2020; Matouskova et al., 2021). Probiotics have the ability to synthesize several vitamins that can supply the host with the essential vitamins; namely vitamin K and several vitamin B derivatives, including nicotinic acid, pyridoxine, folate, thiamine, riboflavin, biotin, pantothenic acid and cobalamin (James and Wang, 2019). Eventually, probiotics express cancer preventive activities through several mechanisms, including decreased levels of nephrotoxic and immunosuppressive mycotoxins; binding and degradation of mutagens, inhibition of tumor cell proliferation, diminished activity of the enzymes that play a role in cancer formation, generation of components that have anti-cancer

Parts of the GIT	Probiotic species
Gut	Verrucomicrobia, Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, and
Small intestine	Firmicutes Enterobacteriaceae, Erysiopelotrichaceae, and Lactobacillaceae
Colon Cross-section of the colon shows the digesta	Ruminococcaceae, Bacteroidaceae, Rikenellaceae, Lachnospiraceae, and Prevotellaceae Bacteroidaceae, Rikenellaceae, and Prevotellaceae
The inter-fold regions of the lumen	Ruminococcaceae and Lachnospiraceae

Table 1. Probiotics distribution in the different regions of the Gastro-intestinal tract (Donalds	on et al., 2015)
---	------------------

**Table 2.** The possible health effects of probiotics along with the proposed mechanisms of action (Sanders *et al.*, 2019)

Health effects of the probiotics	Mechanisms of action	
Modulate the immune system	• The process of phagocytosis is activated;	
	Reduced inflammatory response;	
	• Elevation in antibody response.	
Interact with the gut microbiota	• Stabilization of microbiome;	
	• Generation of antimicrobial elements;	
	• Alteration in substrate and cross feeding;	
	• Support the stability of microbiota.	
Produce organic acids	• Cross feeding caused by increased butyrate;	
	• Lowered colon pH;	
	• Activation of acetate, lactate, and propionate.	
Colonization resistance	• Strive for the colonization sites and nutrients.	
Improve the barrier functions	• Maintain health of the epithelial cells;	
	Increased levels of mucin production.	
Probiotic-host interactions mediated	• Activation of the exo-polysaccharides; toll like receptor	
by cell surface structures	ligands, pili, surface associated proteins, lipoteichoic acid, and mucin binding protein.	

Produce enzymes	• Production of lactase and bile salt hydrolase.
Manufacture small molecules with	<ul> <li>Repletion of hormones along with neuro transmitters, such as tryptophan and serotonin;</li> <li>Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), cortisol, and several</li> </ul>
systemic effects	<ul><li>histamine derivatives;</li><li>Stimulates linoleic acid.</li></ul>

Baheti et al 2023

potential, and induction of cancer cell apoptosis. Moreover, the probiotics generate components that have anti-cancer activity; enhance the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines and immunoglobulins, and cause phagocytosis of the foreign bacteria, which lead to immunomodulation effects (Azad et al., 2018; Galdeano et al., 2019). According to Hill et al., (2014), only specific strains of probiotics have the tendency to produce anti-cancer, immunological bioactive and functional components. The advantageous effects of few particular microorganisms are apparently visible in the concept of probiotics, because their roles in clinical practice are broadening very fast (Usman, 2018).

# **5.** Transit of probiotics through the GIT

Probiotics move through the GIT after oral delivery; from the mouth to the stomach, and then to the small intestine and colon. This path is known as the transit of probiotics through the GIT, which explains how the different parts of GIT compete with the probiotics.

## 5.1. Mouth

As reported by <u>Han et al., (2021)</u>, the orally delivered probiotics are initially exposed to saliva in the oral cavity after ingestion. When several strains of *Bifidobacteria*, *Lactobacillus*, and *Pediococcus* are exposed to saliva *in vitro*, there is no objectionable loss of the cell count when compared with the control group. The exposure of probiotics to saliva after oral administration is momentary; however, the dominance of saliva on the viability and survival rate of the probiotics is minimal.

## 5.2. Stomach

after passing via the esophagus, and then they are directly exposed to the acidic gastric fluids. The probiotics are highly susceptible to low pH and hence the acidic environment has proved to cause mortality of the bacteria; especially those which are not acid resistant. The activity of the glycolytic enzymes decreases due to the hydrogen ion influx; thus causing effects on the F1F0-ATPase proton pumps. Accordingly, the gastric contents are one of the most significant factors that affect the transit of probiotics through the stomach (Yao *et al.*, 2020).

After oral ingestion, the probiotics enter the stomach

# 5.3. Small intestine

The probiotics enter the small intestine through pylorus and then come in contact with ample amounts of bile and pancreatic juices. The intestinal fluid has neutralizing effects, accordingly the pH of intestine is 6.0-7.0, which is much preferred than the gastric pH (Cook *et al.*, 2012). Disruption of the probiotics cell membrane and damage of DNA due to the action of several digestive enzymes; namely lipases, proteases, amylases, and bile acids may affect the viability of these probiotics (Han *et al.*, 2021).

# 5.4. Colon

The human colon is a store house of huge amounts of bacterial count  $(10^{11} \text{ to } 10^{12} \text{ cfu} \text{ ml})$ , and represents the ultimate location where the probiotics will confront commensal colonization resistance. The probiotics compete with the host microflora for nutrients and adhesion sites, in order to colonise and multiply in the colonic mucosa. As a consequence of colonization resistance; most probiotics are expelled out from the colon with stools following oral delivery and their activities cease soon after consumption; thus making the probiotics undetectable (<u>Han *et al.*</u>, 2021). The probable mechanisms that cause the probiotics colonization resistance are described in details in the section below.

# 6. Probiotics colonization of the intestinal mucosa

The probiotics must be fortunately being able to colonise the gastric regions for establishing an adequate host interaction, in order to provide health benefits (Alp and Kuleasan, 2019). Bacterial colonization of the digestive tract through adhesion between the bacterial surface components and the complementary structure of the host cell surface is one of the pivotal and imperative paths, which will lead to host benefits. The numerous components that assist in the mucosal and epithelial surface binding and lead to colonization and\ or formation of vegetation are called adhesion factors (Du et al., 2022). The critical step in the probiotic colonization is the mucosal adhesion; however, the mechanism of such adhesions is still not completely explored (Han *et al.*, 2021). Table (3) describes the several types of proteins and their characteristic roles in mucosal adhesion for probiotic colonization.

# 7. Gastrointestinal conditions destroying the probiotics

Apprehending the clusters of unavoidable circumstances that are experienced by foods before and after ingestion is essential in order to design a delivery system for the probiotics. The mechanical stressors; oxygen, light, digestive enzymes, bile salts, and gastric acids are only few physicochemical variables that affect the probiotics viability during food manufacturing; transport, storage, and gastrointestinal transit. The contents below describe the roles of the interaction of food and the gastrointestinal tract with the probiotics (Yao et al., 2020).

#### 7.1. Interaction of probiotics with the food contents

Probiotics are susceptible to various environmental factors that can possibly cause changes in their viability during food production, transport, and storage. The stress causing environmental factors include pH; relative humidity, osmotic stress, and presence of oxygen. The elevated levels of these factors are deleterious to most of the probiotics species (Yao et al., 2020). Considering oxygen as a stress factor, the microflora in the gut is primarily anaerobic or micro-aerophilic, and hence oxygen may cause undesirable effects on the viability of probiotics (Donaldson et al., 2015). Moisture and humidity play important roles in the activation of the degradation processes of the probiotic bacteria. The degradation is supposed to start after ingestion; however due to the presence of moisture, the probiotics may start degrading before usage (Zhao et al., 2018). Hence, it's essential to maintain the probiotics safe from the various deleterious effects, which can be encountered during the production, storage, and transportation of these probiotics.

### **7.2.** The gastrointestinal tract (GIT)

When probiotics are consumed, saliva that is a mixture of several components such as amylase, mucin, and other mineral ions are the first chemicals that the probiotics encounter within the mouth. Within a fraction of seconds, they are swallowed through the esophagus, where they travel to the stomach. The GIT is a store house of various chemicals and has different conditions that can be altered. When probiotics are consumed, they come in direct contact with several incompatible conditions; specifically in the stomach, the small intestine, and the upper GIT. The pH at which the probiotics are stable is pH 6-7 (Yeung et al., 2016). However, in the stomach, the probiotics are exposed to low pH (less than 3) and high levels of pepsin (Derrien and van HylckamaVlieg., 2015). Accordingly, the possibility of cell inactivation and death occurs. Several factors are influenced by food consumption, including pH; the gastric juice volume, buffering capacity, and the transit time.

