

EXISTENCE AND ULAM STABILITIES FOR HADAMARD FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL EQUATIONS IN FRÉCHET SPACES

SAÏD ABBAS, WAFAA ALBARAKATI, MOUFFAK BENCHOHRA AND GASTON M. N'GUÉRÉKATA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we present some results concerning the existence and Ulam Stabilities of solutions for some functional integral equations of Hadamard fractional order. We use an extension of the Burton-Kirk fixed point theorem in Fréchet spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fractional integral equations have recently been applied in various areas of engineering, science, finance, applied mathematics, and bio-engineering and others. However, many researchers remain unaware of this field. There has been a significant development in ordinary and partial fractional differential and integral equations in recent years; see the monographs of Abbas *et al.* [1, 6, 7], Baleanu *et al.* [9], Kilbas *et al.* [21], Miller and Ross [23], Lakshmikantham *et al.* [22], Samko *et al.* [29]. Butzer *et al.* [11] investigate properties of the Hadamard fractional integral and derivative. In [12], they obtained the Mellin transforms of the Hadamard fractional integral and differential operators. In [25], Pooseh *et al.* obtained expansion formulas of the Hadamard operators in terms of integer order derivatives. Many other interesting properties of those operators and others are summarized in [29] and the references therein. Butzer *et al.* [11] investigate properties of the Hadamard fractional integral and derivative. In [12], they obtained the Mellin transforms of the Hadamard fractional integral and differential operators. In [25], Pooseh *et al.* obtained expansion formulas of the Hadamard operators in terms of integer order derivatives. Many other interesting properties of those operators and others are summarized in [29] and the references therein.

The stability of functional equations was originally raised by Ulam in 1940 in a talk given at Wisconsin University. The problem posed by Ulam was the following: Under what conditions does there exist an additive mapping near an approximately additive mapping? (for more details see [30]). The first answer to Ulam's question was given by Hyers in 1941 in the case of Banach spaces in [17]. Thereafter, this

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26A33.

Key words and phrases. Functional integral equation; Hadamard integral of fractional order; solution; Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability; Fréchet space; fixed point.

Submitted October 3, 2015.

type of stability is called the Ulam-Hyers stability. In 1978, Rassias [26] provided a remarkable generalization of the Ulam-Hyers stability of mappings by considering variables. The concept of stability for a functional equation arises when we replace the functional equation by an inequality which acts as a perturbation of the equation. Thus, the stability question of functional equations is how do the solutions of the inequality differ from those of the given functional equation? Considerable attention has been given to the study of the Ulam-Hyers and Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability of all kinds of functional equations; one can see the monographs of [16, 18]. Bota-Boriceanu and Petrusel [10], Petru *et al.* [24], and Rus [27, 28] discussed the Ulam-Hyers stability for operatorial equations and inclusions. Castro and Ramos [13], and Jung [20] considered the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for a class of Volterra integral equations. More details from historical point of view, and recent developments of such stabilities are reported in [19, 27].

Recently some interesting results on the existence and Ulam stabilities of the solutions of some classes of differential equations have been obtained by Abbas *et al.* [2, 3, 4, 5]. This paper deals with the existence and Ulam stabilities of solutions of the following Hadamard fractional integral equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &= \mu(t, x) + f(t, x, ({}^H I_\sigma^r u)(t, x), u(t, x)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^t \int_1^x (\log \frac{t}{s})^{r_1-1} \left(\log \frac{x}{y}\right)^{r_2-1} \\ &\times g(t, x, s, y, u(s, y)) \frac{dy ds}{sy}; \quad (t, x) \in J := [1, +\infty) \times [1, b], \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where $b > 1$, $\sigma = (1, 1)$, $r = (r_1, r_2)$, $r_1, r_2 \in (0, \infty)$, ${}^H I_\sigma^r$ is the Hadamard integral of order r , $\mu : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f : J \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g : J' \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are given continuous functions, $J' = \{(t, x, s, y) \in J^2 : s \leq t, y \leq x\}$ and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the (Euler's) Gamma function defined by

$$\Gamma(\xi) = \int_0^\infty t^{\xi-1} e^{-t} dt; \quad \xi > 0.$$

Our investigations are conducted in Fréchet spaces with an application of the fixed point theorem of Burton-Kirk for the existence of solutions of the integral equation (1.1), and we prove that all solutions are generalized Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce notations, definitions, and preliminary facts which are used throughout this paper. By $L^1([1, +\infty) \times [1, b])$; for $b > 1$, we denote the space of Lebesgue-integrable functions $u : [1, +\infty) \times [1, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_1 = \int_1^\infty \int_1^b |u(t, x)| dx dt.$$

By $C := C(J)$ we denote the space of all continuous functions from J into \mathbb{R} .

