

## EXTENDED $G_b$ -METRIC SPACES AND SOME FIXED POINT RESULTS WITH AN APPLICATION TO FREDHOLM INTEGRAL EQUATION

V. GUPTA, O. EGE AND R. SAINI

**ABSTRACT.** In this study, our aim is to demonstrate the formation of generalization of  $G_b$ -metric space, that is, extended  $G_b$ -metric space by means of the results of Kamran et al. [19]. We prove an analogue of Banach contraction principle and introduce outcomes in the framework of extended  $G_b$ -metric space. Various illustrations are given to describe our results. To show the usability, an application associated to our main result is also presented.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In recent times, metric fixed point theory has been very dynamic research area because of its applications in various fields [9]. In 1906, M. Frechet contributed the concept of metric space and after that various authors proved numerous results. Banach contraction principle by S. Banach [7] is utmost attractive outcome in the area of fixed point theory. Bakhtin [6] popularized the theory of  $b$ -metric spaces and Czerwik [8] extended the results of  $b$ -metric spaces. For some recent works on  $b$ -metric spaces, see [14, 17, 18]. Mustafa and Sims [22] familiarized an upgraded version of the generalized metric, that is,  $G$ -metric space. Several authors [1, 4, 5, 23, 24] demonstrated many fixed point consequences in  $G$ -metric spaces. Aghajani et al. [2] presented the idea of  $G_b$ -metric spaces. Afterward, a number of fascinating consequences in  $G_b$ -metric spaces which have been described in [3, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20, 21, 25, 26, 27].

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this part, we gather several applicable definitions and essential results of  $G_b$ -metric space for further use.

**Definition 2.1.** [2] A mapping  $G_b : S \times S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $s \geq 1$ , defined as a generalized  $b$ -metric if it satisfies:

- (Gb1)  $G_b(\rho, \tau, \sigma) = 0$  if  $\rho = \tau = \sigma$ ,
- (Gb2)  $0 < G_b(\rho, \rho, \tau)$  for all  $\rho, \tau \in S$  with  $\rho \neq \tau$ ,

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54H25, 47H09, 47H10.

*Key words and phrases.* Fixed point,  $G$ -metric space,  $G_b$ -metric space, extended  $G_b$ -metric space.

Submitted December 26, 2021.

- (Gb3)  $G_b(\rho, \rho, \tau) \leq G_b(\rho, \tau, \sigma)$  for all  $\rho, \tau, \sigma \in S$  with  $\rho \neq \tau$ ,  
 (Gb4)  $G_b(\rho, \tau, \sigma) = G_b\{P(\rho, \sigma, \tau)\}$ , where  $P$  is the permutation for all  $\rho, \tau, \sigma \in S$ ,  
 (Gb5)  $G_b(\rho, \tau, \sigma) \leq s\{G_b(\rho, x, x) + G_b(x, \tau, \sigma)\}$  for every  $\rho, \tau, \sigma \in S$ .

The pair  $(S, G_b)$  is named as  $G_b$ -metric space. Every  $G_b$ -metric space is a  $G_b$ -metric space for  $s = 1$ , but the next illustration shows that  $G_b$ -metric space is not inevitably a  $G$ -metric space.

**Example 2.1.** [2] Mapping defined by

$$G(\rho, \tau, \sigma) = \frac{1}{9}(|\rho - \tau| + |\tau - \sigma| + |\sigma - \rho|)^2,$$

is a  $G_b$ -metric on  $S = \mathbb{R}$  with  $s = 2$ . Now check for  $G$ -metric space, take  $\rho = 2$ ,  $\tau = 4$ ,  $\sigma = 6$  and  $x = \frac{5}{2}$ . Then we get

$$G_2(2, 4, 6) = \frac{64}{9}, \quad G_2(2, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}) = \frac{1}{9}, \quad G_2(\frac{5}{2}, 4, 6) = \frac{49}{9},$$

so

$$G_2(2, 4, 6) = \frac{64}{9} \geq \frac{50}{9} = G_2(2, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2}) + G_2(\frac{5}{2}, 4, 6).$$

Here, the rectangle inequality is not satisfied. Therefore, it is not a  $G$ -metric space.

