

Bull. of Egyp. Soc. Physiol. Sci.

(Official Journal of Egyptian Society for Physiological Sciences) (pISSN: 1110-0842; eISSN: 2356-9514)



Probiotic Lactobacillus Acidophilus prevents bone loss in aged osteoporosis in rats; the possible implication of NLRP3 Inflammasome

Mona M. Allam; MD^a, Hala M. Anwer; MD^a, Walaa B. Elgazzar; MD^{b,c}, Nashwa E. Ahmed; MD^c, Noha O. Elshaer; MD^a

^aDepartment of Physiology, Faculty of Medicine, Benha University, Benha city, Egypt.

^bDepartment of Anatomy, Physiology and Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, The Hashemite University, Zarqa, 13133, Jordan. ^cDepartment of Medical Biochemistry and molecular biology, Faculty of Medicine, Benha University, Benha city,13518 Egypt.

Abstract

Submit Date : 20 April 2023 Revise Date : 25 May 2023 Accept Date: 19 June 2023

Keywords

- Senile osteoporosis,
- Probiotics
- Lactobacillus acidophilus
- Inflammasome NLRP3
- interleukin -1b.

Senile osteoporosis (SOP) is a degenerative bone disease associated with increasing susceptibility to fractures and mortality in the elderly. Innate immunity and specifically the nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain (NOD)-like receptor family pyrin domain containing 3 (NLRP3) inflammasome, with its subsequent mediators caspase1and interlukin-1b (IL-1b), have recently been linked to osteoporosis. Probiotic lactobacillus acidophilus (L.A) was reported to exert favorable effects on osteoporosis. The aim of this study was to identify the protective effects of probiotic L.A in aged osteoporotic rat model and to evaluate the possible underlying mechanisms focusing on NLRP3 inflammasome and its effectors caspase-1 and interleukin -1b. Thirty-two adult male albino rats were designated to four equivalent groups. Group I; control, group II; probiotic L.A, group III; osteoporotic group, and group IV; probiotic LA+ osteoporosis group. Osteoporotic rats pretreated with L.A in a dose of 10⁹CFU/ml / day for 8 weeks revealed a significantly lower oxidative stress state, increased bone mineral density (BMD), enhanced bone histological architecture, lower serum calcium, higher bone formation markers associated with lower bone resorption marker, lower serum receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand (RANKL), decreased bone NLRP3 inflammasome as well as caspase-1 expression levels and lower serum IL-1b.Osteoprotective effects of probiotic L.A in SOP rat model mediated even in part via its anti-inflammatory effects that was represented by decreased NLRP3 inflammasome and its subsequent mediators caspase-1 and IL-1b, that resulted in enhancement of bone formation and reduction of bone resorption.

1.Introduction:

Aging is an evitable physiological process, that entails the progressive accumulation of changes over time, typically associated with an increased susceptibility to numerous degenerative disorders [1]. Senile osteoporosis (SOP) is a systemic degenerative skeletal pathology that is characterized by decreased bone mass, higher fracture risk, and micro- architectural bone deterioration and increased risk of fracture[2].

Studies have shown the association between chronic systemic inflammation and aging, which is also known as "inflammaging" [3][4]. The elderly typically have greater levels of systemic inflammatory cytokines[3].The innate immune system has been linked to both aging and inflammaging [1]. The nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain (NOD)-like receptor family pyrin domain containing 3 (NLRP3) inflammasome, is a supramolecular cytoplasmic complex that senses endogenous stress signals that result from the process of aging[5]. The NLRP3 inflammasome is linked to many age-dependent diseases[6], moreover, studies revealed that NLRP3 inflammasome was associated with bone loss and osteoporosis[7], however the role of NLRP3 inflammasome in SOPhas yet to be understood.

Currently, several osteoporosis treatment modalities are aimed at reducing bone resorption or enhancing bone formation [8]. However, these agents demonstrated controversies due to their higher cost, side effects, and poor patient compliance[9]. Therefore, alternative treatment modalities are thought ,Probiotics are live microorganisms when administered in adequate amounts it confer a health benefit on the host[10],moreover, Probiotics were reported to exert favorable effects on the skeletal system [11].

Few studies till date have fully discussed the different underlying molecular mechanisms by which probiotics affect bone health. Probiotics were found to affect bone homeostasis by endocrinal factors that are also involved in the maintenance of the skeletal system (eg. incretins and serotonin)[12].Anti-inflammatory effects are also among the underlying mechanisms by which probiotics benefit bone metabolism. Previous studies stated that probiotic supplementation reduces tumor necrosis factor-a (TNF-a), IL -17and receptor activator of nuclear factor kappa-B ligand (RANKL) expression levels in ovarectomized mice [13].

Lactobacillus acidophilus (L.A); probiotic used in our study, a former study revealed its immunomodulatory and antiinflammatory effectson ovarectomized osteoporosis mice model via modulating Treg-Th17 cell balance resulted in preventing bone loss [14].Moreover, a recent study have reported anti-inflammatory effects of L.A via modulating NLRP3 inflammasome in a rat model of ulcerative colitis [15]. The present study aimedat clarifying the potential protective mechanisms of probiotic L.A in aged osteoporotic rat modelthus it can serve as a targeted intervention to delay osteoporosis. The specific focus wason NLRP3 inflammasome and its main effectors caspase-1 and IL-1b in mediating such effect.

2. Materials and Methods:

The experiment was carried out at the Physiology department in collaboration with the medical Biochemistry department and molecular

173

biology unit, at the Faculty of Medicine, Benha University, following its approval by the local ethical committee of the university (Approval No.RC.38.10.2022).

2.1. Animals

Thirty-two adult male Wistar albino rats were obtained from the Faculty of Agriculture, Moshtohor, Egypt andinvolved in this trial. Rats aged 8-10 weeks and weighing 180 ± 20 g. Animals were designated to four equivalent groups (8 rats each), each group was placed in a separate cage. The rats were housed in cages maintained at 25 °C with a 12-hour light-dark cycles. Animal was fed a standard diet and had free access to water ad libitum. After study completion animals were disposed of using the incinerator.