Protein name	Characteristic	Role	References
	(Location)		
Mucus-binding proteins (MUBs)	A characteristic c terminal LP×TG signal peptide (Surface of the cell)	Brings about covalent binding with the bacterial cell was leading to mucus binding	(Muscariello <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
Fibronectin-Binding Proteins	Belongs to the family of adhesins, a type of microbial surface components recognizing adhesive matrix molecules (MSCRAMM) (anchored on the bacterial surface)	Bind to the exposed fibronectin and anchor the IECs	( <u>Bisht <i>et al.</i>, 2018)</u>
Surface-Layers Proteins	Surface (S-) layers, non- covalently bonded semi- porous crystal arrays comprised of self- assembling proteinaceous subunits called S-layer proteins (SLPs) (outermost strata of the bacterial cell wall)	S-layers have been shown to be involved in a number of processes including maintaining cell shape, protecting the murein sacculus from lysozyme attack, acting as molecular sieves and antifouling coating, serving as binding sites, and promoting bacterial adhesion	<u>(do Carmo et al.,</u> 2018)
Teichoic Acids	composed of alditol phosphate repeating units, two types: lipotheicoic acid (LTA) and wall teichoic acid (WTA) (bacterial cell wall)	Inhibit adhesion to Caco-2 cells	<u>(Kim et al., 2017)</u>
Exopolysaccharides (EPS)	excreted as tightly bound capsule or loosely attached slime layer in microorganisms (surface carbohydrate polymers)	Facilitate probiotic adhesion, role in the interaction of probiotics with the host.	( <u>Angelin and Kavitha,</u> <u>2020</u> )
Aggregation-Promoting Factors	secreted proteins which induce self-aggregation and facilitates the maintaining of cell shape (Cell wall)	Adhesion factor which participates in the interaction with the host mucus layer and IECs	<u>(Nishiyama et al.,</u> 2015)

# Table 3. List of proteins in the intestinal mucosa that are involved in probiotics surface adhesion

Enolase	multifunctional protein (cell surface)	Facilitating the adhesion of bacterial cells to the host	(Wei et al., 2016)
Glyceraldehyde-3- phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH)	cytoplasmic protein (Cytoplasm)	Adhesion to mucin	(Deng et al., 2020)
Elongation factor Tu (EF-Tu)	has domains I, II, and III, forming different sites for binding of guanosine triphosphate (GTP) and aminoacyl-tRNA (intracellular protein)	Bacterial adhesion to host cells, invasion, and immune evasion	(Lopez-Ochoa <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
Molecular Chaperones	stacked double-ring structure (Cytosol)	Binding activity to mucins and IECs	(Bascos and Landry, 2019)

Other factors related to the probiotics formulation design include the probiotics species and the type of the dosage form, which affect the stability of these probiotics throughout GIT (Fredua-Agyeman and Gaisford, 2015). Bile-juice as a component of the gastric fluids is responsible for causing harmful effects that are more potent than low pH; as the bile juice has a detergent property that can disrupt the probiotics membrane (Ilango *et al.*, 2016). Bile juice exposure alters the lipids in the probiotics cell membrane; potentially influencing both the cell permeability and the interactions between the membrane and its surroundings (Taranto *et al.*, 2003).

# 8. Prophylaxis and therapeutic uses of probiotics

In a healthy gastrointestinal tract; with proper mucus production and suitable bacterial colonization, the expansion of harmful bacteria is prevented, disease processes are modulated, and the broad inflammatory diseases are prevented. The probiotics' roles in maintaining the health and their significance in avoiding diseases are better understood, which benefit the patients' overall well-being. In the future, the probiotics may be widely used since the importance of the helpful bacteria for maintaining good health and preventing disease is becoming well recognized (Tegegne and Kebede, 2022). The prophylactic uses of probiotics with their produced beneficial effects and mechanisms are presented in Table (4). The probiotics' most significant therapeutic effects are determined by how they affect the digestive system (GIT); either directly or indirectly. This is attributed to the fact that the probiotics are able to interact and come in contact with the mucous membrane microflora of the host cells; in addition of being consumed orally. The benefits of a single probiotic species cannot apply to because all the probiotics the others, not microorganisms are common in the human gut flora (Tegegne and Kebede, 2022). The therapeutic uses of probiotics in addition to their produced effects and mechanisms are depicted in Table (5).

# 9. Approaches to protect the probiotics throughout the GIT

The ascendancy of oral drug delivery is notorious <u>(Enck et al., 2020)</u>. The conclusive effects of most therapies are to deliver the therapeutic agents safely till

Table 4. Prophylactic uses of th	e probiotics with their	produced beneficial effects an	nd mechanisms of action
----------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------

Disorder	Effect	Reference
HospitalizedpatientssufferingfromClostridiumdifficile colitisinfection (CDI)	The activity of <i>Clostridium difficile</i> toxin is inhibited by the lytic peptides produced by the probiotics. <i>i.e.</i> , Protease generated from <i>Saccharomyces boulardii</i> inhibits the toxin.	( <u>Rodriguez and</u> <u>Miller, 2019</u> )
Prevention of postoperative infections	<i>Clostridium difficile</i> has to two types of toxins; namely A and B, and both these toxins can be efficiently inhibited by certain probiotics.	<u>(Franko <i>et al.</i>,</u> 2019)
Low birth weight new-borns	Various GI disorders such as abdominal surgeries, and necrotizing enterocolitis surgery can be treated with <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> \ <i>Bifidobacterium infantis</i>	<u>(Oncel <i>et al.</i>,</u> 2014)
Carcinogenesis	The faecal enzymes that has a role in development of colon cancer, such as alpha-glucuronidase, azo-reductase, and nitro-reductase can be potentially inactivated by using the lactic acid probiotic cultures.	(Das et al., 2016)
Acute pancreatitis and the enteral nutrition	Intestinal barrier function is modified using a probiotic pre-treatment with acute and severe pancreatitis. Probiotics fails to cause a beneficial effect if administered after induction of pancreatitis	<u>(van Baal <i>et al.</i>,</u> 2014)
Preterm infants with late- onset sepsis	A significant lowering of late-onset sepsis (LOS) has been observed by administration of probiotic mixture of <i>Bifidobacterium infantis</i> , <i>Streptococcus thermophils</i> , and <i>Bifidobacterium lactis</i>	( <u>Tewari <i>et al.</i></u> , 2015)
Necrotizing enterocolitis in preterm infants	Boosting the host's immune system; eradication of harmful bacteria, and significant reduction in the bacterial movement across the mucosa, are several proposed mechanisms by which living microbial supplements assist in lowering the necrotizing enterocolitis and its associated consequences.	(Goncalves <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2015)
Ventilator associated pneumonia	Probiotics can either locally or systematically help in treating the ventilator associated pneumonia (VAP) through several mechanisms, including remobilization of bacteria; stimulation and elevation in levels of host cell antimicrobial peptides, rehabilitation of the intestinal microbiome, boosting intestinal barrier function, and cause reduction in growth of the pathogenic bacteria.	Tegegne         and           Kebede, (2022);         (2022);           Li et al., (2022)         (2022)

Table 5. Therapeutic uses of probiotics with their produced beneficial effects and mechanisms of ac	tion
---	------

