Assessment of Quality and Safety of Ras Cheese in Egyptian Delta Governorates

Abdallah M. Eldenary¹; Moustafa A.A. Hassan²; Dina. A.M. Amer¹; Ashraf Bakr¹

¹Department of Food Science & Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Tanta University, Egypt. ² Food Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.

Corresponding author:



J.Sust.Agri.Env.Sci (JSAES)

Keywords:

Ras cheese; Hazards; Pathogenic bacteria; Quality; Food safety.

ABSTRACT

Ras cheese is manufactured from only raw milk and it is ripening in unhygienic conditions that caused grow the pathogenic bacteria, molds, and yeasts. Therefore, in this survey study, Some chemical, Physiochemical and microbiological analysis were conducted of Ras cheese in Egyptian Delta Governorates. The average of moisture content was 35.57% In addition; the average of Fat and protein % in all samples were 33.53 % and 23.27% respectively with no significant differences. Ash and salt content of all samples were 4.23 and 3.56% respectively. Physical hazards were also measured and listed. Moreover, Biogenic amines including Histamine, Tyramine, Putrescine, and Cadaverine are 50.2, 757.9, 56.38, and 70.4 mg/kg respectively with highly significant different between the tested samples and high level than limits. Aflatoxin M1 average was 51.46 ng/kg in 60 % of samples, these values were higher than The European Union limit (25 ng/kg). Heavy metals, Pesticides and progesterone residues were detected, and appeared higher values in most samples than limits. The microbial analysis of TBC, yeast & mold, and Coliform were higher than Egyptian standards. In most, samples some pathogenic bacteria including Bacillus cereus, Clostridium sp., Salmonella sp., Campylobacter sp., Staphylococcus aureus, and Listeria monocytogenes were determined with unallowable numbers. Finally, we recommended using of HACCP and Good Manufacturing and hygiene practices to reduce hazards and produce safe Ras cheese.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ras cheese is one of the most important hard cheeses in Egypt and it is favorable due to its taste and rich nutrient values. Ras cheese makes up about 20% of cheese production in Egypt approximately 75000 tons. This type of cheese made of collection mix of cow's and buffalo's milk or only raw cow's milk. Recently, the increasing of consumer request for quality and safe foods; require form manufacturers to follow HACCP (Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point) system. The most essential system in food safety and quality assurance programs is the HACCP system, and it is a factory-specific and productspecific safety system. The most Ras cheese product in Egypt lac to quality and safety assurance. It is needs to control the microbial, chemical (biogenic amines. aflatoxins. veterinary drugs, pesticides residues, and heavy metals), and physical hazards (FDA, 2001., and FDA, 2011).

This study's primary objective was to evaluate the quality and safety of Ras cheese. Furthermore, the Egyptian Organization for Standardization and Quality Control reported that to reduce the harmful microbial content in Ras cheese; milk must be thermal treated, whereas the pasteurization eliminates the natural microflora in raw milk (Egyptian standards, 2005). Therefore, the application of this system plan in a small cheese factory was achieved to determine microbiological hazards and (CCPs) and the major hazard was raw milk (Nasr, 2018). The most essential hazards in most dairy products in Egypt are microbiological hazards. Thus, many microbiological tests should be check and verify about raw materials and select monitoring to accepted suppliers. Total yeast and mold counts, coliforms count and total count are used to know the pointers for the quality and safety of cheese (McMeekin, 2003). Microbiological hazards were existed more than physical or chemical hazards in dairy products, when the comparing was made in different food hazards (Nasr, 2018). Pathogenic Bacteria including Bacillus cereus, Clostridium sp., Salmonella sp., Campylobacter sp., Staphylococcus aureus, Listeria monocytogenes and Escherichia coli (Teng et al., 2004 and Asselt et al., 2017).

Biogenic amines (BAs) are low molecular weight organic bases that have biological activity, also they are created in food during the decarboxylation of amino acids by diverse micro-organisms. Biogenic amines configuration is imperative in fermented food products like cheese (Erim 2013). Biogenic amines in dairy products reflect the public worry about the concentration of BAs in those products for the harmful health effects, especially in allergic patients or histamine sensitive (Moniente, 2022). Ras cheese needs a long ripening period, therefore the biochemical changing, i.e., proteolysis and different microorganisms decompose milk protein to free amino acids. Moreover, the most popular Biogenic amines in Ras cheese are cadaverine, histamine, putrescine, and tyramine, and these amines are biogenic. Some of those microorganisms are Lactobacillus, Streptococcus, Enterobacteriaceae. Clostridium, Pseudomonas, and Micrococcus create biogenic amines (Shalaby, 2016).

One of the main natural chemical compositions that cause a lot of concern around the world is Aflatoxin, from animal feeds and dairy products (**Sasan, 2020**). The aflatoxin B1 is metabolized in the liver to form

AFM1, which is expressed in cow's milk (Maria Helena, 2013). The level of progesterone increases in high-fat dairy products, so there are variations between progesterone concentrations in milk and dairy products. The effect of Progesterone on hormonal activity in humans is a carcinogenic effect (FAO/WHO 2012., and FDA 2015).

Another hazard critical factor is heavy metals in milk products, which come from feeds, soil, grass, and environmental pollution (Licata, 2004 and Pilarczyk, 2013). In addition, pesticide residue is one of the most human health harmful can also be secreted in milk and present in dairy products (Nag, 2010 and Asselt, 2017). Physical hazards are foreign materials or strange objects not usually found in dairy and food products, also those can cause illness, psychological trauma, and injury to the consumers who intake them (Aguiar et al., 2018).

This study aimed to survey Hazards in Ras cheese in Gharbia and Kafr El sheikh governorates and develop an HACCP plan as a preventive approach for microbiological, chemical and physical control.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS 2.1. samples.

Ras cheeses samples (1 kg of each) were collected from 20 small factories, 10 samples from Gharbia and 10 from Kafr El Sheikh Governorates. Production date of Tested samples was from **September** to **December 2021**. Cheese samples were transported and stored at 4°C tell analysis. All other reagents and chemicals were used in analytical grade.

2.2. Methods.

2.2.1. Chemical analysis.

Chemical and physical analysis of Ras cheese samples (moisture, protein, fat, salt, ash, and pH contents) were determined as described by (AOAC, 2012). pH values were determined using bench–top pH meters, HANNA Model HI – 9321. salt content was determined using Volhard method.

2.2.2. Detection of Physical hazards.

Ras cheese samples were examined for some physical hazards by optical examination including fine stones, sand, and other foreign materials such as plastic, nails steel, Metal filings, Broken glass, Wooden splinters, and hair from salt and raw materials (**Aguiar 2018**).

2.2.3. Detection of chemical hazards:

Ras cheese samples were examined chemical hazards including for some (progesterone hormone by Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) according to Romero et al., (2007). Biogenic amines were determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) according to Krause et al., (1995). Aflatoxin M1 was determined by highperformance liquid chromatography (HPLC) Wang et al., (2012). Pesticides Residues was determined by LC-MSMS, GC-MSD. EN 15662:2008. Heavy metals were determined by Atomic absorption spectrometry after highpressure microwave digestion. Sepe et al., (2003).

