

DETECTION OF ROTAVIRUS AND ESCHERICHIA COLI AS A CAUSE OF NEONATAL CALF DIARRHEA IN EL-WADY EL-GEDID GOVERNORATE

By

Samia, S. Abd El-Naby¹ and El-Shafei, A.A²

El-Wady El-Gedid¹ and Zagazig provincial laboratory², Animal Health Research Institute,

ARC. Egypt

ABSTRACT

Rotavirus and Escherichia coli are the most common causes of scours, rotavirus alone accounts for about 27- 36% of calf scours incidence worldwide. A total of 65 fecal samples were randomly collected from diarrheic calves up 5 weeks of age in different localities in El- Wady El-Gedid governorate. All fecal samples were examined to determine the presence of bovine rotavirus (BRV) and E. coli, as causes of calf diarrhea. Twelve (18.46%) and 18 (27.69%) out of 65 fecal samples were positive to rotavirus using Lateral flow and ELISA testes respectively. The infection rate of E. coli was (46.15%). The Age with higher incidence of Rotavirus infection was recorded in 8-15 days of age (with percentage of 12.31%), but higher incidence of E. coli infection was recorded in 1-7 days of age (with percentage of 16.92%), and incidence of co-infection was (10.77%). Results also revealed that the infectious rate of BRV, E. coli and co-infection were higher in winter with percentages of 18.46 %, 24.62 %, and 7.69 % than autumn (7.69%, 12.31% and 3.08%). In spring the infections were 1,53%, 6.15 % and zero % while in summer the rates were zero %, 3.08 % and zero % respectively. Serotyping of E. coli revealed the presence of O₁₄₂, O₅₅, O₁₁₁, O₂₇, O₁₅₇, O₁₁₉, O₂₆ and O₁₂₇ by a percentage of 26.67%, 20%, 13.3%, 10%, 6.6%, 3.3%, 6.6% and 6.6%, respectively. Multiplex PCR was applied for detection of the virulence genes stx1 (5/10), stx2 (3/10) and eae (6/10) in E.coli isolates.

Key words:

Calf diarrhea, Rotavirus, ELISA, E.coli virulence and resistance genes

INTRODUCTION

Neonatal Calf diarrhea is one of the most common problems of cattle industry all over the world and a major cause of productivity and economic losses, directly through mortality and indirectly through poor growth after clinical disease, increased susceptibility to other infections and treatment costs (**Barua** *et al.*, **2019** and **El-Seedy** *et al.*, **2016**). About 57% of weaning calf mortalities are due to diarrhea and most cases occurred in calves less than 1 month old (**USDA. 2007**) Various pathogenic agents (*e.g.*, viruses, bacteria, and protozoa) are involved in the development of this disease, these pathogens are involved in 75%-95% of worldwide calf diarrheic cases. The most common enteric pathogens known to cause calf diarrhea are bovine Rota virus (BRV) and bovine Corona virus (BCV), enterotoxigenic Escherichia (E.) coli,) and Cryptosporidium parvum (**Radostits** *et al.*, **2007**; **Bartels** *et al.*, **2010 and Izzo** *et al.*, **2011**). Combination-infection is frequently observed in diarrheic calves, although a single primary pathogen can be the cause in some cases. Rotavirus alone accounts for about 27- 36%. (**Barua**, *et al.*, **2019 and Uhde** *et al.*, **2008**).Other factors including both the environment, nutritional status and management practices influence the disease severity (**Izzo** *et al.*, **2011**).

Bovine rotavirus (BRV) is a primary etiological agent of calf diarrhea. The virus belongs to the genus *Rotavirus* within the family Reoviridae. Rotavirus is a non-enveloped virion possessing 11 double-stranded RNA segments and is very stable over a wide range of pH with heat labiality (**Fenner** *et al.*, **2011**).

There are eight serogroups (A through H) of rotaviruses based on antigenic and genetic variability of the intermediate capsid protein (VP6), and most BRVs (95%) belong to group A (**Matthijnssens** *et al.*, **2012 and Mihalov-Kovács** *et al.*, **2015**). Moreover, within each group, Rotaviruses are classified into serotypes and genotypes based on antigenic and genetic variations of the VP4 and VP7. The VP7 protein is glycosylated and its analysis classifieds RVA into G groups, while VP4 is a protease-sensitive polypeptide and assigns the P groups (Estes and Greenberg, 2013).Group A (BRV) is the major cause of acute viral gastroenteritis in neonatal calves and usually causes diarrhea in calves at 1 to 2 weeks of age. The milk uptake by calves can provide a good environment for rotavirus survival under a wide range of gastrointestinal pH levels and infection of the intestinal epithelial cells (**Dhama** *et al.*, 2009 and Cho and Yoon, 2014).

The virus infection has a very short incubation period (12-24 h) and induces peracute diarrhea in the affected calves (**Steele** *et al.*, **2004**) and the infected calves shed a large amount of the virus via feces for 5-7 days. BRV replicates in the cytoplasm of epithelial cells of small intestinal villi, destroys mature enterocytes in the villi causes' villous atrophy and usually affects the caudal part of the small intestine (**Cho and Yoon, 2014**).

BRV infects the young age of a wide range of species including humans, mammals (piglets, calves, goats, lambs, and foals) and birds (**Dhama** *et al.*, **2009 and Estes and Greenberg**, **2013**). Some human rotaviruses contain genomic segments of bovine rotaviruses as a result of direct transmission to human or reassortment (**El - Sherif** *et al.*, **2011**).

The symptoms of BRV infection appear as watery feces which may be discolored yellowishgreen. Infected calves are often quite depressed and lose their appetite. Many cases of Rotavirus are fatal, and the fatality rate increases with decreasing age. BRV causes economic losses due to calf mortalities, increased susceptibility to other infections, retarded growth, and treatment costs,(**Mawatari** *et al.*, **2004 and Das**, *et al.*, **2018**).Specific and sensitive detection methods are required and several tests are used routinely in diagnostic laboratories for the detection of rotavirus in fecal samples. Including Electron microscopy, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay,(**Czeruy and Eichhorn**, **1989**), virus isolation, immunoelectrophoresis, latex agglutination tests (**Kaminjolo and Adesiyun**, **1994**), reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) (**Maes** *et al.*, **2003**) and next-generation DNA sequencing (NGS) for detection of Bovine group A Rotavirus, (**Minami-Fukuda** *et al.*, **2013**).