2.2. Drugs and chemicals:

D- galactose (D- gal) was provided in the form of a white powder extra pure <99% (LOBA chemie Pvt.Ltd, Mumbai, India). Probiotics Lactobacillus Acidophilus provided as capsules.Each capsule contains 10⁹ active Lactobacillus Acidophillus bacteria(Natrol LLC, USA).

2.3. Study design

After one week acclimatization period based on laboratory settings, the rats were categorized into four groups of 8 (n = 8 rats pergroup) as follow:

Group I:(Control). Animals were administered normal saline via an intraperitoneal injection. They alsoreceived distilled water using an oral gavage daily for a duration of eight weeks.

Group II:(Probiotic L.A group). Rats received Lactobacillus acidophilus by oral gavage in a dose of 10⁹CFU/ml / day for 8 weeks.Capsuleswere dissolved in distilled water[14][16]. **Group III:** (Osteoporosis group).Rats were intraperitoneally injected by D-gal dosage of 200 mg/kg/day dissolved in saline, for eight weeks [17].

Group IV: (Probiotic LA+ Osteoporosis group). Rats received probiotics L.A and D-gal that were given the same doses in the group II and group III, respectively.

2.4. Determination of bone densitometry using a DXA scan:

The day following the final treatment day, all rats underwent a non-invasive dual energy Xray absorptiometry scan (DXA) (DXA-Hologic model 4500A, Waltham, MA, USA) using a software program (Version 610-0691 for QDR XP). Prior to performing the DXA scan, the rats underwent anesthesia using ketamine/xylazine (40/20 mg/Kg. B.W.). The bodies were repositioned three times,the device estimates bone mineral content (BMC) in grams and area in cm² to detect bone mineral density (BMD) in g/cm² by dividing BMC over area of bone measured [18].

2.5. Blood and tissue sampling

After DXA assessment, rats were housed for another three days and subsequently underwent anesthesia using urethane (1.5 g/kg; i.p.)to obtain tissue samples.Blood was drawn by cardiac puncture in a dry clean test tubes to separate the serum. The blood was left to clot for ~30 min, then serum was divided using centrifugation at $3000 \times \text{g}$ for 15 min then was stored at -20° Cfor further biochemical analysis.Bone dissection took place immediately after euthanization bv decapitation. Right and left femora bone specimens were detached and washed off soft tissues. The right femora specimens were, kept at -80°C for western blot analysis, while the left femora specimens were kept in formalin for histopathological examination.

2.6. Biochemical analysis

Collected sera were used for assessment of the following parameters:

-Serum Calcium

Calcium was determined using colorimetric method using kits supplied by(Bio-diagnostic, Cairo, Egypt).

-Biomarkers for bone turnover:

Using kits supplied by Sigma Co. (St Louis, Missouri, USA), the concentration of alkaline phosphatase (ALP) was measured by colorimetric method, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) technique used to measure serum osteocalcin (OCN) concentration using Rat Mid, Osteocalcin ELISA kit (IDS Inc., Fountain Hills, Arizona, USA), serum osteoprotegrin (OPG) was determined by ELISA technique using (Rat Elisa kits, R&D Systems, Minnesota, USA) kits,tartrateresistant acid phosphatase (TRAP), was evaluated by sandwich ELISA kit (Biotang Inc., Waltham, Massachusetts, USA), and Serum RANKL level was determined by ELISA technique using ELISA kits supplied by (Rat Elisa kits, R&D Systems, Minnesota, USA).

-The oxidative stress and pro-inflammatory biomarkers

The oxidative stress markers: Malondialdehyde (MDA) indicating lipid peroxidation was determined using MDA colorimetric assay kit (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and superoxide dismutase activity (SOD) was determined using SOD colorimetric kit (Bio-diagnostic, Cairo, Egypt).The pro-inflammatory cytokines: interleukin 1beta (IL-1b) levels using rat ELISA colorimetric assay kit (Ray Biotech, Inc., USA).

2.7. Western blot analysis of bone NLRP3 inflammasome and Caspase -1 Protein

NLRP3 inflammasome and Caspase -1 Proteins expression in bone was determined using Western blot procedure, Femora bones were used for protein extraction. After bone marrow collection, the femora of rats were crushed using a mortar then an appropriate volume of RIPA lysis buffer was added.Cell lysates were placed in a centrifuge running at 14,000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C.Using the Folin Lowry method (with bovine serum albumin), the overall protein content was determined. The same exact quantity (20 µg) of protein samples were thoroughly mixed and boiled with a SDS loading buffer, which was subsequently cooled on ice. This mixture was then loaded into anSDS-polyacrylamide gel and separated using a Cleaver electrophoresis unit (Cleaver, UK), and subsequently transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (BioRad) using a Semi-dry Electroblotter (Biorad, USA).

Using 5% nonfat dry milk in Tris-buffered saline-Tween-20 (TBS-T), the membrane was blocked and incubated overnight with the primary antibodies for NLRP3 inflammasome, Caspase -1, and β -actin(Santa Cruz Biotechnology) diluted at1:1000, 1:500, and 1:500 respectively.

The membrane was washed and incubated using the appropriate secondary antibody (horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG) diluted at 1:5000 in the blocking solution.Based on the manufacturer manual, the chemiluminescent Western ECL substrate (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA) was applied to the blot. Chemiluminescent signals were taken using the Chemi Doc imager (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA), and analyzed using Bio-Rad Image Lab software with normalization to β actin.

2.8. Histopathological examination.

Following the dissection of the left femora, specimens were fixed in 10% buffered formalin for a duration of 48 hours, and then decalcified in an EDTA solution for a duration of six weeks. Paraffin was used to embed he decalcified specimens. Overall, 5-µm-thick sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) [19]. Using the ImageJ analysis program (version 1.36, NIH, USA), histomorphometric analyses was performed. All animals in each group underwent morphometric analyses of the femur via 3 stained sections/rat (ten fields/section). Mean outer cortical bone thickness (CBT) and mean trabecular bone area (TBD%)were determined[20].