2.2.5. Microbiological Analyses Methods.

Total bacterial count (TBC) and Total Coliform (TC) counts were determined according to (**APHA**, **2012**). *Lactococcus* sp. and *Lactobacillus* sp. were determined according to **Fornasari** *et al.*, (**2006**). Yeast and Molds were determined according to Hantke, (1972). *B.cereus* was determined according to Mossel *et al.*, (1967). Clostridium numbers was detected according to Fryer T. F. and Halligan A. C. (1976). *Salmonella* sp. was used according to Andrews *et al.*, (1995). *Campylobacter* sp. according to (ISO-10272-1-2006). *Staphylococcus aureus* ss *aureus* was determined as described by (FDA, 2001). *L. monocytogenes* was detected according to (ISO 112090-2006).

2.2.6. Statistical Analysis:

The results of chemical and microbiological analyses were statistically SPSS 25. evaluated by (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and are presented as the means ±SD. Significance among means was carried out by using Duncan's multiple tests at $p \le 0.05$. and Significant differences between treatments were tested by ANOVA.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Physiochemical analysis of Ras Cheese samples.

Moisture content in samples from 0.4% Gharbia was $35.13\pm$ and from Kafr El Sheikh was $36.00 \pm 0.4\%$. Data in Table (1) shows that there was no significant different between moisture content in the two governorates. The average moisture content of all 20 samples was $35.57 \pm 0.4\%$. Our findings are agreed with the Egyptian standards (1007-5/2005) adopt that the Moisture of Ras cheese should be below 40%. On the other hand, moisture average in our results was higher than those mentioned by Abbas et. al., (2017) that found the moisture contents of Ras cheeses made from raw milk was 30.95%.

FatandProteincontent:datapresentedinTable(1)indicatesthatno

significant differences in fat and protein average in both governorates. Whereas fat average was $33.43 \pm 0.3\%$ in Gharbia samples and $33.62 \pm 0.3\%$ in Kafr El Sheikh samples. On the other hand, protein average was in the tested samples from Gharbia was 23.16±0.2 % and from Kafr El Sheikh was 23.38±0.2 %. standards The Egyptian (1007-5/2005)established that the Fat percentage in Ras cheese should be 27% and protein should be 24% at least. In general, the average of Fat and protein in the all tested samples of the two governorates were $33.53 \pm 0.3\%$ and $23.27\pm$ 0.2% respectively.

Comparing with results of other articles, our results are higher than those recorded by Hammam et al., (2018) who found that the fat content of Ras cheese was 30.66 %, while were lower than those mentioned by (Abbas, 2017) was 36.39 %. Also, these results are below those accorded by Hofi et al., (1970), who found the total protein of Ras cheese made from raw milk at three months of age was 26.25%. However, total protein will rise because of the loss of moisture after the ripening period for more time. Furthermore, protein plays an essential role in cheese firmness due to increasing protein trigger a harder texture, as a result, protein constitutes the only continuing solid phase in Ras cheese.

As the Ash content principally reflects the salt content of Ras cheese due to the Ash is the compound of minerals and salts. Table (1) represent the average of Ash in samples from Gharbia is $4.27\pm 0.052\%$ and from Kafr El Sheikh is $4.18\pm 0.052\%$. In addition, Table 1 shows the average of salt in samples from Gharbia is 3.61 ± 0.043 % and from Kafr El Sheikh is 3.51 ± 0.043 % and there is no different significant between the means of salt content in the two governorates and the average Ash and salt content of all 20 samples is 4.23 ± 0.052 and 3.56 ± 0.043 % respectively. he last measured parameter in Table (1) is the pH value. The average pH in samples from Gharbia was 5.46 ± 0.05 and from Kafr El Sheikh 5.47 ± 0.05 , that indicates no different significant between the two governorates in the mean of pH values, and the average pH of all 20 samples is 5.47 ± 0.05 .

The Ras cheese made from raw milk had natural microflora, which caused the increasing in acidity. Hence, the pH has major importance to the safety and quality of the final product.

propert y	Gove rnates					sam	ples					means Gov	average
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
Moistur	Gov1	37.4 ^a	35.9 ^a	34.8 ^a	34.8 ^a	32.9 ^b	33.9 ^a	33.8 ^a	36.7 ^a	35.7 ^a	34.9 ^a	35.13 ^a	$35.57\pm$
e%		±	^b ±	^b ±	^b ±	\pm	^b ±	^b ±	^b ±	^b ±	^b ±	±	0.409
-		0.409	0.409	0.409	0.409	0.409	0.409	0.409	0.409	0.409	0.409	0.409	
	Gov2	36.6 ^a	36.2ª	36.5 ^a	36.6 ^a	34.8 ^a	36.7 ^a	35.6 ^a	36.5 ^a	33.8 ^a	36.3ª	36.00 ^a	
		^b ±	^b ±	^b ±	^b ±	^b ±	^b ±	^b ±	^b ±	^b ±	^b ±	±	
		0.409	0.409	0.409	0.409	0.409	0.409	0.409	0.409	0.409	0.409	0.409	
Fat%	Gov1	33.74	33.34	33.24	33.14	34.14	3343 ^a	33.34	33.44	33.34	33.54	33.43 ^a	$33.53\pm$
		3ª±	$3^{a}\pm$	$3^{a}\pm$	$3^{a}\pm$	$3^{a}\pm$	±	$3^{a}\pm$	$3^{a}\pm$	$3^{a}\pm$	$3^{a}\pm$	±	0.318
-		0.318	0.318	0.318	0.318	0.318	0.318	0.318	0.318	0.318	0.318	0.318	
	Gov2	33.64	33.64	33.54	33.44	33.44	33.54	33.74	33.54	33.84	33.84	33.62 ^a	
		3ª±	3ª±	3ª±	3ª±	3ª±	$3^{a}\pm$	$3^{a}\pm$	$3^{a}\pm$	$3^{a}\pm$	$3^{a}\pm$	±	
		0.318	0.318	0.318	0.318	0.318	0.318	0.318	0.318	0.318	0.318	0.318	
Protein	Gov1	22.7ª	23.1ª	23.2ª	22.8ª	24.2ª	23.1ª	23.3ª	22.6 ^a	22.7ª	23.6 ^a	23.16 ^a	23.27±
%		±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	±	0.233
-	~ •	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	
	Gov2	22.9 ^a	23ª±	22.9 ^a	23.2ª	23.4ª	23.9 ^a	23.4ª	23.2ª	24ª±	23.6 ^a	23.38ª	
		±	0.233	±	±	±	±	±	±	0.233	±	±	
. 1.0/	<u> </u>	0.233	4 1 40	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	0.233	1 a ch	0.233	0.233	1.00
Ash%	Govl	4.35	4.14 ^c	4.07	4.28	4.77ª	4.14 ^c	4.17 ^c	4.38	4.26	4.15 ^c	$4.27^{a}\pm$	4.23±
		ٹ±	±	±	*±	±	±	±	÷±	÷±	±	0.052	0.052
-		0.052	0.052	0.052	0.052	0.052	0.052	0.052	0.052	0.052	0.052	4.103	
	Gov2	4.12°	4.14°	4.07	4.04°	4.15°	4.34	4.07	4.16°	4.63 ^{°°}	4.15°	4.18 [°] ±	
		±	±	±	±	±	°±	±	±	0.052	±	0.052	
C - 1(0/	C = = 1	$\frac{0.052}{2.7ab}$	$\frac{0.052}{2.5^{\text{bc}}}$	0.052	$\frac{0.052}{2.6bc}$	0.052	$\frac{0.052}{2.6bc}$	$\frac{0.052}{2.5^{\text{bc}}}$	$\frac{0.052}{2.7ab}$	$\frac{0.052}{2.6bc}$	$\frac{0.052}{2.5^{\text{bc}}}$	2 (18)	250
Salt%	GOVI	3./**	3.5	3.4°±	3.6	$4^{-}\pm$	3.6	3.5	3./**	3.6	3.5	$3.01^{-\pm}$	$3.30\pm$
		\pm	\pm	0.042	\pm	0.043	±	±	\pm	\pm	\pm	0.043	0.043
-	Carro	2.40	$\frac{0.043}{2.5^{bc}}$	2.40	2 46	2 5bc	$\frac{0.043}{2.6^{bc}}$	2.49	$\frac{0.043}{2.5^{\text{bc}}}$	$\frac{0.043}{2.0ab}$	$\frac{0.043}{2.5^{\text{bc}}}$	2 5 1 8	
	G0V2	3.4 ±	3.3	3.4 ±	3.4 ±	3.3	3.0	3.4 ±	3.5	3.9	3.3	$3.31 \pm$	
		0.042	\pm 0.042	0.042	0.042	\pm 0.042	\pm 0.042	0.042	\pm 0.042	\pm 0.042	\pm 0.042	0.043	
nII	Covil	0.045	5.5 ^a	5 1ª	5.5 ^a	5 1ª	0.045	5 1ª	5.5 ^a	5 1ª	5.5ª	5 16a	5 47+0
рн	001	$3.4^{\circ}\pm$	3.3^{\pm}	$3.4^{\circ}\pm$	3.3^{\pm}	$3.4^{\circ}\pm$	$3.3 \pm$	3.4^{\pm}	3.3^{\pm}	3.4^{\pm}	3.3^{\pm}	$3.40 \pm$	J.4/±0. ∩51
-		0.031	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.031	031
	Gov2	$5.5^{a}\pm$	$5.5^{\mathrm{a}}\pm$	5.4 ^a ±	5.4 ^a ±	$5.5^{\mathrm{a}}\pm$	$5.5^{a}\pm$	$5.5^{\mathrm{a}}\pm$	5.4 ^a ±	$5.5^{\mathrm{a}}\pm$	5.3 ^a ±	$5.47^{a} \pm$	
		0.051	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.051	