In Egypt bovine Rota virus was isolated and identified from diarrheic calves for the first time in 1981 (Shalaby *et al.*, 1981). Later, the studies were increased in the period from 1996 till now (Byomi *et al.*, 1996; Abd El-Rahim, 1997; Hussein *et al.*, 2001; Gabr *et al.*, 2014 and Kassem *et al.*, 2017). The prevalence of Rotavirus in 2016 in Egypt represented 48% of diarrheic calves (Mohamed *et al.*, 2017) and 17.1% in 2019 (El-Sadek *et al.*, 2019). On the other hand *E. coli* is one of the most common causes of scours, (Kolenda *et al.*, 2015) particularly in calves between 1 and 10 days old. *E. coli* can be classified into six pathogenic groups based on virulence scheme, some of them affect directly through damage the intestinal lining, and others cause diarrhea by releasing toxin. (Kaper *et al.*, 2004).

Neonatal calves are most susceptible to enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC) infection during the first 4 days after birth and develop watery diarrhea (Foster and Smith 2009 and

j.Egypt.aet.med.Assac 80, no 2, 455 - 476 /2020/

Nataro and Kaper 1998).Low pH (less than 6.5) of the distal portion of the small intestine is a suitable environment for ETEC colonization. *E. coli* expresses K99 antigen for attachment, a heat-stable toxin that leads to the up-regulation of chloride secretion into the gut, this osmotically pulls water into the intestinal lumen and leads to the development of secretory diarrhea (**Francis** *et al.*, **1989; Ata** *et al.* **2013;ChoandYoon 2014**).The most important serogroups of *E.coli* causing disease in animals and human are O₁₅₇, O₂₆, O₁₀₃, O₁₁₁, O₁₄₅, O₄₅, O₉₁, O₁₁₃,O₁₁₉, O₁₂₁ and O₁₂₈ which are mostly belonging to shiga toxin producing *E. coli* (STEC) pathotype (**Jenkins** *et al.*, **2003 and Lin** *et al.*, **2011**).

Multiplex PCR includes simultaneous amplification of more than one target gene including more than one set of primers in the same reaction mixture (**Chandra** *et al.*, **2013**). It has been widely used in various studies for differentiation of *E.coli* pathotypes based on presence of genes encoding virulence factors (**Müller** *et al.*, **2007**) and serogrouping of *E. coli* is based on presence of genes encoding serogroups (**Fakih** *et al.*, **2016**).

The aim of the present work is to investigate the incidence of Rota virus and Escherichia Coli as causes of neonatal calf diarrhea in El-Wady El-Gedid governorate that helps in control the disease and enhance cattle production in the study region.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Samples:

A total of 65 calf fecal samples were randomly collected from different localities in El-Wady El-Gedid governorate in 2019 and early 2020. The calves had diarrhea, fever and variable degrees of dehydration and weakness. Diseased calves did not all respond to antibiotic therapy, no mortalities were found during the time of sample collection. Calves ages were time of birth to 5 weeks. All data were taken concerning breed, age, and season. Samples were collected in a sterile plastic bag and transported to laboratory in ice box. All fecal samples were initially screened by Rotavirus rapid test cassette then stored at -70 C for processing by other tests to investigate the cause of diarrhea.

Rotavirus Rapid Test Cassette (Feces):

The Rotavirus Rapid Test Cassette (Feces) is a rapid chromatographic immunoassay (Right Sign Biotest-Rotavirus Rapid Test Cassette REF IROT-C61, and LOT .NO. ROT19110001) for the qualitative detection of rotavirus in fecal specimen, providing results in 10 minutes. The test utilizes antibody specific for rotavirus to selectively detect rotavirus from feces

458 j. Egypt net med. Assac 80, no 2. 455 - 476 /2020/

specimens according to the manufacturer's instruction. In this test, a membrane is pre-coated with anti-rotavirus antibody on the test line region of the strip. During testing, the specimen reacts with the particle coated with anti-rotavirus antibody. The mixture migrates upward on the membrane chromatographically by capillary action to react with anti-rotavirus antibody on the membrane and generate a red line in the test line region. The presence of red line in the test region indicates a positive result, while its absence indicates a negative result .To serve as a procedural control, a red line will always appear in the control line region indicating that proper volume of specimen has been added and membrane wicking has occurred.

ELISA kit for detection of rotavirus antigen:

Using a double antibodies sandwich ELISA kits Bio-X Diagnostics .Belgium) (**De Beer** *et al.*, **1997**) following the manufacturers protocol.

Interpreting the results:

The net optical density of each sample was calculated by subtracting from the reading for each sample well the optical density of the corresponding negative control. The test is validated only if the positive control antigen yields a difference in the optical density at 10 minutes that is greater than the value given on the quality control (QC) data sheet. The signal read for each sample well was divided by the corresponding positive control signal and multiply this result by 100 to express it as a percentage.

$$Value = \frac{Delta OD of sample}{Delta OD of Positive} \times 100$$

Isolation and identification of E. coli (Quinn et al., 2011):

The collected samples were inoculated in buffered peptone water and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 18-24h, then 1 ml of inoculated buffered peptone water tube was inoculated into MacConkey broth and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 18-24h. A loopful of inoculated MacConkey broth was plated onto sheep blood agar and MacConkey agar. The inoculated plates were incubated aerobically for 24-48 hours at 37°C. The suspected colonies were picked up, purified and identified by cultural, morphological characters and biochemically by VITEK2 compact according to (**Chatzigeorgiou** *et al.*, **2011**).

Antimicrobial sensitivity test:

Thirty isolates were subjected to antibiotic sensitivity test against ampicillin, amoxicillin piperacillin, cefalexin, cefpodoxime, ceftiofur, ceftiofur imipenem, amikacin, gentamicin tobramycin, enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin, tetracycline, nitrofurantoin, chloramphenicol trimethoprim and sulfamethoxazole (**Chatzigeorgiou** *et al.*, **2011**).