2.9. Statistical analysis.

Data was demonstrated as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) ranges, ratios, and numbers. Variations amount the groups was assessed using one-way ANOVA with post hoc test (LSD) using the Software, Statistical Package for Social Science, (SPSS Inc. Released 2009-PASW Statistics for Windows Version 19 Chicago: SPSS Inc.). A value p< 0.05 was significant.

3. Results:

3.1. Probiotic L.A pretreatment decreased serum Ca ²⁺ and ameliorated the bone turnover markers in aged osteoporotic rats:

Significant higher (p < 0.05) serum calcium level was detected in rats were given Dgal for eight weeks (Osteoporosis group) compared to control group. Moreover, administration of probiotic L.A for 8 weeks in probiotic L.A group resulted in its significant increase (p < 0.05) compared to control group, while Probiotic administration of probiotic L.Ain L.A + osteoporosis group showed a significant increase (p < 0.05) compared to control group and a significant decrease (p < 0.05) compared to osteoporosis group.

Significant lower (p < 0.05) serum levels of bone formation markers; ALP and OCN, accompanied by significant higher (p < 0.05)serum levels of bone resorption marker; TRAP, moreover, significant lower (p < 0.05)serum levels OGP accompanied by significant higher (p < 0.05) levels of serum RANKL all were detected in rats which were given D-gal for 8 weeks (Osteoporosis group) compared to control group. However, administration of probiotic L.A for eight weeks in Probiotic L.A + osteoporosis group resulted in a significant increase (p < 0.05) in serum ALP, OCN and OPG levels with a significant decrease (p < 0.05) in serum TRAP and RANKL levels compared to osteoporosis group (Table 1).

3.2. Probiotic L.A pretreatment reduced oxidative stress state and serum proinflammatory cytokine levels in aged osteoporotic rats:

Serum MDA was much greater (p < 0.05), while serum SOD was significantly reduced (p < 0.05) in rats that received D-gal for eight weeks (Osteoporosis group) compared to control group. Interestingly, probiotic L.A pretreatment for eight weeks in Probiotic L.A + osteoporosis group resulted in significantly lower levels of MDA and significantly higher (p < 0.05) levels of SOD compared to osteoporosis group.

Serum IL-1 β levels were significantly greater (p < 0.05) in rats which were given D-gal for eight

Serum OCN (ng/ml)

Serum OPG (pg/ml)

Serum TRAP(U/L)

Serum RANKL (pg/ml)

63.54±2.48*#

12.65 ±1.41#

17.14±2.23*#

88.93±7.30*#

weeks	(Osteoporosis	group).On	contrary,	significant	decrease	(p <	0.05)	in t	this	pro-		
pretreatm	nent with probioti	inflammatory cytokine compared to osteoporosis										
the Probi	iotic L.A + osteo	oporosis group	caused a	group (Tab	ole 2).							
Table (1): Serum calcium and bone turnover markers among the experimental groups:												
		Control group	Probiotic I group	A 0	steoporosis group	5	Pro +Osteo	biotic poros	L.A is gr	oup		
Serum Ca	alcium (mg/dl)	9.71±0.53	10.53±0.6	9* 1	3.82±0.88*		10.	.69±0.	67*#			
Serum Al	LP (U/L)	71.01±2.10	70.26±1.9	4 58	$8.76 \pm 5.54^{*}$	k	69.	45±7.	66*#			

60.31±2.45

13.13±1.55

10.06±1.10 74.89±0.91

Data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (n = 8). P < 0.05 is significant tested by using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post hoc multiple comparisons (LSD). *P < 0.05 vs. control group; #P < 0.05 vs. osteoporosis group.ALP, Alkaline phosphatase; OCN, Osteocalcin; OPG, osteoprotegerin; TRAP, tartarate resistant acid phosphatase; RANKL, receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappa B ligand.

60.72±2.33

13.80±1.79

9.71±1.13

73.75±1.94

 $44.97 \pm 2.63^*$

 $7.68 \pm 1.00^{*}$

31.04±1.96*

124.38±3.12*

Table (2): Serum oxidative stress markers and pro-inflammatory cytokines among the experimental grou	aps:
--	------

	Control group	Probiotic L.A group	Osteoporosis group	Probiotic L.A +Osteoporosis group
Serum MDA(µmol/L)	1.02±0.11	1.03±0.10	$\begin{array}{c} 2.09{\pm}0.16^{*} \\ 304.50{\pm}7.34^{*} \\ 25.68{\pm}1.46^{*} \end{array}$	1.29±0.08 ^{*#}
Serum SOD (mmol/L)	390.87±6.85	395.00±6.67		367.62±6.69 ^{*#}
Serum IL-1b (pg/ml)	18.76±1.07	19.11±1.22		21.39±3.11 ^{*#}

Data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (n = 8). P < 0.05 is significant tested by using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post hoc multiple comparisons (LSD). *P < 0.05 vs. control group; #P < 0.05 vs. osteoporosis group. IL-6, (Interlukin-6); IL-1b, (Interlukin 1beta), MDA, (Malondialdhyde); SOD, (Superoxide

3.3. Probiotic L.A pretreatment enhanced the bone mineral density (BMD) in aged osteoporotic rats:

For all study groups, the whole-body BMD was determined using the DXA scan. These scans revealed that rats which were given D-gal for eight weeks (Osteoporosis group) showed a significantly lower (p < 0.05) BMD compared to control group. On contrast, administration of probiotic L.A for 8 weeks in Probiotic L.A + osteoporosis group caused a significant elevation(p< 0.05) in BMD compared to osteoporosis group (Figure 1).

3.4. Probiotic L.A pretreatment reduced the NLRP3 inflammasome and Caspase -1 Protein in bone of aged osteoporotic rats:

By comparing NLRP3 inflammasome and Caspase -1 expression levels in bone tissue using western blot analysis, significant higher levels(p < 0.05) of NLRP3 inflammasome and caspase -1were detected in the rats which were given D-gal for eight weeks (Osteoporosis group) when compared to the control. Administration of probiotic L.A for eight weeks in Probiotic L.A + osteoporosis group resulted in a significant reduction in the osteoporosis group(p < 0.05)(Figure 2).