**Definition 2.2.** [2] A sequence  $\{\rho_n\}$  is  $G_b$ -convergent to a point  $\rho \in S$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there always be an integer  $n_1$  such that for each  $m, n \geq n_1$ ,  $G(\rho_m, \rho_n, \rho) < \varepsilon$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [2] A sequence  $\{\rho_n\}$  in  $S$  is named as  $G_b$ -Cauchy if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there always be an integer  $n_1$  such that for every  $l, m, n \geq n_1$ ,  $G(\rho_l, \rho_m, \rho_n) < \varepsilon$ .

**Definition 2.4.** [2] If every  $G_b$ -Cauchy sequence is  $G_b$ -convergent, then  $(S, G_b)$  is complete  $G_b$ -metric space.

In recent times, Kamran et al. [19] introduced an expansion of  $b$ -metric space known as extended  $b$ -metric space.

**Definition 2.5.** [19] Consider a nonempty set  $S$  and a mapping  $\phi : S \times S \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ . A mapping  $d_\phi : S \times S \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is known to be an extended  $b$ -metric space if it satisfies the succeeding assumptions:

- ( $d_\phi$ 1)  $d_\phi(\rho, \tau)$  if  $\rho = \tau$ ,  
 ( $d_\phi$ 2)  $d_\phi(\rho, \tau) = d_\phi(\tau, \rho)$ ,  
 ( $d_\phi$ 3)  $d_\phi(\rho, \tau) \leq \phi(\rho, \tau)\{d_\phi(\rho, x) + d_\phi(x, \tau)\}$  for every  $\rho, \tau, x \in S$ .

$(S, d_\phi)$  is known as an extended  $b$ -metric space.

**Remark 2.1.** When  $\phi(\rho, \tau) = s$  for  $s \geq 1$ , then perception of extended  $b$ -metric space agree with  $b$ -metric space.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we present an extension of  $G_b$ -metric space, that is, extended  $G_b$ -metric space along with its topology.

**Definition 3.1.** Consider a nonempty set  $S$  and a mapping  $\phi : S \times S \times S \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ . A mapping  $G_\phi : S \times S \times S \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is said to be an extended  $G_b$ -metric if it satisfies the following conditions:

- ( $G_\phi$ 1)  $G_\phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma) = 0$  if  $\rho = \tau = \sigma$ ,  
 ( $G_\phi$ 2)  $0 < G_\phi(\rho, \rho, \tau)$  for every  $\rho, \tau \in S$  with  $\rho \neq \tau$ ,  
 ( $G_\phi$ 3)  $G_\phi(\rho, \rho, \tau) \leq G_\phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma)$  for all  $\rho, \tau, \sigma \in S$  with  $\rho \neq \tau$ ,

( $G_\phi 4$ )  $G_\phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma) = P\{G_\phi(\rho, \sigma, \tau)\}$ , where  $P$  is the permutation,  
 ( $G_\phi 5$ )  $G_\phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma) \leq \phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma)\{G_\phi(\rho, x, x) + G_\phi(x, \tau, \sigma)\}$  for every  $\rho, \tau, \sigma, x \in S$ .  
 The pair  $(S, G_\phi)$  is called as an extended  $G_b$ -metric space.