Serological identification:

Serogrouping of pathogenic *E.coli* isolates was carried out by slide agglutination method using specific polyvalent and monovalent anti-sera for *E.coli* (DENKA SEIKEN CO., LTD., Chuo-ku, and Tokyo, Japan) **Edwards and Ewing (1972).**

Molecular examination:

Multiplex PCR was used to amplify two virulence genes (*stx1and stx2*) and uniplex PCR was performed for amplification *the eae* of 10 *E. coli* isolates by using sepecific primers (Table 1). DNA was extracted by QIAamp® DNA Mini Kit instructions (Catalogue no. M501DP100) following instructions of the manufacturer. For Multiplex PCR, DNA samples were subjected to 35 PCR cycles, each consisting of 1 min. denaturation at 95°C; 2 min. annealing at 65°C for the first 10 cycles, then to 60°C in the next 15 cycles; and 1.5 min elongation at 72°C, then 2.5 min from cycles 25 to 35. DNA amplification was carried out in a Perkin-Elmer thermocycler 2400. Amplicons were then visualized by ethidium bromide through electrophoreses in 2% agarose.

Table (1): Primers used for the detection of virulent genes of *E.coli* Forward (3'-5'), R:Reverse (5'-3').

Target Gene	Primers sequences	Amplified Segment (bp)	Reference	
stx1	F:ACACTGGATGATCTCAGTG G	614		
	R:CTGAATCCCCCTCCATTATG		Dipineto <i>et al.</i> (2006)	
stx2	F:CCATGACAACGGACAGCAGTT	779	r	
5002	R:ACACTGGATGATCTCAGTGG			
Fae	ATG CTT AGT GCT GGT TTA GG	248	Bisi-Johnson <i>et al.</i> (2011)	
Lut	R: GCC TTC ATC ATT TCG CTT TC			

RESULTS

Virological Examination:

Detection of Rotavirus Antigen (RVA) by Rapid Test Cassette:

Fecal samples were identified as positive for RVA using the Rotavirus Rapid Test Cassette as a qualitative, lateral flow immunoassay for the detection of rotavirus in feces, twelve fecal samples (18.46%) were detected (Table2). where two red lines were observed on each positive sample, one in the control line region (C) and the other colored line appear in test line region (T) and in negative sample only one red line appeared in the control line region (C). as shown in figure $(1_{A\&B})$.



Fig. (1):(A) Negative(B)Positive

Detection of bovine rotavirus antigen by ELISA test:

Bovine rotavirus antigen were detected in 27.69% of the diarrheic fecal samples by using a double antibodies sandwich ELISA kit as shown in (Tables 2, 3). The highest incidence of calf diarrhea (12.31 %) was recorded at the age of 8-15 days and decreased with the increased ages with lowest incidence (1.54%) at age of 29-35 days as shown in (Table 4). Infection rate was the highest in winter (18.46 %) followed by autumn (7.69%) while the lowest infection rate was recorded in spring (1.54 %) (Table 5).

Table (2): Matching of Rotavirus Rapid Test Cassette and ELISA Test for detection of rotavirus antigen.

No. of fecal Samples	Rapid test Cassette		ELISA test	
	+ ve %	-ve %	+ ve %	-ve %
65	12	53	18	47
%	18.46 %	81.54 %	27.69 %	72.31 %

Table (3): Positivity percentages of Rotavirus and *E.coli* of single infection and co-infection.

Total No. of fecal	No. of +ve	No. of+ve of	No of +ve
Samples	of Rota	E.coli	Co-infection
65	18	30	7
ve %+	27.69	46.15	10.76

Table (4): Distribution of infection rates of Rota virus and *E.coli* in different ages of calves.

Age (days)	No. of tested samples	+ve No. of Rota & +ve % related to total No=65		+ve % of	+ve No. & <i>E.coli</i> related to total No=65
1-7 d.	18	4	(6.15%)	11	(16.92%)
8-15 d.	14	8	(12.31 %)	7	(10.77%)
16-21 d.	11	3	(4.62%)	5	(7.69 %)
22-28 d.	12	2	(3.08%)	5	(7.69%)
29-35 d.	10	1	(1.54%)	2	(3.08%)
Total	65	18	(27.69%)	30	(46.15%)

 Table (5): Distribution of single infection rate of both BRV and *E.coli* and co-infection in calves in relation to season.

Season	No. of tested samples	+ve No. of Rota& +ve % in related <i>to total No<u>=</u>65</i>		+ of +ve ro to tot	ve No. ⁷ <i>E.coli</i> % % in elated tal No=65	+v + co- infe to to	ve No. & ve % of ection related tal No = 65
Winter	28	12	18.46 %	16	24.62	5	7.69%
Spring	11	1	1.54 %	4	6.15	0	(0%)
Summer	8	0	0 %	2	3.08	0	(0%)
Autumn	18	5	7.69	8	12.31	2	3.08%
Total	65	18	27.69	30	46.15%	7	10.77%

Bacteriological examination:

A total of 65 calf fecal samples *E. coli* was identified in 46.15% (30/65) of the diarrheic fecal samples (Table 3). Included in this study. The highest incidence of calf diarrhea was recorded at the ages of 1-7 days (Table 4). The frequency of positive diarrheic calves because of *E. coli* infection was the highest in winter (24.62 %) followed by autumn (12.31%) while the lowest frequency was recorded in summer (3.08%) as shown in (Table 5).

Antimicrobial sensitivity test:

Of 30 *E. coli* isolates tested for antimicrobial sensitivity; 7.7% were resistant to marbofloxacin, 15.4% were resistant to cefpodoxime, ceftiofur, imipenem and tobramycin and nitrofurantoin meanwhile 23% of isolates were resistant to amikacin and enrofloxacin. High level of resistance was recorded against ampicillin, amoxicillin, chloramphenicol and trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole; 46.2%, 53.8, 38.5% and 53.8% respectively. About 33.3% of isolates were multidrug resistant, i.e. resistant to three or more antimicrobials (Table 6).

Resistance patterns	E. coli of isolates (30)	percentage %
To only one drug	5	16.67 %
To two drugs	-	-
To three drugs	6	20 %
To more than three drugs	4	13.3%
To all drugs	-	-

 Table (6): Resistance patterns of *E. coli* isolates.

Serotyping of *E. coli* isolated from diarrheic calves:

Serogrouping of *E. coli* isolates (30) revealed presence of 8 serogroups; O_{142} , O_{55} , O_{111} , O_{27} , O_{157} , O_{119} , O_{26} and O_{127} with percentage of 20.69%, 17.24%, 13.8%, 6.9%, 6.9%, 6.9%, 3.45% and 3.45%, respectively (Table 7).