3.5. Probiotic L.A pretreatment increased CBT and TBD% of aged osteoporotic rats:

Microscopic examination of the bone specimens of different groups revealed the classical characteristics of cortical and trabecular bone. The endosteal surface appeared smooth in both the control and probiotic L.A groups (Figure **3** A&B). D-gal treatment for eight weeks demonstrated visible histological alterations that was seen as distorted architecture with a significant reduction (p < 0.05) in both CBT and TBD% in osteoporosis group when compared to

control rats(Figure 3 C,E&F).Where as probiotic L.A pretreatment for 8 weeks in Probiotic L.A + osteoporosis group resulted in histological improvement of the bone architecture demonstrated by the significantly higher (p < 0.05) CBT and TBD% compared to osteoporosis group (Figure 3 D,E&F).



Figure (1):DXA scan for(A) Control group, (B) Probiotic L.A group, (C) Osteoporosis group, and (D) Probiotic L.A +Osteoporosis group. (E) Bone mineral density (BMD) in different experimental groups, data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (n = 8). P < 0.05 is significant tested by using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post hoc multiple comparisons (LSD). *P < 0.05 vs. control group; #P < 0.05 vs. osteoporosis group.



Figure (2)(A) Relative protein expression levels of NLRP3 inflammasome and Caspase-1 were analyzed by Western Blotting, (B)The ratio of NLRP3 inflammasome /Bactin expression in different experimental groups (C) The ratio of Caspase-1 /B actin expression in different experimental groups, data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (n = 8). P < 0.05 is significant tested by using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post hoc multiple comparisons (LSD). *P < 0.05 vs. control group; #P < 0.05 vs. osteoporosis group.





Figure (3) (A-D) Photomicrograph of bone tissue sections in the femur stained with (H&E), magnification ×100, showing mean cortical bone thickness (CBT) and mean trabecular bone density (TBD)%. (A) Control group, (B)Probiotic L.A group, (C)Osteoporosis group, and (D)Probiotic L.A +Osteoporosis group. Histomorphometric analysis of (E)cortical bone thickness (CBT) (μ m) and (F),trabecular bone density (TBD) %, data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (n = 8). P < 0.05 is significant tested by using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)

4. Discussion:

With the increasing elderly population, SOP is becoming a greater challenge for physicians and health care workers globally. SOP negatively impacts the quality of life by weakening the skeletal system making it more susceptible to fractures, which subsequently increases the mortality rate [21][22].Therefore, prevention or slowing the progression of SOP is favorable for aged populations.

Probiotics being complementary therapies gained much attention especially since they present with fewer side effects and are more affordable compared to the major osteoporotic treatment modalities. Evidence has shown that dietary probiotic supplementation can slow bone resorption[10], however, possible underlying mechanisms for such effects are not completely clarified. Our present study demonstrated the osteoprotective effects of probiotic L.A against Dgal induced SOP in adult male albino rats. Our results revealed that pretreatment with probiotic L.Ain aged osteoporotic rats prevented osteoporosis development even in part via antiinflammatory effect by reducing bone NLRP3 inflammasome and caspase-1 protein expression levels.

In this study,D-gal (a reducing sugar)intraperitoneal injection for 8 weeks resulted in SOP development in rats. Injection of D-gal in animals have been shown to accelerate aging, consistent with the symptoms of natural aging after a period of time [23].The mechanisms underlying D-gal associated aging involve the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), elevated DNA well mitochondrial damage, as as dysfunction[24][25].Our results revealed that Dgal injection, resulted in oxidative stress state that was represented by significant higher serum MDA levels associated with lower serum levels of SOD in the D-gal injected group compared to the control one, moreover, DXA scan of therats in Dgal group showed significantly lower BMD levels compared to the control rats.Previous studies reported that low BMD is a crucial sign for determining osteoporosis, and that the DXA scan is a current gold standard radiological examination confirm used to the diagnosis of osteoporosis[26][27].Furthermore, the Dgal injected group showed bone tissue deterioration, disturbed bone architecture, and significant reduction of CBT and TBD% presented by histopathological examination, all these results indicate that oxidative stress induced aged osteoporosis model in ratswas successful.Interestingly,our results are similar to that in other previous reports, Mahmoud et al. [17] and Wang et al. [28] who reported that D-gal injection resulted in a SOP rat and mice models respectively that mimic the human bone aging. Development of osteoporosis in aged rats could be attributed to the loss of balance between osteoblast-mediated bone formation and osteoclast-mediated bone resorption during aging (a process that favors more bone resorption and less bone formation)[29].

Our osteoporosis model was emphasized by a significant alteration in serum calcium. Our study showed higher serum calcium levels in the osteoporotic groupin comparison to the control one. Similarly, Mahmoud et al. [17]and El-baz et al.[30] also reported higher serum calcium levels in SOP rat model. Changes in serum calcium homeostasis, common in elderly, may be caused by the reduction in intestinal calcium absorption that comes with age as well as associated vitamin D deficiency that subsequently cause secondary hyperparathyroidism, activating osteoclasts and directly contributing to greater bone resorption[31]. The reduced calcium absorption during aging correlates with the reduced intestinal calbindin-D9k expression [32]and intestinal resistance to 1,25(OH)₂D₃[33].Moreover, vitamin D deficiency in aged rats was explained by increased renal CYP24A1, which restricts the amount of 1, 25(OH)₂D₃produced via speeding up the catabolism of 1,25(OH)₂D₃[34][35].