Now, we give the following example.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $S = \{4, 5, 6, 7\}$ . Define  $G_\phi : S \times S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\phi : S \times S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  as

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma) &= 1 + \rho + \tau + \sigma, \\ G_\phi(4, 4, 4) &= G_\phi(5, 5, 5) = G_\phi(6, 6, 6) = G_\phi(7, 7, 7) = 0, \\ G_\phi(4, 5, 7) &= G_\phi\{P(4, 5, 7)\} = 80, \\ G_\phi(4, 5, 5) &= G_\phi(4, 6, 6) = G_\phi(4, 7, 7) = G_\phi(5, 4, 4) = G_\phi(5, 6, 6) = \dots = 50, \\ G_\phi(4, 4, 5) &= G_\phi(4, 4, 6) = G_\phi(4, 4, 7) = G_\phi(5, 5, 4) = G_\phi(5, 5, 6) = \dots = 40.\end{aligned}$$

( $G_\phi 1$ ) to ( $G_\phi 4$ ) hold trivially. We will check only condition ( $G_\phi 5$ ).

$$G_\phi(4, 5, 7) = 80, \quad \phi(4, 5, 7)\{G_\phi(4, 6, 6) + G_\phi(6, 5, 7)\} = 17(50 + 80) = 2210.$$

We can check the same calculations for all other terms. Therefore, for all  $\rho, \tau, \sigma \in S$ ,

$$G_\phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma) \leq \phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma)\{G_\phi(\rho, x, x) + G_\phi(x, \tau, \sigma)\}.$$

Hence  $(S, G_\phi)$  is an extended  $G_b$ -metric space.

**Example 3.2.** Consider  $S = C[\alpha, \beta]$  and

$$G_\phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma) = \sup_{t \in [\alpha, \beta]} |\max\{\rho(t), \tau(t) - \sigma(t)\}|^2$$

and  $\phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma) = |\rho(t) + \tau(t) + \sigma(t)| + 2$ , where  $\phi : S \times S \times S \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ . Clearly,  $(S, G_\phi)$  is an extended  $G_b$ -metric space.

**Remark 3.1.** Every  $G_b$ -metric space is an extended  $G_b$ -metric space when

$$\phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma) = s \geq 1$$

but its converse is not true.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\{\rho_n\}$  be a sequence in extended  $G_b$ -metric space  $(S, G_\phi)$ . The sequence  $\{\rho_n\}$  is said to be convergent to a point  $\rho \in S$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there always be a positive integer  $n_1$  such that for all  $m, n \geq n_1$ ,  $G_\phi(\rho_n, \rho_m, \rho) < \varepsilon$ .

**Definition 3.3.** A sequence  $\{\rho_n\}$  in extended  $G_b$ -metric space  $(S, G_\phi)$  is said to be Cauchy if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there always be a positive integer  $n_1$  such that for every  $m, n, l \geq n_1$ ,  $G_\phi(\rho_n, \rho_m, \rho_l) < \varepsilon$ .

**Definition 3.4.** An extended  $G_b$ -metric space  $(S, G_\phi)$  is named as complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent in it.

**Definition 3.5.** An extended  $G_b$ -metric space  $(S, G_\phi)$  is known to be symmetric if  $G_\phi(\rho, \tau, \tau) = G_\phi(\tau, \rho, \rho)$  for every  $\rho, \tau \in S$ .

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $(S, G_\phi)$  be an extended  $G_b$ -metric space, then for any  $x \in S$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we define

$$B(x, \varepsilon) = \{\rho \in S \mid G_\phi(x, \rho, \rho) < \varepsilon\}$$

as a ball with center  $x$  and radius  $\varepsilon$ .

**Remark 3.2.** An extended  $G_b$ -metric is not continuous functional because  $G_b$ -metric is not continuous.

**Lemma 3.1.** In an extended  $G_b$ -metric space  $(S, G_\phi)$ , unique limit of every convergent sequence exists only if  $G_\phi$  is continuous.