Molecular characterization of *E. coli* isolates:

The distribution of both virulence genes were showed in (Table 7), four isolates were positive for stx1 and 3 isolates were positive for stx2 (one isolate was found to contain both stx1 and stx2) and two isolates were negative for both stx1&stx2 Fig. (2,3) of the10 investigated *E. coli* isolates O142, O26, O119, O55 and O157 were positive to the intimin gene (*eae*) while O27, O111 and O127 were negative Fig. (4).

E coli construnce	Number	% of serotypes	Virulence genes		
<i>L. con</i> serviypes	(out of 30)		Stx1	Stx2	Eae
O142*	8	26.67	2	-	2
O55 *	6	20	-	1	1
0111	4	13.3	1	-	-
O27	3	10	-	-	-
0127	2	6.6	1	-	-
0119	1	3.3	-	1	1
0157	2	6.6	1	1	1
O26	2	6.6	-	-	1
untypable	2	6.6	-	-	-
Total	30	100 %	5	3	6

Table (7): Serotyping of *E. coli* isolated from diarrheic calves.

No.: Number of isolates. %: Percentage in relation to No of tested isolated strain (*E.coli* (30) ^{*}Indicate that two isolates were investigated from serogroup for virulotyping.



Fig. (2): Agar gel electrophoresis of multiplex PCR for detection of *stx1* (614 bp) and *stx2* (779 bp) genes from *E.coli* isolates L: represent the molecular size marker (100bp ladder).

Lane 1 positive to *stx2* (O119) Lane 2,3,4,5 positive to *stx1* (O127- O111-O142-O142)

Lane 6 positive to *stx1*&stx2 (O157) -Lane 7 negative tostx1&*stx2*

N: control negative

P: control positive



Fig. (3): Agar gel electrophoresis of multiplex PCR for detection of stx1 and stx2 genes from *E.coli* isolates.

L: represent the molecular size marker (100bp ladder) lane 3: negative for stx1 & stx2 (O55) lane 4: positive of stx2 779 bp (O55). Lane 5- negative for stx1 & stx2 (O26).





Fig. (4): Agarose gel electrophoresis of uniplex PCR for detection of *eae* gene.Neg: Negative control. Pos: Positive control of *eae* gene (248 bp)L: represents the molecular size marker (100bp ladder).

(Lane1, 2): Positive for O142	Lane 6 Positive for O119
(Lane 3.7) Negative for: O27&O127	Lane 8: Positive for O55
(Lane 4) negative for, O111	Lane 9: Negative for O55
(Lane 5) Positive for, O26	Lane10, Positive for O157

DISCUSSION

Diarrhea is a major cause of mortality in young cattle under one month. It is one of the most common problems of cattle industry all over the world and a major cause of productivity and economic losses. Bovine neonatal gastroenteritis is a multifactorial disease including infectious and noninfectious agents. The diagnosis of the etiological agent of diarrhea can only be performed in the laboratory because clinical signs do not allow differentiating between different microorganisms.

Many factors including, various laboratory techniques, number of specimens per day and equipment's influence the choice of protocols used for diagnostic testing. Rapid, simple and accurate diagnosis of BRV is required for the detection BRV in feces.

The ELISA technique proved to be sensitive, specific and rapid test for detection of BRV.

ELISA is commonly performed because small amount of BRV can be detected in feces 4-9 days after onset of diarrhea the test cane be completed in less than 4 hours **De Beer** *et al.*(1997).Commercial ELISA kits are available for the routine diagnostic screening of large numbers of samples.

In the present study BRV antigen were detected with Rotavirus Rapid Test Cassette as a lateral flow immunoassay which has some advantages compared with other diagnostic methods including cost, specificity, rapidity, providing results in 10 minutes, easy to use and reading. No equipment's are needed and no requirement for training, so it can be used for diagnosis in the field. Unlike the latex agglutination test, the formation of permanent red lines allowing the results to be read at times convenient for the technician. **Iman** *et al.*, (2009). The current study revealed that 12 (18.46%) and 18 (27.69%) fecal samples were positive for BRV antigen detection by rapid teste cassette and ELISA as a confirmatory test respectively as shown in (Table 2) these results agree with **Gumusova** *et al.*, (2007) who mentioned that BRV infection is the major cause of acute gastroenteritis and the most worldwide prevalent viral agent in diarrheic calves aged less than 6 weeks. Also **Patel** *et al.*, (2019) and **Soltan** *et al.*, (2016) recorded high positivity of Rotavirus from diarrheic calves by using ELISA.

Regarding to infection rate of BRV and *E. coli* as shown in (Table 3),it was (27.69%) and (46.15%) respectively, *E. coli* was more predominant than Rotaviruses which may be due to low PH (lower than 6.5) in the distal portion of the small intestine which is a suitable environment for ETEC colonization. or due to environmental condition, nutritional status, poor sanitation and management practices, these non- infectious factors increase susceptibility to infection **.Ata** *et al.*,(2013) ,Cho and Yoon (2014).

The distribution of both infections in different ages as shown in (Table 4). It was noticed that the highest infection rate of BRV was observed in 8-15days with a rate of (12.31%). These results are coincided with **Cho and Yoon (2014)**, **Khamees, (2015) and Patel** *et al.,* (2019) who recorded higher infection rates of Rotaviruses usually in calves at 1 to 2 weeks of age and this may be due to immature immune system of very young calves to fight infection and the milk uptake by calves can provide a good environment for rotavirus survival under a wide range of gastrointestinal pH levels and infection of the intestinal epithelial cells. Dhama et al., (2009) and Cho and Yoon (2014). E. coli was more predominant than Rotaviruses in

j.Egypt.net.med.Assac 80, no 2, 455 - 476 /2020/

the first week (1-7d) of age with the highest infection rate (16.92%). This is in agreement with **Foster and Smith (2009)** who recorded that neonatal calves are most susceptible to ETEC infection during first 4 days after birth and **Ata** *et al.* (2013) and **Cho and Yoon (2014)** who mentioned that typical symptoms appear in calves less than 7 days of age and as early as 12 h of life. However, the lowest rate was shown in the age of 22 -28 days in rotavirus and *E.coli* was shown in 29-35 days old calves with a percentage of (3.08 %). These coincided with **Dash** *et al.*, (2011) and Kumari *et al.*, (2019) who reported that, the susceptibility of bovine calves to rotavirus infection decreases with age which may be due to loss of receptors on enterocytes. Ammar *et al.*, (2014) and Suresh *et al.*, (2013) recorded that calves acquire natural resistance increased against infection with progression of age.