Table 1. Physiochemical Composition of Ras cheese samples collected from 20 factories in two different governates: Gov1 = Gharbia and Gov2 = Kafr El Sheikh

3.2. Physical hazards of Ras cheese

Physical Contaminants found in Ras cheese samples by optical examination, as they are show in **Table (2)**. All samples were polluted with foreign materials, such as metals, plastics, insects, hair, wooden splinters, stones and sand. Thus, high-grade salt must be use and Filter milk correctly (**Aguiar 2018**).

Table 2. Physical hazards in Ras cheese samples collected from 20 factories in two different governorates.

Physical	Govern-					san	nples				
hazards	orates	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Metals	Gov1	ND	+	ND	ND	ND	ND	+	ND	ND	ND
	Gov2	ND	ND	ND	+	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	+
plastics	Gov1	+	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	+	ND	+
	Gov2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	+	+	ND
insects	Gov1	ND	+	+	ND	ND	+	+	ND	ND	+
	Gov2	ND	ND	ND	+	+	ND	ND	ND	+	+
Hair	Gov1	ND	ND	+	ND	ND	+	+	+	+	ND
	Gov2	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
wooden	Gov1	+	+	ND	+	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
splinters	Gov2	ND	+	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Stones &	Gov1	+	+	+	+	+	+	ND	+	+	+
Building	Gov2	+	+	+	+	+	ND	+	+	+	+
materials											
sand	Gov1	+	+	+	+	+	+	ND	+	+	+
	Gov2	+	+	+	+	+	ND	+	+	+	+

3.3. Chemical hazards of Ras cheese Biogenic amines (BAs) Contaminants in Ras cheese samples:

The determined Biogenic amines i.e., Histamine, Tyramine, Putrescine and Cadaverine in Ras cheese samples are show in Table (3). The averages of Histamine, Tyramine, Putrescine, and Cadaverine content of Ras cheese samples are 50.2 ± 0.05 , $757.9\pm$ 0.05, 56.38 ± 0.05 , and 70.4 ± 0.05 mg/kg respectively with highly significant different (P<0.0001).

These results reported that the detected Histamine level in our samples is very high regarding to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration standers, which set histamine limits in food in general at 50 mg/kg. (FDA,2018)¹and (FDA,2018)². Our results are higher than those mentioned by (Shalaby, 2016) who found that the Histamine, Putrescine, and cadaverine in Ras cheese was 9.6, 40.8, and 67.4 mg/kg respectively, but below the tyramine content in Ras cheese was 930.8 mg/kg. While are lower than those mentioned by (Pesqueira, 2018), who found that the Histamine, Putrescine, and cadaverine in cheese were 53.22, 260.32, and 88.28 mg/kg respectively and upper tyramine value was 384.6 mg/kg.

Egyptian Standards (1007-5/2005) did not define the limits for biogenic amines in Ras cheese or any food, moreover, legislation selected the limits for one single biogenic amine that is histamine due to it being the main essential Amine from a toxicological, without creating limits for other amines, particularly tyramine, that have hard toxic effects (Capillas,2019). configuration The of biogenic amines in cheeses is based on milk pasteurization, pH, time and temperature of the ripening period, salt concentration, and of starter cultures (Linares et al. 2012).

Aflatoxin M1 (AFM1) Contaminants in Ras cheese samples:

Aflatoxin M1 determined in our Ras cheese samples is listed in **Table (3)**. The

AFM1 levels in Ras cheese samples are ranging from 4.35 ± 0.05 to 95.71 ± 0.05 ng/kg, with an average of 51.464 ± 0.05 ng/kg, different significant which is highly (P < 0.0001). These result is lower than this mentioned by Aiad, (2013) who found that AFM1in Ras cheese ranged from 7.40 to 111.50 ng/kg, with an average of 56.048 ng/kg. On the other hand, Aflatoxin M1 in 60 % of our samples was higher than The European Union limit for AFM1 in dairy products (25 ng/kg) EC, (2010) and the Egyptian Regulations, (1990) which pointed that milk and dairy products should be free from AFM1.

AFM1 is carcinogenic and hepatotoxic. Therefore, It's an urgent need to control Aflatoxins in animal feeds. Duo to the presence of AFM1 in dairy products is the presence of AFB1 in the animal feed then Aflatoxin B1 transformed to M1 in the liver of animal mammals, and the creation of AFB1. B2, G1, and G2 by fungi that grow on cheese such as Aspergillus sp. (Andreia Vaz, 2020). Consequently, the concentration of AFM1 in hard cheese is five-fold greater than in milk, and in soft cheese is three-fold greater than in milk. (Sasan, 2020).

Veterinary Drugs and hormones Contaminants in Ras cheese:

Progesterone detected in all tested Ras cheese samples is shown in **Table (3)**. Progesterone levels were ranging from 4.2 ± 0.05 to 25 ± 0.05 ng/g with an average of 16.84 ± 0.05 ng/g, with highly significant different (P<0.0001). These results are not complied with the **Egyptian standard (1007-5/2005)**, which establishes that Ras cheese should be free of hormones and veterinary drugs residuals. The possible source of progesterone and veterinary compounds is the bad use of GVP (Good veterinary practices) by not following withdrawal periods, so the farmers should wait a particular interval of time after giving animals veterinary drugs to can sell them products, **Asselt**, (2017). The level of progesterone increases in high-fat dairy products, so there are variations between progesterone concentrations in milk and dairy products. (**Malekinejad**, 2015).