Disorder	Effect	
Treatment of Helicobacter pylori infections	Multiple mechanisms are proposed through which probiotics help in eradicating <i>H. pylori</i> infections. Probiotics can act as bacteriostatic or bactericidal agents; decrease the levels of cytokines, transact with the hosts innate immune system, and strengthens the defence systems of the gastric regions. Thus, several immunological functions are regulated, and this serves as an antidote to the microorganism.	(Jung et al., 2018)
Inflammatory and auto immune	The mechanisms of the probiotic and immune system interaction can be outlined as:	<u>(Liu et al.,</u> 2018)
diseases Elevated blood	<ul> <li>Stimulation of the nucleoside signalling in the gut regions;</li> <li>Secretion and transmission of short chain fatty acids (SCFA);</li> <li>Increased activity of intestinal histamine-2 receptor;</li> <li>Activation of aryl hydrocarbon receptor and increased tryptophan metabolism.</li> <li>The angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory peptides are</li> </ul>	<u>(Noce et al.,</u>
pressure Inflammatory bowel syndrome	Different microorganisms show their actions independently, which can be as follows:	<u>2019)</u> ( <u>de Souza et</u> <u>al., 2015</u> )
Prevention of candidiasis infection in denture wearers	<ul> <li>-Lactiplantibacillus plantarum Lp91 intensifies the Interleukine-10 expression and decreases the levels of COX-2 and tumour necrosis factor (TNF);</li> <li>-L. paracasei L74 CBA helps in inhibiting the pro-inflammatory cytokines and also stops the expression of the NF-Kb;</li> <li>-Streptococcus salivarius has inflammation lowering properties. Probiotics such as Limosilactobacillus reuteri, Lactobacillus strains and Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus lower the colonization of Candida spp. in the oral cavity</li> </ul>	<u>(Hu et al.,</u> 2019)
Autism spectrum disorder	-Neurotransmission of signalling peptides and the emotional state of patient are influenced by the vagus nerve-mediated Gut- Brain-Axis (GBA).	( <u>El Khatib et</u> <u>al., 2020)</u>
	-Bacterial strains involved in this process, include <i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. ( <i>L. acidophilus, L. helveticus, L. rhamnosus,</i> and <i>L. plantarum</i> ) and Bifidobacterium sp. (Bifidobacterium longum, Bifidobacterium breve, Bifidobacterium infantis, and Bifidobacterium bifidum)	
Migraine	Studies have revealed the potentials of the intestinal bacteria to stimulate the levels of serotonin synthesis. Eventually this effect can be helpful in modifying the gut function, which helps in migraine treatment.	(Xie <u>et al</u> 2019)

Type-I and type-II diabetes	Probiotics have been shown to be effective in treating both Type-I and Type-II diabetes. Combination of <i>L. plantarum</i> and <i>L. genus</i> helps in preventing or delaying the autoimmune diabetes. On the other hand, several probiotic species such as Lactobacilli ( <i>L. acidophilus, L. casei, L. delbrueckii</i> subsp. <i>L. bulgaricus, and Lactiplantibacillus plantarum</i> ) and Bifidobacteria ( <i>Bifidobacterium</i> )	Belizario <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , (2018); Kang and Cai, (2018)
	<i>longum, Bifidobacterium infantis</i> , and <i>Bifidobacterium breve</i> ) have been proven to be of anti-diabetic potentials. In type-II diabetes, the weight gain in humans is inhibited along with the fat tissue mass adiposity, which eventually helps to treat the diabetes using the probiotics such as combination of <i>Bifidobacterium lactis Lacticaseibacillus rhamposus</i> and <i>L. gasseri</i>	
Probiotics on hair toxic element levels	The toxic components like mercury, beryllium, and cadmium that are present in hair can be eliminated using several probiotics. The levels of cadmium can be lowered as <i>Limosilactobacillus reuteri</i> and <i>L. gasseri</i> bind to this element. Whereas the levels of mercury and beryllium can be lowered with consuming the probiotics for a duration of at least 6 months.	(Fang <i>et al.</i> , 2018)

the gut, followed by their enhanced bioavailability due to absorption (Sharpe et al., 2014). However, the contents of the gastric regions have competency to debase the therapeutics such as probiotics, which are highly susceptible to the gastric contents. The protection of probiotics from the gastric acid, the other enzymatic barriers, and their delivery to the intestinal region has been a great challenge. Several new approaches have been designed in the probiotics therapies that work on stabilizing the probiotics through several mechanisms; leading ultimately to a significant number of available probiotics after passing through the various GI barriers, and thus they become available for showing their desired therapeutic or prophylaxis effects. Furthermore, the pharmaceutical organizations will benefit from the increased probiotics efficacy in the use of their drugs, and will be much interested in such a delivery vehicle (Enck et al., 2020).

# **10.** Micro-encapsulation as an approach for producing GIT stable probiotics

Microencapsulation has been regarded as the most effective method for protecting the probiotics and ensuring their stability without affecting the native strains characteristics. It is a known technology that is used for packing solids, liquids, or gaseous substances in small sealed capsules, which has the ability to deliver the active ingredients at the controlled and specific site (Rajam and Subramanian, 2022). Today, many probiotics that are utilized as commercial goods belong to the genera of Lactobacillus or Bifidobacterium, which are especially vulnerable when meet the unendurable environments encountered mostly in the meals along with the human gut (Sarao and Arora, 2017). Further, the new probiotics genera, including Faecalibacterium prausnitzii and Akkermansia muciniphila, are found highly responsive to several factors, including bile salts, the stomach

acid, and oxygen; thus limiting their effective use in the dietary treatments to improve the human health. (Cani and de Vos, 2017). As a result, effective methods for increasing the viability of probiotics in meals and throughout their transit from the human gut are urgently needed. Microencapsulation has been put forward as a viable way to protect the probiotics from intestinal degradation (Cook *et al.*, 2012).

Encapsulation is the most effective method for protecting the probiotics and ensuring their stability without affecting the native strains characteristics. During encapsulation of the probiotics, the live bacteria are enclosed in an encapsulating material that increases the probiotics' stress resistance during manufacturing, storage, and at the site of action. Accordingly, the encapsulation procedure also provides tailored distribution (Douillard and de Vos, 2019).

The encapsulation process enhances the viability of probiotics, where their survival depends on the physiochemical properties of the microcapsules. It's the polymer chosen for encapsulation that will govern the stability of whole product. Probiotics are frequently microencapsulated using a variety of biopolymers, including plant by-products (i.e., arabic and acacia gums), marine extracts (i.e., alginate, carrageenan), proteins (i.e., milk or whey protein, soy protein, gelatin, and gluten), and dietary fibers (i.e., resistant starch, maize starch), in addition to several microbial and animal polysaccharides such as chitosan and xanthan. The compositions of the capsule wall materials have a significant impact on the encapsulation efficiency, physiochemical properties, and stability of the microcapsules (Fu and Chen, 2011).

Encapsulation of the food components in coating materials can be accomplished using a variety of approaches (Yoha *et al.*, 2021). The main elements that influence the selected technique include the average size of the food particle; the applications of the encapsulating substance, the physical and chemical properties of the carrier material, and the required

release mechanism, in addition to the prices (Rajam and Subramanian, 2022). The three primary stages of the probiotics encapsulation are: microcapsule manufacturing; the integration of the bioactive components in a matrix, and microcapsule stabilization using chemical, physicochemical, and\ or physical techniques (Eshaq Safi *et al.*, 2021). The main methods used for encapsulation of the probiotics include:

# 10.1. Fluidized bed drying

Fluidized bed dryer (FBD) is amongst the most versatile equipment used in pharmaceuticals. Microencapsulation either being single coated or multi-coated can be designed using FBD. The combination of four polymers, including shellac, sodium alginate, arabic gum, and chitosan have been used to coat *L. reuteri* probiotics, which improved their stability and they become resistant to the unfavorable conditions (Calinoiu *et al.*, 2019; Zaghari *et al.*, 2020).

# 10.2. Spray drying

Modifying the storage of probiotics can be easily performed using a spray drying technique, which has fast drying rate; provides controlled particle size, and produces a free flowing powder (Fazilah *et al.*, 2019). Spray drying is used to dry numerous strains of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*. The microencapsulated *L. rhamnosus* cells are more stable than the non-encapsulated cells. The probiotics have been treated with hydrogen peroxide, sodium chloride, and heat before encapsulation, which resulted in stable microcapsules in the gastric region (Farahmandi *et al.*, 2021).

# 10.3. Extrusion

The probiotics microparticles can be prepared using an extrusion technique. The process of encapsulation using extrusion is a two-step method, which firstly involves the extrusion of probiotics with hydrocolloid solution through nozzle dripping into a gelling mixture, followed by the formation of a membrane, which yield porous hydrogel beads (Baral et al., 2021). The extruded microcapsules have several limitations such as scalability and particle size limitation, which can be improved through using a vibrating nozzle technique; spinning disc atomization, electro spraying, and jet cutting (Sultana et al., 2022). Applying an extrusion technique for encapsulating L. acidophilus with various polymers including inulin; alginates, rice bran, and hi-maize, has helped in the production of probiotics with different encapsulation efficiencies; with a recorded higher efficiency on using inulin (Poletto et al., 2019). A type of an extrusion method under vibrations has been previously employed by Eckert et al., (2018); using whey permeate-alginatepectin (WPAP) and whey-alginate-pectin (WAP) for encapsulating L. plantarum ATCC8014, Lacticaseibacillus paracasei ML33. and Lactiplantibacillus pentosus ML82), and has shown minimum loss of cell viability. Hence, using an extrusion technique for encapsulation can produce stable and highly viable encapsulated probiotics.