Concerning single infection or double co-infection of calves with Rotavirus and *E. coli*, it was found that 7 fecal samples were positive for both Rotavirus and *E. coli*, with a total percentage of 10.77%, which agrees with **Cho (2012)** who stated that Co-infection with two pathogens were the most common finding (31%). Diarrhea caused by *E. coli* can occur as early as 24 h after birth, but seldom occurs after three days of age unless it occurs as part of a mixed infection with rotavirus.

Single infection with either Rotavirus with a total percentage of 27.69 or *E. coli* with a total percentage of 46.15 were recorded (Table 4). These results are compatible with that obtained by **Barua**, *et al.*,(2019) who stated that Rotavirus infection alone accounts for about 28-36%. and the results agrees with that described by Islam *et al.* (2015) who isolated *E.coli* with an incidence of 45.2%. Uhde *et al.*,(2008) stated that combination-infection is frequently observed in diarrheic calves, although a single primary pathogen can be the cause in some cases.

Distribution of single infection rate of both BRV and *E.coli* and double co-infection in calves in relation to season as shown (Table 5) revealed that, the highest infection rate was in winter for both single BRV and *E. Coli* infection or double co-infection with percentages of 18.46%, 24.62% and 7.69%, respectively, followed by Autumn with percentage of 7.69%, 12.31% and 3.08%, In spring, the percentages were 1,53%, 6.15% and zero % while in summer zero %,3.08 and zero percentage were recorded respectively. These results coincided with **Barua**, *et al.*, (2019) and Mukhtar *et al.*,(2017) who detected higher prevalence of BRV in winter season compared to summer season. *E. coli*. was the most predominant in diarrheic

calves in winter and autumn season with the highest infection rate of 24.62% and 12.31% where infection rate of BRV was 7.69 % and double co-infection was 3.08% in autumn season, these may be due to cold weather which leads to stress on younger calves, In addition to poor sanitation, overcrowding in the calf pens and other non-infectious factors, such as insufficient uptake of colostrum and presence of more than one age tougher, increasing the exposure to infection and lowering the defense mechanism within the calf in early life due to its poor immune capability.

In the present study *E.coli* isolates showed two of them resistant to at least one antimicrobial agent (Table 6). Multidrug resistance appeared in 10 strains similar to that obtained by **Messaï** *et al.* (2013).

Out of eight identified serogroups, O_{142} was the most prevalent serogroup (26.67 %) followed by O_{55} and O_{111} at rates of 20% and 13.3% respectively, then O_{27} with a rate of 10% then O_{26} , O_{157} and O_{127} at a rate of 6.6% and the last serogroup O_{119} was found at a rate of 3.3 %.

The above- mentioned results agree with results of Lin *et al.*, (2011) who detected O_{157} , O_{26} , O_{142} and O_{111} and Aisha (2001) who isolated O_{26} , O_{127} and O_{27} from diarrheic calves.

Molecular characterization of *E. coli* isolates recovered from diarrheic calves was carried out through applying different conditions of uniplex Fig. (4) and multiplex Fig. (2&3) PCR assays for detection of genes encoding virulence factors (*stx1*, *stx2* and *eae*). (Table 8).

The tested E.coli isolates carried different virulence genes, as the negative isolates of *E. coli* for tested virulence genes may be nonpathogenic and the animals had diarrhea caused by other infectious agents or these isolates may carry other virulence genes not included in this study **Pourtaghi** *et al.*, (2013).

In this study, the rate of *stx* gene existence in isolated *E.coli* from cattle calves was 30%. Multiplex PCR assays approved the presence of intimin (*eae*) $_{6/10}$ and Shiga toxins (*STx1*_{5/10} and *STx2*_{3/10}) in *E.coli* strains (10) **Gharieb** *et al.* (2015). In the current study *E. coli* O₁₅₇ was positive to *stx1*, *stx2* and eae genes, which agree with **Karmali**, (2004).

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that BRV and *E.coli* play major role in cases of diarrhea in the examined calves in El-Wady El-Geded Governorate were rotavirus and *E. coli* infection .Virulence genes of *E. coli* were stx1, stx2 and *eae* which played an important role in its pathogenicity. On the other hand, neonatal calf diarrhea (NCD) has a peak incidence in winter and in the first two weeks of age. Accurate and rapid early confirmation of the etiology in the disease as well as improving the various management factors are advised, for effective control and prevention of enteric disease, in addition to vaccination of newborn calves .

REFERENCES

- **Abd El-Rahim, I.H.A. (1997):** Rota and or corona virus infections in newborn buffalo calves in Upper Egypt. Fourth Cong. Egypt. Soci. Cattle Dis. 7th-9th Dec. Assuit, Egypt.
- Aisha R.A (2001): Comparative studies on diarrhea caused By *E. coli* in farm animals. J. Egypt. Vet. Med. Assoc., 61 (6): 39-49.
- Ammar, S.S.M.; Mokhtaria, K.; Tahar, B.B.; Amar, A.A.; Redha, B.A. and Yuva B. (2014): Prevalence of rotavirus (GARV) and coronavirus (BCoV) associated with neonatal diarrhea in calves in western Algeria. Asian Pacific journal of tropical biomedicine. 4: S318-S322.
- Ata, N.S.; Dorgham, S.M.; Khairy, E.A. and Zaki, M.S. (2013): Calf Scours: Definition and causes, Life Science Journal, 10 (1): 1980–1983.
- Bartels, C.J.; Holzhauer, M.; Jorritsma, R.; Swart, W.A. and Lam, T.J. (2010): Prevalence, prediction and risk factors of enteropathogens in normal and non-normal feces of young Dutch dairy calves. Prev Vet Med, (93): 162-169.
- Barua, S.R.; Rakib, T.M.; Rahman, M.M.; Selleck, S.; Masuduzzaman, M. and Siddiki, A.Z. et al. (2019): Disease burden and associated factors of rotavirus infection in calves in southeastern part of Bangladesh. Asian Journal of Medical and Biological Research. 5 (2): 107-116.
- **Bisi-Johnson, M.A.; Obi, C.L.; Vasaikar, S.D.; Baba, K.A. and Hattori, T. (2011)**: Molecular basis of virulence in clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* and Salmonella species from a tertiary hospital in the Eastern Cape, South Africa. Gut Pathogens, 3: 9.
- Byomi, A.; Herbst, W. and Baljer, G. (1996): Some viral agents associated with neonatal calf diarrhea. Assuit Veterinary Medical Journal, (35): 1-9.
- Chandra, M.; Cheng, P.; Rondeau, G.; Porwollik, S. and McClelland, M. (2013): Asingle step multiplex PCR for identification of six diarrhea genic *E. coli* pathotypes and *Salmonella*. International Journal of Medical Microbiology, 303 (4): 210-6.