Residuals of pesticides Contaminants in Ras cheese samples: While Egyptian standard (1007-5/2005), establishes that Ras cheese should be free of pesticides and the CODEX Maximum Residue Limit (MRL) of 0.1 mg/kg on a fat basis; all tested Ras cheese samples were contaminated with Residuals of the most determined pesticides as shown in Table (3). Imidoclopride and Diazinon were not detected in all cheese samples. The results showed that 40% of collected samples were contaminated with Fluazifop-P- Butyl. In addition, 60% of samples were contaminated with Chlorfluazuron and Ortho-Phenyl-Phenol. Moreover, 80 % of the tested samples contaminated with Deltamethrin. were Finally, all samples are contaminated with Malathion and Chlopyrifos. The lack of awareness of the danger of pesticides among Egyptian farmers, also the absence of product control are the main reason to such results.

chemical	hazards			samples			average	limi
		1	2	3	4	5		ts
biogenic	histami	$10.6^{e}\pm0.0$	36.0°±0.0	61.0 ^b ±0.0	$11.4^{d}\pm0.0$	132 ^a ±0.0	50.2±0.05	50
amines	ne	57	57	57	57	57	7	
(mg/kg)	tyramin	893.3 ^a ±0.	785.6 ^d ±0.	457.9 ^e ±0.	812.4 ^c ±0.	843.3 ^b ±0.	757.9 ± 0.0	80
	е	057	057	057	057	057	57	
	putresci	$35.8^{e}\pm0.0$	$49.4^{d}\pm0.0$	$55.1^{c}\pm0.0$	72.7 ^a ±0.0	$68.9^{b}\pm0.0$	56.38 ± 0.0	50
	ne	57	57	57	57	57	57	
	cadavra	$58.2^{e}\pm0.0$	$61.3^{d}\pm0.0$	$77.5^{b}\pm0.0$	$70.1^{\circ}\pm0.0$	$84.9^{a}\pm0.0$	70.4±0.05	50
	ine	57	57	57	57	57	7	
Veterinary	progest	$20.0^{b}\pm0.0$	$18.5^{\circ}\pm0.0$	$16.5^{d}\pm0.0$	$4.2^{e}\pm0.05$	$25.0^{a}\pm0.0$	16.84 ± 0.0	2.5
Drugs	erone	57	57	57	7	57	57	
Residual								
(hormones)								
ng/g								
mycotoxin	aflatoxi	4.35 ^e ±0.0	62.93°±0.	$7.05^{d}\pm0.0$	87.28 ^b ±0.	95.71 ^a ±0.	51.464±0.	0 -
s (ng/g)	n M1	57	057	57	057	057	057	25
Pesticides	Malathi	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.04		0.02
Residuals	on						_	
(mg/kg)	Deltam	0.01	0.16	0.08	ND	0.18	_	0.05
	ethrin							
	Chlorfl	ND	0.02	ND	0.021	0.01	_	0.01
	uazuron							
	Chlopyr	0.52	0.35	0.14	0.83	0.02	_	0.01
	ifos							
	Fluazif	ND	ND	ND	0.01	0.02	_	0.01
	op-P-							
	Butyl							
	Diazino	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	_	0.01
	<u>n</u>	0.00			0.01			
	Ortho-	0.02	0.02	ND	0.01	ND	_	0.01
	Phenyl-							
	Phenol							0.01
	Imidocl	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	-	0.01
	opride							

Table 3. Chemical hazards in Ras cheese samples.

Heavy metals contaminants in Ras cheese samples:

Tables (4) shows heavy metals contaminants of the tested Ras cheese samples; the major pollution with iron and zinc. As well as 25% of samples were contaminated with aluminum and about 15% of samples with copper and lead. In addition, nickel and manganese were found in only one sample. These Results show that the majority of cheese samples comply with the **Egyptian standard (1007-5/2005)**, and the International Dairy Federation (**IDF 1979**) registered the limits of the Heavy metal in dairy products should be 0.10, 0.049, 0.025, 0.026, 0.37, and 3.28 mg/kg milk for Cu, Pb, Mn, Ni, Fe, and Zn, respectively.

Górska-Warsewicz et al., (2019) pointed to the normal concentration of organic iron and zinc (nutrients) in milk was 1.23 and 4.38 mg/kg, respectively and in cheese were 0.31 and 1.3 mg/kg respectively. The average Iron and Zinc contamination in samples from Gharbia were 9.65 ± 0.005 and 19.14 ± 0.005 mg/Kg higher than Kafr El Sheikh were 4.70 ± 0.005 and 15.68 ± 0.005 mg/Kg significant respectively. with different (P<0.0001) between the means of Iron and Zinc contamination content in the two governorates, and the averages Iron and Zinc of all 20 samples are 5.47±0.005 and 18.05±0.005 mg/Kg respectively.

Our analysis results of Fe and Zn were lower than the obtained by **Grzegorz Zwierzchowski**, (2018) who found the iron content of raw milk was 9.09 mg/Kg and the zinc content of raw milk was 38.68 mg/Kg. The high values of iron because the cheese may contaminate with iron during cheese making, milk production, or adding salt.

In general, minerals in milk are higher than those in cheese due to the intense whey drainage that led to the loss of the metals which are soluble in whey. **Fresno et al.**, (1995). Contrarily, the contact between the milk and manufacturing equipment could play an essential role in increasing the number of heavy metals such as Cu, Pb, Mn, Ni, Fe, and Zn in the final product (**Coni et al., 1994**).

pro	Gov	•				samj	ples		•			mean	li
per	erna											S	mi
ty	tes	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	GOV	ts
													m
													g/
	C	10.0	0.65f	5 00 ^e	10 (7	15 70	0.02f	4 27e	o ood	0.0 ^g	01.75	0.50	<u>kg</u>
ге	G0V	19.0	0.65	5.28°	18.6/	15./8	0.92	4.37	9.02	0.05	21./5 a,0.0	9.56	0.
	1	$2^{\circ} \pm 0.$	± 0.0	± 0.0	2 ± 0.0	± 0.0	±0.00	± 0.0	± 0.0	± 0.0	°±0.0	±0.00	51
	Corr	$\frac{003}{1.70^{e}}$	03 8 4 2 ^d	$\frac{0.000}{0.000}$	$\frac{03}{17.20}$	0.0	$\frac{3}{0.08}$	$\frac{03}{2.46^{\circ}}$	15 79	$\frac{0.0}{0.09}$	$\frac{03}{0.49^{\text{f}}}$	$\frac{3}{4.70^{b}}$	
	$\frac{00}{2}$	1.70 ± 0.00	8.4∠ ⊥0.0	0.03	17.39 b_0	$0.0^{\circ} \pm$	$0.0^{\circ} \pm$	2.40 ±0.0	13.78 c_00	0.0°	0.48	4.70	
	2	±0.00 5	± 0.0	± 0.0	±0.0	0.005	0.005	±0.0	± 0.0	±0.0	±0.00	±0.00	
- Zn	Gov	<u> </u>	38 21	<u>7 25</u> g	7 90g	7 30 ^g	43 10	$\frac{0.0}{4.08^{h}}$	36 52	$\frac{0.0}{4.61^{\text{h}}}$	37 34	<u> </u>	3
211	1	+0.00	$^{\circ}+0.0$	+0.0	+0.00	+0.0	^b +0.0	+0.0	$^{\circ}+0.0$	+0.01	^c +0 0	$a_{\pm 0.0}$	28
	1	5	05	$\underline{10.0}$	±0.00 5	$\underline{-0.0}$	05	$\underline{-0.0}$	05	$\underline{-0.0}$	05	05	20
	Gov	4.71 ^h	7.68 ^g	52.46	4.80 ^h	$16.5^{\rm e}$	12.38	4.82 ^h	7.61 ^g	14.8^{e}	30.82	15.68	
	2	±0.00	±0.0	^a ±0.0	±0.00	±0.0	^f ±0.0	±0.0	±0.0	±0.0	$^{\rm d}\pm0.0$	^b ±0.0	
		5	05	05	5	05	05	05	05	05	05	05	
Al	Gov	11.24	ND	ND	27.14	ND	ND	ND	ND	22.7	ND	_	10
	1									8			
	Gov	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	14.28	ND	ND	ND	12.93	_	
	2												
Pb	Gov	ND	ND	0.057	ND	ND	0.082	ND	ND	ND	ND	_	0.
	1												04
	Gov	ND	ND	0.063	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	_	9
	2												
Cu	Gov	ND	0.063	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.026	_	0.
									0.000				1
	Gov	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.038	ND	ND	_	
NI:	$\frac{2}{C_{\text{orr}}}$				ND	0.020		ND		ND			0
IN1	G0V	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.029	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	-	0.
		ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		6
	$\frac{00}{2}$	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	_	0
Mn	Gov	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.034	ND	ND		0
14111	1								0.054			_	03
	Gov	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND		05
	2		2,22				2,22		2,22			_	