Definition 2.1. [15, 21] *The Hadamard fractional integral of order $q > 0$ for a function $g \in L^1([1, a], \mathbb{R})$, is defined as*

$$({}^H I_1^q g)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_1^x \left(\log \frac{x}{s}\right)^{q-1} \frac{g(s)}{s} ds.$$

Example 2.2. The Hadamard fractional integral of order $q > 0$ for the function $w : [1, e] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by $w(x) = (\log x)^{\beta-1}$ with $\beta > 0$, is

$$({}^H I_1^q w)(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta+q)} (\log x)^{\beta+q-1}.$$

Definition 2.3. Let $r_1, r_2 \geq 0$, $\sigma = (1, 1)$ and $r = (r_1, r_2)$. For $w \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R})$, define the Hadamard partial fractional integral of order r by the expression

$$({}^H I_\sigma^r w)(x, y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^x \int_1^y \left(\log \frac{x}{s}\right)^{r_1-1} \left(\log \frac{y}{t}\right)^{r_2-1} \frac{w(s, t)}{st} dt ds.$$

Let X be a Fréchet space with a family of semi-norms $\{\|\cdot\|_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$. We assume that the family of semi-norms $\{\|\cdot\|_n\}$ verifies :

$$\|x\|_1 \leq \|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_3 \leq \dots \quad \text{for every } x \in X.$$

Let $Y \subset X$, we say that Y is bounded if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\overline{M}_n > 0$ such that

$$\|y\|_n \leq \overline{M}_n \quad \text{for all } y \in Y.$$

To X we associate a sequence of Banach spaces $\{(X^n, \|\cdot\|_n)\}$ as follows : For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the equivalence relation \sim_n defined by : $x \sim_n y$ if and only if $\|x - y\|_n = 0$ for $x, y \in X$. We denote $X^n = (X|_{\sim_n}, \|\cdot\|_n)$ the quotient space, the completion of X^n with respect to $\|\cdot\|_n$. To every $Y \subset X$, we associate a sequence $\{Y^n\}$ of subsets $Y^n \subset X^n$ as follows : For every $x \in X$, we denote $[x]_n$ the equivalence class of x of subset X^n and we defined $Y^n = \{[x]_n : x \in Y\}$. We denote \overline{Y}^n , $\text{int}_n(Y^n)$ and $\partial_n Y^n$, respectively, the closure, the interior and the boundary of Y^n with respect to $\|\cdot\|_n$ in X^n . For more information about this subject see [14].

Set

$$J_p := [1, p] \times [1, b]; \quad p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}.$$

For each $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ we consider following set, $C_p = C(J_p)$, and we define in C the semi-norms by

$$\|u\|_p = \sup_{(t,x) \in J_p} \|u(t, x)\|.$$

Then C is a Fréchet space with the family of semi-norms $\{\|u\|_p\}$.

Definition 2.4. A set $M \subset C$ is bounded if and only if

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \exists \ell_p > 0 : \forall u \in M, \|u\|_p \leq \ell_p,$$

and $M = \{u(t, x); (t, x) \in J\} \subset C$ is relatively compact if and only if for all $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, the family $\{u(t, x)|_{(t,x) \in J_p}\}$ is equicontinuous and uniformly bounded on J_p .