470 10

j.Egypt.net.med.Assoc 80, no 2. 455 - 476 /2020/

- Chatzigeorgiou, K.S.; Sergentanis, T.N.; Tsiodras, S.; Hamodrakas, S.J. and Bagos, P.G., (2011): Phoenix 100 versus Vitek 2 in the identification of gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria: a comprehensive meta-analysis. Journal of clinical microbiology, (49): 3284 -329.
- Cho YI. and Yoon KJ. (2014): An overview of calf diarrhea-infectious etiology, diagnosis, and intervention. J Vet Sci 15:1-17 284.
- **Cho Yong-il (2012):** Ecology of calf diarrhea in cow-calf operations. Iowa State University Graduate theses and dissertation paper pp. 126-142.
- Czeruy, C.P. and W. Eichhorn (1989): Characterization of monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies to bovine enteric coronavirus: establishment of an efficient ELISA for antigen detection in feces, Vet. Microbiol, (20): 111-122.
- Das, S.; Medhi, M.; Khaound, M.; Doley, P.; Islam, M. and Borah, D.P. (2018): Detection of group A rotavirus infection in diarrhoeic calves by electropherotyping and reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies; 6(3): 1071-1075.
- Dash, S.K.; Tewari, A.; Kumar, K.; Goel, A. and Bhatia, A.K. (2011): Detection of rotavirus from diarrhaeic cow calves in Mathura, India. Veterinary World; 4:554 -556.
- De Beer, M.; Peenze, I.; De costa, V.M. and Stecle, A.A. (1997): Comparison of electron microscopy, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay and latex agglutination for the detection of bovine Rotavirus in faeces. J.S.A for Vet. Assoc., (68): 93-96.
- Dhama, K.; Chauhan, R.S.; Mahendran, M. and Malik, S.V. (2009): Rotavirus diarrhea in bovines and other domestic animals. Vet. Res. Commun. 33(1): 1-23.

Dipineto, L.; Santaniello, A.; Fontanella, M.; Lagos, K.; Fioretti, A. and Menna, L.F. (2006): Presence of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli O157:H7 in living layer hens. Letters in Applied Microbiology, (43): 293-295.

- Edwards, P.R. and Ewing, W.H. (1972): Identification of Enterobacteriacae. Minneapolis.
- El-Sadek,F.;Esraa1, Shahein,A.;Momtaz, Shahira, A.;Abdelwahab and El-Shahidy S. Mohamed. (2019): Investigation of Rota and Corona Viruses as Causative Agents for Diarrhea in Egyptian Calves. SCVMJ, XXIV, (2): 257-272.
- El-Seedy, F.R.; Abed, A.H.; Yanni, H.A. and Abd El-Rahman, S.A.A. (2016): Prevalence of *E.coli* and *Salmonella* in neonatal calves with diarrhea. Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences Cell. Mol. Bio. 62, 21-28.
- El-Sherif, M.; Esona, M.D.; Wang, Y.; Gentsch, J.R.; Jiang, B.; Glass, R.I.; Abou Baker, S. and Klena, J.D. (2011): Detection of the first G6P[14] human rotavirus strain from a child with diarrhea in Egypt. Infect Genet Evol, (11): 1436-1442.

j.Egypt.net.med.Assac 80, no 2, 455 - 476 /2020/

- Estes, M.K. and Greenberg, H.B. (2013): Rotaviruses. In: Knipe, D.M., Howley, P.M., *et al.* (Eds.), Fields Virology., 6th ed. Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Philadelphia, PA, pp. 1347-1401.
- Fakih, I.; Thiry, D.; Duprez, J.N.; Saulmont, M.; Iguchi, A.; Piérard, D.; Jouant, L.; Daube, G.; Ogura, Y.; Hayashi, T.; Taminiau, B. and Mainil, J.G. (2016): Identification of Shigatoxinproducing (STEC) and Enter pathogenic (EPEC) *Escherichia coli* in diarrheic calves and comparative genomics of bovine and human STEC. Veterinary Microbiology, (45): 112–120.
- Fenner, F.; MacLachlan, N.J. and Dubovi, E.J. (eds.): (2011): Fenner's Veterinary Virology. 4th ed. pp. 288-290, Academic Press, Burlington.
- Foster, D.M. and Smith, G.W. (2009): Pathophysiology of diarrhea in calves. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim. Pract, (25), 13-36.
- Francis, D.H.; Allen, S.D. and White, R.D. (1989): Influence of bovine intestinal fluid on the expression of K99 pili by *Escherichia coli*. Am J. Vet Res., (50): 822-826.
- Gabr, F.; El-Nahas, E.M.; El-Deen, S.S.S. and Salem, S.A.(2014): Frequency of rotavirus detection by a sandwich ELISA in feces of diarrheic bovine calves from Qalubia province, Egypt.
- Gharieb, R.M.; Fawzi, E.M.; Attia, N.E. and Bayoumi, Y.H. (2015): Calf diarrhea in Sharkia province, Egypt: diagnosis; prevalence, virulence profiles and zoonotic potential of the causative bacterial agents. International Journal of Agriculture Science and Veterinary Medicine, 3 (2):71-87.
- Gumusova, S.O.; Yazici, Z.; Albayrak, H. and Meral, Y. (2007): Rotavirus and coronavirus prevalence in healthy calves and -calves with diarrhea. Medy. Weter, 63: 62-64.
- Hussein, H.A.; Shalaby, M.A.; Byomi, A.M.; Nawwar, A. and Reda, I.M. (2001): Bovine rotaviruses in Egypt: Isolation of BRV G6 sero types from a field outbreak in buffaloes. Egyptian Society of cattle Diseases 6th Sci. Cong., 4-6 Nov., Assiut, Egypt.
- Iman,M.Bastawecy;El-Fayuomy.M.M;and Abd El-Samee,A. A.(2009): Immunochromatographical test for rapid diagnosis of rotavirus and coronavirus in neonatal calf diarrhea. J.Egypt Vet. Med. Assoc.69 (1):87-97.
- Islam, A.K.M.A.; Rahman, M.; Nahar, A.; Khair, A. and Alam M.M. (2015): Isolation of pathogenic *Escherichia coli* from diarrheic calves in selective area of Bangladesh. Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine, 13 (1): 45-51.
- Izzo, M.M.; Kirkland, P.D.; Mohler, V.I.; Perkins, N.R.; Gunn, A.A. and House J.K. (2011): Prevalence of major entricpathogens in Australia dairy calves with diarrhea, Australian Veterinary J. 89 (5): 167-173.