Table 4. Heavy metals concentration (mg/kg) of Ras cheese samples

3.4. Microbiological hazards of Ras cheese

Various microbial groups were detected in Ras cheese samples to assess their microbiological safety. Total Bacterial Count, Total Coliform, *Lactococcus* sp., *Lactobacillus* sp., Yeast and Molds, and Pathogenic Bacteria including *Bacillus cereus, Clostridium* sp., *Salmonella* sp., *Campylobacter* sp., *Staph. Aureus, and Listeria monocytogenes*, were assessed.

Some of spoilage microorganisms and Starter Lactic Acid Bacteria were detected in Ras cheese samples. **Tables (5)** shows significant different (P<0.0001) in Total Bacterial Count, Total Coliform, and *Lactobacillus* sp. content between the two governorates Gharbia (Gov1) and Kafr El Sheikh (Gov2) Ras cheese samples. Nonetheless, yeast & mold and *Lactococcus* sp. were indicate that no significant difference (P > 0.05) between the two governorates.

Total Bacterial Count in the tested samples from Gharbia is $7.86\pm0.16 \log \text{cfu/g}$ lower than Kafr El Sheikh is $8.22\pm0.16 \log \text{cfu/g}$ and the average Total Bacterial Count of all 20 samples is $8.04\pm0.16 \log \text{cfu/g}$. These results are lower than those mentioned by (**Hammam** *et al.*, **2018**) who found that the total bacterial in 3-month-old Ras cheese was 13×10^6 cfu/g.

Total Coliform count in the tested samples from Gharbia is 1.41±0.15 log cfu/g lower than Kafr El Sheikh is 1.59±0.15 log cfu/g, and the average Total Coliform of all 20 samples is $1.5\pm0.15 \log \text{cfu/g}$. total coliform was found in 85% of all samples, but it was not found in three samples. Yeast & Mold average in the tested samples from Gharbia is 5.27±0.17log cfu/g and from Kafr El Sheikh is 5.38±0.17 log cfu/g and the average yeast & mold of all 20 samples is $5.33\pm0.17 \log cfu/g$. These results are below those reported by Hammam et al., (2018) who found total coliforms and yeast & molds counts in Ras cheese were 96× 10^5 and 20× 10^4 cfu/g, respectively. but both results are compliant together, especially in they are high counts in Ras cheese due to poor hygienic practices during cheese manufacturing and handling, and the cheeses were made from raw milk. Moreover, these results do not correspond with the **Egyptian standards** (1007-5/2005), which select the maximum limits of coliform or *E. coli* count is lower than 10 cfu/g, also the limits of yeasts and molds must be under 100 cfu/g.

3.5. Lactic acid bacterial count

Lactococcus sp count in the tested samples from Gharbia is $6.14\pm0.35 \log cfu/g$ and from Kafr El Sheikh is 6.05±0.35 log cfu/g and the average Lactococcus sp of all 20 samples is 6.1±0.35 log cfu/g. *Lactobacillus* sp. count in the tested samples from Gharbia is 4.46±0.43 log cfu/g higher than Kafr El Sheikh is 4.13 ± 0.43 log cfu/g and the average Lactobacillus sp. of all 20 samples is 4.3±0.43 log cfu/g. As well as, starter lactic acid bacteria have an essential role in raw milk Ras cheese making, particularly, in some process's steps, such as cheese ripening and curd cooking. In addition, these results are higher than those accorded by Abdullah et al., (2006) who detected Lactococcus and Lactobacillus count12-month-old Ras cheese, therefore, the numbers of those bacteria adopted on ripening and manufacturing circumstances.

Table 5. Spoilage microbial & starter lactic acid bacterial counts of Ras cheese samples collect	ed
from 20 factories in two different governates: Gov1 = Gharbia and Gov2 = Kafr El Sheikh	

