Military Technical College Kobry Elkobbah, Cairo, Egypt May 16-18,2006



3<sup>nd</sup> International Conference on Engineering Mathematics and Physics (ICMEP-3)

# ELECTROMAGNETIC SCATTERING COMPUTATION METHODS FOR VERY SMALL PARTICLES

BASHIR \* S.O.

Faculty of Engineering, Karary Academy of Technolongy, Khartoum, Sudan

## ABSTRACT

An electromagnetic wave propagating through a cloud of very small particles ( say dust/sand ) experiences extinction ( absorption and scattering ) .The size of these particles is normally less than 0.2 mm ( in radius ), much smaller than the microwave wavelength,therefore it is possible to avoid laborious computation by using approximations . Not only are these considerably simpler than existing solutions ( e.g. for the sphere ) but they can often provide useful physical insight into the processes occurring . In this paper we have checked the Rayleigh theory ( Rayleigh scatterer ) against a full solution of Maxwell's time-varying fields equations through a point matching technique ( PMT ) and the agreement between PMT and Rayleigh approximations for small particles is found to be good .

## **KEY WORD**

Scattering computations, Maxwell's equations full solution, Rayleigh-scatterer approximation.

## 1. SCATTERING COMPUTATION METHODS

### **1.1 Rayleigh-Method Ellipsoids**

The simplest approximation is the Rayleigh scatterer approximation . This applies in the following limits (simplifications):

$$\frac{2\pi a}{\lambda} << 1$$

and

$$\frac{2\pi a}{\lambda} |\varepsilon - 1| << 1$$

\* Ministry of National Defense, Karary Academy of Technology, College of Eng'g, Electrcal & Computer Dept.

where a=dust/sand particle's radius  $\lambda$  =transmitting wavelength  $\varepsilon$  =permittivity of the particle

The first simplification introduced by the small size is that the particle may be considered to be placed in a homogeneous (uniform) electric field, and the second simplification ensures that the phase of the internal field is not very different to the external field. Then, the scattering and absorption cross sections are given by :

$$\sigma_{sca} = \frac{8}{3} \pi^5 \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^4 a^6 \left|\frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon + 1}\right|^2 \tag{1}$$

and

$$\sigma_{abs} = \frac{8\pi^2}{\lambda} a^3 \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon + 1}\right)$$
(2)

Since  $2 \pi a / \lambda \ll 1$  it follows that for most particle in the Rayleigh approximation

$$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle abs} \! > \! \sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle sca}$$

Provided Im  $\varepsilon$  is not very small for a given frequency. The total extinction cross section in this approximation is :

$$\sigma_{ext} = \sigma_{sca} + \sigma_{abs}$$

When Im  $\varepsilon = 0$ ,  $\sigma_{abs} = 0$  and  $\sigma_{sca}$  dominates no matter how small particle is compared with the wavelength. The lowest value of Im  $\varepsilon$  measured so far is 0.0091, with the

corresponding Re  $\varepsilon$  equal to 2.5384, it is found that Im  $\left(\frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon + 1}\right) = 1.23254 \times 10^{-3}$  while

 $\left|\frac{\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon + 1}\right|^2 = 0.1491$ . Taking a = 0.1 mm as the largest particle at 10 GHz we have, even for this permittivity:

$$\frac{\sigma_{sca}}{\sigma_{abs}} = 6.889 x 10^{-4}$$

This justifies neglecting the scatter contribution to extinction at least up to 30 GHz.

Considering a dielectric ellipsoid with principal axes labeled 1, 2, and , 3 and the corresponding semi-axes a, b, and c, the particle forward ( $\theta = 0$ ) scattering coefficient S(0) for a plane wave

incident along a principal axis and linearly polarized parallel to the i'th principal axis is given in the small particle Rayleigh approximation by :

$$S_i(0) = j\beta^3 \alpha_i \qquad (\beta = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda})$$
(3)

$$\alpha_i = \frac{V_p}{4\pi \left(L_i + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_r - 1}\right)} , i=1, 2, 3$$

Where

 $V_p$  = is the particle's volume  $L_i$  = factors which depend on the shape only; they satisfy:  $L_1 + L_2 + L_3 = 1$  (Ph.D, 1984) [1]  $\alpha_i$  = the polarizability [3]  $\varepsilon_r$  = relative permittivity of the particle

