

## **Effect of Spraying Pota Crastal Compound and Vast Trivalioum Compound on Berry Setting, Yield and Berries Quality of Superior Grapes**

**Farouk, H. Abd El-Aziz \*, Mohamed A.M. Abada \*\* and  
Abd El Hafeez, Sh. Hassan\*.**

\*Hort. Dept. Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.

\*\*Viticulture Dept. Hort. Res. Instit. ARC, Giza, Egypt.

### **Abstract:**

The present investigation was carried out in three successive seasons of 2019, 2020 and 2021 to examine the effect of spraying Pota crastal or Vast trivolium compounds each at 0.1% either once, twice or thrice on berry setting, yield and berries quality of Superior grapevines grown under El-Minia region.

Spraying of Pota crastal or Vast trivolium compounds once, twice or thrice each at 0.1% was very effective in stimulating berry setting %, yield and both physical and chemical of berries compared to untreated. Using vast trivolium compound was favourable in enhancing berry setting %, yield and quality parameters rather than application of Pota crastal compound.

The promotion on berry setting %, yield and quality of the berries was associated with an increase in the number of spraying times from one to three times.

The best results with regard to berry setting % , yield and berries quality of superior grapevines grown under El- Minia region were observed due to treating the vines three times during each season at growth start, just after berry setting and at one month intervals with vast trivolium compound at 0.1%.

**Keywords:** Superior grapevines- Pota crastal compound- Vast trivolum compound- berry setting %– yield – quality- El Minia region

**Introduction:**

The drop of berry setting % as well as the problem of lots shot berries % in superior grapevines cv. Is suggested to be the major problems that were responsible for lowering the yield and marketing of such cvs. Malnutrition is considered the main reason for poor cropping. Using balanced nutritional compounds especially Pota crastal or Vast trivolum is accompanied with solving the problems of poor yield and shot berry % in different grapevines cvs. Nutrients (macro and micro) , amino acids and seaweed extracts were responsible for enhancing the biosynthesis of plant pigments , proteins, fats, carbohydrates, enzymes, natural hormones, antioxidants, vitamins, cell division and water uptake (**Adriano, 1985 and Yagodin, 1990**).

Previous studies showed that micronutrients application resulted in great promotion on yield and fruiting of different grapevines cvs. (**Abd El-Hameed and Youssef, 2005; Abd El-Hafez, 2006; Amin, 2007; Mohamed- Ebtesam, 2012; and Abdelaal, 2012**).

The previous studies carried out by (**Ahmed and Abd El- Hameed (2003); Add El- Hameed and Abo El- Ez (2004); Abd El- Gaber-Nermean, (2009); El- Sawy (2009), Abd El- Wahab (2010); Ahmed *et al.*, (2011) and El- Kady –Hanaa (2011)**) emphasized the beneficial effects of using macronutrients on growth and productivity of different grapevine cvs.

The main objective of this study was examining the effect of the stimulant compounds Pota crastal and Vast trivolum, on solving the problems of berry setting % and yield decline and the occurrence of shot berries in the clusters of superior grapevines grown under El – Minia conditions.

### **Material and Methods**

This study was carried out during 2019, 2020 and 2021 seasons on Forty-two uniform in vigour 14- years old Superior grapevines.

The selected vines are grown in a private vineyard located at Al- Howarta village, Minia district, El- Minia Governorate – Egypt.

Where the texture of the soil is clay (Table 1) soil analysis was done according to the procedures that outlined by (**Chapman and Pratt, 1965 and Black *et al.*, 1965**).

The selected vines are planted at 2.0 x 3.0 meters apart (700 vines/ fed.) . The chosen vine were trained by cane pruning system leaving 96 eyes/ vine (six fruiting canes x 14 eyes plus six renewal spurs x 2 eyes). Using Gable supporting method, winter pruning was carried out at the second week of December in three seasons during surface irrigation system was followed using Nile water containing 175 ppm EC.