prope	Gov					sam	ples					mea	aver
rty	erno											ns	age
	rate	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	GO V	
TBC	Gov	6.54 ⁱ	8.05 ^d	7.37 ^g	7.92 ^d	7.24 ^g	8.64 ^b	8.23 ^c	8.51 ^b	6.94 ^h	9.07	7.86	8.04
	1	±0.1	^{efg} ±0.	^h ±0.1	^{efg} ±0.	^{hi} ±0.	^{cd} ±0.	def±0.	^{cd} ±0.	ⁱ ±0.1	^{ab} ±0.	^b ±0.	±0.
		61	161	61	161	161	161	161	161	61	161	161	161
	Gov	7.52 ^f	7.68 ^e	8.06 ^d	8.42 ^b	8.67 ^b	7.38 ^g	8.47 ^b	7.29 ^g	8.94 ^a	9.67	8.22	
	2	^{gh} ±0.	^{fgh} ±0.	^{efg} ±0.	^{cde} ±0.	$^{cd}\pm 0.$	^h ±0.	^{cde} ±0.	^{hi} ±0.	^{bc} ±0.	^a ±0.	^a ±0.	
		161	161	161	161	161	161	161	161	161	161	161	
Y&M	Gov	4.02 ^g	6.08 ^b	5.23 ^d	4.62 ^f	4.93 ^d	5.96 ^b	5.42 ^c	6.38 ^b	3.68 ^g	6.34	5.27	5.33
	1	± 0.1	± 0.1	^{ef} ±0.	± 0.1	^{ef} ±0.	^c ±0.1	^{de} ±0.	± 0.1	± 0.1	^b ±0.	^a ±0.	±0.
		75	75	175	75	175	75	175	75	75	175	175	175
	Gov	4.82 ^e	5.41 ^c	5.07 ^d	4.79 ^e	5.48 ^c	4.03 ^g	5.37 ^c	4.92 ^d	6.07 ^b	7.85	5.38	
	2	$^{f}\pm 0.1$	^{de} ±0.	^{ef} ±0.	^f ±0.1	^d ±0.	± 0.1	^{de} ±0.	^{ef} ±0.	± 0.1	^a ±0.	^a ±0.	
		75	175	175	75	175	75	175	175	75	175	175	
Τ.	Gov	0.0^{k}	1.52 ^g	1.05 ^j	1.48 ^g	$1.3^{i}\pm$	1.97 ^d	1.81^{f}	2.16 ^c	0.0^{k}	2.82	1.41	1.5
Colifo	1	± 0.1	^h ±0.1	± 0.1	^{hi} ±0.	0.15	^{ef} ±0.	± 0.1	± 0.1	± 0.1	^a ±0.	^b ±0.	±0.
rm		58	58	58	158	8	158	58	58	58	158	158	158
	Gov	1.42 ^h	1.63 ^g	2.03 ^c	1.85 ^e	1.83 ^f	0.0^{k}	1.41 ^h	1.32 ⁱ	2.1 ^{cd}	2.35	1.59	
	2	ⁱ ±0.1	± 0.1	^{de} ±0.	$f{\pm}0.1$	±0.1	± 0.1	ⁱ ±0.1	± 0.1	± 0.1	^b ±0.	^a ±0.	
		58	58	158	58	58	58	58	58	58	158	158	
Lacto	Gov	1.27 ^k	4.36 ^g	3.02 ^h	2.43 ⁱ	5.18^{f}	6.73 ^b	6.07 ^d	6.92 ^b	0.92^{k}	7.69	4.46	4.3
bacill	1	± 0.4	±0.4	±0.4	± 0.4	±0.4	^c ±0.4	^e ±0.4	± 0.4	± 0.4	^a ±0.	^a ±0.	±0.
us sp.		33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	433	433	433
	Gov	3.06 ^h	2.48^{i}	2.68 ^h	4.53 ^g	6.31 ^c	0.82^{k}	5.71 ^e	1.83 ^j	6.02 ^d	7.84	4.13	
	2	±0.4	±0.4	ⁱ ±0.4	± 0.4	$^{d}\pm 0.$	±0.4	±0.4	± 0.4	^e ±0.4	^a ±0.	^b ±0.	
		33	33	33	33	433	33	33	33	33	433	433	
Lacto	Gov	3.42 ^g	6.62 ^c	5.74 ^e	4.28^{f}	4.34 ^f	8.06 ^a	8.12 ^a	8.37 ^a	4.13 ^f	8.27	6.14	6.1
сосси	1	±0.3	^d ±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	^g ±0.	^a ±0.	^a ±0.	±0.
s sp.		56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	356	356	356	356
	Gov	3.75 ^f	7.25 ^b	6.28 ^d	6.47 ^d	7.32 ^b	2.03 ^h	8.76 ^a	3.52 ^g	6.37 ^d	8.69	6.05	
	2	^g ±0.	°±0.3	^e ±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	^e ±0.3	^a ±0.	^a ±0.	
		356	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	56	356	356	

Pathogenic bacteria in Table (6) shows that 90% of the samples were contaminated with Clostridium sp. and 60% of samples with Salmonella sp. Moreover, all Ras cheese samples contaminate with Bacillus cereus and Staph. Aureus. Besides, 40% of samples were contaminated with Listeria monocytogenes, but Campylobacter sp. attended in only 3 samples. These results have not corresponded with the Egyptian standard (1007-5/2005), which defined that Ras cheese must not have pathogenic bacteria. So that, these results show refer to absent the hygiene practices during handling. processes, and environmental conditions.

Data from **Tables (6)**, showed that, there are different significant (P<0.0001) in *Bacillus cereus*, and *Staph. Aureus* of Ras Cheese Survey samples between the two governorates Gharbia (Gov1) and Kafr El Sheikh (Gov2).

Bacillus cereus average in the tested samples from Gharbia is $3.88\pm0.303 \log \text{cfu/g}$ lower than Kafr El Sheikh is $4.13\pm0.303 \log \text{cfu/g}$, and the average *Bacillus cereus* of all 20 samples is $4.01\pm0.303 \log \text{cfu/g}$.

Staph. Aureus average in the tested samples from Gharbia is $3.88\pm0.266 \log \text{ cfu/g}$ lower than Kafr El Sheikh is $4.77\pm0.266 \log \text{ cfu/g}$, and the average *Staph. Aureus* of all 20 samples is $4.33\pm0.266 \log \text{ cfu/g}$.

Patho	Gove					sam	ples					mea	aver
genic	rnora	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	ns	age
bacteri	te											GO	
a												V	
Bacill	Gov	1.6^{kl}	2.9^{ji}	3.5 ^{gh}	1.4^{kl}	4.73 ^f	5.6 ^{de}	5.2 ^e	3.4 ^{gh}	3.8 ^g	6.7 ^c	3.88 ^b	4.01
us	1	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3
cereus		03	03	03	03	03	03	03	03	03	03	03	03
	Gov	$2.5^{j}\pm$	$1.3^{l}\pm$	$1.8^{k}\pm$	4.71 ^f	3.8 ^g	$2.5^{j}\pm$	5.7 ^d	3.1 ^{hi}	7.3 ^b	8.6 ^a	4.13 ^a	
	2	0.30	0.30	0.30	±0.3	±0.3	0.30	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	±0.3	
		3	3	3	03	03	3	03	03	03	03	03	
Staph.	Gov	1.8 ^k	5.3 ^{cd}	4.7 ^{efg}	4.2 ^{gh}	$3.1^{j}\pm$	3.7 ^{hi}	4.5^{fg}	3.3 ^{ij}	1.7 ^k	6.5^{ab}	3.88 ^b	4.33
Aures	1	±0.2	±0.2	±0.2	±0.2	0.26	±0.2	±0.2	±0.2	±0.2	±0.2	±0.2	±0.2
		66	66	66	66	6	66	66	66	66	66	66	66
	Gov	5.7°	3.9 ^h	$5.7^{\circ}\pm$	5.1 ^{de}	3.7^{hi}	1.6 ^k	4.8^{ef}	4.2 ^{gh}	6.2 ^b	6.8 ^a	4.77 ^a	
	2	± 0.2	±0.2	0.26	±0.2	±0.2	±0.2	± 0.2	± 0.2	±0.2	± 0.2	± 0.2	
		66	66	6	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	66	
Salmo	Gov	ND	+	+	ND	ND	+	+	+	ND	+	_	_
nella	1												
sp	Gov	+	ND	+	+	ND	ND	+	ND	+	+	_	
	2												
Clostr	Gov	ND	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	ND	+	_	_
idium	1												
sp	Gov	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	
	2												
Listeri	Gov	+	+	ND	ND	ND	+	ND	ND	ND	+	_	_
а	1												
monoc	Gov	ND	ND	ND	+	ND	ND	+	ND	+	+	_	
ytogen	2												
es													
Camp	Gov	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	+	_	_
ylobac	1												
ter Sp	Gov	ND	ND	ND	ND	+	ND	ND	ND	ND	+	_	
	2												

Table 6. Pathogenic bacteria of Ras cheese samples collected from 20 factories in two different governates: Gov1 = Gharbia and Gov2 = Kafr El Sheikh

Finally, samples do not correspond with the Egyptian standards (1007-5/2005).All samples were contaminated with foreign materials, biogenic amines (CAD - HIS -PUT - TYR), Aflatoxin M1, progesterone, zinc, Malathion, Chlopyrifos, Bacillus cereus, and Staphylococcus aureus. Besides, 90% of the samples were polluted with Clostridium sp. Also, 80% of the samples were contaminated with iron and Deltamethrin. Moreover, 60% of the samples were contained Salmonella sp, Chlorfluazuron and Ortho-Phenyl-Phenol. As 40% of the samples have been polluted with Fluazifop-P- Butyl and Listeria monocytogenes. However, 25% of the samples were contaminated with aluminum.