**Theorem 3.1.** In a complete extended  $G_b$ -metric space  $(S, G_\phi)$ , the function  $G_\phi$  is continuous and a self-mapping  $A$  on  $S$  satisfies:

$$G_\phi(A\rho, A\tau, A\sigma) \leq qG_\phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma), \quad \text{for every } \rho, \tau, \sigma \in S, \quad (1)$$

where  $0 < q < 1$  and for every  $\rho_0 \in S$ , there is

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} \phi(A^n \rho, A^m \tau, A^m \sigma) < \frac{1}{q},$$

then there exists a unique fixed point  $c$  for the mapping  $A$ . Moreover, for every  $a \in S$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} A^n a = c.$$

*Proof.* Consider an arbitrary point  $\rho_0 \in S$ , and a sequence  $\{\rho_n\}$  such that

$$\rho_1 = A\rho_0, \quad \rho_2 = A\rho_1 = AA\rho_0 = A^2\rho_0, \dots, \quad \rho_n = A^n\rho_0.$$

Continuously repeating the inequality (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_\phi(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}, \rho_{n+1}) &\leq qG_\phi(\rho_{n-1}, \rho_n, \rho_n) \\ &\leq q^2G_\phi(\rho_{n-2}, \rho_{n-1}, \rho_{n-1}) \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq q^n G_\phi(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_1). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

For every  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n < m$ , we get the followings:

$$\begin{aligned} G_\phi(\rho_n, \rho_m, \rho_m) &\leq \phi(\rho_n, \rho_m, \rho_m)q^n G_\phi(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_1) \\ &\quad + \phi(\rho_n, \rho_m, \rho_m)\phi(\rho_{n+1}, \rho_m, \rho_m)q^{n+1}G_\phi(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_1) + \dots \\ &\quad + \phi(\rho_n, \rho_m, \rho_m) \dots \phi(\rho_{m-1}, \rho_m, \rho_m)q^{m-1}G_\phi(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_1) \\ &\leq G_\phi(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_1)[\phi(\rho_1, \rho_m, \rho_m) \dots \phi(\rho_{n-1}, \rho_m, \rho_m)\phi(\rho_n, \rho_m, \rho_m)q^n \\ &\quad + \phi(\rho_1, \rho_m, \rho_m) \dots \phi(\rho_n, \rho_m, \rho_m)\phi(\rho_{n+1}, \rho_m, \rho_m)q^{n+1} + \dots \\ &\quad + \phi(\rho_1, \rho_m, \rho_m) \dots \phi(\rho_{m-2}, \rho_m, \rho_m)\phi(\rho_{m-1}, \rho_m, \rho_m)q^{m-1}]. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

But it is given that  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} \phi(\rho_n, \rho_m, \rho_m)q < 1$ . Therefore, the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} q^n \prod_{j=1}^n \phi(\rho_j, \rho_m, \rho_m)$$

is convergent by ratio test. Let

$$B = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} q^n \prod_{j=1}^n \phi(\rho_j, \rho_m, \rho_m) \quad \text{and} \quad B_n = \sum_{k=1}^n q^k \prod_{j=1}^k \phi(\rho_j, \rho_m, \rho_m). \quad (4)$$

Now using equation (4) in equation (3), we have

$$G_\phi(\rho_n, \rho_m, \rho_m) \leq G_\phi(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_1)[B_{m-1} - B_{n-1}].$$

Proceeding  $m, n \rightarrow +\infty$ , and this indicates that the sequence  $\{\rho_n\}$  is Cauchy. Due to the completeness property of  $S$ , we can able to find a point  $c \in S$  such that  $\{\rho_n\}$  converges to  $c$ .

$$\begin{aligned} G_\phi(c, Ac, Ac) &\leq \phi(c, Ac, Ac)\{G_\phi(c, \rho_n, \rho_n) + G_\phi(\rho_n, Ac, Ac)\} \\ &\leq \phi(c, Ac, Ac)\{G_\phi(c, \rho_n, \rho_n) + qG_\phi(\rho_{n-1}, c, c)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  in (5), we have  $G_\phi(c, Ac, Ac) = 0$ . This implies that function  $A$  has  $c$  as its fixed point. Therefore,  $Ac = c$ . Let if possible, there is another point  $c_1 \in S$  such that  $Ac_1 = c_1$ . Then