Table (1) Analysis of the tested soil

Constituents	Values
Sand %	6.2
Silt %	19.8
Clay %	74.0
Texture	Clay
pH ( 1:2.5 extract)	7.98
EC ( 1: 2.5 extract) (dsm-1) 1cm /25°C	0.93
O.M.%	2.22
CaCO <sub>3</sub> %	1.99
Total N %	0.11
Available P (ppm)	3.15
Available K (ppm)	428

Common horticultural practices such as fertilization, twice hoeings , irrigation, pinching and pest management were carried out as usual.

This study included the following seven treatments from application of Pota crastal and Vast trivolum each a 0.1% and frequencies.

1-Control (untreated vines).

2-Spraying Pota crastal compound at 0.1% once at growth start (1<sup>st</sup> week of Mar.)

3-Spraying Pota crastal compound at 0.1% twice at growth start (1<sup>st</sup> week of Mar.) and again just at berry setting (1<sup>st</sup> week of Apr.)

4-Spraying Pota crastal compound at 0.1% thrice at growth start (1<sup>st</sup> week of Mar.), again just at berry setting (1<sup>st</sup> week of Apr.) and one month later (1<sup>st</sup> week of May).

5-Spraying Vast trivolum compound at 0.1% once at growth start (1<sup>st</sup> week of Mar.)

6-Spraying Vast trivolum compound at 0.1% twice at growth start (1<sup>st</sup> week of Mar.) and again just at berry setting (1<sup>st</sup> week of Apr.)

7-Spraying Vast trivolum compound at 0.1% thrice at growth start (1<sup>st</sup> week of Mar.), again just at berry setting (1<sup>st</sup> week of Apr.) and one month later (1<sup>st</sup> week of May).

Each treatment was of three replicates , two vine per each (42 vines)

**Table (2): Analysis of Pota crastal:**

Parameters	Values
Amino acids %	5.0%
Some vitamins %	1.0%
K <sub>2</sub> O %	36.0%
N %	5.0%
P %	2.0%
Some micro nutrients (Zn, Mn, B , Mo and Copalt)	2.0%

Table (3): Analysis of Vast trivolum

Parameters	Values	Parameters	Values
Amino acids %	3.0%	Mn %	1.0%
Algae seaweed extract %	4.0%	Mg%	2.5%
Total N %	7.0%	Boron %	0.25%
P %	6.0%	Cu %	0.2%
K <sub>2</sub> O %	7.0%	Si %	0.2%
Fe %	1.5%	Copalt	0.01%

Zn %	1.0%		
------	------	--	--

Randomized complete block design (RCBD) was adopted for carrying out statistical analysis of this study (**Rangaswamy, 1995**).

During three seasons, the following measurements were recorded:

- Percentage of berry setting
- Yield / vine (kg.)
- Number of clusters.
- Weight (g.), length and shoulder of clusters (cm.)
- Weight (g), longitudinal and equatorial of berry (cm.)
- Shot berry %.
- T.S.S. %, reducing sugars % (**Lane and Eynon, 1965**).
- Total acidity % ( as g. tartaric acid/ 100 ml juice) (**A.O.A.C., 2000**).

Statistical analysis was done, treatment means were compared using new L.S.D. at 5% (**Mead et al., 1993**).

## **Results and Discussion**

### **1-Percentage of berry setting, yield and cluster aspects**

It is clear from the data in Tables (4, 5) berry setting %, yield expressed in weight as well as weight and length of cluster were significantly increased in response to application of compounds Pota crastal or Vast trivolium each at 0.1% once , twice or thrice as compared with non-application. There was a gradual promotion on such parameters with increasing frequencies of compounds., using Vast trivolium compound was preferable than using Pota crastal compound in improving berry setting %, yield , number of clusters/ vine as well as weight and lengthand shoulder of cluster.