In addition, the total protein is 23.25%, which is lower than the requirements of the Egyptian Standards (1007-5/2005), which should be 24% at least. On the other hand, 15% were contained copper, lead, and *Campylobacter* sp (3 samples). And 5% for nickel and manganese (one sample). Also, Imidoclopride and Diazinon were not detected. Hence, these results and total solids, moisture, and fat corresponded with the requirements of the Egyptian standard (1007-5/2005).

There are no significant differences (P>0.05) in all the Physiochemical content, yeast & mold, and *Lactococcus* of Ras Cheese Survey samples between the two governorates Gharbia (Gov1) and Kafr El Sheikh (Gov2). There are a different significant (P<0.0001) in all the microorganisms and heavy metals content of Ras Cheese Survey samples between the two governorates Gharbia (Gov1) and Kafr El Sheikh (Gov2), also, Gov1 higher than Gov2 in *Lactobacillus*, iron, and Zinc, however, Gov2 is highest in TBC, Total Coliform, *Bacillus cereus*, and *Staph. Aureus*.

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

From these results of the survey, it had concluded that the Ras cheese has several issues especially, which was those made from raw milk and unhealthy ripening conditions, and that is necessary to know the problems and try to solve them, by avoiding sources, that were caused risks to consumer health. Thus, the recommendation to improve its overall quality and safety could be via following Good Hygienic Practices (GHP), Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP), and the Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) system.

5. REFERENCES

- Abbas, K. A., Agamy, A. A., & Metry, W. A. (2017). Evaluation of Changes of the Chemical, Rheological and Organoleptic Characteristics of Ras Cheese during Ripening. Journal of Food and Dairy Sciences, 8(9), 391-394.
- Abdallah M.A., Beltagy A. and Siam E., (2006).Contents of heavy metals in marine algae from Egyptian Red Sea coast, Toxic. Environ. Chem., 88 (1), 9– 22.
- Aguiar, R. S., Esmerino, E. A., Rocha, R. S., Pimentel, T. C., Alvarenga, V. O., Freitas, M. Q., and Cruz, A. G. (2018). Physical hazards in dairy products: Incidence in a consumer complaint website in Brazil. *Food Control*, 86, 66-70.
- AIAD, A, S., ABO ElMakarem, H,S. (2013). Aflatoxin M1 levels in milk and some dairy products in Alexandria city. Assiut Veterinary Medical Journal, 59(139), 93-98.
- Andreia Vaz, Ana C. Cabral Silva, Paula Rodrigues, and Armando Venâncio. (2020). Detection Methods for Aflatoxin M1 in Dairy Products. Microorganisms, 8, 246.
- Andrews R., Diederich J.and Tichle A.B. (1995). A survey critique of techniques for extracting rules from trained artificial neural networks. Knowledge-Based Syst., 8: 373-389.

- AOAC. (2012). Official methods of analysis. Arlington, VA: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- APHA American Public Health, (2012). Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of foods. 4th Ed. By Marvin Speck Ed. Washington DC. USA.
- Asselt, E. D., H.J. van der Fels-Klerx, H.J.P. Marvin, H. van Bokhorst-van de Veen, and M. Nierop Groot. (2017). Overview of Food Safety Hazards in the European Dairy Supply Chain. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, 16: 59-75.
- Claudia Ruiz-Capillas, and Ana M. Herrero (2019) Impact of Biogenic Amines on Food Quality and Safety. Foods 2019, 8, 62, doi:10.3390/foods8020062.
- Coni E., Caroli S., Ianni D. and Bocca A. (1994). A methodological approach to the assessment of trace elements in milk and dairy products. Food Chem., 50, 203–210.
- EC (European Commission). Commission Regulation (EU) No 165/2010 of 26 February 2010 amending Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs as regards aflatoxins off. J. Eur. Union 2010, 50, 8–12.
- Egyptian Standards (EOS. 2005). Egypt standard (E.S) 1007-5/2005. Hard cheese. Part 5: Ras cheese.
- Erim, F.B. (2013). Recent analytical approaches to the analysis of biogenic amines in food samples. Trac Trends Anal Chem 52,239–247.
- Espinosa-Pesqueira, D., Hernández-Herrero, M. M., & Roig-Sagués, A. X. (2018). High hydrostatic pressure as a tool to reduce formation of biogenic amines in artisanal Spanish cheeses. *Foods*, 7(9), 137.
- European Committee for Standardization (CEN) EN 15662:2008. Foods of plant origin - Determination of pesticide

residues using GC-MS and/or LC-MS/MS following acetonitrile extraction/partitioning and clean-up by dispersive SPE - QuEChERS-method.

- FAO/WHO. (2012). Evaluation of certain veterinary drug residues in food. Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization.
- FDA (2011). Foodborne Outbreak Associated With Raw Milk From Tucker Adkins Dairy of York S.C.
- FDA (Food and Drug Administration). (2018)¹. Fish and fishery products hazards and controls guidance - fourth edition. Available online: <u>https://www.fda.gov/Food/GuidanceReg</u> <u>ulation/</u> Guidance Documents Regulatory Information/Seafood/ucm2018426.htm (accessed on 25 October 2018).
- FDA (Food and Drug Administration). (2018)². Food safety modernization Act (FSMA). https://www.fda.gov/food/guidanceregul ation/fsma/ (accessed on 18 September 2018).
- FDA. (2001). NCIMS HACCP Pilot Program Phase II Expansion. http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~comm/daipilo 2.html
- FDA. (2015). Steroid hormone implants used for growth in food-producing animals. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). 41:47–121.
- Fornasari M.E., Rossetti L., Carminati D. and Giraffa G., (2006). Cultivability of Streptococcus thermophilus in Grana Padano cheese whey starters, FEMS Microbial. Lett. 257, 139–144.
- Fresno J. M., Prieto B., Uriales R., Martin Sarmiento R. and Carballo J. (1995). Mineral content of some Spanish cheese varieties: ditermentiation by source of

- milk and by variety from their content of main and trace elements. J. Sci. Food Agric. 69, 339-345.
- Fryer T. F. and Halligan A. C. (1976). The diction of Clostridium tyrobutyricum in milk. N. Z. J. Dairy Sci. Technol. 11, 132.
- Górska-Warsewicz, H., Rejman, K., Laskowski, W., & Czeczotko, M. (2019). Milk and dairy products and their nutritional contribution to the average polish diet. *Nutrients*, 11(8), 1771.
- Hammam, A. R., A. A. Tammam., and A. M.
 Abd El-Rahim (2018). Effect of Different heat treatment on the characteristics of Ras cheese during ripening. ResearchGate. ISSN: 0378-2700.
- Hantke W. E. and Bradley R. L. (1972). Effect of dieldrin on bacteria producing lactic acid. J. Milk and Food Technol., 35, 655-659.
- Hassan Malekinejad, Aysa Rezabakhsh. (2015). Hormones in dairy Foods and their impact on public health- A narrative review article. *Iran j public health, Vol. 44, No.6, Jun 2015, pp.742-758.*
- Hofi A., Youssef E., Ghoneim N. and Tawab G. (1970). Ripening changes in Caphalotyre (Ras) cheese manufactured from raw and pasteurized milk with special reference to flavour.J Dairy Sci. 53,1207-1214
- IDF (1979). International Dairy Federation Bulletin, Chemical Residues in milk and milk products. I.D.F. Document, 133.
- ISO 10272-1:2006 AND ISO/TS 10272-2:2006. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs – Horizontal method for the detection and enumeration of Campylobacter spp. Part 1: Detection method; Part 2: Colony count technique. International Organization for Standardization (ISO).
- ISO 112090, 2006. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs - Horizontal method for the detection and enumeration of

Listeria monocytogenes Part 2: Enumeration method.