Now, we consider the Ulam stability for the Hadamard integral equation (1.1). Let us define the mapping $N : C \rightarrow C$, such that,

$$\begin{aligned} (Nu)(t, x) &= \mu(t, x) + f(t, x, ({}^H I_\sigma^r u)(t, x), u(t, x)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^t \int_1^x \left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{r_1-1} \left(\log \frac{x}{y}\right)^{r_2-1} \\ &\times g(t, x, s, y, u(s, y)) \frac{dy ds}{sy}; \quad (t, x) \in J. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Let ϵ be a positive real number and $\phi : J_p \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a measurable and bounded function. We consider the following inequalities:

$$\|u(t, x) - (Nu)(t, x)\|_p \leq \epsilon; \quad \text{for a.a. } (t, x) \in J_p. \quad (2.2)$$

$$\|u(t, x) - (Nu)(t, x)\|_p \leq \phi(t, x); \quad \text{for a.a. } (t, x) \in J_p. \quad (2.3)$$

$$\|u(t, x) - (Nu)(t, x)\|_p \leq \epsilon\phi(t, x); \quad \text{for a.a. } (t, x) \in J_p. \quad (2.4)$$

Definition 2.5. [6, 27] *The equation (1.1) is Ulam-Hyers stable if there exists a real number $c_N > 0$ such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ and for each solution u of the inequality (2.2) there exists a solution v of the equation (1.1) with*

$$\|u(t, x) - v(t, x)\|_p \leq \epsilon c_N; \quad (t, x) \in J_p.$$

Definition 2.6. [6, 27] *The equation (1.1) is generalized Ulam-Hyers stable if there exists $c_N : C([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$ with $c_N(0) = 0$ such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ and for each solution u of the inequality (2.2) there exists a solution v of the equation (1.1) with*

$$\|u(t, x) - v(t, x)\|_p \leq c_N(\epsilon); \quad (t, x) \in J_p.$$

Definition 2.7. [6, 27] *The equation (1.1) is Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable with respect to ϕ if there exists a real number $c_{N,\phi} > 0$ such that for each $\epsilon > 0$ and for each solution u of the inequality (2.4) there exists a solution v of the equation (1.1) with*

$$\|u(t, x) - v(t, x)\|_p \leq \epsilon c_{N,\phi} \phi(t, x); \quad (t, x) \in J_p.$$

Definition 2.8. [6, 27] *The equation (1.1) is generalized Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable with respect to ϕ if there exists a real number $c_{N,\phi} > 0$ such that for each solution u of the inequality (2.3) there exists a solution v of the equation (1.1) with*

$$\|u(t, x) - v(t, x)\|_p \leq c_{N,\phi} \phi(t, x); \quad (t, x) \in J_p.$$

Remark 2.9. *It is clear that:*

- (i) *Definition 2.5 \Rightarrow Definition 2.6,*
- (ii) *Definition 2.7 \Rightarrow Definition 2.8,*
- (iii) *Definition 2.7 for $\phi(\cdot, \cdot) = 1 \Rightarrow$ Definition 2.5.*

One can have similar remarks for the inequalities (2.2) and (2.4). So, the Ulam stabilities of the fractional differential equations are some special types of data dependence of the solutions of fractional differential equations.

We need the following extension of the Burton-Kirk fixed point theorem in the case of a Fréchet space.

Theorem 2.10. [8] *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_n)$ be a Fréchet space and let $A, B : X \rightarrow X$ be two operators such that*

- (a) *A is a compact operator;*
- (b) *B is a contraction operator with respect to a family of seminorms $\{\|\cdot\|_n\}$;*
- (c) *the set $\{x \in X : x = \lambda A(x) + \lambda B(\frac{x}{\lambda}), \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$ is bounded.*

Then the operator equation $A(u) + B(u) = u$ has a solution in X .

3. EXISTENCE AND ULAM STABILITIES RESULTS

Now, we are concerned with the existence and the Ulam stability of solutions for the integral equation (1.1).

Set

$$J'_p = \{(t, x, s, y) : 1 \leq s \leq t \leq p, 1 \leq y \leq x \leq b\}; p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}.$$

The following hypotheses will be used in the sequel.