The best results with regard to berry setting %, yield and cluster aspects were obtained due to treating the vines three times with compound Vast triovolum at 0.1% under such promised treatment, yield per vine reached 10.3 , 13.6 and 14.4 kg , during 2019, 2020 and 2021 seasons, respectively. The untreated vines produced yield / vine reached 9.5, 9.4 and 9.7 kg. during the three seasons, respectively.

The percentage of increment of the yield/ vine in the promised treatment over the control treatment reached 8.4, 44.7 and 48.4 % during three seasons, respectively.

Similar trend was noticed during three seasons number of clusters pervine in the first seasons was insignificantly affected.

## **2- Percentage of shot berries:**

It is revealed from the obtained data in Table (5) that application of compounds Pota crastal or Vast trivolum each at 0.1% reduced the percentage of shot berries relative to the control treatment,. The reduction was clearly associated with increase in compounds frequencies. Using Vast trivolum was significantly superior to using Pota crastal in reducing such undesirable phenomenon.

The lowest values of shot berries (4.2. 4.1 and 4.0%) during three seasons, respectively were recorded on the cluster harvested from vines received three sprays of compound vast trivolum at 0.1%. The highest values of shot berries (6.6, 6.6 and 6.5%) during three seasons, respectively were recorded on the clusters of the vines that vines that untreated with compounds vast trivolum and Pota crastal. Similar trend was noticed during three seasons.

### **3-Physical and chemical characteristics of the berries**

Data in Tables (6, 7) clearly show that application of compounds Pota crastal or Vast trivolum each at 0.1% was significantly very effective in improving quality of the berries in terms of increasing berry weight, length and diameter, T.S.S. %, total sugars % and T.S.S./ acid ratio and reducing total acidity % comparing with non- application. The promotion on quality of the berries was associated with increasing frequencies of compounds. Application of compound Vast trivolum was favourable in improving quality of the berries comparing with using compound Pota crastal.

The best results with regard to quality of the berries were recorded on the vines that received three times with compound Vast trivolum at 0.1% . Similar results were announced during three seasons. Low fruit quality indices were observed on untreated vine. These results were true during three seasons.

### **Discussion**

The previous positive of Amino acids, seaweed extracts and Macro and micro nutrients on growth , nutritional status of the vines and yield as well as physical and chemical characteristics of berries in different grapevines cvs might be attributed to antioxidative aspects, of these amino acids which play an important role in plant defense against oxidative stress induce by unfavourable conditions. Also, they are responsible for stimulating the biosynthesis of proteins, cytokinins natural hormones like IAA, ethylene and DNA, RNA, cell division, organic food and the plant pigments (**Vianello and Meric, 1991; and Orth *et al.*, 1993**).



These beneficial effects surely reflected on producing healthy vines. The present positive effects of amino acids on growth, nutritional status of the vines of superior grapevines are in agreement with those obtained by (**Amin, 2007**) on Red Roomy grapevines (**Sayed – Heba, 2010**) on Thompson seedless grapevines (**Abdelaal, 2012**) on Thompson seedless grapevines and (**Akl *et al.*, 2014**) on superior grapevines.

The beneficial effects of N, P, K, Mg, Fe, Mn, Zn, B and Co. on growth aspects, nutritional status of the vines, yield and berries quality might be ascribed to their essential roles in building amino acids, proteins, natural hormones, vitamins, organic acids, various enzymes, organic foods and plant cells. Their positive action on enhancing cell division and the tolerance of the vines to all unfavourable stress could add another explanation (**Nijjar, 1985; Miller *et al.*, 1990 and Mengel *et al.*, 2010**).

The results with regarding the effect of macro and micro nutrients are concordance with those obtained by **Abd El- Wahab (2010)** on Superior grapevines **Ahmed *et al.*, (2011)** on Thompson seedless grapevines, **Akl *et al.*, (2014)** on Superior grapevines **Farahat (2017)** on early sweet grapevines and **Ebrahiem (2017)** on Flame seedless grapevines.