- Krause, I., Bockhardt, A., Neckermann, H., Henle, T., & Klostermeyer, H. (1995). Simultaneous determination of amino acids and biogenic amines by reversedphase high-performance liquid chromatography of the dabsyl derivatives. Journal of Chromatography A, 715, 67– 79.
- Licata, P., Trombetta, D., Cristani, M., Giofrè, F., Martino, D., Calò, M., and Naccari, F. (2004). Levels of "toxic" and "essential" metals in samples of bovine milk from various dairy farms in Calabria. Italy. Environ. Int, 30, 1-6.
- Linares, D.M., Martin, M., Ladero, V., Alvarez, M.A. and Fernandez, M. (2011). Biogenic amines in dairy products. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 51, 691–703.
- Maria Helena Iha, Cynara Baltazar Barbosa, Isaura Akemi Okada, and Mary W. Trucksess. (2013). Aflatoxin M1 in milk and distribution and stability of aflatoxin M1 during production and storage of yoghurt and cheese. Contents lists available at Sciverse ScienceDirect Food Control. Food Control 29 (1)e6.
- McMeekin, T.A. (2003): Detecting Pathogens in Food. Woodhead Publishing Limited Abington Hall, Abington Cambridge, England, pp: 3-19.
- Moniente, M., Laura Botello-Morte, Diego García-Gonzalo, Rafael Pagán, and Ignacio Ontañón. (2022). Analytical strategies for the determination of biogenic amines in dairy products. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety.
- Mossel D. A. A., Koopman, M. J. and Jongerius E. (1967). Enumeration of Bacillus cereus in food. Applied Microbiology, 15, 650–653.

JSAES, April 2023

- Nag SK. (2010). Pesticides, veterinary residues and other contaminants in milk. In: Griffiths MW, editor. Improving the safety and quality of milk volume 1: milk production and processing. Oxford, UK: Woodhead Publishing Ltd. p 113–45.
- Nasr, N.F. (2018): Minimizing of Microbiological Hazards in Small Cheese Plants by Application of Food Safety Programs. 1 st International Conference of the Egyptian Society of Food Safety, Sharm El-Sheikh, Egypt, February 15-18, 2018.
- Pilarczyk, R., Wojcik, J., Czerniak, P., Sablik,
 P., Pilarczyk, B., and Tomza-Marciniak.
 A. (2013). Concentrations of toxic heavy metals and trace elements in raw milk of Simmental and Holstein.Friesian cows from farm. Environ. Monit. Assess. 185: 8383-8392.
- Romero-González R., López-Martínez J. C., Gómez-Milán E., Garrido-Frenich A. and Martínez-Vidal J. L. (2007). Simultaneous determination of selected veterinary antibiotics in gilthead seabream (SparusAurata) by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. J. Chromatography. Β, Analytical Technologies in the Biomedical and Life Sciences, 857(1):142-148.
- Sasan, A., Mozaffari Nejada, Ali Heshmatib and Tayebe Ghiasvanda. (2020).The Occurrence and Risk Assessment of Aflatoxin M1 in Cheeses Samples from Hamadan. Iran. Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, 19 (4): 44-50
- Sepe L., Ciaralli M., Ciprotti R., Giordano E. and Costantini S. (2003). Determination of Cadmium, Chromium, Lead and
- Vanadium in Six Fish Species from the Adriatic Sea, Food Additives and Contaminants Part A, Vol. 20, No. 6, 543-552.
- Shalaby, A. R., Anwar, M. M., Sallam, E. M.,& Emam, W. H. (2016). Quality andsafety of irradiated food regarding

biogenic amines: Ras cheese. International Journal of Food Science & Technology, 51(4), 1048-1054.

- Teng D., Wilcock A. and Aung M. (2004). Cheese quality at farmers markets: Observation of vendor practices and survey of consumer perceptions. Food Control 15:579-587.
- Wang, Y., Liu, X., Xiao, C., Wang, Z., Wang, J., Xiao, H., ... & Yue, T. (2012). HPLC determination of aflatoxin M1 in liquid milk and milk powder using solid phase extraction on OASIS HLB. Food Control, 28(1), 131-134.
- Zwierzchowski, G., & Ametaj, B. N. (2018). Minerals and heavy metals in the whole raw milk of dairy cows from different management systems and countries of origin: A meta-analytical study. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry, 66(26),6877-6888.

تقييم جودة وسلامة جبن الراس في محافظات الدلتا المصريه

عبدالله مدحت الديناري , مصطفي عبدالله حسن , دينا عامر , اشرف شعبان بكر

 SAES	-

الملخص

يتم تصنيع جبنة الراس من الحليب الخام فقط وتنضج في ظروف غير صحية تسببت في نمو البكتيريا المسببة للأمراض والعفن والخمائر. لذلك ، في هذه الدراسة المسحيه ، تم إجراء بعض التحاليل الكميائيه و الفيزيوكيميائية والميكروبيولوجية لجبن الرأس في محافظات الدلتا المصرية. كما بلغ معدل المحتوى الرطوبه 35.57%. كان متوسط النسبة المئوية للدهون والبروتين في جميع العينات 33.53% و 23.27% على التوالي مع عدم وجود فروق معنوية. كان محتوى الرماد والملح لجميع العينات في تما تم قياس المخاطر الفيزيائية وتدوينها. علاوة على ذلك ، أيضًا تم قياس المخاطر الفيزيائية وتدوينها. علاوة على ذلك ، والبوتريسين والكادافيرين هي 20.5 و 75.79 و 56.38 و مالبوتريسين والكادافيرين هي 20.5 و 757.9 و 56.38 و البوتريسين والكادافيرين هي 20.5 و 757.9 و 70.4 الميد التيرامين العينات المختبرة ومستوى مرتفع عن الحدود.

. وعند قياس الأفلاتوكسين بلغ متوسطها نوع الـ M1 منها 51.46 نانو غرام / كجم في 60٪ من العينات، وكانت هذه القيم أعلى من حد M1 الاتحاد الأوروبي (25 نانو غرام / كجم). تم وظهرت قيم أعلى في معظم العينات من الحدود. كانت التحاليل الميكروبية لكل من TBC والخميرة والعفن والكوليفورم أعلى من المواصفات القياسية المصرية. في معظم العينات ، تم تقدير من المواصفات القياسية المصرية. في معظم العينات ، تم تقدير و بعض أنواع البكتيريا الممرضة بما في ذلك Salmonella s و و معلى دو Salmonella sp و أوصينا باستخدام نظام تحليل المخاطر ونقاط التحكم الحرجة و ممارسات التصنيع الجيدة والنظافة لتقليل المخاطر وإنتاج جبن راس آمن.

مجلة العلوم الزراعية والبيئية المستدامة