# ON CERTAIN SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH GEGENBAUER POLYNOMIALS 

S. O. OLATUNJI, A. M. GBOLAGADE


#### Abstract

In this work, the authors considered a new subclass $T \mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t}(\alpha, \beta)$ consisting of analytic univalent functions with negative coefficients define by Gegenbauer polynomials. Coefficient inequalities, extreme points and integral means inequalities for the class $T \mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t}(\alpha, \beta)$ were determined.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb{U}=\{z:|z|<1\}$ and normalized by $f(0)=$ $f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$ in $\mathbb{U}$. Recall that, $S$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of functions that are univalent. Also, denote by $T$ a subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, a_{n} \geq 0 \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

introduced and studied by Silverman [5].
The class $\mathcal{T}(\lambda), \lambda \geq 0$ were introduced and investigated by Szynal [8] as the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\int_{-1}^{1} k(z, t) d \mu(t) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(z, t)=\frac{z}{\left(1-2 t z+z^{2}\right)^{\lambda}} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad t \in[-1,1] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mu$ is a probability measure on the interval $[-1,1]$. The collection of such measures on $[a, b]$ is denoted by $P_{[a, b]}$.
The Taylor series expansion of the function in (4) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(z, t)=z+c_{1}^{(\lambda)}(t) z^{2}+c_{2}^{(\lambda)}(t) z^{3}+\ldots \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]and the coefficients for (5) were given below:
$c_{0}^{(\lambda)}(t)=1, c_{1}^{(\lambda)}(t)=2 \lambda t, c_{2}^{(\lambda)}(t)=2 \lambda(\lambda+1) t^{2}-\lambda, c_{3}^{(\lambda)}(t)=\frac{4}{3} \lambda(\lambda+1)(\lambda+2) t^{3}-2 \lambda(\lambda+1) t, \ldots$
where $c_{n}^{(\lambda)}(t)$ denotes the Gegenbauer polynomial of degree $n$. Varying the parameter $\lambda$ in (5), we obtain the class of typically real functions studied by [1], [3],[4] and [6].
For $g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$, the Hadamard product of $f$ and $g$ is defined by
$$
(f * g)(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

Also, for two analytic functions $g$ and $h$ with $g(0)=h(0), g$ is said to be subordinate to $h$, denoted by $g \prec h$, if there exists an analytic function $\omega$ such that $\omega(0)=$ $0,|\omega(z)|<1$ and $g(z)=h(\omega(z))$, for all $(z \in \mathbb{U})$.
Let $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t}: A \longrightarrow A$ defined in terms of the convolution by

$$
\mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t} f(z)=k(z, t) * f(z),
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t} f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t) a_{n} z^{n} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A class $U C D(\alpha), \alpha \leq 0$ consisting of functions $f \in A$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[f^{\prime}(z)\right] \geq \alpha\left|f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right|,(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

was introduced and investigated in [10].
A related class $S D(\alpha)$ have been introduced and studied in [7] and [9]. A function $f$ of the form (1) is said to be in the class $S D(\alpha)$ if

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{f(z)}{z}\right\} \geq \alpha\left|f^{\prime}(z)-\frac{f(z)}{z}\right|, \text { for } \alpha \geq 0
$$

Recently, [11] extended the class of functions studied by [7] and [9] by making use of Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta Function, the coefficient inequalities, extreme points, integral means inequalities and subordination results for the class $T \mathcal{J}_{\mu, b}(\alpha, \beta)$ were obtained in which

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{J}_{\mu, b} f(z)}{z}\right\} \geq \alpha\left|\left(\mathcal{J}_{\mu, b} f(z)\right)^{\prime}-\frac{\mathcal{J}_{\mu, b} f(z)}{z}\right|+\beta, \text { for } \alpha \geq 0 .
$$

For $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \in[0,1), \lambda>0, t \in[-1,1]$, we let $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t}(\alpha, \beta)$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of functions of the form (1) and its geometrical condition satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t} f(z)}{z}\right\} \geq \alpha\left|\left(\mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t} f(z)\right)^{\prime}-\frac{\mathcal{G}_{\mu, b} f(z)}{z}\right|+\beta, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t} f(z)$ is given by (7).
Motivated by earlier works of [11] and [12], in this paper, we investigate the coefficient inequalities, extreme points and the integral means inequalities for the class $T \mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 2.1 A function $f(z)$ be the form (1) is in $\mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t}(\alpha, \beta)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1+\alpha(n-1)) c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t)\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1-\beta \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \in[0,1), \lambda>0, t \in[-1,1]$.
Proof It suffices to show that

$$
\alpha\left|\left(\mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t} f(z)\right)^{\prime}-\frac{\mathcal{G}_{\mu, b} f(z)}{z}\right|-\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t} f(z)}{z}-1\right\} \leq 1-\beta .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha\left|\left(\mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t} f(z)\right)^{\prime}-\frac{\mathcal{G}_{\mu, b} f(z)}{z}\right|-\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t} f(z)}{z}-1\right\} \\
\leq \alpha\left|\left(\mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t} f(z)\right)^{\prime}-\frac{\mathcal{G}_{\mu, b} f(z)}{z}\right|-\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t} f(z)}{z}-1\right\} \\
\leq \alpha\left|\frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1) c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t) a_{n} z^{n}}{z}\right|+\left|\frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t) a_{n} z^{n}}{z}\right| \\
\leq \alpha \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1) c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t)\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t)\left|a_{n}\right| \\
=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1+\alpha(n-1)) c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t)\left|a_{n}\right| .
\end{gathered}
$$