The positive action of seaweed extracts applications on growth aspects, nutritional status of the vines and yield as well as berries of quality of Superior grapevines was attributed to the following reasons. It has higher content of some macro and micro nutrients as well as vitamins B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>6</sub>, B<sub>12</sub> and natural hormones such as IAA, GA<sub>3</sub> and cytokinins and some, antioxidant (**Kannaiyann, 2002 and Irizar- Garza *et al.*, 2003**).

These results concerning the effect of seaweed extract on promoting growth and fruiting of Superior grapevines are accordance with those obtained by **Seleem – Basma and Ahmed (2008)** and **Gad El- Kareem and Abd El-Rahman (2013)** on Ruby seedless grapevines , **Farag, (2006)** ; **Abd El-Hameed *et al.*, 2010** ; **El Saman, 2010** and **Aly- Samar, 2015** on Flame seedless grapevines and **Tony, 2016** on Superior grapevines.

### **Conclusion**

Carrying out three sprays of compounds Vast trivolum at 0.1% gave the best results with regard to berry setting, yield and berries quality of Superior grapevines grown under El Mina- region.

## REFERENCES

1. **Abd El- Gaber- Nermean, M. H. (2009):** Response of Red Roomy grapevines to foliar application of boron, magnesium and zinc. M. Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
2. **Abd El- Hafezz, G.N. (2006):** Effect of promise on the yield and quality of Red Roomy grapevines M. sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
3. **Abd El- Hameed, H.M. and Abo- El-Ez, A.T. (2004):** Effect of some thinning, girdling and foliar fertilization treatments on shot berries, yield and quality of Ruby seedless grapevines. (*Vitis vinifera* L.) Assiut J. of Agric. Sci. 35 (3) 23-36.
4. **Abd El- Hameed, H.M.; Abada, M.A.M. and Seleem- Basma, M. (2010):** Reducing in organic N fertilizer partially by using yeast, seaweed and farmyard manure extract in Flame seedless grapevines. Minia 2<sup>nd</sup> Conf. Agric. Environ Sci., pp. 81-89.
5. **Abd El- Wahab, M.H., (2010):** Relation of fruiting in superior grapevines with spraying sulphur, magnesium, zinc and boron, M. sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
6. **Abd El-aal, E.E.H.A. (2012):** The synergistic effects of using some nutrients as well as antioxidant substances on growth, nutritional status and productivity of Thompson seedless grapevines grown under Sohag region. Ph. D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Sohag Univ., Egypt

7. **Abd El-Hameed, H. M. and Youssef. W. S.( 2005 ).** Effect of Vetal on Growth, Yield and Fruit Quality of Ahmer Roomy Grapevines. Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Dev. 5(5). pp. 905-920.
8. **Adriano, D.C. (1985):** Trace, Elements in the terrestrial Environment Springer Verlag. New York pp. 20-40.
9. **Ahmed, A.H. and Abd El- Hameed, H.M. (2003):** Growth, uptake of some nutrients and productivity of Red Roomy vines as affected by spraying of some amino acids, magnesium and boron. Minia, J. of Agric. Res. & Develop. 23 (4): 649-666.
10. **Ahmed, F. F.; Ibrahiem- Asmaa, A.; Mansour, A. E. M.; Shaaban, E. A. and ElShamaa, M. S. (2011):** Response of Thompson seedless grapevines to application of some amino acids enriched with nutrients as well as organic and biofertilization. Res. J. of Agric. and Biological Sci. 7 (2): 282-286.
11. **Akl, A.M.M.A., Abdelaziz, F.H., El- Sayed M.A. and Mohamed, T.M.M. (2014):** Response of Superior Grapevines To Spraying Salicylic And Boric Acids. World Rural Observations 6(4).
12. **Aly- Samar, S.H. (2015):** Influence of reducing mineral nitrogen fertilizer partially by using plant compost enriched with *Spirulina platensis* algae fruiting of Flame seedless grapevines. M. Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia, Univ. Egypt.
13. **Amin, M. M. A. (2007):** Response of Red Roomy grapevines to application of amino acids and some micronutrients. M.Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
14. **Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (2000):** Official Methods of Analysis (A.O.A.C), 12<sup>th</sup> Ed., Benjamin Franklin Station, Washington D.Q, U.S.A. pp. 490-510.