 $L_i$  are approximated by McEwen and Bashir, 1983 [4] as follows :

$$L_1 = 0.2, L_2 = 0.3, L_3 = 0.5$$

#### **1.2 Point-Matching-Technique**

The Point-Matching-Technique (PMT) is a solution to Maxwell's two main field equations:

$$\nabla \times \bar{E} = -\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} \tag{4}$$

$$\nabla \times \bar{H} = \bar{J} + \frac{\partial D}{\partial t}$$
(5)

where they are exactly satisfied in the boundaries, exterior and interior to the dust/sand particle and the incident plane wave is expanded in a complex Fourier series similar to the treatment used by Morrison et al 1974 [6], this is simply because of the symmetry of the semi-axis of the ellipsoid at the origin, and this made it easier for solving the unknown coefficients, as opposed to expanding the incident wave in spherical harmonics Oguchi 1974 [5]. The forward scattered amplitudes ( $\theta = 0$ ) for the dual polarizations are given by the following expressions: Proceeding of 3<sup>rd</sup> International Conference on Engineering Mathematics and Physics EM-8 81

$$S_{\nu}(0) = \frac{1}{E_{\nu}} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n \ge |m|}^{\infty} (-j)^{n-1} x [a_{mn}^{\nu} \frac{m}{\sin \alpha} P_{n}^{|m|}(\cos \alpha) + b_{mn}^{\nu} \frac{dP_{n}^{|m|}(\cos \alpha)}{d\alpha}]$$
(6)

and

$$S_{h}(0) = \frac{1}{E_{h}} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n \ge |m|}^{\infty} (-j)^{n+2} x [a_{mn}^{h} \frac{dP_{n}^{|m|}(\cos\alpha)}{d\alpha} + b_{mn}^{h} n \frac{m}{\sin\alpha} P_{n}^{|m|}(\cos\alpha)]$$
(7)

where  $P_n^{[m]}$  are associated Legendre functions of the first kind, and  $\alpha$  is the angle of incidence between the direction of propagation and the axis of symmetry. For more details of equations (6) and (7) see Bashir's Ph.D thesis 1984 [1] and also Bashir 1980 (ITU Journal) [2].

In terrestrial line-of sight radio-rely links, this angle is 90 degrees, with smaller values (70, 50, etc) for satellite links, deep space ships, and so on.

### CONCLUSION

A computer programme is available and is used to check the Rayleigh theory results against the fields full solution through the PMT. The results for vertical polarization are depicted in table 1. The agreement between the two methods is very good ; the relative error is less than 1% for the real part and less than 0.4% for the imaginary part.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is deeply indebted to Karary Academy of Technology for allowing me to use the facilities to perform this work .

#### REFERENCES

[1] Bashir,S.O.: "The Effects of Sand and Dust Storms on Microwave Antennas and Propagation,"Ph.D thesis University of Bradford U.K. (1984).

[2] Bashir,S.O,Dissanayke,A.W,McEwen,N.J.:"Prediction of Forward Scattering and Crosspolarization due to Dry and Moist "haboub" and Sandstorms in Sudan in the 9.4 GHz Band," International Telecommunication Union (ITU) Journal Vol.VII,Geneve,pp.462-467,(1980).

[3] Van de Hulst, H.C.: "Light Scattering by Small Particles," Willy New York (1957).

[4] McEwen,N.J,Bashir,S.O.: "Microwave Propagation in Sand and Dust Storms ; The Theoretical Bases of Particle Falling Behavior," IEE International Conference on Antennas and Propagation,U.K(1983).

[5] Oguchi, T, Hosoya. : "Scattering Properties of Oblate Rain Drops and Crosspolarization of Radio Waves due to Rain (Part II) : Calculations at Microwave and Millimeter Wave Rigions," Journal of The Radio Research Labs., Vol., 21, No.105, pages 191-259 (1974).

[6] Morrison, J.A, Cross, M.J.: "Scattering of Plane Electromagnetic Wave by Axisymmetric Rain Drops," The Bell System Technical Journal, Vol.53, No.6, pages 955-1019 (July-August1974).

Description of method	$S_{\nu}(0) \text{ scattering amplitudes for } $ vertical polarization	(c) radius of miner axis (mm)	c/a ratio of miner to major axis
Rayleigh theory of ellipsoids	3.251x10 <sup>-8</sup> -j1.119x10 <sup>-6</sup> 2.485x10 <sup>-7</sup> -j8.549x10 <sup>-6</sup> 1.900x10 <sup>-6</sup> -j6.529x10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.05 0.10 0.20	0.8 0.8 0.8
P.M.T	3.282x10 <sup>-8</sup> -j1.123x10 <sup>-6</sup> 2.510x10 <sup>-7</sup> -j8.588x10 <sup>-6</sup> 1.924x10 <sup>-6</sup> -j6.565x10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.05 0.10 0.20	0.8 0.8 0.8

# Table 1: A comparison (check) between Rayleigh theory for ellipsoids and the pointmatching-technique