#### 10.4. Freeze drying

Utilization of the conventional freeze-drying method for encapsulation is an emerging method for stabilizing the probiotics powder. The viability of the encapsulated probiotics can be efficiently increased through combination with nanoparticles (NPs), and the same results have been presented by Yao et al., (2018) by using magnesium oxide NPs for enhancing the encapsulation efficiency of Pediococcus pentosaceus (Li05). Compared with the other methods of encapsulation such as electro-spraying or spray drying, the freeze-dried L. rhamnosus (ATCC 7469) using whey protein isolate (WPI), persian gum, and inulin is more viable (Moayyedi et al., 2018). Similarly, (Bora et al., 2018) have used two probiotic species of L. acidophilus and L. casei for encapsulation using freeze-drying with WPI and Fructo-oligosaccharide (FOS) as polymers, which have increased the cell viability and encapsulation efficiency, respectively. Several previous studies have suggested that using a cryo-protectant can increase the probiotics cell viability, and this has been confirmed by Halim et al.,

(2017); through using calcium alginate and 10 % skim milk as crypto-protectants for *P. acidilactici* (ATCC 8042). The skim milk not only acts as a buffer in the system but also protects the encapsulated species from the harsh conditions.

# 11. Oral delivery of the probiotics

Nowadays, probiotics are available in a variety of oral medications, including capsules; tablets, lozenges, films, powder, chewing gum, etc. For prevention of the gingival and halitosis, lozenges; films, and chewing gum are efficient, while as dietary supplements and disease treatments; the tablets, capsules, and powders are highly recommended (Sreeja and Prajapati, 2013).

## 11.1. Oral films

Mouth dissolving films are a form of dosage that is used in the oral cavity to deliver the medicines and the probiotics bacteria. The type of polymer employed in its production influences the properties of this film. Oral films are classified into two types: Mucoadhesive and Non-Mucoadhesive (Oro-dispersible film) (Thakur and Singh, 2019). The oro-dispersible films are thin strips that are placed on the patient's tongue and immediately release the drug into the oro-mucosal area. Patients who have difficulty in swallowing will benefit greatly from these fast-dissolving films. Probiotics are commonly associated with natural protection that benefits the oral health. The orally disintegrating films have been developed by Heinemann et al., (2013) using Bifidobacterium animalis and L. acidophilus subsp. lactis in a starchbased matrix with carboxymethyl cellulose and gelatin. Similarly, Tomaro-Duchesneau et al., (2014) used carboxymethyl cellulose as a polymer to make oral thin films for treatment of the oral disorders. For the treatment of dental decay and oral candidiasis, L. fermentum NCM 5221 (6.751108 kill\ film) has been added to the film Tomaro-Duchesneau et al., (2014). A previous study reported by Gagliarini et al. (2019) has looked into using whey protein and a polysaccharide kefiran to make probiotic microorganism-loaded films of Kluyeromyces marxianus CIDA 8154 and L.

*paracasei* CIDCA. During storage, the oral films have shown an increased viability of the microorganisms. A muco-adhesive wafer for the delivery of *Bifidobacterium bifidum* BB12 through an oral route has been developed; using a combination of two different polymers, namely Carbopol 974 P® and poloxamer 407, which significantly increased the probiotics cell viability (De Souza Ferreira *et al.*, 2021).

### 11.2. Granules

Granules as a dosage form have offered several advantages. In order to develop controlled release from the probiotics, the granules can be coated with polymers, which also increase their stability in the acidic environment of the stomach. In the previous study reported by <u>Pyar and Peh., (2014)</u>, *L. acidophilus* ATCC 4962 granules have been prepared through wet granulation followed by coating with an enteric polymer, in order to act in the acidic conditions (*i.e.*, Eudragit L30D-55). The standardized extract granules of *Olea europaea* leaves (Phenolea® Active F) have been prepared by <u>Aponte et al. (2018)</u>; using a wet granulation method along with *L. plantarum* 299v.

#### **11.3.** Tablets and capsules

The tablets and capsules are the most preferred earlier dosage forms. A pH sensitive phthalyl-inulin coated *L. reuteri* LRT18 tablets have been designed to protect the probiotics from the harsh gastric conditions (Kim et al., 2019). On the other hand, oro-disperbible tablets have been developed by Hoffmann *et al.*, (2020); using several mucoadhesive polymers, in order to protect the *L. plantarum* Lp299v. The enteric coated probiotics with hydroxy propyl methyl cellulose (HPMC) and mosapride have been used to fill the hard gelatin capsules, which ultimately increased the survival of these probiotics and contributed to their protection from the gastric environment (Kim *et al.*, 2014).

# 12. Nano drug delivery systems

Electro spinning is one of the common methods that are used to develop nano-fibers by applying strong electric field to the polymeric solution. L. paracasei KS-199 has been encapsulated using alginate base nano-fibers, which ultimately protected the probiotics from the harsh conditions in the GI region (Yilmaz et al., 2020). Additionally, chitosan-based NPs are now in demand due to their ability to stabilize the probiotics in GIT. They have been used to encapsulate Escherichia coli nissle 1917, and have shown resistance to high temperature, varied concentrations of bile salts, and also to the low pH of the gastric regions (Mawad et al., 2018). In another study, Zhang et al., (2018) have used sodium alginate and 2,2,6,6,tetramethyl-1- piperidinyloxy radical (TEMPO) oxidized cellulose nano-fibers to design a microsphere, which possesses pH responsive properties. Due to the acidic conditions, hydrogen bonds have been developed that stabilize the gel-based microspheres, which have provided protection to the probiotics. NPs are small particles that consist of any active substance that diffuses cages, encloses and\ or attaches a drug to a nanoparticle matrix. The irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) disease, which includes colitis and Crohn's diseases, is treated with NPs in the colon (Hua et al., 2015). Embedding the probiotics bacteria in microgels that are doped with inorganic NPs have enhanced their viability (Yao et al., 2018). Microgels that are formulated using alginate and gelatin with and without magnesium oxide NPs are used to grow the bacterium Pediococcus pentosaceus Li05. The use of NPs has been shown to improve the probiotics viability during the long-term storage; heat treatment, and GI transit (Yao et al., 2018). A previous study conducted by Ghibaudo et al., (2019) has investigated the biophysical stability of NPs of iron-pectin, and also the suitability of using them as a method of delivery system for a probiotic bacterium (i.e., L. plantarum). In the last 15 years, the use of NPs as delivery systems for the low-water-solubility nutrients has increased exponentially (Ghibaudo et al., 2019), which have been influenced by the different drug delivery strategies. The magnetic iron oxide ( $Fe_3O_4$  and  $Fe_2O_3$ ) NPs are especially suitable for several biomedical

applications, due to their non-toxicity, superparamagnetic properties, and simple separation methodology (Ali et al., 2016). The fusion of magnetic iron oxide NPs and pectin appears to be a promising approach for delivering iron through the mouth. The manufacturing of many foods along with probiotics has been initiated by the lactic acid bacteria, and hence they have a major role in the food as well as in the pharmaceutical industries. As a result, the use of pectin-coated iron NPs as matrices for the dehydrating probiotics lactic acid bacteria may be a promising approach to protect the bacteria, delivering iron in a soluble form, ensuring their secure passage through the GI, and their final delivery in the gut (Golowczyc et al., 2011).