(H₁) There exist continuous functions $l, k : J_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, such that

$$|f(t, x, u_1, v_1) - f(t, x, u_2, v_2)| \leq \frac{l(t, x)|u_1 - u_2| + k(t, x)|v_1 - v_2|}{1 + |u_1 - u_2| + |v_1 - v_2|};$$

for each $(t, x) \in J_p$ and each $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

(H₂) There exist continuous functions $P, Q, \varphi : J'_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and a nondecreasing function $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$|g(t, x, s, y, u)| \leq \frac{P(t, x, s, y) + Q(t, x, s, y)|u|}{1 + |u|};$$

for $(t, x, s, y) \in J'$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$|g(t_1, x_1, s, y, u) - g(t_2, x_2, s, y, u)| \leq \varphi(s, y)(|t_1 - t_2| + |x_1 - x_2|) \times \psi(|u|); (t_1, x_1, s, y), (t_2, x_2, s, y) \in J'_p, u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(H₃) There exist continuous functions $P_1, Q_1 : J_p \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, such that for each $(t, s), (t, x) \in J_p$, we have

$$P(t, x, s, y, w) \leq \phi(t, x)P_1(s, y), \text{ and } Q(t, x, s, y, w) \leq \phi(t, x)Q_1(s, y).$$

Theorem 3.1. Assume that the hypotheses (H₁) and (H₂) hold. If

$$\ell := k_p + \frac{l_p(\log p)^{r_1}(\log b)^{r_2}}{\Gamma(1 + r_1)\Gamma(1 + r_2)} < 1, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$k_p = \sup_{(t,x) \in J_p} k(t, x), \quad l_p = \sup_{(t,x) \in J_p} l(t, x); p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\},$$

then the Hadamard integral equation (1.1) has at least one solution in the space C . Furthermore, if the hypothesis (H₃) holds, then the equation (1.1) is generalized Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable.

Proof. Let us define the operators $A, B : C \rightarrow C$ defined by

$$(Au)(t, x) = \int_1^t \int_1^x \left(\log \frac{t}{s}\right)^{r_1-1} \left(\log \frac{x}{y}\right)^{r_2-1} \frac{g(t, x, s, y, u(s, y))}{sy\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} dy ds; (t, x) \in J, \tag{3.2}$$

$$(Bu)(t, x) = \mu(t, x) + f(t, x, ({}^H I_\sigma^\alpha u)(t, x), u(t, x)); (t, x) \in J. \tag{3.3}$$

We shall show that operators A and B satisfied all the conditions of Theorem 2.10. The proof will be given in several steps.

Step 1. A is compact.

To this aim, we must prove that A is continuous and it transforms every bounded set into a relatively compact set. Let $M \subset C$ be a bounded set of C . The proof will

be given in several claims.

Claim 1. *A is continuous.*

Let $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0,1\}}$ be a sequence in M such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in M . Then, for each $(t, x) \in J_p$; $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Au_n)(t, x) - (Au)(t, x)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^t \int_1^x \left| \log \frac{t}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} \\ & \quad \times |g(t, x, s, y, u_n(s, y)) - g(t, x, s, y, u(s, y))| dy ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^t \int_1^x \left| \log \frac{t}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} \\ & \quad \times |g(t, x, s, y, u_n(s, y)) - g(t, x, s, y, u(s, y))| dy ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Then, since $u_n \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and g is continuous, (3.4) gives

$$\|A(u_n) - A(u)\|_p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Claim 2. *A maps bounded sets into bonded sets in C.*

For arbitrarily fixed $(t, x) \in J_p$ and $u \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Au)(t, x)| & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^t \int_1^x \left| \log \frac{t}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} \\ & \quad \times |g(t, x, s, y, u(s, y))| dy ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^t \int_1^x \left| \log \frac{t}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} \\ & \quad \times \frac{|P(t, x, s, y) + Q(t, x, s, y)| |u(s, y)|}{1 + |u(s, y)|} dy ds \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^t \int_1^x \left| \log \frac{t}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} \\ & \quad \times (P(t, x, s, y) + Q(t, x, s, y)) dy ds \\ & \leq P_p + Q_p, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_p = \sup_{(t,x) \in J_p} \int_1^t \int_1^x \left| \log \frac{t}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} \frac{P(t, x, s, y)}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} dy ds,$$

and

$$Q_p = \sup_{(t,x) \in J_p} \int_1^t \int_1^x \left| \log \frac{t}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} \frac{Q(t, x, s, y)}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} dy ds.$$