Interestingly, our osteoporotic aged rat model revealed a significant affection in the two aspects of bone metabolism; bone formation and resorption that were detected by measuring bone turnover markers and comparing them to the control. A significant reduction in serum ALP and OCN associated with a significantly elevated serum TRAP was demonstrated. ALP and OCN reflected bone formation ability, ALP was useful as amarker for osteogenic differentiation, while OCN was associated with the osteoblast mineralization due to its affinity with hydroxyapatite after carboxylation [36]. TRAP; lysosomal protease enzyme values reflected the bone resorption ability of osteoclasts, being found abundantly within the ruffled border of the osteoclast, which results in the degradation and demineralization of the bone matrix especially [37].Coinciding type-1 collagen with our study, Zhu et al. [38], Xu et al. [39] and Huang et al.[40] who reported lower serum levels of ALP and OCN accompanied with higher serum TRAP levels in SOP models . In contrast to our results Mahmoud et al. [17] and El-baz et al.[30] demonstrated higher serum OCN in SOP rat model, their explanation was that OCN embedded in bone matrix also be released during bone resorption suggesting that serum OCN should be considered as a marker of bone resorption rather than bone formation.

Activation of OPG/RANKL/RANK signaling has gained much attention concerning osteoporosis, RANKL/RANK signaling was highlighted as the main factor of bone resorption [41], such activation could be prevented by the osteoprotegerin (OPG) produced by osteoblasts, it acts as a decoy receptor, it binds to RANKL, thus preventing the interaction between RANKL and its receptor RANK expressed on osteoclasts, so OPG is thought to be a key inhibitory player in bone resorption[42].Our study revealed a reduction in serum OPG level accompanied by a significant increase in RANKL level in the osteoporotic group compared to the control one. Similarly, Huang et al.[40]andWang et al. [43]stated higher levels of RANKL associated with lower levels of OPG in SOP models. Higher serum RANKL levels in our study may be explained in part by the higher levels of NLRP3 inflammasome with its subsequent mediator caspase-1 that were detected in the femora of osteoporotic group associated with higher significant serum levels of IL-1b, as it was reported thatinflammaging isinvolved in bone remodeling through pro-inflammatory cytokines which disturb balance the of the OPG/RANKL/RANK system[44].Oxidative damage occurs during aging induces stress signals

was reported to enhance the NLRP3 inflammasome upregulation [45], and activation that causes the activation of its subsequent Caspase-1 and IL-1b [46]. IL-1b released via activated NLRP3 inflammosome stimulates RANKL expression in bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells and in osteoblasts [47].Moreover, IL-1b binds its receptors on T lymphocytes, В lymphocytes, and macrophages, stimulating the generation of RANKL, thereby enhancing the bone resorption [48]. In line with our explanation, it was reported that blocking NLRP3 inflammasome with MCC950 (a potent inhibitor of the NLRP3 inflammasome) decreased alveolar bone loss in aged rats as there was a significant inhibition of **RANKL**-activated caspase-1osteoclast differentiation [49].

Besides, high levels of IL-1b was reported to stop the osteogenic differentiation by activating NF-kB [50], and to inhibit the osteoblastic differentiation by activating mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway [51]. This may in part explain the low levels of bone formation markers; ALP and OCN that were detected in our study. Thus, regarding the dual effects of NLRP3 inflammasome activation in the pathogenesis of osteoporosis, as it enhances bone resorption and reduces bone formation, its regulation may be an ideal target for management of osteoporosis.

Our present work explored that pretreatment of osteoporotic rats for eight weeks with probiotic L.A. The probiotic of our choice in the present study is one of the most recognized and commonly used species of probiotics[14]. It resulted in amelioration of the oxidative stress state as there was a significant reduction of serum MDA coupled with a significant elevation of serum SOD in probiotic L.A+ osteoporosis group compared to osteoporotic one.Such antioxidant effectwas reported previously in several models such as aging, ulcerative colitis and arthritis[52][53][54]. The antioxidant activity was attributed to their ROS radical scavenging ability and enhancement of Nrf2- HO-1 axis [55].

Interestingly, probiotic L.A significantly restored the BMD, improved the histological bone construction that was manifested by a significant elevation in the CBT and TBD% and lowered serum calciumin probiotic L.A+ osteoporosis group compared to osteoporotic group indicating ananti-osteoporotic activity of probiotic L.A.Our results are in line withMontazeri-Najafabady et al.[16]who found higher BMD in ovarectomized rats treated with L.A for four weeks. Also, Zhou et al.[56] who revealed that probiotic L.A pretreatmentfor eight weeks improved the BMD and increased the TBD% in dexamethasone induced osteoporosis rat model, they explained such improvement of BMD and bone microarchitecture by the increasing calcium absorption that contributed to the restoration of bone. Moreover, Dar et al.[14]described antiosteoporotic effects of probiotic L.A given for six weeks following ovariectomy in postmenopausal osteoporosis mice model due to its immunomodulatory effect on host immune system that resulted in reducing the levels of osteoclastogenic cytokines indicating the role ofprobiotic L.A as a bone resorption inhibitor in the treatment of osteoporosis. In our study, the significant reduction of serum TRAP in the probiotic L.A+ osteoporosis group compared to osteoporotic groupverified the inhibitory effect of probiotic L.A on osteoclasts and bone resorption

which being in line with Chen et al.[57] who revealed that L.A prevents the proliferation and differentiation of osteoclasts in osteoclast precursor cell line by regulating the expression levels of the genes controlling such processes.At the same time, serum ALP and OCN significantly were increased in the probiotic L.A+ osteoporosis group compared to osteoporotic group. This corresponds to the findings of Montazeri-Najafabady et al.[16] and Zhou et al.[56] who reported higher serum ALP and OCN respectively, in osteoporotic rat models that received L.A, indicating its ability to promote osteoblastic activity. Interestingly, L.A was reported to stimulate osteoblast proliferation, differentiation, and maturation via butyric acid in its metabolites in osteoblast precursor cell line, thereby improving bone quality [57]. Changes of our bone turnover markers suggested that probiotic L.A inhibits bone resorption while promoting bone formation.