# **13.** New techniques for developing probiotics with improved stability

### 13.1. Refractance window drying

Refractance window (RW) is a new generation drying process used for encapsulating the probiotics; along with its variation conductive hydro drying method. RW dryer is constructed so that it has a hot water reservoir with a top placed transparent polyester plate, which is used to dry the homogenized mixture of probiotics with the encapsulating material when the mixture is spread over this plate. The rate of drying is increased due to the combined effects of all modes of heat transfer, and hence it's a quick process (Yoha et al., 2019). The RW drying technique has been used by Yoha et al., (2019) with varied combinations of probiotics to encapsulate L. plantarum (NCIM 2083); as it is known that the encapsulation efficiency is dependent upon the composition of the wall material and the drying temperature. Amongst all combinations; fructo-oligosaccharide (FOS), whey protein (WP), and maltodextrin (MD) have imparted good probiotics viability.

### 13.2. Spray-freeze-drying

The spray-freeze-drying technique utilises the combined benefits of spray drying with those of freeze drying. Maltodextrin (MD) and trehalose have been

utilized as encapsulating materials by Semyonov et al., (2010) for spray-freeze-drying of L. paracasei (LMG P-21380). The cell viability is not disturbed during the spraying stage; however during the freezing stage, the cell viability is affected due to the osmotic pressure of the solute concentration. A previous study conducted by Rajam and Anandharamakrishnan, (2015) has used different polymers and their varied combinations, including denatured whey protein isolate (DWPI) with fructo-oligosaccharide (FOS), whey protein isolate (WPI) with sodium alginate (SA), denatured whey protein isolate (DWPI) with sodium alginate (SA), and isolate (WPI) with whey protein fructooligosaccharide (FOS); for encapsulating L. plantarum (MTCC 5422), which have encapsulation efficiency around 94 %. Similarly, a study reported by Cao et al., (2019) has used different concentrations of skimmed milk powder as a wall material to encapsulate S. cerevisiae, which showed encapsulation efficiency up to 75 %.

## 13.3. Microfluidics

The microfluidic technology while working simultaneously with several molecular, tissue engineering, microbiological and cell identification fields, has contributed in addressing the various difficulties in the different phases of the microbiota research (Tan and Toh, 2020). Amongst the several methods in microfluidics; preparation of a microfluidic double water-in-oil-in-water emulsion is also known to be a deep functional profiling method, which continues to offer the ability to empower the functional characterization of the single cells of microbiomes (Terekhov et al., 2018). In a previous study conducted by Chen et al., (2018), a lactic acid bacterial strain improvement has been made using droplet-based microfluidics. Several factors such as the distribution pattern of the applied cell concentration in the disperse medium can determine the formation of a droplet; as a result, the ratio of cells in a droplet helps to determine the density of the cells. Employing this droplet technique, yields microbeads that are mono-dispersed and highly stable (Chen et al., 2018).

# Conclusion

As a result of the advent of novel and drug resistant pathogens, the medical community is searching for alternative treatments. Due to this, the probiotics are used in a variety of diseased and health conditions. It is found that on shelf storage and during gastrointestinal transit, most of the commercial products with probiotics undergo a significant deprivation of the bacterial viability. More researches should be conducted, and it is needed to ascertain the safety of the commercial probiotics. This review involves some of the approaches used for the development of strategies for protection of the probiotic bacterial cells and maintaining their viability throughout the GI transit. There are many significant encapsulation technologies that have been established to keep the probiotics stable under the harsh conditions. When compared to the free or nonencapsulated bacteria, the encapsulated bacterial probiotic cells are recorded to being the most successful at shielding the bacteria under the simulated gastrointestinal conditions. As a result, the constructed probiotic formulations using the aforementioned methods have enormous potentials for the delivery and protection of the probiotics in foods, supplements, and pharmaceutical products. Particularly highlighted in this study is the widespread application of several embedding and coating technologies to enhance the probiotics efficacy. However, as discussed in the current study; the probiotics formulations that contain multispecies of bacteria have already proven to be effective during the various clinical trials and across the variety of health disorders.

## Acknowledgement

None.

# **Conflict of interest**

No conflicts of interest exist.

## **Funding source**

This work did not receive any fund.

# **Ethical approval**

Non-applicable.

## 14. References

Ali, A.; Zafar, H.; Zia, M.; Haq, I.; Phull, A.R.; Ali, J.S. et al. (2016). Synthesis, characterization, applications, and challenges of iron oxide nanoparticles. Nanotechnology, Science and Applications. 9: 49-67. https://doi.org/10.2147/nsa.s99986

Alp, D. and Kuleasan, H. (2019). Adhesion mechanisms of lactic acid bacteria: conventional and novel approaches for testing. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology. 35(10): 156. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-019-2730-x

Angelin, J. and Kavitha, M. (2020). Exopolysaccharides from probiotic bacteria and their health potential. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules. 162: 853-865. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.06.190

Aponte, M.; Ungaro, F.; d'Angelo, I.; De Caro, C.; Russo, R.; Blaiotta, G. et al. (2018). Improving in vivo conversion of oleuropein into hydroxytyrosol by oral granules containing probiotic *Lactobacillus plantarum* 299v and an *Olea* europaea standardized extract. International Journal of Pharmaceutics. 543(1-2): 73-

82. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2018.03.013</u>

Azad, M.A.K.; Sarker, M. and Wan, D. (2018). Immunomodulatory Effects of Probiotics on Cytokine Profiles. BioMed Research International. 2018: 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/8063647

**Baral, K.C.; Bajracharya, R.; Lee, S.H. and Han, H.K. (2021).** Advancements in the Pharmaceutical Applications of Probiotics: Dosage Forms and Formulation Technology. International Journal of Nanomedicine. 16: 7535-7556. https://doi.org/10.2147/ijn.s337427 **Bascos, N.A.D. and Landry, S.J. (2019).** A History of Molecular Chaperone Structures in the Protein Data Bank. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 20(24): 6195. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20246195</u>

Belizario, J.E.; Faintuch, J. and Garay-Malpartida, M. (2018). Gut Microbiome Dysbiosis and Immunometabolism: New Frontiers for Treatment of Metabolic Diseases. Mediators of Inflammation. 2018: 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/2037838

**Bisht, S.; Singh, K.S.; Choudhary, R., Kumar, S.; Grover, S.; Mohanty, A.K. et al. (2018).** Expression of fibronectin-binding protein of *L. acidophilus* NCFM and *in vitro* refolding to adhesion capable native-like protein from inclusion bodies. Protein Expression and Purification.145: 7-13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pep.2017.11.007

**Bora, A.F.M.; Li, X.; Zhu, Y. and Du, L. (2018).** Improved Viability of Microencapsulated Probiotics in a Freeze-Dried Banana Powder During Storage and Under Simulated Gastrointestinal Tract. Probiotics and Antimicrobial Proteins. 11(4): 1330-1339. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-018-9464-1

Calinoiu, L.F.; Stefanescu, B.; Pop, I.; Muntean, L.andVodnar, D. (2019). Chitosan CoatingApplicationsinProbioticMicro-encapsulationCoatings.9(3):194.https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings9030194

Cani, P.D. and de Vos, W.M. (2017). Nextgeneration beneficial microbes: The case of *Akkermansia muciniphila*. Frontiers in Microbiology. 8: 1765. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01765</u>

Cao, L.; Xu, Q.; Xing, Y.; Guo, X.; Li, W. and Cai, Y. (2019). Effect of skimmed milk powder concentrations on the biological characteristics of microencapsulated *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by vacuum-spray-freeze-drying. Drying Technology. 38(4): 476-494.

https://doi.org/10.1080/07373937.2019.1581797

Chen, J.; Vestergaard, M.; Shen, J.; Solem, C.; Dufva, M. and Jensen, P.R. (2018). Droplet-based microfluidics as a future tool for strain improvement in lactic acid bacteria. FEMS Microbiology Letters. 365(23): 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fny258

Cook, M.T.; Tzortzis, G.; Charalampopoulos, D. and Khutoryanskiy, V.V. (2012). Microencapsulation of probiotics for gastrointestinal delivery. Journal of Controlled Release. 162(1): 56-67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2012.06.003

Cremon, C.; Barbaro, M.R.; Ventura, M. and Barbara, G. (2018). Pre- and probiotic overview. Current Opinion in Pharmacology. 43: 87-92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2018.08.010

Cunningham, M.; Azcarate-Peril, M.A.; Barnard, A.; Benoit, V.; Grimaldi, R.; Guyonnet, D. et al. (2021). Shaping the Future of Probiotics and Prebiotics. Trends in Microbiology. 29(8): 667-685. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2021.01.003