Thus

$$\|A(u)\|_p \leq P_p + Q_p := \ell'_p.$$

Claim 3. *A maps bounded sets into equicontinuous sets in C.*

Let $(t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2) \in J_p$, $t_1 < t_2$, $x_1 < x_2$ and let $u \in M$, thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Au)(t_2, x_2) - (Au)(t_1, x_1)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \left| \int_1^{t_2} \int_1^{x_2} \left| \log \frac{t_2}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x_2}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times [g(t_2, x_2, s, y, u(s, y)) - g(t_1, x_1, s, y, u(s, y))] dy ds \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \left| \int_1^{t_2} \int_1^{x_2} \left| \log \frac{t_2}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x_2}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} g(t_1, x_1, s, y, u(s, y)) dy ds \right. \\
& - \left. \int_1^{t_2} \int_1^{x_2} \left| \log \frac{t_1}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x_1}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} g(t_1, x_1, s, y, u(s, y)) dy ds \right| \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \left| \int_1^{t_2} \int_1^{x_2} \left| \log \frac{t_1}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x_1}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} g(t_1, x_1, s, y, u(s, y)) dy ds \right. \\
& - \left. \int_1^{t_1} \int_1^{x_1} \left| \log \frac{t_1}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x_1}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} g(t_1, x_1, s, y, u(s, y)) dy ds \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(Au)(t_2, x_2) - (Au)(t_1, x_1)| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^{t_2} \int_1^{x_2} \left| \log \frac{t_2}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x_2}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} \\
& \times \left| g(t_2, x_2, s, y, u(s, y)) - g(t_1, x_1, s, y, u(s, y)) \right| dy ds \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^{t_1} \int_1^{x_1} \left| \left(\log \frac{t_2}{s} \right)^{r_1-1} \left(\log \frac{x_2}{y} \right)^{r_2-1} - \left(\log \frac{t_1}{s} \right)^{r_1-1} \left(\log \frac{x_1}{y} \right)^{r_2-1} \right| \\
& \times \left| g(t_1, x_1, s, y, u(s, y)) \right| dy ds \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^{t_1} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left| \log \frac{t_2}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x_2}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} |g(t_1, x_1, s, y, u(s, y))| dy ds \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_1^{x_1} \left| \log \frac{t_2}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x_2}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} |g(t_1, x_1, s, y, u(s, y))| dy ds \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left| \log \frac{t_2}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x_2}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} |g(t_1, x_1, s, y, u(s, y))| dy ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(Au)(t_2, x_2) - (Au)(t_1, x_1)| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^{t_2} \int_1^{x_2} \left| \log \frac{t_2}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x_2}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} \\
& \times \varphi(s, y) (|t_1 - t_2| + |x_1 - x_2|) \psi(\ell_p) dy ds \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^{t_1} \int_1^{x_1} \left| \left(\log \frac{t_2}{s} \right)^{r_1-1} \left(\log \frac{x_2}{y} \right)^{r_2-1} - \left(\log \frac{t_1}{s} \right)^{r_1-1} \left(\log \frac{x_1}{y} \right)^{r_2-1} \right| \\
& \times (P(t_1, x_1, s, y) + Q(t_1, x_1, s, y)) dy ds \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_{t_2}^{t_2} \int_1^{x_2} \left| \log \frac{t_2}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x_2}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} (P(t_1, x_1, s, y) + Q(t_1, x_1, s, y)) dy ds \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^{t_1} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left| \log \frac{t_2}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x_2}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} (P(t_1, x_1, s, y) + Q(t_1, x_1, s, y)) dy ds \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \left| \log \frac{t_2}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x_2}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} (P(t_1, x_1, s, y) + Q(t_1, x_1, s, y)) dy ds.
\end{aligned}$$

From the continuity of functions P, Q, φ and as $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ and $x_1 \rightarrow x_2$, the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero. As a consequence of claims 1-3 and

from the Arzelá-Ascoli theorem, we can conclude that A is continuous and compact.

Step 2. B is a contraction.

Consider $v, w \in C$. Then, by (H_1) , for any $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and each $(t, x) \in J_p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Bv)(t, x) - (Bw)(t, x)| &\leq l(t, x) |{}^H I_\sigma^r (v - w)(t, x)| + k(t, x) |(v - w)(t, x)| \\ &\leq \left(k(t, x) + \frac{l(t, x)(\log p)^{r_1} (\log b)^{r_2}}{\Gamma(1 + r_1)\Gamma(1 + r_2)} \right) |v - w|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|(Bv) - B(w)\|_p \leq \left(k_p + \frac{l_p(\log p)^{r_1} (\log b)^{r_2}}{\Gamma(1 + r_1)\Gamma(1 + r_2)} \right) \|v - w\|_p.$$

By (3.1), we conclude that B is a contraction.