- Jenkins, C.; Pearce, M.C.; Smith, A.W.; Knight, H.I.; Shaw, D.J.; Cheasty, T.; Foster, G.; Gunn, G.J.; Dougan, G.; Smith, H.R. and Frankel, G. (2003): Detection of *Escherichia coli* serogroups O₂₆, O₁₀₃, O₁₁₁ and O₁₄₅ from bovine feces using immunomagnetic separation and PCR/DNA probe techniques. Letters in Applied Microbiology, 37 (3): 207-1.
- Kaminjolo, J.S. and Adesiyun, A.A. (1994): Rotavirus infection in calves, piglets, lambs and goat kids in Br. Vet. J.150: 293-299.
- Kaper, J.B., Nataro J.P., Mobley H.L. (2004): Pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2:123-140.
 Khamees AKS. (2015): Detection of Rota and Corona viral antigens in diarrheic newly born calves in Menofiya governorate. Benha Veterinary Medical J.; (29):9 -16.
- Karmali, M.A. (2004): Infection by Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*: an overview. Mol. Biotechnol. (26): 117-122.
- Kassem, I.K.; Magouz, A.F.; Desouky, A.Y. and Hagag, M.F. (2017): Isolation and Identification of Rotavirus Infection in Diarrheic Calves at El-Gharbia Governorate.
- Khamees A.K.S. (2015): Detection of Rota and Corona viral antigens in diarrheic newly born calves in Menofiya governorate. Benha Veterinary Medical Journal; (29): 9-16.
- Kolenda, R., Burdukiewicz, M. and Schierack, P. (2015): A systematic review and meta-analysis of the epidemiology of pathogenic Escherichia coli of calves and the role of calves as reservoirs for human pathogenic *E.coli*, Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology, 5, 23.
- Kumari, S.; Anjay, M.; Kaushik, P.; Kumari, S. and Kumar, P. (2019): Detection of rotavirus in diarrheic bovine calves by RNA-PAGE. Journal of Agri Search; (6): 131-134.
- Lin, A.; Nguyen, L.; Lee, T.; Clotilde, L.M.; Kase, J.A.; Son, I.; Carter, J.M. and Lauzon, C.R.
 (2011): Rapid O serogrouping of the ten most clinically relevant STECs by Luminex microbead-based suspension array. Journal of Microbiology Methods, 87 (1):105-10.
- Maes, R.K.; Grooms, D.L.; Wise, A.G.; Han, C.; Ciesick, V.; Hanson, L.; Vickers, M.L.; Kanitz, C. and Holland, R. (2003): Evaluation of a human group A Rotavirus assay from one site detection of bovine rotavirus. Clin. Microbiol. 41(1): 290-294.
- Matthijnssens, J.; Otto, P.H.; Ciarlet, M.; Desselberger, U.; Van Ranst, M. and Johne, R. (2012): VP6-sequence-based cutoff values as a criterion for rotavirus species demarcation. Arch. Virol 157, (June (6): 1177–1182.
- Mawatari, T.; Taneichi, A.; Kawagoe, T.; Hosokawa, M.; Togashi, K. and Tsunemitsu, H. (2004): J. Vet. Med. Sci., 66 (7): 887-890.

j.Egypt.net.med.Assoc 80, no 2, 455 - 476 /2020/

- Messaï, R., Khelef, D., Boukhors, K., Radji, N., Goucem, R. and Hamdi, M. (2013): Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Escherichia coli* strains and drug resistance. African journal of microbiology research, 7(21): 2668-2672.
- Mihalov-Kovács, E.; Gellért, A; Marton, S.; *et al.* (2015): Candidate new rotavirus species in sheltered dogs, Hungary. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 21(4): 660-3.
- Minami-Fakuda, F.; Nagai, M. Taki, H.; Murakam, T.; Ozawa, T.; Tsuchiaka, S.; Okazaki, S.; Katayama, Y.; Oba, M.; Nishiura, N.; Nassa, Y.; Omatsu, T.; Furuya, T.; Koyama, S.; Shirai, J.; Tsunemitsu, H.; Fujii, Y.; Katayama, K. and Mizutani, T. (2013): Detection of bovine group A rotavirus using rapid antigen detection kits, RT-PCR and next -generation DNA sequencing .Journa of Vet. Med. Science. 75 (12): 1651-1655.
- Mohamed, F.F.; Mansour, S.M.; El-Araby, I.E.; MOR, S.K. and Goyal, S.M. (2017): Molecular detection of enteric viruses from diarrheic calves in Egypt. Archives of virology, 162(1), 129-137.
- Mukhtar, N.; Yaqub, T.; Munir, M.; Nazir, J.; Aslam, A. and Masood, A. et al. (2017): Prevalence of group A bovine rotavirus in neonatal calves in Punjab, Pakistan. The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences; 27 (2):379-383.
- Müller, D.; Greune, L.; Heusipp, G.; Karch, H.; Fruth, A.; Tschape, H. and Schmidt, M.A. (2007): Identification of unconventional intestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolates expressing intermediate virulence factor profiles by using a novel single step multiplex PCR. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 73 (10): 3380-90
- Nataro J.P.and Kaper J.B. (1998): Diarrheagenic Escherichia coli. Clin. Microbiol Rev, (11):142-201.
- Patel, J., Mathakiya, R. and Golaviya A. (2019): Detection of bovine rotavirus from diarrheic bovine calves in Gujarat region, India. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science; 8(9): 1282-1293.
- Pourtaghi, H.; Dahpahlavan, V. and Momtaz, H. (2013): Virulence genes in *Escherichia coli* detected from diarrheic calfs in Iran. Comparative Clinical Pathology, 22 (3):513-5.
- **Quinn, P.J.; Markey, B.K.; Leonard, F.C.; Hartigan, P.; Fanning, S. and Fitzpatric, E.S. (2011):** Veterinary microbiology and microbial diseases.2nd edition. Willy-Black well publisher. resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from poultry in Ibadan, Oyo State.
- Radostits, O.M.; Gay, C.C.; Hinchcliff, K.W. and Constable, P.D. (2007): Veterinary Medicine -A textbook of the diseases of cattle, horses, sheep, pigs, and goats, 10th ed, USA: Saunders Elsevier, (1and 2): p. 260-268 and 1286-1299.
- Sambrook, J.; Fritscgh, E.F. and Mentiates (1989): Molecular coloning. A laboratory manual. Vol., Cold spring Harbor Laboratory press, New York