15. **Black, G. A.; Evans, D. D.; Ersminger, L. E.; White, J. L. and Dark, F. E. (1965):** Methods of Soil Analysis. Amer. Soc. Agron. Inc. Bull. Medison, Wisconsin, U.S.A. pp. 891- 1400.
16. **Chapman, H.D. and Pratt, P.E. (1987):** Methods of Analysis for Soil, Plant and Water. Univ. California, Div. Agric. Sci. 1, 150.
17. **Ebrahiem, M.M.M. (2017):** promoting the yield quantitatively and quantitatively of Flame seedless grapevines by using Amino acids Enriched with different nutrients. M. sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
18. **El- Kady- Hanaa, F.M. (2011):** Productive performance of Thompson seedless grapevine in relation to application of some antioxidants, magnesium and boron. M. Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
19. **El- Saman, A.Y.E (2010):** Response of Flame seedless grapevines growing under El- Mataana conditions to spraying seaweed extract M. Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ., Egypt.
20. **El- Sawy, Y.A.E. (2009):** Attempts for breaking dormancy and improving fruiting of Superior grapevines. Ph. D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
21. **Farag, S.G. (2006):** Minimizing mineral fertilizers in grapevines Farms to reduce the chemical residuals. M. sc.Thesis Institute of Enviro Stud. and Res. Ain Shams Univ. Egypt.
22. **Farahat, I.A.M. (2017):** Studies on pruning and fertilization of early sweet grapevines growing under Minia Region condition. Ph.D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ., Egypt.

23. **Gad El- Kareem, M.R. and Abd El- Rahman, M.M.A. (2013):** Response of Ruby seedless grapevines to foliar application of seaweed extract. Salicylic acid and roselle extract. Hort. Science Journal of Suez Canal Univ. Vol. 1: 294-303.
24. **Irizar-Garza NU3G, Vargas-Vazquez P. Garza-Garcia D, Tut y Couh C, Rojas-Martinez I, Trujillo-Campos A. Garcia-Silva R, Aguirre-Montoya D, Martinez-Gonzalez JC, Alvarado-Mendoza S, Grajeda-Cabrera 0, Valero-Garza J, Aguirre-Nledina JF (2003):** Respuesta de cultivos agricolas a los bioferitizantes en la region central de Mexico. Agric. Tec. Mex. 29: 213-225.
25. **Kannaiyan, S. (2002):** Biotechnology of Biofertilizers. Alpga. Sci. Inter. Ltd., P.O. Box 4067 Pang Bourne R. G8, UK. Pp. 1-375.
26. **Lane, J.H. and Eynon, L. (1965):** Determination of reducing sugars by means of Fehling's solution with methylene blue as indicator A.O.A.C. Washington D.C/U.S.A.
27. **Mead, R.; Currnow, R. N. and Harted, A. M. (1993):** Statistical Methods in Agricultural and Experimental Biology. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Chapman and Hall, London pp. 10- 44.
28. **Mengel, K.E.; Kirkby, A.; Kosegarten, H. and Appel, T. (2001):** Principles of plant nutrition . 5<sup>th</sup> El Kluwer Academic publishers, Dordrecht, p. 1-311.
29. **Miller, E. W.; Donahue, R. L. and Miller, J. U. (1990):** Soils "An Introduction to soils and Plant Growth." 5<sup>th</sup> Ed. Prenticeo Hall International Inc. Engle word Cliffs, New Jersey, 303 - 339.