Step 3. the set $\mathcal{E} := \{u \in C(J) : u = \lambda A(u) + \lambda B\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right), \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$ is bounded.

Let $u \in C$, such that $u = \lambda A(u) + \lambda B\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right)$ for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then, for any $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and each $(t, x) \in J_p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t, x)| &\leq \lambda |A(u)| + \lambda |B\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right)| \\ &\leq |\mu(t, x)| + |f(t, x, 0, 0)| + k(t, x) + l(t, x) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^t \int_1^x \left| \log \frac{t}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} \\ &\quad \times \frac{P(t, x, s, y) + Q(t, x, s, y)}{sy} dy ds \\ &\leq \mu_p + f_p + k_p + l_p + P_p + Q_p, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mu_p = \sup_{(t, x) \in [1, p] \times [1, b]} \mu(t, x), \quad f_p = \sup_{(t, x) \in [1, p] \times [1, b]} |f(t, x, 0, 0)|; \quad p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}.$$

Thus,

$$\|u\|_p \leq \mu_p + f_p + k_p + l_p + P_p + Q_p =: \ell_p^*.$$

Hence, the set \mathcal{E} is bounded.

As a consequence of Steps 1-3 and from an application of Theorem 2.10 we deduce that N has a fixed point u which is a solution of the integral equation (1.1).

Step 4. The generalized Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability.

Set

$$P_{1p} = \sup_{(s, y) \in J_p} P_1(s, y), \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{1p} = \sup_{(s, y) \in J_p} Q_1(s, y).$$

Let u be a solution of the inequality (2.3) and v be a solution of the equation (1.1). Then

$$\begin{aligned} v(t, x) &= \mu(t, x) + f(t, x, {}^H I_\sigma^r v(t, x), v(t, x)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^t \int_1^x \left(\log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{r_1-1} \left(\log \frac{x}{y} \right)^{r_2-1} \\ &\quad \times g(t, x, s, y, v(s, y)) \frac{dy ds}{sy}; \quad (t, x) \in J := [1, +\infty) \times [1, b], \end{aligned}$$

From the inequality (2.3) and the hypothesis (H_3) , for each $(t, x) \in J_p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |u(t, x) - v(x, y)| \leq |u(t, x) - (Nu)(t, x)| \\
& + |(Nu)(t, x) - (Nv)(t, x)| \\
& \leq \phi(x, y) + |f(t, x, ({}^H I_\sigma^r u)(t, x), u(t, x)) - f(t, x, {}^H I_\sigma^r v(t, x), v(t, x))| \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^t \int_1^x \left| \log \frac{t}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} \\
& \times |g(t, x, s, y, u(s, y)) - g(t, x, s, y, v(s, y))| \frac{dyds}{sy} \\
& \leq \phi(x, y) + l(t, x) |({}^H I_\sigma^r u)(t, x) - {}^H I_\sigma^r v(t, x)| \\
& + k(t, x) |u(t, x) - v(t, x)| \\
& + \frac{2\phi(t, x)}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^t \int_1^x \left| \log \frac{t}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} \phi(t, x) \\
& \times (P_1(s, y) + Q_1(s, t)) dyds \\
& \leq \phi(x, y) + \ell_p |u(t, x) - v(t, x)| \\
& + \frac{2\phi(t, x)}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^t \int_1^x \left| \log \frac{t}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} (P_{1p} + Q_{1p}) dyds \\
& \leq \phi(t, x) + \ell_p |u(t, x) - v(t, x)| \\
& + \frac{2(P_{1p} + Q_{1p})\phi(t, x)}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^t \int_1^x \left| \log \frac{t}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} dyds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for each $(t, x) \in J_p$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|u(t, x) - v(x, y)| & \leq \frac{\phi(t, x)}{1 - \ell_p} \left(1 + \frac{2(P_{1p} + Q_{1p})}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} \int_1^t \int_1^x \left| \log \frac{t}{s} \right|^{r_1-1} \left| \log \frac{x}{y} \right|^{r_2-1} dyds \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{1 - \ell_p} \left(1 + \frac{2(P_{1p} + Q_{1p})(\log p)^{r_1} (\log b)^{r_2}}{\Gamma(1 + r_1)\Gamma(1 + r_2)} \right) \phi(t, x) \\
& := c_{N, \phi} \phi(t, x).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for each $(t, x) \in J_p$, we get

$$|u(t, x) - v(x, y)| \leq c_{N, \phi} \phi(x, y).$$

Consequently, the equation (1.1) is generalized Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable.