The osteoprotective effect of the probiotic L.A informed in the present study was associated by lowering bone NLRP3 inflammasome with its subsequent mediators, caspase-1and IL-1b, that could attribute to the lower RANKL levelsin the probiotic L.A +osteoporosis group.Several studies described that L.Areduced previously the expression of NLRP3 inflammasome signaling pathway in different organs such as jejunum, ileum and colon [58][15], such effect was explained by the inhibition at the mRNA level, moreover, the antioxidant effects of L.A could be involved in lowering NLRP3 inflammasome, since ROS was one of the main triggers of the NLRP3 inflammasome [15]. Interestingly, IL-1 receptor antagonist (anakinra) decreased serum RANKL

and reduced bone loss in arthritis rat model[59].A clinical study revealed that anakinra was exhibited a resistance to bone resorption in postmenopausal women [60], which indicated the role of the downregulation of NLRP3 inflammasome with its subsequent mediators in the protection of bone. Besides, we also demonstrate that L.A could possibly improve the osteoporosis by upregulating the expression of OPG, which is known to inhibit osteoporosis, such increase indicates the ability of L.A restore the balance to between OPG/RANKL/RANK pathway.

5. Conclusion:

In conclusion, this study demonstrated the osteoprotective effect of L.A in a D-gal induced SOP rat model. Such effect was mediated in part via its anti-inflammatory effects that was represented by decreased NLRP3 inflammasome and its subsequent mediators caspase-1 and interlukin-1b that finally resulted in an increase of bone formation and a reduction of bone resorption.

Disclosure statement

The authors state that there is no conflict of interest.

Author contributions

All authors of this research paper have contributed equally in the planning, execution, or analysis of this study.

Funding: This research received no external funding from governmental/ non-governmental institution of company.

Availability of data and material: All relevant raw data will be freely available by the authors.

Ethical approval: The animal study was approved by the Local Ethical Committee,Benha Faculty of Medicine, Egypt.

References:

- Sebastian-Valverde M, Pasinetti GM. The NLRP3 inflammasome as a critical actor in the inflammaging process. Cells. 2020;9(6):1–28.
- Bijlsma AY, Meskers CGM, Westendorp RGJ, Maier AB. Chronology of agerelated disease definitions: osteoporosis and sarcopenia,. Ageing Research Review. 2012;11(2):320–4.
- Ferrucci L., Corsi A., Lauretani F., Bandinelli S., Bartali B., Taub DD. The origins of age-related proinflammatory state. Blood. 2005;105:2294–2299.
- Franceschi, C.; Bonafè, M.; Valensin, S.; Olivieri, F.; de Luca, M.; Ottaviani, E.; de Benedictis G. Inflamm-aging. An evolutionary perspective on immunosenescence. Annalsof the New York Academyof Sciences. 2000;908:244– 54.
- Agostini L, Martinon F, Burns K, Hawkins PN TJ. NALP3 Forms an IL-1beta-Processing Inflammasome With Increased Activity in Muckle-Wells Autoinflammatory Disorder. Immunity. 2004;20(3):319–25.
- Fernández-Ortiz M, Sayed RKA, Fernández-Martínez J, Cionfrini A, Aranda-Martínez P, Escames G.Melatonin/Nrf2/NLRP3 Connection in Mouse Heart Mitochondria during Aging. Antioxidants (Basel, Switzerland). 2020 Nov;9(12).
- Jiang N, An J, Yang K, Liu J, Guan C, Ma C. NLRP3 Inflammasome: A New Target for Prevention and Control of Osteoporosis? Frontiers of Endocrinology

(Lausanne). 2021;12(September):1-10.

- Yu J, Cao G, Yuan S, Luo C, Yu J, Cai M. Probiotic supplements and bone health in postmenopausal women: a metaanalysis of randomised controlled trials. BMJ Open. 2021 Mar 2;11(3):e041393.doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-041393.
- De Franciscis P, Colacurci N, Riemma G.A nutraceutical approach to menopausal complaints. Medicina (B Aires). 2019;55:544.
- Food And Agriculture Organization Organization. Guidelines for the evaluation of probiotics in food: report of a joint FAO/WHO Working Group. Ontario, Canada: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and World Health Organization,. 2002;
- Zaiss MM, Jones RM, Schett G.The gutbone axis: how bacterial metabolites bridge the distance.The Journal of Clinical Investigation. 2019;129:3018–28.
- Tremaroli V BF. Functional interactions between the gut microbiota and host metabolism. Nature. 2012;489:242–9.
- J-Y L, Chassaing B, Tyagi AM.Sex steroid deficiency-associated bone loss is microbiota dependent and prevented by probiotics. The Journal Clinical Investigation. 2016;126:2049–63.
- Dar HY, Shukla P, Mishra PK, Anupam R, Mondal RK, Tomar GB.Lactobacillus acidophilus inhibits bone loss and increases bone heterogeneity in osteoporotic mice via modulating Treg-Th17 cell balance. Bone Reports. 2018;8(January):46–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bonr.2018.02.001

- Li P, Chen G, Zhang J, Pei C, Chen Y, Gong J. Live Lactobacillus acidophilus alleviates ulcerative colitis via the SCFAs/mitophagy/NLRP3 inflammasome axis. Food &Function. 2022;13(5):2985– 97.
- Montazeri-Najafabady N, Ghasemi Y, Dabbaghmanesh MH, Talezadeh P, Koohpeyma F, Gholami A. Supportive Role of Probiotic Strains in Protecting Rats from Ovariectomy-Induced Cortical Bone Loss. Probiotics and Antimicrobial Proteins. 2019 Dec;11(4):1145–54.
- Mahmoud MAA, Saleh DO, Safar MM, Agha AM, Khattab MM. Chloroquine ameliorates bone loss induced by Dgalactose in male rats via inhibition of ERK associated osteoclastogenesis and antioxidant effect. Toxicology Reports. 2021;8:366–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2021.02.00