$$G_\phi(c_1, c, c) = G_\phi(Ac_1, Ac, Ac) \leq qG_\phi(c_1, c, c),$$

but this is not possible. Hence  $c$  is the unique fixed point for the function  $A$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.7.** Consider a mapping  $A : S \rightarrow S$  and  $\rho_0 \in S$ ,

$$O(\rho_0) = \{\rho_0, g\rho_0, g^2\rho_0, \dots\}$$

orbit of  $\rho_0$ . An into mapping  $h : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called  $A$ -orbitally lower semi-continuous at  $a \in S$  if  $\{\rho_n\} \subset O(\rho_0)$  and  $\rho_n \rightarrow a$  denotes  $h(a) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \inf h(\rho_n)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** In complete extended  $G_b$ -metric space, function  $G_\phi$  is continuous and a self- mapping  $A$  on  $S$  satisfies the assumption:

$$G_\phi(A\rho, A^2\rho, A^2\rho) \leq qG_\phi(\rho, A\rho, A\rho) \quad \text{for every } \rho \in O(\rho_0) \quad (6)$$

where  $0 \leq q < 1$  and  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} \phi(\rho_n, \rho_m, \rho_m) < \frac{1}{q}$  for every  $\rho_0 \in S$ . Then,  $A^n\rho_0 \rightarrow c \in S$ . Moreover, the mapping  $A$  has a fixed point  $c$  if and only if  $h(\rho) = G_\phi(\rho, A\rho, A\rho)$  is  $A$ -orbitally lower semi-continuous at  $c$ .

*Proof.* Consider an arbitrary point  $\rho_0 \in S$  and a sequence  $\{\rho_n\}$  such that

$$\rho_1 = A\rho_0, \rho_2 = A\rho_1 = AA\rho_0 = A^2\rho_0, \rho_n = A^n\rho_0, \dots$$

Continuously repeating equation (6), we get

$$G_\phi(A^n\rho_0, A^{n+1}\rho_0, A^{n+1}\rho_0) = qG_\phi(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}, \rho_{n+1}) \leq \dots \leq q^n G_\phi(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_1). \quad (7)$$

For every  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n < m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_\phi(\rho_n, \rho_m, \rho_m) &\leq \phi(\rho_n, \rho_m, \rho_m)q^n G_\phi(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_1) \\ &\quad + \phi(\rho_n, \rho_m, \rho_m)\phi(\rho_{n+1}, \rho_m, \rho_m)q^{n+1}G_\phi(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_1) + \dots \\ &\quad + \phi(\rho_n, \rho_m, \rho_m) \dots \phi(\rho_{m-1}, \rho_m, \rho_m)q^{m-1}G_\phi(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_1) \\ &\leq G_\phi(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_1)[\phi(\rho_1, \rho_m, \rho_m) \dots \phi(\rho_{n-1}, \rho_m, \rho_m)\phi(\rho_n, \rho_m, \rho_m)q^n \\ &\quad + \phi(\rho_1, \rho_m, \rho_m) \dots \phi(\rho_n, \rho_m, \rho_m)\phi(\rho_{n+1}, \rho_m, \rho_m)q^{n+1} + \dots \\ &\quad + \phi(\rho_1, \rho_m, \rho_m) \dots \phi(\rho_{m-2}, \rho_m, \rho_m)\phi(\rho_{m-1}, \rho_m, \rho_m)q^{m-1}]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

From the fact that  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} \phi(\rho_n, \rho_m, \rho_m)q < 1$ , the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} q^n \prod_{j=1}^n \phi(\rho_j, \rho_m, \rho_m)$$

is convergent by ratio test. Consider the next statements:

$$B = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} q^n \prod_{j=1}^n \phi(\rho_j, \rho_m, \rho_m) \quad \text{and} \quad B_n = \sum_{k=1}^n q^k \prod_{j=1}^k \phi(\rho_j, \rho_m, \rho_m). \quad (9)$$

If we substitute equation (9) in equation (8), we find

$$G_\phi(\rho_n, \rho_m, \rho_m) \leq G_\phi(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_1)[B_{m-1} - B_{n-1}].$$

Taking the limit as  $m, n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain that the sequence  $\{\rho_n\}$  is Cauchy. By the completeness property of  $S$ , we are able to discover a convergent sequence  $\{\rho_n\}$  converges to  $c \in S$  that is  $\rho_n = A^n \rho_0 \rightarrow c \in S$ .