4. AN EXAMPLE

Consider the following Hadamard fractional order integral equation of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
u(t, x) & = \frac{xe^{3-2t}}{1+t+x^2} + \frac{xe^{-t-2}}{c_p(1+e^{-2p}|({}^H I_\sigma^r u)(t, x)| + e^{-p}|u(t, x)|)} \\
& + \int_1^t \int_1^x \left(\log \frac{t}{s} \right)^{r_1-1} \left(\log \frac{x}{y} \right)^{r_2-1} \frac{g(t, x, s, y, u(s, y))}{\Gamma(r_1)\Gamma(r_2)} dyds; \quad (t, x) \in [1, +\infty) \times [1, e],
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where $c_p = e^{-p} + \frac{e^{-2p}p^{r_1}}{\Gamma(1+r_1)\Gamma(1+r_2)}$; $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, $r = (r_1, r_2) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ and

$$g(t, x, s, y, u) = \frac{xs^{-\frac{3}{4}}(1+|u|)\sin\sqrt{t}\sin s}{(1+x^2+t^2)(1+|u|)}; \text{ if } (t, x, s, y) \in J', \text{ and } u \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$J' = \{(t, x, s, y) : 1 \leq s \leq t \text{ and } 1 \leq x \leq y \leq e\}.$$

Set

$$\mu(t, x) = \frac{xe^{3-2t}}{1+t+x^2}, \quad f(t, x, u, v) = \frac{xe^{-t-2}}{c_p(1+e^{-2p}|u|+e^{-p}|v|)}; \quad p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}.$$

The function f is continuous and satisfies assumption (H_1) , with $k(t, x) = \frac{xe^{-t-2-p}}{c_p}$, $l(t, x) = \frac{xe^{-t-2-2p}}{c_p}$, $k_p = \frac{e^{-2-p}}{c_p}$ and $l_p = \frac{e^{-2-2p}}{c_p}$. Also, the function g is continuous and satisfies assumption (H_2) , with

$$P(t, x, s, y) = Q(t, x, s, y) = \frac{xs^{-\frac{3}{4}}\sin\sqrt{t}\sin s}{1+x^2+t^2}; \quad (t, x, s, y) \in J'.$$

Also, the function g is continuous and satisfies assumption (H_3) , with

$$P_1(s, y) = Q_1(s, y) = s^{-\frac{3}{4}}\sin s, \quad P_{1p} = Q_{1p} = p^{-\frac{3}{4}},$$

and

$$\phi(t, x) = \frac{x\sin\sqrt{t}}{1+x^2+t^2}.$$

Finally, We shall show that condition (3.1) holds with $b = e$. Indeed, for each $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, we get

$$k_p + \frac{l_p(\log p)^{r_1}(\log b)^{r_2}}{\Gamma(1+r_1)\Gamma(1+r_2)} = \frac{1}{c_p} \left(e^{-2-p} + \frac{e^{-2-2p}p^{r_1}}{\Gamma(1+r_1)\Gamma(1+r_2)} \right) = e^{-2} < 1.$$