30. **Mohamed- Ebtesam, S.E. (2012):** Response of Banatygrapevine to some ethryl, nutrient and antioxidant treatments, Ph. D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ. Egypt.
31. **Nijjar, G. S. (1985):** Nutrition of Fruit Trees. Mrs Usha Raj.Kumar, for Kalyan Publishers, New Delhi India. pp. 282-302.
32. **Orth, A. B., Sfarra, A.; Pell, R J. and Tlen, M. (1993):** Assessing the involvement of free radicals in fungicide toxicity using x-tocopherol analogs pesticide. *Biochemistry and Physiology*, 47: 134 - 141.
33. **Sayed- Heba, F. I. (2010):** Effect of stimulant aminoquelant-Ca and zinc on yield and berries quality of Thompson seedless grapevines. M. Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt.
34. **Seleem - Basma, M and Abd El- Harneed, H. M. (2008):** Effect of the stimulant aminoquelant- Ca on yield and berries quality of Thompson seedless grapevines. *Minia. J. of Agric. Res. & Develop.* Vol. (28) No. 1 pp 13 - 21.
35. **Tony, M.S.S. (2016):** Partial replacement of inorganic N fertilizer in superior grapevines by using compost enriched with some microorganism. M. Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ., Egypt.
36. **Vianello, A. and Marei, F. (1991):** Generation of superoxide anion and hydrogen peroxide at the surface of plant cells. *J. Bioenergetics and Biomembranse*, 23(2): 409-423.
37. **Yagodin, B.A. (1990):** Agricultural; chemistry. Mir publishers Moscow. Pp. 278-281.

Table (4): Effect of spraying pota crastal and vast trivolum on the percentage of berry setting , yield, number and weight clusters of Superior grapevines during 2019, 2020 and 2021 seasons.

Treatments	Berry setting %			No. of clusters per vine			Yield/ vine (kg.)			Cluster weight (g.)		
	2019	2020	2021	2019	2020	2021	2019	2020	2021	2019	2020	2021
Control (untreated vines)	10.5	10.8	11.0	25.0	25.0	26.0	9.5	9.4	9.7	380.0	375.0	375.0
Spraying pota crastal compound at 0.1% once	11.8	12.0	12.2	25.0	26.0	28.0	9.9	10.4	11.3	395.0	400.0	405.0
Spraying pota crastal compound at 0.1% twice	13.2	13.5	13.4	24.0	28.0	29.0	9.8	11.5	12.0	405.0	410.0	415.0
Spraying pota crastal compound at 0.1% thrice	14.6	14.8	15.0	24.0	29.0	30.0	9.8	12.0	12.6	410.0	415.0	420.0
Spraying vast trivolum compound at	14.0	14.2	14.5	24.0	28.0	29.0	9.8	11.5	12.0	405.0	410.0	415.0



0.1% once												
Spraying vast trivolum compound at 0.1% twice	16.0	16.4	16.8	24.0	30.0	31.0	10.2	12.9	13.5	425.0	430.0	435.0
Spraying vast trivolum compound at 0.1% thrice	16.7	17.1	17.3	24.0	31.0	32.0	10.3	13.6	14.4	430.0	440.0	450.0
New L.S.D. at 5%	0.9	0.9	1.0	NS	1.8	1.9	0.3	0.4	0.5	9.5	11.8	11.5

Table (5): Effect of spraying pota crastal and vast trivolum on cluster dimensions and percentages of shot berries % of Superior grapevines during 2019, 2020 and 2021 seasons.