Now consider at  $c$ , the function  $h$  is  $A$ -orbitally lower semi-continuous, then

$$G_\phi(c, Ac, Ac) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} G_\phi(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}, \rho_{n+1}) \leq q^n \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} G_\phi(\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_1) = 0.$$

Thus  $c = Ac$ . Conversely, suppose that  $c = Ac$  and  $\rho_n \in O(\rho_0)$  such that  $\rho_n \rightarrow c$ . Then

$$h(c) = G_\phi(c, Ac, Ac) = 0 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} G_\phi(\rho_n, \rho_{n+1}, \rho_{n+1}) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} h(\rho_n).$$

□

**Example 3.3.** Let  $S = [0, +\infty)$ , define  $G_\phi : S \times S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\phi : S \times S \times S \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} G_\phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma) &= (\max\{\rho, \tau\} - \sigma)^2, \\ \phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma) &= \max\{\rho, \tau\} + \sigma + 3. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $G_\phi$  is a complete extended  $G_b$ -metric space on  $S$ . Define  $A : S \rightarrow S$  by  $Ay = \frac{y}{3}$ . Then we obtain

$$G_\phi(A\rho, A\tau, A\sigma) = \left( \max\left\{\frac{\rho}{3}, \frac{\tau}{3}\right\} - \frac{\sigma}{3} \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} G_\phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma) = q G_\phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma).$$

Similarly, if we take for all  $y \in S$ , we find  $A^n y = \frac{y}{3^n}$  and

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} \phi(A^n \rho, A^m \rho, A^m \rho) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{\rho}{3^n} + \frac{\rho}{3^m} + 3 \right) < 4.$$

All assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled. Therefore, the mapping  $A$  has a unique fixed point.

**Example 3.4.** Consider  $S = [0, \frac{1}{4})$ , define  $G_\phi : S \times S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\phi : S \times S \times S \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} G_\phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma) &= (\max\{\rho, \tau\} - \sigma)^2, \\ \phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma) &= \max\{\rho, \tau\} + \sigma + 3. \end{aligned}$$

From Example 3.3, we know that  $G_\phi$  is a complete extended  $G_b$ -metric space on  $S$ . Take  $A : S \rightarrow S$  by  $Ay = y^2$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} G_\phi(A\rho, A\tau, A\sigma) &= G_\phi(\rho^2, \tau^2, \sigma^2) \\ &= \left( \max\{\rho^2, \tau^2\} - \sigma^2 \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} G_\phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma) \\ &= q G_\phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma). \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, taking all  $y \in S$ , we find  $A^n y = y^{2^n}$ . As a result,

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} \phi(A^n \rho, A^m \rho, A^m \rho) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \rho^{2^n} + \rho^{2^m} + 3 \right) < 4.$$

Since all assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, the mapping  $A$  has a unique fixed point.

#### 4. AN APPLICATION TO FREDHOLM INTEGRAL EQUATION

In this section, we obtain the solution of a Fredholm integral equation by an application of our main result.

Consider  $S = C([\alpha, \beta], \mathbb{R})$ . Define  $G_\phi : S \times S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ,

$$G_\phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma) = \sup_{z \in [\alpha, \beta]} (\max\{\rho(z), \tau(z)\} - \sigma(z))^2$$

with  $\phi : S \times S \times S \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ ,  $\phi(\rho, \tau, \sigma) = \rho(z) + \max(|\tau(z), \sigma(z)|) + 3$ .