7

- 18. Rajfer RA, Flores M, Abraham A, Desai Garcia E, Hinojosa N, М. Prevention osteoporosis of in the ovariectomized rat by oral administration of a nutraceutical combination that stimulates nitric oxide production. Journal of Osteoporosis. 2019;2019.
- Bancroft JD GM. Theory and practice of histological techniques.Journal of clinical pathology.1977 Nov; 30(11): 1089.
- 20. Zhou Q, Luo D, Li T, Liu Z, Zou W, Wang L.Bone fracture in a rat femoral fracture model is associated with the activation of autophagy. Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine. 2015;10(5):1675–

- 21. Chen X, Hua W, Huang X, Chen Y, Zhang J, Li G. Regulatory Role of RNA N6-Methyladenosine Modification in Bone Biology and Osteoporosis. Frontiers in Endocrinology (Lausanne).2020;10. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.338 9/fendo.2019.00911
- 22. Qadir A, Liang S, Wu Z, Chen Z, Hu L, Senile Oian A. osteoporosis: the of involvement differentiation and senescence of bone marrow stromal cells. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2020;21(1):349.
- 23. Tusi SK, Khalaj L, Ashabi G, Kiaei M, Khodagholi F. Alginate oligosaccharide protects against endoplasmic reticulumand mitochondrial-mediated apoptotic cell death and oxidative stress. Biomaterials. 2011 Aug;32(23):5438–58.
- 24. Wang J, Zhang T, Liu X, Fan H, Wei C. of se-enriched Aqueous extracts D-Auricularia auricular attenuates galactose-induced cognitive deficits. oxidative stress and neuroinflammation via RAGE/MAPK/NF-ĸB suppressing pathway. Neuroscience Letters 2019;704:106-11.

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304394019302307

25. Jeong H, Liu Y, Kim H-S. Dried plum and chokeberry ameliorate d-galactose-induced aging in mice by regulation of Pl3k/Aktmediated Nrf2 and Nf-kB pathways. Experimental Gerontology. 2017;95:16–25. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/arti cle/pii/S053155651730061X

- 26. Gaudio A, Pennisi P, Bratengeier C, Torrisi V, Lindner B, Mangiafico RA. Increased sclerostin serum levels associated with bone formation and resorption markers in patients with immobilizationinduced bone loss. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2010 May;95(5):2248–53.
- Gimeno RE, Moller DE. FGF21-based pharmacotherapy--potential utility for metabolic disorders. Trends in Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2014 Jun;25(6):303–11.
- Wang Q, Gao Z, Guo K, Lu J, Wang F, Wu T. Human Umbilical Cord Wharton Jelly Cells Treatment Prevents Osteoporosis Induced by D-Galactose.International Journal of Clinical practice. 2022;2022. doi: 10.1155/2022/4593443.
- 29. **Demontiero O, Vidal C, Duque G**. Aging and bone loss: new insights for the clinician. Therapeutic Advances in Musculoskeletal Disease. 2012;4(2):61–76. https://doi.org/10.1177/1759720X1143085 8
- 30. El-baz FK, Saleh DO, Abdel GA, Hussein RA. Hassan A, Nrc **C.**Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy Heamatococcus pluvialis ameliorates bone loss in experimentally-induced osteoporosis in rats via the regulation of OPG / RANKL pathway. Biomedicine and Pharmacotherapy.2019;116(May):109017. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2019.1090 17

31. Gallagher JC, Riggs BL, Eisman J,

¹⁸⁴

^{80.}

Hamstra A, Arnaud SB, Deluca HF. Intestinal Calcium Absorption and Serum Vitamin D Metabolites in Normal Subjects and Osteoporotic Patients: EFFECT OF AGE AND DIETARY CALCIUM. The Journal of Clinical Investigation. 1979;64(3):729–36. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI109516

- 32. van Abel M, Huybers S, Hoenderop JGJ, van der Kemp AWCM, van Leeuwen JPTM, Bindels RJM. Age-dependent alterations in Ca²⁺ homeostasis: role of TRPV5 and TRPV6. American Journal of Physiology. Renal Physiology. 2006 Dec;291(6):F1177-83.
- 33. Pattanaungkul S, Riggs BL, Yergey AL, Vieira NE, O'Fallon WM, Khosla S. Relationship of intestinal calcium absorption to 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D [1,25(OH)2D] levels in young versus elderly women: evidence for age-related intestinal resistance to 1,25(OH)2D of action.The Journal Clinical 2000 Endocrinology and Metabolism. Nov;85(11):4023-7.
- Johnson JA, Beckman MJ, Pansini-Porta
 A, Christakos S, Bruns ME, Beitz DC.
 Age and gender effects on 1,25dihydroxyvitamin D3-regulated gene expression. Experimental Gerontology. 1995;30(6):631–43.
- 35. Armbrecht HJ, Zenser T V, Davis BB. Effect of age on the conversion of 25hydroxyvitamin D3 to 1,25dihydroxyvitamin D3 by kidney of rat. Journal of Clinical Investigation. 1980 Nov;66(5):1118–23.

- 36. Takashi Y, Kawanami D. The Role of Bone-Derived Hormones in Glucose Metabolism, Diabetic Kidney Disease, and Cardiovascular Disorders. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2022 Feb;23(4).
- 37. Leung P, Pickarski M, Zhuo Y, Masarachia PJ, Duong LT. The effects of the cathepsin K inhibitor odanacatib on osteoclastic bone resorption and vesicular trafficking. Bone. 2011;49(4):623–35. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/arti cle/pii/S8756328211010556
- 38. Zhu C, Li T, Zhang P, Zou M, Guo Q, Qu X. Beneficial effects of low-level laser irradiation on senile osteoporosis in rats.European Review of Medical and Pharmacological Sciences. 2017;5230–8.
- 39. Xu S, Xia T, Zhang J, Jiang Y, Wang N, Xin H. Protective effects of bitter acids from Humulus lupulus L . against senile osteoporosis via activating Nrf2 / HO-1 / NQO1 pathway in D-galactose induced aging mice. Journal of Functional Foods..2022;94(April):105099. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2022.105099
- 40. Huang Y, Xu B, Kuai Z, He Y, Lu Y, J. Shen Glucagon-Like Peptide-2 Ameliorates Age- Associated Bone Loss and Gut Barrier Dysfunction in Senescence-Accelerated Mouse Prone 6 Mice.Gerentology.2023;69:428-449. doi: 10.1159/000527502.
- Wang P, Cao Y, Zhan D, Wang D, Wang B, Liu Y.Influence of DNA methylation on the expression of OPG/RANKL in primary osteoporosis. International Journal of

Medical Sciences. 2018;15:1480–5. https://www.medsci.org/v15p1480.htm

42. Zhang W, Chen Z. Preparation of micropipette tip-based molecularly imprinted monolith for selective microsolid phase extraction of berberine in plasma and urine samples. Talanta. 2013;103:103–9.