Das, R.; Biswas, S. and Banerjee, E.R. (2016). Nutraceutical-prophylactic and Therapeutic Role of Functional Food in Health. Journal of Nutrition & Food Sciences. 6(4): 1-17. https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-9600.1000527

Deng, Z.; Dai, T.; Zhang, W.; Zhu, J.; Luo, X.; Fu, D. et al. (2020). Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Increases the Adhesion of *Lactobacillus reuteri*to Host Mucin to Enhance Probiotic Effects. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 21(24): 1-16. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21249756

**Derrien, M. and van HylckamaVlieg, J.E.T. (2015).** Fate, activity, and impact of ingested bacteria within the human gut microbiota. Trends in Microbiology. 23(6): 354-366. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2015.03.002

De Souza Ferreira, S.B.; Fukase, G.O.; Gomes, R.G. and Bruschi, M.L. (2021). Mucoadhesive wafers for buccal delivery of probiotic bacteria: Mechanical properties and enumeration. Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology. 61: 102201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jddst.2020.102201

de Souza, M.D.S.S.; Barbalho, S.M.; Goulart, R.D.A. and de Carvalho, A.D.C.A. (2015). The Current and Future Role of Drugs and Probiotics in the Management of Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Journal of Biosciences and Medicines. 03(08): 76-85. https://doi.org/10.4236/jbm.2015.38008

do Carmo, F.L.R.; Rabah, H.; De Oliveira Carvalho, R.D.; Gaucher, F.; Cordeiro, B.F. et al. (2018). Extractable Bacterial Surface Proteins in Probiotic–Host Interaction. Frontiers in Microbiology. 9(645): 1-12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00645

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00645

**Donaldson, G.P.; Lee, S.M. and Mazmanian, S.K.** (2015). Gut biogeography of the bacterial microbiota. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 14(1): 20-32. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3552

Douillard, F.P. and de Vos, W.M. (2019). Biotechnology of health-promoting bacteria. Biotechnology Advances. 37(6): 107369. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biotechadv.2019.03.008

Du, Y.; Li, H.; Shao, J.; Wu, T.; Xu, W.; Hu, X. et al. (2022). Adhesion and Colonization of the Probiotic *Lactobacillus plantarum* HC-2 in the Intestine of *Litopenaeus vannamei*are Associated with Bacterial Surface Proteins. Frontiers in Microbiology.13: 878874. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.878874</u>

Eckert, C.; Agnol, W.D.; Dallé, D.; Serpa, V.G.; Maciel, M.J.; Lehn, D.N. et al. (2018). Development of alginate-pectin microparticles with dairy whey using vibration technology: Effects of matrix composition on the protection of *Lactobacillus* spp. from adverse conditions. Food Research International. 113: 65-73.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.07.001

El Khatib, R.; Karam-Sarkis, D.; Waligora-Dupriet, A.J. and Butel, M.J. (2020). Could Gut Modulation through Probiotic Supplementation Be Beneficial in Autism Spectrum Disorder? In: Elena, F.R. and Joel, R.E. (Editors). Prebiotics and Probiotics -Potential Benefits in Nutrition and Health. IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.89375

Enck, K.; Banks, S.; Yadav, H.; Welker, M.E. and Opara, E.C. (2020). Development of a Novel Oral Delivery Vehicle for Probiotics. Current Pharmaceutical Design. 26(26): 3134-3140. https://doi.org/10.2174/1381612826666200210111925

Eshaq Safi, M.A.; Memlawal, R. and Banuree, S.A.H. (2021). Materials and Techniques for Microencapsulation of Probiotics: Literature Based Review. Bioscience Biotechnology Research Communications. 14(3): 922-928. https://doi.org/10.21786/bbrc/14.3.3

Fang, S.T.; Cheng, D.E.; Huang, Y.T.; Hsu, T.Y. and Lu, H.H.S. (2018). A Pilot Study of the Influence of Probiotics on Hair Toxic Element Levels After Long-Term Supplement with Different Lactic Acid Bacteria Strains. Journal of Probiotics and Health. 6(1): 1-8. <u>https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-8901.1000203</u>

Farahmandi, K.; Rajab, S.; Tabandeh, F.; Shahraky, M.K.; Maghsoudi, A. and Ashengroph, M. (2021). Efficient Spray-Drying of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* PTCC 1637 Using Total CFU yield as the decision factor. Food Bioscience. 40: 100816. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbio.2020.100816

Fazilah, N.F.; Hamidon, N.H.; Ariff, A.B.; Khayat, M.E.; Wasoh, H. and Halim, M. (2019). Microencapsulation of *Lactococcus lactis* Gh1 with Gum Arabic and *Synsepalumdulcificum* via Spray Drying for Potential Inclusion in Functional Yogurt. Molecules. 24(7):

1422. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24071422

Franko, J.; Raman, S.; Krishnan, N.; Frankova, D.; Tee, M.C.; Brahmbhatt, R. et al. (2019). Randomized Trial of Perioperative Probiotics Among Patients Undergoing Major Abdominal Operation. Journal of the American College of Surgeons. 229(6):

# 533-540.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2019.09.002

**Fredua-Agyeman, M. and Gaisford, S. (2015).** Comparative survival of commercial probiotic formulations: tests in biorelevant gastric fluids and real-time measurements using microcalorimetry. Beneficial Microbes. 6(1): 141-151. https://doi.org/10.3920/bm2014.0051

**Fu, N. and Chen, X.D. (2011).** Towards a maximal cell survival in convective thermal drying processes. Food Research International. 44(5): 1127-1149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2011.03.053

Gagliarini, N.; Diosma, G.; Garrote, G.L.; Abraham, A.G. and Piermaria, J. (2019). Whey protein-kefiran films as driver of probiotics to the gut. LWT- Food Science and Technology. 105: 321-328. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.02.023

Galdeano, C.M.; Cazorla, S.; Lemme Dumit, J.; Vélez, E. and Perdigón, G. (2019). Beneficial Effects of Probiotic Consumption on the Immune System. Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism. 74(2): 115-124. https://doi.org/10.1159/000496426

Ghibaudo, F.; Gerbino, E.; Copello, G.J.; Orto, V.C.D. and Gómez-Zavaglia, A. (2019). Pectindecorated magnetite nanoparticles as both iron delivery systems and protective matrices for probiotic bacteria. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces. 180: 193-01. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2019.04.049</u>

**Golowczyc, M.A.; Silva, J.G.L.; Teixeira, P.; De Antoni, C.L. and Abraham, A.G. (2011).** Cellular injuries of spray-dried *Lactobacillus* spp. isolated from kefir and their impact on probiotic properties. International Journal of Food Microbiology. 144(3): 556-560.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2010.11.005

Gonçalves, F.L.L.; Soares, L.M.M.; Figueira, R.L.; Simões, A.L.B.; Gallindo, R.M. and Sbragia, L. (2015). Evaluation of the expression of I-FABP and L-FABP in a necrotizing enterocolitis model after the use of *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. Journal of Pediatric Surgery. 50(4): 543-549. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2014.07.007

Halim, M.; Mohd Mustafa, N.A.; Othman, M.; Wasoh, H.; Kapri, M.R. and Ariff, A.B. (2017). Effect of encapsulant and cryoprotectant on the viability of probiotic *Pediococcus acidilactici* ATCC 8042 during freeze-drying and exposure to high acidity, bile salts and heat. LWT-Food Science and Technology.81: 210-216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2017.04.009

Han, S.; Lu, Y.; Xie, J.; Fei, Y.; Zheng, G.; Wang, Z. et al. (2021). Probiotic Gastrointestinal Transit and Colonization After Oral Administration: A Long Journey. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology.11: 609722. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.609722

Heinemann, R.J.B.; De Carvalho, R.A. and Lacroix, M. (2013). Orally disintegrating film (ODF) for delivery of probiotics in the oral cavity-Development of a novel product for oral health. Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies. 19: 227-232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2013.04.009

Hill, C.; Guarner, F.; Reid, G.; Gibson, G.R.; Merenstein, D.J.; Pot, B. et al. (2014). The International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics consensus statement on the scope and appropriate use of the term probiotic. Nature Reviews

Gastroenterology & Hepatology. 11(8): 506–514. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2014.66

**Hoffmann, A.; Fischer, J.T. and Daniels, R. (2020).** Development of probiotic oro-dispersible tablets using mucoadhesive polymers for buccalmuco adhesion. Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy. 46(11):1753-1762.