Hence by Theorem 3.1, the equation (4.1) has a solution defined on $[1, +\infty) \times [1, e]$ and (4.1) is generalized Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stable.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Abbas and M. Benchohra, *Advanced Functional Evolution Equations and Inclusions*, Springer, New York, 2015.
- [2] S. Abbas, W. A. Albarakati, M. Benchohra, M. A. Darwish and E. M. Hilal, New existence and stability results for partial fractional differential inclusions with multiple delay, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **114** (2015), 81-100.
- [3] S. Abbas and M. Benchohra, Some stability concepts for Darboux problem for partial fractional differential equations on unbounded domain, *Fixed Point Theory*, **16** (1) (2015), 3-14.
- [4] S. Abbas and M. Benchohra, Uniqueness and Ulam stabilities results for partial fractional differential equations with not instantaneous impulses, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **257** (2015), 190-198.
- [5] S. Abbas, M. Benchohra and M.A. Darwish. New stability results for partial fractional differential inclusions with not instantaneous impulses, *Frac. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **18** (1) (2015), 172-191.
- [6] S. Abbas, M. Benchohra and G.M. N'Guérékata, *Topics in Fractional Differential Equations*, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [7] S. Abbas, M. Benchohra and G.M. N'Guérékata, *Advanced Fractional Differential and Integral Equations*, Nova Science Publishers, New York, 2015.
- [8] C. Avramescu, Some remarks on a fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* **2003**, No. 5, 15 pp.
- [9] D. Baleanu, K. Diethelm, E. Scalas, J.J. Trujillo, *Fractional Calculus Models and Numerical Methods*, World Scientific Publishing, New York, 2012.

- [10] M. F. Bota-Boriceanu and A. Petrusel, Ulam-Hyers stability for operatorial equations and inclusions, *Analele Univ. I. Cuza Iasi* **57** (2011), 65-74.
- [11] P. L. Butzer, A. A. Kilbas, and J. J. Trujillo. Fractional calculus in the mellin setting and Hadamard-type fractional integrals. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **269** (2002), 1-27.
- [12] P. L. Butzer, A. A. Kilbas, and J. J. Trujillo. Mellin transform analysis and integration by parts for Hadamard-type fractional integrals. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **270** (2002), 1-15.
- [13] L.P. Castro and A. Ramos, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for a class of Volterra integral equations, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **3** (2009), 36-43.
- [14] M. Frigon and A. Granas, Théorèmes d'existence pour des inclusions différentielles sans convexité, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I* **310** (1990), 819-822.
- [15] J. Hadamard, Essai sur l'étude des fonctions données par leur développement de Taylor, *J. Pure Appl. Math.* **4** (8) (1892), 101-186.
- [16] D.H. Hyers, G. Isac, Th.M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkh?user, 1998.
- [17] D.H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **27** (1941), 222-224.
- [18] S.-M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, 2001.
- [19] S.-M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Nonlinear Analysis*, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [20] S.-M. Jung, A fixed point approach to the stability of a Volterra integral equation, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2007** (2007), Article ID 57064, 9 pages.
- [21] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava, and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [22] V. Lakshmikantham, S. Leela and J. Vasundhara, *Theory of Fractional Dynamic Systems*, Cambridge Academic Publishers, Cambridge, 2009.
- [23] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Differential Equations*, John Wiley, New York, 1993.
- [24] T.P. Petru, A. Petrusel. J.-C. Yao, Ulam-Hyers stability for operatorial equations and inclusions via nonself operators, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **15** (2011), 2169-2193.
- [25] S. Pooseh, R. Almeida, and D. Torres. Expansion formulas in terms of integer-order derivatives for the hadamard fractional integral and derivative. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **33** (3) (2012), 301-319.
- [26] Th.M. Rassias, On the stability of linear mappings in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** (1978), 297-300.
- [27] I. A. Rus, Ulam stability of ordinary differential equations, *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Math.* **LIV** (4)(2009), 125-133.
- [28] I. A. Rus, Remarks on Ulam stability of the operatorial equations, *Fixed Point Theory* **10** (2009), 305-320.
- [29] S. G. Samko, A. A. Kilbas and O. I. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach, Yverdon, 1993.
- [30] S.M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1968.

SAÏD ABBAS

LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SAÏDA, PO BOX 138, 20000 SAÏDA, ALGERIA

E-mail address: abbasmsaid@yahoo.fr

WAFAA ALBARAKATI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 80203, JEDDAH 21589, SAUDI ARABIA

MOUFFAK BENCHOHRA

LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SIDI BEL-ABBÈS, P.O. BOX 89, SIDI BEL-ABBÈS 22000, ALGERIA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY, P.O. BOX 80203, JEDDAH 21589, SAUDI ARABIA

E-mail address: benchohra@univ-sba.dz

GASTON M. N'GUÉRÉKATA
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MORGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, 1700 E. COLD SPRING LANE, BAL-
TIMORE M.D. 21252, USA
E-mail address: `Gaston.N'Guerekata@morgan.edu`