It is clear that  $(S, G_\phi)$  is a complete extended  $G_b$ -metric space. Now, consider the following Fredholm integral equation:

$$\rho(z) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} B(z, \theta, \rho(\theta))d\theta + h(z)$$

for  $z, \theta \in [\alpha, \beta]$ , where  $h : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $B : [\alpha, \beta] \times [\alpha, \beta] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous functions. Let  $A : S \rightarrow S$  be the operator such that

$$A\rho(z) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} B(z, \theta, \rho(\theta))d\theta + h(z)$$

for  $z, \theta \in [\alpha, \beta]$ , where  $h : [\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $B : [\alpha, \beta] \times [\alpha, \beta] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous functions. Also, suppose that the next inequality is satisfied:

$$|B(z, \theta, \rho(\theta)) - B(z, \theta, g\rho(\theta))| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\rho(\theta) - g\rho(\theta)|$$

for  $z, \theta \in [\alpha, \beta]$  and  $\rho \in S$ . Then, the solution of the Fredholm integral equation exists. For  $z, \theta \in [\alpha, \beta]$  and  $\rho \in S$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_\phi(A\rho(z), A(A\rho(z)), A(A\rho(z))) &= |A\rho(z) - A(A\rho(z))|^2 \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |B(z, \theta, \rho(\theta)) - B(z, \theta, A\rho(\theta))| \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}G_\phi(\rho, A\rho, A\rho). \end{aligned}$$

All the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are fulfilled which enables us to know that a fixed point for function  $A$  exists. Thus, the solution of the Fredholm integral equation exists.

#### 5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we present the notion of extended  $G_b$ -metric space. Moreover, we prove some fixed point theorems with some supporting examples and interesting application. The obtained results have a huge potential for researchers working in the area of fixed point theory. For example, fixed-circle problem [28, 29, 30] can be studied on this space.