https://doi.org/10.1080/03639045.2020.1831013

Hu, L.; Zhou, M.; Young, A.; Zhao, W., and Yan, Z. (2019). *In vivo* effectiveness and safety of probiotics on prophylaxis and treatment of oral

candidiasis: a systematic review and metaanalysis. BMC Oral Health. 19(1): 140. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-019-0841-2

Hua, S.Z.; Marks, E.; Haas, J.S. and Keely, S. (2015). Advances in oral nano-delivery systems for colon targeted drug delivery in inflammatory bowel disease: Selective targeting to diseased versus healthy tissue. Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology and Medicine. 11(5): 1117-1132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2015.02.018

**Ilango, S.; Pandey, R. and Antony, U. (2016).** Functional characterization and microencapsulation of probiotic bacteria from koozh. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 53(2): 977-989. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-015-2169-5

James, A. and Wang, Y. (2019). Characterization, health benefits and applications of fruits and vegetable probiotics. CyTA - Journal of Food. 17(1): 770-780. https://doi.org/10.1080/19476337.2019.1652693

Jung, J.H.; Cho, I.K.; Lee, C.H.; Song, G.G. and Lim, J.H. (2018). Clinical Outcomes of Standard Triple Therapy Plus Probiotics or Concomitant Therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* Infection. Gut and Liver. 12(2): 165-172. https://doi.org/10.5009/gnl17177

Kang, Y. and Cai, Y. (2018). The development of probiotics therapy to obesity: a therapy that has gained considerable momentum. Hormones. 17(2): 141-151. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42000-018-0003-y

Khaneghah, AM..; Abhari, K.; Eş, I.; Soares, M.B.; Oliveira, R.B.; Hosseini, H. et al. (2020). Interactions between probiotics and pathogenic microorganisms in hosts and foods: A review. Trends in Food Science & Technology. 95: 205-218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.11.022

Kim, W.S.; Cho, C.S.; Hong, L.; Han, G.G.; Kil, B.J.; Kang, S.K. et al. (2019). Oral Delivery of Probiotics Using pH-Sensitive Phthalyl Inulin Tablets. Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology. 29(2): 208. <u>https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.1811.11021</u>

Kim, K.W.; Kang, S.S.; Woo, S.J.; Park, O.J.; Ahn, K.B.; Song, K.D. et al. (2017). Lipoteichoic Acid of Probiotic *Lactobacillus plantarum* Attenuates Poly I: C-Induced IL-8 Production in Porcine Intestinal Epithelial Cells. Frontiers in Microbiology.8:1827. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01827

200-

Kim, Y.I.; Poudel, B.K.; Pradhan, R.; Choi, H.G.; Yong, C.S.; Woo, J.S. et al. (2014). Development of a novel bi-coated combination capsule containing mosapride and probiotics for irritable bowel syndrome. Pharmaceutical Development and Technology. 20(8): 949-956. <u>https://doi.org/10.3109/10837450.2014.954723</u>

Li, X.; Wang, Q.; Hu, X. and Liu, W. (2022). Current Status of Probiotics as Supplements in the Prevention and Treatment of Infectious Diseases. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology.12: 789063. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.789063</u>

Liu, Y.; Alookaran, J. and Rhoads, J. (2018). Probiotics in Autoimmune and Inflammatory Disorders. Nutrients. 10(10): 1537. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10101537

Lopez-Ochoa, J.; Montes-Garcia, J.F.; Vázquez, C.; Sanchez-Alonso, P.; Perez-Marquez, V.M.; Blackall, P.J. et al. (2017). *Gallibacterium* elongation factor-Tu possesses amyloid-like protein characteristics, participates in cell adhesion, and is present in biofilms. Journal of Microbiology. 55(9): 745-752. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12275-017-7077-0</u>

Luo, Y.; De Souza, C.; Ramachandran, M.; Wang, S.; Yi, H.; Ma, Z. et al. (2022). Precise oral delivery systems for probiotics: A review. Journal of Controlled Release. 352: 371-384. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2022.10.030

Matouskova, P.; Hoova, J.; Rysavka, P. and Marova, I. (2021). Stress Effect of Food Matrices on Viability of Probiotic Cells during Model Digestion. Microorganisms. 9(8): 1625. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9081625

Mawad, A.; Helmy, Y.A.; Shalkami, A.G.; Kathayat, D. and Rajashekara, G. (2018). *E. coli Nissle* microencapsulation in alginate-chitosan nanoparticles and its effect on *Campylobacter jejuni* in vitro. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology. 102(24): 10675-10690. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-9417-3

Moayyedi, M.; Eskandari, M.H.; Rad, A.H.E.; Ziaee, E.; Khodaparast, M.H.H. and Golmakani, M.T. (2018). Effect of drying methods (electrospraying, freeze drying and spray drying) on and viability of microencapsulated survival Lactobacillus rhamnosus ATCC 7469. Journal of Functional Foods.40: 391-399. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iff.2017.11.016

Muscariello, L.; De Siena, B. and Marasco, R. (2020). Lactobacillus Cell Surface Proteins Involved in Interaction with Mucus and Extracellular Matrix Components. Current Microbiology. 77(12): 3831-3841. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-020-02243-5</u>

Nishiyama, K.; Nakazato, A.; Ueno, S.; Seto, Y.; Kakuda, T.; Takai, S. et al. (2015). Cell surfaceassociated aggregation-promoting factor from gasseri SBT2055 facilitates Lactobacillus host competitive exclusion colonization and of Campylobacter jejuni. Molecular Microbiology. 98(4): 712-726. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.13153

Noce, A.; Marrone, G.; Di Daniele, F.; Ottaviani, E.; Wilson Jones, G.; Bernini, R. et al. (2019). Impact of Gut Microbiota Composition on Onset and Progression of Chronic Non-Communicable Diseases. Nutrients. 11(5): 1073. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11051073

**Oncel, M.Y.; Arayici, S.; Sari, F.N.; Simsek, G.K.; Yurttutan, S.; Erdeve, O. et al. (2014).** Comparison of *Lactobacillus reuteri* and nystatin prophylaxis on Candida colonization and infection in very low birth weight infants. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal Neonatal Medicine. 28(15): 1790-1794. https://doi.org/10.3109/14767058.2014.968842

**O'Toole, P.W.; Marchesi, J.R. and Hill, C. (2017).** Next-generation probiotics: the spectrum from probiotics to live biotherapeutics. Nature Microbiology. 2(5): 17057.https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2017.57

Plaza-Diaz, J.; Ruiz-Ojeda, F.J.; Gil-Campos, M. and Gil, A. (2019). Mechanisms of Action of Probiotics. Advances in Nutrition. 10(1): S49-S66. https://doi.org/10.1093/advances/nmy063

**Poletto, G.; Raddatz, G.C.; Cichoski, A.J.; Zepka, L.Q.; Lopes, E.J.; Barin, J.S. et al. (2019).** Study of viability and storage stability of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* when encapsulated with the prebiotics rice bran, inulin and Hi-maize. Food Hydrocolloids. 95: 238-244.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2019.04.049

**Pyar, H. and Peh, K.K. (2014).** Enteric coating of granules containing the probiotic *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. Acta Pharmaceutica. 64(2): 247-256. <u>https://doi.org/10.2478/acph-2014-0011</u>

**Rajam, R. and Subramanian, P. (2022).** Encapsulation of probiotics: past, present and future. Beni-Suef University Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences. 11(1): 46. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s43088-</u>022-00228-w

Rajam, R. and Anandharamakrishnan, C. (2015).Spray-freeze drying method for microencapsulation ofLactobacillus plantarum.Journal of FoodEngineering.166:95-103.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2015.05.029

**Rodriguez, H. and Miller, J.E. (2019).** Do prophylactic probiotics prevent the incidence of *Clostridium difficile colitis* infection in hospitalized patients?. The Journal of the Oklahoma State Medical Association. 112(1): 18-19.