> https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/arti cle/pii/S003991401200834X

- Wang S, Feng W, Liu J, Wang X, Lv C, Feng M.Alginate oligosaccharide alleviates senile osteoporosis via the RANKL – -RANK pathway in D- - induced C57BL / 6J mice. Chemical Biology and Drug Design. 2022 Jan;99(1): 46–55.
- 44. Lia G, Paola ML, Maddalena SM M, DM. Osteoporosis, inflammation and aging., In: Fulop T, Franceschi C HK, Pawelec G E. Handbook of immunosenescence: basic understanding and clinical implications. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2017. p. 1–31.
- 45. Salminen, A.; Huuskonen, J.; Ojala, J.; Kauppinen, A.; Kaarniranta, K.; Suuronen T. Activation of innate immunity system during aging: NF-kB signaling is the molecular culprit of inflamm-aging. Ageing Research Review. 2008;7:83–105.
- 46. Niemi, K.; Teirilä, L.; Lappalainen, J.;
 Rajamäki, K.; Baumann, M.; Öörni, K.;
 Wol, H.; Kovanen PT., Matikainen, S.;
 Eklund KK. Serum Amyloid A Activates the NLRP3 Inflammasome via P2X7 Receptor and a Cathepsin B-Sensitive Pathway. Journal of Immunology.

2011;**186**:6119–6128.

- Pietschmann P, Mechtcheriakova D, Meshcheryakova A F-SU, I. E. Immunology of Osteoporosis. A Mini-Review Gerontology. 2016;62(2):128–37.
- 48. Ruscitti P, Cipriani P, Carubbi F, Liakouli V, Zazzeroni F DBP, Al. E. The Role of IL-1beta in the Bone Loss During Rheumatic Diseases. Mediators of Inflammation. 2015;782382.
- Y Zang, JH Song, SH Oh JK. Targeting NLRP3 inflammasome reduces age-related experimental alveolar bone loss. Journal of Dental Research. 2020;99(11):1287–95.
- 50. Mao CY, Wang YG, Zhang X, Zheng XY, Tang TT LE. Double-Edged- Sword Effect of IL-1beta on the Osteogenesis of Periodontal Ligament Stem Cells via Crosstalk Between the NF-Kappab, MAPK and BMP/Smad Signaling Pathways. Cell Death& Disease. 2016;7:e2296.
- 51. Huang RL, Yuan Y, Tu J, Zou GM LQ. Opposing TNF-Alpha/IL-1beta- and BMP-2-Activated MAPK Signaling Pathways Converge on Runx2 to Regulate BMP-2-Induced Osteoblastic Differentiation. Cell Death &Disease. 2014 Apr 17;5(4):e1187. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2014.101.
- 52. Kaushal D, Kansal VK. Probiotic Dahi containing Lactobacillus acidophilus and Bifidobacterium bifidum alleviates age-inflicted oxidative stress and improves expression of biomarkers of ageing in mice. Molecular Biology Reports . 2012 Feb;39(2):1791-9. doi: 10.1007/s11033-011-0920-1.

- Hu T, Wang H, Xiang C, Mu J, Zhao X.
 Preventive Effect of Lactobacillus acidophilus XY27 on DSS-Induced Ulcerative Colitis in Mice. Drug Design, Development and Therapy. 2020; 14:5645– 57.
- 54. Amdekar S, Singh V. Lactobacillus acidophilus maintained oxidative stress from reproductive organs in collageninduced arthritic rats. Journal of Human Reproductive Sciences. 2016;9(1):41–6.
- 55. Zhang P, Han X, Zhang X, Zhu X. Lactobacillus acidophilus ATCC 4356 Alleviates Renal Ischemia–Reperfusion Injury Through Antioxidant Stress and Anti-inflammatory Responses and Improves Intestinal Microbial Distribution. Frontiers of Nutrition. 2021;8. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.338 9/fnut.2021.667695
- 56. Zhou J, Cheng J, Liu L, Luo J, Peng X. Lactobacillus acidophilus (LA) Fermenting Astragalus Polysaccharides (APS) Improves Calcium Absorption and Osteoporosis by Altering Gut Microbiota. Foods. 2023;12(2). https://www.mdpi.com/2304-8158/12/2/275
- 57. Chen C, Dong B, Wang Y, Zhang Q, Wang B, Feng S. The role of Bacillus acidophilus in osteoporosis and its roles in proliferation and differentiation. 2020;(June):1–10.
- 58. Wang S, Li H, Du C, Liu Q, Yang D, Chen L. Effects of dietary supplementation with Lactobacillus acidophilus on the performance, intestinal physical barrier

function, and the expression of NOD-like receptors in weaned piglets. PeerJ. 2018;**2018**(12).

- 59. M, Schett G, Dwyer Stolina D, Vonderfecht S, Middleton S, Duryea D. RANKL inhibition by osteoprotegerin prevents bone loss without affecting local or systemic inflammation parameters in two rat arthritis models: comparison with anti-TNFalpha or anti-IL-1 therapies. Arthritis Research & Therapy. 2009;11(6):R187.
- 60. Charatcharoenwitthaya N, Khosla S, Atkinson EJ, McCready LK, Riggs BL. Effect of blockade of TNF-alpha and interleukin-1 action on bone resorption in early postmenopausal women. Journal of bone and Mineral Research. Official Journal of American Society for Bone and Mineral Research. 2007 May;22(5):724–9.