- Shalaby, M.A.; Saber, M.S. and Elkaramany, R.M. (1981): Rotavirus infection associated with diarrhoeic calves in Egypt. Vet. Res. Commun. 5: 165-170.
- Soltan, M.A.; Tsai, Y.L.; Lee, P.A.; Tsai, C.F.; Chang, H.G. and Wang, H. (2016): Comparison of electron microscopy, ELISA, real time RT-PCR and insulated isothermal RT-PCR for the detection of Rotavirus group A (RVA) in feces of different animal species. Journal of Virological Methods; 235:99 -10.
- Steele, A.D.; Geyer, A. and Gerdes G.H. (2004): Rotavirus infections. In: Coetzer JAW, Tustin RC (eds). Infectious Diseases of Livestock. 2nd ed. pp. 1256-1264, Oxford University Press Southern Africa, Cape Town.
- Suresh, T.; Rai, R.B.; Wani, M.Y.; Damodaran, T. and Dhama K. (2013): Detection of bovine rotavirus in neonatal calf diarrhoea by ELISA, FAT and transmission electron microscopy. International Journal of Current Research; 5 (7):1935-1939.
- Uhde, F.L., Kaufmann, T.; Sager, H.; Albini, S.; Zanoni, R. and Schelling, E. *et al.* (2008): Prevalence of four enteropathogens in the faeces of young diarrhoeic dairy calves in Switzerland. Veterinary Record; (163): 362-366.

United States department of agriculture (USDA) (2007): National agricultural statistics service.

الكشف عن فيروس الروتا وبكتريا الايشيرشيا كولاى كمسببات الاسهال فى العجول حديثة الولادة بمحافظة الوادى الجديد ²سامية سيد عبد النبى - ¹اشرف عبد الرحمن محمد الشافعي معمل فرعى الداخلة-الوادى الجديد -معمل فرعى الزقازيق معهد بحوث الصحة الحيوانية -مركز البحوث الزراعية -مصر الملخص العربى

يعتبر فيروس الروتا البقرى وبكتريا الايشيرشيا كولاى من اهم اسباب الإسهال فى العجول حديثة الولادة حيث يمثل فيروس الروتا وحده حوالى27% الى 36 % من اسباب الإسهال فى العجول فى العالم. فى هذه الدراسة تم جمع 65عينة براز من عجول بقرى من الولادة وحتى عمر5 اسابيع من اماكن مختلفة فى محافظة الوادى الجديد, تم فحص جميع العينات فيرولوجياوبكتريولوجيا لتحديد مدى الإصابة بفيروس الروتا وبكتريا الايشيرشيا كولاى كاحد اهم مسببات الإسهال فى العجول خى معروك العينات فيرولوجياوبكتريولوجياوبكتريولوجيا لتحديد مدى الإصابة بفيروس الروتا وبكتريا الايشيرشيا كولاى كاحد اهم مسببات الإسهال فى العجول حديثة الولادة. وقد اوضحت النتائج ان 12عينة بنسبة (18.46%) و 18 عينة بنسبة (26.70) من 65 عينة فى العجول حديثة الولادة. وقد اوضحت النتائج ان 12عينة بنسبة (18.46%) و 18 عينة بنسبة (26.70) من 65 عينة الإصابة بلايشيرشيا كولاى هى التوالى. وكان معدل الإصابة بالإيشيرشيا كولاى هى اللتوالى. وكان معدل الإصابة بالايشيرشيا كولاى هى الساندة عن الروتا واختبار الاليزا على التوالى. وكان معدل الإصابة بالإيشيرشيا كولاى هى الساندة عن الروتا، وسجلت اعلى معدل اصابة بالايشيرشيا كولاى هى الساندة عن الروتا، وسجلت اعلى معدل اصابة بالإيشيرشيا كولاى عالى وبنسبة (26.16) والاصابة بالإيشيرشيا كولاى هى على التوالى. وكان معدل الاصابة بالايشيرشيا كولاى هى عمر ومن الى 15 يوم من العمر (بنسبة20.11) ولكن اعلى معدل اصابة بالإيشيرشيا كولاى معدل اصابة بالايشيرشيا كولاى في عمد يوم الى 7 ايم بنسبة (26.61) ومعدل الاصابة المزدوجة بكل من الروتا والايشيرشيا كولاى كان فى عمر يوم الى 7 ايم بنسبة (26.61) ومعدل الاصابة المزدوجة بكل من الروتا والايشيرشيا كولاى فلاى كان فى عمر يوم الى 7 ايم بنسبة (26.61) ومعدل الاصابة المزدوجة بكل من الروتا والايشيرشيا كولاى ولاى كان كانسببه (26.71) ومعدل الاصابة الورتا والايشيرشيا كولاى والاصابة المزدوجة عالية فى كولاى كان فى عمر يوم الى 7 ايم ويضاب الاصابة الفردية وبلروتا والايشيرشيا كولاى والاصابة المزدوجة عالية وي والاى يالي والوي والايشيرشيا كولاى والاى والاى والايشيرشيا كولاى والاى والايشيرشيا كولاى والاى الكترية. و36.71) والايبيريسبة (26.71) والن والايبي بنسبة (26.71) والايبي والوي يا من مزولان الايشيرشيا كولاى كانت متعددة المقاومة المندوب يالاوليي ولاى الوضحت

باستخدام تفاعل البلمرة المتسلسل للكشف عن جينات الضراوة في 10 عينات عشوائية لميكروب الايشير شيا كولاى وجد 5 عينات ايجابية Stx1 و 3 عينات إيجابية stx2 و 6 عينات جين eae.

476