Sanders, M.E.; Merenstein, D.J.; Reid, G.; Gibson, G.R. and Rastall, R.A. (2019). Probiotics and

prebiotics in intestinal health and disease: from biology to the clinic. Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology. 16(10): 605-616. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41575-019-0173-3

Sarao, L.K.; and Arora, M. (2017). Probiotics, prebiotics, and microencapsulation: A review. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition. 57(2): 344-371. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2014.887055</u>

Semyonov, D.; Ramon, O.; Kaplun, Z.; Levin-Brener, L.; Gurevich, N. and Shimoni, E. (2010). Microencapsulation of *Lactobacillus paracasei* by spray freeze drying. Food Research International. 43(1): 193-202.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2009.09.028

Sharpe, L.A.; Daily, A.M.; Horava, S.D. and Peppas, N.A. (2014). Therapeutic applications of hydrogels in oral drug delivery. Expert Opinion on Drug Delivery. 11(6): 901-915. https://doi.org/10.1517/17425247.2014.902047

Song, D.; Ibrahim, S. and Hayek, S. (2012). Recent Application of Probiotics in Food and Agricultural Science. In: Rigobelo, E.C. (Editor). Probiotics. Ed. IntechOpen. <u>https://doi.org/10.5772/50121</u>

Spacova, I.; Dodiya, H.B.; Happel, A.U.; Strain, C.; Vandenheuvel, D.; Wang, X. et al. (2020). Future of Probiotics and Prebiotics and the Implications for Early Career Researchers. Frontiers in Microbiology.11: 1400. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01400

Sreeja, V. and Prajapati, J.B. (2013).ProbioticFormulations:ApplicationandStatusasPharmaceuticals-AReview.ProbioticsandAntimicrobialProteins.5(2):81-91.https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-013-9126-2

Sultana, M.; Chan, E.S.; Pushpamalar, J. and Choo, W.S. (2022). Advances in extrusion-dripping encapsulation of probiotics and omega-3 rich oils. Trends in Food Science and Technology. 123: 69-86. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2022.03.006</u>

Tan, H.Y. and Toh, Y.C. (2020). What canmicrofluidics do for human microbiome research?Biomicrofluidics.14(5):051303.https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0012185

Taranto, M.; Fernandez Murga, M.; Lorca, G. and Valdez, G. (2003). Bile salts and cholesterol induce changes in the lipid cell membrane of *Lactobacillus reuteri*. Journal of Applied Microbiology. 95(1):86-91. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2003.01962.x

**Tegegne, B.A. and Kebede, B. (2022).** Probiotics, their prophylactic and therapeutic applications in human health development: A review of the literature. Heliyon. 8(6): e09725. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e09725

Terekhov, S.S.; Smirnov, I.V.; Malakhova, M.V.; Samoilov, A.E.; Manolov, A.I.; Nazarov, A.Se. et al. (2018). Ultrahigh-throughput functional profiling of microbiota communities. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 115(38): 9551-9556. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1811250115

Terpou, A.; Papadaki, A.; Lappa, I.; Kachrimanidou, V.; Bosnea, L. and Kopsahelis, N. (2019). Probiotics in Food Systems: Significance and Emerging Strategies Towards Improved Viability and Delivery of Enhanced Beneficial Value. Nutrients. 11(7): 1591. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11071591</u>

**Tewari, V.V.; Dubey, S.K. and Gupta, G. (2015).** *Bacillus clausii*for Prevention of Late-onset Sepsis in Preterm Infants: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Journal of Tropical Pediatrics. 61(5): 377-385. https://doi.org/10.1093/tropej/fmv050

**Thakur, A.K. and Singh, I. (2019).** Formulation strategies for the oral delivery of probiotics: a review. Biointerface Research in Applied Chemistry. 9(5): 4327-4333. <u>https://doi.org/10.33263/briac95.327333</u>

**Tomaro-Duchesneau, C.; Saha, S.; Malhotra, M.; Jones, M. L.; Labbé, A.; Rodes, L. et al. (2014).** Effect of orally administered *L. fermentum* NCIMB 5221 on markers of metabolic syndrome: an in vivo analysis using ZDF rats. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology. 98(1): 115-126. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-013-5252-8

**Usman, M. (2018).** Food and nutrition is the most powerful tool for the development of health, basic need of daily life, reduction in financial crises, poverty and hunger in the world. Journal of Probiotics & Health. 06: 22. <u>https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-8901-c3-031</u>

van Baal, M.C.; van Rens, M.J.; Geven, C.B.; van de Pol, F.M.; van den Brink, I.W. et al. (2014). Association between probiotics and enteral nutrition in an experimental acute pancreatitis model in rats. Pancreatology. 14(6): 470-477. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pan.2014.10.002

Veiga, P.; Suez, J.; Derrien, M. and Elinav, E. (2020). Moving from probiotics to precision probiotics. Nature Microbiology. 5(7): 878–880. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-0721-1

Wadher, K.; Mahore, J. and Umekar, M. (2010). Probiotics: living medicines in health maintenance and disease prevention. International Journal of Pharma and Bio Sciences. 1(3).

Wei, X.; Wang, S.; Zhao, X.; Wang, X.; Li, H.; Lin, W. et al. (2016). Proteomic Profiling of *Bifidobacterium bifidum* S17 Cultivated Under In Vitro Conditions. Frontiers in Microbiology.7(97). https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00097

Wilkinson, M.G. (2018). Flow cytometry as a potential method of measuring bacterial viability in probiotic products: A review. Trends in Food Science Technology.78: 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2018.05.006

Xie, Y.; Zhou, G.; Xu, Y.; He, B.; Wang, Y.; Ma, R. et al. (2019). Effects of Diet Based on IgG Elimination Combined with Probiotics on Migraine Plus Irritable Bowel Syndrome. Pain Research and Management. 2019: 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/7890461

Yao, M.; Xie, J.; Du, H.; McClements, D.J.; Xiao, H. and Li, L. (2020). Progress in microencapsulation of probiotics: A review. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety. 19(2): 857-874. https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12532

Yao, M.; Li, B.; Ye, H.; Huang, W.; Luo, Q.; Xiao, H. et al. (2018). Enhanced viability of probiotics (*Pediococcus pentosaceus Li05*) by encapsulation in microgels doped with inorganic nanoparticles. Food Hydrocolloids. 83: 246-252. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2018.05.024

Yeung, T.W.; Arroyo-Maya, I.J.; McClements, D.J. and Sela, D.A. (2016). Microencapsulation of probiotics in hydrogel particles: enhancing *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *cremoris* LM0230 viability using calcium alginate beads. Food & Function. 7(4): 1797-1804. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/c5fo00801h</u>

Yilmaz, M.T.; Taylan, O.; Karakas, C.Y.; and Dertli, E. (2020). An alternative way to encapsulate probiotics within electrospun alginate nanofibers as monitored under simulated gastrointestinal conditions and in kefir. Carbohydrate Polymers. 244: 116447. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2020.116447</u>

Yoha, K.S.; Nida, S.; Dutta, S.; Moses, J.A.; and Anandharamakrishnan, C. (2021). Targeted Delivery of Probiotics: Perspectives on Research and Commercialization. Probiotics and Antimicrobial Proteins. 14(1): 15-48. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-021-09791-7</u>

Yoha, K.S.; Moses, J.A. and Anandharamakrishnan, C. (2019). Conductive hydro drying through refractance window drying – An alternative technique for drying of *Lactobacillus plantarum* (NCIM 2083). Drying Technology. 38(5-6): 610-620.

https://doi.org/10.1080/07373937.2019.1624972

Zaghari, L.; Basiri, A. and Rahimi, S. (2020). Preparation and characterization of double-coated probiotic bacteria via a fluid-bed process: a case study on *Lactobacillus reuteri*. International Journal of Food Engineering. 16(9). <u>https://doi.org/10.1515/ijfe-2019-0384</u>

Zhang, H.; Yang, C.; Zhou, W.; Luan, Q.; Li, W.; Deng, Q. et al. (2018). A pH-Responsive Gel Macrosphere Based on Sodium Alginate and Cellulose Nanofiber for Potential Intestinal Delivery of Probiotics. ACS Sustainable Chemistry and Engineering. 6(11): 13924-13931. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b02237

Zhao, M.; Wang, Y.; Huang, X.; Gaenzle, M.; Wu, Z.; Nishinari, K. et al. (2018). Ambient storage of microencapsulated *Lactobacillus plantarum* ST-III by complex coacervation of type-A gelatin and gum arabic. Food & Function. 9(2): 1000-1008. https://doi.org/10.1039/c7fo01802a