The last expression is bounded above by $(1-\beta)$ if

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1+\alpha(n-1)) c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t)\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1-\beta
$$

and this completes the proof.
For the next theorem, the necessary and sufficient conditions for the functions of the class $T \mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t}(\alpha, \beta)$
Theorem 2.1 A function $f(z)$ be the form (2) is in $T \mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t}(\alpha, \beta)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1+\alpha(n-1)) c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t)\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1-\beta \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \in[0,1), \lambda>0, t \in[-1,1]$.
Proof Suppose $f(z)$ of the form (2) is in the class $T \mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t} f(z)}{z}\right\}-\alpha\left|\left(\mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t} f(z)\right)^{\prime}-\frac{\mathcal{G}_{\mu, b} f(z)}{z}\right| \geq \beta
$$

Equivalently

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t)\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n-1}\right]-\alpha\left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1) c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t) a_{n} z^{n-1}\right| \geq \beta
$$

Letting $z$ to take real values and as $|z| \longrightarrow 1$, we have

$$
1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t)\left|a_{n}\right|-\alpha \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1) c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t)\left|a_{n}\right| \geq \beta
$$

which implies Theorem 2.2.
Corollary 2.3:A function $f(z)$ be the form (2) is in $T \mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t}(\alpha, \beta)$ if

$$
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1-\beta}{(1+\alpha(n-1)) c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t)}
$$

where $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \in[0,1), \lambda>0, t \in[-1,1]$.
Theorem 2.4: Let $f_{1}(z)=z$ and $f_{n}(z)=z-\frac{1-\beta}{(1+\alpha(n-1)) c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t)} z^{n}, n \geq 2$ for where $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \in[0,1), \lambda>0$ and $t \in[-1,1]$. Then $f(z)$ is in the class $T \mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t}(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$
f(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_{n} f_{n}(z)
$$

where $\psi \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_{n}=1$.
Proof: Let $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})$ be expressible in the form $f(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_{n} f_{n}(z)$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(z)=\psi_{1} f_{1}(z)+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi_{n} f_{n}(z)=\psi_{1} z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi_{n}\left[z-\frac{1-\beta}{(1+\alpha(n-1)) c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t)} z^{n}\right] \\
=z-\frac{1-\beta}{(1+\alpha(n-1)) c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t)} z^{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\alpha(n-1)) c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t)}{1-\beta} \cdot \frac{1-\beta}{(1+\alpha(n-1)) c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t)} \psi_{n}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_{n}=1-\psi_{1} \leq 1
$$

Thus $f \in T \mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t}(\alpha, \beta)$.
Conversely, suppose $f \in T \mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then corollary 2.3 gives

$$
a_{n} \leq \frac{1-\beta}{(1+\alpha(n-1)) c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t)}, n \geq 2
$$

Set $\psi_{n}=\frac{(1+\alpha(n-1)) c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t)}{1-\beta} a_{n}, n \geq 2$, where $\psi_{1}=1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi_{n}$. Then $f(z)=$ $z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi_{n} \frac{1-\beta}{(1+\alpha(n-1)) c_{n-1}^{\lambda}(t)} z^{n} \\
=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi_{n} z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi_{n} f_{n}(z) \\
=z\left[1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi_{n}\right]+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi_{n} f_{n}(z) \\
=\psi_{1} f_{1} z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi_{n} f_{n}(z) \\
=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_{n} f_{n}(z)
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence the proof.
For the purpose of the last theorem, the lemma below shall be necessary.
Lemma:[12]: If the functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic in $(z \in \mathbb{U})$ with $g(z) \prec f(z)$, then $\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|g\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta,(0 \leq r<1, p>0)$.

Theorem 2.5 Suppose $f \in T \mathcal{G}_{\lambda, t}(\alpha, \beta), p>0, \alpha \geq 0, \lambda>0, \beta \in[0,1), t \in[-1,1]$ and $f_{2}(z)$ is defined by $f_{2}(z)=z-\frac{1-\beta}{2 \lambda t(1+\alpha)} z^{2}$. Then for $z=r e^{i \theta}, 0 \leq r<1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}|f(z)|^{p} d \theta \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f_{2}(z)\right|^{p} d \theta \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof For $f(z)=z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n}$, (11) is equivalent to proving that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|z-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\right| a_{n}\left|z^{n}\right|^{p} d \theta \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|z-\frac{1-\beta}{2 \lambda t(1+\alpha)} z^{2}\right|^{p} d \theta \quad(p>0) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying Littlewood's subordination theorem, it will be sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n-1} \prec 1-\frac{1-\beta}{2 \lambda t(1+\alpha)} z \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|a_{n}\right| z^{n-1}=1-\frac{1-\beta}{2 \lambda t(1+\alpha)} \omega(z) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain $\omega(z)=\frac{2 \lambda t(1+\alpha)}{1-\beta} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n-1}$ and $\omega(z)$ is analytic in $(z \in \mathbb{U})$ with $\omega(0)=$ 0.

Moreover, it sufficies to prove that $\omega(z)$ satisfies $|\omega(z)|<1,(z \in \mathbb{U})$. Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\omega(z)|=\left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2 \lambda t(1+\alpha)}{1-\beta} a_{n} z^{n-1}\right| \leq|z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2 \lambda t(1+\alpha)}{1-\beta}\left|a_{n}\right| \leq|z|<1 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the inequality (15) the subordination (13) follows, which proves the theorem.
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