#### 6. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their comments that helped us improve this article.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abbas, T. Nazir and P. Vetro, Common fixed point results for three maps in  $G$ -metric spaces, *Filomat* 25, 4, 117, 2011.
- [2] A. Aghajani, M. Abbas and J. R. Roshan, Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered  $G_b$ -metric spaces, *Filomat* 28, 6, 10871101, 2014.
- [3] A. H. Ansari, O. Ege and S. Radenovic, Some fixed point results on complex valued  $G_b$ -metric spaces, *RACSAM Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Mat.* 112, 2, 463-472, 2018.
- [4] H. Aydi, W. Shatanawi and C. Vetro, On generalized weakly  $G$ -contraction mapping in  $G$ -metric spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 62, 8, 4222-4229, 2011.
- [5] H. Aydi, A fixed point result involving a generalized weakly contractive condition in  $G$ -metric spaces, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.* 3, 4, 180188, 2011.
- [6] I. A. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces, *Funct. Anal. Uni-anowsk Gos. Ped. Inst.* 30, 26-37, 1989.
- [7] S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrals, *Fund. Math.* 2, 133-181, 1922.
- [8] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in  $b$ -metric spaces, *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostra.* 1, 511, 1993.
- [9] P. Debnath, N. Konwar, S. Radenovic, *Metric Fixed Point Theory: Applications in Science, Engineering and Behavioural Sciences.* Springer Nature, Singapore, 2021.
- [10] O. Ege, Complex valued  $G_b$ -metric spaces, *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* 21, 2, 363-368, 2016.
- [11] O. Ege, Some fixed point theorems in complex valued  $G_b$ -metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 18, 11, 1997-2005, 2017.
- [12] O. Ege and I. Karaca, Common fixed point results on complex valued  $G_b$ -metric spaces, *Thai J. Math.* 16, 3, 775-787, 2018.
- [13] O. Ege, C. Park and A. H. Ansari, A different approach to complex valued  $G_b$ -metric spaces, *Adv. Difference Equ.* 2020, 152, 1-13, 2020.
- [14] A. Gholidahneh, S. Sedghi, O. Ege, Z. D. Mitrovic and M. de la Sen, The Meir-Keeler type contractions in extended modular  $b$ -metric spaces with an application, *AIMS Math.* 6, 2, 1781-1799, 2021.
- [15] V. Gupta, O. Ege, R. Saini and M. de la Sen, Various fixed point results in complete  $G_b$ -metric spaces, *Dynam. Systems Appl.* 30, 2, 277-293, 2021.
- [16] A. Hassen, R. Dusan, A. Aghajani, T. Dosenovic, M. Salmi, M. Nooraniand and H. Qawaqneh, On fixed point results in  $G_b$ -metric spaces, *Mathematics*, 2019, 7, 617, doi:10.3390/math7070617.
- [17] M. Iqbal, A. Batool, O. Ege and M. de la Sen, Fixed point of almost contraction in  $b$ -metric spaces, *J. Math.* 3218134, 1-6, 2020.
- [18] M. Iqbal, A. Batool, O. Ege and M. de la Sen, Fixed point of generalized weak contraction in  $b$ -metric spaces, *J. Funct. Spaces* 2021, 2042162, 1-8, 2021.
- [19] T. Kamran, M. Samreen and O.U Ain, A generalization of  $b$ -metric space and some fixed point theorems, *Mathematics* 5, 2, 2017.
- [20] M. Koierng Meitel, Y. Rohen and R. S. Verma, Some common fixed point theorems for two pairs of weak compatible mappings of type (A) in  $G_b$ -metric space, *Amer. J. Appl. Math. Stat.* 6, 4, 135-140, 2018.
- [21] M. Liang, C. Zhu, C. Chen and Z. Wu, Some new theorems for cyclic contraction in  $G_b$ -metric spaces and some applications, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 346, 545-558, 2019.
- [22] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, A new approach to a generalized metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 7, 2, 289-297, 2006.
- [23] Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat and F. Awawdeh, Some fixed point theorems for mappings on complete  $G$ -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2008, 18970, 1-12, 2008.
- [24] Z. Mustafa, W. Shatanawi and M. Bataineh, Existence of fixed points results in  $G$ -metric spaces, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2009, 283028, 1-10, 2009.
- [25] Z. Mustafa, J. R. Roshan and V. Parvaneh, Coupled coincidence point results for  $(\psi, \varphi)$ -weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered  $G_b$ -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013, 206, 1-21, 2013.
- [26] Z. Mustafa, J. R. Roshan and V. Parvaneh, Existence of a tripled coincidence point in ordered  $G_b$ -metric spaces and applications to a system of integral equations, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2013, 453, 1-27, 2013.



- [27] Z. Mustafa, M. M. M. Jaradat, H. Aydi and A. Alrhayyel, Some common fixed points of six mappings on  $G_b$ -metric spaces using (E.A) property, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math. 11, 90109, 2018.
- [28] N.Y. Özgür and N. Taş, Generalizations of Metric Spaces: From the Fixed-Point Theory to the Fixed-Circle Theory, In: Rassias T. (eds) Applications of Nonlinear Analysis. Springer Optimization and Its Applications, vol 134, Springer, Cham, pp. 847-895, 2018.
- [29] N.Y. Özgür and N. Taş, Some fixed-circle theorems on metric spaces, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 42, 4, 14331449, 2019.
- [30] N. Taş, Bilateral-type solutions to the fixed-circle problem with rectified linear units application, Turkish J. Math. 44, 4, 1330-1344, 2020.

VISHAL GUPTA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MAHARISHI MARKANDESHWAR, DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY, MULLANA, HARYANA, INDIA

*E-mail address:* vishal.gmn@gmail.com

OZGUR EGE

EGE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, BORNOVA, 35100, IZMIR, TURKEY

*E-mail address:* ozgur.ege@ege.edu.tr

RAJANI SAINI

GOVERNMENT POST GRADUATE COLLEGE, AMBALA CANTT, HARYANA, INDIA

*E-mail address:* rajanisainiraj@gmail.com