Treatments	Cluster length (cm.)			Cluster width (cm.)			Shot berries %		
	2019	2020	2021	2019	2020	2021	2019	2020	2021
Control (untreated vines)	18.5	18.8	19.0	11.5	11.7	11.9	6.6	6.6	6.5
Spraying pota crastal compound at 0.1% once	19.9	20.2	21.0	12.8	13.2	14.0	5.8	5.7	5.7
Spraying pota crastal compound at 0.1% twice	21.5	22.0	22.5	13.0	13.2	13.5	5.3	5.2	5.1
Spraying pota crastal compound at 0.1% thrice	22.8	23.4	23.6	14.0	14.1	14.3	4.9	4.8	4.7
Spraying vast trivolum compound at 0.1% once	20.8	21.0	21.6	13.0	13.3	13.6	5.2	5.1	5.0
Spraying vast trivolum compound at 0.1% twice	22.8	23.3	23.6	14.3	14.5	14.7	4.6	4.5	4.4
Spraying vast trivolum compound at 0.1% thrice	24.2	25.6	26.0	14.8	15.0	15.3	4.2	4.1	4.0

New L.S.D. at 5%	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3
------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Table (6): Effect of spraying pota crastal and vast trivolum on some physical characteristics of the berries of Superior grapevines during 2019, 2020 and 2021 seasons.

Treatments	Av. Berry weight (g.)			Av. Berry length (cm.)			Av. Berry diameter (cm.)		
	2019	2020	2021	2019	2020	2021	2019	2020	2021
Control (untreated vines)	3.22	3.25	3.25	2.20	2.25	2.25	2.10	2.15	2.14
Spraying pota crastal compound at 0.1% once	3.30	3.32	3.33	2.32	2.33	2.35	2.23	2.24	2.26
Spraying pota crastal compound at 0.1% twice	3.42	3.44	3.45	2.38	2.40	2.42	2.28	2.30	2.31
Spraying pota crastal compound at 0.1% thrice	3.46	3.48	3.49	2.45	2.50	2.50	2.36	2.41	2.41
Spraying vast trivolum compound at 0.1% once	3.41	3.43	3.45	2.35	2.40	2.40	2.26	2.31	2.32
Spraying vast trivolum compound at 0.1% twice	3.52	3.55	3.58	2.43	2.46	2.48	2.33	2.36	2.38
Spraying vast trivolum compound at 0.1% thrice	3.58	3.62	3.64	2.55	2.60	2.62	2.45	2.50	2.51

New L.S.D. at 5%	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.04
------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

Table (7): Effect of spraying pota crastal and vast trivolum on some chemical characteristics of the berries of Superior grapevines during 2019, 2020 and 2021 seasons.

Treatments	TSS %			Reducing sugars %			Total acidity %			TSS/ acidity ratio		
	2019	2020	2021	2019	2020	2021	2019	2020	2021	2019	2020	2021
Control (untreated vines)	18.4	18.5	18.5	16.2	16.3	16.3	0.695	0.695	0.690	26.4	26.8	26.8
Spraying pota crastal compound at 0.1% once	19.3	19.6	19.7	17.1	17.3	17.4	0.675	0.665	0.660	28.6	29.4	29.8
Spraying pota crastal compound at 0.1% twice	20.0	20.2	20.4	17.9	18.0	18.2	0.665	0.660	0.650	30.0	30.6	31.3
Spraying pota crastal compound at 0.1% thrice	20.6	20.7	20.9	18.5	18.6	18.8	0.630	0.620	0.610	32.6	33.3	34.2
Spraying vast trivolum compound at	19.8	20.0	20.2	17.9	18.1	18.1	0.640	0.630	0.620	30.9	31.7	32.6

0.1% once												
Spraying vast trivolum compound at 0.1% twice	20.9	21.0	21.1	18.8	18.9	19.0	0.600	0.595	0.585	34.8	35.3	36.0
Spraying vast trivolum compound at 0.1% thrice	21.2	21.3	21.4	19.0	19.1	19.2	0.580	0.570	0.565	36.5	37.7	37.9
New L.S.D. at 5%	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.013	0.014	0.015	1.1	1.2	1.3